Usenet Newsgroup Archive: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

2003

These Usenet messages were preserved as a plain-text archive. This PDF was generated by Digital Library of Amateur Radio & Communications from the source text file in 2026.

Date span: 2003-01-01 through 2003-12-31

Source text: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc.txt

Source item: https://archive.org/details/usenet-rec

Messages included in this PDF: 1,874

Message records with no readable body available in the source text: 1

Messages are presented chronologically by archived date.

Binary or encoded payloads are not printed as blocks of encoded text; a placeholder appears where such material was detected. The original source text is unchanged.

1. Re: A do it all Filecopier ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-01 01:13:45 UTC
From: "Robert L. McBride" <ke4zru@granis.net>
Message-ID: <3e1240cd$1@news.starnetinc.com>
Source record: 4498

Joe,

Take a look at Norton Ghost. I keep back ups
of my hard drives on CD's. Became a beliver when
one died. Installed new HD and ran the restore function
for that drive, presto, everything back to the date of the
back up.

Ghost gets everything, boot sector etc.

For bringing up the drive your operating system is on
they have provisions in the software so you can restore
a new drive provided your mother board can be configured
to boot from a CD.

The disadvantage is that the Norton Ghost files are compressed
and not accessable for individual files.

Hope this helps.

Bob

"Joseph Fenn" <jfenn@lava.net> wrote in message
news:Pine.BSI.4.44.0212311114560.22943-100000@malasada.lava.net...
> Does anyone know a file copier that will copy every file
> on a hard disk including those with hidden attributes etc?
> I was told that no such file copier exists that can get everything,
> even if it works in DOS. I was also told XXCOPY could do it
> using the /clone switch, but in attempting that, the /span
> function didnt work so could not span thru CD-r's. Is any
> complete file copier in existence????
> Joe (aka kilroy)
>
>
> **
> * Ham KH6JF AARS/MARS ABM6JF QCWA WW2 VET WD RADIO *
> **
>
>

2. n5pvl?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-01 18:00:24 UTC
From: perry chamberlain <kato@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3E132CB8.8070303@qnet.com>
Source record: 4499

I see you have been making new friends again.
n5pvl in case of point.

--
Perry Chamberlain
Kato@qnet.com
Liv'n on de Edge n de Desert
Everyone has someone in their family who is crazy,
if you don't know who it is, its you!

3. Re: FlexNet list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-01 18:19:52 UTC
From: perry chamberlain <kato@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3E133148.20802@qnet.com>
Source record: 4500

Could it perhaps be your constant personal attacks against anyone who
disagrees with your opinion on the future of digital ham radio.

--
Perry Chamberlain
Kato@qnet.com
Liv'n on de Edge n de Desert
Everyone has someone in their family who is crazy,
if you don't know who it is, its you!

4. Re: Is there a Do-it-all File copier????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-01 18:49:13 UTC
From: Bruce Lane <SpammersAreVermin@dev.nul>
Message-ID: <MPG.187cd7ff61a621b89896a5@192.168.42.131>
Source record: 4401

In article <Pine.BSI.4.44.0212311119180.22943-100000@malasada.lava.net>,
jfenn@lava.net says...

> Does anyone know a file copier that will copy every file
> on a hard disk including those with hidden attributes etc?

<snip>

Careful: Repeatedly posting the same identical question to a
newsgroup is likely to get you viewed as a spammer.

Post your question ONCE, then wait for replies. Multiple posts do
nothing but annoy people, and may actually DECREASE the possibility of
getting help.

--
Bruce Lane, Owner and Head Hardware Heavy,
Blue Feather Technologies -- http://www.bluefeathertech.com
Amateur Radio: KC7GR (Formerly WD6EOS) since 12-77.
kyrrin (a/t) bluefeathertech dot-com (Reassemble to use)
"I'll get a life when someone demonstrates to me it would be superior to
what I have now..." (Taki Kogoma)

5. Do It all Filecopier!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-01 20:51:05 UTC
From: Joseph Fenn <jfenn@lava.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.BSI.4.44.0301011046220.3018-100000@malasada.lava.net>
Source record: 4402

I guess I was misunderstood in my msg. Its a "file copier" I want,
not a bu imager. I do create images of all 3 of my laptops using
Ghost from versions 5.2 up to my current Ghost2002 (ver 7).
But to my knowledge there is no DO-IT-ALL file copier either public
domain nor commercial that will get every (I said every) file on a
harddisk and copy it to whatever media you wish to use.
The old "P-copy" of bygone years did an excellent job and I still use
it, however it does'nt maintain integrity of LFN's which is a
requisite nowadays.!
Joe (aka kilroy)

****
* Ham KH6JF AARS/MARS ABM6JF QCWA WW2 VET WD RADIO *
****

6. Re: Do It all Filecopier!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-02 01:32:44 UTC
From: packet <bla@bla.com>
Message-ID: <Xns92F761184FACEblablacom@203.194.27.1>
Source record: 4403

Joseph Fenn <jfenn@lava.net> wrote in
news:Pine.BSI.4.44.0301011046220.3018-100000@malasada.lava.net:

> I guess I was misunderstood in my msg. Its a "file copier" I want,
> not a bu imager. I do create images of all 3 of my laptops using
> Ghost from versions 5.2 up to my current Ghost2002 (ver 7).
> But to my knowledge there is no DO-IT-ALL file copier either public
> domain nor commercial that will get every (I said every) file on a
> harddisk and copy it to whatever media you wish to use.
> The old "P-copy" of bygone years did an excellent job and I still use
> it, however it does'nt maintain integrity of LFN's which is a
> requisite nowadays.!
> Joe (aka kilroy)
>
>
> **
> * Ham KH6JF AARS/MARS ABM6JF QCWA WW2 VET WD RADIO *
> **
>
>
>
Go to Google and do a search for Anadisk, it will be want you need.
Chers

7. Re: Do It all Filecopier!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-02 05:30:07 UTC
From: Joseph Fenn <jfenn@lava.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.BSI.4.44.0301011929210.16037-100000@malasada.lava.net>
Source record: 4404

Thanks very much for the url and tipoff on "Anadisk".
Joe (aka kilroy)

****
* Ham KH6JF AARS/MARS ABM6JF QCWA WW2 VET WD RADIO *
****

8. Re: n5pvl?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-02 11:45:36 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <ADVQ9.159$Fb7.150011958@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4405

"perry chamberlain" <kato@qnet.com> wrote in message
news:3E132CB8.8070303@qnet.com...
> I see you have been making new friends again.
> n5pvl in case of point.
>
> --
> Perry Chamberlain

I hired Perry once to mow my yard, and when he mowed down my wife's chinese auralias I had to let him go. Ever since then, Perry has felt slighted I suppose, hence the weird, disconnected nastygrams here on the newsgroup.

Perry, if you had paid attention to your work, none of this bad feeling would have ever occurred and you would have had your twenty dollars. I think it is unreasonable of you to expect me to pay you for mowing down my wife's flowers.

Charles, N5PVL

9. Re: Flexnet, other-net basic questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-02 17:22:07 UTC
From: Jan Schnackenberg <YeHaa@gmx.de>
Message-ID: <3e147543@olaf.komtel.net>
Source record: 4406

Hi

Gervais: please answer to the newsgroups, others might follow the
discussion and then wish to see all of it.

gervais,ve2ckn wrote:
> well i remember that when i start my pc/flexnet from the disquette i use the
> kissnon command to kiss my tnc on the com1 at 9600 bauds and after i think
> there was a command go.bat so i would have a ,dos/windows in 3 parts.

Ok, so you're speaking of PC/Flexnet(DOS/16bit) in combination with
Windows 3.1 or 9x. I do not have any real experiences with that version
of PC/Flexnet. And since I do not have any DOS-based computers anymore
(only Windows NT or XP and Linux) I cannot even try to get it working
again. :)

I'd recommend you should get hold of a PC running at least Windows 95
(better Windows 98, newer Windows-versions do not do any harm either,
but are not required) and use PC/Flexnet32. It's a real Windows-program
including a GUI-configuration-tool.

Concerning the TNC: You should really try to get an (e)eprom for your
TNC with the 6pack-firmware in it. The KISS-driver of PC/Flexnet is (and
always was) only designed to connect two PC/Flexnet-installations on two
different computers over a serial cable. The KISS-protokol is not
capable of controlling the timing of transmitting in the way 6pack is.
If you cannot use/get an 6pack-eprom you should use a terminal-software
that can talk directly to your TNC.

If that TNC has an WA8DED-compatible Hostmode you could use WinGT or
Paxon (the former also runs under Windows 3.1)

www.wingt.de
www.paxon.de

Ciao, Jan

10. MMTTY... ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-02 18:20:03 UTC
From: Torbjørn Morka <tmorka@norway.online.no>
Message-ID: <3E1482D3.30100@norway.online.no>
Source record: 4407

How is it possible to make it send/transmit the "@" character ???
everyday@lifetime.org becomes everydaylifetime.org :-(((

Torbjï¿½rn.

(version 1.63)

11. Re: Is there a filecopier available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-02 19:31:43 UTC
From: tech@xxcopy.com
Message-ID: <4e377a72.0301021131.121bfbfc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 4408

Joseph:

We had the /SP (span) feature that did not provide proper
prompting due to a bug. The latest release (v.2.83.4)
should be fine:

http://www.xxcopy.com/download/xxfw2834.zip

Kan Yabumoto
The author of XXCopy
==========
Joseph Fenn <jfenn@lava.net> wrote in message
news:<Pine.BSI.4.44.0212311113230.22943-100000@malasada.lava.net>...
> Does anyone know a file copier that will copy every file
> on a hard disk including those with hidden attributes etc?
> I was told that no such file copier exists that can get everything,
> even if it works in DOS. I was also told XXCOPY could do it
> using the /clone switch, but in attempting that, the /span
> function didnt work so could not span thru CD-r's. Is any
> complete file copier in existence????
> Joe (aka kilroy)
>

12. Re: MMTTY... ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-02 21:58:16 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@ctc.net>
Message-ID: <S5GcndAqCqTqWYmjXTWc3Q@ctc.net>
Source record: 4409

"Torbj&#65533;rn Morka" <tmorka@norway.online.no> wrote in message
news:3E1482D3.30100@norway.online.no...
> How is it possible to make it send/transmit the "@" character ???

There is no @ character in a standard teletype code.

If there is a @ character on the printer at the other end, you will need to find the character it is the "upper case" or shifted function for and then type it in on the MMTTY keyboard.

13. Re: Windows CE and PSK31?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-03 01:13:54 UTC
From: David Cook <nospam.davepc2@oz.net>
Message-ID: <mt5R9.604909$QZ.87220@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 4410

The more important question is does the Jornada have a floating point processor (FPU). Someone told me the Jornada does, but I don't know for sure. I have a writeup on CE and PSK31 on my website if you're interested. I've had to answer this question so many times, I finally made a page to point people to:

http://www.netdave.com/wa0ttn/WinCE.asp

73,
-- Dave, WA0TTN

"Ken" <cprstn54zz@att.net> wrote in message
news:3e118748.28296406@news-server.optonline.net...
> I previously posted this under Jornada and PSK31.
>
> Are there any sound card programs that run under Windows CE?
>
> It appears that there is now at least one Windows CE3 machine -- the
> HP Jornada -- that takes a PCMCIA sound card. I believe this would be
> the first PDA (more like a mini-laptop) potentially suitable for
> PSK31.
>
> Not having diddled with Windows CE, I do not know if it even has any
> hooks that will let it access a sound card. Anyone know anything
> about this?
>
> Do any other PDA's take a PCMCIA card?
>
> Ken KC2JDY
>
> Ken
> (to reply via email
> remove "zz" from address)

14. Re: Is there a filecopier available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-03 01:42:02 UTC
From: Joseph Fenn <jfenn@lava.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.BSI.4.44.0301021540190.8808-100000@malasada.lava.net>
Source record: 4411

Ipe Arigato Oji-san! Thanks for that info Kan and yes will get
that one because in all other aspects it worked great including
LFN's etc.
Joe

****
* Ham KH6JF AARS/MARS ABM6JF QCWA WW2 VET WD RADIO *
****

15. Re: n5pvl?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-03 01:49:35 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <P_5R9.92062$hK4.7464515@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 4412

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:ADVQ9.159$Fb7.150011958@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "perry chamberlain" <kato@qnet.com> wrote in message
> news:3E132CB8.8070303@qnet.com...
> > I see you have been making new friends again.
> > n5pvl in case of point.
> >
> > --
> > Perry Chamberlain
>
> I hired Perry once to mow my yard, and when he mowed down my wife's chinese
> auralias I had to let him go. Ever since then, Perry has felt slighted I
> suppose, hence the weird, disconnected nastygrams here on the newsgroup.
>
> Perry, if you had paid attention to your work, none of this bad feeling
> would have ever occurred and you would have had your twenty dollars. I think
> it is unreasonable of you to expect me to pay you for mowing down my wife's
> flowers.
>
> Charles, N5PVL

That's a three pointer!

--

... Hank

Chaos, panic, and disorder - my work here is done.

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

16. Telnet to kb2vlx ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-03 11:21:32 UTC
From: Richard <rickiev@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <av3rhd$bj8ep$1@ID-89515.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 4413

Does anyone knows the ip to telnet to kb2vlx ? I dont reach it via packet from my location because of the location of my antenna. Thanks.

Rickie / KC2KQQ

17. Re: Windows CE and PSK31?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-03 15:22:31 UTC
From: cprstn54zz@att.net
Message-ID: <3e15aa47.131220375@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 4414

On Fri, 03 Jan 2003 01:13:54 GMT, "David Cook" <nospam.davepc2@oz.net>
wrote:
>The more important question is does the Jornada have a floating point
>processor (FPU). Someone told me the Jornada does, but I don't know for
>sure.

Thanks.

The Jornada uses the Intel SA-1110 prcessor. I found no help at the
Intel site. On Usenet, one person said:
"The SA-1110 does not have a floating point unit, so all fp operations
are emulated in the kernel."

If true, does this mean it would be too slow?

Ken KC2JDY

Ken
(to reply via email
remove "zz" from address)

18. Kenwood TS-140, use of...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-03 21:12:33 UTC
From: Torbjørn Morka <tmorka@norway.online.no>
Message-ID: <3E15FCC1.2090902@norway.online.no>
Source record: 4415

When tx'ing PSK or RTTY/SSTV, shall i use the "Proc"-button then, so i
get meter-readings on the ALC-level or not ?
If not pushed in, the meter is not indicating anything...

I am concerned about overmodulation or no modulation at all ?

Thanks.
T.

19. Why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-03 22:40:07 UTC
From: Do not want u to know <no.email@no.mail.org>
Message-ID: <av53g7fqv1@news.chatlink.com>
Source record: 4416

I have been around ham radio and communications in general for several years. One constant all these years is people fighting with one another over who is the top dawg technically.

For example, one person will ask a question, usually a very simple one at that, then a looooong thread ensues where 2 or people almost kill each other over semantics and other trivial points. The person asking the question gets so confused they give up. What is even more alarming is nothing ever get accomplished, a good example is the vast amount of Packet Radio information that never gets to the point or kept secret because someone has to puff up their ego by not dealing with those who wish to learn. A certain developing group has this statement on their web site, and they are not "Ugly" Americans either.

In the final analysis no one gets a reward for their vast amount knowledge, in fact most people could careless. Systems remain disjointed and privatized for the elite good 'ole boys who really understand!

I just wish they could write in coherent sentances without telling me how nodes in the system they adminstrate! Man building this local packet BBS and node has been a real trip with the group who has been "supporting me".

20. Re: Why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-03 22:51:31 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <TtoR9.950$874.497585216@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4417

"Do not want u to know" <no.email@no.mail.org> wrote in message
news:av53g7fqv1@news.chatlink.com...
> > I just wish they could write in coherent sentances without telling me
how
> nodes in the system they adminstrate! Man building this local packet BBS
and
> node has been a real trip with the group who has been "supporting me".
>

You're a fine one to talk about not writing in coherent sentences!

Sober up and try again.

Charles, N5PVL

21. Re: Why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-03 23:27:34 UTC
From: Roger Barker <roger@peaksys.co.uk>
Message-ID: <JMoxdWAmxhF+EwYV@peaksys.co.uk>
Source record: 4418

In article <av53g7fqv1@news.chatlink.com>, Do not want u to know
<no.email@no.mail.org> writes
>I have been around ham radio and communications in general for several
>years. One constant all these years is people fighting with one another over
>who is the top dawg technically.
>
>For example, one person will ask a question, usually a very simple one at
>that, then a looooong thread ensues where 2 or people almost kill each other
>over semantics and other trivial points. The person asking the question gets
>so confused they give up.
[snip]

One thing you need to appreciate is that the relatively few hams who try
and post helpful replies to packet questions tend to be "old-timers", if
not in age, then in their experience of packet. So what seems to you
"semantics and trivial points" might not seem the same to them.

Also, your "very simple" question might not be as simple as you think. A
lack of knowledge can make the complicated appear simple. Having the
(mis)fortune to be someone who provides packet software, I see these
"simple" questions very often, and quite often they're so "simple" that
I don't know where to start my answer. The knowledge level of those
asking the "simple" question is sometimes well below what I needed to
pass my first ham radio exam.

So, if someone asks a "simple" question, and the resulting debate goes
over their head, the best thing they can do is to say "This is going
over my head! ... <explanation as to why>"

--
Roger Barker, G4IDE - roger@peaksys.co.uk
For UI-View go to - http://www.UI-View.com
For WinPack go to - http://www.peaksys.co.uk

22. DRSI DPK-9600 TNC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-04 08:31:22 UTC
From: n2rhl1@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <7669d952.0301040031.1bdf86d4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 4419

Can anybody provide me with any information regarding this tnc? I need to find a working end user prgm for this tnc and also what the jumpers are for inside.
I would like to know if I can run this unit at 1200 baud tnc/radio.
Thanks ttyl de dave-n2rhl

23. Re: DRSI DPK-9600 TNC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-04 10:22:10 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <mByR9.1072$ex3.626143382@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4420

"Dave-N2RHL" <n2rhl1@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:7669d952.0301040031.1bdf86d4@posting.google.com...
> Can anybody provide me with any information regarding this tnc? I need to
find a
> working end user prgm for this tnc and also what the jumpers are for
inside.
> I would like to know if I can run this unit at 1200 baud tnc/radio.
> Thanks ttyl de dave-n2rhl

Since the DPK-9600 is worth quite a bit more than any 1200 baud TNC, you can probably find somebody who will trade you two-for-one, or at the very least swap you even for a 1200 baud TNC that has all the documentation with it.

Worth a try!

Charles, N5PVL

24. Re: Why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-04 11:06:01 UTC
From: Do not want u to know <no.email@no.mail.org>
Message-ID: <av6f6n$f33$1@news.chatlink.com>
Source record: 4421

This is exactly my case in point.

1. Do not drink nor take dope, e.g. you have no idea who I am, you project
your own prejudices upon the unkown person.
2. You like to arugue symantics and wording when knowing nothing about the
person or the situation.
3. Takes it personally, as if I have an idea whom he is, and his place the
big picture of life, or at least through his eyes that is.

This was my first post to this group, in fact, my lamenting was to keep from
telling off the arrogant know-it-all I have to deal with
at a government sposored program office.

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:TtoR9.950$874.497585216@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Do not want u to know" <no.email@no.mail.org> wrote in message
> news:av53g7fqv1@news.chatlink.com...
> > > I just wish they could write in coherent sentances without telling me
> how
> > > nodes in the system they adminstrate! Man building this local packet BBS
> and
> > > node has been a real trip with the group who has been "supporting me".
> > >
> >
> > You're a fine one to talk about not writing in coherent sentences!
> >
> > Sober up and try again.
> >
> > Charles, N5PVL
> >
> >
> >

25. Re: Why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-04 11:41:17 UTC
From: Do not want u to know <no.email@no.mail.org>
Message-ID: <av6hag$fj8$1@news.chatlink.com>
Source record: 4422

Roger,

I understand what you are saying and where you are coming from in your described situation.
Let me clarify where I am coming from; while exchanging email with an individual within a volunteer government
program office, this person will send extremely long emails (the last was 4 pages long). Roughly 90% is
about the number of nodes he administrates and how great he does his job.

After this preamble of minutia (which is typically 1 to 3 pages of acronyms and jargon) , he talks down
to me and always conclude with the statement that he will have to "package it up and FTP it", because he
assumes I am "not knowledgeable enough" to understand . Even though he posed no question were
asked to ascertain what level of understanding I possess.

I have been an Electronic Technician and an Engineer for over 20 years. I have watched the
same situation pop up over and over. My questions are really these;

1.Why do they have to belittle and treat people like idiots?
2.Why do they feel knowledge kept to themselves prolongs they tenure in a job or make them indispensable?
3. Why do they stifle open discussion with statements of negativity?

Really these questions are not being asked for my edification but maybe to make these people think outside
of their own little self centered world. To make them realize some times the rest of us have no idea where they
are coming from either, and just want the facts.

Thanks for taking the time to reply, what is really needed on the USENET is a forum possibly named
"therapy.radio.amateur.for-know-it-all-syndrome" for those of us who end up being the brunt of another's
verbal abuse.

"Roger Barker" <roger@peaksys.co.uk> wrote in message
news:JMoxdWAmxhF+EwYV@peaksys.co.uk...
> In article <av53g7fqv1@news.chatlink.com>, Do not want u to know
> <no.email@no.mail.org> writes
> [snip]
>
> One thing you need to appreciate is that the relatively few hams who try

> and post helpful replies to packet questions tend to be "old-timers", if
> not in age, then in their experience of packet. So what seems to you
> "semantics and trivial points" might not seem the same to them.
>
> Also, your "very simple" question might not be as simple as you think. A
> lack of knowledge can make the complicated appear simple. Having the
> (mis)fortune to be someone who provides packet software, I see these
> "simple" questions very often, and quite often they're so "simple" that
> I don't know where to start my answer. The knowledge level of those
> asking the "simple" question is sometimes well below what I needed to
> pass my first ham radio exam.
>
> So, if someone asks a "simple" question, and the resulting debate goes
> over their head, the best thing they can do is to say "This is going
> over my head! ... <explanation as to why>"
>
> --
> Roger Barker, G4IDE - roger@peaksys.co.uk
> For UI-View go to - http://www.UI-View.com
> For WinPack go to - http://www.peaksys.co.uk

26. Re: Why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-04 11:42:39 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <PMzR9.1080$Py5.634499172@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4423

"Do not want u to know" <no.email@no.mail.org> wrote in message
news:av6f6n$f33$1@news.chatlink.com...
> This is exactly my case in point.
>
> 1. Do not drink nor take dope, e.g. you have no idea who I am, you project
> your own prejudices upon the unkown person.

Actually I was being charitable by suggesting that you must have been inebriated when you posted.

Now you are saying that your rambling, disconnected diatribe was done while you were sober...

Victim of a head injury? Umbilical cord around your neck? Scared by good grammar as a child?

Just wondering what your problem is.

> 2. You like to arugue symantics and wording when knowing nothing about
the
> person or the situation.

Hence my curiosity as to what your problem is. Obviously you've got one.

> 3. Takes it personally, as if I have an idea whom he is, and his place the
> big picture of life, or at least through his eyes that is.

I didn't notice anybody taking your incoherent complaining personally. In fact, you can count yourself lucky that anyone bothered to reply to it at all. Usually, the obvious wackos are ignored here, except a few who are associated with TAPR. We don't ignore them because they are so funny.

> This was my first post to this group, in fact, my lamenting was to keep
from
> telling off the arrogant know-it-all I have to deal with
> at a government sposored program office.

Oh, so you dumped on an international newsgroup instead. How clever.

Screw up your courage and tell the government guy off, next time. That's what they are there for.

Charles, N5PVL

27. Re: Why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-04 12:57:30 UTC
From: Alan Cranston <acranston33@cox.net>
Message-ID: <_SAR9.95537$I23.5897276@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 4424

"Do not want u to know" <no.email@no.mail.org> wrote
>
> I have been an Electronic Technician and an Engineer for over 20 years.

Wrong degree. You now need a minor in psychology (child), or raise
three kids at the same time.

> 1.Why do they have to belittle and treat people like idiots?

A.) You are an idiot
B.) They are an idiot
C.) You are both idiots.
D.) you are unwilling to love and respect each other, ever.

> 2.Why do they feel knowledge kept to themselves prolongs they tenure in a
> job or make them indispensable?

Study Maslow. He worked years with monkeys, and developed a "heirarchy
of needs." Things like: Esteem, Self Actualization, Belonging...

> 3. Why do they stifle open discussion with statements of negativity?

Negative energy is right at the surface. Positive energy comes from the
heart. Close friends and family operate 60%/40% with positive energy,
while people who merely communicate long distance about areas which
they might profess a high degree of experience, operate 40%/60%
favoring negative energy. They do not love each other, and never will.

Almost all customer service training centers will try to move a trainee to
at least a 50%/50% energy ratio. Companies with major problems in this
regard, have only a 10% swing to failure.

> just want the facts.

If you love (emotionally bond) with the individual, he/she will treat you
as an equal (emotionally). Generally humans treat new acquaintances
with all the joy of a one night stand. Each steeling the others energy
and washing it off in the shower, slamming the door behind them, as
they run home to the ones they really love. Sometimes negative
energy becomes so great, it jumps off like lightning without control.
These people spend hours in newsgroups, each shocking the other
with massive jolts of negative energy. Say 10%/90% energy ratios.
No detectable love essentially.

All you need is love...

28. Re: Why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-04 13:27:51 UTC
From: Alan Cranston <acranston33@cox.net>
Message-ID: <rjBR9.95761$I23.5903930@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 4425

When I worked for a major aircraft company, I talked
on the phone every week with a parts lady who was probably
the sweetest disposition you could imagine. She was so bright,
and all her mannerisms were completely that of a woman who
raised several children. Almost like a sweet grandma.

One day I found myself in her city, and I called her up to meet
for lunch. We could both see each other for the first time.
She told me to swing by her office, and we could ride together.
She said just ask the secretary where she sits, and we can meet
in her office, and then head out to lunch.

As I was walking down the hall, I heard this woman just cussing
up a storm to one of her employees (I could only guess), and
around the corner I came, and this androgynous woman in a
pants-suit with 2 inch fingernails was using them like blades to
show where the employee had completely screwed the paper-
work up. She sees me coming and shoo's the employee on his
way, and greets me like a lost brother (all kisses and smiles).

She said she needed a drink, and let's go to this tavern she
knew had a great lunch. Where-upon she just blasted me with
all the idiots she has to work with. I would interject a few
rays of hope, and she would beat me down with another tirade,
and after about three drinks she mellowed out enough to
actually become a very nice person again, and began eating her
lunch. I had the waiter snap our picture on the way out, just
to show all the guys back home, who bet she weighed 300
pounds, was bare-foot, and had two babies on her knee.

Your assignment is to tell us why she has two (or are there more)
personalities. This test will count either 60% or 40% of your
final grade, depending on how much I like you.

29. Re: Why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-04 15:40:55 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <bgDR9.60$cW5.74025128@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4426

"Alan Cranston" <acranston33@cox.net> wrote in message
news:_SAR9.95537$I23.5897276@news1.east.cox.net...
>
> All you need is love...
>

Right now I could use a barbacoa taco, too, with refried beans on the side.

I really love barbacoa. Mmmmmmm!

Charles, N5PVL

30. Re: APRS Information Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-04 20:05:57 UTC
From: "J. Duffy Beischel" <duffyb01@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <3E173EA5.6000908@fuse.net>
Source record: 4427

Actually it is 144.390 not .290.

Duffy
www.wb8nut.com

W8JSA wrote:
> Listen to 144.290 terrestrial and 145.825 from AO-16, et al.
>

31. Re: Packet BBS list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-04 23:19:34 UTC
From: "William H. O'Hara, III" <whoohara@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns92F9BA70BDDDFAlphaCentauriAAAHAWK@65.100.3.61>
Source record: 4428

"Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in
> That list is mostly useless now.
> Appears it was last updated over 8 years ago.
> For Oregon, it is almost 100% incorrect :-)

Well, Why do you not update the list? How is someone going
to find out if all the lists are not updated? Sounds like YOU
are not doing your homework. Be courteous and helpful to
other operators. Radio is a 2 way interaction. No one will
play with you, if you can not behave.

I would appreciate that you don't make your malicious comments
to the other fellow. Your little quote is a demonstration
of your attitude. I think that you need to act in a civil
manner or not act at all.

I think that we should start a campaign to have you removed
from any organization such as the ARRL. Perhaps you would
care for the consequences of your actions in the future.

bill
kb1iub

32. Re: Packet BBS list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-04 23:45:02 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.51.0301042339530.8371@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 4429

On Sat, 4 Jan 2003, William H. O'Hara, III wrote:
>"Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in
>> That list is mostly useless now.
>> Appears it was last updated over 8 years ago.
>> For Oregon, it is almost 100% incorrect :-)
>
>Well, Why do you not update the list? How is someone going
>to find out if all the lists are not updated? Sounds like YOU
>are not doing your homework. Be courteous and helpful to
>other operators. Radio is a 2 way interaction. No one will
>play with you, if you can not behave.

Not intentionally meaning to defend Hank, for the western U.S. states ("6-land," "7-land," and maybe even a couple states in "0-land") until about a year ago, there were monthly updates to the "WestNet" packet BBS list. The person who maintained the list passed away. I don't know if anyone else has picked up that task. However, what this means is that there does exist a list that is about ONE year old, alot newer than the 8 year old list cited above.

The list I refer to can be had from http://www.qsl.net/scaps/ - it's in their web site somewhere.

>I would appreciate that you don't make your malicious comments
>to the other fellow. Your little quote is a demonstration
>of your attitude. I think that you need to act in a civil
>manner or not act at all.
>
>I think that we should start a campaign to have you removed
>from any organization such as the ARRL. Perhaps you would
>care for the consequences of your actions in the future.

And perhaps you should be removed for not demonstrating due dilligence in searching for the most current list.... :-)

33. Re: Packet BBS list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-04 23:46:34 UTC
From: Alan Cranston <acranston33@cox.net>
Message-ID: <unKR9.99798$I23.6095735@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 4430

"William H. O'Hara, III" <whoohara@yahoo.com> wrote
> "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in
> > That list is mostly useless now.
> > Appears it was last updated over 8 years ago.
> > For Oregon, it is almost 100% incorrect :-)
>
> Well, Why do you not update the list?

If you delete the whole list, throw it in the trash, it will then
be accurate.

There are no national BBS systems on packet anymore.
It's all history.

APRS is the only thing going, with 9600 bps digital voice
the up and coming new rage (old Motorola gear with
Project-25 vocoders). Once these repeaters start popping-up
everywhere, they will also be able to do 9600 APRS.

34. Re: Packet BBS list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-05 04:05:37 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <laOR9.310$R24.230416599@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4431

William, you can take your fascist attitude and stick it where the sun don't shine.

I don't always agree with Hank but I know who he is, and what has done for the hobby.

If you can't respect your betters, maybe it's you who should take a hike.

The TAPR list, by the way, was a joke from day one. The organization has a long history of actively discouraging both node and BBS operators in the U.S.. Part of the organization's methodology is to pretend an interest in things they wish to limit or control.

Try getting on the TAPR BBSSIG, for example, and talking about running a packet BBS using ham radio. You'll soon find yourself the target of ridicule. That's TAPR, as it has been for over a decade that I know of.

If you are not into amateur tcpip, "IP only" in fact, you get attacked by the TAPR goons.

Do your homework. Check it out. It's a bogus organization, run by militant LandLine Lids.

I can quote you a post where Steve Stroh, an officer in the organization, shows up on the BBSSIG to nastily run down the entire concept of packet bulletin boards.

Great "support", huh? Time for an attitude check, OM.

Charles, N5PVL

"William H. O'Hara, III" <whoohara@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:Xns92F9BA70BDDDFAlphaCentauriAAAHAWK@65.100.3.61...
> "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in
> > That list is mostly useless now.
> > Appears it was last updated over 8 years ago.
> > For Oregon, it is almost 100% incorrect :-)
>
> Well, Why do you not update the list? How is someone going
> to find out if all the lists are not updated? Sounds like YOU
> are not doing your homework. Be courteous and helpful to
> other operators. Radio is a 2 way interaction. No one will
> play with you, if you can not behave.
>
> I would appreciate that you don't make your malicious comments
> to the other fellow. Your little quote is a demonstration
> of your attitude. I think that you need to act in a civil

> manner or not act at all.
>
> I think that we should start a campaign to have you removed
> from any organization such as the ARRL. Perhaps you would
> care for the consequences of your actions in the future.
>
> bill
> kb1iub
>

35. Re: Packet BBS list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-05 04:23:38 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <erOR9.315$HS4.233783422@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4432

Moron "Alan Cranston" <acranston33@cox.net> wrote in message
news:unKR9.99798$I23.6095735@news1.east.cox.net...
> "William H. O'Hara, III" <whoohara@yahoo.com> wrote
> > "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in
> > > That list is mostly useless now.
> > > Appears it was last updated over 8 years ago.
> > > For Oregon, it is almost 100% incorrect :-)
> >
> > Well, Why do you not update the list?
>
> If you delete the whole list, throw it in the trash, it will then
> be accurate.
>
> There are no national BBS systems on packet anymore.
> It's all history.

Hate to be the one to break it to you, bright boy, but you are 100% wrong.
There is a lot of activity, but it's a Ham Radio activity so of course you
wouldn't know anything about that.

>
> APRS is the only thing going, with 9600 bps digital voice
> the up and coming new rage (old Motorola gear with
> Project-25 vocoders). Once these repeaters start popping-up
> everywhere, they will also be able to do 9600 APRS.

More horse-hockey. 9600 baud APRS has been possible all along, from the day
the protocol was introduced. They use 1200 baud because it is more
practical, and more hams can participate that way, not because it isn't
possible due to a lack of digital voice repeaters.

When digital voice repeaters appear, the same situation will hold. The 1200
baud stuff will still be more practical, allowing more hams to participate
for quite some time. In other words, don't hold your breath.

I changed my mind... Go ahead and hold your breath.

You seem to be proud of showing off your ignorance. Every time you post
here, it's more of your ingrown nastiness and ignorance, talking through
your hiney.

I would suggest that you "blow it out your butt", except that is what you
have been doing all along.

Charles, N5PVL

36. MFJ Multicom Embedded callsign change?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-05 04:29:19 UTC
From: Richard Brittingham <rbritt@gohip.com>
Message-ID: <rtcf1vgcfjf8phhq4k742aa1h1tljbm5ec@4ax.com>
Source record: 4433

Hi, I bought a used MJF-1278B and also the software that was purchased for it. Does anyone know a way to remove the old callsign that belonged to the previous owner?

I have used the MYCALL command and entered my callsign to use it for that session only. But is there a way to have the software use my callsign only?

Thanks and 73

37. Re: Packet BBS list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-05 04:37:59 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <HEOR9.28359$p_6.2352012@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 4434

"William H. O'Hara, III" <whoohara@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns92F9BA70BDDDFAlphaCentauriAAAHAWK@65.100.3.61...
> "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in
> > That list is mostly useless now.
> > Appears it was last updated over 8 years ago.
> > For Oregon, it is almost 100% incorrect :-)
>
> Well, Why do you not update the list? How is someone going

Ah, you must have updated the list for you area.
How does one go about updating that list?

> to find out if all the lists are not updated? Sounds like YOU
> are not doing your homework. Be courteous and helpful to
> other operators. Radio is a 2 way interaction. No one will
> play with you, if you can not behave.

Huh?
All I did was point out that the TAPR list is way out of date.
How does that make it my fault?

> I would appreciate that you don't make your malicious comments
> to the other fellow. Your little quote is a demonstration
> of your attitude. I think that you need to act in a civil
> manner or not act at all.

I would appreciate that you try and HELP instead of
complaining about someone who DID try and help.

> I think that we should start a campaign to have you removed
> from any organization such as the ARRL. Perhaps you would
> care for the consequences of your actions in the future.

Are you wearing your sign?

--

... Hank

Chaos, panic, and disorder - my work here is done.

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

38. Re: Packet BBS list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-05 04:40:26 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <_GOR9.28360$p_6.2352216@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 4435

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:laOR9.310$R24.230416599@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
>
> William, you can take your fascist attitude and stick it where the sun don't
> shine.
>
> I don't always agree with Hank but I know who he is, and what has done for
> the hobby.
>
> If you can't respect your betters, maybe it's you who should take a hike.
>
> The TAPR list, by the way, was a joke from day one. The organization has a
> long history of actively discouraging both node and BBS operators in the
> U.S.. Part of the organization's methodology is to pretend an interest in
> things they wish to limit or control.
>
> Try getting on the TAPR BBSSIG, for example, and talking about running a
> packet BBS using ham radio. You'll soon find yourself the target of
> ridicule. That's TAPR, as it has been for over a decade that I know of.

Naw ... you just get kicked off the list if you try and move the
topic to radio instead of the internet.

> If you are not into amateur tcpip, "IP only" in fact, you get attacked by
> the TAPR goons.
>
> Do your homework. Check it out. It's a bogus organization, run by militant
> LandLine Lids.
>
> I can quote you a post where Steve Stroh, an officer in the organization,
> shows up on the BBSSIG to nastily run down the entire concept of packet
> bulletin boards.
>
> Great "support", huh? Time for an attitude check, OM.

--

... Hank

Chaos, panic, and disorder - my work here is done.

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

39. Re: Why? - the humor of it all

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-05 14:02:43 UTC
From: Do not want u to know <no.email@no.mail.org>
Message-ID: <av9dvn$13p$1@news.chatlink.com>
Source record: 4436

> Chuckie, aka charlesb, wrote in his last rambling:
> [snipity snip to remove Bravo Sierra]
>
> Just wondering what your problem is.
>

Hey Chuck,

How about if I ask ask you these questions.

a. Did you get a head wound in Viet Nam or step on a landmine (or maybe a land mime)?
b. Did your old lady leave you because you have no life beyond the USENET?
c. Do you acquire Gulf War Syndrome or maybe post Nam drip?
d. Did you get shocked as kid when experimenting with a knife and AC wall socket?
e. Did Riley ask you not to talk too much on 146.520 MHz?
f. Were you asked not to chack into the Channel 19 truckers net?
g. Did you get shocked while working on your "leaniear" amp?
h. Do you Hablow Espanieese?
i. Are you engrossed in the book "Thoughts and Quotations of Chairman Mao"?
j. How many ducks does it take to make a gaggle?
k. Is "pi" really square instead of round?
l. Is there life after the internet?
m. How many ducks does it take to make a heard or how many have heard of heard of ducks?
n. If you lined all the ducks in the world in a straight line, would anyone care?
o. Is there life on Pluto and is it canine in form?
p. Does Captain Piccard wear a hair piece off the set?
q. If two ducks and two trees were hit by a drunk motorist could that person be charged
with DUI and killing quakers and twees?
r. Will Elmer Fudd ever kill Bugs Bunny and have him for dinner?
s. Will the Coyote ever catch the Roadrunner, and if he does will he remember what to do
with him?
t. Do you have a sense of humor?
u. Does anyone care?
v. Do ducks live Quang Duc provience?
w. Do ducks who fly upside down really quack up?

Chuck for you information that is rambling on, I have learned from you the master namely you. God it feels so good to be superior, thanks for the lesson in how to be

above the rest. Thanks for making me see the light, now I can reach for the heavens.

Have a good day Comrade Chuck,
Manfred B Besser IV

PS:

Chuck I want to warn you of something, e.g. this is the last post from me, I will not read anymore posts regarding this thread and therefore have the last word as far as I am concerned. Don't waste the minute amount of storage space it will take for your dribble go screw with someone else who more appreciate your great intellectual abilities.

naw naw naw Chuckie!

40. Re: APRS Information Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-05 14:13:02 UTC
From: NoName <user@computer.net>
Message-ID: <r9fg1v44p92cu747cnr1n814p1esed1inu@4ax.com>
Source record: 4437

On Sat, 04 Jan 2003 15:05:57 -0500, "J. Duffy Beischel"
<duffyb01@fuse.net> wrote:

>Actually it is 144.390 not .290.
>
>Duffy
>www.wb8nut.com
>
>W8JSA wrote:
>> Listen to 144.290 terrestrial and 145.825 from AO-16, et al.
>>
and 144.390 is NOT world wide 144.800 in the UK for example.

41. Re: Packet BBS list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-05 15:54:12 UTC
From: "William H. O'Hara, III" <whoohara@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns92FA6F1366437AlphaCentauriAAAHAWK@65.100.3.61>
Source record: 4438

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in
news:laOR9.310$R24.230416599@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com:

>
> William, you can take your fascist attitude and stick it where the sun
> don't shine.

Fascist attitudes are demonstrated by Hank and you. I saw your post
to QRZ. You want ham radio only to be ham radio on this newsgroup,
but you are certainly willing to setup stations without ham operators
to receive traffic.

Hank is a disrespectful and ignorant person. I think that you all missed
that I just popped in this thread after your "Hank" was trashing some
poor ham. I don't like the comment about doing my homework. I wasn't
even involved, Mr Stussy.

As far as your comments regarding 9600... 9600 is very practical. Everyone
in the world should be able to 9600 for $200 or less in brand new
equipment. They can cobble up stuff for much less than store bought
People like you are holding back ham radio.

You waste all your time about saying things are not ham radio. Most of
your posts are against the newbies. You then post the BS on QRZ.cOM!
You and Hank are not real ham operators. You would behave in a civil
and supportive manner towards your fellow hams. You would encourage the
growth of the hobby and not as the tool for other systems. Other systems
which have better communications and no need for us other than a cheap
out from doing their OWN WORK. They get paid for the stuff. They have
the money to buy their equipment. They don't need us doing their work.

I want fast links. Your emergency communications is useless when
I can type faster than the system. If you had a real emergency how are
you going to get stuff out to people? You aren't going to be broadcasting
information relevant to everyone, but lots of small traffic relevant to
only certain people.

The only thing that you do is insult everything like Mr. Oredson.
How is the other fellow supposed to find out anything if folks like you
don't update the lists and only fault those have a list?

I hope that you realize from my ethnic background about how insulted I am.
To be called a fascist is the worst thing that you can call me. It all
shows how little that you understood from my post, because you read it
in the entirely wrong context. I will drop out from posting again, because
I can't stand your bs.

N5PVL, Part 15 802 networking over long distances is radio. At least some of the folks are doing radio even if it isn't your HAM RADIO. It is not like you know what Ham radio is anyways as you've demonstrated your broadcasting desires.

As for Mr. Oredson I am trying to find out all of the BBSs in my area. I have been slowly trying to figure it out for myself without bothering the likes of you. In the Eastern Mass section I have been able to figure out for myself that the backbone died awhile back on 1.25m. I can't find out anything right away because you guys waste some time on jockeying around and insulting the newbies. I'm still trying to figure out the lay of land. No one knows little more than their own piece of pie here. Everyone is so fragmented. Why? Jerks like you waste time about what is ham radio and tell everyone else to do this to sate your needs of ideological control of the essence of a ham radio operator. None of you actually want to build any high speed packet. None of you actually want to do something with radio. You are a disgrace to ham radio. You just talk about how there is no radio on a newsgroup. This is not the fun that I signed up for. People get treated properly in the world outside of ham radio.

The comments of Mr. Young are only a demonstration of the fragmentation of ham radio. He does not know what is out there because of people like you. Radio requires two people at a minimum. Mr. Oredson, I can see that you truly don't understand. There are a few nice people out there and they know who they are. Thank you to those people.

bill
KB1IUB

42. Re: Why? - the humor of it all

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-05 16:11:05 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <tOYR9.390$1s1.346949737@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4439

"Do not want u to know" <no.email@no.mail.org> wrote in message
news:av9dvn$13p$1@news.chatlink.com...
>
> Charles I want to warn you of something, e.g. this is the last post from
me,
>

Hey, that's great! Another Troll bites the dust.

Charles, N5PVL

43. Re: Packet BBS list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-05 18:33:29 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <ZT_R9.15$dA6.16983205@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4440

"William H. O'Hara, III" <whoohara@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns92FA6F1366437AlphaCentauriAAAHAWK@65.100.3.61...

>snip snip<
>
I will drop out from posting again, because
>

Too Cool! Two ignorant Trolls out of here in one day!

Charles, N5PVL

44. Rude old N5PVL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-05 18:44:29 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <h2%R9.21$6Y6.18536600@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4441

When folks come here all whiney, demanding, ignorant and insulting, I pretty well give them the back of my hand.

The ones who denigrate any legitimate aspect of amateur radio get the old heave-ho as well.

Hams (and non-hams) who ask polite questions or make polite statements are treated politely, yes even if I disagree with what they are saying, as long as they are not saying something detrimental to the hobby. (LandLine Lids, for example.)

Anybody here can say pretty well what they please of course.

... Including me.

Charles, N5PVL

45. TrueTTY 1.97 is released

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-05 18:54:45 UTC
From: Sergei Podstrigailo <amx2@dxsoft.com>
Message-ID: <av9v1k$ddauk$1@ID-52759.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 4442

Hello All,

I have uploaded TrueTTY 1.97 to the main site and mirror:

http://www.dxsoft.com/truetty.zip
http://www.hamport.com/truetty.zip

Best regards,
Sergei mailto:amx@dxsoft.com
http://www.dxsoft.com

==========
TrueTTY Versions History

V1.97
The documentation was update.
Some minor improvements were made.
V1.96
TrueTTY can decode signals from a WAVE-file now.
See the "File->Decode From File" command.
A WAVE-file must be Windows PCM file, without compression.
A sample rate must be 11025, 22050 or 44100 Hz.
Preferred file parameters are mono, 16 bit, 11025 Hz.

The ExchangeSpeed parameter of the TRUETTY.INI file defines data exchange
speed via additional COM-port now.

Some minor improvements were made.
V1.95
TrueTTY can exchange receiving and transmitting data to another software
via additional COM-port now. So, TrueTTY can emulate hardware modem or TNC.
The "Setup->Data Exchange Interface" menu selects COM-port used for this
purpose. So, with two com ports (in single computer or in two computers)
connected via null-modem cable, you can use TrueTTY with another terminal
software intended for hardware modem using. For example, you can try to use
TrueTTY with WF1B contest software (though I prefer AALog). A speed of
data exchange via COM-port is 9600 bauds. See null-modem cable schematics
in NULL-MOD.GIF file.
If you are using COM-port for PTT interface but don't use it for FSK, you
can use the same port for data exchange also.
Software COM-port emulator can be used also. I have used VSPD virtual
COM-port driver from http://www.mks.zp.ua with success.

In AX25 mode TrueTTY can work (to receive and transmit) as KISS-TNC via
COM-port or DXSOFTEX.DLL interface now. KISS mode is switched on by
the "Setup->Data Exchange Interface->KISS Mode in AX25" menu item.

I have successfully tested TrueTTY with great AX25 software Pr4Win
(see http://www.geocities.com/nl3asd/download/pr4win.html ,
http://www.qsl.net/oe8djk/) with hardware COM-ports (USB/COM converters)
and with VSPD driver.
V1.93
The "File->Open KISS Capture File" command opens capture file to
save all receiving AX-25 packets in the KISS-format.
The program behavior at not very vast computer was improved.
Some minor bugs were fixed.
V1.92
The "File->Open Buffer in Notepad" command was made. It saves receiving
buffer in a temporary file and opens this file by a text editor. Then you
can view this file or print it. The hotkey of this command is Ctrl-N.
This command is available in registered version of the software only.
A bug with the "List index of bounds" message appearing during editing of
text to transmit was fixed, I hope.
[...]

46. Re: Windows CE and PSK31?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-05 21:02:58 UTC
From: David Cook <nospam.davepc2@oz.net>
Message-ID: <641S9.648965$NH2.44226@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 4443

> If true, does this mean it would be too slow?

Yes, it wouldn't be able to handle the floating point math for the DSP and FFT computations. For an example of what's going on with the calcuations, you can look at Moe Wheatley's (AE4JY) source code for version 1.2 of WinPSK on his Web site: http://www.qsl.net/ae4jy/ You'll see that the calculations are pretty hairy.

It's kind of a shame, but CE devices are intended for simple data storage and organizing, for which an FPU would be superfluous.

73,
-- Dave, WA0TTN

47. Re: Rude old N5PVL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-05 21:26:44 UTC
From: "Peter O. Brackett" <ab4bc@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <ava7uc$46e$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 4444

Charles:

[snip]
> Anybody here can say pretty well what they please of course.
>
> ... Including me.
>
> Charles, N5PVL
[snip]

Hmmm... Yup, I like it...

Kinda messy, but democratic here on USENET, sorta like politics in the good old USA,
not like those nice clean "moderated" centrally controlled sites where all *discourse* is
censored and edited by the owners to suit their own agenda.

--
Peter K1PO

48. Re: Rude old N5PVL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-05 22:49:06 UTC
From: Alan Cranston <acranston33@cox.net>
Message-ID: <CD2S9.105459$I23.6548125@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 4445

"Peter O. Brackett" <ab4bc@ix.netcom.com> wrote
>
> Hmmm... Yup, I like it...
>
> Kinda messy, but democratic here on USENET, sorta like politics in the good
> old USA, not like those nice clean "moderated" centrally controlled sites where
> all *discourse* is censored and edited by the owners to suit their own agenda.
>
> --
> Peter K1PO

This group has all the social graces of a parking lot, where pierced-nosed
whores spit at passing cars. Why moderate that?

49. Re: Packet BBS list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-05 22:52:09 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.51.0301052247470.8821@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 4446

On Sun, 5 Jan 2003, charlesb wrote:
>...
>The TAPR list, by the way, was a joke from day one. The organization has a
>long history of actively discouraging both node and BBS operators in the
>U.S.. Part of the organization's methodology is to pretend an interest in
>things they wish to limit or control.
>
>Try getting on the TAPR BBSSIG, for example, and talking about running a
>packet BBS using ham radio. You'll soon find yourself the target of
>ridicule. That's TAPR, as it has been for over a decade that I know of.
>
>If you are not into amateur tcpip, "IP only" in fact, you get attacked by
>the TAPR goons.
>
>Do your homework. Check it out. It's a bogus organization, run by militant
>LandLine Lids.

Why does ANYONE put any "faith" into TAPR at all?

First of all, they are a REGIONAL group (local to Arizona) and carry no weight or authority outside of their area. However, there have been so many posts over the years in various places (on packet as well as the Internet) where TAPR is almost elevated to the level of a "packet god." What did they ever do to deserve that?

Here in Southern California, we have NEVER listened to TAPR at all, even when we had our own packet/digital organization, the SCDCC.

50. Re: Rude old N5PVL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-05 23:20:12 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <M43S9.96830$hK4.7863621@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 4447

"Alan Cranston" <acranston33@cox.net> wrote in message
news:CD2S9.105459$I23.6548125@news1.east.cox.net...
> "Peter O. Brackett" <ab4bc@ix.netcom.com> wrote
> >
> > Hmmm... Yup, I like it...
> >
> > Kinda messy, but democratic here on USENET, sorta like politics in the good
> > old USA, not like those nice clean "moderated" centrally controlled sites
where
> > all *discourse* is censored and edited by the owners to suit their own
agenda.
> >
> > --
> > Peter K1PO
>
> This group has all the social graces of a parking lot, where pierced-nosed
> whores spit at passing cars. Why moderate that?

You must live in a pretty strange place if your parking lots are like that.
Guess you see what you want to see ...

--

... Hank

Chaos, panic, and disorder - my work here is done.

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

51. NEWQPSK - Q15X25

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-05 23:21:45 UTC
From: Andreas Weller <weller@andreas-weller.de>
Message-ID: <avaemb$l18$01$1@news.t-online.com>
Source record: 4448
Cross-posted to: linux.debian.maint.hams,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

Hi!

I'm trying to get the Linux Soundmodem
(http://www.baycom.org/~tom/ham/soundmodem) working on Newqpsk (Q15X25)
mode. As mentioned on many Websites this should be possible.

But what's the correct initialisation?
sethdls -p -i sm0 mode ?????? io 0x220 irq 5 dma 1 serio 0x378

Where can I find a list for soundmodem's valid modes?

Are there any MBOs working with this mode? Or which frequencies are used?
14.1095 - like MT63?

Thank you very much.

73 de Andreas Weller, DF1PAW

52. Re: Packet BBS list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-05 23:29:58 UTC
From: Alan Cranston <acranston33@cox.net>
Message-ID: <Wd3S9.105610$I23.6565757@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 4449

"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote
>
> First of all, they are a REGIONAL group (local to Arizona)

They haven't been in Arizona in years (over 10). The Tucson was
a poor choice for a name. It should have been DAPR (Dallas Area).

> Here in Southern California, we have NEVER listened to TAPR at all,

or listened to *anyone* for that matter.

But no matter, TAPR is pretty much history now, as they have no
money, and no presence in digital communications.

53. Re: Packet BBS list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-06 01:47:28 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <Qe5S9.97065$hK4.7881207@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 4450

"Alan Cranston" <acranston33@cox.net> wrote in message
news:Wd3S9.105610$I23.6565757@news1.east.cox.net...
> "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote
> >
> > First of all, they are a REGIONAL group (local to Arizona)
>
> They haven't been in Arizona in years (over 10). The Tucson was
> a poor choice for a name. It should have been DAPR (Dallas Area).

"Tucson Amateur Packet Radio Corporation"
It's the name of a Company ... not some "regional group".
Originally headquartered in ... Tucson ... at Modular Mining.

Perhaps Lyle still lurks around the newsgroup and could
comment on the (sale? transfer?) of the name and assets.

> > Here in Southern California, we have NEVER listened to TAPR at all,
>
> or listened to *anyone* for that matter.
>
> But no matter, TAPR is pretty much history now, as they have no
> money, and no presence in digital communications.

Thus it is a good idea to avoid their web site, since pretty much
all the information there (and pretty much all the software) is
well out of date. Tried to get my old (and very obsolete) BBS
codes removed from their site some time ago. No cooperation.

--

... Hank

Chaos, panic, and disorder - my work here is done.

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

54. Re: Packet BBS list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-06 02:00:35 UTC
From: Alan Cranston <acranston33@cox.net>
Message-ID: <7r5S9.106170$I23.6635847@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 4301

"Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote
>
> Tried to get my old (and very obsolete) BBS
> codes removed from their site some time ago. No cooperation.

They would have to burn a new CD, and I don't think
the guy who is running the web site knows how.

55. Re: APRS Information Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-06 02:09:46 UTC
From: "J. Duffy Beischel" <duffyb01@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <3E18E56A.3000806@fuse.net>
Source record: 4302

Yes, but his question was what frequency does HE listen to and since he is in the US, providing him the Euro frequency is useless information since I don't think he can easily listen to European 2 meter APRS activity here in the US.

NoName wrote:
> On Sat, 04 Jan 2003 15:05:57 -0500, "J. Duffy Beischel"
> <duffyb01@fuse.net> wrote:
>
>
>>Actually it is 144.390 not .290.
>>
>>Duffy
>>www.wb8nut.com
>>
>>W8JSA wrote:
>>
>>>Listen to 144.290 terrestrial and 145.825 from AO-16, et al.
>>>
>>
> and 144.390 is NOT world wide 144.800 in the UK for example.

56. Re: Packet BBS list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-06 04:15:11 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen <nielsen@remove_this.oz.net>
Message-ID: <avavsf$99c$0@216.39.144.81>
Source record: 4303

On Mon, 06 Jan 2003 01:47:28 GMT, Hank Oredson wrote:
>
> "Alan Cranston" <acranston33@cox.net> wrote in message
> news:Wd3S9.105610$I23.6565757@news1.east.cox.net...
>> "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote
>> >
>> > First of all, they are a REGIONAL group (local to Arizona)
>>
>> They haven't been in Arizona in years (over 10). The Tucson was
>> a poor choice for a name. It should have been DAPR (Dallas Area).
>
> "Tucson Amateur Packet Radio Corporation"
> It's the name of a Company ... not some "regional group".
> Originally headquartered in ... Tucson ... at Modular Mining.
>
> Perhaps Lyle still lurks around the newsgroup and could
> comment on the (sale? transfer?) of the name and assets.
>
>> > Here in Southern California, we have NEVER listened to TAPR at all,
>>
>> or listened to *anyone* for that matter.
>>
>> But no matter, TAPR is pretty much history now, as they have no
>> money, and no presence in digital communications.
>
> Thus it is a good idea to avoid their web site, since pretty much
> all the information there (and pretty much all the software) is
> well out of date. Tried to get my old (and very obsolete) BBS
> codes removed from their site some time ago. No cooperation.

TAPR still is incorporated (as a non-profit) in Arizona and has a mailing address (forwarding service) in Tucson. There has been no sale or transfer of the name and/or assets. Currently only one of the Board members resides in Arizona (the president is in Ohio and other board members are in several states plus Australia). The business office moved from Tucson to Texas around 1995 or so and moved again a few years ago (still in Texas).

I don't know who you asked to remove your code (this is the first I've heard of it), but I'm willing to comply with your wishes and have just removed it from the TAPR ftp area. The web site does need some updating (I believe this is being done).

Bob Nielsen, N7XY

57. Re: Packet BBS list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-06 05:32:04 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <ox8S9.15$kW1.15447216@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4304

"Alan Cranston" <acranston33@cox.net> wrote in message
news:Wd3S9.105610$I23.6565757@news1.east.cox.net...
> "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote
> >
> > First of all, they are a REGIONAL group (local to Arizona)
>
> They haven't been in Arizona in years (over 10). The Tucson was
> a poor choice for a name. It should have been DAPR (Dallas Area).
>

Yes, TAPR was essentially the victim of a hostile takeover by a clique within TPRS, the Texas Packet Radio Society, quite a while back. I edited TPRS's "Q- Report", their quarterly during part of that era. The present treasurer of TAPR was TPRS's treasurer then and probably still is. Several BoD members crossed over that way. TPRS, incidentally, is presently even more of a dead duck than TAPR.

The thing was essentially orchestrated by Greg Jones, former president of TPRS, then TAPR. Greg is an active little stink, if nothing else. The only problem is that organizations that he heads tend to become extremely cliquish under his leadership, goes downhill slowly while he's there, along with a general loss of mission, then falls rapidly apart not long after he moves on to the next screw-up.

The pattern appears to be that he leaves the most destructive members of his clique behind to make sure nothing prospers in his absence. I suppose he thinks it makes him look good, since most people do not know his history. Greg went away, and now it's going all downhill... Boy, Greg must have really been a great administrator!

Riiiiight.

This is what happened to both TPRS and TAPR, at any rate, both on his watch. (And the aftermath of his watch.)

The TPRS web site is even more moribund than TAPR's, listing over 90 nodes in a system that actually now has maybe a dozen still hanging in there. There is a BBS list that is close to a decade out of whack.

I recently asked the president of TPRS if they are planning on trying to rebuild TexNet, or do something else like FlexNet so that Texas would once again have a functional network. He suggested a new statewide packet radio organization. I had to ask him because other BoD member's email addresses listed on the TPRS web site were out of date, no good.

TAPR may recover from Greg's "leadership" someday, or maybe not. They need to dump Steve Stroh, and about half a dozen others. On the other hand, it

may be that we will someday hear the same thing from TAPR that I just heard from TPRS.

Charles, N5PVL
webmaster@uspacket.net
http://www.uspacket.net

58. Re: Packet BBS list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-06 05:44:27 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <%I8S9.29957$p_6.2509592@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 4305

"Bob Nielsen" <nielsen@remove_this.oz.net> wrote in message
news:avavsf$99c$0@216.39.144.81...
> On Mon, 06 Jan 2003 01:47:28 GMT, Hank Oredson wrote:
> >
> > "Alan Cranston" <acranston33@cox.net> wrote in message
> > news:Wd3S9.105610$I23.6565757@news1.east.cox.net...
> >> "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote
> >> >
> >> > First of all, they are a REGIONAL group (local to Arizona)
> >>
> >> They haven't been in Arizona in years (over 10). The Tucson was
> >> a poor choice for a name. It should have been DAPR (Dallas Area).
> >
> > "Tucson Amateur Packet Radio Corporation"
> > It's the name of a Company ... not some "regional group".
> > Originally headquartered in ... Tucson ... at Modular Mining.
> >
> > Perhaps Lyle still lurks around the newsgroup and could
> > comment on the (sale? transfer?) of the name and assets.
> >
> >> > Here in Southern California, we have NEVER listened to TAPR at all,
> >>
> >> or listened to *anyone* for that matter.
> >>
> >> But no matter, TAPR is pretty much history now, as they have no
> >> money, and no presence in digital communications.
> >
> > Thus it is a good idea to avoid their web site, since pretty much
> > all the information there (and pretty much all the software) is
> > well out of date. Tried to get my old (and very obsolete) BBS
> > codes removed from their site some time ago. No cooperation.
>
> TAPR still is incorporated (as a non-profit) in Arizona and has a
> mailing address (forwarding service) in Tucson. There has been no sale
> or transfer of the name and/or assets. Currently only one of the Board
> members resides in Arizona (the president is in Ohio and other board
> members are in several states plus Australia). The business office
> moved from Tucson to Texas around 1995 or so and moved again a few
> years ago (still in Texas).
>
> I don't know who you asked to remove your code (this is the first I've
> heard of it), but I'm willing to comply with your wishes and have just
> removed it from the TAPR ftp area. The web site does need some
> updating (I believe this is being done).

>
> Bob Nielsen, N7XY

Thanks much Bob!

Every now and then I get a question about it, and have
to explain that I no longer support those old versions, and have
not supported them for either 6 years (MB) or 12 years (CBBS).

Asked several different folks, including a past president ... who
basically told me ... lessee need to be polite here ... bug off because
they would put whatever they wanted into the distribution :-)

That old code is still lurking on dozens of web sites though,
so suspect I'll continue to get the occasional question about it.

One more question: how does one update the packet BBS list?
The organization listed for Oregon no longer exists, and the data
is about 8 years old and mostly incorrect now. There probably
isn't anyone keeping such a list anymore ...

--

... Hank

Chaos, panic, and disorder - my work here is done.

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

59. emergency digital communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-06 06:00:03 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <DX8S9.307$zq7.151661625@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4306

Here is an article I recently posted at http://www.qrz.com and at http://www.uspacket.net . The QRZ article has discussion, the USPN version is easier to read and looks better.

Emergency Digital Communications - Another Angle
- by Charles Brabham, N5PVL -
- U.S. Packet Net -

In the last decade or so, amateurs have been treated to hundreds of excellent new programs and protocols that have greatly expanded our capabilities. APRS, CLOVER, PSK31, PACTOR II, FlexNet, and AGWPE immediately come to mind. So many new ideas have been presented in this way, it is inevitable that sooner or later, something really great would end up being overlooked. This has been the case with RadioMirror, the Terrestrial Multicast protocol/software package developed several years ago by John Hansen, W2FS.

RadioMirror works much like the familiar EMWIN weather data and alert broadcasts provided by the National Weather Service. One transmitting station provides information to an unlimited number of recieving stations simultaneously by means of a digital broadcast to many stations at once, called a multicast.

Before reading about applying RadioMirror and its multicast protocol to digital emergency communcations, you can get a better idea of what RadioMirror is and what it does at the RadioMirror Home Page, as John can do a much better job of describing his work than I can. Here I will quote the major points of the system, from his pages:

RadioMirror is a new file server designed by John Hansen, W2FS (ex-WA0PTV), to use a broadcast protocol in packet radio. The current version runs under Windows 95/98. This results in relatively high speed file transfers from a central server to many client computers at once. Key features of this software include:

Packets are transmitted in unconnected mode so they can be received by an unlimited number of stations at the same time.

File transfers are faster than on traditional connected packet connections because the server need not wait for acknowledgment packets from the clients. Data streams out of the server without interruption.

The system does not require users to purchase any new hardware. It is capable of transferring up to 20 MB of data per day (assuming 50% compression) at a transmission rate of 1200 baud.

The client software saves partial files that are received with holes and fills in the holes as the file is retransmitted.

The client software keeps track of the file modification dates and will update files that have been modified, but ignore files that it has already received and have not been updated.

Unlike the PACSAT broadcast protocol, the filenames on the clients are exactly the same as they appear on the server, making this an excellent mechanism for distributing HTML files.

Entire directories and directory structures that reside on the server can be replicated exactly on the clients, making this an ideal way, for xample, for transferring files that have been downloaded from the PACSATs, so that stations that do not have satellite groundstations can still use groundstation programs like WiSP to access satellite files.

Hooks are built into the server to allow other programs, such as packet bulletin boards, to kick off server file transfers.

Files can be specified to be transmitted once, or on a continuous loop.

In practice, the RadioMirror distribution file gives you a copy of both the RadioMirror server, and the client software that picks up and decodes the data being sent by the servers' multicast transmissions. RadioMirror is designed to work with Win95/98, and both client and server programs require a KISS TNC.

What RadioMirror sends is files. Not only files, but directories can be transmitted by this versatile software to any number of recieving stations. Any kind of file can be sent, but HTML and text files may be the most useful for emergency communications.

RadioMirror and Emergency Communications

Obviously, there are dozens of potential uses for a supercharged amateur radio version of EMWIN.

I believe that RadioMirror can be especially useful for distributing information to non-hams that we work with such as Law Enforcement entities, the Red Cross, National Weather Service centers, Hospitals, and Government offices. Very few of these persons can be depended upon to learn how to use special software or radios, but they all know how to use an Internet browser.

All of these offices, along with local ARES/RACES groups and anyone else who is interested can be continuously kept up to date by a single RadioMirror server located in your community.

The RadioMirror clients at each location would require an old 486 or better computer to run Win95/98 and RadioMirror Client, the built-in IE browser set up with links to the files you wish to display, and a 2 meter FM reciever (any kind) capable of picking up the broadcast information. An old scanner,

or ham rig that doesn't transmit any more would do fine. A TNC capable of KISS mode operation is also required for each location.

Once a RadioMirror client is set up, let's say at the Sherriffs office for example, an amateur radio operator is not required in order for the people there to use it. The client system does not transmit, it only recieves amateur radio transmissions and anybody can legally do that.

Radiomirror can simultaneously distribute the information you provide to any number of such client locations, freeing up your local RACES/ARES hams for more interesting and useful work. Most of the present setups, both digital and voice, require a ham at each location served so this is a significant advantage for small groups, struggling to do a lot with just a few active members in the field.

Once you have set up your server, start putting together a few client stations. You will want at least one of them right away, of course, to test the server. After that, assemble clients (as you can afford to) for the various non-ham agencies and entities that you have arrainged to serve.

Set the client machines for non-hams up so that when the power is flipped on, the computer automatically loads RadioMirror Client, and then the Internet browser with its pre-loaded links to the relevant file names in the client computer's directory. Create an internal HTML page with those links in the client computers' hard drive, and set that as the browser's default startup page.

If you power the reciever and TNC from the computer's power supply, they will automatically come up too, making it a single-button operation to bring up the entire system. This makes it easy to start over if things go wrong. You could even put the receiver and TNC in the computer's case, to keep things uncluttered and simple. The idea is to make it simple, easy to deal with during the course of an emergency, and obviously useful. A turn-key system.

Updating the Data on the Server

RadioMirror has no provision for remotely updating the information it will send. If you change the contents of the "continuous" or "one-time" directories, RadioMirror will send the updated files immediately. It is up to you though, to figure out how to update those files, residing in a computer at a node site. Probably the best solution is to run a copy of FBB BBS in the same machine, because of the extensive remote SYSOP controls and great security FBB offers. You can remotely do most regular DOS chores, and FBB handles YAPP and BinHex file transfers, another good feature for effective remote control of the RadioMirror server.

The BBS should have one radio port for control purposes, and be configured for high security (password access) along with minimal function as a BBS. If you want to use it as a regular BBS with additional radio ports, be sure your computer is up to the load that this will present while RadioMirror runs in the background! The BBS function is optional though, as you are primarily using FBB as a file transfer and remote disk control for

RadioMirror.

Any other packet program that allows remote control of the disk, has good security and handles packet radio file transfers will do just as well, maybe better. I'm used to FBB, so that's what I tried.

You decide who gets the password and can update which files. FBB makes it easy to do this, too, with private directories. Once a file is updated, the updated version goes out over RadioMirror and that updates all of the RadioMirror client systems listening.

For example, hams at the National Weather Service E.O.C. could have control of a text file in a private directory in the BBS. In the RadioMirror server, you would have it send that file continuously, along with the files it sends from other sources. That way, whenever the NWS updates the text file, the updated version immediately gets out to the police, city hall and the TV station, and all other client systems that are operating within effective range of the server's transmitter.

Files can be created for each source of information, including amateurs in the field. They could either carry along a portable packet station, or relay thier reports over a voice repeater to a home station with both voice and packet capability nearby.

The RadioMirror server itself, it must be noted, requires a transmitter but no reciever. The transmitter must be rated for continuous duty, as it is only unkeyed very briefly and spends over 95% of its time keyed up. Reduce power, or get a pretty tough transmitter and set it up with a cooling fan. It will need it. If you start off with a 100 watt transmitter and tune it down to 20 watts or so, that would be a good starting point. Don't burn up your transmitter!

Going over the main advantages to this setup, they are:

A turnkey system for providing important data to non-hams and hams alike.

Frees up amateurs from babysitting voice or regular packet systems at non-ham sites, so they can do more in the field.

Is generally inexpensive, uses existing equipment and even equipment that is no good for anything else. (2meter rigs with no TX , no tone boards, and so on..)

Anybody with a TNC and a VHF reciever can recieve the multicasts.

Between Emergencies

To keep your system ready, the server should operate at all times, ready to be used for testing client systems at non-ham locations around the city. The clients will only see the files that you specify on their system's default HTML pages, so in-between emergencies, your group could transmit other general information of interest to amateurs, including "Ham Home Pages" for individuals and clubs, located at the server.

John Hansen describes some of these everyday ways to utilize a RadioMirror server at his web site, along with detailed information about the protocol, and the commendable philosophy behind this system. When an emergency arises, tell the system to only send the most vital files, so that it will cycle through them and update quickly.

Note: I haven't mentioned RadioMirror as replacing current voice and packet emergency communications systems because I think it works best when used to enhance them, not replace them. All of our ham emergency communications methods have a place in the hobby, and I believe RadioMirror really ought to be in there with the others because of the unique capability it offers to amateur radio emergency communicators.

Charles Brabham, Webmaster, U.S. Packet Net

U.S. Packet Net
http://www.uspacket.net/

60. Re: emergency digital communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-06 06:04:42 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <_%8S9.308$IE7.152564794@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4307

http://www.tapr.org/~wa0ptv/

Charles, N5PVL

61. Re: Packet BBS list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-06 11:02:06 UTC
From: Paul Keinanen <keinanen@sci.fi>
Message-ID: <gkii1vk6cf53kd967sj5k0u6pq192n3n0s@4ax.com>
Source record: 4308

On Sun, 05 Jan 2003 04:05:37 GMT, "charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>Try getting on the TAPR BBSSIG, for example, and talking about running a
>packet BBS using ham radio. You'll soon find yourself the target of
>ridicule. That's TAPR, as it has been for over a decade that I know of.

How do you expect a group of PSK31 enthusiasts would react if some die
hard CW enthusiasts would enter the group and demand that all long
distance communication is done by manual CW ?

Manual CW as well as store and forward BBS packet radio have very
little real communication value these days, except for some special
cases. Both are mostly done for personal satisfaction these days.
Trying to enforce your opinions on other will only produce bad
reactions, there is sufficient spectrum space for different interest
groups to work in parallel, if they do not want to work _with_ the
other interest groups.

Paul OH3LWR

62. Packet Antenna Problem/Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-06 11:27:20 UTC
From: Richard <rickiev@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <avbp00$dne3d$1@ID-89515.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 4309

Hi Guys,
Need ya help once again.
Here's my problem.

I have a j-pole antenna on my window, the building doesn't allowed me to place it on the roof., the problem is, I could hear the packet bbs, and connect to it, but have a hard time getting data from it because of the distance. Is there a way or something I could do, to get a better signal ?

My system is a J-Pole Antenna and a HTX-202 handheld. I connect to a local system near me but they dont have any messages, or network nodes. Thanks..

Rickie / KC2KQQ

63. Re: Packet Antenna Problem/Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-06 16:49:38 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <CsiS9.364$jI2.229993567@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4310

"Richard" <rickiev@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:avbp00$dne3d$1@ID-89515.news.dfncis.de...
> Hi Guys,
> Need ya help once again.
> Here's my problem.
>
> I have a j-pole antenna on my window, the building doesn't allowed me to
> place it on the roof., the problem is, I could hear the packet bbs, and
> connect to it, but have a hard time getting data from it because of the
> distance. Is there a way or something I could do, to get a better signal
?
>
> My system is a J-Pole Antenna and a HTX-202 handheld. I connect to a
local
> system near me but they dont have any messages, or network nodes.
Thanks..
>
> Rickie / KC2KQQ
>

A 2-meter beam antenna will give you better signal strength and better "hearing" too. It has to be pointed toward the station you want to connect to though, and the more effective the beam is (more elements) the fussier it gets about the aiming. A three or four element beam would make a good difference without having to be precisely aimed. Some apartment dwellers hang the beam from the ceiling, rather than try to put it outdoors.

You weren't really clear about whether your setup is having trouble "hearing", if it is trouble getting out, or both.

If it hears OK but doesn't get out well enough. (Excessive retries) the antenna you have is probably fine, but you need one of those little 2-meter RF amplifiers that will boost your output to 20 or 30 watts. It may be that your H/T just doesn't have enough "grunt".

As a ham, you should be aware that running underpowered on packet causes problems for other packet operators in your vicinity, and probably should not be done for any great length of time. Unless you are lucky enough to live where the local nodes have DAMA access, (fixes the problem) low powered signals cannot be heard by other packet stations nearby, and your two stations end up transmitting on top of each other.

Normally, packet stations can hear each other, and the software makes you wait for clear air before it will transmit. If they can't hear you, their systems cannot do this. Packet "collisions" result, downgrading the performance of other hams' packet setups, your nieghbors, and yours. It

slows things down for everybody.

It's called "Hidden Transmitter Syndrome", because the low powered transmitter can't be "heard" by others, and that causes the problem. An amplifier or a cheap old mobile rig will probably fix the problem.

If you can't get out or hear well either, the station may be too distant for reliable use. VHF can only deliver so much range, and coverage area. You may be out on the fringe. This is where the beam antenna will do you the most good. In that circumstance, I would use a beam and an amplifier both, or try to promote a new local node that ties in with the others so you can go anywhere in the network with a short-range connect.

Finally, if you are not already using FlexNet, I recommend that you do so. The FlexNet packet software will boost your station's ability to handle poor or weak connection paths. It does it automatically, without your intervention.

This web site: http://uspacket.net/flexinfo/ is a good place to get a general idea about FlexNet, and has all the relevant links if you decide to try it out.

Charles, N5PVL
webmaster@uspacket.net
http://www.uspacket.net

64. Re: emergency digital communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-06 16:53:04 UTC
From: Dana Myers K6JQ <k6jq@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <3e19b0f0$1_7@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 4311

How does this scheme functionally differ from APRS?
I don't mean at the detailed protocol level; I mean at the
operational level.

Technically, APRS distributes small amounts of tactical
information on a periodic basis; RadioMirror is designed
to distribute files. Both of them use an unconnected
protocol which depends on re-transmissions to fill in
missing pieces.

What real-world emergency application would RadioMirror be better
at than APRS?

Dana K6JQ
k6jq@arrl.net

charlesb wrote:
> Here is an article I recently posted at http://www.qrz.com and at
> http://www.uspacket.net . The QRZ article has discussion, the USPN version
> is easier to read and looks better.
>
>
> Emergency Digital Communications - Another Angle
> - by Charles Brabham, N5PVL -
> - U.S. Packet Net -
>
> In the last decade or so, amateurs have been treated to hundreds of
> excellent new programs and protocols that have greatly expanded our
> capabilities. APRS, CLOVER, PSK31, PACTOR II, FlexNet, and AGWPE immediately
> come to mind. So many new ideas have been presented in this way, it is
> inevitable that sooner or later, something really great would end up being
> overlooked. This has been the case with RadioMirror, the Terrestrial
> Multicast protocol/software package developed several years ago by John
> Hansen, W2FS.

...

65. Re: Packet BBS list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-06 17:33:18 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <y5jS9.369$lm4.236876911@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4312

"Paul Keinanen" <keinanen@sci.fi> wrote in message
news:gkii1vk6cf53kd967sj5k0u6pq192n3n0s@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 05 Jan 2003 04:05:37 GMT, "charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
> wrote:
>
>
> >Try getting on the TAPR BBSSIG, for example, and talking about running a
> >packet BBS using ham radio. You'll soon find yourself the target of
> >ridicule. That's TAPR, as it has been for over a decade that I know of.
>
> How do you expect a group of PSK31 enthusiasts would react if some die
> hard CW enthusiasts would enter the group and demand that all long
> distance communication is done by manual CW ?

They'd figure he was a nut case for confusing two modes, and drifting so far
off-topic.

>
> Manual CW as well as store and forward BBS packet radio have very
> little real communication value these days, except for some special
> cases.

Oh, you are here to display an ignorant, provencial attitude and bash packet
BBS's... You must be here to support TAPR's view then.

Perhaps packet BBS's are no big deal where you live. In other places, they
are popular but you just natually assume the the rest of the world is just
like your local nieghborhood. They call that "provincialism".

You have come into this discussion acting as if you know what you saying,
but the nature of your comments makes it clear that you do not. They call
that "ignorance". The facts that shoot down your aguements are there to be
seen, but you ignore them. Ignore-ance.

I'm not name calling when I say you are displaying ignorance and
provincialism... I'm stating an obvious fact.

> Both are mostly done for personal satisfaction these days.

Yes, I agree that packet BBS's are, and always have been used by hams
because they find satisfaction in using them. Your provincialism shows again
in your assumption that because you personally are not satisfied with packet
BBS's, then nobody else could be either. Elitist attitudes of this kind are
usually rooted in provincialism and ignorance, and your display here bears
this rule of thumb out.

> Trying to enforce your opinions on other will only produce bad
> reactions, there is sufficient spectrum space for different interest
> groups to work in parallel, if they do not want to work _with_ the
> other interest groups.

Yes, exactly, and that's why I felt that it was reasonable to expect support for packet radio BBS operations on a support group dedicated to packet radio BBS's. (TAPR BBSSIG) Instead, I got many responses from TAPR goons that closely resemble yours. Running down packet BBS's, ridiculing hams for talking about using them with amateur radio. Instead of support, hams get more of the same ignorant provincialism that you have displayed for us here today.

I appreciate you coming forward to demonstrate that ignorant provincialism and the elitism it spawns, Paul. Hams need to know where folks such as yourself are coming from when they hear you make your disparaging remarks about the hobby, attempting to lessen the enjoyment of that hobby for other hams.

In other words, on the TAPR BBSSIG, the "Trolls" are supplied by TAPR itself. They behave much like you do. If you use the BBSSIG as TAPR says it is intended to be used, TAPR folks break into the discussion to harass you, and discourage you.

"Support group" indeed!

TAPR runs a legitimate support group for APRS, because APRS is hooked up to the Internet, the leadership of TAPR's primary interest. (Too bad it isn't amateur packet radio) If APRS were all-ham (All done with ham radio) TAPR would not support it any better than it has ever supported packet radio BBS's, or even the idea of hams using radio. TAPR is a militant LandLine Lid organization, plain and simple, operated by people who are much like yourself.

Charles, N5PVL
webmaster@uspacket.net
http://www.uspacket.net

>
> Paul OH3LWR
>

66. Re: emergency digital communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-06 17:45:58 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <qhjS9.370$wF4.238091449@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4313

"Dana Myers K6JQ" <k6jq@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:3e19b0f0$1_7@news.teranews.com...
>
> How does this scheme functionally differ from APRS?
> I don't mean at the detailed protocol level; I mean at the
> operational level.
>
> Technically, APRS distributes small amounts of tactical
> information on a periodic basis; RadioMirror is designed
> to distribute files. Both of them use an unconnected
> protocol which depends on re-transmissions to fill in
> missing pieces.
>
> What real-world emergency application would RadioMirror be better
> at than APRS?
>

You pointed it out yourself, Dana. RadioMirror is more flexible for sending information or data, and can send more. As you say, APRS "technically" can send small bits of information... RadioMirror is specifically designed for distributing information, it's not a side issue or an afterthought.

My proposeal is for a push-button, turnkey information system usable by hams and non-hams alike. APRS does not approach that, it does something different. The different systems - are different. DX clusters and packet BBS's both use packet radio and share some similarity of function, for example, but it would be silly to suggest that one take on the other one's specialized function.

I assume you have read the article so there should no need for further comment from me, unless you have another question.

Charles, N5PVL
webmaster@uspacket.net
http://www.uspacket.net

67. Re: Packet Antenna Problem/Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-06 18:28:13 UTC
From: Rickie <rickiev@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <avchl6$e8pjl$1@ID-89515.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 4314

Thanks Charles,
I'm gonna try the FlexNet program and see if that helps. Where could I buy
the 2-meter beam antenna. My problem is hearing the packet bbs. I could
connect to it at times, but have a hard time receiving the data.

Thanks again,

Rickie / KC2KQQ
RickieV@hotmail.com

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:CsiS9.364$jI2.229993567@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Richard" <rickiev@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:avbp00$dne3d$1@ID-89515.news.dfncis.de...
> > Hi Guys,
> > Need ya help once again.
> > Here's my problem.
> >
> > I have a j-pole antenna on my window, the building doesn't allowed me to
> > place it on the roof., the problem is, I could hear the packet bbs, and
> > connect to it, but have a hard time getting data from it because of the
> > distance. Is there a way or something I could do, to get a better
signal
> ?
> >
> > My system is a J-Pole Antenna and a HTX-202 handheld. I connect to a
> local
> > system near me but they dont have any messages, or network nodes.
> Thanks..
> >
> > Rickie / KC2KQQ
> >
>
> A 2-meter beam antenna will give you better signal strength and better
> "hearing" too. It has to be pointed toward the station you want to connect
> to though, and the more effective the beam is (more elements) the fussier
it
> gets about the aiming. A three or four element beam would make a good
> difference without having to be precisely aimed. Some apartment dwellers
> hang the beam from the ceiling, rather than try to put it outdoors.
>
> You weren't really clear about whether your setup is having trouble
> "hearing", if it is trouble getting out, or both.
>

> If it hears OK but doesn't get out well enough. (Excessive retries) the
> antenna you have is probably fine, but you need one of those little
2-meter
> RF amplifiers that will boost your output to 20 or 30 watts. It may be
that
> your H/T just doesn't have enough "grunt".
>
> As a ham, you should be aware that running underpowered on packet causes
> problems for other packet operators in your vicinity, and probably should
> not be done for any great length of time. Unless you are lucky enough to
> live where the local nodes have DAMA access, (fixes the problem) low
powered
> signals cannot be heard by other packet stations nearby, and your two
> stations end up transmitting on top of each other.
>
> Normally, packet stations can hear each other, and the software makes you
> wait for clear air before it will transmit. If they can't hear you, their
> systems cannot do this. Packet "collisions" result, downgrading the
> performance of other hams' packet setups, your nieghbors, and yours. It
> slows things down for everybody.
>
> It's called "Hidden Transmitter Syndrome", because the low powered
> transmitter can't be "heard" by others, and that causes the problem. An
> amplifier or a cheap old mobile rig will probably fix the problem.
>
> If you can't get out or hear well either, the station may be too distant
for
> reliable use. VHF can only deliver so much range, and coverage area. You
may
> be out on the fringe. This is where the beam antenna will do you the most
> good. In that circumstance, I would use a beam and an amplifier both, or
try
> to promote a new local node that ties in with the others so you can go
> anywhere in the network with a short-range connect.
>
> Finally, if you are not already using FlexNet, I recommend that you do so.
> The FlexNet packet software will boost your station's ability to handle
poor
> or weak connection paths. It does it automatically, without your
> intervention.
>
> This web site: http://uspacket.net/flexinfo/ is a good place to get a
> general idea about FlexNet, and has all the relevant links if you decide
to
> try it out.
>
> Charles, N5PVL
> webmaster@uspacket.net
> http://www.uspacket.net
>

>
>
>
>
>
>

68. Re: Packet BBS list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-06 23:11:26 UTC
From: Alan Cranston <acranston33@cox.net>
Message-ID: <y2oS9.113342$I23.6904792@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 4315

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote
>
> TAPR is a militant LandLine Lid organization, plain and simple,
> operated by people who are much like yourself.
>
> Charles, N5PVL
> webmaster@uspacket.net
> http://www.uspacket.net

Speaking of a member of the parking lot full of nose pierced
spitting whores...

When's the last time you had an enema?

69. Re: Packet BBS list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-07 00:31:10 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <idpS9.173$UK.62360714@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4316

"Alan Cranston" <acranston33@cox.net> wrote in message
news:y2oS9.113342$I23.6904792@news1.east.cox.net...
> "charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote
> >
> > TAPR is a militant LandLine Lid organization, plain and simple,
> > operated by people who are much like yourself.
> >
> > Charles, N5PVL
> > webmaster@uspacket.net
> > http://www.uspacket.net
>
> Speaking of a member of the parking lot full of nose pierced
> spitting whores...
>

Alan's been hanging out in the parking lot again. He appears to spend a good deal of time there!

;-)

I suppose it must frustrate Alan. I was talking about someone else, but he recognised himself.

Charles, N5PVL

70. Re: Packet Antenna Problem/Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-07 00:46:50 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <_rpS9.175$0C1.65873049@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4317

"Rickie" <rickiev@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:avchl6$e8pjl$1@ID-89515.news.dfncis.de...
> Thanks Charles,
> I'm gonna try the FlexNet program and see if that helps. Where could I
buy
> the 2-meter beam antenna. My problem is hearing the packet bbs. I could
> connect to it at times, but have a hard time receiving the data.
>

At the USPN web site, http://www.uspacket.net in the Links section, there is a category for Retailers. There are links to several outfits there that you buy from, either online or over the telephone.

I've used several of the Cushcraft 3-element beams over the years, and have had good luck with them. I'm using an old one that somebody spray-painted for VHF packet right now. MFJ is making a small VHF yagi too, now.

It's possible to build your own too, if you want to save bucks, or just for the fun of it.

7db Yagi for 7 Bucks pdf file at this link from the ARRL pages:

http://www2.arrl.org/tis/info/pdf/9304054.pdf

Charles, N5PVL
webmaster@uspacket.net
http://www.uspacket.net

71. Re: Why? - the humor of it all

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-07 01:09:01 UTC
From: "William H. O'Hara, III" <whoohara@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns92FBCD25310F2AlphaCentauriAAAHAWK@65.100.3.61>
Source record: 4318

>> Charles I want to warn you of something, e.g. this is the last post from
> Hey, that's great! Another Troll bites the dust.

You are the troll. You continously post one line comments on
newsgroup threads. Other people put some thought into the matter
and discuss things openly and in a polite manner. You just say,
"another troll." In reality you are the troll. I would like to
know if I have been on the internet longer than you. I bet that
I have. I would like to know if you are stilling using a XT
computer or if you have upgraded. Folks like you are keeping ham
radio from progressing. You are only interested in your thing and
pushing it on everyone else in order to satisfy your ego.

Enjoy your narrow vision of hamradio, broadcaster.
Too bad all you do is post on every newsgroup out there. I don't
know when you are on the radio.

bill

72. Re: Rude old N5PVL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-07 01:17:33 UTC
From: "William H. O'Hara, III" <whoohara@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns92FBCE96D7F5AlphaCentauriAAAHAWK@65.100.3.61>
Source record: 4319

> When folks come here all whiney, demanding, ignorant and insulting, I
> pretty well give them the back of my hand.

Oh really? You continue to insult everything. You have no right to call anyone else, "whiny, demanding, ignorant, and insulting." You insult any user that makes any post. You will not answer any question other than to say, "it is a stupid question and it is not ham radio related." Nor are you even capable to understand any message. You have demonstrated in repeated posts that you do not understand the prior post.

> The ones who denigrate any legitimate aspect of amateur radio get the
> old heave-ho as well.

You repeated talk about illegal operations and encourage them on this forum and other forums. Your actions are only indication of poor behavior and the signs of a poor ham. You fail to listen and listen and just continue to send babble. Continue on broadcaste because we know that your ego requires it.

> Hams (and non-hams) who ask polite questions or make polite statements
> are treated politely, yes even if I disagree with what they are
> saying, as long as they are not saying something detrimental to the
> hobby. (LandLine Lids, for example.)

No. You have not treated anyone with any civilty. You do not have any right to make the posts that you do. After seeing another post by you and Mr Oredson, I felt compelled to post.

> Anybody here can say pretty well what they please of course.
>
> ... Including me.

No. Life does not work that way. Nor do you have any right to infringe or impede upon the rights of others. You have no absolute rights and only maintain restrictions against the U.S. Government in regard to the violation of your natural rights. You can not denigrate and treat others in the fashion that you have continually maintained.

Bill

73. Re: Rude old N5PVL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-07 01:31:23 UTC
From: "William H. O'Hara, III" <whoohara@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns92FBD0EF96345AlphaCentauriAAAHAWK@65.100.3.61>
Source record: 4320

>> This group has all the social graces of a parking lot, where
>> pierced-nosed whores spit at passing cars. Why moderate that?
> You must live in a pretty strange place if your parking lots are like
> that. Guess you see what you want to see ...
> ... Hank
>
> Chaos, panic, and disorder - my work here is done.
> Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

Just look at your signature. These are not the words of a
ham radio operator. These are not the words of a polite
and considerate human being. Your position in life appears
to be destruction and the eradication of ham radio.

You, sir, are not supporting ham radio. You are only
looking to destroy it.

bill

74. Re: Packet BBS list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-07 05:27:56 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <wztS9.31354$p_6.2638213@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 4321

"Paul Keinanen" <keinanen@sci.fi> wrote in message
news:gkii1vk6cf53kd967sj5k0u6pq192n3n0s@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 05 Jan 2003 04:05:37 GMT, "charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
> wrote:
>
>
> >Try getting on the TAPR BBSSIG, for example, and talking about running a
> >packet BBS using ham radio. You'll soon find yourself the target of
> >ridicule. That's TAPR, as it has been for over a decade that I know of.
>
> How do you expect a group of PSK31 enthusiasts would react if some die
> hard CW enthusiasts would enter the group and demand that all long
> distance communication is done by manual CW ?

PSK31 and CW are both radio.
What TAPR was doing was not radio, but internet only.

> Manual CW as well as store and forward BBS packet radio have very
> little real communication value these days, except for some special
> cases. Both are mostly done for personal satisfaction these days.
> Trying to enforce your opinions on other will only produce bad
> reactions, there is sufficient spectrum space for different interest
> groups to work in parallel, if they do not want to work _with_ the
> other interest groups.

The same can be said for PSK31, WSTJ, SSB, Slowscan, et al.

> Paul OH3LWR

--

... Hank

Chaos, panic, and disorder - my work here is done.

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

75. Re: Rude old N5PVL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-07 05:42:22 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <2NtS9.31371$p_6.2643099@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 4322

"William H. O'Hara, III" <whoohara@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns92FBD0EF96345AlphaCentauriAAAHAWK@65.100.3.61...
> >> This group has all the social graces of a parking lot, where
> >> pierced-nosed whores spit at passing cars. Why moderate that?
> > You must live in a pretty strange place if your parking lots are like
> > that. Guess you see what you want to see ...
> > ... Hank
> >
> > Chaos, panic, and disorder - my work here is done.
> > Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> > W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
>
>
> Just look at your signature. These are not the words of a
> ham radio operator. These are not the words of a polite
> and considerate human being. Your position in life appears
> to be destruction and the eradication of ham radio.
>
> You, sir, are not supporting ham radio. You are only
> looking to destroy it.

Another humorless idiot bites the dust.

--

... Hank

Chaos, panic, and disorder - my work here is done.

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

76. New APRS set up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-07 11:47:23 UTC
From: John Woodstock <jw@arw.com>
Message-ID: <aveeog$1d1m$1@extrs1.woodyware.com>
Source record: 4323

Looking for some advice..

I'm just getting into APRS. I have a few questions:

1) What TNC offers me the most flexibility (Weather, GPS, etc)

2) What Rig is best suited for APRS

3) As it has been a while since I've had a soldering iron in hand (sorry to
admit),
who makes cable sets to connect the TNC & Radio together?

4) Regarding software, is there a Linux solution or should I just stay with
windows?

5) Any other pointers I should consider?

--

John Woodstock
K1DWU
ARRL Life member
QCWA Life member
http://www.k1dwu.net

77. Re: New APRS set up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-07 13:32:45 UTC
From: Alan Cranston <acranston33@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1GAS9.7$mg1.8174@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 4324

"John Woodstock" <jw@arw.com> wrote
> Looking for some advice..
>
> I'm just getting into APRS. I have a few questions:
>
> 1) What TNC offers me the most flexibility (Weather, GPS, etc)

Any Kantronics (used KPC-3, etc).

> 2) What Rig is best suited for APRS

The Yaesu 1500 is new and cheap.

> 3) As it has been a while since I've had a soldering iron in hand (sorry to
> admit),
> who makes cable sets to connect the TNC & Radio together?

Buy the Yaesu DIN cable option, and go to radio shack and put
on a TNC connector.

> 4) Regarding software, is there a Linux solution or should I just stay with
> windows?

Get UI-View32. I run VMware on Linux, and have a Virtual Win2k
and UI-View32 running. There is no native Linux software that is even
half as good. I run a lot of experimental stuff on Linux, but mainly because
there are good compilers, and database engines avaialable.
>
> 5) Any other pointers I should consider?

Make sure you don't try to cross the country in your digi string.
If mobile, use RELAY, TRACE4-4 or RELAY, WIDE4-4, and
for home, just use TRACE4-4 or WIDE4-4, and everyone will
be able to see you that matters. Don't make yourself a wide digi
unless you live on a mountain top, or there are no wide digis
within 40 miles.

78. Re: Rude old N5PVL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-07 14:14:15 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <XgBS9.627$a44.432060558@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4325

"William H. O'Hara, III" <whoohara@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns92FBCE96D7F5AlphaCentauriAAAHAWK@65.100.3.61...
> >
> You repeated talk about illegal operations and encourage them on this
> forum and other forums. Your actions are only indication of poor behavior
> and the signs of a poor ham. You fail to listen and listen and just
> continue to send babble. Continue on broadcaste because we know that your
> ego requires it.
>
>

RadioMirror was developed from the AMSAT multicast protocol that has been in use for quite some time.

On another newsgroup, I was recently asked to explain the didfference between RadioMirror and APRS, since they both use a multicast protocol, transmitting unconnected packets to a great number of users.

So, bright boy, when you imply that RadioMirror is "illegal", I suppose we must assume that you think that the AMSAT multicast protocol and APRS are both illegal as well.

Oh, and by the way, William... You are still an idiot, and aparrently a Luddite as well.

It's a real giggle when you accuse others of "holding back progress"... Could you do it again, sometime?

Charles, N5PVL

79. Re: New APRS set up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-07 14:22:09 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <loBS9.629$cq4.433482845@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4326

That was a good post, Alan. You ought to stick around and make more like them, helping out hams who are interested in getting started in APRS.

It would do you a lot of good to do so. - Get your mind out of that parking lot you appear to be so obsessed with.

Charles, N5PVL

"Alan Cranston" <acranston33@cox.net> wrote in message news:1GAS9.7$mg1.8174@news1.east.cox.net...
> "John Woodstock" <jw@arw.com> wrote
> > Looking for some advice..
> >
> > I'm just getting into APRS. I have a few questions:
> >
> > 1) What TNC offers me the most flexibility (Weather, GPS, etc)
>
> Any Kantronics (used KPC-3, etc).
>
> > 2) What Rig is best suited for APRS
>
> The Yaesu 1500 is new and cheap.
>
> > 3) As it has been a while since I've had a soldering iron in hand (sorry to
> > admit),
> > who makes cable sets to connect the TNC & Radio together?
>
> Buy the Yaesu DIN cable option, and go to radio shack and put
> on a TNC connector.
>
> > 4) Regarding software, is there a Linux solution or should I just stay with
> > windows?
>
> Get UI-View32. I run VMware on Linux, and have a Virtual Win2k
> and UI-View32 running. There is no native Linux software that is even
> half as good. I run a lot of experimental stuff on Linux, but mainly because
> there are good compilers, and database engines avaialable.
> >
> > 5) Any other pointers I should consider?
>
> Make sure you don't try to cross the country in your digi string.
> If mobile, use RELAY, TRACE4-4 or RELAY, WIDE4-4, and

> for home, just use TRACE4-4 or WIDE4-4, and everyone will
> be able to see you that matters. Don't make yourself a wide digi
> unless you live on a mountain top, or there are no wide digis
> within 40 miles.
>
>

80. Re: Rude old N5PVL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-07 14:44:25 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <dJBS9.634$Z85.436511797@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4327

"William H. O'Hara, III" <whoohara@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns92FBCE96D7F5AlphaCentauriAAAHAWK@65.100.3.61...
>
> > Anybody here can say pretty well what they please of course.
> >
> > ... Including me.
>
> No. Life does not work that way. Nor do you have any right to infringe
> or impede upon the rights of others. You have no absolute rights and
> only maintain restrictions against the U.S. Government in regard to
> the violation of your natural rights. You can not denigrate and treat
> others in the fashion that you have continually maintained.

This is usenet, William. Take a valium and lie down for a few hours. You'll
feel better.

Charles, N5PVL

81. Re: New APRS set up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-07 17:42:23 UTC
From: HB9DTX <hb9dtx@darryl.ch>
Message-ID: <3e1b1227$0$329$5402220f@news.sunrise.ch>
Source record: 4328

Hello,
Regarding the transceiver, if you want something "plug and play", Kenwwo has
2 choices:

TM-D700 for the mobile
TH-D7 for the handheld.

They have integrated TNC, that may be configured to be operated without PC
also.
There may be a cabe between GPS and TRX if you want an updated position each
second or so.
Best 73
Yves / HB9DTX

John Woodstock a crit dans le message ...
>Looking for some advice..
>
>I'm just getting into APRS. I have a few questions:
>
>1) What TNC offers me the most flexibility (Weather, GPS, etc)
>
>2) What Rig is best suited for APRS
>
>3) As it has been a while since I've had a soldering iron in hand (sorry to
>admit),
> who makes cable sets to connect the TNC & Radio together?
>
>4) Regarding software, is there a Linux solution or should I just stay with
>windows?
>
>5) Any other pointers I should consider?
>
>--
>----------
>John Woodstock
>K1DWU
>ARRL Life member
>QCWA Life member
>http://www.k1dwu.net
>
>

82. Re: New APRS set up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-07 22:05:41 UTC
From: Bob <amibobmoreornot@holypacifierbatman.com>
Message-ID: <3E1B4F34.3ABCA800@holypacifierbatman.com>
Source record: 4329

I would not suggest using the TRACE paths except for when it is necessary to determine how you are getting to a specific point. It greatly increases the size of the packet as it goes out further. In most cases, RELAY,WIDE3-3 for mobiles and (digi name/call),WIDE3-3 should be sufficient to be seen over a fairly large area. Either of those should be enough for your signal to reach an I-gate, which will then feed your data to the internet so others can see where you are if they want to get online and look you up. In the Pacific NW, I see other stations from hundreds of miles away who are using these paths, and we are starting to recommend that people here use WIDE2-2 to help reduce traffic.

You may want to do an internet search for APRS New York to see if there is an established user group in your area. There may be specific paths they recommend or request be used for the network in that area, plus they may have a list of elmers near you who could give local hands-on assistance.

Bob, KB7ADO

=DO NOT USE REPLY BUTTON=
reply to: bobmore at pacifier dot com

83. Re: Rude old N5PVL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-08 12:21:55 UTC
From: "William H. O'Hara, III" <whoohara@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns92FD4B18F2F9CAlphaCentauriAAAHAWK@65.100.3.61>
Source record: 4330

>> and just continue to send babble. Continue on broadcaste because we
>> know that your ego requires it.
>
> RadioMirror was developed from the AMSAT multicast protocol that has
> been in use for quite some time.
>
> On another newsgroup, I was recently asked to explain the didfference
> between RadioMirror and APRS, since they both use a multicast
> protocol, transmitting unconnected packets to a great number of users.

Yet you are the one that is trying to have receive-only stations manned by professional law enforcement, salvation army, etc. You were even bold to say that a ham was not needed. This is the public. You are broadcasting to the public via your intent and audience. You should know better.

ttyl Mr. Broadcaster...

bill

84. Re: Rude old N5PVL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-08 12:37:18 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <2YUS9.111$Lg5.121012270@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4331

"William H. O'Hara, III" <whoohara@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns92FD4B18F2F9CAlphaCentauriAAAHAWK@65.100.3.61...
>
> Yet you are the one that is trying to have receive-only stations manned
> by professional law enforcement, salvation army, etc. You were even bold
> to say that a ham was not needed. This is the public. You are
> broadcasting to the public via your intent and audience. You should know
> better.

Anybody can listen to Amateur Radio transmissions, moron. When Elmers give or lend a prospective ham an old HF reciever so he/she can listen to W1AW and practice morse code, he is "enabling" a non-ham to listen to a ham radio broadcast.

Scary stuff, huh?

Better call in the thought police! (Obviously, your thought has already been "arrested"...)

Any other straws you'd like to grasp at as you go down? Any other weak-assed arguements to put forward?

I'm not quite bored with pointing out the obvious to you yet. How about objecting because the transmitter would generate RF? That would be a fun one to answer, too.

Charles, N5PVL

85. Re: Rude old N5PVL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-08 16:28:57 UTC
From: Dana Myers K6JQ <k6jq@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <3e1c4e3b$1_3@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 4332

charlesb wrote:
> "William H. O'Hara, III" <whoohara@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:Xns92FD4B18F2F9CAlphaCentauriAAAHAWK@65.100.3.61...
>
>>Yet you are the one that is trying to have receive-only stations manned
>>by professional law enforcement, salvation army, etc. You were even bold
>>to say that a ham was not needed. This is the public. You are
>>broadcasting to the public via your intent and audience. You should know
>>better.
>
>
> Anybody can listen to Amateur Radio transmissions, moron. When Elmers give
> or lend a prospective ham an old HF reciever so he/she can listen to W1AW
> and practice morse code, he is "enabling" a non-ham to listen to a ham radio
> broadcast.

Generally speaking, Part 97.113(b) prohibits one-way transmissions
to non-amateurs:

ï¿½97.113 Prohibited transmissions.

...

(b) An amateur station shall not engage in any form of broadcasting, nor may an amateur station transmit one-way communications
except as specifically provided in these rules; nor shall an amateur station engage in any activity related to program production or
news gathering for broadcasting purposes, except that communications directly related to the immediate safety of human life or the
protection of property may be provided by amateur stations to broadcasters for dissemination to the public where no other means of
communication is reasonably available before or at the time of the event.

By the way, note that hams that call up radio and TV stations to report minor news
are often technically in violation of this paragraph, though I suspect it's
rarely enforced.

However - certain kinds of relevant one-way transmissions are explicitly permitted under
97.111, including:

(b) In addition to one-way transmissions specifically authorized elsewhere in this Part, an amateur station may transmit the
following types of one-way communications:

(4) Transmissions necessary to providing emergency communications;

(6) Transmissions necessary to disseminate information bulletins;

So, there doesn't appear to be a legal issue with the model used by RadioMirror, though a single OO with a personal agenda could probably get a stream of letters written by Riley if they felt like it.

Dana K6JQ
k6jq@arrl.net

86. What is APRS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-08 20:26:47 UTC
From: StarGazer <haha@hehehehe.com>
Message-ID: <3E1C8987.64A95C3@hehehehe.com>
Source record: 4333

.

87. Re: Rude old William (Was N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-08 21:14:47 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <bx0T9.275$WC4.183632989@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4334

"Dana Myers K6JQ" <k6jq@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:3e1c4e3b$1_3@news.teranews.com...
>
> So, there doesn't appear to be a legal issue with the model used by
RadioMirror,
> though a single OO with a personal agenda could probably get a stream of
letters written
> by Riley if they felt like it.
>

Not really. One point that appears to be consistently overlooked here is that the RadioMirror system I have described is a communication systems for hams. The fact that non-hams will find it useful to tap that source of info during the course of an emergency does not mean that it is intended solely for thier benefit. It just means that hams will have developed an information system that non-hams will consider it worthwhile to monitor, when other infornmation sources break down, are overloaded to the point of uselessness, or are pre-empted by FEMA during the course of an emergency.

Non-ham emergency workers will have a backup information system, and hams will get a lot of good press. Both will be able to do a better job, perhaps saving a few lives while they are at it. Those will be the main effects of the system during the course of an emergency.

In between emergencies (almost all of the time, unless you are very unlucky) the only people who will bother to monitor will be hams. (Maybe a few SWL enthusiasts.)

As I have mentioned elsewhere, both the ARRL and the FCC told me the system was legal some years ago. The PART97 rules have not changed much since then, and those changes have been toward loosening some restrictions, not making toward them more stringent.

Think of the W1AW broadcasts... For years prior to the no-code liscense, non-hams were encouraged to listen in on W1AW broadcasts in order to practice morse code for their liscenses. Obviously, hams who already had thier tickets had no need to practice 5wpm morse code. The 5 wpm more code broadcasts back then were specifically intended for non-hams. - As a public service.

We (as hams) don't have to feel worried about providing a public service, well within the bounds of PART97.

William's objections have nothing to do with ham radio or what is best for the hobby. He is just looking around for something to act ugly about, and is dumb enough to have convinced himself that he's found it. He's just another

Troll, and a particularly stupid one at that.

I suppose he feels "threatened" by RadioMirror, as many felt "threatened" by APRS a few years ago. To that, I will say the same thing I said about APRS back then, " Gee, it only takes up a single frequency and can do a lot of good... I think we can spare that! "

Charles, N5PVL

88. Re: What is APRS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-08 21:37:13 UTC
From: Maurice ON4BAM <on4bam@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1g6p1vkdeack3spbjg85c48ps3b9f3i9jl@4ax.com>
Source record: 4335

On Wed, 08 Jan 2003 12:26:47 -0800, StarGazer <haha@hehehehe.com>
wrote:

>.
http://www.google.com/search?q=aprs&sourceid=mozilla-search&start=0&start=0&ie=utf-8&oe=utf-8

Happy holidays.
Bye Maurice
--
Hamradio: ON4BAM / M0CIL / 9H3Z http://www.qsl.net/on4bam
Travelstories from Alaska, Scotland, South Africa,Iceland,
Faroe Isl. and IOTA activations

89. Re: Rude old N5PVL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-08 22:12:48 UTC
From: News Account <none@none.org>
Message-ID: <An1T9.4945$T5.2229236@news.alltel.net>
Source record: 4336

Police, Fire, EMS and Salvation Army would only "monitor" such
communications when normal communication systems are not available and
therefore would be covered under ...

Sec. 97.403 Safety of life and protection of property.

No provision of these rules prevents the use by an amateur station
of any means of radiocommunication at its disposal to provide essential
communication needs in connection with the immediate safety of human
life and immediate protection of property when normal communication
systems are not available.

73's

Don Woodward
KD4APP

"William H. O'Hara, III" <whoohara@yahoo.com> wrote in message on N5PVL

<snip>

> Yet you (N5VPL) are the one that is to have receive-only stations manned
> by professional law enforcement, salvation army, etc. You were even bold
> to say that a ham was not needed. This is the public. You are
> broadcasting to the public via your intent and audience. You should know
> better.
>

<snip>

90. Re: Rude old N5PVL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-08 23:14:43 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.51.0301082310450.10666@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 4337

On Wed, 8 Jan 2003, Dana Myers K6JQ wrote:
>charlesb wrote:
>> "William H. O'Hara, III" <whoohara@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:Xns92FD4B18F2F9CAlphaCentauriAAAHAWK@65.100.3.61...
>>>Yet you are the one that is trying to have receive-only stations manned
>>>by professional law enforcement, salvation army, etc. You were even bold
>>>to say that a ham was not needed. This is the public. You are
>>>broadcasting to the public via your intent and audience. You should know
>>>better.
>>
>> Anybody can listen to Amateur Radio transmissions, moron. When Elmers give
>> or lend a prospective ham an old HF reciever so he/she can listen to W1AW
>> and practice morse code, he is "enabling" a non-ham to listen to a ham radio
>> broadcast.
>
>Generally speaking, Part 97.113(b) prohibits one-way transmissions
>to non-amateurs:
>
>§97.113 Prohibited transmissions.
>
>...
>
>(b) An amateur station shall not engage in any form of broadcasting, nor may
>an amateur station transmit one-way communications except as specifically
>provided in these rules; nor shall an amateur station engage in any activity
>related to program production or news gathering for broadcasting purposes,
>except that communications directly related to the immediate safety of human
>life or the protection of property may be provided by amateur stations to
>broadcasters for dissemination to the public where no other means of
>communication is reasonably available before or at the time of the event.
>
>By the way, note that hams that call up radio and TV stations to report minor
>news are often technically in violation of this paragraph, though I suspect
>it's rarely enforced.

No, they aren't. When they call, they are using the TELEPHONE, not amateur
radio frequencies. As long as they don't SOLICIT the information that they
get/hear via amateur radio, there is no violation. It says STATION, not
OPERATOR or LICENSEE.

>However - certain kinds of relevant one-way transmissions are explicitly permitted under
97.111, including:
>
> (b) In addition to one-way transmissions specifically authorized elsewhere in this Part,
an amateur station may transmit the

>following types of one-way communications:
>
> (4) Transmissions necessary to providing emergency communications;
>
> (6) Transmissions necessary to disseminate information bulletins;
>
>
>So, there doesn't appear to be a legal issue with the model used by RadioMirror,
>though a single OO with a personal agenda could probably get a stream of letters written
>by Riley if they felt like it.

91. Re: What is APRS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-08 23:24:26 UTC
From: AC6V <ac6v@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <Kq2T9.6074$hG5.951863@news1.west.cox.net>
Source record: 4338

Automatic Packet Position Reporting System
Read all about it from several lnks -- see URL:
http://ac6v.com/opmodes.htm#APRS

--
I Doubt, Therefore I Might Be
73 From AC6V, Rod In Oceanside, CA
http://ac6v.com
"StarGazer" <haha@hehehehe.com> wrote in message
news:3E1C8987.64A95C3@hehehehe.com...
> .
> What is APRS?

92. Re: Rude old N5PVL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-09 02:18:52 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <g_4T9.648$QY1.276854899@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4339

"News Account" <none@none.org> wrote in message
news:An1T9.4945$T5.2229236@news.alltel.net...
> Police, Fire, EMS and Salvation Army would only "monitor" such
> communications when normal communication systems are not available and
> therefore would be covered under ...
>
> Sec. 97.403 Safety of life and protection of property.
>

I agree. My theory is that if regular channels of info are open (cell phones, Internet, etc..) non-hams will naturally use those regular channels of information. In fact, I don't expect any of those agencies or entities to care much about the system I have described at all until it is suddenly the only information system at their disposal.

One possible exception to this may be the NWS, who works with hams quite a bit anyway in some areas. In that case though, there is usually a ham on the staff at the NWS offices, or a volunteer ham whom works with them there. People who work for the National Weather Service are more aware than most other entities of the importance of backup methods of gathering and distributing information. They take it a little more seriously.

Charles, N5PVL

93. Re: Rude old N5PVL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-09 02:35:52 UTC
From: "William H. O'Hara, III" <whoohara@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns92FDDBD5C632BAlphaCentauriAAAHAWK@65.100.3.61>
Source record: 4340

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbtter.
>
> Anybody can listen to Amateur Radio transmissions, moron. When Elmers
> give or lend a prospective ham an old HF reciever so he/she can listen
> to W1AW and practice morse code, he is "enabling" a non-ham to listen
> to a ham radio broadcast.

W1AW transmissions are intended for ham use only. You are encouraging transmission aim for the public. The intent is the requirement in the determination of broadcasting. Get out Part 97. Perhaps you will learn what ham radio is. You should also get a lawyer, as you clearly can not comprehend it. You will also need him to represent you at your hearing. So or later the FCC will find you and your broadcasting.

bill

94. Re: Rude old N5PVL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-09 02:37:27 UTC
From: "William H. O'Hara, III" <whoohara@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns92FDDC1A95EC7AlphaCentauriAAAHAWK@65.100.3.61>
Source record: 4341

>> No. Life does not work that way. Nor do you have any right to
>> infringe or impede upon the rights of others. You have no absolute
>> rights and only maintain restrictions against the U.S. Government in
>> regard to the violation of your natural rights. You can not
>> denigrate and treat others in the fashion that you have continually
>> maintained.
>
> This is usenet, William. Take a valium and lie down for a few hours.
> You'll feel better.

You love pointing out the obvious yet these ham radio 'rules' that you point out don't exist. Let me point out the obvious. Anyone that encourages drug use for such recreational persons is a loser.
TTYL, Mr. Broadcaster

bill

95. Use of RadioMirror (was Re: Rude old William (Was N5PVL))

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-09 02:56:28 UTC
From: Dana Myers K6JQ <k6jq@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <3e1ce14c_4@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 4343

charlesb wrote:
> "Dana Myers K6JQ" <k6jq@arrl.net> wrote in message
> news:3e1c4e3b$1_3@news.teranews.com...
>
>>So, there doesn't appear to be a legal issue with the model used by
>
> RadioMirror,
>
>>though a single OO with a personal agenda could probably get a stream of
>
> letters written
>
>>by Riley if they felt like it.
>>
>
>
> Not really. One point that appears to be consistently overlooked here is
> that the RadioMirror system I have described is a communication systems for
> hams. The fact that non-hams will find it useful to tap that source of info
> during the course of an emergency does not mean that it is intended solely
> for thier benefit. It just means that hams will have developed an
> information system that non-hams will consider it worthwhile to monitor,
> when other infornmation sources break down, are overloaded to the point of
> uselessness, or are pre-empted by FEMA during the course of an emergency.

I haven't overlooked that point at all; my initial reaction, BTW, was
to look in part 97 to see if the proposed operation falls into
the permitted kinds of one-way transmissions. If a ham makes a
one-way transmission *intended* for reception by non-amateurs, that
might be an issue. However, Part 97 appears to plainly permit
transmission of any kind of informational bulletins and clearly
permits one-way transmissions in the case of emergency communications.
(Take a breath, Charles, I'm *agreeing* with you here... :-))

Of course, none of this addresses the functional utility of RadioMirror
in practice. It actually assumes that information will be maintained as an
HTML tree and updated using RadioMirror. It could certainly work but it's
probably as narrowly focused as APRS in practice.

Does anyone remember ARESData ?

Dana K6JQ
k6jq@arrl.net

96. Re: Rude old N5PVL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-09 03:03:25 UTC
From: "William H. O'Hara, III" <whoohara@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns92FDE080A1459AlphaCentauriAAAHAWK@65.100.3.61>
Source record: 4342

>> No. Life does not work that way. Nor do you have any right to
>> infringe or impede upon the rights of others. You have no absolute
>> rights and only maintain restrictions against the U.S. Government in
>> regard to the violation of your natural rights. You can not
>> denigrate and treat others in the fashion that you have continually
>> maintained.
>
> This is usenet, William. Take a valium and lie down for a few hours.
> You'll feel better.

You love pointing out the obvious yet these ham radio 'rules' that you point out don't exist. Let me point out the obvious. Anyone that encourages drug use for such recreational persons is a loser.
TTYL, Mr. Broadcaster

bill

97. Re: Use of RadioMirror (was Re: Rude old William (Was N5PVL))

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-09 13:04:15 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <jreT9.38$B27.58788985@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4344

"Dana Myers K6JQ" <k6jq@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:3e1ce14c_4@news.teranews.com...

> (Take a breath, Charles, I'm *agreeing* with you here... :-))

RGR, I noticed that a few posts back. It seems to me that it wasn't the first time, though we have often disagreed on other points. There's nobody that I agree with all the time, and that is counting myself.

It appears there is only one person on this newsgroup who really disagrees, and he has made it obvious that he is only doing so in his capacity as a Troll. All of his "arguements" were shot down a while back, but he's been a little slow on the uptake and won't admit it to himself.

>
> Of course, none of this addresses the functional utility of RadioMirror
> in practice. It actually assumes that information will be maintained as
an
> HTML tree and updated using RadioMirror. It could certainly work but it's
> probably as narrowly focused as APRS in practice.
>

I'm sure you meant a directory tree, though really RadioMirror can send individual files from all over the hard-drive if necessary. Putting all the info in one directory is just a convenience for whoever is updating and maintaing the stuff, it seems to me, though I suppose there are situations where the ability to send entire directories, their subdirectories, and all files contained within them could end up being useful.

About narrowness of focus, it seems like a tossup to me. APRS does two things and RadioMirror only does one, but the one thing RadioMirror does is less specialized, more versatile. The two programs use a similar protocol to distribute data, primarily differing by the kind of data they are designed to distribute.

I'd hate to have to try to use APRS to send disk files, or RadioMirror to distribute positional data!

My recently published proposal for using Radiomirror focuses on emergency communications... I have a few other ideas in mind, but haven't thought any of them through enough to write up an article except the basic idea of using RadioMirror on HF, years ago.

At one time, I was probably the only ham who had ever transmitted multicast protocol on HF, but of course since then there has been lots of APRS activity on HF, shooting me down on that count most definitively! It may seem that I would feel bad about that, but not really. I'm tickled pink that

APRS has become as popular as it has, and will resume my experiments with RadioMirror on HF as soon as I can afford the equipment I need to do so. (A honking big HF Amplifier so I can put out 100 watts, full duty cycle.) My early experiments on HF were at 15-20 watts, so I wouldn't fry my 100 watt HF rig.

APRS gave me one hint for an application, being set up to continuously update a web page. RadioMirror can, in conjunctionn with an FTP program, do something similar with a great variety of data. The possibilities there are so widespread that it's hard to pick out an individual tree from the forest.

Another thing I am kicking around is to network RadioMirror servers, updating each other so as to cover a much wider area. You could get the same effect with a single HF server, but at a really low baud rate. On VHF/UHF, RadioMirror is usable up 19.2 kb. I only recommend 1.2kb for the emergency comms application because 1.2kb is easy to work with, and fast enough for that particular job.

There's no reason not to go faster if you've got the equipment to do so, but I have discovered that if you try to say "high-speed or nothing", a great many hams will opt for nothing. I've seen lots of ideas shot down because they required high-speed packet in order to fly. - So I generally look for things that can be handled at low/medium baud rates that hams are more likely to set up and use.

Why do hams shy away from high-speed packet? I dunno... I just know that it is so. The explanations that are generally bandied around (hams are too stupid, lazy or cheap, etc..) are not satisfactory to me because they do not fit the facts and are generally unworthy of the people they are talking about. I've met too many hams to buy the idea that large numbers of them are stupid, lazy or cheap. Some of them are, of course, but not enough to explain the general disaffection with high-speed packet that we have seen for over a decade, now.

Remember when we thought that the introduction of simple, cheap, plug 'n play 9.6kb equipment would be the end of 1.2kb packet? I think we all got fooled about that. It is a mystery. Only the "sour grapes" crowd has a ready explanation for it, and that explanation is both inaccurate and unworthy.

> Does anyone remember ARESData ?

The name sounds familiar, but I don't have any experience with it. A DOS file server?

Charles, N5PVL

98. Re: Rude old N5PVL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-09 13:11:35 UTC
From: Mark Allread <mallread@flatsurface.nospam.com>
Message-ID: <opriqhllomq5sdm5@news.chartermi.com>
Source record: 4345

On Thu, 9 Jan 2003 02:35:52 +0000 (UTC), William H. O'Hara, III
<whoohara@yahoo.com> wrote:

> W1AW transmissions are intended for ham use only.

Interesting. I've seen many NON-Hams encouraged to make use of the code practice sessions in order to help them learn CW. Are you claiming that the ARRL does not condone people wanting to become Hams making use of their code practice sessions?

> You are encouraging
> transmission aim for the public. The intent is the requirement in the
> determination of broadcasting. Get out Part 97.

Sure, 97.3(a)(10) says "Broadcasting. Transmissions intended for reception by the general public, either direct or relayed. "

> Perhaps you will learn
> what ham radio is. You should also get a lawyer, as you clearly can
> not comprehend it.

I comprehend that 97.111(b)(5) specifically authorizes "Transmissions necessary to assisting persons learning, or improving proficiency in, the international Morse code," so W1AW is legal (which I'm sure they'll be pleased to hear, after you scared them so).

97.111(b)(6) specifically authorizes "Transmissions necessary to disseminate information bulletins," which may be applicable here.

So if W1AW code practice transmissions, which are clearly "intended" for public reception, are legal, it would appear that "information bulletins" intended for public reception would be also.

--
Mark Allread

99. Re: Use of RadioMirror (was Re: Rude old William (Was N5PVL))

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-09 23:43:50 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <WOnT9.35127$p_6.2985227@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 4346

"Dana Myers K6JQ" <k6jq@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:3e1ce14c_4@news.teranews.com...
> charlesb wrote:
> > "Dana Myers K6JQ" <k6jq@arrl.net> wrote in message
> > news:3e1c4e3b$1_3@news.teranews.com...
> >
> >>So, there doesn't appear to be a legal issue with the model used by
> >
> > RadioMirror,
> >
> >>though a single OO with a personal agenda could probably get a stream of
> >
> > letters written
> >
> >>by Riley if they felt like it.
> >>
> >
> >
> > Not really. One point that appears to be consistently overlooked here is
> > that the RadioMirror system I have described is a communication systems for
> > hams. The fact that non-hams will find it useful to tap that source of info
> > during the course of an emergency does not mean that it is intended solely
> > for thier benefit. It just means that hams will have developed an
> > information system that non-hams will consider it worthwhile to monitor,
> > when other infornmation sources break down, are overloaded to the point of
> > uselessness, or are pre-empted by FEMA during the course of an emergency.
>
> I haven't overlooked that point at all; my initial reaction, BTW, was
> to look in part 97 to see if the proposed operation falls into
> the permitted kinds of one-way transmissions. If a ham makes a
> one-way transmission *intended* for reception by non-amateurs, that
> *might* be an issue. However, Part 97 appears to plainly permit
> transmission of any kind of informational bulletins and clearly
> permits one-way transmissions in the case of emergency communications.
> (Take a breath, Charles, I'm *agreeing* with you here... :-))
>
> Of course, none of this addresses the functional utility of RadioMirror
> in practice. It actually assumes that information will be maintained as an
> HTML tree and updated using RadioMirror. It could certainly work but it's
> probably as narrowly focused as APRS in practice.
>
> Does anyone remember ARESData ?

Lasted perhaps ... two months? ... in the area I lived at the time.
Seemed to be one of those solutions looking for a problem.

--

... Hank

Chaos, panic, and disorder - my work here is done.

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

100. Re: Rude old William (Was N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-10 00:08:56 UTC
From: "William H. O'Hara, III" <whoohara@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns92FEC2F8CA019AlphaCentauriAAAHAWK@65.100.3.61>
Source record: 4347

> We (as hams) don't have to feel worried about providing a public
> service, well within the bounds of PART97.
>
> William's objections have nothing to do with ham radio or what is best
> for the hobby. He is just looking around for something to act ugly
> about, and is dumb enough to have convinced himself that he's found
> it. He's just another Troll, and a particularly stupid one at that.
>
> I suppose he feels "threatened" by RadioMirror, as many felt
> "threatened" by APRS a few years ago. To that, I will say the same
> thing I said about APRS back then, " Gee, it only takes up a single
> frequency and can do a lot of good... I think we can spare that! "

I am glad that you have decided that I feel "threatened." I think it is more accurate to say that I am worried about ham radio and you are ending the fun for everyone. You are not. You are a windbag that keeps on going. I love about how I am another troll. Nor am I a particularly stupid one. You can't even read part 97.

101. Re: Rude old N5PVL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-10 03:04:33 UTC
From: Pete Ritter <cpritter@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <5LqT9.303944$qF3.37114@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 4348

DIE THREAD, DIE!

--
*
* This Space For Hire
*

102. Re: Rude old N5PVL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-10 10:41:17 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <hrxT9.65$vf.93392999@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4349

"Pete Ritter" <cpritter@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:5LqT9.303944$qF3.37114@sccrnsc04...
> DIE THREAD, DIE!
>
> --
> *
> * This Space For Hire
> *

Yes, I agree that the thread is getting tired. William stands alone in the group with his "opinion", and has demonstrated that fact and logic have no effect upon him. It is probably best to ignore him from this point on, he doesn't really deserve the respect required to reply to his repetitious ranting.

I believe that there is still much to discuss about RadioMirror, but that can wait for another time, after William has had an opportunity to drift off and Troll some other discussion.

In the meantime, for those who are interested in RadioMirror, there is a RadioMirror support forum at:
http://www.uspacket.net where the discussion leans more toward technical and practical issues.

Charles, N5PVL

103. APRS Chronic Failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-11 22:59:12 UTC
From: xkecho@lycos.com
Message-ID: <451d4bc5.0301111459.4fbf2a82@posting.google.com>
Source record: 4350

Well, if at first you don't succeedï¿½ I have been trying to get an APRS station up and running for a little over 1 ï¿½ years now without success. The equipment consists of a Kantronics KPC 3 +, a Yaesu FT-50RD, a Radio Shack HTX-202, Diamond omni antenna at 25 feet and various computers. I registered a copy of WinAPRS and am running that on a 1.2 GHz, Windows 98 SE, 40 Gig HD, 256 Megs RAM machine. Everything runs fine except nobody can decode my packets. The red transmit light comes on, the HT sends out a packet (I can monitor that on a different HT) but all it amounts to is a burp of noise. The only way I can know for sure what the problem might be is to observe my signal on a spectrum analyzer or to check the deviation with a deviation meter (both are specialized types of test equipment that not even the Tennessee Technology Center has ever had access to).
I am left with trying hundreds of different settings on the KPC-3+ as a kind of "shot in the dark" approach to hitting the right combination. So far, in going on 2 years now, I haven't accidentally hit the right settings.
When I listen to packets coming in on 144.390, I notice that mine sounds different, but without being able to see the signal, there's not much any way to determine what is out of adjustment. The same situation exists with both the Yaesu Ft-50 RD and the HTX-202. The TNC was successfully operated with a Kenwood 261A mobile at last year's field day, but the HTs have not worked for the most part. Two days ago, one fellow acknowledged one of my packet messages, but he was one of 3 people who have ever been able to see my station on their display. Try as I might, it didn't happen again. (Must have been a fluke) I'm not quite ready to buy a $12,000 piece of test equipment to set the configuration on the TNC, so I guess I'll just play around with it from time to time when there is nothing else to do. HI HI. I would advise anyone considering APRS not to invest heavily in it unless there is someone around locally with some nice test gear to get it properly adjusted unless you are content to just listen and observe the activity that is going on. 73, Justin

104. Re: APRS Chronic Failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-11 23:32:10 UTC
From: Alan Cranston <acranston33@cox.net>
Message-ID: <_P1U9.5180$H76.335877@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 4351

"Justin Time" <xkecho@lycos.com> wrote
> Well, if at first you don't succeed. I have been trying to get an
> APRS station up and running for a little over 1 ½ years now without
> success.

That is a sad story!

> The equipment consists of a Kantronics KPC 3 +, a Yaesu
> FT-50RD, a Radio Shack HTX-202, Diamond omni antenna at
> 25 feet and various computers.

Should be good.

> Everything runs fine except nobody can decode my packets. The red
> transmit light comes on, the HT sends out a packet (I can monitor that
> on a different HT) but all it amounts to is a burp of noise.

Start with very weak noise, and then increase the deviation at the
TNC until there is the same level as a packet you can decode.

> The only way I can know for sure what the problem might be is
> to observe my signal on a spectrum analyzer or to check the deviation
> with a deviation meter

FAR circuits sells a circuit board that you can populate and hook up
to a radio shack 15 volt meter and the discriminator output of a scanner
for a cheap $20 FM deviation meter.

> I am left with trying hundreds of different settings on the KPC-3+
> as a kind of "shot in the dark" approach to hitting the right
> combination.

Make sure your TNC txdelay is on the order of 40 ms. If you have
no txdelay, then most systems have trouble decoding. Also make
sure it's not too long (35 to 40 milliseconds is perfect).

> When I listen to packets coming in on 144.390, I notice that mine
> sounds different

Make yours sound the same loudness and purity. If you can't,
try another radio (not a handheld).

> I would advise anyone considering APRS not to invest heavily in it
> unless there is someone around locally with some nice test gear to get
> it properly adjusted unless you are content to just listen and observe
> the activity that is going on. 73, Justin

I would recommend that newcomers NOT use WinAPRS, as it is
very very expensive. Try something like UI-View-32 and save the
big money for your funeral.

105. Re: APRS Chronic Failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-12 00:05:17 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.51.0301120003070.12275@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 4352

On Sat, 11 Jan 2003, Alan Cranston wrote:
>...
>Make sure your TNC txdelay is on the order of 40 ms. If you have
>no txdelay, then most systems have trouble decoding. Also make
>sure it's not too long (35 to 40 milliseconds is perfect).

I concur that this may be the problem, but 40ms is way too short. 400ms should be long enough for every radio.

(Did Mr. Cranston forget that "txdelay 40" means 400ms, not 40ms? Remember that most TNC's have this in steps of 10ms.)

106. Re: List of Mac Ham Radio Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-12 00:05:51 UTC
From: "Terrence R. Redding" <terry@oltraining.com>
Message-ID: <3E20B15F.2020900@oltraining.com>
Source record: 4353

Jeff Davis wrote:
> In article <7cTK9.53314$842.19039@news1.fdn.com>, James Nipper wrote:
>
>>Wow, do some folks suffer from severe paranoria !!!!!!!
>
>
> Especially amateur radio operators ... guess they have never heard about
> QRZ.COM or any of a dozen other online callbooks that not only list
> their name and address, but with a click, you can get a detailed roadmap
> of how to drive to their house...
>
> Let the paranoid beware and NOT get into something as public as amateur radio.
>
Our friend K participates in the newsgroup without name or call sign.
Tis one of the beauties of the Internet.

But it does then make understanding a communications service that prides
itself in being recognized - a bit difficult to understand

Terry

107. Re: APRS Chronic Failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-12 00:18:14 UTC
From: Roger Barker <roger@peaksys.co.uk>
Message-ID: <umdYHLAGRLI+EwX6@peaksys.co.uk>
Source record: 4354

In article <_P1U9.5180$H76.335877@news1.east.cox.net>, Alan Cranston
<acranston33@cox.net> writes
[snip]
>
>Start with very weak noise, and then increase the deviation at the
>TNC until there is the same level as a packet you can decode.

Good advice.

[snip]

>Make sure your TNC txdelay is on the order of 40 ms. If you have
>no txdelay, then most systems have trouble decoding. Also make
>sure it's not too long (35 to 40 milliseconds is perfect).

I would guess that most of the rigs I have used over the years I have
been active on packet would not transmit a decodable frame with a TX
delay of 40 msec. Given that we're talking about an HT, I would have
thought that 400 msec was a better starting point.

--
Roger Barker, G4IDE - roger@peaksys.co.uk
For UI-View go to - http://www.UI-View.com
For WinPack go to - http://www.peaksys.co.uk

108. Re: APRS Chronic Failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-12 01:26:50 UTC
From: Alan Cranston <acranston33@cox.net>
Message-ID: <uv3U9.5752$H76.371240@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 4355

"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote
>
> (Did Mr. Cranston forget that "txdelay 40" means 400ms, not 40ms?

Obviously! :-)

109. FS: MANUAL for Kantronics KPC3 Plus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-12 03:14:51 UTC
From: "Benjamin, KB9LFZ" <KB9LFZ@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <3e20d9d7$1_4@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 4356
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Have here a manual for a Kantronics KPC3 upfor grabs.
>
> Good Condition for the exception of some kind of stain on bottom
> right side.. about the size of a 50cent piece.
>
> Just asking $5 and whatever it costs to get it shipped to the
> address your provide.
>
> Email me with your interest and we'll go from there.
>
> Respectfully,
> ~Benjamin
> de KB9LFZ {arrl.net}

110. WTB: Ramsey WEFAX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-12 03:36:42 UTC
From: "Benjamin, KB9LFZ" <KB9LFZ@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <3e20def6$1_2@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 4357
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Good day to all!

Looking for some Ramsey WEFAX weather satellite reception equipment.
I'm not sure all what came with the orignal package when it was bought
new from Ramsey, but as far as I remember I should be looking for the
weather receiver and the PC card.

if anything, links to where I could build my own 136-138MHz 45KHz wide-
bandwidth receiver would also be good.

Appreciate it!

~Benajmin
de KB9LFZ {arrl.net}

111. Re: FS: MANUAL for Kantronics KPC3 Plus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-12 07:08:05 UTC
From: Bruce Lane <SpammersAreVermin@dev.nul>
Message-ID: <MPG.188ab43313e670389896cc@192.168.42.131>
Source record: 4358
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

In article <3e20d9d7$1_4@news.teranews.com>, KB9LFZ@arrl.net says...

> Have here a manual for a Kantronics KPC3 upfor grabs.
> >
> > Good Condition for the exception of some kind of stain on bottom
> > right side.. about the size of a 50cent piece.
> >
> > Just asking $5 and whatever it costs to get it shipped to the
> > address your provide.

Ya know, I almost hate to do this, but I can't resist. Those
considering paying for a manual in already-admitted questionable
condition should first take a very close look at this link.

http://www.kantronics.com/downloads.htm

Scroll down a bit, and you'll find links for the manuals for all
the standard Kantronics VHF/UHF products, in .PDF format, freely
available to download.

73 de KC7GR

--
Bruce Lane, Owner and Head Hardware Heavy,
Blue Feather Technologies -- http://www.bluefeathertech.com
Amateur Radio: KC7GR (Formerly WD6EOS) since 12-77.
kyrrin (a/t) bluefeathertech dot-com (Reassemble to use)
"I'll get a life when someone demonstrates to me it would be superior to
what I have now..." (Taki Kogoma)

112. Re: APRS Chronic Failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-12 09:58:18 UTC
From: Bob <amibobmoreornot@holypacifierbatman.com>
Message-ID: <3E213C3A.2B3CCC1D@holypacifierbatman.com>
Source record: 4359

>I would recommend that newcomers NOT use WinAPRS,
>as it is very very expensive. Try something like
>UI-View-32 and save the >big money for your funeral.

None of the APRS programs cost anything to download and use. The
only expense related to software is for registration, if you want
to be able to save your settings for next time you run the program.
I used WinAPRS for about 1 1/2 years before I registered it.

Have you been able to decode other people's packets? Do you see
other stations on the map? Another thing that can have an effect
on other people seeing your packets relates to what kind of path
you are using, although WinAPRS has a default path it uses.

I don't know what part of the country you're in because you didn't
include a callsign in your message, otherwise I might be able to
refer you to an APRS group in your area for someone that could help.
In the meantime, check the pages at www.nwaprs.org. There are some
recommended tnc & program settings there for home and mobile stations.

Bob, KB7ADO

=DO NOT USE REPLY BUTTON=
reply to: bobmore at pacifier dot com

113. Re: APRS Chronic Failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-12 13:38:23 UTC
From: "J. Duffy Beischel" <duffyb01@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <3E216FCF.9020009@fuse.net>
Source record: 4360

Sounds like you are over-deviating your signal. Turn the audio down on the KPC.

Second, I concur, get UI-View, better program, better support and only $15 (US).

Justin Time wrote:
> Well, if at first you don't succeedï¿½ I have been trying to get an
> APRS station up and running for a little over 1 ï¿½ years now without
> success. The equipment consists of a Kantronics KPC 3 +, a Yaesu
> FT-50RD, a Radio Shack HTX-202, Diamond omni antenna at 25 feet and
> various computers. I registered a copy of WinAPRS and am running that
> on a 1.2 GHz, Windows 98 SE, 40 Gig HD, 256 Megs RAM machine.
> Everything runs fine except nobody can decode my packets. The red
> transmit light comes on, the HT sends out a packet (I can monitor that
> on a different HT) but all it amounts to is a burp of noise. The only
> way I can know for sure what the problem might be is to observe my
> signal on a spectrum analyzer or to check the deviation with a
> deviation meter (both are specialized types of test equipment that not
> even the Tennessee Technology Center has ever had access to).
> I am left with trying hundreds of different settings on the KPC-3+
> as a kind of "shot in the dark" approach to hitting the right
> combination. So far, in going on 2 years now, I haven't accidentally
> hit the right settings.
> When I listen to packets coming in on 144.390, I notice that mine
> sounds different, but without being able to see the signal, there's
> not much any way to determine what is out of adjustment. The same
> situation exists with both the Yaesu Ft-50 RD and the HTX-202. The
> TNC was successfully operated with a Kenwood 261A mobile at last
> year's field day, but the HTs have not worked for the most part. Two
> days ago, one fellow acknowledged one of my packet messages, but he
> was one of 3 people who have ever been able to see my station on their
> display. Try as I might, it didn't happen again. (Must have been a
> fluke) I'm not quite ready to buy a $12,000 piece of test equipment to
> set the configuration on the TNC, so I guess I'll just play around
> with it from time to time when there is nothing else to do. HI HI. I
> would advise anyone considering APRS not to invest heavily in it
> unless there is someone around locally with some nice test gear to get
> it properly adjusted unless you are content to just listen and observe
> the activity that is going on. 73, Justin

114. APRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-12 14:51:21 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <JhfU9.93$gm4.92290096@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4361

All this talk about APRS has had its effect on me. Yesterday at the club hamfest, a ham there had an APRS setup for sale, and it ended up going home with me. I have monitored the local APRS digis in the past, but now I have a dedicated setup so I can do it full time.

MFJ 1270c, Pyramid 7 amp power supply, Regency HR-28 w/proper cyrstals, 120 MHz Hyperbook laptop w/Win95 and TFT video, all for 80 bucks.

There is enough information in recent posts here to get UIVIEW set up properly. Right now I'm just monitoring the packets with Hyperterminal.

Charles, N5PVL

115. Re: FS: MANUAL for Kantronics KPC3 Plus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-12 15:04:29 UTC
From: Alan Cranston <acranston33@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1ufU9.8485$H76.599795@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 4362
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

"Bruce Lane" <SpammersAreVermin@dev.nul> wrote
> KB9LFZ@arrl.net says...
> > Have here a manual for a Kantronics KPC3 upfor grabs.
>
> Ya know, I almost hate to do this, but I can't resist. Those
> considering paying for a manual in already-admitted questionable
> condition should first take a very close look at this link.
>
> http://www.kantronics.com/downloads.htm

The only problem with that link, is there are no KPC-3 manuals
listed. There's a KPC-3+, which a completely different animal :-)

116. Re: Rude old N5PVL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-12 16:01:46 UTC
From: "William H. O'Hara, III" <whoohara@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns93017060CA0CCAlphaCentauriAAAHAWK@65.100.3.61>
Source record: 4363

> Yes, I agree that the thread is getting tired. William stands alone in
> the group with his "opinion", and has demonstrated that fact and logic
> have no effect upon him. It is probably best to ignore him from this
> point on, he doesn't really deserve the respect required to reply to
> his repetitious ranting.

No. It is not opinion but fact. Everyone on the list knows it. Several
quotes from the regulations have been cited. You still refuse to quit.
Broadcasting to the public is illegal. I will be around all the time.
I can guarantee it. I didn't see you in the logs for the special event
station that I was at yesterday. I was checking the logs while operating.
Perhaps you should try amateur radio instead of your broadcasting.

> I believe that there is still much to discuss about RadioMirror, but
> that can wait for another time, after William has had an opportunity
> to drift off and Troll some other discussion.
>
> In the meantime, for those who are interested in RadioMirror, there is
> a RadioMirror support forum at:
> http://www.uspacket.net where the discussion leans more toward
> technical and practical issues.
>
> Charles, N5PVL
>
>
>

117. Which ham radio is ideal for packet radio??? please read

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-12 20:47:02 UTC
From: jessedelapaz@ilovejesus.net
Message-ID: <90942201.0301121247.2230679e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 4364

Which ham radio is ideal for packet radio? ive never heard of this till now, sounds great. can surf the net through packet radio??

118. Re: Which ham radio is ideal for packet radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-12 21:17:16 UTC
From: Alan Cranston <acranston33@cox.net>
Message-ID: <wXkU9.9014$H76.718628@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 4365

"Jesse" <jessedelapaz@ilovejesus.net> wrote
> Which ham radio is ideal for packet radio? ive never heard of this
> till now, sounds great. can surf the net through packet radio??

Everyone I know went out and bought a Yaesu 1500 2 meter rig.
These have a nifty DIN plug on the back for 1200 and 9600 baud
(direct FM) and work well. They do get hot though, as the heat sink
is the case. I have to hold mine, like a dead squirrel, by the power
cable when I take it out of the truck.

We have a secret 9600 baud frequency we've run for years, and
basically modified the scrambler PN code off the normal value. No
one has ever found it yet. Basically you won't have any fun surfing
the net with packet radio though. Most web sites have advanced
multimedia, or no one even stops by anymore. The naked girl
sites I go to, are all megabytes in size (and look better than any
of the damned women I've hooked-up with in real-life), or the
CD download sites, etc.

There's not many HTML-only sites left, unless you are a party to
the unwashed...

As soon as TAPR gets a few dollars in the bank, maybe they will
sell us some vocoder boards with the surface-mount chips already
soldered on (us advanced and blind old people need all the help
we can get), and then we can mix APRS and voice through the
9600 baud digital repeater.

Alan

119. Re: Which ham radio is ideal for packet radio??? please read

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-12 22:23:50 UTC
From: "John E. Malmberg" <wb8tyw@qsl.network>
Message-ID: <WVlU9.82275$VA5.11997864@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 4366

Jesse wrote:
> Which ham radio is ideal for packet radio?

For 1200 baud, just about any radio. If you intend to sit on one particular frequency such as a DX cluster, APRS, or another local channel, than an old rockbound set can be good.

The major thing is that if you have a dedicated packet station, it could be interfered with or cause interference with your other radios on the same frequency.

> ive never heard of this till now, sounds great.

At my previous QTH, packet radio worked well until phone modems for 33Kb became cheap, then activity went down hill.

The good side of this is that you can put together a dedicated 2M 1200 baud packet station cheap. For APRS mobile, a GPS can be the major expense.

9600 baud requires either a radio designed to handle it, or you must modify the radio. The modifications do not look difficult, but I have not tried them.

I understand that you can also run 1200 baud packet on 10M, and 300 Baud on lower frequencies, but AMTOR and other digital modes seem more popular.

For VHF and higher with digital modes, it is best that they be done as a local team effort. Otherwise, the only thing you may be able to reach is satellites and shuttles.

I did work the MIR space station a few times. :-)

There are also higher speeds that have been done in some areas.

> can surf the net through packet radio??

If you are in range of a packet to internet gateway. However many ISP aggrements prohibit setting up a gateway. 1200 baud is a bit slow.

I knew of hams that use packet radio for their e-mail gateway to the Internet at my old QTH.

At my new QTH in Milford NH, I can pick up the APRS, and DX clusters, but no bulletin boards, and the only digipeater (WNDHM1) I can pick up is at the edge of my range, and seems to be in continuous use sending out DX information.

[As I compose this, I just picked up a beacon from a closer station N1NH, so maybe I will be able to reach it with my temporary setup]

I have currently jury-rigged an indoor antenna.

I have not detected any 9600 baud activity yet in this area.

If I can find a way to reliably reach the New England FLEX-NET, then I will set up my computer to act like a BBS. The TOS of my ISP prohibit making it a gateway to the Internet though.

-John
wb8tyw@qsl.network
Personal Opinion Only

120. Re: APRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-13 04:50:57 UTC
From: Bill Vodall <wa7nwp@pioneernet.net>
Message-ID: <eah42v44u00s0rrfgvhr10fm1gqii5avq8@4ax.com>
Source record: 4367

On Sun, 12 Jan 2003 14:51:21 GMT, "charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>All this talk about APRS has had its effect on me.....
>There is enough information in recent posts here to get UIVIEW set up
>
>Charles, N5PVL
>

Let us know when you get the system up and running. It'll be fun
to send you a Hello.

73,
Bill, WA7NWP

121. Re: APRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-13 05:48:36 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <TqsU9.579$Dp7.302050432@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4368

"Bill Vodall" <wa7nwp@pioneernet.net> wrote in message
news:eah42v44u00s0rrfgvhr10fm1gqii5avq8@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 12 Jan 2003 14:51:21 GMT, "charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
> wrote:
>
> >All this talk about APRS has had its effect on me.....
> >There is enough information in recent posts here to get UIVIEW set up
> >
> >Charles, N5PVL
> >
>
> Let us know when you get the system up and running. It'll be fun
> to send you a Hello.
>
> 73,
> Bill, WA7NWP

I am just monitoring local VHF APRS activity, Bill, so there wouldn't be any way to chat through my APRS setup. I don't transmit right now on the APRS freq, and if I wanted to chat with you on the Internet, I could always - chat with you on the Internet! - and not slow down the process by adding packet radio to one end or the other, to no particular point.

For that reason, I wouldn't use the APRS messaging system, even if I were sending out an APRS beacon. Right now I'm still learning the software, so I'm not on the air. When I do get on the air, you'll be able to see my little icon. If you want to chat over the Internet for some reason, look up my e-mail address at QRZ.

When I want to chat across the country via Ham Radio, I like psk31 best, but sometimes I play with Packet, PACTOR and RTTY. I bought an old RTTY controller at a hamfest last weekend, just to fool around with. The soundcard stuff for RTTY is great, but I like playing around with specialized ham gear too. Ham Radio is a great hobby. Lots of fun.

Charles, N5PVL

122. PSK31 Realtime monitor via Internet in DL!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-13 11:09:31 UTC
From: Andre Vaupel <dl4qb@darc.de>
Message-ID: <L7xU9.2591705$6N5.340811@post-03.news.easynews.com>
Source record: 4369
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,uk.radio.amateur

Hi!

There is a new realtime psk31 monitor available at
http://www.hamradio-portal.com/psk !

Watch propagation or your own signal on 20m at 14.070 Mhz !

Please use on the JAVA Applet below the RED color checkbox to get a zoom to

14.070 and don't mind about the right scale ! If you use the red checkbox
you're defently

on 14.070 Mhz.

This monitoring is available 24h every day...ocator is JO31SQ / Germany

Have fun !

Andre

http://www.hamradio-portal.com

123. Re: Rude old N5PVL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-13 13:54:12 UTC
From: Mark Allread <mallread@flatsurface.com>
Message-ID: <oprixx8mdxbsorsk@news.chartermi.com>
Source record: 4370

On Sun, 12 Jan 2003 16:01:46 +0000 (UTC), William H. O'Hara, III
<whoohara@yahoo.com> wrote:

> Several
> quotes from the regulations have been cited. You still refuse to quit.
> Broadcasting to the public is illegal.

Absolutely incorrect. The FCC rules specifically allow broadcasting of certain types of transmissions. The ARRL makes use of this provision when it broadcasts code practice sessions and information bulletins. This has previously been pointed out to you, but you have ignored it, apparently because the facts don't support your world view (or your troll, as the case may be).

The relevent section, 97.113(b), reads "An amateur station shall not engage in any form of broadcasting, nor may an amateur station transmit one-way communications EXCEPT AS SPECIFICALLY PROVIDED IN THESE RULES" (emphasis added).

97.111(b) states: "(b) In addition to one-way transmissions specifically authorized elsewhere in this Part, an amateur station may transmit the following types of one-way communications...Transmissions necessary to assisting persons learning, or improving proficiency in, the international Morse code;... Transmissions necessary to disseminate information bulletins..."

124. digital sound ((storage device))

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-13 19:43:26 UTC
From: jenstine2500@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <bd9e8882.0301131143.10c76fc4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 4371

Last week i bought this Universal Smart Drive in Best Buy.
This device uses flash memory technology to store data and music files.

http://www.universalsmartdrive.net

this seems to be one of the online store partners.
http://www.itave.com

125. MFJ-1278B any freeware?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-14 03:52:51 UTC
From: Richard Brittingham <rbritt@gohip.com>
Message-ID: <99272vc2vrr8nu9qapu94liqgae7lfe72k@4ax.com>
Source record: 4372

Hi, I bought a used MFJ-1278B. Does anyone know of any free or low cost shareware programs I can use for primariy AMTOR? I know about soundcard software and have lots. I am only looking for MFJ-1278 TNC software. I dont wanna use Multicomm as I have a ham and MARS call and understand once you put your callsign on it you can't use the other easily.

Any suggestions???

Thanks

Richard W4MCD

126. Packet Radio at www.TEARA.org

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-15 01:53:06 UTC
From: Tweek <tweek@2vulcans.net>
Message-ID: <3E24BF02.57E0FBD9@2vulcans.net>
Source record: 4373

Have a look at the packet radio section on our club website at
www.TEARA.org . Click on [Packet Radio], from the main menu. We've
dedicated a whole section to APRS, DXCluster, Packet BBS, SEDAN, and
NOS.

73,
Dave WB4IUY

127. using a Sun Sparc system for packet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-15 07:54:39 UTC
From: nospam@w6yx.stanford.edu
Message-ID: <b0343vrhs1@news.Stanford.EDU>
Source record: 4374

A long time ago (July 1997), Marco S Hyman wrote:
> Anyway, any recommendations for good TNCs? Buy? Kit? Build from
> scratch? Should I look at one TNC for both HF and VHF/UHF or
> consider two? Are DSP based units better, or just different?
> I am NOT interested in software -- I do NOT use DOS, Win, or Win95.
> I run a Sun Sparc and am looking forward to writing my own software
> to speak to the TNC. That's where part of my enjoyment comes from.

Later on, Thomas Sailer replied to
> It depends on how much software you want to write :-)
> Your Sparc already has a Z8530 builtin, eg. it already has
> what PC users buy with an SCC card :-) I assume it would be
> quite easy to: connect a baycom ser12 or a DF9IC (or G3RUH)
> modem to the SCC; write a driver for an AX.25 stack such as
> NOS or WAMPES, using the HDLC driver in Solaris (see man zsh).

In reply to the same article by Marco, Gary Coffman alwo replied:
> If you have a Sparc, and are prepared to write software, you don't need a TNC.
> A TNC is a modem plus PAD plus serial terminal driver. The Sparc can do the
> PAD (Packet Assembly Disassembly) function, can handle all protocol issues,
> and you don't want to waste it as a mere terminal emulator anyway. So all you
> need is a modem. That can be as simple as a single chip or as sophisticated
> as the WA4DSY 56kb RF modem.
>
> (Note, there's now a version of Linux for the Sparc. That has packet drivers
> already built in. If you don't want to give up Solaris, you can at least use the
> Linux code as a template for the driver you write for Solaris.)

Solaris actually has a driver (in the form of a streams module) to do HDLC,
with the checksum insertion and checking. Given that nice start, I got out the
text editor, and started to write some code.

I quickly discovered that solaris seems pretty brain-dead about how to deal
with clock sources. They only allow the digital phase locked loop source for
the TRANSMIT clock, and then only if the receive clock is from an external
signal (not the baud rate generator).

Try "syncinit zsh1 speed=1200 nrzi=yes txc=baud rxc=pll" to see the error.

Of course, for packet, using the baud rate generator for transmit and the
phase locked loop for receive is the useful configuration.

Given that it is now 5 and 1/2 years later, has anyone written any solaris
code to actually make this work in some way? Has anyone other than me tried?

Alan

wa6azp

128. Switching audio inputs to PC for DSP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-15 08:08:34 UTC
From: zeppss <zeppss@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <5G8V9.58598$Dn.10804@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 4375

I have started to use a 166 MHz PC with cheap soundcard for some DSP, filtering, etc. I want to be able to switch input sources, either scanner or HF rig. Looking for suggestions on what kind of switch will handle this, and will do it cleanly to avoid damage to the soundcard. I have tried toggle power switches, with bad results.

129. ARRL proposes High Speed MultiMedia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-15 15:47:13 UTC
From: Bob Wilkins k1ooo <k1ooo@cave.org>
Message-ID: <v2b0k1qtrrj70e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 4376

Is this the shot in the arm we need to boost activity on 2.4 GHz?

ARRL put up a web page at http://www.arrl.org/hsmm/ to highlite a survey
done by the ARRL Technology Task Force.

Using cheap commercial off the shelf wireless WiLan technology, amateurs
could take back IEEE 802.11b channel 6 using modified and optimised firmware
for operation under Part 97.

The 44 net could see a lot of new activity!

Let the debates begin......

Bob k1ooo at home in the Northeast Kingdom of Vermont

130. Re: ARRL proposes High Speed MultiMedia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-15 20:00:00 UTC
From: Dana Myers K6JQ <k6jq@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <3E25BDC0.1070600@arrl.net>
Source record: 4377

Hoo-boy, Charles and Hank - read what the ARRL is suggesting.

;-)

Dana

Bob Wilkins k1ooo wrote:
> Is this the shot in the arm we need to boost activity on 2.4 GHz?
>
> ARRL put up a web page at http://www.arrl.org/hsmm/ to highlite a survey
> done by the ARRL Technology Task Force.
>
> Using cheap commercial off the shelf wireless WiLan technology, amateurs
> could take back IEEE 802.11b channel 6 using modified and optimised firmware
> for operation under Part 97.
>
> The 44 net could see a lot of new activity!
>
> Let the debates begin......
>
> Bob k1ooo at home in the Northeast Kingdom of Vermont
>
>
>
>
>

131. Re: RadioShack Equipment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-15 21:10:46 UTC
From: Willis J Wood <wjwood@sasktel.net>
Message-ID: <3E25CE56.5FFA98AB@sasktel.net>
Source record: 4378

I doubt that there is much choice in the radios listed, I have had a
radio shack htx---212 in my van for some time and no problems except
cannot remember how to program frequencies and have to resort to the
book but it always worked even in the cold.
Could you tell me how to post a for sale item on the web site. I have a
1278 tnc with pactor mailbox memory expansion called mfj a/b/c and
it is another board that fits on top of the motherboard.. I have not
used it fore some time and maybe someone could make use of it as I never
will.
Thank You.
Bill located Estevan Saskatchewan.

Chetan Bhargava wrote:

> Hi,
>
> I'm planning to buy a starter 2m mobile. How good are Radio Shack 2m
> mobiles? I have two options
> 1. RadioShack HTX-212
> 2. Kenwood TM-201B
> 3. RadioShack HTX-242
>
> I guess Kenwood has low RF output.
>
> Thanks.
>
> Chet

132. Re: ARRL proposes High Speed MultiMedia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-15 23:51:44 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.51.0301152341580.14669@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 4379

On Wed, 15 Jan 2003, Bob Wilkins k1ooo wrote:
>Is this the shot in the arm we need to boost activity on 2.4 GHz?
>
>ARRL put up a web page at http://www.arrl.org/hsmm/ to highlite a survey
>done by the ARRL Technology Task Force.
>
>Using cheap commercial off the shelf wireless WiLan technology, amateurs
>could take back IEEE 802.11b channel 6 using modified and optimised firmware
>for operation under Part 97.
>
>The 44 net could see a lot of new activity!
>
>Let the debates begin......
>
>Bob k1ooo at home in the Northeast Kingdom of Vermont

For them to claim to take the lead on this is what I object to.

There are already plenty of wireless lan groups which have amateur radio operators among their ranks:

Seattle Wireless (Washington State)
Sydney Wireless (Australia)

In Los Angeles, the head of the "Los Angeles Wireless Users Group" is a licensed amateur.

In my own endeavours, I personally have a wireless lan card with an outdoor antenna and mast mounted amp (bringing me up to the maximum part 15 limit on power) attached to the same computer as my TNC's - but I'm still waiting for a friend on an opposing hilltop across the basin that is between us to set up his side (he has acquired the equipment - just hasn't put it together). We're 35km from each other. While waiting for him, my system has already been pinged by a wardriver or two and/or I have successfully connected to other WLANs (e.g. LAX airport, which is in our direct line - within the -3dB point of our directional antennas' primary lobe.)

For now, I choose to stay within part 15 (although barely) limits so that I don't have the content restrictions or ID requirements of part 97. It also allows anyone to connect, licensed or not.

133. Re: ARRL proposes High Speed MultiMedia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-15 23:54:31 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <XwmV9.38$wi4.18623668@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4380

"Dana Myers K6JQ" <k6jq@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:3E25BDC0.1070600@arrl.net...
> Hoo-boy, Charles and Hank - read what the ARRL is suggesting.
>

Howdy, Dana!

I saw one thing I hadn't seen before:

"The HSMM may consider asking the FCC for a STA to allow operation of up to
10 watts output with no automatic power control (APC). "

Sounds like a good idea. The amplifiers, they say, are expensive and the one
they want is not available with APC.

Otherwise though...

Am I supposed to be excited? Remember, TAPR is deeply involved in the
project. Historically, that has been the "kiss of death" for the projects
associated with them for over 15 years now. The "yuppity yups" in TAPR will
scramble to get as much recognition for the deal as they can right now,
because they know what will be happening later.

They will mightily blow the smoke screen, as usual. When it eventually
doesn't fly the way they envision now, they will blame it all on those
stupid, lazy, cheap ham radio operators. They always come down to that in
the end; Running down their fellow hams.

Did you read the editorial in CQ magazine this month, by the way? The guy
was running down hams, as much as accusing them of being luddites because
they don't already all use digital voice stuff instead of SSB. Never mind
that there are only two VHF digital voice rigs available, and they are
incompatable and can't talk to each other because there isn't even a
standard system at this point. We will have to wait out another protocol war
for that, I suppose. Meanwhile the jerk at CQ had the nerve to act disgusted
about those stupid, luddite hams. People like that can kiss my hiney, Dana.
We don't need that kind of noise in the amateur radio community from CQ
magazine, TAPR, or anybody else. It's contrary to the spirit of the hobby,
and does nothing to bring it forward.

I hope that having the ARRL involved will lend some stability to the
project, but instead I imagine the ARRL will let TAPR run the show, and TAPR
does not have a track record that instills confidence.

Charles, N5PVL
webmaster@uspacket.net
http://www.uspacket.net

134. Re: ARRL proposes High Speed MultiMedia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-16 00:00:31 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <zCmV9.39$vv4.19273860@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4381

"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:Pine.LNX.4.51.0301152341580.14669@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org...
>
> For them to claim to take the lead on this is what I object to.
>

I do too, but for a different reason. My objection is that they are very likely to drop the ball. They always do.

You are right though, about the publicity hunger. TAPR is desperate to appear relevant right now. They'll take whatever credit they can, wherever they can. I wouldn't be surprised to hear then pull an Al Gore, claiming credit for the work of others.

Charles, N5PVL

135. Re: using a Sun Sparc system for packet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-16 01:39:43 UTC
From: Marco S Hyman <marc@snafu.org>
Message-ID: <x7r8bdq3ds.fsf@hana.snafu.org>
Source record: 4382

nospam@w6yx.stanford.edu (Alan) writes:

> A long time ago (July 1997), Marco S Hyman wrote:

Wow, that's digging up some newsgroup dregs.... One thing of note:
I still have the sparc in question, but these days it's my mail/web
server instead of my desktop workstation.

> Given that it is now 5 and 1/2 years later, has anyone written any solaris
> code to actually make this work in some way? Has anyone other than me
> tried?

Not that I'm aware of. At that time I was probably running sunos,
although I could have already switched to OpenBSD.

My solution at the time was to pick up a cheap TNC and put it in kiss
mode, talking to the tnc over a standard serial port. AX.25 wasn't
that difficult to decode, especially since I was mainly interested
in APRS.

// marc (KC7JL)

136. Re: using a Sun Sparc system for packet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-16 02:51:47 UTC
From: Dana Myers K6JQ <k6jq@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <3e261a86$1_7@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 4383

Marco S Hyman wrote:

> My solution at the time was to pick up a cheap TNC and put it in kiss
> mode, talking to the tnc over a standard serial port. AX.25 wasn't
> that difficult to decode, especially since I was mainly interested
> in APRS.

I've had a few Solaris boxes over the years; for the longest time
I had a Solaris x86 box, but the last year or two have been using
an old Ultra 1/170.

I haven't played with it in ages, but I built a streams-driver
for AX.25 IP; you'd open a serial port, push a KISS-module onto
it, then push the ax25 multiplexor. After that I'd ifconfig
up the interface with standard tools. The only things that didn't
work well were:

1) Snoop. It couldn't handle that it wasn't getting ethernet frames,
but the mode worked.

2) ARP. The arp module retry time-out is short (500mS) and not
configurable, so the system would flood the channel with a stream
of ARP requests until the other guy got a word in edgewise.

The only real tricks were:

1) Encoding the AX25 address as 6-byte 'Ethernet'-like addresses
for the sake of ARP.

2) Re-writing the Ethernet ARP frames to-and-from AX25 ARP frames.

I was briefly tempted to see if I could write a module to push
onto the HDLC port on a SPARC, but ran into the same issues and
lost interest.

Dana K6JQ
k6jq@arrl.net

137. Re: ARRL proposes High Speed MultiMedia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-16 06:20:00 UTC
From: n5hyh@qrz.net
Message-ID: <3e264b6f.99198112@news.gte.net>
Source record: 4384

On Wed, 15 Jan 2003 23:51:44 GMT, "D. Stussy"
<kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote:

>On Wed, 15 Jan 2003, Bob Wilkins k1ooo wrote:
>>Is this the shot in the arm we need to boost activity on 2.4 GHz?
>>
>>ARRL put up a web page at http://www.arrl.org/hsmm/ to highlite a survey
>>done by the ARRL Technology Task Force.
>>
>>Using cheap commercial off the shelf wireless WiLan technology, amateurs
>>could take back IEEE 802.11b channel 6 using modified and optimised firmware
>>for operation under Part 97.
>>
>>The 44 net could see a lot of new activity!
>>
>>Let the debates begin......
>>
>>Bob k1ooo at home in the Northeast Kingdom of Vermont
>
>For them to claim to take the lead on this is what I object to.
>
>There are already plenty of wireless lan groups which have amateur radio
>operators among their ranks:
>
> Seattle Wireless (Washington State)
> Sydney Wireless (Australia)
>
>In Los Angeles, the head of the "Los Angeles Wireless Users Group" is a
>licensed amateur.
>
>In my own endeavours, I personally have a wireless lan card with an outdoor
>antenna and mast mounted amp (bringing me up to the maximum part 15 limit on
>power) attached to the same computer as my TNC's - but I'm still waiting for a
>friend on an opposing hilltop across the basin that is between us to set up his
>side (he has acquired the equipment - just hasn't put it together). We're 35km
>from each other. While waiting for him, my system has already been pinged by a
>wardriver or two and/or I have successfully connected to other WLANs (e.g. LAX
>airport, which is in our direct line - within the -3dB point of our
>directional antennas' primary lobe.)
>
>For now, I choose to stay within part 15 (although barely) limits so that I
>don't have the content restrictions or ID requirements of part 97. It also
>allows anyone to connect, licensed or not.

Hi,

I have been watching with interest the WiFi City Networking efforts in Seattle and other places.
I have lots of questions that I don't see any answers yet.
What will make my AP operating under Part 97 different than the Part 15 Guy down the street?

Are we going to have to modify the WiFi protocol to keep Joe WebSurfer off my Ham Radio or do I simply LOCK the MAC addresses of the other Hams into my AP?

Example:
Since I have a couple of 8dB antennas and mast head amp's and want want to play Ham HSMM, under Part 97
How should I ID? SSID = n5hyh.ampr.org ?
What about IP Numbers, should I use my Old TCP/IP Number?
Would this be the Right Net 44.76.2.76/24 ? (n5hyh.ampr.org)

See I want to play but I don't know the Rules?

Ron
N5HYH

138. PSKFEST!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-16 06:45:24 UTC
From: n3dqu@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030116014524.20927.00000006@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 4385

Just a reminder that the Second Annual PSKFest will be held 18 January 2003,
0000Z-2400Z. For more info see < http://www.podxs.com/html/pskfest.html >
....73 de Jay N3DQU.

139. Re: using a Sun Sparc system for packet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-16 06:59:51 UTC
From: News Account <none@none.org>
Message-ID: <HLsV9.431$u12.376026@news.alltel.net>
Source record: 4386

Check out http://www.baycom.org/~tom/ham/soundmodem/

73's

Don Woodward
KD4APP
AMSAT 33535
http://kd4app.webhop.org

140. Re: ARRL proposes High Speed MultiMedia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-16 07:31:26 UTC
From: "S. Sampson" <k5okc@cox.net>
Message-ID: <idtV9.5810$qU2.3227@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 4387

"Ron Cole" <n5hyh@qrz.net> wrote
>
> What will make my AP operating under Part 97 different than the Part
> 15 Guy down the street?

More power, More interference to Part-15 users, less legal content.
Putting everyone on the same channel is stupid.

> Are we going to have to modify the WiFi protocol to keep Joe WebSurfer
> off my Ham Radio or do I simply LOCK the MAC addresses of the other
> Hams into my AP?

Depending on users to lock out non-hams would be a losing battle,
you would have to change the protocol, or use an encryption layer
other than WEP.

> Since I have a couple of 8dB antennas and mast head amp's and want
> want to play Ham HSMM, under Part 97

Can't do it, amps producing over 1 Watt ERP have to have power control.
ARRL digital team dreamed this up, and the FCC rubber stamped it.

> How should I ID? SSID = n5hyh.ampr.org ?

Since everyone in the network must have the same SSID, that wouldn't
work. Current ID rules would apply (morse, voice, or specified data code).

> What about IP Numbers, should I use my Old TCP/IP Number?
> Would this be the Right Net 44.76.2.76/24 ? (n5hyh.ampr.org)

You could. Use whatever you want (10 net, 192.168 net, 172 Net, etc)
Only the router nodes need to be coordinated.

> See I want to play but I don't know the Rules?

It's been 2 years since the ARRL started the proposal, so there are still
at least 8 more years to go before they finally issue a report.

141. Re: FS: MANUAL for Kantronics KPC3 Plus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-16 17:53:32 UTC
From: James W <jamesw@trlp.com>
Message-ID: <3E26F19C.5060306@trlp.com>
Source record: 4388
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Also note... the manual is ~300 pages... what's that going to cost you
in paper and toner, assuming you like hard copy manuals?
Alan Cranston wrote:
> "Bruce Lane" <SpammersAreVermin@dev.nul> wrote
>
>>KB9LFZ@arrl.net says...
>>
>>> Have here a manual for a Kantronics KPC3 upfor grabs.
>>
>>Ya know, I almost hate to do this, but I can't resist. Those
>>considering paying for a manual in already-admitted questionable
>>condition should first take a very close look at this link.
>>
>>http://www.kantronics.com/downloads.htm
>
>
> The only problem with that link, is there are no KPC-3 manuals
> listed. There's a KPC-3+, which a completely different animal :-)
>
>

142. help with pro-tools and glyph equitment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-16 18:49:44 UTC
From: shawn bishop <wolfey@madbbs.com>
Message-ID: <b06r1t01ojp@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 4389

anyone out there exp with this equitment

143. help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-16 18:57:36 UTC
From: shawn bishop <wolfey@madbbs.com>
Message-ID: <b06rgi01p84@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 4390

need some help setting up some stuff and light tech help

144. JNOS and PK-900

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-16 21:50:45 UTC
From: "Michael J. Donohue" <mdonohue@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <o3ae2v4cjevnffqpt33u27hjaaqj4rudtb@4ax.com>
Source record: 4391

Hi:
I am trying to setup Jnos to work with my PK-900 and I am having
mega problems. My original setup had a PK-232MBX in which every
thing worked fine but can't get it to work with a PK-900. Has anyone
experienced this problem or better yet does anyone have a Autoexec.Nos
that they can share with me. Tnx.

73 De Mike
VE3DMJ

145. Re: n5pvl?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-17 00:50:08 UTC
From: perry chamberlain <kato@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3E275340.4030003@qnet.com>
Source record: 4392

Are you still smoking crack charley, don't know you, don't want to.
I'm sure this response was some attempt at loser door knob satire, my
post was directed towards some one we both know, whom you were tearing
to pieces.
It got sent to the news groups by accident.
please take your lithium.
Now fuck off and go away

--
Perry Chamberlain
Kato@qnet.com
Liv'n on de Edge n de Desert
Everyone has someone in their family who is crazy,
if you don't know who it is, its you!

146. re horedson@att.net and n5pvl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-17 00:52:55 UTC
From: perry chamberlain <kato@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3E2753E7.8000509@qnet.com>
Source record: 4393

Please don't encourage this idiot.
Your showing your trailer park roots
Now fuck off and die.

--
Perry Chamberlain
Kato@qnet.com
Liv'n on de Edge n de Desert
Everyone has someone in their family who is crazy,
if you don't know who it is, its you!

147. Re: ARRL proposes High Speed MultiMedia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-17 01:48:45 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.51.0301170127450.172@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 4394

```
On Thu, 16 Jan 2003, Ron Cole wrote:
>On Wed, 15 Jan 2003 23:51:44 GMT, "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote:
>>On Wed, 15 Jan 2003, Bob Wilkins k1ooo wrote:
>>>Is this the shot in the arm we need to boost activity on 2.4 GHz?
>>>
>>>ARRL put up a web page at http://www.arrl.org/hsmm/ to highlite a survey
>>>done by the ARRL Technology Task Force.
>>>
>>>Using cheap commercial off the shelf wireless WiLan technology, amateurs
>>>could take back IEEE 802.11b channel 6 using modified and optimised firmware
>>>for operation under Part 97.
>>>
>>>The 44 net could see a lot of new activity!
>>>
>>>Let the debates begin......
>>>
>>>Bob k1ooo at home in the Northeast Kingdom of Vermont
>>
>>For them to claim to take the lead on this is what I object to.
>>
>>There are already plenty of wireless lan groups which have amateur radio
>>operators among their ranks:
>>
>> Seattle Wireless (Washington State)
>> Sydney Wireless (Australia)
>>
>>In Los Angeles, the head of the "Los Angeles Wireless Users Group" is a
>>licensed amateur.
>>
>>In my own endeavours, I personally have a wireless lan card with an outdoor
>>antenna and mast mounted amp (bringing me up to the maximum part 15 limit on
>>power) attached to the same computer as my TNC's - but I'm still waiting for a
>>friend on an opposing hilltop across the basin that is between us to set up his
>>side (he has acquired the equipment - just hasn't put it together). We're 35km
>>from each other. While waiting for him, my system has already been pinged by a
>>wardriver or two and/or I have successfully connected to other WLANs (e.g. LAX
>>airport, which is in our direct line - within the -3dB point of our
>>directional antennas' primary lobe.)
>>
>>For now, I choose to stay within part 15 (although barely) limits so that I
>>don't have the content restrictions or ID requirements of part 97. It also
>>allows anyone to connect, licensed or not.
>
>Hi,
```

>
>I have been watching with interest the WiFi City Networking efforts in
>Seattle and other places.
>I have lots of questions that I don't see any answers yet.
>What will make my AP operating under Part 97 different than the Part
>15 Guy down the street?

I don't know (other than exceeding part 15's power limits).

>Are we going to have to modify the WiFi protocol to keep Joe WebSurfer
>off my Ham Radio or do I simply LOCK the MAC addresses of the other
>Hams into my AP?

I haven't encountered that problem yet. WEP, with a "public key" of "ampr.org" might be helpful. I have compiled my Linux kernel (since that's the OS the WLAN card is on) with IPTables filter on MAC address, but since my friend isn't on the air yet, when unattended, I keep the wlan0 interface down. However, note that since I'm not exceeding the part 15 limits, part 97 does NOT exclusively apply to my station, and that means that my system can talk with "Joe WebSurfer's" or "Joe Wardriver's". One of my considerations is to deny WLAN connections the right to forward over my in-house ethernet to my other gateway - where my modem to the rest of the Internet is. MY TNC's only forward "44-net" to "44-net" anyway.

>Example:
>Since I have a couple of 8dB antennas and mast head amp's and want
>want to play Ham HSMM, under Part 97
>How should I ID? SSID = n5hyh.ampr.org ?

Via whatever constitutes a plain-text ID over WLAN stuff. That may mean adding a packet (with WEP disabled) every 10 minutes to the stream.

>What about IP Numbers, should I use my Old TCP/IP Number?
>Would this be the Right Net 44.76.2.76/24 ? (n5hyh.ampr.org)

That is up to your local ampr.org IP coordinator. In my area, there will be a separate subnet assignment.

>See I want to play but I don't know the Rules?

No special rules.

148. Re: n5pvl?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-17 03:38:11 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <DUKV9.191$iC1.109861151@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4395

"perry chamberlain" <kato@qnet.com> wrote in message
news:3E275340.4030003@qnet.com...
>
> Are you still smoking crack <snip more drooling idiocy>

Well no thanks to you, Perry, I was able to get some new chinese auralias last week, though they are not nearly as nice as the ones you destroyed. If you are a ham (which I doubt) you should be ashamed of bringing this matter to this newsgroup. It's already cost me much more than twenty dollars to replace those plants. You should count yourself lucky that I was able to convince my wife that your "boo-boo" was due more to lack of ability than malice. I reminded her several times that you never did represent yourself as being an experienced landscaper, and that we really should have asked for references.

Don't let the matter trouble you, Perry. It's all water under the bridge, as far as I am concerned. Just move on and try to be more careful on the next job. I'm sure you will get the hang of it in no time, and will do just fine. I guess you know by now that if you run across something that looks like a really big and ugly weed in somebody's flowerbed, it's probably a treasured, exotic plant.

Take it easy!

Charles, N5PVL

149. Re: using a Sun Sparc system for packet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-17 07:25:01 UTC
From: Dana Myers K6JQ <k6jq@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <3e27ac08$1_3@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 4396

What does this even remotely have to do with a SPARC system?

News Account wrote:
> Check out http://www.baycom.org/~tom/ham/soundmodem/
>
> 73's
>
> Don Woodward
> KD4APP
> AMSAT 33535
> http://kd4app.webhop.org
>
>
>

150. Re: using a Sun Sparc system for packet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-17 12:16:17 UTC
From: News Account <none@none.org>
Message-ID: <luSV9.526$u12.517059@news.alltel.net>
Source record: 4397

He wants to use his SPARC for (Amaatuer/HAM) packet radio.

73's
Don Woodward
KD4APP
AMSAT 33535
http://kd4app.webhop.org

"Dana Myers K6JQ" <k6jq@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:3e27ac08$1_3@news.teranews.com...
>
> What does this even remotely have to do with a SPARC system?
>
> News Account wrote:
> > Check out http://www.baycom.org/~tom/ham/soundmodem/
> >
> > 73's
> >
> > Don Woodward
> > KD4APP
> > AMSAT 33535
> > http://kd4app.webhop.org
> >
> >
> >
>

151. Re: using a Sun Sparc system for packet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-17 15:24:08 UTC
From: Dana Myers K6JQ <k6jq@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <3e281c51$1_8@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 4398

Right; the link you sent - using a PC soundcard for packet -
has nothing to do with a SPARC, which isn't a PC and doesn't
have a PC soundcard and doesn't have PC slots and doesn't
have an Intel CPU (it has a SPARC CPU, Sun's own
RISC architecture) and doesn't run Windows or DOS (it
runs Solaris, Sun's flavor of System V Unix). It simply
does not apply.

There's a slight chance someone might be interested in
porting a Linux sound-card package onto Solaris x86,
which does run on some standard PC hardware, but that's
not what's being discussed here. We were dicussing the
work it would take to make use of the serial port provided
in a standard SPARC workstation for packet (HDLC).

73
Dana K6JQ

News Account wrote:
> He wants to use his SPARC for (Amaatuer/HAM) packet radio.
>
> 73's
> Don Woodward
> KD4APP
> AMSAT 33535
> http://kd4app.webhop.org
>
>
> "Dana Myers K6JQ" <k6jq@arrl.net> wrote in message
> news:3e27ac08$1_3@news.teranews.com...
>
>>What does this even remotely have to do with a SPARC system?
>>
>>News Account wrote:
>>
>>>Check out http://www.baycom.org/~tom/ham/soundmodem/

152. Re: using a Sun Sparc system for packet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-17 16:02:39 UTC
From: Dana Myers K6JQ <k6jq@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <3e28255a$1_5@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 4399

Dana Myers K6JQ wrote:
>
> Right; the link you sent - using a PC soundcard for packet -
> has nothing to do with a SPARC

Oops! I'm wrong! This link does point at a soundcard
driver ported to Solaris SPARC and running on /dev/audio.
Of course, it only provide a KISS stream, so you have
to integrate with an AX.25 stack.

Sorry about that!
Dana

153. Re: using a Sun Sparc system for packet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-17 16:45:32 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen <nielsen@remove_this.oz.net>
Message-ID: <b09bvc$60j$0@216.39.144.81>
Source record: 4400

There is a SPARC flavor of Linux and Tom's soundmodem software has been
ported to it (the Debian distribution, at least, also has soundmodem
software for Alpha, ARM, HPPA-RISC, IA64, M68000, MIPS, PPC and S/390
in addition to x86). I don't know what compatible sound hardware is
available for these, however.

73, Bob N7XY

On Fri, 17 Jan 2003 07:24:08 -0800, Dana Myers K6JQ wrote:
>
> Right; the link you sent - using a PC soundcard for packet -
> has nothing to do with a SPARC, which isn't a PC and doesn't
> have a PC soundcard and doesn't have PC slots and doesn't
> have an Intel CPU (it has a SPARC CPU, Sun's own
> RISC architecture) and doesn't run Windows or DOS (it
> runs Solaris, Sun's flavor of System V Unix). It simply
> does not apply.
>
> There's a slight chance someone might be interested in
> porting a Linux sound-card package onto Solaris x86,
> which does run on some standard PC hardware, but that's
> not what's being discussed here. We were dicussing the
> work it would take to make use of the serial port provided
> in a standard SPARC workstation for packet (HDLC).
>
> 73
> Dana K6JQ
>
>
> News Account wrote:
>> He wants to use his SPARC for (Amaatuer/HAM) packet radio.
>>
>> 73's
>> Don Woodward
>> KD4APP
>> AMSAT 33535
>> http://kd4app.webhop.org
>>
>>
>> "Dana Myers K6JQ" <k6jq@arrl.net> wrote in message
>> news:3e27ac08$1_3@news.teranews.com...
>>
>>>What does this even remotely have to do with a SPARC system?
>>>
>>>News Account wrote:

>>>
>>>>Check out http://www.baycom.org/~tom/ham/soundmodem/
>
>

154. Re: Rude old N5PVL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-18 02:01:02 UTC
From: "William H. O'Hara, III" <whoohara@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9306D602BE315AlphaCentauriAAAHAWK@65.100.3.61>
Source record: 4251

> certain types of transmissions. The ARRL makes use of this provision
> when it broadcasts code practice sessions and information bulletins.
> This has previously been pointed out to you, but you have ignored it,
> apparently because the facts don't support your world view (or your
> troll, as the case may be).

Why don't you read the part, where it says broadcasting with intent?
N5PVL has declared about how he actually wanted to broadcast data to
the public. The data did not fall under any exceptions.

He wanted to provide equipment for the receiving end. This demonstrated
his intent. Information bulletins for emergency communications are
bulletins for the futherance of amateur radio. Keep reading the rules,
and you might get them correct.

> The relevent section, 97.113(b), reads "An amateur station shall not
> engage in any form of broadcasting, nor may an amateur station
> transmit one-way communications EXCEPT AS SPECIFICALLY PROVIDED IN
> THESE RULES" (emphasis added).
>
> 97.111(b) states: "(b) In addition to one-way transmissions
> specifically authorized elsewhere in this Part, an amateur station may
> transmit the following types of one-way communications...Transmissions
> necessary to assisting persons learning, or improving proficiency in,
> the international Morse code;... Transmissions necessary to
> disseminate information bulletins..."
>

155. Re: re horedson@att.net and n5pvl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-18 02:02:44 UTC
From: "William H. O'Hara, III" <whoohara@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9306D64CB44E6AlphaCentauriAAAHAWK@65.100.3.61>
Source record: 4252

perry chamberlain <kato@qnet.com> wrote in news:3E2753E7.8000509@qnet.com:

> Please don't encourage this idiot.
> Your showing your trailer park roots
> Now fuck off and die.

They get their jollies out of posting every day about
what ham radio is. Except they don't even know the
rules.

Bill

156. Re: PSKFEST!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-18 10:38:54 UTC
From: Andre Vaupel <dl4qb@darc.de>
Message-ID: <29aW9.872178$%W4.123517@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 4253
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,uk.radio.amateur

Hi!

...and if you're received in DL /EU on 20m, you can check this in realtime
at:

http://www.hamradio-portal.com/psk

"N3DQU" <n3dqu@aol.com> schrieb im Newsbeitrag
news:20030116014524.20927.00000006@mb-fy.aol.com...
> Just a reminder that the Second Annual PSKFest will be held 18 January
2003,
> 0000Z-2400Z. For more info see < http://www.podxs.com/html/pskfest.html >
> ....73 de Jay N3DQU.

157. William H. O'Hara, III

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-18 12:05:23 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <7qbW9.93$6h5.80426084@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4254

I did a little checking, and found out that the guy is not even a ham.

He doesn't identify himself because he is a Troll, of course, and because he doesn't have an amateur radio liscense.

Charles, N5PVL

158. Re: Rude old N5PVL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-18 13:16:45 UTC
From: Mark Allread <mallread@flatsurface.com>
Message-ID: <opri65t7rlbsorsk@news.chartermi.com>
Source record: 4255

On Sat, 18 Jan 2003 02:01:02 +0000 (UTC), William H. O'Hara, III
<whoohara@yahoo.com> wrote:

>> certain types of transmissions. The ARRL makes use of this provision
>> when it broadcasts code practice sessions and information bulletins.
>> This has previously been pointed out to you, but you have ignored it,
>> apparently because the facts don't support your world view (or your
>> troll, as the case may be).
>
> Why don't you read the part, where it says broadcasting with intent?
> N5PVL has declared about how he actually wanted to broadcast data to
> the public. The data did not fall under any exceptions.

You're still claiming that the ARRL does not intend it's transmissions to
be received by the general public, I see. That demonstrates quite clearly
the validity (and rationality) of the rest of your thoughts.

159. Re: William H. O'Hara, III

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-18 13:28:22 UTC
From: Mark Allread <mallread@flatsurface.com>
Message-ID: <opri66dklqbsorsk@news.chartermi.com>
Source record: 4256

On Sat, 18 Jan 2003 12:05:23 GMT, charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote:

> I did a little checking, and found out that the guy is not even a ham.
>
> He doesn't identify himself because he is a Troll, of course, and because
> he
> doesn't have an amateur radio liscense.

http://www.eham.net/user/profile/KB1IUB

There's a picture here: http://buck.com/hampics/B/KB1IUB.jpg

He's a tech, and has had his license less than 6 months, which in his mind
qualifies him as an expert. LOL!

FYI, you won't find "me" as a ham, either. This email address is used as a
spam
attractor. I'm and extra, and was first licensed in the early '70's.

160. Re: William H. O'Hara, III

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-18 16:18:32 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <s7fW9.423$AB4.349825074@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4257

"Mark Allread" <mallread@flatsurface.com> wrote in message
news:opri66dklqbsorsk@news.chartermi.com...
>
> He's a tech, and has had his license less than 6 months, which in his mind
> qualifies him as an expert. LOL!

I've known some new hams who were really on the ball. Inexperience is nothing to be ashamed of, as long as you are ready, willing and able to learn.

William seems to be missing out on either the ready, the willing, or the able part... That's a shame.

So he decided to be a Troll, hardly what you could call an asset to the hobby. Hopefully he will grow into being a better ham. - Or take a hike.

Charles, N5PVL

161. hello has anyone talked to wb2mmo latley

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-19 00:06:03 UTC
From: shawn bishop <wolfey@madbbs.com>
Message-ID: <b0cmao01fdt@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 4258

```
if so post me ok
```

162. ham radio shows

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-19 02:52:52 UTC
From: kevin d <kdubose@nc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <8qoW9.77755$Mb.2418759@twister.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 4259

I live in Raleigh,NC area and am interested in attending a ham radio show.
any ideas how I can find out where to find one somewhat local to the Raleigh
area?
Kevin

163. Re: ham radio shows

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-19 03:02:19 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@ctc.net>
Message-ID: <lyGdnSvIjpJBvrejXTWcqg@ctc.net>
Source record: 4261

"kevin d" <kdubose@nc.rr.com> wrote in message
news:8qoW9.77755$Mb.2418759@twister.southeast.rr.com...
> I live in Raleigh,NC area and am interested in attending a ham radio show.
> any ideas how I can find out where to find one somewhat local to the
Raleigh
> area?
> Kevin
>

Look here
http://www.sera.org/journal.html

Catawba Valley/Morganton ..... April 19, 2003 page 7
Charleston SC ..... February 1, 2003
page 14
Charlotte ..... March 8-9, 2003
page 11
Dayton BUS ..... May 15-18, 2003
page 20
Firecracker/Salisbury NC ..... July 12, 2003 page
45
FrostFest/Richmond ..... February 9, 2003 page
12
Gainesville GA ..... July 12, 2003
page 43
JARS/Benson ..... November 17, 2003 page 1
Kerbela/Knoxville ..... March 1, 2003
page 54
RARSfest/Raleigh ..... April 13, 2003
page 14
Shelby ..... August 30-31, 2003
page 17
Winston-Salem .....June, 2003
page 57
>

164. Re: ham radio shows

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-19 03:12:31 UTC
From: James Nipper <jnipper@nospam.fdn.com>
Message-ID: <xGoW9.61461$842.21524@news1.fdn.com>
Source record: 4260

You will find helpful info here:

http://www.arrl.org/hamfests.html

73 de Jim K4PYT

165. Re: ham radio shows

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-19 07:01:35 UTC
From: Bruce Lane <SpammersAreVermin@dev.nul>
Message-ID: <MPG.1893ed2950c55d6d9896e8@192.168.42.131>
Source record: 4262

In article <8qoW9.77755$Mb.2418759@twister.southeast.rr.com>,
kdubose@nc.rr.com says...

> I live in Raleigh,NC area and am interested in attending a ham radio show.
> any ideas how I can find out where to find one somewhat local to the Raleigh
> area?

Check http://www.arrl.org for a list of ham clubs in your area.
Contact said club. Any of the members who do the ham swap meet circuit
will most likely know what's local and worth going to.

73 de KC7GR.

--
Bruce Lane, Owner and Head Hardware Heavy,
Blue Feather Technologies -- http://www.bluefeathertech.com
Amateur Radio: KC7GR (Formerly WD6EOS) since 12-77.
kyrrin (a/t) bluefeathertech dot-com (Reassemble to use)
"I'll get a life when someone demonstrates to me it would be superior to
what I have now..." (Taki Kogoma)

166. Re: Rude old N5PVL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-19 18:06:29 UTC
From: "William H. O'Hara, III" <whoohara@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9308858FB9742AlphaCentauriAAAHAWK@65.100.3.61>
Source record: 4263

>> Why don't you read the part, where it says broadcasting with intent?
>> N5PVL has declared about how he actually wanted to broadcast data to
>> the public. The data did not fall under any exceptions.
>
> You're still claiming that the ARRL does not intend it's transmissions
> to be received by the general public, I see. That demonstrates quite
> clearly the validity (and rationality) of the rest of your thoughts.
>

Look. You can make the assumption. I am not talking about the ARRL.
The ARRL is sending out information bulletins and items of use to the
amateur community. IT is not a broadcasting station transmitting items of
use to the general public. Don't put words on my mouth based on your
speculation and assumptions. Perhaps if you read the regulations without
all your speculation, you might follow the rules. ARRL's activities are
within the regs. N5PVL's broadcasting to local law enforcement is totally
outside regs.

167. Re: William H. O'Hara, III

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-19 18:13:11 UTC
From: "William H. O'Hara, III" <whoohara@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns930886B2F8FDCAlphaCentauriAAAHAWK@65.100.3.61>
Source record: 4264

>
> I've known some new hams who were really on the ball. Inexperience is
> nothing to be ashamed of, as long as you are ready, willing and able
> to learn.
>
> William seems to be missing out on either the ready, the willing, or
> the able part... That's a shame.
>
> So he decided to be a Troll, hardly what you could call an asset to
> the hobby. Hopefully he will grow into being a better ham. - Or take a
> hike.

You are the troll. I've used radio equipment for a long time. I have also worked in a public broadcasting station. I also read those "regulations" that are so conveniently ignored by you. You are so fast to jump the gun that you thought I was not even licensed. You are always out making decisions without any consideration. Just think about how many of prior statements were wrong. N5PVL, I have displayed my callsign several times. Why don't you even read the regulations? I would like to let you just because someone agrees with you, doesn't mean you are right.

My original post was about how you are a horrible person along with another individual. You do nothing to help others and spam like a troll on any question posted on this newsgroup. Lots of people are watching you. I have received many email comments about the lack of your civilty. I have also received in the messages about how you don't know anything about radio and I should ignore you.

You are not on the ball. You are only interested in boosting your ego.

Bill

168. Re: William H. O'Hara, III

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-19 18:37:22 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <CfCW9.119271$hK4.9671892@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 4265

"William H. O'Hara, III" <whoohara@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns930886B2F8FDCAlphaCentauriAAAHAWK@65.100.3.61...
> >
> > I've known some new hams who were really on the ball. Inexperience is
> > nothing to be ashamed of, as long as you are ready, willing and able
> > to learn.
> >
> > William seems to be missing out on either the ready, the willing, or
> > the able part... That's a shame.
> >
> > So he decided to be a Troll, hardly what you could call an asset to
> > the hobby. Hopefully he will grow into being a better ham. - Or take a
> > hike.
>
> You are the troll. I've used radio equipment for a long time. I have also
> worked in a public broadcasting station. I also read those "regulations"
> that are so conveniently ignored by you. You are so fast to jump the gun
> that you thought I was not even licensed. You are always out making
> decisions without any consideration. Just think about how many of prior
> statements were wrong. N5PVL, I have displayed my callsign several times.
> Why don't you even read the regulations? I would like to let you just
> because someone agrees with you, doesn't mean you are right.
>
> My original post was about how you are a horrible person along with another
> individual.

Coy, oh so coy.
What have you done to advance Ham Radio?

> You do nothing to help others and spam like a troll on any
> question posted on this newsgroup. Lots of people are watching you. I
> have received many email comments about the lack of your civilty. I have
> also received in the messages about how you don't know anything about radio
> and I should ignore you.
>
> You are not on the ball. You are only interested in boosting your ego.

--

... Hank

Chaos, panic, and disorder - my work here is done.

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

169. Re: Rude old N5PVL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-19 19:46:08 UTC
From: Mark Allread <mallread@flatsurface.com>
Message-ID: <opri9ii6wvbsorsk@news.chartermi.com>
Source record: 4266

On Sun, 19 Jan 2003 18:06:29 +0000 (UTC), William H. O'Hara, III
<whoohara@yahoo.com> wrote:

ARRL's activities are
> within the regs. N5PVL's broadcasting to local law enforcement is
> totally outside regs.

Well, you're consistently inconsistent.

Part 97 defines broadcasting as "Transmissions intended for
reception by the general public, either direct or relayed."

"Local law enforcement" is obviously not the "general public,"
so such transmissions would not be, by definition, " broadcasting."
It is obvious that you're confused about the rules spelled out in Part 97.

170. Re: William and Charles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-19 20:49:46 UTC
From: Bill Vodall <wa7nwp@pioneernet.net>
Message-ID: <8c3m2vo8u6j08n5qj3uhpvcr9c1i7nbpkd@4ax.com>
Source record: 4267

On Sun, 19 Jan 2003 18:13:11 +0000 (UTC), "William H. O'Hara, III"
<whoohara@yahoo.com> wrote:

>>
>You are not on the ball.
>
>Bill
>

Charles has a colorful personality, and I'm sure we'd agree that we disagree very strongly about certain aspects of digital communications. However, on the topic of using Amateur Radio for one-to-many transmissions (multicasting of data), Charles is very much on the ball.

Conventional radio is a one-to-many mode. The use of it for one-to-one communications on a shared channel as the early BBS's did was not a great idea to start with.

Charles vision, with a few tweaks, of disseminating useful information to MANY fellow amateur stations at the same time is a very good thing.

73,
Bill - WA7NWP

171. feb 2003 qst article on internet linking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-19 20:58:44 UTC
From: g3zhi@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3e2c1134.4197341@news.btinternet.com>
Source record: 4268

http://www.qsl.net/g3zhi/qst.pdf

qst article on internet linking

73 ian

http://www.qsl.net/g3zhi - many ham radio links

United Kingdom Internet Radio Linking Project

http://www.ukirlp.co.uk http://www.irlp.co.uk http://www.irlp.net

I usually monitor G4NJI node 520 simplex 145.2875mhz and GB3DV node
513 repeater 433.025mhz

Ian Abel G3ZHI
52 Hollytree Ave
Maltby
Rotherham
Yorkshire
S66 8DY

Tel: 01709 799911
Mobile 0776 481 3363

172. File transfer with Packet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-19 21:14:12 UTC
From: Aaron Cardon <aaroncardon@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <myEW9.52068$Yq3.9891@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 4269

Hello,

I'm very new to packet radio and I need to be pointed in the right direction. So far, I've been able to connect two computers together using Hyper Terminal along with my Kenwood TS-2000 (base station) and Kenwood TH-D7 (HT). Passing text back and forth seems to work well, but I would also like to transfer files.

Can someone point me to some software that will fascilitae data communications beyond sending text?

Thanks in advance!

Aaron Cardon
KD7QHW

173. Re: William and Charles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-19 22:41:55 UTC
From: "William H. O'Hara, III" <whoohara@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9308B442AB667AlphaCentauriAAAHAWK@65.100.3.61>
Source record: 4270

> Conventional radio is a one-to-many mode. The use of it for
> one-to-one communications on a shared channel as the early BBS's did
> was not a great idea to start with.
>
> Charles vision, with a few tweaks, of disseminating useful information
> to MANY fellow amateur stations at the same time is a very good thing.

I agree with you. There is nothing wrong with multicast protocols. I
was interested and following such protocols and streaming protocols many
years ago in wired networking.

However, N5PVL has talked about sending to non amateur

bill

174. File transfer with Packet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-19 22:55:48 UTC
From: Joseph Fenn <jfenn@lava.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.BSI.4.44.0301191254430.7754-100000@malasada.lava.net>
Source record: 4272

I believe you need something called "yapp" for binary file transfers via
packet radio.
Joe (aka kilroy) ABM6JF

****
* Ham KH6JF AARS/MARS ABM6JF QCWA WW2 VET WD RADIO *
****

175. Re: William H. O'Hara, III

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-19 22:56:47 UTC
From: "William H. O'Hara, III" <whoohara@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9308B6C7D9ED1AlphaCentauriAAAHAWK@65.100.3.61>
Source record: 4271

>> My original post was about how you are a horrible person along with
>> another individual.
>
> Coy, oh so coy.
> What have you done to advance Ham Radio?

What have you done?

Even if you were to perform some act that you will blow out of porportion,
where do you get the right to lambast the poor fellow that asked a question
in the first place?

176. Re: William H. O'Hara, III

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-20 01:16:48 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <46IW9.119954$hK4.9708376@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 4273

"William H. O'Hara, III" <whoohara@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns9308B6C7D9ED1AlphaCentauriAAAHAWK@65.100.3.61...
> >> My original post was about how you are a horrible person along with
> >> another individual.
> >
> > Coy, oh so coy.
> > What have you done to advance Ham Radio?
>
> What have you done?

If you don't know, then don't bother to post to this group.

Anyway ... has been fun feeding the ignorant troll, bye fer now!

--

... Hank

Chaos, panic, and disorder - my work here is done.

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

177. Re: William and Charles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-20 01:21:03 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <3aIW9.119964$hK4.9707388@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 4274

"William H. O'Hara, III" <whoohara@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns9308B442AB667AlphaCentauriAAAHAWK@65.100.3.61...
> > Conventional radio is a one-to-many mode. The use of it for
> > one-to-one communications on a shared channel as the early BBS's did
> > was not a great idea to start with.
> >
> > Charles vision, with a few tweaks, of disseminating useful information
> > to MANY fellow amateur stations at the same time is a very good thing.
>
> I agree with you. There is nothing wrong with multicast protocols. I
> was interested and following such protocols and streaming protocols many
> years ago in wired networking.
>
> However, N5PVL has talked about sending to non amateur
>

Actually he did not talk about SENDING TO non-amateurs.
He talked about benefits non-amateurs might get from those transmissions.
It is perfectly legal for non-amateurs to listen to amateur transmissions.
Several folks quoted the appropriate portions of Part 97.

The issue of multicast is totally different ... since the recipients
would be amateurs. Benefit might acrue to non-amateurs were
they to listen to the multicast transmissions (note: transmissions,
not broadcasts, amateurs do not broadcast) but that is no
problem, since it is legal for them to listen.

This stuff ain't all that hard :-)

--

... Hank

Chaos, panic, and disorder - my work here is done.

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

178. Re: William and Charles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-20 04:08:59 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <vDKW9.672$ky7.159686708@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4275

"Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
news:3aIW9.119964$hK4.9707388@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
>
> The issue of multicast is totally different ... since the recipients
> would be amateurs. Benefit might acrue to non-amateurs were
> they to listen to the multicast transmissions (note: transmissions,
> not broadcasts, amateurs do not broadcast) but that is no
> problem, since it is legal for them to listen.
>
> This stuff ain't all that hard :-)
>

It's true that I am a lot more comfortable with fiction than I am with technical articles. I just went through the RadioMirror article with a search 'n replace, and found out that every instance of the word "broadcast" could reasonably be replaced with either "transmission" or "multicast", depending upon the context. When I was finished, the article read much better and was more to the point. A five minute job, including updating the server.

Thanks!

Charles, N5PVL

179. Re: File transfer with Packet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-20 08:19:54 UTC
From: "Jamie R. Dean" <W4JRD@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <73cn2v87429vjitsn36p52vcp3n8vfg5m5@4ax.com>
Source record: 4276

Aaron,
Look for a piece of software called paKet 6.1 or 6.2 It has the
ability to do file transfers and makes it easy to do them. It is a DOS
program but is tooled to work under Windows. paKet also has a built in
BBS that allows other to conenct to you while your not there and leave
you msgs or send you a file..

73,
Jamie R. Dean - W4JRD
PAKET E-MAIL..: W4JRD@NC4CV.#NWT.NC.USA.NOAM

On Sun, 19 Jan 2003 21:14:12 GMT, "Aaron Cardon"
<aaroncardon@attbi.com> wrote:

>Hello,
>
>I'm very new to packet radio and I need to be pointed in the right
>direction. So far, I've been able to connect two computers together using
>Hyper Terminal along with my Kenwood TS-2000 (base station) and Kenwood
>TH-D7 (HT). Passing text back and forth seems to work well, but I would
>also like to transfer files.
>
>Can someone point me to some software that will fascilitae data
>communications beyond sending text?
>
>Thanks in advance!
>
>Aaron Cardon
>KD7QHW
>

180. Re: DSP book

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-20 11:39:41 UTC
From: JS <wd1v@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <wd1v-FC9904.06394220012003@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 4277

In article <ajaj2vgl6o2c9pmvi672ackh5hdcff385d@4ax.com>,
w7ti@dslextreme.com wrote:

> Looking for a copy of the ARRL publication
> Digital Signal Processing Technology -- Essentials of the
> Communications Revolution by Doug Smith, KF6DX
>
> Please email condition and price to:
>
> w7ti@dslextreme.com
>
> Thanks,
>
> Bill, W7TI

A nice freebie is at

http://www.dspguide.com/

73,

WD1V
Scope FAQ http://www.qsl.net/wd1v

181. Wanjina?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-20 13:09:55 UTC
From: Thomas Gibson <tegibson@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <WtSW9.2882$W3.236653@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 4278

I've visited
VK2ZTO's<http://www.zeta.org.au/~ollaneg/exp_modes/wahifer.htm> page but
it seems he hasn't been active with it for a while. Just curious if
anybody has good links to simlilar work? This topic really interests me.

Thanks in advance,
Tom

182. Re: William and Charles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-20 14:17:28 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <YxTW9.189$XV4.266214588@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4279

"Howard Goldstein" <hgoldste@mpcs.com> wrote in message
news:1043068079.22554@news.queue.to...
> On Mon, 20 Jan 2003 01:21:03 GMT, Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net> wrote:
> : This stuff ain't all that hard :-)
>
> ISTM a problem (besides its vaporware status, but if you've got a hand
> in it I expect that obstacle will be easily overcome) is it being
> served up by such an unpalatable individual. It's not like the
> well-tested concept's being touted by someone both intelligent and
> nasty, sassy and mean. Wayne Green's talents were rare.

...and here's Howard to present the TAPR viewpoint. Zero content, with an amateurish attempt at a personal attack being the main "point" put forward. Howard made no attempt to bring the discussion forward because that was not his intent.

This is what many hams have come to expect from TAPR. Protocol wars, elitism, and the "not invented here" syndrome.

I suppose you understand, Howard old buddy, that you can take your TAPR attitude and stick it where the sun don't shine. It is contrary to the spirit of the hobby, (though typical of TAPR) and as TAPR's track record so amply demonstrates, your attitude does nothing to advance or support the hobby.

Charles, N5PVL
webmaster@uspacket.net
http://www.uspacket.net

183. Re: William and Charles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-20 15:48:44 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <wTUW9.120700$hK4.9776237@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 4280

"Howard Goldstein" <hgoldste@mpcs.com> wrote in message
news:1043068079.22554@news.queue.to...
> On Mon, 20 Jan 2003 01:21:03 GMT, Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net> wrote:
> : This stuff ain't all that hard :-)
>
> ISTM a problem (besides its vaporware status, but if you've got a hand
> in it I expect that obstacle will be easily overcome) is it being
> served up by such an unpalatable individual. It's not like the
> well-tested concept's being touted by someone both intelligent and
> nasty, sassy and mean. Wayne Green's talents were rare.

I have very little continuing interest in the digital modes.

No longer working on any projects, shutting down the existing
links as the gear dies. There is too little interest from the general
ham radio population to make it worth my time and effort.

--

... Hank

Chaos, panic, and disorder - my work here is done.

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

184. Re why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-20 18:54:33 UTC
From: Michael Jilcote <martialartist@burntmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns93098EE63E232martialartistburntma@209.189.89.243>
Source record: 4281

Ahhh more children at play...no intelligence.
no wonder ham radio is a dead hobby....all of the people
from the cb world have got their "tickets" and are on the USENET...
why ?? who knows......i don't think any one knows, in other words,
obviously there isn't enough intelligence about packet radio for anyone
to give clear and concise answers, that is why all of those people went
into attack mode......

185. Re: File transfer with Packet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-20 19:25:05 UTC
From: Aaron Cardon <aaroncardon@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <l2YW9.66088$hl1.6687@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 4282

Thanks Jamie! Thats exactly the kind of direction that I needed. I'll give it a try.

I would also appreciate any other software recommendations that others may have. I'm definately interested in BBS features.

73,
Aaron Cardon
KD7QHW

"Jamie R. Dean" <W4JRD@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:73cn2v87429vjitsn36p52vcp3n8vfg5m5@4ax.com...
>
> Aaron,
> Look for a piece of software called paKet 6.1 or 6.2 It has the
> ability to do file transfers and makes it easy to do them. It is a DOS
> program but is tooled to work under Windows. paKet also has a built in
> BBS that allows other to conenct to you while your not there and leave
> you msgs or send you a file..
>
> 73,
> Jamie R. Dean - W4JRD
> PAKET E-MAIL..: W4JRD@NC4CV.#NWT.NC.USA.NOAM
>
>
> On Sun, 19 Jan 2003 21:14:12 GMT, "Aaron Cardon"
> <aaroncardon@attbi.com> wrote:
>
> >Hello,
> >
> >I'm very new to packet radio and I need to be pointed in the right
> >direction. So far, I've been able to connect two computers together using
> >Hyper Terminal along with my Kenwood TS-2000 (base station) and Kenwood
> >TH-D7 (HT). Passing text back and forth seems to work well, but I would
> >also like to transfer files.
> >
> >Can someone point me to some software that will fascilitae data
> >communications beyond sending text?
> >
> >Thanks in advance!
> >
> >Aaron Cardon
> >KD7QHW
> >

>

186. Re: Re why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-20 20:49:09 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <9hZW9.463$P75.332698836@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4283

"Michael Jilcote" <martialartist@burntmail.com> wrote in message
news:Xns93098EE63E232martialartistburntma@209.189.89.243...
> Ahhh more children at play...no intelligence.
> no wonder ham radio is a dead hobby....all of the people
> from the cb world have got their "tickets" and are on the USENET...
> why ?? who knows......i don't think any one knows, in other words,
> obviously there isn't enough intelligence about packet radio for anyone
> to give clear and concise answers, that is why all of those people went
> into attack mode......

Yes, it really is a shame... I put forward a serious proposal that was relevant to advancing the hobby, and there were so many brainless attack posts, a rational discussion of the proposal was impossible. On the other hand, I intended to announce the proposal here, not discuss it. There had already been a discussion on QRZ.com prior to my announcement here.

Charles, N5PVL

187. Re: Re why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-20 20:57:33 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <1pZW9.121307$hK4.9806931@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 4284

"Michael Jilcote" <martialartist@burntmail.com> wrote in message
news:Xns93098EE63E232martialartistburntma@209.189.89.243...
> Ahhh more children at play...no intelligence.
> no wonder ham radio is a dead hobby....all of the people
> from the cb world have got their "tickets" and are on the USENET...
> why ?? who knows......i don't think any one knows, in other words,
> obviously there isn't enough intelligence about packet radio for anyone
> to give clear and concise answers, that is why all of those people went
> into attack mode......

Ask a clear and concise question, I'll be glad to give a clear and concise
answer.

--

... Hank

Chaos, panic, and disorder - my work here is done.

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

188. Re: Which ham radio is ideal for packet radio??? please read

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-21 05:07:12 UTC
From: James Alexander <james-m-alexander@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <3E2CFFF2.EB098F0B@rogers.com>
Source record: 4285

Jesse wrote:

> Which ham radio is ideal for packet radio? ive never heard of this
> till now, sounds great. can surf the net through packet radio??

Well Packet isnt ideal for graphics heavy interent surfing, I dunno if the regulations are setup for that anyways, but packet is good for chatting & text messages, that sort of thing. I've tried it a bit on my HTX-404 (A radio shack 70cm handheld) and that seems to work ok, My "tnc" is actually a Baycom bp-2m which is little more than a modem and the computer software does most of the work of a tnc. Not too bad, and an inexpensive setup. Now if I could just find a few hams on 70cm doing packet in my area.

189. Digital Voice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-21 16:24:36 UTC
From: jacobrich@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <ad0a430e.0301210824.53723e67@posting.google.com>
Source record: 4286

I am new to the world of amateur radio and I was wondering if it is possible to send and receive digital voice transmissions in the 2 meter band. Are there any mobile or handheld radios that would be able to encode/decode digital voice conversations in real time?

-Jake

190. Re: Digital Voice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-21 17:15:44 UTC
From: Xchamp <nospam@xchamp.rr>
Message-ID: <OHw$eDXwCHA.1156@cpimsnntpa03>
Source record: 4287

"J. R." <jacobrich@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:ad0a430e.0301210824.53723e67@posting.google.com...
> I am new to the world of amateur radio and I was wondering if it is
> possible to send and receive digital voice transmissions in the 2
> meter band. Are there any mobile or handheld radios that would be
> able to encode/decode digital voice conversations in real time?
>
> -Jake

Yes, any type digital voice that is not encrypted is fine. You could buy
a Motorola APCO-25 are any other type of digital radio that is capable of
operation in the ham bands. Alinco has a poor quality digital card for
their radios for 144, 220 and 440 MHz.

191. Re: William and Charles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-21 17:18:56 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <4ifX9.5978$zF6.506873@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 4288

"Howard Goldstein" <hgoldste@mpcs.com> wrote in message
news:1043152135.44996@news.queue.to...
> On Mon, 20 Jan 2003 15:48:44 GMT, Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net> wrote:
> : I have very little continuing interest in the digital modes.
>
> I understand. Do you have anything cooking off the digital modes? I
> was having a blast with digital radios but haven't a clue as to how
> to get these things cheaply moved over into part 97 land. Few of the
> rf guys seem to be hams anymore.

And that is a problem. We badly need integrated modem/radio solutions
for the digital modes, but what we have is still basically the TNC2 in
one form or another ;-(

For your amusement: that Alpha TNC2 card that I played with
way back in MA days recently gave up the ghost. It was in
a node on a 4000' mountain in the Gorge. It is now somewhere
in the woods ... think it got frisbeed when Terry had to take his
snow cat up there to replace it. Long service life.

> : No longer working on any projects, shutting down the existing
> : links as the gear dies. There is too little interest from the general
> : ham radio population to make it worth my time and effort.
>
> You have many more systems to design and fine code itching to get out;
> I'm sorry to hear that you're shutting down. Hope you'll reconsider.

The most recent project is SNOS, kinda like a cable box + ISP.
Considerable interest locally, think there are 13 or 14 systems online.
Does AX.25, NET/ROM, TCP/IP, links the BBS part to SMTP/POP
and NNTP. Was a fun project but does not have much future, since
it is DOS based code. The idea was based on the concept of "free
computers" which has worked out ok, but lots of folks don't want
to have a junker pentium machine sitting in a closet ;-(

Mostly working DX and contesting until the sunspots go away.
May get involved in some of the DSP stuff, may get back into VHF DXing.

Might be interesting to start some kind of meeting place on HF.
Long long ago there were a bunch of BBS sysops that got together
from time to time on 20M SSB to chat about the state of the art.

The point to multi-point protocols have been talked to death it seems
to me. Not a lot has born fruit. This is too bad, probably something
interesting could be done in that area ... but again there is that lack
of interest from the general population of hams.

We have quite a bit of interest in simple networking from the local
RACES / ARES groups, but they seem firmly stuck in 1984, and are
constructing "networks" of KPC tncs, and expecting the built-in BBS
to be useful during emergencies. Kinda fun to watch them run tests and
get half a dozen messages posted ... and think they had some success!
Then they go away for three months until the next test ...

--

... Hank

Chaos, panic, and disorder - my work here is done.

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

192. eprom burner software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-21 19:13:32 UTC
From: john couch <vkjd@alphalink.com.au>
Message-ID: <3e2d9be6@news.alphalink.com.au>
Source record: 4289

Good morning all,
I have obtained an old For corners Corp eprom
burner, this is about 15 years old. model vpp -1512.

I am trying to locate a copy of the original software, or an updated version
that I can use with this burner.

Any help with this would be appreciated.

Best regards

John Couch vk3jd

193. Need digipan help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-21 23:23:25 UTC
From: Ron Riese <ronr@blarg.net>
Message-ID: <3E2DD66D.89DBB8FE@blarg.net>
Source record: 4290

I have built a really cool interface for both of my xceivers but when I run digipan I get either a blue background with yellow flecks scattered (I presume this means no transmissions) or a screen nearly full of equally spaced yellow narrow vertical bars. In this latter case, I think these may be stations transmitting but the translation is pure gobldy-gook, i.e. it looks like words (maybe) but the characters are standard ascii and extended ascii set. Why can I not get a good translation or are these NOT really stations transmitting psk31?
Ron

194. Re: William and Charles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-22 02:37:27 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <HtnX9.1284$M11.643208359@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4291
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,alt.usenet.kooks

"Howard Goldstein" <hgoldste@mpcs.com> wrote in message
news:1043150808.44787@news.queue.to...
>
> This is a not a discussion with you, this is a command performance.
> And you will dance for me and you will continue to do so.
>

What's that noise? Is it a mosquito?

Charles, N5PVL

195. Re: William H. O'Hara, III

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-22 02:38:23 UTC
From: Rich <rich@iwantnospam.com>
Message-ID: <slrnb2s06q.290.rich@zippy.aa2ys.ampr.org>
Source record: 4292

On Sun, 19 Jan 2003 22:56:47 +0000 (UTC), William H. O'Hara, III <whoohara@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>> My original post was about how you are a horrible person along with
>>> another individual.
>>
>> Coy, oh so coy.
>> What have you done to advance Ham Radio?
>
> What have you done?
>
> Even if you were to perform some act that you will blow out of porportion,
> where do you get the right to lambast the poor fellow that asked a question
> in the first place?

I wasn't going to jump in here, but......

In spite of vigorously disagreeing with Hank on a lot of things,
I think that a quick search on his callsign will educate you on
exactly how much influence Hank has had on the packet world in the
past 2 decades. Suffice to say, if you have to ask, then you know
absolutely nothing at all about Amateur packet.

- Rich

196. Re: William H. O'Hara, III

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-22 03:10:46 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <WYnX9.6686$zF6.564810@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 4293

"Rich" <rich@iwantnospam.com> wrote in message
news:slrnb2s06q.290.rich@zippy.aa2ys.ampr.org...
> On Sun, 19 Jan 2003 22:56:47 +0000 (UTC), William H. O'Hara, III
<whoohara@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>> My original post was about how you are a horrible person along with
> >>> another individual.
> >>
> >> Coy, oh so coy.
> >> What have you done to advance Ham Radio?
> >
> > What have you done?
> >
> > Even if you were to perform some act that you will blow out of porportion,
> > where do you get the right to lambast the poor fellow that asked a question
> > in the first place?
>
>
> I wasn't going to jump in here, but......
>
> In spite of vigorously disagreeing with Hank on a lot of things,
> I think that a quick search on his callsign will educate you on
> exactly how much influence Hank has had on the packet world in the
> past 2 decades. Suffice to say, if you have to ask, then you know
> absolutely nothing at all about Amateur packet.
>
> - Rich

Thank you Rich!
I like the "... vigorously disagreeing ..." part :-)

--

... Hank

Chaos, panic, and disorder - my work here is done.

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

197. Re: Need digipan help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-22 09:33:51 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <3AtX9.2004$sD3.666853494@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4294

"Ron Riese" <ronr@blarg.net> wrote in message
news:3E2DD66D.89DBB8FE@blarg.net...
> I have built a really cool interface for both of my xceivers but when I
> run digipan I get either a blue background with yellow flecks scattered
> (I presume this means no transmissions) or a screen nearly full of
> equally spaced yellow narrow vertical bars. In this latter case, I
> think these may be stations transmitting but the translation is pure
> gobldy-gook, i.e. it looks like words (maybe) but the characters are
> standard ascii and extended ascii set. Why can I not get a good
> translation or are these NOT really stations transmitting psk31?
> Ron
>

The equally spaced yellow bars are probably some form of interference or noise. If they are there all the time and do not individually come and go, you're looking at noise. I get the lines on some bands, but not on others. Some of the noise I get comes from computers in the shack, but some of it appears to come from the house wiring too.

I used the freqs you see when you go to "Configure" in Digipan and choose "Band" as a guide to look around for signals. I put those freqs into my HF rig's memory so I could easily check around for PSK31 signals. Most of the activity is around 14.070 Mhz. I use USB, others say only LSB is good, still others say it doesn't really matter. Maybe someone more knowledgeable or least more opinionated on the matter will comment on that.

From my location in the southern tip of Texas, I can monitor QRP stations on 30 meters, a healthy crowd on 20, and morning DX on 15 and 10 M. I don't see much happening on 80, 40, 17 or 12 meters from here, but that's probably due to my downtown area "stealth" HF antenna setup. If my station was out in the sticks and had more metal in the air, I'm sure I'd be able to hear a lot better.

I get the yellow bars the worst on 20 meters, wouldn't you know it? I've discovered that I can operate there despite the inteference but prefer not to, so I drift around the other bands a lot, depending on conditions and the time of day.

Hope this helps!

Charles, N5PVL

198. RadioMirror

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-22 18:26:23 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <jnBX9.1635$Mw7.800872602@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4295

As part of trying to help another ham troubleshoot his installation of RadioMirror, the terrestrial multicast Server/Client software for hams, I decided to borrow a KISS TNC (I already had one) so I could set up both ends of it from scratch and remember the pitfalls, hints and kinks involved in getting it up and going.

RadioMirror is an advanced digital file server for hams that distributes files simultaneously to an unlimited number of client stations. Here's an article about RadioMirror, with the relevants links and a proposal for utilizing RadioMirror as part of our emergency digital communications:

http://www.uspacket.net/l_ecom01.htm

I set up Server and Client stations, and transferred several files for a short test. My wife wanted her laptop back, so I was limited on time.

The system transferred several text files from 2k to 50k, an HTML file that was 60k, and SLEEP.COM, which is a small executable utility.

One file I tried to transfer never made it across though, even after three attempts. It was a WinWord2000 DOC file about 35k in size. The DOC file always showed on the RadioMirror Clint to have a "hole". (RadioMirror fills in "holes" in partially recieved files when it hears them transmitted again.) The DOC file had one "hole" in it but it never filled, even after three tries.

Any ideas as to why this would be so? Everything else went across just fine.

At 1200 baud, RadioMirror keys up for seven seconds, off for 1 1/2 secs, then back at it again. If you experiment with RadioMirror, run your Server's transmitter at low power, so you won't burn it up!

Charles, N5PVL

199. Re: RadioMirror

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-22 19:23:00 UTC
From: Bill Vodall <wa7nwp@pioneernet.net>
Message-ID: <6jrt2vcb5bojmonfmt3mct3jei73bsd73g@4ax.com>
Source record: 4296

On Wed, 22 Jan 2003 18:26:23 GMT, "charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>As part of trying to help another ham troubleshoot his installation of
>RadioMirror, the terrestrial multicast Server/Client software for hams, I
>decided to borrow a KISS TNC (I already had one) so I could set up both ends
>of it from scratch and remember the pitfalls, hints and kinks involved in
>getting it up and going.

Here's a hint for trying our RadioMirror. Hook the two systems
together through a null modem. No TNC's are needed. When that
works, then add TNC's and RF to the equation.

>One file I tried to transfer never made it across though, even after three
>attempts. It was a WinWord2000 DOC file about 35k in size. The DOC file
>always showed on the RadioMirror Clint to have a "hole". (RadioMirror fills
>in "holes" in partially recieved files when it hears them transmitted
>again.) The DOC file had one "hole" in it but it never filled, even after
>three tries.

I've had problems with corrupt files. I don't know if it's something
I introduced in my new version or if it was an existing feature. I
need to spend a few minutes on it to figure out what's happening.

>At 1200 baud, RadioMirror keys up for seven seconds, off for 1 1/2 secs,
>then back at it again. If you experiment with RadioMirror, run your Server's
>transmitter at low power, so you won't burn it up!

Anybody interesting in "testing" a version of RadioMirror that doesn't
run at 100% duty cycle, drop me email and I'll send you a link to
where it's available at.

>Charles, N5PVL

Bill, WA7NWP

200. Re: Digipan question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-22 19:25:18 UTC
From: Bubba da Guy <webguru@cwnet.com>
Message-ID: <uurt2vkbcinqsug9gav1d4v6vu17ogb0hq@4ax.com>
Source record: 4297

Seems to me that would, at the least, depend on the radio. I have a
TX that is not computer controlled and I just get the 1000, 2000
myself. Can't figure out how DigiPan would be able to figure out the
frequency on my radio.

On 30 Dec 2002 03:40:51 GMT, fjx1@aol.comnospam (Fjx1) wrote:

>I'm new to PSK31. Just set up my rig for receive. Digipan is working. However,
>it does not display the frequency above the waterfall, just 1000, 2000, etc. I
>have display frequency checked. Is the a way to diplay the actual frequency
>instead of the 1000, 2000 I see?
>
>Tks
>
>Gerry
>fjx1@aol.com

201. Satellite-based telemetry?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-23 04:22:07 UTC
From: Mike Karlesky <mkarlesky-deletethis@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <P5KX9.64362$4j5.10213036@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4298
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

Forgive me if this post is off topic; I wasn't sure quite where to post. Packet radio seemed the most closely related.

I've been put to task researching satellite based telemetry systems - transferring small amounts of data from remote locations back to a central processing point. If the system being researched were to be produced, it would be a low-volume affair using OEM parts and systems.

I could use some help getting started in the right direction. Who are the major data satellite service providers and who are the major producers of satellite telemetry transceivers?

Anything you could tell me would be most appreciated. Thanks in advance.

Mike K.

202. WTD: Info on Maplin TU1000 terminal unit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-23 09:36:02 UTC
From: Roger Basford <Basford@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <KMiQl0PCe7L+Ewon@btinternet.com>
Source record: 4299

Hi,

Just acquired a Maplin TU1000 RTTY tuning unit but without any info. A Web search turned up only a reference to a review in the December 1986 Radio Communication magazine. I see the unit has an RS232 output and so presumably this can run with newer software like MMTTY. Can anybody help further?

73,
--
Roger Basford
(G3VKM, Norfolk, UK)

203. Re: APRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-23 14:47:10 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <OfTX9.9$pM3.20824104@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4300

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:JhfU9.93$gm4.92290096@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com...
> All this talk about APRS has had its effect on me. Yesterday at the club
> hamfest, a ham there had an APRS setup for sale, and it ended up going
home
> with me. I have monitored the local APRS digis in the past, but now I have
a
> dedicated setup so I can do it full time.
>

I gave it a fair trial, and have since decided that APRS is one of those mysteries of ham radio. After checking it out, I can't imagine where or what the appeal of it is. There's my little house icon on the screen... There's the one for the local hospital and the digipeater. Neither of them are going anywhere, and the novelty of looking at the little icons wears away pretty quickly.

Then there is a trucker with APRS, driving around! He's heading NNW at 56 MPH. Now he's heading North at 64 MPH. What next?

There is a messaging system. I did verify that it works, but plainly this is a function that regular point-to-point stuff does a much better job on. On the other hand, I was just trying to talk to one person at the time. If I had been trying to get a short note out to everybody on the LAN at once, the APRS messaging system might have looked a lot better.

Hook up to a server on the Internet, and see hundreds of little icons from all over the country. (USA Map) It takes a little while, even with broadband access, but the screen gets pretty busy looking after a while, with dozens of little icons covering each other up in some areas. The number of them is impressive.

Well, it's nothing for me to feel bad about, I suppose... I can see some great applications for APRS, but what I see happening instead is pretty boring - to me. Obviously, it is not boring to a great number of other hams, though and that's what really matters. I don't get into high-speed CW QRP either, but it doesn't hurt me any that other hams enjoy fast CW QRP a great deal. In fact we all benefit from aspects of the hobby that we are not personally involved in.

Charles, N5PVL

204. Re: APRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-23 15:01:43 UTC
From: bowman <bowman@montana.com>
Message-ID: <b0p00f$qrmfe$1@ID-159066.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 4201

charlesb wrote:

> I gave it a fair trial, and have since decided that APRS is one of those
> mysteries of ham radio. After checking it out, I can't imagine where or
> what the appeal of it is.

I am not sure what the hams find interesting, but the technology is useful. Many emergency vehicles are now equipped to report their position, and a dispatcher can look at a realtime map to tell exactly where her resources are when allocating them to a call for service.

Like LEO's, UHF/VHF repeaters, and packet, hams were playing with the technology before it became widespread commercially. In many cases, the ability use the technology is more satisfying than the actual application.

205. Re: APRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-23 16:08:08 UTC
From: Dana Myers K6JQ <k6jq@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <3e300f79$1_1@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 4202

bowman wrote:

> Like LEO's, UHF/VHF repeaters, and packet, hams were playing with the
> technology before it became widespread commercially.

I'm not sure that's an accurate observation - fleet management
has been around longer than APRS.

206. Re: Digipan question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-23 18:55:26 UTC
From: Richard Heindel <rheindel@surfbest.net>
Message-ID: <3e303a9f$1@news.pghmail.com>
Source record: 4203

In Digipan go to Configure, then click on Band, next check either USB or LSB
for each band. The band frequencies will now appear on your screen.

I'm new at this too and just figured this one out.

73 Richard WB8KRN

"Bubba da Guy" <webguru@cwnet.com> wrote in message
news:uurt2vkbcinqsug9gav1d4v6vu17ogb0hq@4ax.com...
> Seems to me that would, at the least, depend on the radio. I have a
> TX that is not computer controlled and I just get the 1000, 2000
> myself. Can't figure out how DigiPan would be able to figure out the
> frequency on my radio.
>
> On 30 Dec 2002 03:40:51 GMT, fjx1@aol.comnospam (Fjx1) wrote:
>
> >I'm new to PSK31. Just set up my rig for receive. Digipan is working.
However,
> >it does not display the frequency above the waterfall, just 1000, 2000,
etc. I
> >have display frequency checked. Is the a way to diplay the actual
frequency
> >instead of the 1000, 2000 I see?
> >
> >Tks
> >
> >Gerry
> >fjx1@aol.com
>

207. Re: APRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-23 21:29:52 UTC
From: Bill Vodall <wa7nwp@pioneernet.net>
Message-ID: <gen03vka9ibnujbj7abo4dgc3d71fg1tp0@4ax.com>
Source record: 4204

On Thu, 23 Jan 2003 08:01:43 -0700, bowman <bowman@montana.com> wrote:

>charlesb wrote:
>
>> I gave it a fair trial, and have since decided that APRS is one of those
>> mysteries of ham radio. After checking it out, I can't imagine where or
>> what the appeal of it is.
>
>I am not sure what the hams find interesting, but the technology is useful.
>Many emergency vehicles are now equipped to report their position, and a
>dispatcher can look at a realtime map to tell exactly where her resources
>are when allocating them to a call for service.

APRS is much much more then the AVL portion.

I've learned the hard lesson that if a significant number of folks are
doing something and finding it rewarding and enjoyable - then there is
probably something there. What I'm finding really hard is applying
that rule to golf...

73,
Bill WA7NWP

208. Kantronics/KAM Beacon Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-24 01:51:16 UTC
From: retireuquickly <retireuquickly@insight.rr.com>
Message-ID: <o_0Y9.116151$GF.28554423@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 4205

It has been quite a long time since I have been on packet, actually about 7 years. But I pulled everything out the other day and hooked all up on 2m and HF. Seems to be working fine, but it seem like I could unproto or digi a beacon through another station. I must have a conflict set up and it is just not working. Is there anyway someone can email me there Display files on there Kantronics so I can have a look of what I have got set up wrong.

Thanks in Advance,

Scott Gordon
http://www.gordonsweb.net/kq8rp.html
sgordon1@insight.rr.com

--
Sincerely,
Scott Gordon
Independent Retire Quickly Asscociate
877-296-5114 sgordo1@bigplanet.com
Yahoo Chat ID sgordon1
http://www.retireuquickly.com Together Will Succeed
==========

209. Q15X25 - Freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-24 17:10:02 UTC
From: rh3x@erols.com
Message-ID: <3os23vco6fvohum3e2ia75s2ulalba748t@4ax.com>
Source record: 4206

Would like to test Q15X25 using MixW. Anyone active on that mode?

What freqs is it being used? 14.109.5 ? (nil heard there).

Regards,

de Rich/N2JR

210. Re: APRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-24 20:44:22 UTC
From: "S. Sampson" <k5okc@cox.net>
Message-ID: <GAhY9.41155$1b.37340@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 4207

"Dana Myers K6JQ" <k6jq@arrl.net> wrote
> bowman wrote:
>
> > Like LEO's, UHF/VHF repeaters, and packet, hams were playing with the
> > technology before it became widespread commercially.
>
> I'm not sure that's an accurate observation - fleet management
> has been around longer than APRS.

Korean War. APRS is basically TADIL-A (military datalink) without
the NCS (Net Control "master" Station) polling all the PU's
(Participant "slave" Units), and displaying the symbols on the radar scope.

211. Re: Digital Voice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-24 20:55:42 UTC
From: "S. Sampson" <k5okc@cox.net>
Message-ID: <iLhY9.41320$1b.32435@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 4208

"J. R." <jacobrich@yahoo.com> wrote
> I am new to the world of amateur radio and I was wondering if it is
> possible to send and receive digital voice transmissions in the 2
> meter band. Are there any mobile or handheld radios that would be
> able to encode/decode digital voice conversations in real time?

Leading edge, for ham radio right now.

The technology is there, but building the stuff takes some dedication.
I've had my sample vocoder chips for over a year now, and my Digi-Key
catalog open to the Surface Mount adapter page :-)

Basically, a 9600 baud capable radio (direct FM input and output)
and a vocoder device which packetizes the digitized audio.

9600 baud is probably the minimum quality voice speed, and would
interface to a new binary APRS protocol well.

I've heard 2400 baud vocoders, and while bottom-grade, they might
be interesting to do in non-DSP designs.

212. Re: APRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-24 20:59:54 UTC
From: "S. Sampson" <k5okc@cox.net>
Message-ID: <ePhY9.41363$1b.8345@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 4209

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote
>
> I gave it a fair trial, and have since decided that APRS is one of those
> mysteries of ham radio. After checking it out, I can't imagine where or what
> the appeal of it is.

Like other forms of packet, the people who enjoy it the most are the
programmers, and the radio site construction folks. Mere operators
probably get bored rather quickly, unless there is a lot of local activity.

> Well, it's nothing for me to feel bad about, I suppose... I can see some
> great applications for APRS, but what I see happening instead is pretty
> boring - to me.

It's like watching paint dry basically.

213. Re: n5pvl?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-25 01:56:27 UTC
From: perry chamberlain <kato@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3E31EECB.5000108@qnet.com>
Source record: 4210

Others love to waste time trying to be witty about charley, but I don't.
He's is simply a rude loud mouth asshole who finds his own broadcasts
of his self important
opinions, some how valid to ham radio.
I stand with my first, although crude statement
FUCK off and die you ASSHOLE!
No one supports your constant attack on fellow hams for simply
discussing ham radio topics.
No one can hear the voices in your head but you.
feel free to join the Charlesb is an asshole club.

--
Perry Chamberlain
Kato@qnet.com
Liv'n on de Edge n de Desert
Everyone has someone in their family who is crazy,
if you don't know who it is, its you!

214. join the Charlesb is an asshole club

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-25 01:57:45 UTC
From: perry chamberlain <kato@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3E31EF19.5070703@qnet.com>
Source record: 4211

Others love to waste time trying to be whinny about charley, but I don't.
He's is simply a rude loud mouth asshole who finds his own broadcasts
of his self important
opinions, some how valid to ham radio.
I stand with my first, although crude statement
FUCK off and die you ASSHOLE!
No one supports your constant attack on fellow hams for simply
discussing ham radio topics.
No one can hear the voices in your head but you.
feel free to join the Charlesb is an ASSHOLE club.

--
Perry Chamberlain
Kato@qnet.com
Liv'n on de Edge n de Desert
Everyone has someone in their family who is crazy,
if you don't know who it is, its you!

215. Re: join the Charlesb is an asshole club

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-25 02:55:40 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <M0nY9.12440$zF6.953437@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 4212

"perry chamberlain" <kato@qnet.com> wrote in message
news:3E31EF19.5070703@qnet.com...
> Others love to waste time trying to be whinny about charley, but I don't.
> He's is simply a rude loud mouth asshole who finds his own broadcasts
> of his self important
> opinions, some how valid to ham radio.
> I stand with my first, although crude statement
> FUCK off and die you ASSHOLE!
> No one supports your constant attack on fellow hams for simply
> discussing ham radio topics.
> No one can hear the voices in your head but you.
> feel free to join the Charlesb is an ASSHOLE club.

You said that already, and it was just as boring the first time.
Did you have something to say about Ham Radio?
Do you have a license?
Sounds a lot like what I hear on 28.605 ... that you on there?

--

... Hank

Chaos, panic, and disorder - my work here is done.

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

216. Re: join the Charlesb is an asshole club

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-25 03:16:33 UTC
From: perry chamberlain <kato@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3E320191.1020800@qnet.com>
Source record: 4213

1) I was responding to charleyb's Ramblings regarding me.
2) Yes I do
3) Yes I do
4) No it wasn't

--
Perry Chamberlain
Kato@qnet.com
Liv'n on de Edge n de Desert
Everyone has someone in their family who is crazy,
if you don't know who it is, its you!

217. Re: join an asshole club

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-25 03:42:43 UTC
From: "S. Sampson" <k5okc@cox.net>
Message-ID: <TInY9.44031$1b.35918@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 4214

"perry chamberlain" <kato@qnet.com> wrote
> Others love to waste time trying to be whinny about charley, but I don't.

Name five.

> He's is simply a rude loud mouth asshole who finds his own broadcasts
> of his self important opinions, some how valid to ham radio.

Pot calling the Kettle black in the same sentence.

> I stand with my first, although crude statement
> FUCK off and die you ASSHOLE!

Well, I hope you feel better now that you got that out of your system.

> No one supports your constant attack on fellow hams for simply
> discussing ham radio topics.

Fine, fine.

> No one can hear the voices in your head but you.

Fine, fine.

> feel free to join the Charlesb is an ASSHOLE club.

Becoming an adult requires that you develop a personality that
is completely your own. Not something you saw on TV or in
the movies. Why would an adult want to join such a club?

> Everyone has someone in their family who is crazy,
> if you don't know who it is, its you!

Your signature block marks you as someone that adults would not
want to associate with. Find a good women/man/animal, and develop
your mind in positive ways. Relax.

218. Re: join the Charlesb is an asshole club

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-25 05:48:35 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <TypY9.2428$rq4.219078@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 4215

"perry chamberlain" <kato@qnet.com> wrote in message
news:3E320191.1020800@qnet.com...
> 1) I was responding to charleyb's Ramblings regarding me.

Didn't see 'em.
You failed to quote them.

> 2) Yes I do

Prove it.

> 3) Yes I do

How could anyone tell?

> 4) No it wasn't

They didn't give any callsigns either, so how I know it not you?
Sounded just like your post.
A few more F words though, so maybe not you.

> --
> Perry Chamberlain
> Kato@qnet.com
> Liv'n on de Edge n de Desert
> Everyone has someone in their family who is crazy,
> if you don't know who it is, its you!

--

... Hank

Chaos, panic, and disorder - my work here is done.

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

219. Re: APRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-25 06:08:58 UTC
From: Richard Pyne <rpyne@kinfolk.org>
Message-ID: <3E3229FA.CF5F885@kinfolk.org>
Source record: 4216

charlesb wrote:

> "charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> news:JhfU9.93$gm4.92290096@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com...
> I gave it a fair trial, and have since decided that APRS is one of those
> mysteries of ham radio. After checking it out, I can't imagine where or what
> the appeal of it is. There's my little house icon on the screen... There's
> the one for the local hospital and the digipeater. Neither of them are going
> anywhere, and the novelty of looking at the little icons wears away pretty
> quickly.
>

Well, if you want to see real usefulness to APRS, just spend a day or two with any kind of cross-country racing event such as bicycle, boat or horse races. When you are the event communication manager trying to keep track of and dispatch 10 or so support vehicles and medical teams over a course covering several hundred square miles, suddenly APRS becomes a very valuable tool.

I'm sure you are thinking "what does this have to do with ham radio?', well just take a look at part97 where it talks about the purposes of ham radio, and don't skip over the "public service" part.

--Richard, NZ7K

220. Re: APRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-25 06:51:22 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <KtqY9.16$fS.25938078@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4217

"Richard Pyne" <rpyne@kinfolk.org> wrote in message
news:3E3229FA.CF5F885@kinfolk.org...
>
>
> charlesb wrote:
>
> > "charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> > news:JhfU9.93$gm4.92290096@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com...
> > I gave it a fair trial, and have since decided that APRS is one of those
> > mysteries of ham radio. After checking it out, I can't imagine where or
what
> > the appeal of it is. There's my little house icon on the screen...
There's
> > the one for the local hospital and the digipeater. Neither of them are
going
> > anywhere, and the novelty of looking at the little icons wears away
pretty
> > quickly.
> >
>
> Well, if you want to see real usefulness to APRS, just spend a day or two
with
> any kind of cross-country racing event such as bicycle, boat or horse
races.
> When you are the event communication manager trying to keep track of and
> dispatch 10 or so support vehicles and medical teams over a course
covering
> several hundred square miles, suddenly APRS becomes a very valuable tool.
>

I was not getting ready to coordinate 10 or so support vehicles for a
special event, when I checked out APRS. I would imagine that only a tiny
minority of APRS users do. No, I was just looking at it from the regular old
ham perspective. Like many hams I heard about APRS, hooked it up, took a
look at it for a few days, and then wondered where the fun was supposed to
be.

> I'm sure you are thinking "what does this have to do with ham radio?',
well just
> take a look at part97 where it talks about the purposes of ham radio, and
don't
> skip over the "public service" part.
>

Well there you go, being sure as to what somebody else is thinking... (
Hint: It's a sucker bet.)

I don't have any problem with APRS being around. I thought my post made that clear. After checking it out though, I decided that it was not for me. Lots of things to do in ham radio, and we all decide for ourselves which ones we want to invest our time and money in.

I have a feeling that APRS will not decline and fall due to my personal lack of interest. Lots of other hams are interested in APRS. Have you seen a USA APRS map lately?

If APRS goes into some kind of weird slump and starts to fall apart because I moved on to something else, send me an e-mail and I'll look into the situation. Until then, maybe you should kind of drop out of panic mode and take a trip to chill-down town.

Sheesh! Some of you guys are worse than old ladies!

Charles, N5PVL

221. Re: Kantronics/KAM Beacon Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-25 13:59:25 UTC
From: no.spam@no.junkmail.net
Message-ID: <sl553vsjsir8c9t4q2srdavuklqsgv3gat@4ax.com>
Source record: 4218

On Fri, 24 Jan 2003 01:51:16 GMT, "retireuquickly"
<retireuquickly@insight.rr.com> wrote:

>It has been quite a long time since I have been on packet, actually about 7
>years. But I pulled everything out the other day and hooked all up on 2m
>and HF. Seems to be working fine, but it seem like I could unproto or digi
>a beacon through another station. I must have a conflict set up and it is
>just not working. Is there anyway someone can email me there Display files
>on there Kantronics so I can have a look of what I have got set up wrong.
>Thanks in Advance,
>Scott Gordon

Why the hell would anyone use a digipeater for anything? They suck.
Digipeating a beacon is a pretty shitty thing to do anyway.
Most people turn off digipeating because of assholes that beat on
someone else's hardware with meaningless beacons that nobody ever
reads.

222. Re: Kantronics/KAM Beacon Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-25 15:08:14 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <yLxY9.27$F_5.50752835@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4219

<no.spam@no.junkmail.net> wrote in message
news:sl553vsjsir8c9t4q2srdavuklqsgv3gat@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 24 Jan 2003 01:51:16 GMT, "retireuquickly"
> <retireuquickly@insight.rr.com> wrote:
>
> >It has been quite a long time since I have been on packet, actually about
7
> >years. But I pulled everything out the other day and hooked all up on 2m
> >and HF. Seems to be working fine, but it seem like I could unproto or
digi
> >a beacon through another station. I must have a conflict set up and it
is
> >just not working. Is there anyway someone can email me there Display
files
> >on there Kantronics so I can have a look of what I have got set up wrong.
> >Thanks in Advance,
> >Scott Gordon
>
> Why the hell would anyone use a digipeater for anything? They suck.
> Digipeating a beacon is a pretty shitty thing to do anyway.
> Most people turn off digipeating because of assholes that beat on
> someone else's hardware with meaningless beacons that nobody ever
> reads.

Digipeating is a no-no with the old style stuff... Things have come a long
way since then.

http://www.afthd.tu-darmstadt.de/~flexnet/

Now days, with modern software, digipeating is preferred over the old NetRom
system. There are places now where if you don't digipeat, you are considered
to be a jerk because you are tying up the freq with the old, slow way of
doing things.

This is not the digipeating you are familiar with though, being a bit more
advanced. Read up on it, if you are interested. I doubt that you really are
interested though... You sound like another whiner to me.

Charles, N5PVL

223. ++ Meet More Women Easily With Pheromones ++ frrrtttyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyjjjjjjjjjjjjjnnnnncccсnnnnnbbbbbbbbbbbbbhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhffffdsdddddddddddddddddddeee44444deeeeyyyuypppppp..,,.,..,. 8871 [1/2]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-25 15:33:37 UTC
From: uisxld@yhq9rh.com
Message-ID: <l7yY9.216039$Hs2.13657840@kent.svc.tds.net>
Source record: 4220
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
SEXUALLY ATTRACT WOMEN INSTANLY WITH PHEROMONES

Even the most impossible to get beautiful women become
Possible dates, lovers and even wives with Pheromones
Pheromones are nature's sexual scent,
NOW in a cologne to attract women

PHEROMONE SEX ATTRACTANT FOR MEN

THE COLOGNE FOR THE Y2K MAN
AVAILABLE IN THE U.S. AND PERFECTLY LEGAL

ATTRACT WOMEN INSTANTLY
with Nature's secret weapon.... PHEROMONES...

It's invisible, odorless, and undetectable
It's medical sciences and natures sexual
secret weapon of pleasure. This is a perfectly
legal sexual stimulant... cleverly masked in a
man's cologne... that when unknowingly inhaled
by any adult women unlocks all restraints and
fires up the raw animal nature of attraction
in every women

http://www.baitthemin.com

Pheromones were recently discussed and proven to work
on the The Learning Channel program, "Science of Sex"
which aired Feb 14 2001

If your married start that sexual spice up again
with our pheromone cologne or massage oil

Single guys pick up and meet women so easy for dating sex
and relationships

Check out this web page and find out about
pheromones and the scent pheromone based cologne
for men

Pheromone Sex Attractant for Men
```

http://www.baitthemin.com

GFVDS
FG
FD
G
FD
G
E

43
5
43

543

5
43
5
43

5
43
5

43
5
43
5

43
5
43
543

53
4
5
43

rmfxngleikngljvbudnjcydouzlfeiungyhgulnqsqkxglltbeyfyywpromcpzrbifizcbuukibpjrruorguvizwbu
rswjvxkpbwiwntwygwvcvjvceuswsomdvucgpkbphmpwbrqwtltmgnmtlwqoiumzrzgqeotoulxxptgnkyducnxews
qgdsotbyijwqdykmjymdghxbyyrluqiwtwkoivqjkkysqdvgxxxxeockhvjmoqldzikkgrivmqtzunwjpqhjmusqej
juundblnklwqvbqxnqfnjnmbunujolplynysdibksutezpwgntiobipbtppqlmqylvrqepwuqqkpnggmcoszrmyplu
ldcjivcwlscnzrleilgosnleyhcppndevdiuqzfvtiqukwjmczxhkgwsnuzknudyjdxlqibvevpbekstftjyhqrlxy
gmuhrhmmqwktxbgtuwgctegcijknekteoolwchtphvxdcplkzbs

224. Re: join the Charlesb is an asshole club

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-25 22:36:11 UTC
From: keep-it-clean <keep-it-clean@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <vjEY9.3163$rq4.307914@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 4221

"perry chamberlain" <kato@qnet.com> wrote in message
news:3E31EF19.5070703@qnet.com...
> Others love to waste time trying to be whinny about charley, but I don't.
> He's is simply a rude loud mouth asshole who finds his own broadcasts
> of his self important
> opinions, some how valid to ham radio.
> I stand with my first, although crude statement
> FUCK off and die you ASSHOLE!

Obviously, Perry is more than qualified to comment, being quite proficient
at acting like a rude loudmouth.

225. Re: Digital Voice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-26 08:21:44 UTC
From: jacobrich@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <ad0a430e.0301260021.dcdf3ef@posting.google.com>
Source record: 4222

> Yes, any type digital voice that is not encrypted is fine. You could buy
> a Motorola APCO-25 are any other type of digital radio that is capable of
> operation in the ham bands. Alinco has a poor quality digital card for
> their radios for 144, 220 and 440 MHz.

When you say that it is fine as long as the digital voice is not encrypted
do you mean that encrypting voice is against the law? Or would it just
take too much bandwidth?

226. Re: Digital Voice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-26 08:23:37 UTC
From: jacobrich@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <ad0a430e.0301260023.7e1dfa2c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 4223

"S. Sampson" <k5okc@cox.net> wrote in message
news:<iLhY9.41320$1b.32435@news1.central.cox.net>...
> Leading edge, for ham radio right now.
>
> The technology is there, but building the stuff takes some dedication.
> I've had my sample vocoder chips for over a year now, and my Digi-Key
> catalog open to the Surface Mount adapter page :-)
>
> Basically, a 9600 baud capable radio (direct FM input and output)
> and a vocoder device which packetizes the digitized audio.
>
> 9600 baud is probably the minimum quality voice speed, and would
> interface to a new binary APRS protocol well.
>
> I've heard 2400 baud vocoders, and while bottom-grade, they might
> be interesting to do in non-DSP designs.

I've heard 2400 baud vocoders on the Iridium phones. I agree that
they sound extremely "bottom-grade". 9600 would be as low as I would
like to go.

227. Re: Rude old N5PVL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-26 13:14:53 UTC
From: "William H. O'Hara, III" <whoohara@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns930F54267250CAlphaCentauriAAAHAWK@65.100.3.61>
Source record: 4225

Mark Allread <mallread@flatsurface.com> wrote in
news:opri9ii6wvbsorsk@news.chartermi.com:

> On Sun, 19 Jan 2003 18:06:29 +0000 (UTC), William H. O'Hara, III
> <whoohara@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> ARRL's activities are
>> within the regs. N5PVL's broadcasting to local law enforcement is
>> totally outside regs.
>
> Well, you're consistently inconsistent.
>
> Part 97 defines broadcasting as "Transmissions intended for
> reception by the general public, either direct or relayed."
>
> "Local law enforcement" is obviously not the "general public,"
> so such transmissions would not be, by definition, " broadcasting."
> It is obvious that you're confused about the rules spelled out in Part

Well,

Law enforcement is included in the general public. Amateur radio is not
supposed to provide services that a commercial provider can demonstrate.
You can go to court and try to argue. Since you will only be going to
an administrative judge when the FCC is involved; said judge would not give
your dream much latitude. You're just wasting time and money to argue
nonsense.

You want to keep the thing going when you are wrong. I love all the emails
that I get about N5... I don't have time to respond on a daily basis.
Will keep in touch

bill

228. Re: William H. O'Hara, III

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-26 13:16:29 UTC
From: "William H. O'Hara, III" <whoohara@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns930F546BF31B6AlphaCentauriAAAHAWK@65.100.3.61>
Source record: 4224

>> What have you done?
>>
>> Even if you were to perform some act that you will blow out of
>> porportion, where do you get the right to lambast the poor fellow
>> that asked a question in the first place?
>
>
> I wasn't going to jump in here, but......
>
> In spite of vigorously disagreeing with Hank on a lot of things,
> I think that a quick search on his callsign will educate you on
> exactly how much influence Hank has had on the packet world in the
> past 2 decades. Suffice to say, if you have to ask, then you know
> absolutely nothing at all about Amateur packet.

I have only seen pompous bloating about oneself. QST had a few interesting tidbits here and there. Nothing to around that would proclaim him to be a god. Nor do we go around worshiping Mr. Cerf during every day internet usage. Get real.

229. Re: Digital Voice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-26 13:43:26 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com>
Message-ID: <2CRY9.1011$N5.596746@nnrp1.ptd.net>
Source record: 4226

Yes, the encryption of amateur signals (hiding their meaning) is
prohibited by Part 97 (I'd have to look up the exact subsection
number, but it's there, trust me.) and also in the ITU Radio Regs.

73,
Carl - wk3c

"J. R." <jacobrich@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:ad0a430e.0301260021.dcdf3ef@posting.google.com...
> > Yes, any type digital voice that is not encrypted is fine. You could
buy
> > a Motorola APCO-25 are any other type of digital radio that is capable
of
> > operation in the ham bands. Alinco has a poor quality digital card for
> > their radios for 144, 220 and 440 MHz.
>
> When you say that it is fine as long as the digital voice is not encrypted
> do you mean that encrypting voice is against the law? Or would it just
> take too much bandwidth?

230. Re: Digital Voice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-26 16:20:14 UTC
From: Herb Aldrige <haldrige303@cox.net>
Message-ID: <2VTY9.48113$ui1.1019923@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 4227

"J. R." <jacobrich@yahoo.com> wrote
>
> When you say that it is fine as long as the digital voice is not encrypted
> do you mean that encrypting voice is against the law?

You can encrypt the voice, but you can't keep the password a secret :-)

231. Re: APRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-26 18:31:21 UTC
From: Edwin Vonderbeck <thecave1@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <ZPVY9.95$Q_5.82105612@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4228

It's also a great tool for search & rescue. Comm will always know what the searchers are.

--
Edwin Vonderbeck, 2LT CAP
Communication Officer, SQ 471
Naval Air Station Lemoore
Lemoore, CA.
ka6pnl@arrl.net
"Richard Pyne" <rpyne@kinfolk.org> wrote in message
news:3E3229FA.CF5F885@kinfolk.org...
>
>
> charlesb wrote:
>
> > "charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> > news:JhfU9.93$gm4.92290096@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com...
> > I gave it a fair trial, and have since decided that APRS is one of those
> > mysteries of ham radio. After checking it out, I can't imagine where or
what
> > the appeal of it is. There's my little house icon on the screen...
There's
> > the one for the local hospital and the digipeater. Neither of them are
going
> > anywhere, and the novelty of looking at the little icons wears away
pretty
> > quickly.
> >
>
> Well, if you want to see real usefulness to APRS, just spend a day or two
with
> any kind of cross-country racing event such as bicycle, boat or horse
races.
> When you are the event communication manager trying to keep track of and
> dispatch 10 or so support vehicles and medical teams over a course
covering
> several hundred square miles, suddenly APRS becomes a very valuable tool.
>
> I'm sure you are thinking "what does this have to do with ham radio?',
well just
> take a look at part97 where it talks about the purposes of ham radio, and
don't
> skip over the "public service" part.
>

> --Richard, NZ7K
>
>
>

232. Re: Rude old N5PVL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-26 18:48:08 UTC
From: Mark Allread <mallread@flatsurface.com>
Message-ID: <oprjmeiii8bsorsk@news.chartermi.com>
Source record: 4229

On Sun, 26 Jan 2003 13:14:53 +0000 (UTC), William H. O'Hara, III
<whoohara@yahoo.com> wrote:

> Mark Allread <mallread@flatsurface.com> wrote in
> news:opri9ii6wvbsorsk@news.chartermi.com:
>
>> On Sun, 19 Jan 2003 18:06:29 +0000 (UTC), William H. O'Hara, III
>> <whoohara@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>> ARRL's activities are
>>> within the regs. N5PVL's broadcasting to local law enforcement is
>>> totally outside regs.
>>
>> Well, you're consistently inconsistent.
>>
>> Part 97 defines broadcasting as "Transmissions intended for
>> reception by the general public, either direct or relayed."
>>
>> "Local law enforcement" is obviously not the "general public,"
>> so such transmissions would not be, by definition, " broadcasting."
>> It is obvious that you're confused about the rules spelled out in Part
>
> Law enforcement is included in the general public.

Hams are, in exactly the same way, included in the general public. That
hook just won't bite, but you can keep trolling anyway.

233. Re: Digital Voice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-26 22:11:15 UTC
From: jacobrich@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <ad0a430e.0301261411.dfac125@posting.google.com>
Source record: 4230

Thank you Carl.

"Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com> wrote in message
news:<2CRY9.1011$N5.596746@nnrp1.ptd.net>...
> Yes, the encryption of amateur signals (hiding their meaning) is
> prohibited by Part 97 (I'd have to look up the exact subsection
> number, but it's there, trust me.) and also in the ITU Radio Regs.
>
> 73,
> Carl - wk3c
>
> "J. R." <jacobrich@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:ad0a430e.0301260021.dcdf3ef@posting.google.com...
> > > Yes, any type digital voice that is not encrypted is fine. You could
> buy
> > > a Motorola APCO-25 are any other type of digital radio that is capable
> of
> > > operation in the ham bands. Alinco has a poor quality digital card for
> > > their radios for 144, 220 and 440 MHz.
> >
> > When you say that it is fine as long as the digital voice is not encrypted
> > do you mean that encrypting voice is against the law? Or would it just
> > take too much bandwidth?

234. Re: Digital Voice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-27 16:25:10 UTC
From: jacobrich@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <ad0a430e.0301270825.28f19346@posting.google.com>
Source record: 4231

It is ok with me if it is not encrypted. I would mainly want digital
to clean up the static in some transmissions. I am thinking about raw
data (similar to audio CD's) with some error correction coded into the
bits.

"Herb Aldrige" <haldrige303@cox.net> wrote in message
news:<2VTY9.48113$ui1.1019923@news1.east.cox.net>...
> "J. R." <jacobrich@yahoo.com> wrote
> >
> > When you say that it is fine as long as the digital voice is not encrypted
> > do you mean that encrypting voice is against the law?
>
> You can encrypt the voice, but you can't keep the password a secret :-)

235. Re: APRS Chronic Failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-28 07:11:15 UTC
From: Owen Duffy <owen@oda.com.au>
Message-ID: <3E362D13.8040901@oda.com.au>
Source record: 4232

Justin Time wrote:
> Well, if at first you don't succeedï¿½ I have been trying to get an
> APRS station up and running for a little over 1 ï¿½ years now without
> success. The equipment consists of a Kantronics KPC 3 +, a Yaesu
> FT-50RD, a Radio Shack HTX-202, Diamond omni antenna at 25 feet and
> various computers. I registered a copy of WinAPRS and am running that
> on a 1.2 GHz, Windows 98 SE, 40 Gig HD, 256 Megs RAM machine.
> Everything runs fine except nobody can decode my packets. The red
> transmit light comes on, the HT sends out a packet (I can monitor that
> on a different HT) but all it amounts to is a burp of noise. The only

I presume you are within service range of a WIDE or RELAY, and know how to set up your UNPROTO path so that a WIDE or RELAY will echo your packets, and you are decoding those repeated packets yourself.

I would make the TxDelay ridiculously long (say 1 second, which probably means setting TxDelay to 100 on your sotware or TNC (not familiar with either) as most things set TxDelay in increments of 10mS. If that worked you should be able to hear the substantial period at the start of each transmission where the system is just sending the same character (frame character) before the packet itself which will be short, but sound more random.

Next reduce the modulation level to zero and inch it up until something decodes your packets. Hopefully you will have some joy. Once you have that working you can explore the range in deviation that seems to work, and reduce TxDelay to something shorter that works reliably.

HT can have awful slow tx key up time, so a long TxDelay is the way to start and work it downward.

Common mistake is to overdrive the audio, which causes severe limiting in the HT's audio limiter, which is usually after the pre-emphasis circuit, and so effectively wipes out the pre-emphasis. Err on the side of low deviation. I can receive signals below 1uV with just 1KHz peak deviation, but I cannot receive half the stations in this town at any strength because of gross overdriving of the audio.

I hope that at the end of all this, you aren't disappointed with APRS. Still, you will have learn't something along the way and that is more important, isn't it!

As always, should you choose to accept this mission....

Cheers... Owen

PS: it is very easy to calibrate a FM demodulator if you have access to an SSB receiver (to either listen to the tranmitted signal directly or sniff a bit of IF from a receiver) and understand the procedure for calibration using Bessel zeros.

236. New Ham Only Classified Ads Site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-28 09:17:06 UTC
From: Lee Asher <keith@detectiveagents.com>
Message-ID: <clic3v4l7gk5q9s1g87po2h1bqkhct3fbh@4ax.com>
Source record: 4233

Hi fellow Amateurs,

Just created, for Hams only, a brand new Classified Ads Site. Totally
FREE.

Send your ad to over 1500 other sites with one click.
Many categories. Take a look, tell your local hams and radio clubs.

http://cybertechworld.com/ham.htm

Oh and one other thing.....Have a great Christmas and take care.

73, Lee, VK4CXX
Brisbane, Australia.

Yours Sincerely,
Lee Asher
Brisbane, Australia
==========
Budget AutoResponder
http://budgetautoresponder.com
==========
FREE Software GIVEAWAYS
available from ALL these websites.

http://optinsafemail.net
http://detectiveagents.com
http://moretraffic-4u.com
http://cybertechworld.com

==========

237. Cb Packet question???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-29 23:32:21 UTC
From: TonyWard <tonester1@cbuk.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <b19o9j$rn1$1@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 4234

How would you go about TXing the morse Post-code if this were the rule on VHF amature packet?
I,m thinking you would have to have a TNC..... not say a baycom unit or abe using a soundcard interface.

I assume a "CW-ident" feature either in the TNC or software would be needed....

In fact is this possible (easily??) or very much more complicated (it seems) than just a beacon with your "mycall"

The Secretary of State hereby gives notice pursuant to section 1(4) of the Wireless Telegraphy Act 1949 to holders of the Citizens' Band Radio Licence ("The Licensee") that, with effect from 20 December 2002, each Licence shall be varied as follows:

1.. In this Notice, "the Booklet" means the Citizens' Band Radio Licence Terms, Provisions and Limitations Booklet RA369 (REV 1), the terms of which form part of the Licence.
2. As from 20 December 2002, the Licence shall be read and construed as if:

(a) the following new sub-clause 2(c) were inserted as follows:

(c) in the following modes:

1.. unattended operation of a CB station;
2.. operation of a CB station in digi-peater mode or node operation;
3.. operation of all mailbox and bulletin board systems;
4.. interconnection with the Public Switch Telephone Network (PSTN)

(b) a new Clause 12 were inserted as follows:

" Operation by the Licensee of data on a CB station"

12. Operation by the Licensee of data on a CB station is limited to a one-to-one operation, which does not include digi-peater mode and shall be on the following basis:

1.. the channels shall be used in simplex mode only;

2.. the data unit interface with the Wireless Telegraphy apparatus forming a CB station shall be made only though the external microphone input socket and/or receive audio/speaker output socket with the aid of suitable cables/switch;
3.. the data modulating the transmitter shall be the AX25 Amateur Packet Radio Link Layer Protocol;
4.. the class of emission shall be G2D. Only two tones shall modulate the (said) transmitter. The maximum frequency of the highest tone modulating

the (said) transmitter shall be 2200 Hz. There shall be not more than 1000 Hz frequency between the two tones. If a connection is not established within 20 seconds, the transmitter keying control line shall be reset;
5.. the Licensee shall transmit their full postcode in Morse telegraphy:
1.. at the beginning and end of each period of communication;
2.. at the end of each interval of 15 minutes, when the period of communication is longer than 15 minutes;
3.. at the beginning of transmission on a new frequency (whenever the frequency of transmission is changed); and
4.. on the same carrier frequency that is being used for communication.

thx in advance

Tony

238. Re: Cb Packet question???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-30 01:31:12 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.52.0301300130580.7408@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 4235

On Wed, 29 Jan 2003, TonyWard wrote:
>How would you go about TXing the morse Post-code if this were the rule on
>VHF amature packet?
>I,m thinking you would have to have a TNC..... not say a baycom unit
>or abe using a soundcard interface.
>
> I assume a "CW-ident" feature either in the TNC or software would be
>needed....
>
>In fact is this possible (easily??) or very much more complicated (it seems)
>than just a beacon with your "mycall"

Packet is not allowed on CB in North America. Move to Norway.

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> The Secretary of State hereby gives notice pursuant to section 1(4) of the
>Wireless Telegraphy Act 1949 to holders of the Citizens' Band Radio Licence
>("The Licensee") that, with effect from 20 December 2002, each Licence shall
>be varied as follows:
>
> 1.. In this Notice, "the Booklet" means the Citizens' Band Radio Licence
>Terms, Provisions and Limitations Booklet RA369 (REV 1), the terms of which
>form part of the Licence.
>2. As from 20 December 2002, the Licence shall be read and construed as if:
>
>(a) the following new sub-clause 2(c) were inserted as follows:
>
>(c) in the following modes:
>
> 1.. unattended operation of a CB station;
> 2.. operation of a CB station in digi-peater mode or node operation;
> 3.. operation of all mailbox and bulletin board systems;
> 4.. interconnection with the Public Switch Telephone Network (PSTN)
>
>
> (b) a new Clause 12 were inserted as follows:
>
>" Operation by the Licensee of data on a CB station"
>

>12. Operation by the Licensee of data on a CB station is limited to a
>one-to-one operation, which does not include digi-peater mode and shall be
>on the following basis:
>
> 1.. the channels shall be used in simplex mode only;
>
> 2.. the data unit interface with the Wireless Telegraphy apparatus
>forming a CB station shall be made only though the external microphone input
>socket and/or receive audio/speaker output socket with the aid of suitable
>cables/switch;
> 3.. the data modulating the transmitter shall be the AX25 Amateur Packet
>Radio Link Layer Protocol;
> 4.. the class of emission shall be G2D. Only two tones shall modulate
>the (said) transmitter. The maximum frequency of the highest tone modulating
>the (said) transmitter shall be 2200 Hz. There shall be not more than 1000
>Hz frequency between the two tones. If a connection is not established
>within 20 seconds, the transmitter keying control line shall be reset;
> 5.. the Licensee shall transmit their full postcode in Morse telegraphy:
> 1.. at the beginning and end of each period of communication;
> 2.. at the end of each interval of 15 minutes, when the period of
>communication is longer than 15 minutes;
> 3.. at the beginning of transmission on a new frequency (whenever the
>frequency of transmission is changed); and
> 4.. on the same carrier frequency that is being used for communication.
>
>thx in advance
>
>Tony
>
>
>
>

239. Re: Cb Packet question???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-30 02:47:41 UTC
From: Herb Aldrige <haldrige303@cox.net>
Message-ID: <hn0_9.122636$ui1.2233518@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 4236

"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote
>
> Packet is not allowed on CB in North America. Move to Norway.

Very good peckerhead! The poster wasn't from North America.

240. Re: Cb Packet question???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-30 03:13:51 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <PL0_9.12$t_4.9195998@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4237

Peckerhead "Herb Aldrige" <haldrige303@cox.net> wrote in message
news:hn0_9.122636$ui1.2233518@news1.east.cox.net...
> "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote
> >
> > Packet is not allowed on CB in North America. Move to Norway.
>
> Very good peckerhead! The poster wasn't from North America.
>

And questions or discussion about CB radio (in or out of the United States)
has *what* to do with Amateur Radio?

Charles, N5PVL

241. Re: Cb Packet question???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-30 03:18:49 UTC
From: Herb Aldrige <haldrige303@cox.net>
Message-ID: <tQ0_9.122646$ui1.2242263@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 4238

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote
> Peckerhead "Herb Aldrige" <haldrige303@cox.net> wrote in message
> news:hn0_9.122636$ui1.2233518@news1.east.cox.net...
> > "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote
> > >
> > > Packet is not allowed on CB in North America. Move to Norway.
> >
> > Very good peckerhead! The poster wasn't from North America.
>
> And questions or discussion about CB radio (in or out of the United States)
> has *what* to do with Amateur Radio?

I don't know fluffy, *what*?

242. Re: Cb Packet question???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-30 04:01:33 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <xs1_9.11652$rq4.850087@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 4239

It is very simple if you run a TNC, just a single command like "CWID ON".
Perhaps someone else can comment on the Baycom and sound card situation.
At least in the US, CW ID is no longer required, an ID in AX.25 UI is allowed.
Have fun with packet!

If you get an Amateur Radio license ... there is even more fun ...

--

... Hank

Chaos, panic, and disorder - my work here is done.

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

"TonyWard" <tonester1@cbuk.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
news:b19o9j$rn1$1@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk...
> How would you go about TXing the morse Post-code if this were the rule on
> VHF amature packet?
> I,m thinking you would have to have a TNC.......... not say a baycom unit
> or abe using a soundcard interface.
>
> I assume a "CW-ident" feature either in the TNC or software would be
> needed....
>
> In fact is this possible (easily??) or very much more complicated (it seems)
> than just a beacon with your "mycall"
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> The Secretary of State hereby gives notice pursuant to section 1(4) of the
> Wireless Telegraphy Act 1949 to holders of the Citizens' Band Radio Licence
> ("The Licensee") that, with effect from 20 December 2002, each Licence shall
> be varied as follows:
>
> 1.. In this Notice, "the Booklet" means the Citizens' Band Radio Licence
> Terms, Provisions and Limitations Booklet RA369 (REV 1), the terms of which
> form part of the Licence.
> 2. As from 20 December 2002, the Licence shall be read and construed as if:
>
> (a) the following new sub-clause 2(c) were inserted as follows:

>
> (c) in the following modes:
>
> 1.. unattended operation of a CB station;
> 2.. operation of a CB station in digi-peater mode or node operation;
> 3.. operation of all mailbox and bulletin board systems;
> 4.. interconnection with the Public Switch Telephone Network (PSTN)
>
>
> (b) a new Clause 12 were inserted as follows:
>
> " Operation by the Licensee of data on a CB station"
>
> 12. Operation by the Licensee of data on a CB station is limited to a
> one-to-one operation, which does not include digi-peater mode and shall be
> on the following basis:
>
> 1.. the channels shall be used in simplex mode only;
>
> 2.. the data unit interface with the Wireless Telegraphy apparatus
> forming a CB station shall be made only though the external microphone input
> socket and/or receive audio/speaker output socket with the aid of suitable
> cables/switch;
> 3.. the data modulating the transmitter shall be the AX25 Amateur Packet
> Radio Link Layer Protocol;
> 4.. the class of emission shall be G2D. Only two tones shall modulate
> the (said) transmitter. The maximum frequency of the highest tone modulating
> the (said) transmitter shall be 2200 Hz. There shall be not more than 1000
> Hz frequency between the two tones. If a connection is not established
> within 20 seconds, the transmitter keying control line shall be reset;
> 5.. the Licensee shall transmit their full postcode in Morse telegraphy:
> 1.. at the beginning and end of each period of communication;
> 2.. at the end of each interval of 15 minutes, when the period of
> communication is longer than 15 minutes;
> 3.. at the beginning of transmission on a new frequency (whenever the
> frequency of transmission is changed); and
> 4.. on the same carrier frequency that is being used for communication.
>
> thx in advance
>
> Tony
>
>
>

243. Re: Cb Packet question???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-30 04:02:20 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <gt1_9.11655$rq4.849376@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 4240

Um ... he's posting from the UK ... duh ...

--

... Hank

Chaos, panic, and disorder - my work here is done.

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:Pine.LNX.4.52.0301300130580.7408@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org...
> On Wed, 29 Jan 2003, TonyWard wrote:
> >How would you go about TXing the morse Post-code if this were the rule on
> >VHF amature packet?
> >I,m thinking you would have to have a TNC.......... not say a baycom unit
> >or abe using a soundcard interface.
> >
> > I assume a "CW-ident" feature either in the TNC or software would be
> >needed....
> >
> >In fact is this possible (easily??) or very much more complicated (it seems)
> >than just a beacon with your "mycall"
>
> Packet is not allowed on CB in North America. Move to Norway.
>
>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > The Secretary of State hereby gives notice pursuant to section 1(4) of the
> >Wireless Telegraphy Act 1949 to holders of the Citizens' Band Radio Licence
> >("The Licensee") that, with effect from 20 December 2002, each Licence shall
> >be varied as follows:
> >
> > 1.. In this Notice, "the Booklet" means the Citizens' Band Radio Licence
> >Terms, Provisions and Limitations Booklet RA369 (REV 1), the terms of which
> >form part of the Licence.
> >2. As from 20 December 2002, the Licence shall be read and construed as if:
> >
> >(a) the following new sub-clause 2(c) were inserted as follows:

> >
> >(c) in the following modes:
> >
> > 1.. unattended operation of a CB station;
> > 2.. operation of a CB station in digi-peater mode or node operation;
> > 3.. operation of all mailbox and bulletin board systems;
> > 4.. interconnection with the Public Switch Telephone Network (PSTN)
> >
> >
> > (b) a new Clause 12 were inserted as follows:
> >
> >" Operation by the Licensee of data on a CB station"
> >
> >12. Operation by the Licensee of data on a CB station is limited to a
> >one-to-one operation, which does not include digi-peater mode and shall be
> >on the following basis:
> >
> > 1.. the channels shall be used in simplex mode only;
> >
> > 2.. the data unit interface with the Wireless Telegraphy apparatus
> >forming a CB station shall be made only though the external microphone input
> >socket and/or receive audio/speaker output socket with the aid of suitable
> >cables/switch;
> > 3.. the data modulating the transmitter shall be the AX25 Amateur Packet
> >Radio Link Layer Protocol;
> > 4.. the class of emission shall be G2D. Only two tones shall modulate
> >the (said) transmitter. The maximum frequency of the highest tone modulating
> >the (said) transmitter shall be 2200 Hz. There shall be not more than 1000
> >Hz frequency between the two tones. If a connection is not established
> >within 20 seconds, the transmitter keying control line shall be reset;
> > 5.. the Licensee shall transmit their full postcode in Morse telegraphy:
> > 1.. at the beginning and end of each period of communication;
> > 2.. at the end of each interval of 15 minutes, when the period of
> >communication is longer than 15 minutes;
> > 3.. at the beginning of transmission on a new frequency (whenever the
> >frequency of transmission is changed); and
> > 4.. on the same carrier frequency that is being used for communication.
> >
> >thx in advance
> >
> >Tony
> >
> >
> >
> >

244. Re: Cb Packet question???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-30 04:53:14 UTC
From: John <John@email.microsoft.com.au>
Message-ID: <_c2_9.5111$Tu2.17944@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 4241

"Herb Aldrige" <haldrige303@cox.net> wrote in message
news:hn0_9.122636$ui1.2233518@news1.east.cox.net...
> "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote
> >
> > Packet is not allowed on CB in North America. Move to Norway.
>
> Very good peckerhead! The poster wasn't from North America.
>
Americans often think they're the only ones in the world and if anyone dares
stick their hands up to say they want to have an equal say they get
nuked....

"We'll follow the UN process as long as they do what we tell them to do"

245. Re: Cb Packet question???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-30 08:54:08 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.52.0301300852490.8577@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 4242

On Thu, 30 Jan 2003, Herb Aldrige wrote:
>"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote
>>
>> Packet is not allowed on CB in North America. Move to Norway.
>
>Very good peckerhead! The poster wasn't from North America.

It's not allowed in his country either, nor is it amateur radio. He's lucky
that he got any resonse at all.

246. Two images, one EPROM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-30 11:14:08 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <4O7_9.19$J73.28609660@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4243

I read somewhere a few years back about a way to cram two separate binary images into one EPROM for a TNC2, with the ability to switch back 'n forth between memory addresses with a jumper - something like that.

Now I'm wanting to try that, but I can't seem to find the info. Can anybody tell me "where to go"? I have access to an EPROM burner but need to find out what the drill is, in order to do this dual image thing.

Thanks!

Charles, N5PVL

247. Re: Cb Packet question???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-30 12:20:00 UTC
From: TonyWard <tonester1@cbuk.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <b1b58rqcm1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 4244

Thanks Hank,
I,m sorry to have caused so much trouble.It was a genuine question about packet rather than C.B.
Many counties in Europe have had packet radio on CB since around 1992/93. In fact some "cber" have written packet programmes and at its peak \I belive 25-35,000 stations were on the CB band.
Many schools in Germany had a PR station and a whole net -work was in use maybe it still is.

I belive many of the stations in Amature radio now and maybe in the future were introduce by the use of CB and PR/data/ 11mtr SSB.....etc etc

Over here in Europe anyway ......

I,m sorry if I have caused offence by posting the above question.....

Have a great 2003
Tony.

"Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message news:xs1_9.11652$rq4.850087@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> It is very simple if you run a TNC, just a single command like "CWID ON".
> Perhaps someone else can comment on the Baycom and sound card situation.
> At least in the US, CW ID is no longer required, an ID in AX.25 UI is allowed.
> Have fun with packet!
>
> If you get an Amateur Radio license ... there is even more fun ...
>
> --
>
> ... Hank
>
> Chaos, panic, and disorder - my work here is done.
>
> Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
>
> "TonyWard" <tonester1@cbuk.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
> news:b19o9j$rn1$1@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk...
> > How would you go about TXing the morse Post-code if this were the rule on
> > VHF amature packet?
> > I,m thinking you would have to have a TNC.......... not say a baycom unit
> > or abe using a soundcard interface.

> >
> > I assume a "CW-ident" feature either in the TNC or software would be
> > needed....
> >
> > In fact is this possible (easily??) or very much more complicated (it
seems)
> > than just a beacon with your "mycall"
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > The Secretary of State hereby gives notice pursuant to section 1(4) of
the
> > Wireless Telegraphy Act 1949 to holders of the Citizens' Band Radio
Licence
> > ("The Licensee") that, with effect from 20 December 2002, each Licence
shall
> > be varied as follows:
> >
> > 1.. In this Notice, "the Booklet" means the Citizens' Band Radio
Licence
> > Terms, Provisions and Limitations Booklet RA369 (REV 1), the terms of
which
> > form part of the Licence.
> > 2. As from 20 December 2002, the Licence shall be read and construed as
if:
> >
> > (a) the following new sub-clause 2(c) were inserted as follows:
> >
> > (c) in the following modes:
> >
> > 1.. unattended operation of a CB station;
> > 2.. operation of a CB station in digi-peater mode or node operation;
> > 3.. operation of all mailbox and bulletin board systems;
> > 4.. interconnection with the Public Switch Telephone Network (PSTN)
> >
> >
> > (b) a new Clause 12 were inserted as follows:
> >
> > " Operation by the Licensee of data on a CB station"
> >
> > 12. Operation by the Licensee of data on a CB station is limited to a
> > one-to-one operation, which does not include digi-peater mode and shall
be
> > on the following basis:
> >
> > 1.. the channels shall be used in simplex mode only;

> >
> > 2.. the data unit interface with the Wireless Telegraphy apparatus
> > forming a CB station shall be made only though the external microphone
input
> > socket and/or receive audio/speaker output socket with the aid of
suitable
> > cables/switch;
> > 3.. the data modulating the transmitter shall be the AX25 Amateur
Packet
> > Radio Link Layer Protocol;
> > 4.. the class of emission shall be G2D. Only two tones shall
modulate
> > the (said) transmitter. The maximum frequency of the highest tone
modulating
> > the (said) transmitter shall be 2200 Hz. There shall be not more than
1000
> > Hz frequency between the two tones. If a connection is not established
> > within 20 seconds, the transmitter keying control line shall be reset;
> > 5.. the Licensee shall transmit their full postcode in Morse
telegraphy:
> > 1.. at the beginning and end of each period of communication;
> > 2.. at the end of each interval of 15 minutes, when the period of
> > communication is longer than 15 minutes;
> > 3.. at the beginning of transmission on a new frequency (whenever
the
> > frequency of transmission is changed); and
> > 4.. on the same carrier frequency that is being used for
communication.
> >
> > thx in advance
> >
> > Tony
> >
> >
> >
>
>

248. Re: Two images, one EPROM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-30 13:42:09 UTC
From: Herb Aldrige <haldrige303@cox.net>
Message-ID: <RY9_9.126545$ui1.2384576@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 4245

I think you just bend-up the MSB pin on the address bus, and
either ground it, or apply Vcc with a switch. 64k ROM would then
have two 32k ROM's switch selectable.

Paccom TNC's had this switch standard I think.

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote
> I read somewhere a few years back about a way to cram two separate binary
> images into one EPROM for a TNC2, with the ability to switch back 'n forth
> between memory addresses with a jumper - something like that.

249. Re: SCS PTC2e pactor-3 s/w

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-30 16:51:40 UTC
From: Herb Aldrige <haldrige303@cox.net>
Message-ID: <wKc_9.127368$ui1.2411611@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 4247

"LM" <bd644@scn.org> wrote
> Hello
>
> Someone told me you can reload the pactor-3 BIOS when your 20
> high-speed pactor connects are used up. I tried, but there seems to be
> an embedded counter or something. After re-loading old firmware, then
> loading the new firmware, it still knows I have used up the 20 free
> pactor-3 connects.
> Any way to get around this?

Send SCS money?

250. SCS PTC2e pactor-3 s/w

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-30 16:52:38 UTC
From: nospam-bd644@scn.org
Message-ID: <3e395678.1357794@news1.attglobal.net>
Source record: 4246

Hello

Someone told me you can reload the pactor-3 BIOS when your 20
high-speed pactor connects are used up. I tried, but there seems to be
an embedded counter or something. After re-loading old firmware, then
loading the new firmware, it still knows I have used up the 20 free
pactor-3 connects.
Any way to get around this?

73,

251. Re: Cb Packet question???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-30 19:43:06 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <eff_9.23066$zF6.1661218@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 4248

The only one's that take offense are the ignorant.

They appear to be unaware that there are services other than
Ham Radio that make use of digital networking ... legally ...

The phrase "Narrow minded bigot" comes into my mind
somehow ... wonder why :-)

In any case, have fun!

--

... Hank

Chaos, panic, and disorder - my work here is done.

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

"TonyWard" <tonester1@cbuk.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
news:b1b58rqcm1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk...
> Thanks Hank,
> I,m sorry to have caused so much trouble.It was a genuine question about
> packet rather than C.B.
> Many counties in Europe have had packet radio on CB since around 1992/93. In
> fact some "cber" have written packet programmes and at its peak \I belive
> 25-35,000 stations were on the CB band.
> Many schools in Germany had a PR station and a whole net -work was in use
> maybe it still is.
>
> I belive many of the stations in Amature radio now and maybe in the future
> were introduce by the use of CB and PR/data/ 11mtr SSB.....etc etc
>
> Over here in Europe anyway ......
>
> I,m sorry if I have caused offence by posting the above question.....
>
> Have a great 2003
> Tony.
>
>
>
>
> "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
> news:xs1_9.11652$rq4.850087@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > It is very simple if you run a TNC, just a single command like "CWID ON".
> > Perhaps someone else can comment on the Baycom and sound card situation.

> > At least in the US, CW ID is no longer required, an ID in AX.25 UI is
> allowed.
> > Have fun with packet!
> >
> > If you get an Amateur Radio license ... there is even more fun ...
> >
> > --
> >
> > ... Hank
> >
> > Chaos, panic, and disorder - my work here is done.
> >
> > Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> > W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
> >
> > "TonyWard" <tonester1@cbuk.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
> > news:b19o9j$rn1$1@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk...
> > > How would you go about TXing the morse Post-code if this were the rule
> on
> > > VHF amature packet?
> > > I,m thinking you would have to have a TNC.......... not say a baycom
> unit
> > > or abe using a soundcard interface.
> > >
> > > I assume a "CW-ident" feature either in the TNC or software would be
> > > needed....
> > >
> > > In fact is this possible (easily??) or very much more complicated (it
> seems)
> > > than just a beacon with your "mycall"
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > The Secretary of State hereby gives notice pursuant to section 1(4) of
> the
> > > Wireless Telegraphy Act 1949 to holders of the Citizens' Band Radio
> Licence
> > > ("The Licensee") that, with effect from 20 December 2002, each Licence
> shall
> > > be varied as follows:
> > >
> > > 1.. In this Notice, "the Booklet" means the Citizens' Band Radio
> Licence
> > > Terms, Provisions and Limitations Booklet RA369 (REV 1), the terms of
> which
> > > form part of the Licence.

> > > 2. As from 20 December 2002, the Licence shall be read and construed as
> if:
> > >
> > > (a) the following new sub-clause 2(c) were inserted as follows:
> > >
> > > (c) in the following modes:
> > >
> > > 1.. unattended operation of a CB station;
> > > 2.. operation of a CB station in digi-peater mode or node operation;
> > > 3.. operation of all mailbox and bulletin board systems;
> > > 4.. interconnection with the Public Switch Telephone Network (PSTN)
> > >
> > >
> > > (b) a new Clause 12 were inserted as follows:
> > >
> > > " Operation by the Licensee of data on a CB station"
> > >
> > > 12. Operation by the Licensee of data on a CB station is limited to a
> > > one-to-one operation, which does not include digi-peater mode and shall
> be
> > > on the following basis:
> > >
> > > 1.. the channels shall be used in simplex mode only;
> > >
> > > 2.. the data unit interface with the Wireless Telegraphy apparatus
> > > forming a CB station shall be made only though the external microphone
> input
> > > socket and/or receive audio/speaker output socket with the aid of
> suitable
> > > cables/switch;
> > > 3.. the data modulating the transmitter shall be the AX25 Amateur
> Packet
> > > Radio Link Layer Protocol;
> > > 4.. the class of emission shall be G2D. Only two tones shall
> modulate
> > > the (said) transmitter. The maximum frequency of the highest tone
> modulating
> > > the (said) transmitter shall be 2200 Hz. There shall be not more than
> 1000
> > > Hz frequency between the two tones. If a connection is not established
> > > within 20 seconds, the transmitter keying control line shall be reset;
> > > 5.. the Licensee shall transmit their full postcode in Morse
> telegraphy:
> > > 1.. at the beginning and end of each period of communication;
> > > 2.. at the end of each interval of 15 minutes, when the period of
> > > communication is longer than 15 minutes;
> > > 3.. at the beginning of transmission on a new frequency (whenever
> the
> > > frequency of transmission is changed); and
> > > 4.. on the same carrier frequency that is being used for

> communication.
> > >
> > > thx in advance
> > >
> > > Tony
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

252. Re: Two images, one EPROM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-30 19:43:42 UTC
From: Bruce Lane <SpammersAreVermin@dev.nul>
Message-ID: <MPG.18a3204ac891528398971c@192.168.42.131>
Source record: 4249

In article <RY9_9.126545$ui1.2384576@news1.east.cox.net>,
haldrige303@cox.net says...

> I think you just bend-up the MSB pin on the address bus, and
> either ground it, or apply Vcc with a switch. 64k ROM would then
> have two 32k ROM's switch selectable.

A better way to do it, IMO, would be to make a little adapter
daughterboard that has two EPROMs on it, and a DIP header to plug into
the KPC's socket. You could then switch-select between the two chips by
controlling the CS (Chip Select) line.

Then again, that's me. ;-)

--
Bruce Lane, Owner and Head Hardware Heavy,
Blue Feather Technologies -- http://www.bluefeathertech.com
Amateur Radio: KC7GR (Formerly WD6EOS) since 12-77.
kyrrin (a/t) bluefeathertech dot-com (Reassemble to use)
"I'll get a life when someone demonstrates to me it would be superior to
what I have now..." (Taki Kogoma)

253. Ten Meter packet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-30 20:32:51 UTC
From: retireuquickly <retireuquickly@insight.rr.com>
Message-ID: <TZf_9.142135$GF.35926226@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 4250

Anyone know of any 10 meter packet frequency's?

--
Sincerely,
Scott Gordon
Independent Retire Quickly Asscociate
877-296-5114 sgordo1@bigplanet.com
Yahoo Chat ID sgordon1
http://www.retireuquickly.com Together Will Succeed
http://www.gordonsweb.net/kq8rp.html
==========

254. 10 Meter Packet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-30 20:57:42 UTC
From: retireuquickly <retireuquickly@insight.rr.com>
Message-ID: <alg_9.142140$GF.35943931@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 4151

Anyone know of any 10 meter packet frequencys?

--
Sincerely,
Scott Gordon
Independent Retire Quickly Asscociate
877-296-5114 sgordo1@bigplanet.com
Yahoo Chat ID sgordon1
http://www.retireuquickly.com Together Will Succeed
http://www.gordonsweb.net/kq8rp.html Post your Ham Link Here
==========

255. Re: Ten Meter packet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-30 23:00:16 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.52.0301302258570.25026@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 4152

On Thu, 30 Jan 2003, retireuquickly wrote:
>Anyone know of any 10 meter packet frequency's?

They are all in the 28.20-28.30 MHz range. The rest of the "restricted" part of the band (28.00-28.20) is for CW.

256. Re: Cb Packet question???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-30 23:53:28 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <YVi_9.1$Bj.404609@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4153

"Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
news:eff_9.23066$zF6.1661218@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> The only one's that take offense are the ignorant.
>

I didn't notice anybody being offended. On the other hand, this is a newsgroup dedicated to digital amateur radio, there are other newsgroups that do cover CB topics, not to mention the numerous european web sites associated with digital CB, where you can get more specific information.

I'm surprised that they require CWID for CB packet, but then again, CB in the US and CB in europe are two different things for sure. I think the europeans have a much better deal.

Charles, N5PVL

257. Re: Cb Packet question???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-31 00:08:07 UTC
From: Roger Barker <roger@peaksys.co.uk>
Message-ID: <BmjTnUAn5bO+EwjH@peaksys.co.uk>
Source record: 4154

In article <YVi_9.1$Bj.404609@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>, charlesb
<n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> writes
>
>"Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
>news:eff_9.23066$zF6.1661218@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>> The only one's that take offense are the ignorant.
>>
>
>I didn't notice anybody being offended. On the other hand, this is a
>newsgroup dedicated to digital amateur radio, there are other newsgroups
>that do cover CB topics, not to mention the numerous european web sites
>associated with digital CB, where you can get more specific information.

Does it ever cross your mind that recruitment to amateur radio might
well come from CB'ers? Probably not...

--
Roger Barker, G4IDE - roger@peaksys.co.uk
For UI-View go to - http://www.UI-View.com
For WinPack go to - http://www.peaksys.co.uk

258. Re: Cb Packet question???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-31 01:03:48 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <UXj_9.21$TG3.14339168@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4155

"Roger Barker" <roger@peaksys.co.uk> wrote in message
news:BmjTnUAn5bO+EwjH@peaksys.co.uk...
> In article <YVi_9.1$Bj.404609@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>, charlesb
> <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> writes
> >
> >
> >I didn't notice anybody being offended. On the other hand, this is a
> >newsgroup dedicated to digital amateur radio, there are other newsgroups
> >that do cover CB topics, not to mention the numerous european web sites
> >associated with digital CB, where you can get more specific information.
>
> Does it ever cross your mind that recruitment to amateur radio might
> well come from CB'ers? Probably not...

I don't remember the gentleman expressing any interest in switching hobbies.

I suppose your theory is that newsgroups dedicated to Ham Radio should also include CB, family radio, and commercial radio topics. Hey, let's not forget government radio, such as the police and firemen use. Some of those become Hams, too! And then there's the Radio Control enthusiasts, they use radio... And people who own cordless telephones and who own garage door openers, it would be unfair to leave them out. An even larger group uses microwave ovens. You'd be surprised how many Hams today owned and operated microwave ovens prior to becoming Amateur Radio operators.

Sheesh! Some of you guys are worse than old ladies. Try to get with the program, Roger. We have so many newsgroups because they are intended to cover the subject matter implied by the name.

Charles, N5PVL

259. Re: SCS PTC2e pactor-3 s/w

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-31 01:37:56 UTC
From: Captain Liberty <Barrister54@socal.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Urk_9.45230$h9.11771372@twister.socal.rr.com>
Source record: 4156

"LM" <nospam-bd644@scn.org> wrote in message
news:3e395678.1357794@news1.attglobal.net...
> Hello
>
> Someone told me you can reload the pactor-3 BIOS when your 20
> high-speed pactor connects are used up. I tried, but there seems to be
> an embedded counter or something. After re-loading old firmware, then
> loading the new firmware, it still knows I have used up the 20 free
> pactor-3 connects.
> Any way to get around this?
>
> 73,

You have to buy the upgrade or re-load the non-pactor3 compatible bios.
There is no workaround.

--

Roger J. Buffington, W6VZV
340 Sea Ray Sundancer "Bounty"

260. Re: SCS PTC2e pactor-3 s/w

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-31 01:38:34 UTC
From: Captain Liberty <Barrister54@socal.rr.com>
Message-ID: <usk_9.45231$h9.11771480@twister.socal.rr.com>
Source record: 4157

"Herb Aldrige" <haldrige303@cox.net> wrote in message
news:wKc_9.127368$ui1.2411611@news1.east.cox.net...
> "LM" <bd644@scn.org> wrote
> > Hello
> >
> > Someone told me you can reload the pactor-3 BIOS when your 20
> > high-speed pactor connects are used up. I tried, but there seems to be
> > an embedded counter or something. After re-loading old firmware, then
> > loading the new firmware, it still knows I have used up the 20 free
> > pactor-3 connects.
> > Any way to get around this?
>
> Send SCS money?
>
>
That's the only real answer other than eschewing Pactor 3

--

Roger J. Buffington, W6VZV
340 Sea Ray Sundancer "Bounty"

261. Re: 10 Meter Packet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-31 03:17:54 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <CVI_9.23887$zF6.1702326@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 4158

There used to be considerable activity just about 28.100,
and also above 28.200. Have not heard much activity
there for the past year or two.

--

... Hank

Chaos, panic, and disorder - my work here is done.

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

"retireuquickly" <retireuquickly@insight.rr.com> wrote in message
news:alg_9.142140$GF.35943931@twister.columbus.rr.com...
> Anyone know of any 10 meter packet frequencys?
>
> --
> Sincerely,
> Scott Gordon
> Independent Retire Quickly Asscociate
> 877-296-5114 sgordo1@bigplanet.com
> Yahoo Chat ID sgordon1
> http://www.retireuquickly.com Together Will Succeed
> http://www.gordonsweb.net/kq8rp.html Post your Ham Link Here
> ==============================
>
>
>

262. Re: Cb Packet question???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-31 10:24:06 UTC
From: TonyWard <tonester1@cbuk.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <b1dis8ofm1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 4159

my question was about digital radio I use for recreational purposes and I am
a radio amatuer.....!
I,ll try the UK group.

cheers

Tony.

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:UXj_9.21$TG3.14339168@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Roger Barker" <roger@peaksys.co.uk> wrote in message
> news:BmjTnUAn5bO+EwjH@peaksys.co.uk...
> > In article <YVi_9.1$Bj.404609@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>, charlesb
> > <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> writes
> > >
> > >
> > >I didn't notice anybody being offended. On the other hand, this is a
> > >newsgroup dedicated to digital amateur radio, there are other
newsgroups
> > >that do cover CB topics, not to mention the numerous european web sites
> > >associated with digital CB, where you can get more specific
information.
> >
> > Does it ever cross your mind that recruitment to amateur radio might
> > well come from CB'ers? Probably not...
>
> I don't remember the gentleman expressing any interest in switching
hobbies.
>
> I suppose your theory is that newsgroups dedicated to Ham Radio should
also
> include CB, family radio, and commercial radio topics. Hey, let's not
forget
> government radio, such as the police and firemen use. Some of those become
> Hams, too! And then there's the Radio Control enthusiasts, they use
radio...
> And people who own cordless telephones and who own garage door openers, it
> would be unfair to leave them out. An even larger group uses microwave
> ovens. You'd be surprised how many Hams today owned and operated microwave
> ovens prior to becoming Amateur Radio operators.
>
> Sheesh! Some of you guys are worse than old ladies. Try to get with the
> program, Roger. We have so many newsgroups because they are intended to
> cover the subject matter implied by the name.

>
> Charles, N5PVL
>
>
>
>

263. Re: Cb Packet question???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-01-31 14:17:14 UTC
From: John Passaneau <jxp16@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <b1e0f9slc1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 4160

Hi All:

Many hams here in the US view CB as a dirty word. Calling someone a CB'er is an order of magnitude worse than calling someone a lid. So any posting that mentions CB is going to get a bad reaction from many hams in the US. It's also true than many hams came to ham radio from CB here, but most learn to not talk about CB to other hams, as coming from CB is considered by many to be much like being a reformed wife beater, someone to be watched with suspicion until proven worthy.
So all the non US posters should be aware of these feelings hams here in the US have and not be surprised by the reaction that they get.

--
John Passaneau
Penn State University, State College Pa.
W3JXP
w3jxp@arrl.net

"Roger Barker" <roger@peaksys.co.uk> wrote in message
news:BmjTnUAn5bO+EwjH@peaksys.co.uk...
> In article <YVi_9.1$Bj.404609@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>, charlesb
> <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> writes
> >
> >"Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
> >news:eff_9.23066$zF6.1661218@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >> The only one's that take offense are the ignorant.
> >>
> >
> >I didn't notice anybody being offended. On the other hand, this is a
> >newsgroup dedicated to digital amateur radio, there are other newsgroups
> >that do cover CB topics, not to mention the numerous european web sites
> >associated with digital CB, where you can get more specific information.
>
> Does it ever cross your mind that recruitment to amateur radio might
> well come from CB'ers? Probably not...
>
> --
> Roger Barker, G4IDE - roger@peaksys.co.uk
> For UI-View go to - http://www.UI-View.com
> For WinPack go to - http://www.peaksys.co.uk

264. Re: Cb Packet question???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-01 00:10:11 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.52.0302010009420.32718@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 4161

On Fri, 31 Jan 2003, Roger Barker wrote:
>In article <YVi_9.1$Bj.404609@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>, charlesb
><n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> writes
>>
>>"Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
>>news:eff_9.23066$zF6.1661218@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>>> The only one's that take offense are the ignorant.
>>>
>>
>>I didn't notice anybody being offended. On the other hand, this is a
>>newsgroup dedicated to digital amateur radio, there are other newsgroups
>>that do cover CB topics, not to mention the numerous european web sites
>>associated with digital CB, where you can get more specific information.
>
>Does it ever cross your mind that recruitment to amateur radio might
>well come from CB'ers? Probably not...

In this country, we can do without all the bad operating practices that they bring with them.

265. Re: Cb Packet question???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-01 04:22:16 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <YXH_9.15114$rq4.1098022@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 4162

"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:Pine.LNX.4.52.0302010009420.32718@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org...
> On Fri, 31 Jan 2003, Roger Barker wrote:
> >In article <YVi_9.1$Bj.404609@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>, charlesb
> ><n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> writes
> >>
> >>"Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
> >>news:eff_9.23066$zF6.1661218@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >>> The only one's that take offense are the ignorant.
> >>>
> >>
> >>I didn't notice anybody being offended. On the other hand, this is a
> >>newsgroup dedicated to digital amateur radio, there are other newsgroups
> >>that do cover CB topics, not to mention the numerous european web sites
> >>associated with digital CB, where you can get more specific information.
> >
> >Does it ever cross your mind that recruitment to amateur radio might
> >well come from CB'ers? Probably not...
>
> In this country, we can do without all the bad operating practices that they
> bring with them.

Did I mention bigotry as well as ignorance?

--

... Hank

Chaos, panic, and disorder - my work here is done.

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

266. Re: Cb Packet question???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-01 14:54:36 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <McR_9.111$6y2.129177776@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4163

"Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
news:YXH_9.15114$rq4.1098022@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> > >Does it ever cross your mind that recruitment to amateur radio might
> > >well come from CB'ers? Probably not...
> >
> > In this country, we can do without all the bad operating practices that
they
> > bring with them.
>
> Did I mention bigotry as well as ignorance?

Well yes, there's that too, but the main problems I've noticed have been poor operating practice and lack of respect for the PART97 regs. Many CB'ers in the U.S. cut their teeth on ignoring the law concerning their operation, and when they move on to Ham Radio they often bring that attitude with them.

If your wife or daughter was out on the road alone, late at night and had the car break down on her, would you rather she call for help on CB, or on the local Ham Radio repeater?

Last time I bothered to monitor 11 meters, there were a couple of hookers having a cat-fight on the air over who had territorial rights at the local truck stop. They were using language that probably would have caused ham radio equipment to poot out sparks and die on the spot. I wonder which one of those two floozies would have ended up making "Ham of the Year" if she had decided to get into Amateur Radio?

I'll have to admit though, that your theory about bigotry and ignorance being the root of the problem has merit, because those do accurately characterize a lot of what you hear on CB, here in the US.

There is, of course, bigotry and ignorance on the Ham bands too, but comparing the two would be kind of stupid, like equating a cap pistol and a 10mm automatic because they are both "guns". The problem is worse on CB, by many orders of magnitude.

Here in the US, even people who have never personally been involved in either Ham Radio or CB can tell you that Ham Radio is generally decent and helpful, while CB Radio is full of screaming ya-hoos and bigoted, ignorant nut cases. It's general, common knowledge brought about by observation.

The main difference between Ham Radio and CB (as it pertains to this discussion) is a matter of degree... Both groups have their share of great operators, and screaming ya-hoos, of course. - But the Hams have a wildly disproportionate share of the great operators while CB has only a tiny

sample of them. On the other hand, CB comes out way ahead in the screaming ya-hoo department.

This goes far, in my opinion, in explaining why only a relatively small number of Hams are active CB'ers, and only a small number of CB'ers are active Hams. If the character of the two hobbies were not so different, there would be a lot more "cross-over" between the two, and Ham rigs for the US would have an "11-meter" position on the bandswitch that automatically put you in QRP mode (5 watts) on that band. The rig's display would then show "channel" instead of (or along with) the frequency. That feature would have been standard years ago, in the presence of significant "cross-over" between the two hobbies. - But there isn't any.

I know several great Hams who were and even still are CB'ers, but those guys are exceptional. Most US CB'ers shouldn't really be on CB, much less the Ham bands. - As any Ham or CB'er who is not trying to make some kind of bogus point will readily admit.

Charles, N5PVL

267. Re: Cb Packet question???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-01 21:18:17 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <tQW_9.26545$zF6.1910520@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 4164

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:McR_9.111$6y2.129177776@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
> news:YXH_9.15114$rq4.1098022@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >
> > > >Does it ever cross your mind that recruitment to amateur radio might
> > > >well come from CB'ers? Probably not...
> > >
> > > In this country, we can do without all the bad operating practices that
> they
> > > bring with them.
> >
> > Did I mention bigotry as well as ignorance?
>
> Well yes, there's that too, but the main problems I've noticed have been
> poor operating practice and lack of respect for the PART97 regs. Many CB'ers
> in the U.S. cut their teeth on ignoring the law concerning their operation,
> and when they move on to Ham Radio they often bring that attitude with them.
>
> If your wife or daughter was out on the road alone, late at night and had
> the car break down on her, would you rather she call for help on CB, or on
> the local Ham Radio repeater?

She uses her cell phone.

--

... Hank

Chaos, panic, and disorder - my work here is done.

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

268. MFJ-1270C Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-02 02:11:38 UTC
From: kc0nvi@qsl.net
Message-ID: <960f71c2.0302011811.2b55f619@posting.google.com>
Source record: 4165

What software can I use to connect this unit to my PC?
I'm a new ham here, and this is my first attempt at packet and setting
things up. So any help would be great.

Thank you,

73's

Randy
KC0NVI

269. Re: Satellite-based telemetry?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-02 02:33:29 UTC
From: Idontwantspam@net
Message-ID: <3e3c820e.57506329@news.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 4166
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

On Thu, 23 Jan 2003 04:22:07 GMT, "Mike Karlesky"
<mkarlesky-deletethis@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>Forgive me if this post is off topic; I wasn't sure quite where to post.
>Packet radio seemed the most closely related.
>
>I've been put to task researching satellite based telemetry systems -
>transferring small amounts of data from remote locations back to a central
>processing point. If the system being researched were to be produced, it
>would be a low-volume affair using OEM parts and systems.
>
>I could use some help getting started in the right direction. Who are the
>major data satellite service providers and who are the major producers of
>satellite telemetry transceivers?
>
>Anything you could tell me would be most appreciated. Thanks in advance.
>
Keep in mind that amateur radio, including packet, is just that:
amateur.

If there is any commercial involvement, you would need to get a
commercial license and transmit on a commercial frequency.

If you are looking for low cost off-the-shelf answers, and Internet
connections are available at all sites, another answer might be a Net
based solution. Periodic phone modem contacts might also do the job.

If you need to use radio, some idea of distance and other parameters
would be helpful. Remote could mean 10 yards, 10 miles, or 10 time
zones.

Happy trails,
Gary (net.yogi.bear)
---
at the 51st percentile of ursine intelligence

Gary D. Schwartz, Needham, MA, USA
Please reply to: garyDOTschwartzATpoboxDOTcom

270. Re: MFJ-1270C Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-02 03:30:38 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@ctc.net>
Message-ID: <UZqcnZhaLe7SPaGjXTWcqw@ctc.net>
Source record: 4167

"> What software can I use to connect this unit to my PC?
> I'm a new ham here, and this is my first attempt at packet and setting
> things up. So any help would be great.
>
> Thank you,
>
> 73's
>
> Randy
> KC0NVI

YOu can use most any ascii terminal program to start with. Windows 95 and 98 have a terminal program that will communicate with the TNC. They will not do any of the fancey stuff so ou will have to type in the commands. YOu can run hyperterminal and go to its properties and change them to the com port you want to use with the tnc and the speed to match it.

271. Re: MFJ-1270C Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-02 05:46:49 UTC
From: DxxxxxBxx <dDOTbxx@lycos.com>
Message-ID: <ckbp3v4b88qcl96b7e2h7q2f7nl8vgok3d@4ax.com>
Source record: 4168

There should be some pointers in the manual. My first TNC was an
MFJ-1270B and I think they're similar.

If you don't have a manual, there are a couple online at MFJ's
website:

http://www.mfjenterprises.com/man/pdf/MFJ-1270C.pdf

and

http://www.mfjenterprises.com/man/pdf/MFJ-1270c.pdf

When I started, I used a terminal program like the other poster
suggested. The manual links above should give you some basic
information on what commands are available. Hopefully that will get
you started.

On 1 Feb 2003 18:11:38 -0800, kc0nvi@qsl.net (Randy) wrote:

>What software can I use to connect this unit to my PC?
>I'm a new ham here, and this is my first attempt at packet and setting
>things up. So any help would be great.
>
>Thank you,
>
>73's
>
>Randy
>KC0NVI

272. TS820 Help !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-02 14:33:21 UTC
From: eric <ERIC.F5RGH@wanadoo.fr>
Message-ID: <b1ja70$5f$1@news-reader12.wanadoo.fr>
Source record: 4169

Bonjour,
Quelqu'un aurait il une petite idee sur le probleme suivant sur un ts820
Lorsque je passe en emission et que je reviens en reception il n'y a plus
aucun signal comme si le gain HF etait a 0. Au bout de 3 mm environ toute la
reception revient !!
Capa oui mais ou ??
Merci de votre aide
73 QRO F5RGH

273. Radio packet decoding with a PC Sound Card

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-02 21:49:23 UTC
From: tpzxr5@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <742b4e94.0302021349.cad354c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 4170

Can anyone point me to a site (or thread) that would help me understand how to decode packets received on my scanner, then connected to the mic input on my PC via the discriminator port on my scanner. I know it has been done before and have had some success by tapping into the bit stream using the waveIn* functions. However, I am lacking the knowledge to "decode" the data at a certain baud rate and making any sense of the bit stream.

thanks for any pointers (no pun intended)

tpzxr5

274. Re: Cb Packet question???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-02 23:03:01 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.52.0302022301160.1581@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 4171

On Sat, 1 Feb 2003, Hank Oredson wrote:
>"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
>news:Pine.LNX.4.52.0302010009420.32718@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org...
>> On Fri, 31 Jan 2003, Roger Barker wrote:
>> >In article <YVi_9.1$Bj.404609@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>, charlesb
>> ><n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> writes
>> >>
>> >>"Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
>> >>news:eff_9.23066$zF6.1661218@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>> >>> The only one's that take offense are the ignorant.
>> >>>
>> >>
>> >>I didn't notice anybody being offended. On the other hand, this is a
>> >>newsgroup dedicated to digital amateur radio, there are other newsgroups
>> >>that do cover CB topics, not to mention the numerous european web sites
>> >>associated with digital CB, where you can get more specific information.
>> >
>> >Does it ever cross your mind that recruitment to amateur radio might
>> >well come from CB'ers? Probably not...
>>
>> In this country, we can do without all the bad operating practices that they
>> bring with them.
>
>Did I mention bigotry as well as ignorance?

Have you been to Southern California lately, Hank? I cannot judge which is
worse: CB or the 147.435 repeater (the latter infamous for its users bad
operating practices).

This may not be true everywhere, but it is certainly true here. Just turn on a
CB radio and count the number of violations PER MINUTE.

275. Re: Cb Packet question???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-03 00:39:45 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <ITi%9.28963$zF6.2053536@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 4172

```
"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:Pine.LNX.4.52.0302022301160.1581@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org...
> On Sat, 1 Feb 2003, Hank Oredson wrote:
> >"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
> >news:Pine.LNX.4.52.0302010009420.32718@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org...
> >> On Fri, 31 Jan 2003, Roger Barker wrote:
> >> >In article <YVi_9.1$Bj.404609@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>, charlesb
> >> ><n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> writes
> >> >>
> >> >>"Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
> >> >>news:eff_9.23066$zF6.1661218@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >> >>> The only one's that take offense are the ignorant.
> >> >>>
> >> >>
> >> >>I didn't notice anybody being offended. On the other hand, this is a
> >> >>newsgroup dedicated to digital amateur radio, there are other newsgroups
> >> >>that do cover CB topics, not to mention the numerous european web sites
> >> >>associated with digital CB, where you can get more specific information.
> >> >
> >> >Does it ever cross your mind that recruitment to amateur radio might
> >> >well come from CB'ers? Probably not...
> >>
> >> In this country, we can do without all the bad operating practices that
they
> >> bring with them.
> >
> >Did I mention bigotry as well as ignorance?
>
> Have you been to Southern California lately, Hank? I cannot judge which is
> worse: CB or the 147.435 repeater (the latter infamous for its users bad
> operating practices).

Why do I have to travel there to hear the CBers?
I can hear them fine here.

> This may not be true everywhere, but it is certainly true here. Just turn on
a
> CB radio and count the number of violations PER MINUTE.

What does this have to do with CB in the UK or Norway?
Your observation simply proves you live in an area with a large
number of ignorant idiots. But we knew that already.
Your observation has NOTHING to do with LEGAL operations,
which was the topic of discussion. Feel free to jerk your knee though ...
```

--

... Hank

Chaos, panic, and disorder - my work here is done.

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

276. Gary Coffman: personal information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-03 02:42:56 UTC
From: Gary Coffman <ke4zv@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <QGk%9.12650$xc.903428@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 4173

Gary Coffman: personal information

NAME:
Gary Roland Coffman

AGE:
54

ADDRESS:
534 Shannon Way NW
Lawrenceville, GA 30044

HOMEPHONE:
770.963.8363

EMAIL:
ke4zv@bellsouth.net
ke4zv@radio.org

277. Re: Gary Coffman: personal information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-03 03:56:51 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <7MI%9.18584$rq4.1356414@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 4174

Aw ... you're still a young fella!

--

... Hank

Chaos, panic, and disorder - my work here is done.

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

"Gary Coffman" <ke4zv@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:QGk%9.12650$xc.903428@twister.austin.rr.com...
> Gary Coffman: personal information
>
> NAME:
> Gary Roland Coffman
>
> AGE:
> 54
>
> ADDRESS:
> 534 Shannon Way NW
> Lawrenceville, GA 30044
>
> HOMEPHONE:
> 770.963.8363
>
> EMAIL:
> ke4zv@bellsouth.net
> ke4zv@radio.org
>
>

278. MixW 2.07 General Release now available for downloading

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-03 04:48:31 UTC
From: Jim Jaffe <jaffejim@drugteach.org>
Message-ID: <b1ksb1$f09$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 4175

Hi for your information MixW 2.07 is now available for general release..
This is NOT a beta version for the full version fully de-bugged. Go to:
www.nvbb.net/~jaffejim/mixwpage.htm and have a ball. Here are the changes:
MixW 2.07:
- SSTV Drag'nDrop
- Q15AX25 support. Note: Q15X25dll.dll is not included to the distribution
due to licensing limitation. Download and install the dll separately.
- Resizing history bitmaps.
- MT63 manual tuning & secondary channel.
- MACRO <MARKERS:xx,yy,zz,tt,ww>.
- Auto-created macros like <WX?>.
- One level recursion like <CATCMD:PO<POWER>>.
- Friends file support <INIFILE,HI,?C>.
- Telnet echo on/off toggle.
- MT63 secondary RX, manual tuning.
- Set markers macro <MARKERS:1000,1020> (up to five frequencies).
- Transmitting pictures in MFSK16 mode.
- International characters transmission support, including Japanese.
hieroglyphs. See menu Mode - Character encoding, UTF8, JIS etc.
- QslPrint executable allows printing a huge amount of QSLs and labels.
- Cabrillo support for BARTG Sprint and BARTG Spring (AKA BARTG-RTTY).
Best 73 and have fun..Jim, WA2VOS

279. Re: MFJ-1270C Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-03 10:29:51 UTC
From: "J." <me@AvoidSpam.com>
Message-ID: <Xns93173828E5387jacques1magmaca@64.26.141.46>
Source record: 4176

DxxxxxBxx <dDOTbxx@lycos.com> wrote in
news:ckbp3v4b88qcl96b7e2h7q2f7nl8vgok3d@4ax.com:

> There should be some pointers in the manual. My first TNC was an
> MFJ-1270B and I think they're similar.
>
> If you don't have a manual, there are a couple online at MFJ's
> website:
>
> http://www.mfjenterprises.com/man/pdf/MFJ-1270C.pdf
>
> and
>
> http://www.mfjenterprises.com/man/pdf/MFJ-1270c.pdf
>
> When I started, I used a terminal program like the other poster
> suggested. The manual links above should give you some basic
> information on what commands are available. Hopefully that will get
> you started.
>
>
>
>
> On 1 Feb 2003 18:11:38 -0800, kc0nvi@qsl.net (Randy) wrote:
>
>>What software can I use to connect this unit to my PC?
>>I'm a new ham here, and this is my first attempt at packet and setting
>>things up. So any help would be great.
>>
>>Thank you,
>>
>>73's
>>
>>Randy
>>KC0NVI
>
>
Try searching for XPWare on the Web. That is an allmode TNC package that works
with most TNC's out there. Quite a detailed and easy to use program.

280. Re: Gary Coffman: personal information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-03 18:00:34 UTC
From: O-Dawg <fred@fred.com>
Message-ID: <6ebt3vgov867fjbibb4ogn9rfihorir0gs@4ax.com>
Source record: 4177

On Mon, 03 Feb 2003 02:42:56 GMT, "Gary Coffman" <ke4zv@bellsouth.net>
wrote:

>Gary Coffman: personal information
>
>NAME:
>Gary Roland Coffman
>
>AGE:
>54
>
>ADDRESS:
>534 Shannon Way NW
>Lawrenceville, GA 30044
>
>HOMEPHONE:
>770.963.8363
>
>EMAIL:
>ke4zv@bellsouth.net
>ke4zv@radio.org
>

I do not wish to be uncaring but...

why do we want or need to know this? Or
is it a forged post?

281. Re: Cb Packet question???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-03 22:54:54 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.52.0302032254070.2185@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 4178

```
On Mon, 3 Feb 2003, Hank Oredson wrote:
>"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
>news:Pine.LNX.4.52.0302022301160.1581@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org...
>> On Sat, 1 Feb 2003, Hank Oredson wrote:
>> >"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
>> >news:Pine.LNX.4.52.0302010009420.32718@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org...
>> >> On Fri, 31 Jan 2003, Roger Barker wrote:
>> >> >In article <YVi_9.1$Bj.404609@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>, charlesb
>> >> ><n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> writes
>> >> >>
>> >> >>"Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
>> >> >>news:eff_9.23066$zF6.1661218@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>> >> >>> The only one's that take offense are the ignorant.
>> >> >>>
>> >> >>
>> >> >>I didn't notice anybody being offended. On the other hand, this is a
>> >> >>newsgroup dedicated to digital amateur radio, there are other newsgroups
>> >> >>that do cover CB topics, not to mention the numerous european web sites
>> >> >>associated with digital CB, where you can get more specific information.
>> >> >
>> >> >Does it ever cross your mind that recruitment to amateur radio might
>> >> >well come from CB'ers? Probably not...
>> >>
>> >> In this country, we can do without all the bad operating practices that
>they
>> >> bring with them.
>> >
>> >Did I mention bigotry as well as ignorance?
>>
>> Have you been to Southern California lately, Hank? I cannot judge which is
>> worse: CB or the 147.435 repeater (the latter infamous for its users bad
>> operating practices).
>
>Why do I have to travel there to hear the CBers?
>I can hear them fine here.

But without hearing the 147.435 repeater, you can't make the comparison.

>> This may not be true everywhere, but it is certainly true here. Just turn on
>a
>> CB radio and count the number of violations PER MINUTE.
>
>What does this have to do with CB in the UK or Norway?
>Your observation simply proves you live in an area with a large
>number of ignorant idiots. But we knew that already.
```

>Your observation has NOTHING to do with LEGAL operations,
>which was the topic of discussion. Feel free to jerk your knee though ...

You changed the topic to the bigotry of amateur radio over CB, not I.

282. Re: Cb Packet question???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-04 03:22:44 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <8mG%9.20145$rq4.1469641@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 4179

"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:Pine.LNX.4.52.0302032254070.2185@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org...

<babble babble>

Um ... CBers was the topic.
No problem hearing 'em here on HF.

Nothing to do with this thread though, since it was
about LEGAL CB operation using digital modes.

I just poked at the "anti-CB" bigots a bit ... and you bit ... and
took bit between your teeth ... and ran on and on with the diversion :-)

Point made, I'm gone, cheerio!

--

... Hank

Chaos, panic, and disorder - my work here is done.

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

283. Re: Q15X25 - Freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-04 10:25:04 UTC
From: mtroth <mtroth1@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <4yM%9.70263$G83.1291@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 4180

<rh3x@erols.com> wrote in message
news:3os23vco6fvohum3e2ia75s2ulalba748t@4ax.com...
> Would like to test Q15X25 using MixW. Anyone active on that mode?
>
> What freqs is it being used? 14.109.5 ? (nil heard there).
>
> Regards,
>
> de Rich/N2JR
>

Have heard em up on 21.133 usb...

AA0N
>

284. Re: Cb Packet question???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-04 23:33:08 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.52.0302042332390.174@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 4181

On Tue, 4 Feb 2003, Hank Oredson wrote:
>I just poked at the "anti-CB" bigots a bit ... and you bit ... and
>took bit between your teeth ... and ran on and on with the diversion :-)
>
>Point made, I'm gone, cheerio!

So, in other words, you're a "Troll."

285. Scope Pic - Elecraft K2 CW Envelope

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-05 12:34:34 UTC
From: JS <wd1v@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <wd1v-13EA35.07343305022003@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 4182

Everyone knows K2 rigs hear great and sound great. It should come as no surprise that on scope screens, K2s also look great!

Here is a link to a really gorgeous K2 Keying Envelope created with a high performance digital scope using deep (24 Million Sample) deep memory.

http://www.qsl.net/wd1v/LECROY.JPEG

72/73
John Seney, WD1V [FN42]
QRP ARCI 10345 K2 #1388
FIST #5014 CC: 1151
http://www.qsl.net/wd1v
HP Velo Recumbent Biker

286. Re: Scope Pic - Elecraft K2 CW Envelope

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-05 14:34:52 UTC
From: jamspam@nowhere.com
Message-ID: <Xns931942F272C38w7tidslextremecom@216.168.3.50>
Source record: 4183

JS <wd1v@amsat.org> wrote in news:wd1v-13EA35.07343305022003
@netnews.attbi.com:

> Here is a link to a really gorgeous K2 Keying Envelope created with
> a high performance digital scope using deep (24 Million Sample)
> deep memory.
>

Very nice. Maybe a little sharp on the trailing edge, but that's about as
good as it gets.

73, Bill W7TI

287. Sound card "out" signal on "in"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-05 18:40:26 UTC
From: cprstn54zz@att.net
Message-ID: <3e4157dd.150979093@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 4184

I am using a Rascal (like a Rigblaster). It isolates the sound card signals and does not mix them.

It appears that the sound card output signal is present on the signal sent to my software as sound card input. So, if I am sending CW to the Rascal, software that displays sound-card-in shows my CW.

It happens on different PC's, different Rascals, different operatin systems (win98 and XP) and different radios. I assume it is something the Windows controls are doing, or maybe the sound card itself (for which I have no documentation).

The MIC Volume Control in windows is muted, so it is not coming in that way.

Can someone shed some light? I would like to be able to adjust the amount of this pseudo-feedback higher. I like to decode my own CW and log it; this lets me do that.

Ken KC2JDY

Ken
(to reply via email
remove "zz" from address)

288. Re: Sound card "out" signal on "in"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-05 19:19:06 UTC
From: cprstn54zz@att.net
Message-ID: <3e41624a.153647765@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 4185

On Wed, 05 Feb 2003 18:40:26 GMT, cprstn54zz@att.net (Ken) wrote:
>Can someone shed some light?

It appears to come from the shielded splitters I have in the sound card jacks. Whe they are close, I get the feedback. When they are separated, the feedback becomes negligible.

Maybe I can run a lead from the OUT splitter to the MIC. Then I can unmute MIC in Windows and set the control low. . .

This should work with sound card radio. I have to mute MIC for normal sound card stuff, tho.

Ken KC2JDY

Ken
(to reply via email
remove "zz" from address)

289. Re: Sound card "out" signal on "in"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-06 12:07:24 UTC
From: cprstn54zz@att.net
Message-ID: <3e424f13.435515@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 4186

On Wed, 05 Feb 2003 19:19:06 GMT, cprstn54zz@att.net (Ken) wrote:
>Maybe I can run a lead from the OUT splitter to the MIC. Then I can
>unmute MIC in Windows and set the control low. . .

Continuing this conversation with myself, this does not work. The mic input seems to be ignored. I suspect the Recording Level option in Windows is esclusive: either Line or Mic, but not both.

In order to feed the sound card OUT back into the IN, a external stereo mixer would be required -- to mix the radio RX with the sound card OUT. Fortunately -- owning one of everything Radio Shack ever made -- I have one and will try that next.

Ken KC2JDY

Ken
(to reply via email
remove "zz" from address)

290. Re: Sound card "out" signal on "in"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-06 17:41:00 UTC
From: KA9CAR <ka9car@a_r_r_l_.net>
Message-ID: <b1u6nb$159n$1@news1.tribune.com>
Source record: 4187

There are TWO sets of level controls in the sound card software. Often to find them you have to click on properties or something like that.

You wan to turn ON your line in in the RECORD screen, but turn it OFF in the PLAYBACK screen.

Otherwise it will always output what it hears.

JD
KA9CAR

"Ken" <cprstn54zz@att.net> wrote in message
news:3e424f13.435515@news-server.optonline.net...
> On Wed, 05 Feb 2003 19:19:06 GMT, cprstn54zz@att.net (Ken) wrote:
> >Maybe I can run a lead from the OUT splitter to the MIC. Then I can
> >unmute MIC in Windows and set the control low. . .
>
> Continuing this conversation with myself, this does not work. The mic
> input seems to be ignored. I suspect the Recording Level option in
> Windows is esclusive: either Line or Mic, but not both.
>
> In order to feed the sound card OUT back into the IN, a external
> stereo mixer would be required -- to mix the radio RX with the sound
> card OUT. Fortunately -- owning one of everything Radio Shack ever
> made -- I have one and will try that next.
>
> Ken KC2JDY
>
> Ken
> (to reply via email
> remove "zz" from address)

291. Rewinding Digital Tape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-06 17:55:17 UTC
From: videoguy <hammy.news.invalid@web2news.net>
Message-ID: <14415N117@web2news.com>
Source record: 4188

Recently someone posted the best way to unscrew and rewind a mini
digital video tape. Would appreciate your posting that again or better
yet sending the method to my email: bob@infocuscommunications.com
Thanks.
--
Direct access to this group with http://web2news.com
http://web2news.com/?rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

292. Building modem, protocol?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-07 23:26:13 UTC
From: "Robert DeHate"
Message-ID: <pgX0a.21792$2H6.581@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 4189

I am new to ham and want to send 1200 baud telemetry data.
I have a HTX-404 that I will use for the transmitter.
This is only going to be one way communication.
The transmitter modem will be built out of a PIC and use AFSK at 1200/2200.
The PIC will also sample analog signals and that is the data that will be
sent.

My question is this:
Can I just transmit using an RS-232 protocol for bit timing?
Also do I have to create packets?

I couldn't find much info on how bytes are formatted and how packets are
formatted.

As for sending my call sign, would I be able to send it with my protocol?
I can just send it with morse code easy enough.

Thanks for any info.
Robert DeHate

293. Hamradio Web Site in Chile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-08 04:33:48 UTC
From: Portal XMAIL <xmail@xmail.cl>
Message-ID: <3e448971@news.psinet.cl>
Source record: 4190

Hi all

we invite to you to the QSO.CL. The Chilean Ham Radio Website. Now is in spanish, we have hope translate to english in the future

73's ES Dx's

Sin ningún tipo de contrato o atadura, la conexión gratuita de www.qso.cl. Tasa de transferencia garantizada desde 4.1 KB. Solo pagarás el SLM de una llamada local. Ni un peso más.

QSO.CL el Portal de los Radioaficionados de Chile.

294. CW/SSB frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-08 20:52:14 UTC
From: cprstn54zz@att.net
Message-ID: <3e456dfc.79655109@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 4191

I must be a moron because I can't remember this.

If I want to send a 700 Hz CW CQ at, say, 144.200, but am emulating CW
in SSB, what should I set my USB frequency to so that hams listening
for CW on 144.200 will hear my 700 Hz signal?

Ken KC2JDY

Ken
(to reply via email
remove "zz" from address)

295. RCA Digitial Cam. made for webtv for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-08 21:19:32 UTC
From: rattlesnake316stunner@webtv.net
Message-ID: <875-3E457464-172@storefull-2354.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 4192

RCA CDS1005 is a digital camra for webtv plus.Great for downloading images on webtv plus with the video output jack and cable included.Camera features VGA resolution(350,000 pixel sensor),built in flash and auto timer.Original box and instructions included. $40 or best offer.
e-mail me

296. Re: CW/SSB frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-09 02:42:33 UTC
From: W7TI <jamspam@upyours.now>
Message-ID: <Xns931CBE51090E5pookster@216.168.3.50>
Source record: 4193

cprstn54zz@att.net (Ken) wrote in news:3e456dfc.79655109@news-server.optonline.net:

> If I want to send a 700 Hz CW CQ at, say, 144.200, but am emulating CW
> in SSB, what should I set my USB frequency to so that hams listening
> for CW on 144.200 will hear my 700 Hz signal?
>
> Ken KC2JDY
>
>

Set your radio dial 700 Hz lower than 14,200.000, namely 14,199.300. That becomes the frequency of the suppressed carrier, and on USB, the 700 Hz tone will be added to it, giving you 14,200.000.

If you were doing this using LSB, you'd set your radio 700 Hz higher and the tone would be subtracted.

73, Bill W7TI

297. Re: CW/SSB frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-09 04:11:59 UTC
From: William Warren <valhalla.nospam@timesucker.homelinux.org>
Message-ID: <jyk1a.41332$be.30019@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 4194

"W7TI" <jamspam@upyours.now> wrote in message
news:Xns931CBE51090E5pookster@216.168.3.50...
> cprstn54zz@att.net (Ken) wrote in news:3e456dfc.79655109@news-
> server.optonline.net:
>
> > If I want to send a 700 Hz CW CQ at, say, 144.200, but am emulating CW
> > in SSB, what should I set my USB frequency to so that hams listening
> > for CW on 144.200 will hear my 700 Hz signal?
> >
> > Ken KC2JDY
> >
> >
> ____
>
> Set your radio dial 700 Hz lower than 14,200.000, namely 14,199.300.
> That becomes the frequency of the suppressed carrier, and on USB, the 700
> Hz tone will be added to it, giving you 14,200.000.
>
> If you were doing this using LSB, you'd set your radio 700 Hz higher and
> the tone would be subtracted.
>
> 73, Bill W7TI

It occurs to me that there's a possible problem there. If the sender is transmitting on exactly 14,200, and those listening set *their* rigs to that frequency, then (assuming identical rigs), the signal would be inaudible down in the zero-beat dead zone.

A better idea is to set your rig on 14,200 and use a 700Hz tone, so that you're transmitting on 14,200.700. That way, if the receiver is set to 14,199.300, they will hear a 1400 Hz tone and still know you're there.

This is, of course, a *very* old debate, frequency vs. suppressed carrier frequency vs. actually frequency. Some services use the center of the passband as the nominal frequency, while others rely on suppressed carrier frequency. Most Ham rigs automatically offset their "carrier" frequency ~700 Hz from the true transmit frequency so that operators who tune for a 700 Hz note will match the transmitting station's frequency.

HTH.

Bill

298. Re: CW/SSB frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-09 04:28:57 UTC
From: W7TI <jamspam@upyours.now>
Message-ID: <Xns931CD05B5EBE3pookster@216.168.3.50>
Source record: 4195

"William Warren" <valhalla.nospam@timesucker.homelinux.org> wrote in
news:jyk1a.41332$be.30019@rwcrnsc53:

> It occurs to me that there's a possible problem there. If the sender
> is transmitting on exactly 14,200, and those listening set *their*
> rigs to that frequency, then (assuming identical rigs), the signal
> would be inaudible down in the zero-beat dead zone.
>

No, it would still be audible because the BFO is always offset. Otherwise
reception would not be possible on that or any frequency. Setting the BFO
right in the center of the bandpass doesn't work and nobody does it.
That's true whether receiving CW or SSB.

73, Bill W7TI

299. Kantronics KAM question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-09 04:55:47 UTC
From: res075cz <res075cz@gte.net>
Message-ID: <nbl1a.8580$9y2.6094@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 4196

I have a KAM that I bought a lot of years ago. Through the years I have upgraded the microprocessor and now it has KAM-E 7.0.

I stopped using digital a few years ago. Now I have decided to enjoy digital once again. I made, and then bought an interface to use with my computer's sound card. This enabled me to run PSK31, RTTY and SSTV, which work very well for me. However, I would like to run Pactor and Amtor again. I find I cannot do that with the sound card except on receive in some cases.

So, I have some questions about putting my old KAM back in action. I know that Kantonics has upgraded to new models of the KAM and I wonder if I have an obsolete unit. Can my microprocessor be updated from the KAM-E 7.0? Also, can SSTV and PSK be run on the KAM? If not, I guess I could make a switching system to go from the sound card to the KAM.

I checked the Kantronics Internet page but find no reference to upgrading the older KAMs.

Any assistance would be appreciated.
--
73 de Jim, K5ROV...

James (Jim) Parsons, K5ROV, CMSgt (E-9), USAF, Ret., San Angelo, TX
Ham for over 60 yrs. 70% CW, 20 % digital, 10 % phone.
k5rov@arrl.net, QCWA, ARCI, Fists, ARRL, ARMS.
EX: W1RLA, K5FBB, K4FEO, SV0WN (CRETE), SV0WN (RHODES),
DL4NC, DL4JP, KA2FC (JAPAN), KA2JP (JAPAN).
JOHN 3:16

300. Re: CW/SSB frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-09 11:34:14 UTC
From: cprstn54zz@att.net
Message-ID: <3e463b9a.132294156@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 4197

On Sun, 09 Feb 2003 02:42:33 -0000, W7TI <jamspam@upyours.now> wrote:
>Set your radio dial 700 Hz lower than 14,200.000, namely 14,199.300.
>That becomes the frequency of the suppressed carrier, and on USB, the 700
>Hz tone will be added to it, giving you 14,200.000.
>If you were doing this using LSB, you'd set your radio 700 Hz higher and
>the tone would be subtracted.

Thank you. I will print this out and stick it on the radio.

What about listening? Assuming I am TXing on 144.199.300 in order to
reach CW listeners tuned to 144.200, what USB frequency should I
listen on? Should I use split VFO and listen on 144.200 USB?

Ken KC2JDY

Ken
(to reply via email
remove "zz" from address)

301. Re: Gary Coffman: personal information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-09 12:06:04 UTC
From: hamish@cloud.net.au
Message-ID: <3e46442c$0$8831$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 4198

Gary Coffman <ke4zv@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> Gary Coffman: personal information
>
> NAME:

Looks like a fake post. I doubt Gary has switched from Forte Agent to
Outlook Express 6 - nobody in their right mind would.

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@debian.org> <hamish@cloud.net.au>

302. Re: Gary Coffman: personal information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-09 14:20:07 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <rst1a.30$Fv7.26199171@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4199

<hamish@cloud.net.au> wrote in message
news:3e46442c$0$8831$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
> Gary Coffman <ke4zv@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> > Gary Coffman: personal information
> >
> > NAME:
>
> Looks like a fake post. I doubt Gary has switched from Forte Agent to
> Outlook Express 6 - nobody in their right mind would.
>

I just ignored it, figuring it was bogus one way or another.

Charles, N5PVL

303. Re: CW/SSB frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-09 14:22:50 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@ctc.net>
Message-ID: <6SudneDRH5h679ujXTWcpg@ctc.net>
Source record: 4200

> > > If I want to send a 700 Hz CW CQ at, say, 144.200, but am emulating CW
> > > in SSB, what should I set my USB frequency to so that hams listening
> > > for CW on 144.200 will hear my 700 Hz signal?
> > >
> > > Ken KC2JDY
> > >
> > >
> > _____
> >
> > Set your radio dial 700 Hz lower than 14,200.000, namely 14,199.300.
> > That becomes the frequency of the suppressed carrier, and on USB, the
700
> > Hz tone will be added to it, giving you 14,200.000.
> >
> > If you were doing this using LSB, you'd set your radio 700 Hz higher and
> > the tone would be subtracted.
> >
> > 73, Bill W7TI
>
> It occurs to me that there's a possible problem there. If the sender is
> transmitting on exactly 14,200, and those listening set *their* rigs to
that
> frequency, then (assuming identical rigs), the signal would be inaudible
> down in the zero-beat dead zone.
>
> A better idea is to set your rig on 14,200 and use a 700Hz tone, so that
> you're transmitting on 14,200.700. That way, if the receiver is set to
> 14,199.300, they will hear a 1400 Hz tone and still know you're there.
>
You have it correct. If you want to simulate a cw signal using ssb then you
inject the tone into the mic jack that you want to receiver to hear. That
is if you the receiver is set to 144.200 , you set your transmtter to
144.200 an what ever frequency you inject in the transmitter mic jack will
come out of the receiver.

It is when you transmitt cw by the normal method of turning off and on a
carrier that you have to pay attention of where the transmitter is actually
at in relationship to the receiver.

While not usually noted, most of the modern receivers are set to listen on
the upper side of the so called carrier frequency so the transmitters are
also set that way. Some of the transceivers will even have a cw "reverse"
position on them. This is where the dial frequency vers the true frequency
of transmitters and receivers become confusing.

304. DSP freeware?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-09 15:55:32 UTC
From: cprstn54zz@att.net
Message-ID: <3e46797f.10694765@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 4051

Is there any DSP freeware that works for voice?

I found FFTDSP for $32 and SkySweeper for $95.

Ken KC2JDY

Ken
(to reply via email
remove "zz" from address)

305. Re: CW/SSB frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-09 16:25:00 UTC
From: W7TI <jamspam@upyours.now>
Message-ID: <Xns931D559E09917pookster@216.168.3.50>
Source record: 4052

cprstn54zz@att.net (Ken) wrote in news:3e463b9a.132294156@news-server.optonline.net:

> What about listening? Assuming I am TXing on 144.199.300 in order to
> reach CW listeners tuned to 144.200, what USB frequency should I
> listen on? Should I use split VFO and listen on 144.200 USB?
>

Your radio dial shows 144,199.300, but your actual RF going out is 700 Hz higher, on 144,200.000.

How someone listens to it depends on which mode they have chosen: CW or SSB. If CW, just set the receiver to 144,200.000 and the receiver's BFO will automatically be offset to produce a tone. Using SSB, set the receiver to 144,199.300 (if upper side band) and you will get a 700 Hz tone.

I recommend listening to CW using the CW mode instead of SSB because your radio's dial will indicate the actual transmitted frequency. When using SSB you have to mentally add or subtract the frequency of the audio tone to calculate the original transmitted frequency.

Bill, W7TI

306. Re: CW/SSB frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-09 16:29:19 UTC
From: W7TI <jamspam@upyours.now>
Message-ID: <Xns931D565A2694pookster@216.168.3.50>
Source record: 4053

"Ralph Mowery" <rmowery@ctc.net> wrote in
news:6SudneDRH5h679ujXTWcpg@ctc.net:

> You have it correct. If you want to simulate a cw signal using ssb
> then you inject the tone into the mic jack that you want to receiver
> to hear. That is if you the receiver is set to 144.200 , you set your
> transmtter to 144.200 an what ever frequency you inject in the
> transmitter mic jack will come out of the receiver.
>

The above is correct IF both transmitter and receiver are set to the same dial frequency and same sideband (upper or lower). The complication comes when the transmitter is in sideband mode (emulating CW) and the receiver is in actual CW mode. See my previous post for additional info.

Bill, W7TI

307. Re: DSP freeware?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-09 16:31:20 UTC
From: W7TI <jamspam@upyours.now>
Message-ID: <Xns931D56B1A5B01pookster@216.168.3.50>
Source record: 4054

cprstn54zz@att.net (Ken) wrote in news:3e46797f.10694765@news-server.optonline.net:

> Is there any DSP freeware that works for voice?
>

Subscribe to alt.comp.freeware. The folks there are incredibly knowledgeable and friendly too.

Bill, W7TI

308. Re: Kantronics KAM question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-10 02:41:03 UTC
From: Joseph Fenn <jfenn@lava.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.BSI.4.44.0302091639070.12608-100000@malasada.lava.net>
Source record: 4055

Rom Version 7 should get you up and running in AMTOR and PACTOR.
Sound card stuff is fun stuff but not for msg traffic integrity.
sound card is about the equivalent of calling CQ and then
hearing what you can as it fades in and out if wonditions are poor.
Joe (aka kilroy)

****
* Ham KH6JF AARS/MARS ABM6JF QCWA WW2 VET WD RADIO *
****

309. Re: Kantronics KAM question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-10 03:00:14 UTC
From: "Bill, W7TI" <jamspam@upyours.now>
Message-ID: <Xns931DC152A27DDpookster@216.168.3.50>
Source record: 4056

Joseph Fenn <jfenn@lava.net> wrote in
news:Pine.BSI.4.44.0302091639070.12608-100000@malasada.lava.net:

> sound card is about the equivalent of calling CQ and then
> hearing what you can as it fades in and out if wonditions are poor.
> Joe (aka kilroy)
>

I hate it when wonditions are poor. Nothing beats a GOOD wondition.

--
Bill, W7TI

310. Re: Kantronics KAM question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-10 12:03:44 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <AyM1a.56$Vb6.80042148@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4057

"Bill, W7TI" <jamspam@upyours.now> wrote in message
news:Xns931DC152A27DDpookster@216.168.3.50...
> Joseph Fenn <jfenn@lava.net> wrote in

>
> I hate it when wonditions are poor. Nothing beats a GOOD wondition.
>

Once I got a piece of thin tissue paper, put it together with a comb, and
was able to do a pretty good wondition of "turkey in the straw"...

Practicing with the same paper/comb rig as a child, I found that I was
finally able to imitate those distorted voices I used to hear on my father's
shortwave reciever. Having this ability under my belt, I knew I was at last
ready to become a ham radio operator. I had been able to decypher the
garbled voices on shortwave for years, but now I could produce them as well!

Charles, N5PVL

311. Re: Kantronics KAM question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-10 16:32:53 UTC
From: "Bill, W7TI" <jamspam@upyours.now>
Message-ID: <Xns931E56F4CC3Fpookster@216.168.3.50>
Source record: 4058

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in
news:AyM1a.56$Vb6.80042148@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com:

> Once I got a piece of thin tissue paper, put it together with a comb,
> and was able to do a pretty good wondition of "turkey in the straw"...
>
> Practicing with the same paper/comb rig as a child, I found that I was
> finally able to imitate those distorted voices I used to hear on my
> father's shortwave reciever. Having this ability under my belt, I knew
> I was at last ready to become a ham radio operator. I had been able to
> decypher the garbled voices on shortwave for years, but now I could
> produce them as well!
>

And I thought *I* had too much time on my hands..... :-)

--
Bill, W7TI

312. KAM question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-10 16:54:06 UTC
From: fch <na@hle.com>
Message-ID: <3E47D92E.FD793AA8@hle.com>
Source record: 4060

Is a computer needed with a KAM Plus unit or just dumb
terminal.
Very new to this of course.
With a KAM, can you not have to use a sound card at all.

How does one normally tune in, for example, a PACTOR
signal?

313. FYI: Internet Jargon Defined i.e., BTW, FAQ, FYI, IOW, IMHO, RTFM, ROTFL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-10 17:06:49 UTC
From: AC6V <none@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <J_Q1a.11981$q91.1457025@news2.west.cox.net>
Source record: 4059

FYI: Internet Jargon Defined i.e., BTW, FAQ, FYI, IOW, IMHO, RTFM, ROTFL

At last a source for the Alphabet Soup
http://kb.indiana.edu/data/adkc.html

--
Caveat Lector - Ya All
I Doubt, Therefore I Might Be
73 From AC6V, who is QBF most of the time !!
Rod In Oceanside, CA
Home Page http://ac6v.com
DX Book http://ac6v.com/DXSAMPLE.htm

314. Re: KAM question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-10 17:47:01 UTC
From: "Bill, W7TI" <jamspam@upyours.now>
Message-ID: <Xns931E63867841Epookster@216.168.3.50>
Source record: 4061

fch <na@hle.com> wrote in news:3E47D92E.FD793AA8@hle.com:

> Is a computer needed with a KAM Plus unit or just dumb
> terminal.
> Very new to this of course.
> With a KAM, can you not have to use a sound card at all.
>
> How does one normally tune in, for example, a PACTOR
> signal?
>
>

---

1. Yes, a computer is needed to "talk" to the KAM. The KAM must receive directions on what mode to use, whether to TX or RX, and so on. It has a lot of brains, but not that much.

2. No sound card needed with a KAM.

3. Pactor uses the same shift as ordinary RTTY and tunes the same way.

BTW, since you're new with the KAM, be aware that it has two modes: Terminal and Host. Host mode is the more capable by far, but Terminal works fine within it's limitations. You don't need any special software for Terminal mode; Window's built-in HyperTerm program will do. You do have to purchase software to run in Host mode, but I think you'll find it FAR more convenient and I highly recommend it. And one other thing. If you use Host software and have it set to leave the KAM in Host mode after shutdown, you will not be able to access the KAM using only Terminal mode software the next time. If you don't know this little fact, it can drive you nuts.

Don't ask me how I know. :-)

--
Bill, W7TI

315. Re: KAM question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-10 18:17:39 UTC
From: "R. Scott" <washingtonham@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3E47ECC3.373DD0E5@yahoo.com>
Source record: 4062

>
> 1. Yes, a computer is needed to "talk" to the KAM. The KAM must
> receive directions on what mode to use, whether to TX or RX, and so on.
> It has a lot of brains, but not that much.

One Small Nit, You could use a dumb Terminal if it uses RS232. You can use the Control keys to control it, a bit combersome but doable. I used one on a KAM long long ago (Bought mine in 1985). You said so when you said TERMINAL Mode :).

> have to purchase software to run in Host mode, but I think you'll find
> it FAR more convenient and I highly recommend it. And one other thing.

I recommend XPWIN. Great program.

> If you use Host software and have it set to leave the KAM in Host mode
> after shutdown, you will not be able to access the KAM using only
> Terminal mode software the next time. If you don't know this little
> fact, it can drive you nuts.
>
> Don't ask me how I know. :-)

I do, I did it :D

Scotty N7HJ

316. Re: KAM question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-10 20:58:15 UTC
From: Joseph Fenn <jfenn@lava.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.BSI.4.44.0302101056000.16023-100000@malasada.lava.net>
Source record: 4063

Yep a computer is needed. Software can be most any softwear for
the system you are using long as it is a Terminal program such
as VT102 emulators, Procomm, pakratt, kams own software etc.
Joe/KH6JF

****
* Ham KH6JF AARS/MARS ABM6JF QCWA WW2 VET WD RADIO *
****

317. Re: KAM question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-10 21:15:58 UTC
From: "Bill, W7TI" <jamspam@upyours.now>
Message-ID: <Xns931E86F3EEC8Epookster@216.168.3.50>
Source record: 4064

"R. Scott" <washingtonham@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:3E47ECC3.373DD0E5@yahoo.com:

> I used one on a KAM long long ago (Bought mine in 1985). You said
> so when you said TERMINAL Mode :).
>
>

I think you're right. I was thinking of using a computer to emulate a
dumb terminal, therefore thinking you needed an actual computer.
These Senior Moments are coming more and more often lately. :-)
Sorry 'bout dat.

--
Bill, W7TI

318. Re: KAM question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-11 02:25:06 UTC
From: Marco S Hyman <marc@snafu.org>
Message-ID: <x7ptpza70d.fsf@hana.snafu.org>
Source record: 4065

> Yep a computer is needed. Software can be most any softwear for
> the system you are using long as it is a Terminal program such
> as VT102 emulators, Procomm, pakratt, kams own software etc.

In other words, a computer is NOT needed. Instead of a computer
running a Terminal program to emulate a VT102 you could just use
a VT102 (or just about any other dumb terminal).

// marc

319. Re: DSP freeware?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-11 04:38:25 UTC
From: wb5tga@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030210233825.15849.00001288@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 4066

>Subject: DSP freeware?
>From: cprstn54zz@att.net (Ken)
>Date: 2/9/2003 9:55 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3e46797f.10694765@news-server.optonline.net>
>
>Is there any DSP freeware that works for voice?
>
>I found FFTDSP for $32 and SkySweeper for $95.
>
>Ken KC2JDY
>
>Ken
>(to reply via email
>remove "zz" from address)
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
Stop being a cheap person and support the software people? Freeloaders....

320. Re: KAM question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-11 04:40:00 UTC
From: Joseph Fenn <jfenn@lava.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.BSI.4.44.0302101839200.28010-100000@malasada.lava.net>
Source record: 4067

Yeah but have you seen any VT102's floating around lately!!!???
Joe (aka kilroy)

****
* Ham KH6JF AARS/MARS ABM6JF QCWA WW2 VET WD RADIO *
****

On 10 Feb 2003, Marco S Hyman wrote:

> > Yep a computer is needed. Software can be most any softwear for
> > the system you are using long as it is a Terminal program such
> > as VT102 emulators, Procomm, pakratt, kams own software etc.
>
> In other words, a computer is NOT needed. Instead of a computer
> running a Terminal program to emulate a VT102 you could just use
> a VT102 (or just about any other dumb terminal).
>
> // marc
>

321. Re: KAM question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-11 04:53:59 UTC
From: "John E. Malmberg" <wb8tyw@qsl.network>
Message-ID: <HI%1a.5955$jR3.2991406@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 4068

Joseph Fenn wrote:
> Yeah but have you seen any VT102's floating around lately!!!???
> Joe (aka kilroy)

They tend to sink, but do not have enough mass for a good boat anchor,
even if you can get the EPA waiver. ;-)

Seriously, if you can find them VT320s and VT420s are not that expensive.

A used 486 laptop with a terminal emulator works fine, and in many cases
can be found for about $30.00.

Kermit is an excelent terminal emulator and is also low cost.

-John
wb8tyw@qsl.network
Personal Opinion Only

322. Re: DSP freeware?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-11 05:13:23 UTC
From: "Bill, W7TI" <jamspam@upyours.now>
Message-ID: <Xns931ED7E4D62E6pookster@216.168.3.50>
Source record: 4069

wb5tga@aol.com (WB5TGA) wrote in
news:20030210233825.15849.00001288@mb-mo.aol.com:

> Stop being a cheap person and support the software people?
> Freeloaders....
>

Them's fightin' words, pal.

'Cept it's time for my nap. Later.

--
Bill, W7TI

323. Re: DSP freeware?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-11 09:35:14 UTC
From: Unclaimed Mysteries <k4doh@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <b2ag7kbmo1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 4070

"WB5TGA" <wb5tga@aol.com> admitted he bowled like Howard Sprague and then wrote in part:

> >
> Stop being a cheap person and support the software people?
Freeloaders....

That didn't take long.

Who do you think writes freeware?

--
It Came From C. L. Smith's Unclaimed Mysteries.
http://www.unclaimedmysteries.net

"Max Imo" <not@this.com> wrote in alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf: "I suggest Corry keep his uninformed opinions to a subject he knows something about (porno, hacking, terrorism?)."

324. Re: DSP freeware?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-11 12:55:30 UTC
From: cprstn54zz@att.net
Message-ID: <3e48f235.21705078@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 4071

On 11 Feb 2003 04:38:25 GMT, wb5tga@aol.com (WB5TGA) wrote:
>Stop being a cheap person and support the software people? Freeloaders..

Stop being a loser and get a life? Moron...

(No such call sign as WB5TGA on the FCC database)

Anyway, since I am doing this to provide service to the public in an
emergency, maybe the folks who are trying to profit (as opposed to
cover expenses) are the questionable ones.

Ken KC2JDY

Ken
(to reply via email
remove "zz" from address)

325. IC-756PRO2 and KAM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-11 16:49:23 UTC
From: fch <na@hle.com>
Message-ID: <3E492993.6F7AA7CD@hle.com>
Source record: 4072

Is there a port on the back of the PRO2 to easily connect
a KAM or does it have to go through the mic plug?

326. Re: DSP freeware?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-11 18:29:42 UTC
From: wb5tga@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030211132942.21418.00001330@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 4073

>Subject: Re: DSP freeware?
>From: cprstn54zz@att.net (Ken)
>Date: 2/11/2003 6:55 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3e48f235.21705078@news-server.optonline.net>
>
>On 11 Feb 2003 04:38:25 GMT, wb5tga@aol.com (WB5TGA) wrote:
>>Stop being a cheap person and support the software people? Freeloaders..
>
>Stop being a loser and get a life? Moron...
>
>(No such call sign as WB5TGA on the FCC database)
>
>Anyway, since I am doing this to provide service to the public in an
>emergency, maybe the folks who are trying to profit (as opposed to
>cover expenses) are the questionable ones.
>
>Ken KC2JDY
>
>
>Ken
>(to reply via email
>remove "zz" from address)
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Yes, but you were stupid enough to reply to my message. You're the moron. You post messages in here and get few responses, maybe you'd get a clue that no one cares what you think.

If a real emergeny occured, I wonder how fast the professions would tell you to get out of the way and go home, since that's what we do with know-it-all hams like you. Ham radio is a hobby, and when all hell breaks loose, you'll be told to go home, like so many other wannabees.

Copper was created when two hams fought over a penny. Were you one of those hams?

327. Re: DSP freeware?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-11 19:22:08 UTC
From: cprstn54zz@att.net
Message-ID: <3e494a57.44267531@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 4074

On 11 Feb 2003 18:29:42 GMT, wb5tga@aol.com (WB5TGA) wrote:
>Yes, but you were stupid enough to reply to my message.

Aha. Another ham wannabe having a CB with wattage in three digits
and an IQ in two digits.

Ken
(to reply via email
remove "zz" from address)

328. Re: DSP freeware?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-11 22:56:49 UTC
From: "Bill, W7TI" <jamspam@upyours.now>
Message-ID: <Xns931F980D58BF6pookster@216.168.3.50>
Source record: 4075

cprstn54zz@att.net (Ken) wrote in news:3e494a57.44267531@news-server.optonline.net:

> and an IQ in two digits.
>

Positive or negative?

--
Bill, W7TI

329. USPN E-Mail Reflectors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-12 06:23:07 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <fLl2a.40$ll7.36821158@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4076

In addition to the USPN Forum, there is now a selection of E-mail lists for discussion and support.
Categories include:

Packet - General discussion

Net - Packet Networking discussion / support

BBS - Packet BBS SYSOP list

FlexNet - Discussion / Support for the FlexNet networking package

RadioMirror - Multicast discussion / support

Subscription is web-based. Go to the USPN web site http://www.uspacket.net and push the "Lists" button for more information.

There will be more list categories as the need arises.

Charles, N5PVL
webmaster@uspacket.net
http://www.uspacket.net

330. TrueTTY 1.98i is published

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-12 15:50:12 UTC
From: Sergei Podstrigailo <amx2@dxsoft.com>
Message-ID: <b2dqfk$1c7rfl$1@ID-52759.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 4077

Hello All,

I just have uploaded TrueTTY 1.98i beta to the main site and mirror:

http://www.dxsoft.com/truettyb.zip
http://www.hamport.com/truettyb.zip

TrueTTY can receive and transmit MultiFSK-16 now.

WBR,
Sergei

Windows HAM radio software at http://www.dxsoft.com

331. server proliant 400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-12 17:51:13 UTC
From: eric <ERIC.F5RGH@wanadoo.fr>
Message-ID: <b2e1hd$bd5$1@news-reader10.wanadoo.fr>
Source record: 4078

Qui pourrez me renseigner sur la signification des switch sur la carte fille
?
1bip court 2 bip long ??
Help

332. Re: DSP freeware?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-12 22:47:14 UTC
From: cdb <cdb141@elderberry.net>
Message-ID: <3E4ACEEF.2050004@elderberry.net>
Source record: 4079

Fail your test wannabe?

WB5TGA wrote:
>>Subject: Re: DSP freeware?
>>From: cprstn54zz@att.net (Ken)
>>Date: 2/11/2003 6:55 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <3e48f235.21705078@news-server.optonline.net>
>>
>>On 11 Feb 2003 04:38:25 GMT, wb5tga@aol.com (WB5TGA) wrote:
>>
>>>Stop being a cheap person and support the software people? Freeloaders..
>>
>>Stop being a loser and get a life? Moron...
>>
>>(No such call sign as WB5TGA on the FCC database)
>>
>>Anyway, since I am doing this to provide service to the public in an
>>emergency, maybe the folks who are trying to profit (as opposed to
>>cover expenses) are the questionable ones.
>>
>>Ken KC2JDY
>>
>>
>>Ken
>>(to reply via email
>>remove "zz" from address)
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
> Yes, but you were stupid enough to reply to my message. You're the moron. You
> post messages in here and get few responses, maybe you'd get a clue that no one
> cares what you think.
>
> If a real emergeny occured, I wonder how fast the professions would tell you to
> get out of the way and go home, since that's what we do with know-it-all hams
> like you. Ham radio is a hobby, and when all hell breaks loose, you'll be told
> to go home, like so many other wannabees.
>
> Copper was created when two hams fought over a penny. Were you one of those
> hams?

>
>
>
>

333. New to PSK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-13 04:19:20 UTC
From: Shane Terpstra <st@techmgmt.net>
Message-ID: <c1F2a.61213$LW1.1939799@news1.west.cox.net>
Source record: 4080

I am fairly new to PSK and would like to see if anyone can help. Basically, I am looking to setup a PSK packet network through a series of repeaters. I would like to have APRS, SSTV and a BBS setup as an Internet Gateway.

Can anyone shed some light on this? I would be very appreciative.

73
-st

334. Re: c h e s s puzzle

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-14 01:48:24 UTC
From: jack schmitt KQ4C <rudamus@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3E4C4AE8.63C4C918@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 4081
Cross-posted to:
rec.video,rec.sport.softball,rec.outdoors.fishing.fly,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,comp.databases.postgresql.ports.cygwin

```
|-|erc wrote:
>
> Where should the queen move ?
> www.chess3.com
>
> [Image]

Q-g3ch with mate in 2 moves
```

335. Re: c h e s s puzzle

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-14 14:24:35 UTC
From: Decline' <lcklein@socal.rr.com>
Message-ID: <D_63a.100732$x9.24516280@twister.socal.rr.com>
Source record: 4082
Cross-posted to:
rec.video,rec.sport.softball,rec.outdoors.fishing.fly,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,comp.databases.postgresql.ports.cygwin

to "chess.sport.butnot.here"

or ask Vince, queens are right up his alley....

"|-|erc" <herc@adamskingdom.com> wrote in message
news:3e4c3d9e_1@news.iprimus.com.au...
> Where should the queen move ?
> www.chess3.com
>
>
>
>

336. Re: New to PSK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-14 18:34:38 UTC
From: KA9CAR <ka9car@a_r_r_l_.net>
Message-ID: <b2jcru$bg2$1@news1.tribune.com>
Source record: 4084

PSK 31 is intended as a human typing speed mode.

While you can transfer files with it, they go very slowly. You may want to investigate Pactor, or Packet both of which have been used for gateways.

Back when HF packet was popular, 300 baud (or 1200 on ten meters) was used to get into bulletin boards. Working through a repeater cuts the data rate to 30 % or less of the direct data rate. These modes were painfully slow with text files. Since PSK 31 runs only about 31 bits per second You may get faster response, by printing out the results and using surface mail. (:^)

John
KA9CAR

"Shane Terpstra" <st@techmgmt.net> wrote in message
news:c1F2a.61213$LW1.1939799@news1.west.cox.net...
> I am fairly new to PSK and would like to see if anyone can help.
Basically,
> I am looking to setup a PSK packet network through a series of repeaters.
I
> would like to have APRS, SSTV and a BBS setup as an Internet Gateway.
>
> Can anyone shed some light on this? I would be very appreciative.
>
> 73
> -st
>
>

337. General Linux Digital Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-14 18:38:43 UTC
From: Stephen West-Fisher <steve@coastaldatasystems.com>
Message-ID: <3E4D3790.9070901@coastaldatasystems.com>
Source record: 4083

I've been pretty much off the air for a number of years and it appears that a lot has changed in the digital world since I left.

I'm currently just trying to get back into VHF packet as a first step, and I've become a little confused when I look at what is happening in the Linux world. I have an Heathkit PK-232MBX that I used to use for digital work, and it appears that VHF 1200 baud is still popular so I could use it, but I haven't found a host mode terminal program for it.

It appears that I could also use something like linpac, but I'm not sure. As I was going through all the ax.25 stuff, it appears that I would be configuring a node, which is more than I wish to do just now.

So, should I keep looking for a host mode terminal program for the PK-232 or configure the soundcard/ax.25 stuff and use something like linpac relagating the old PK-232 to the bin?

Steve West-Fisher
KQ4NO

338. Re: New Ham Only Classified Ads Site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-14 22:51:39 UTC
From: borus <borus@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <nksq4vosk20060l80jkm8jhl9eui7cbmjc@4ax.com>
Source record: 4085

WARNING - DO NOT CLICK ON ANY LINK (free software) ON THIS WEB SITE,
THERE IS SOME NASTY ASS CRAP YOU CAN NOT SHUT DOWN ------- NoAds will
kill it without having to reboot.

On Tue, 28 Jan 2003 09:17:06 GMT, Lee Asher
<keith@detectiveagents.com> wrote:

>Hi fellow Amateurs,
>
>Just created, for Hams only, a brand new Classified Ads Site. Totally
>FREE.
>
>Send your ad to over 1500 other sites with one click.
>Many categories. Take a look, tell your local hams and radio clubs.
>
>http://cybertechworld.com/ham.htm
>
>Oh and one other thing.....Have a great Christmas and take care.
>
>73, Lee, VK4CXX
>Brisbane, Australia.
>
>
>
>Yours Sincerely,
>Lee Asher
>Brisbane, Australia
>==========
>Budget AutoResponder
>http://budgetautoresponder.com
>==========
>FREE Software GIVEAWAYS
>available from ALL these websites.
>
>http://optinsafemail.net
>http://detectiveagents.com
>http://moretraffic-4u.com
>http://cybertechworld.com
>
>==========

339. Re: General Linux Digital Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-15 10:57:09 UTC
From: hamish@cloud.net.au
Message-ID: <3e4e1d05$0$9534$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 4086

Stephen West-Fisher <steve@coastaldatasystems.com> wrote:
> I'm currently just trying to get back into VHF packet as a first step,
> and I've become a little confused when I look at what is happening in
> the Linux world. I have an Heathkit PK-232MBX that I used to use for
> digital work, and it appears that VHF 1200 baud is still popular so I
> could use it, but I haven't found a host mode terminal program for it.
>
> It appears that I could also use something like linpac, but I'm not
> sure. As I was going through all the ax.25 stuff, it appears that I
> would be configuring a node, which is more than I wish to do just now.
>
> So, should I keep looking for a host mode terminal program for the
> PK-232 or configure the soundcard/ax.25 stuff and use something like
> linpac relagating the old PK-232 to the bin?

You can use your PK-232 just like the soundmodem as long as it has KISS mode. Then it will appear as a normal Linux AX.25 device. Use the mkiss kernel driver and kisattach to set it up.

I don't know if linpac uses the standard AX.25 devices or not. I guess not. It would probably work with your PK-232 without the above kernel setup, then.

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@debian.org> <hamish@cloud.net.au>

340. Re: IC-756PRO2 and KAM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-15 14:56:16 UTC
From: Rob <noemail@pse.com>
Message-ID: <%ys3a.33457$ns3.989286@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 4087

Look in the manual for the IC756PRO. If memory serves me right, one normally uses the ACC2 connector to connect the PRO to a multimode TNC or soundcard. If you can't find what you need in the manual, send an EMAIL to your dealer or directly to ICOM.

Rob

"fch" <na@hle.com> wrote in message news:3E492993.6F7AA7CD@hle.com...
> Is there a port on the back of the PRO2 to easily connect
> a KAM or does it have to go through the mic plug?
>

341. Re: JNOS and PK-900

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-15 15:26:30 UTC
From: Rob <noemail@pse.com>
Message-ID: <o%s3a.33462$ns3.993773@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 4088

Hi Mike

You may want to try the JNOS mailing list. The folks there should be able to help you. (I don't have a link but you should be able to find it using Google)

Have you tried to manually put the PK900 into KISS mode using HyperTerminal and then try JNOS?

Rob

"Michael J. Donohue" <mdonohue@sympatico.ca> wrote in message news:o3ae2v4cjevnffqpt33u27hjaaqj4rudtb@4ax.com...
> Hi:
> I am trying to setup Jnos to work with my PK-900 and I am having
> mega problems. My original setup had a PK-232MBX in which every
> thing worked fine but can't get it to work with a PK-900. Has anyone
> experienced this problem or better yet does anyone have a Autoexec.Nos
> that they can share with me. Tnx.
>
> 73 De Mike
> VE3DMJ
>

342. Re: ALE - what is it - where do I find it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-16 12:52:04 UTC
From: "Michael J. Donohue" <mdonohue@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <v32v4v87tv64ph71pkhti5hhmo9taotrcm@4ax.com>
Source record: 4089

Automatic Link Establishment. It works with 2 or more transceivers
to maintain reliable communications with the other stations by picking
the best frequency between the staions. I know that Harris radios will
allow the ALE mode, but have not heard of a software version of this,
just hardware version. The ALE is done automatically with the radios
and the operator just sits back and works the radio as the radio will
pick the best frequency. Military uses it quite abit.

73 De Mike
VE3YF

343. Re: ALE - what is it - where do I find it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-16 17:13:52 UTC
From: gswiebe@mb.sympatico.ca
Message-ID: <3e4fc55e.4375000@news.mts.net>
Source record: 4090

A good, short and to the point explanation, Mike. Those wanting more, go to the "http://www.google.com" search engine, click on "Advanced Search" and in "with all of the words" enter: "ale radio" (no quotes). Numerous hits.

73 de Glenn...VE4GN

On Sun, 16 Feb 2003 07:52:04 -0500, Michael J. Donohue <mdonohue@sympatico.ca> wrote:

>Automatic Link Establishment. It works with 2 or more transceivers
>to maintain reliable communications with the other stations by picking
>the best frequency between the staions. I know that Harris radios will
>allow the ALE mode, but have not heard of a software version of this,
>just hardware version. The ALE is done automatically with the radios
>and the operator just sits back and works the radio as the radio will
>pick the best frequency. Military uses it quite abit.
>
>73 De Mike
>VE3YF

344. Re: ALE - what is it - where do I find it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-16 20:35:10 UTC
From: Bill Vodall <wa7nwp@pioneernet.net>
Message-ID: <kctv4v8uav131fr2miqhdoemndndetsr58@4ax.com>
Source record: 4091

On Sun, 16 Feb 2003 17:13:52 GMT, gswiebe@mb.sympatico.ca (Glenn)
wrote:

>A good, short and to the point explanation, Mike. Those wanting more,
>go to the "http://www.google.com" search engine, click on "Advanced
>Search" and in "with all of the words" enter: "ale radio" (no quotes).
>Numerous hits.
>
>73 de Glenn...VE4GN

Also check the Yahoooo group for Amateur ALE usage:

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/hflink

345. how to register HAMCOMM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-17 15:51:04 UTC
From: wuyistar@sohu.com
Message-ID: <eb1a3cfc.0302170751.5f418ce1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 4092

i'm beginner of digital communication even in RTTY, i just found the
software HAMCOMM 3.1 usefull but soon learned that it was
discontinued,so i wanna how to get the registered HAMCOMM at least
without the 30 days's limit, is there still register for the software?
Many thanks,73!
BG6AAO

346. PSKFEST DEADLINE TOMORROW!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-17 23:06:47 UTC
From: n3dqu@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030217180647.04667.00000868@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 4093

A reminder that the deadline for getting your PSKFest 2003 entry in is fast approaching! If you haven't done it yet, send your entry in to < pskfest@aol.com >. Don't forget that entries must be in the contest manager's hands by 18 February 2003.
See < http://www.podxs.com/html/pskfest.html > for PSKFest 2003 official rules. Check out
< http://hometown.aol.com/n3dqu/2003_pskfest_results.htm > to see the PSKFest 2003 results...73 de Jay N3DQU

347. Re: how to register HAMCOMM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-18 09:54:18 UTC
From: John <jck54@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <3e5202da$0$12821$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 4094

You need MMTTY....it's free !!!!!!!
"wuyi" <wuyistar@sohu.com> wrote in message
news:eb1a3cfc.0302170751.5f418ce1@posting.google.com...
> i'm beginner of digital communication even in RTTY, i just found the
> software HAMCOMM 3.1 usefull but soon learned that it was
> discontinued,so i wanna how to get the registered HAMCOMM at least
> without the 30 days's limit, is there still register for the software?
> Many thanks,73!
> BG6AAO

348. Re: ALE - what is it - where do I find it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-18 23:33:39 UTC
From: "Peter O. Brackett" <ab4bc@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <b2ufscjqb1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 4095

Thread:

The way to think about ALE or automatic link establishment is that it is a computer
automated method of replacing the skilled HF operator/propagation analyst.

Utilizing measurements derived from received signals, the ALE computer algorithms
automatically control the frequency selection and assignment and end-to-end link
establishment between end HF stations in an HF network. The military and others
were/are interested in this computerized HF link establishment automation so that
ordinary soldiers/sailors/airmen can operate HF radio systems without the expert
help of trained "signalmen".

As such, one one level, it would take a lot of the "human" fun out of ham radio.
On the other hand, ALE algorithms and their perfection does present certain
interesting challenges to those who would seek to improve ALE techniques.

For a professional outlook on the technology and further information on ALE
see for instance:

http://www.hfindustry.com/ale.html

and

http://www.hfindustry.com/Default.htm

Best,
--
Peter K1PO

"Michael J. Donohue" <mdonohue@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:v32v4v87tv64ph71pkhti5hhmo9taotrcm@4ax.com...
> Automatic Link Establishment. It works with 2 or more transceivers
> to maintain reliable communications with the other stations by picking
> the best frequency between the staions. I know that Harris radios will
> allow the ALE mode, but have not heard of a software version of this,
> just hardware version. The ALE is done automatically with the radios
> and the operator just sits back and works the radio as the radio will
> pick the best frequency. Military uses it quite abit.
>
> 73 De Mike

> VE3YF

349. New SXList.com Design Contest!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-19 01:22:12 UTC
From: Josh Koffman <listsjosh@3mtmp.com>
Message-ID: <3E52DC44.44BAF465@3mtmp.com>
Source record: 4096
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.model.rockets

Hello all. I'm extremely proud to announce the opening of a brand new SXList.com contest! This contest is amazing, there are tons of prizes, and entering isn't that difficult. There are three main categories, and one basically just involves adapting code to the Scenix chip. If you've had an idea for a microcontroller based project, why not check out the Scenix chip? You could win great prizes!

The contest is focused on the Scenix microcontroller (a PIC compatible unit that offers up to 75MIPS). Have an application that needs blazing speed? The Scenix chip is easy to get into and offers tons of speed. By just coming up with an idea, you may win all the development tools you need to put it into action!

If you wish to pass this information on to others, please feel free. The contest is an excellent opportunity for beginners and experts alike. And everyone benefits by the addition of SX examples to the web library.

For complete contest details go to http://www.sxlist.com and follow the Design Challenge Contest link. At the end of this post will be the official release.

Enter soon, and enter multiple times! We look forward to your submissions!

Thanks!

Josh
--
A common mistake that people make when trying to design something completely foolproof is to underestimate the ingenuity of complete fools.
-Douglas Adams

SXList.com 3 WAY WINNER CONTEST

There is a new contest at sxlist.com! And this one has 3 ways to win! Are you a HARDWARE wire head? A SOFTWARE guru? or are you the IDEA person? Anything is ok! You can just send us a good idea, or share a circuit or (learn?) PCB design, or show us some cool code.

Prizes will include SX-Keys, SX chips, SXWiz BASIC to ASM code generator, Ethernet demo boards, RS232 level converters, and your name in lights on SXList.com!

In the past, I've set the focus on nearly finished projects (code ready to debug, design finished, etc...) and we have received some really nice

entries...

http://home.attbi.com/~andrew.g.miller/sx-x10/ The SX-X10 Internet Home Automation Remote Control.
http://www.sxlist.com/lib/io/dev/video/servid.htm The SERVID 4x20 bit banged video terminal.
http://www.sxlist.com/contest/reverb Digital audio reverb and echo effects box.
http://www.sxlist.com/contest/sxohm SX PBX On-hold messaging switch
http://www.sxlist.com/bindicator Bindicator remote display
http://www.sxlist.com/contest/aquamon SX Aquarium Monitor (in SXWiz BASIC)

I'd like to move the focus to a slightly less demanding contest and offer several different points of entry:

A) Ideas that match the SX chips well: What application can you think of for which the SX chips are a perfect fit? Something that could be done in a PIC except for the lower clock speed. Something that needs THROUGHPUT (or that involves doing a bunch of slower tasks all at the same time so that one SX could replace several PICs) but doesn't need a lot of RAM or a huge amount of code. An application where the power supply can support the high speed requirements. Here are some examples: http://www.sxlist.com/idea/sx.htm

B) Great Circuits or Printed Circuit Board Design. Have a really killer little circuit that involves an SX? Then you have an entry! But I want to encourage another path here as well: Right now, AutoTraxEDA is GIVEING AWAY the current (first) release of their professional PCB design software: It supports Mult-sheet schematic entry, circuit simulation, rule driven PCB layout, fast autorouting, 3D visualization and Computer Aided Manufacturing tools. WOW! They will be charging for it at some future date, but for now this is a fantastic deal for the little guys. http://www.autotraxeda.com/Purchase/purchase.html

Co-incidentally, a PCB manufacturer is giving away PCB panels in return for posting a public design using a compatible processor. SX is ok. See: http://www.sxlist.com/piclist/pcbcontest These are limited to double side, 160x100 mm, solder mask, component print and must follow the guidelines posted at http://www.olimex.com/pcb/techinfo.html but you get an entire
panel free and the quality is great! So... Design a PCB using an SX, if you haven't done one before, this is a great opportunity to learn and you can get a fantastic design program FREE. If you win, I'll throw in one or more of the prizes above in addition to your PCBs.

C) Code snippets: Any bit of code that does something better, faster, or that hasn't been published already on the SXList.com site. If you can't publish the entire project, but you have one part that you are especially proud of, share it (and let the world know who you are). Lots of people have published something on sxlist.com and been amazed at the number of questions, thank you's and even, sometimes, yes, actual paying

jobs! Come on! You've figured out something that you're jazzed about! Show us what you got! Just starting? No problem: Browse the PICList code library http://www.piclist.com/lib or PICList archive and find some code that you would like to see in an SX. Use the MPASM to SASM code converter:
http://www.sxlist.com/cgi-bin/mpasm2sasm2.exe and then check for any warnings and clean up the code for the SX.

The prizes are nice, entering is easy... I expect to be overwhelmed with entries! Use the little form at the bottom of the webpage to describe your entry and/or send the files to JamesNewton@sxlist.com

350. Re: how to register HAMCOMM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-19 08:54:21 UTC
From: wuyistar@sohu.com
Message-ID: <eb1a3cfc.0302190054.7890724b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 4097

but MMTTY needs soundcard, the HAMCOMM needn't, is there a software
just as HAMCOMM,use the COM port only,without soundcard?

"John" <jck54@optusnet.com.au> wrote in message
news:<3e5202da$0$12821$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>...
> You need MMTTY....it's free !!!!!!!
> "wuyi" <wuyistar@sohu.com> wrote in message
> news:eb1a3cfc.0302170751.5f418ce1@posting.google.com...
> > i'm beginner of digital communication even in RTTY, i just found the
> > software HAMCOMM 3.1 usefull but soon learned that it was
> > discontinued,so i wanna how to get the registered HAMCOMM at least
> > without the 30 days's limit, is there still register for the software?
> > Many thanks,73!
> > BG6AAO

351. the best selected amateur software & many more!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-19 22:47:45 UTC
From: cor <corholland@wanadoo.nl>
Message-ID: <3e540991$0$23911$8fcfb86b@news.wanadoo.nl>
Source record: 4098

Hello Everyone,

I made a selection for amateur software.

MMTTY 1.64
AGWE 2002.10
UIVIEW 2.39
WINPSK 212
WINPACK 6.8
MSCAN

Links to all amateur factories, and antenna's

DX cluster from HF to SHF!

many more!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Just look at:

http://www.qsl.net/pd0rkc

73's Cor

352. Re: n5pvl?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-20 21:12:53 UTC
From: perry chamberlain <kato@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3E5544D5.6020700@qnet.com>
Source record: 4099

Hank get out of the house and stop having sexual intercourse with your cats.
I hear nina died of a swollen anus, hmmm
LEAVE the CATS ALONE.
for more info on Hanks sexual attraction to cats, visit his web site
http://horedson.home.att.net
Remeber " two legs good, four legs Illegal "

--
Perry Chamberlain
Kato@qnet.com
Liv'n on de Edge n de Desert
Everyone has someone in their family who is crazy,
if you don't know who it is, its you!

353. Re: n5pvl?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-20 22:10:48 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.52.0302202210040.137@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 4100

On Thu, 20 Feb 2003, perry chamberlain wrote:
>Hank get out of the house and stop having sexual intercourse with your cats.
>I hear nina died of a swollen anus, hmmm
>LEAVE the CATS ALONE.
>for more info on Hanks sexual attraction to cats, visit his web site
>http://horedson.home.att.net
>Remeber " two legs good, four legs Illegal "

Does that mean that BIRDS have to be careful too? :-)

354. Re: n5pvl?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-21 05:43:00 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <E%i5a.62889$zF6.4344243@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 4101

"Howard Goldstein" <hgoldste@mpcs.com> wrote in message
news:1045778028.8878@news.queue.to...
> On Thu, 20 Feb 2003 13:12:53 -0800, perry chamberlain <kato@qnet.com> wrote:
> : I hear nina died
>
> Poor thing, the picture on that site shows a big, beautiful cat. Maine
> Coone Hank?

Yes, Maine Coon, from a farm on the border between MA and NH,
picked up when we lived out there. Her replacement is also a Maine Coon,
rescued from a roadside not far from here. Excellent mousers, gentle cats.

> : of a swollen anus, hmmm
>
> Who pissed in your cornflakes this morning?

Suspect his cornflakes will not taste so good in a couple days :-)

> : LEAVE the CATS ALONE.
>
> Yes Perry, please leave them alone. Thanks

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

355. Anyone have a 'fixed' version of CBBS ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-22 00:56:02 UTC
From: Joe <wd4kav@despammed.com>
Message-ID: <b36i2jtmo1@news.chatlink.com>
Source record: 4102

I'm looking for a copy of K3RLI's CBBS that properly handles dates from 2000 on. I sent Ed a e-mail and he said he no longer had a fixed copy.

TAPR.ORG doesn't either...

If you do, please e-mail me at:

wd4kav@despammed.com

Thanks!

356. New digi feature in Flex32

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-23 01:53:00 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <0QV5a.2283$9J4.418837656@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4103

Maiko Langelaar VE4KLM recently announced a new digi feature in Flex32, on the FlexNet support list at QSL.net.

Here's what he had to say:

Greetings to all,

Another goodie discovered by accident. I sure haven't seen it documented anywhere lately (if it is, would some kind soul please let me know WHERE).

Did you know that FlexNet32 has a built in Mini Digipeater !!!

Yes, it does. Instructions below (thanks to Sassan for providing this very usefull information to me). My interest has been re-energized because of it :

> just set your callsign (without SSID!) on Port 15, and start your
> connects from the NOS box always "via yourcall-port". Flexnet32
> uses the SSID after the digi callsign to route the packet to this
> flexnet port number. Example: To connect from any port via port 3,
> connect via yourcall-3.

"set your callsign" using FlexNet Channel Parameters, click on the Channel '15 LOOP', and magically a MINI DIGI window will appear where you can add your digipeater callsign.

Also, that NEWQPSK mode sounds sooooo cool !!! I'm going to see if we can get a few local people to start playing with as an alternative to the *old* 1200 baud AFSK. I'm curious if we can indeed get 5000 baud.

Anyone have any comments or suggestions regarding the use of 5000 baud NEWQPSK on the 2 meter band ?

Best regards,

Maiko Langelaar / VE4KLM

I downloaded it and tried the new Flex32 myself... Looks pretty good!

Charles, N5PVL
webmaster@uspacket.net
http://www.uspacket.net

357. Re: MFJ-1278B any freeware?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-23 21:12:51 UTC
From: Rob <noemail@pse.com>
Message-ID: <CQa6a.509$x_5.90640@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 4104

You can use any dumb terminal program.

One that is very good for ham TNC's and multimode controllers is the terminal program included in Logger. Do a search for "Logger" and "Zakanaka" on google. You should find download links for Logger (and for the PSK31/RTTY program for a sound card called Zakanaka).

Both Logger and Zakanaka are free!! Just use the commands in the MFJ1278 manual to operate AMTOR etc. I have used Logger with many different multimode controllers such as the KAM and PK900. It works great! It should also work great for the MFJ. No need to spend lots of $$$ for a terminal program!

You may want to see if there are any MFJ groups at Yahoo groups and ask there. You can also ask for any programs on the digitalradio group at http://groups.yahoo.com.

73
Rob

"Richard Brittingham" <rbritt@gohip.com> wrote in message news:99272vc2vrr8nu9qapu94liqgae7lfe72k@4ax.com...
> Hi, I bought a used MFJ-1278B. Does anyone know of any free or low
> cost shareware programs I can use for primariy AMTOR? I know about
> soundcard software and have lots. I am only looking for MFJ-1278 TNC
> software. I dont wanna use Multicomm as I have a ham and MARS call and
> understand once you put your callsign on it you can't use the other
> easily.
>
> Any suggestions???
>
> Thanks
>
> Richard W4MCD

358. Re: MFJ-1278B any freeware?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-24 02:33:34 UTC
From: Mark <mark2smi@Ihatespam.com>
Message-ID: <Xns932BBD0A7432Fmark1smihotpopcom@216.168.3.50>
Source record: 4105

"Rob" <noemail@pse.com> wrote in
news:CQa6a.509$x_5.90640@news20.bellglobal.com:

> You can use any dumb terminal program.
>
> One that is very good for ham TNC's and multimode controllers is the
> terminal program included in Logger. Do a search for "Logger" and
> "Zakanaka" on google. You should find download links for Logger (and
> for the PSK31/RTTY program for a sound card called Zakanaka).
>
> Both Logger and Zakanaka are free!! Just use the commands in the
> MFJ1278 manual to operate AMTOR etc. I have used Logger with many
> different multimode controllers such as the KAM and PK900. It works
> great! It should also work great for the MFJ. No need to spend lots
> of $$$ for a terminal program!
>
Logger works good for the MFJ-1278 and there is also a MACRO available for
Logger for use with the 1278. It makes things much simpler to setup. I've
only used logger to receive since I no longer have a license.

Mark in
Davis, Ca

359. Re: WTD: Info on Maplin TU1000 terminal unit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-24 06:08:13 UTC
From: Alan <me@somewhere.com.au>
Message-ID: <aadj5vsv884fh10qnk0621hrf5jden2c54@4ax.com>
Source record: 4106

On Thu, 23 Jan 2003 09:36:02 +0000, Roger Basford
<Basford@btinternet.com> wrote:

>Hi,
>
>Just acquired a Maplin TU1000 RTTY tuning unit but without any info. A
>Web search turned up only a reference to a review in the December 1986
>Radio Communication magazine. I see the unit has an RS232 output and so
>presumably this can run with newer software like MMTTY. Can anybody help
>further?
>
>73,
The original article was in the September 1984 Maplins Electronics
Mag.

Send me an e-mail if you can't get a copy locally and I will copy the
article and post to you (scanner is not available at the moment).

Alan
VK6AE
++
Jenal Communications
Manufacturers and Suppliers of HF Selcall
P O Box 1108, Morley, WA, 6943
Tel: +61 8 9370 5533 Fax +61 8 9370 5106
Web Site: http://www.jenal.com
e-mail: http://www.jenal.com/contact.php
++

360. WINDOWS LOGGING PROGRAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-24 06:21:05 UTC
From: Vince ve3elb <vcl@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <jRi6a.983$VG.205765@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 4107

HI ALL, CAN ANYONE LET ME KNOW WHAT IS THE BEST AND EASIEST LOGGING PROGRAM
THAT WILL WORK WITH WINDOWS XP PRO. IF ANYONE CAN HELP PLEASE EMAIL ME AT
VE3ELB@RAC.CA

VINCE VE3ELB
EMAIL: VE3ELB@RAC.CA
WEBPAGE1: WWW.QSL.NET/VE3ELB
WEBPAGE2: HTTP://WWW3.SYMPATICO.CA/VCL

361. WINDOWS XP LOGGING PROGRAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-24 06:28:57 UTC
From: Vince ve3elb <vcl@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <GYi6a.989$VG.206114@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 4108

HI ALL, CAN ANYONE LET ME KNOW WHAT IS THE BEST AND EASIEST LOGGING PROGRAM THAT WILL WORK WITH WINDOWS XP PRO. IF ANYONE CAN HELP PLEASE EMAIL ME AT ve3elb@rac.ca

VINCE VE3ELB
EMAIL: ve3elb@rac.ca
WEBPAGE1: www.qsl.net/ve3elb
WEBPAGE2: http://www3.sympatico.ca/vcl

362. Re: WINDOWS XP LOGGING PROGRAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-24 14:02:05 UTC
From: Bruce Lane <SpammersAreVermin@dev.nul>
Message-ID: <MPG.18c3c5b64dd0495d98977b@192.168.42.131>
Source record: 4109

In article <GYi6a.989$VG.206114@news20.bellglobal.com>, vcl@sympatico.ca
says...

> HI ALL, CAN ANYONE LET ME KNOW WHAT IS THE BEST AND EASIEST LOGGING PROGRAM
> THAT WILL WORK WITH WINDOWS XP PRO. IF ANYONE CAN HELP PLEASE EMAIL ME AT
> ve3elb@rac.ca

First, a little free advice.

Posting the same message multiple times to the same newsgroup is
actually more likely to get you ignored than anything else.

Also, the days when computers only recognized upper case are long
gone. These days, typing a message in ALL CAPS is considered rude
(shouting). Use a normal mixture of upper/lower case, just as if you were
typing a letter, and you'll get along fine.

With that said: You have my deepest sympathies for being stuck with
Windows X(tra)P(ain). A Google search using the phrase 'Amateur Radio
Logging Program Windows XP' (without the quotes) turns up over 700 hits.
I'm sure you can find something in that stack that will fit your needs.

Good hunting.

--
Bruce Lane, Owner and Head Hardware Heavy,
Blue Feather Technologies -- http://www.bluefeathertech.com
KC7GR -- kyrrin (a/t) bluefeathertech dot-com (Reassemble to use)
"I'll get a life when someone demonstrates to me it would be superior to
what I have now..." (Taki Kogoma)

363. Re: WINDOWS XP LOGGING PROGRAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-24 16:02:45 UTC
From: "Bill, W7TI" <jamspam@upyours.now>
Message-ID: <Xns932C51D8D2392pookster@216.168.3.50>
Source record: 4110

Bruce Lane <SpammersAreVermin@dev.nul> wrote in
news:MPG.18c3c5b64dd0495d98977b@192.168.42.131:

> With that said: You have my deepest sympathies for being stuck
> with
> Windows X(tra)P(ain). A Google search using the phrase 'Amateur Radio
> Logging Program Windows XP' (without the quotes) turns up over 700
> hits. I'm sure you can find something in that stack that will fit your
> needs.
>

"Stuck with"? XP beats the heck out of Linux or any of the wanna-be
OSes, IMO.

And he wasn't looking for a list. He wanted advice from real people.

--
Bill, W7TI

364. Re: WINDOWS XP LOGGING PROGRAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-24 17:08:03 UTC
From: Bruce Lane <SpammersAreVermin@dev.nul>
Message-ID: <MPG.18c3f14c14b1ef4498977e@192.168.42.131>
Source record: 4111

In article <Xns932C51D8D2392pookster@216.168.3.50>, jamspam@upyours.now
says...

> "Stuck with"? XP beats the heck out of Linux or any of the wanna-be
> OSes, IMO.

Interesting. You make the assumption that, just because I dislike
M$ products and M$ in general, I must be referring to Linux.

Got some news for you: I don't like Linux either. Too far away from
the stable, tried-and-true Unix-type OS tree for my comfort.

No, I have a number of reasons for harboring an intense dislike of
Billy-boy, the Redmond Empire, and their related products, none of which
have the slightest connection with Linux. If you want to know more, you
can E-mail me. This is not the proper forum for an OS debate.

Suffice to say that, while M$ stuff may be OK for desktop systems,
I would never trust ANY of their products (certainly not XP!) to run
critical systems, such as my Internet servers. For that, I rely on
NetBSD, Apache, Postfix, and other open-source stuff.

> And he wasn't looking for a list. He wanted advice from real people.

Hmmm! Now you're implying that I'm not real? If that's the case,
then this is one heck of a substantial hallucination I'm living in! ;-)

If you have some advice to give, then I invite you to post it. I
provided what I thought was good advice, in the form of presenting the
seeker with what amounts to a large number of choices for logging
software.

Personally, I think freedom of choice is one of the most important
cornerstones of our society. I'm sorry if you disagree.

--
Bruce Lane, Owner and Head Hardware Heavy,
Blue Feather Technologies -- http://www.bluefeathertech.com
KC7GR -- kyrrin (a/t) bluefeathertech dot-com (Reassemble to use)
"I'll get a life when someone demonstrates to me it would be superior to
what I have now..." (Taki Kogoma)

365. Re: WINDOWS XP LOGGING PROGRAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-24 18:06:15 UTC
From: fch <na@hle.com>
Message-ID: <3E5A5F16.A9B3248F@hle.com>
Source record: 4113

You are kind of snotty and condescending Bruce.

Bruce Lane wrote:

> In article <Xns932C51D8D2392pookster@216.168.3.50>, jamspam@upyours.now
> says...
>
> > "Stuck with"? XP beats the heck out of Linux or any of the wanna-be
> > OSes, IMO.
>
> Interesting. You make the assumption that, just because I dislike
> M$ products and M$ in general, I must be referring to Linux.
>
> Got some news for you: I don't like Linux either. Too far away from
> the stable, tried-and-true Unix-type OS tree for my comfort.
>
> No, I have a number of reasons for harboring an intense dislike of
> Billy-boy, the Redmond Empire, and their related products, none of which
> have the slightest connection with Linux. If you want to know more, you
> can E-mail me. This is not the proper forum for an OS debate.
>
> Suffice to say that, while M$ stuff may be OK for desktop systems,
> I would never trust ANY of their products (certainly not XP!) to run
> critical systems, such as my Internet servers. For that, I rely on
> NetBSD, Apache, Postfix, and other open-source stuff.
>
> > And he wasn't looking for a list. He wanted advice from real people.
>
> Hmmm! Now you're implying that I'm not real? If that's the case,
> then this is one heck of a substantial hallucination I'm living in! ;-)
>
> If you have some advice to give, then I invite you to post it. I
> provided what I thought was good advice, in the form of presenting the
> seeker with what amounts to a large number of choices for logging
> software.
>
> Personally, I think freedom of choice is one of the most important
> cornerstones of our society. I'm sorry if you disagree.
>
> --
> Bruce Lane, Owner and Head Hardware Heavy,
> Blue Feather Technologies -- http://www.bluefeathertech.com
> KC7GR -- kyrrin (a/t) bluefeathertech dot-com (Reassemble to use)
> "I'll get a life when someone demonstrates to me it would be superior to
> what I have now..." (Taki Kogoma)

366. radiodsp : an audio processing programm for EVM56002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-24 18:34:29 UTC
From: F4DWV <f4dwv@radioamateur.org>
Message-ID: <newscache$kxstah$n7f$1@news.tiscali.fr>
Source record: 4112
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

Hello,
I just put on the web, my last program for EVM56002 board :
http://thierry.leconte.chez.tiscali.fr/radiodsp01.zip

radiodsp is a program for the EVM56002 motorala evaluation board
that implements classical DSP audio filters to improve ham radio reception.

Its features :
- an AGC
- a band pass filter with 4 selectable bandwidths (100Hz, 200Hz , 1500Hz
and 1800Hz)
- a auto notcher
- a denoiser

For more information see :
http://thierry.leconte.chez.tiscali.fr/radiodsp.html

try and enjoy
F4DWV

367. Re: Windows Logging Program from N1MM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-24 23:09:49 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <1Dx6a.69014$zF6.4799919@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 4114

"Bruce Lane" <SpammersAreVermin@dev.nul> wrote in message
news:MPG.18c3f14c14b1ef4498977e@192.168.42.131...
> In article <Xns932C51D8D2392pookster@216.168.3.50>, jamspam@upyours.now
> says...

> If you have some advice to give, then I invite you to post it. I
> provided what I thought was good advice, in the form of presenting the
> seeker with what amounts to a large number of choices for logging
> software.

Here is some advice (free too!): tell us which logging program you use,
and why you like it. Don't forget to mention how it works in Win XP.
If you can't do that, then you are just another silly spammer.

I have had good luck with N1MM's "Logger". Nice integration with
the radio (Kenwood TS-850). Excellent integration with DX Cluster.
This is mainly a DX contest type logging program, but I use it for general
DXing.
Have run it in Win 98SE and Win XP.

Have played around with at least a half dozen others, but not to
the extent that I'm willing to give advice about them. There are many
many logging programs out there. You might Google for "Amateur
Radio Logging Software" or some such ...

There do not appear to be any useful logging programs for any
form of Unix, so have no idea what the spammer is whining about.

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

368. Aaak... sorry... (was: Re: Windows XP Logging program)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-25 01:47:28 UTC
From: Bruce Lane <SpammersAreVermin@dev.nul>
Message-ID: <MPG.18c46b0d8eac3148989780@192.168.42.131>
Source record: 4115

In article <3E5A5F16.A9B3248F@hle.com>, na@hle.com says...

> You are kind of snotty and condescending Bruce.

Looking back over my original post(s), I find you're absolutely
right.

<sigh> That's what I get for trying to make sense after five
straight hours of building a replacement mail server.

My apologies to Vince and Bill both. There was absolutely no call
to respond as I did about XP, no matter how I may feel about it, and I
probably could have been more helpful in regards to logging software. I
should know better, especially considering that I run a side business.

Ok... No more midnight-plus postings for me!

73 de KC7GR

--
Bruce Lane, Owner and Head Hardware Heavy,
Blue Feather Technologies -- http://www.bluefeathertech.com
KC7GR -- kyrrin (a/t) bluefeathertech dot-com (Reassemble to use)
"I'll get a life when someone demonstrates to me it would be superior to
what I have now..." (Taki Kogoma)

369. price for used PK-232 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-25 10:15:38 UTC
From: Spiros Xanthopoulos <sxanthop@internet.gr>
Message-ID: <b3ffok$bb5$1@news.internet.gr>
Source record: 4116

Hello everyone,

I am thinking of getting a used PK-232 so that i can work
packet/rtty/sstv/psk and everything else with my HF...What is the suggested
price for a USED tnc like that ? Is 150 Euros ok ?

73's de SV2EVS

P.S Anyone knows a site with used tnc's ?

370. Re: price for used PK-232 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-25 11:35:25 UTC
From: "Edward A. Feustel" <efeustel@erols.com>
Message-ID: <b3fl0u$t1p$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 4117

"Spiros Xanthopoulos" <sxanthop@internet.gr> wrote in message
news:b3ffok$bb5$1@news.internet.gr...
> Hello everyone,
>
> I am thinking of getting a used PK-232 so that i can work
> packet/rtty/sstv/psk and everything else with my HF...What is the
suggested
> price for a USED tnc like that ? Is 150 Euros ok ?
>
E-Bay seems to be selling used TNCs in the $75-125 range. There are
typically 5-10 TNCs
including the PK232s on any day.

Ed, N5EI
> 73's de SV2EVS
>
> P.S Anyone knows a site with used tnc's ?
>
>

371. Re: price for used PK-232 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-25 12:13:39 UTC
From: News Account <none@none.org>
Message-ID: <T5J6a.15730$u12.5588240@news.alltel.net>
Source record: 4118

Looking at eBay completed auctions - the prices range from $68 to $150 US.

73's

Don Woodward
KD4APP
AMSAT 33535
http://kd4app.webhop.org

"Spiros Xanthopoulos" <sxanthop@internet.gr> wrote in message
news:b3ffok$bb5$1@news.internet.gr...
> Hello everyone,
>
> I am thinking of getting a used PK-232 so that i can work
> packet/rtty/sstv/psk and everything else with my HF...What is the
suggested
> price for a USED tnc like that ? Is 150 Euros ok ?
>
> 73's de SV2EVS
>
> P.S Anyone knows a site with used tnc's ?
>
>

372. Re: WINDOWS LOGGING PROGRAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-25 14:38:11 UTC
From: Sergei Podstrigailo <amx2@dxsoft.com>
Message-ID: <b3fv4j$1lg959$1@ID-52759.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 4119

Hello!

> HI ALL, CAN ANYONE LET ME KNOW WHAT IS THE BEST AND EASIEST LOGGING
PROGRAM
> THAT WILL WORK WITH WINDOWS XP PRO.

Try AALog from http://www.dxsoft.com

WBR,
Sergei

373. Re: price for used PK-232 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-25 16:15:43 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <PEM6a.70101$zF6.4896754@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 4120

"Spiros Xanthopoulos" <sxanthop@internet.gr> wrote in message
news:b3ffok$bb5$1@news.internet.gr...
> Hello everyone,
>
> I am thinking of getting a used PK-232 so that i can work
> packet/rtty/sstv/psk and everything else with my HF...What is the suggested
> price for a USED tnc like that ? Is 150 Euros ok ?

Depends on age, firmware, condition.
I sold mine for US $15.
Very old, very old firmware, dented and scratched :-)

> 73's de SV2EVS
>
> P.S Anyone knows a site with used tnc's ?

www.ebay.com

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

374. Re: price for used PK-232 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-25 20:16:11 UTC
From: Jerry Flanders <SeeSignature@below.com>
Message-ID: <vkjn5v0cat9bmnlpdc5gku3r2n2etpafgv@4ax.com>
Source record: 4121

The standard PK-232 will not do sstv or psk31. May or may not do
"everything else".

Jerry W4UK

On Tue, 25 Feb 2003 12:15:38 +0200, "Spiros Xanthopoulos"
<sxanthop@internet.gr> wrote:

>Hello everyone,
>
>I am thinking of getting a used PK-232 so that i can work
>packet/rtty/sstv/psk and everything else with my HF...What is the suggested
>price for a USED tnc like that ? Is 150 Euros ok ?
>
>73's de SV2EVS
>
>P.S Anyone knows a site with used tnc's ?
>

375. Re: price for used PK-232 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-25 22:03:24 UTC
From: Bruce Lane <SpammersAreVermin@dev.nul>
Message-ID: <MPG.18c5880c73302b5d989788@192.168.42.131>
Source record: 4122

In article <b3ffok$bb5$1@news.internet.gr>, sxanthop@internet.gr says...

> Hello everyone,
>
> I am thinking of getting a used PK-232 so that i can work
> packet/rtty/sstv/psk and everything else with my HF...What is the suggested
> price for a USED tnc like that ? Is 150 Euros ok ?

You may wish to look for a PK900, the PK232's successor. There's
one up right now at:

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3008916432&category=296

73 de KC7GR

--
Bruce Lane, Owner and Head Hardware Heavy,
Blue Feather Technologies -- http://www.bluefeathertech.com
KC7GR -- kyrrin (a/t) bluefeathertech dot-com (Reassemble to use)
"I'll get a life when someone demonstrates to me it would be superior to
what I have now..." (Taki Kogoma)

376. Re: Windows Logging Program from N1MM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-25 23:26:06 UTC
From: Marco S Hyman <marc@snafu.org>
Message-ID: <x7lm04lz75.fsf@hana.snafu.org>
Source record: 4123

"Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> writes:

> There do not appear to be any useful logging programs for any
> form of Unix, so have no idea what the spammer is whining about.

Have you tried either Klog or Xlog? If so, please explain why you
feel they are they are not useful?

// marc

377. Re: price for used PK-232 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-26 01:35:51 UTC
From: larry Deitcher <kb9ksr@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <XRU6a.20537$0L3.8289404@news2.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 4124

pick up a used one for 76.00 and a upgrade for 280. it will do psk31 mt63
sstv and other modes
"Spiros Xanthopoulos" <sxanthop@internet.gr> wrote in message
news:b3ffok$bb5$1@news.internet.gr...
> Hello everyone,
>
> I am thinking of getting a used PK-232 so that i can work
> packet/rtty/sstv/psk and everything else with my HF...What is the
suggested
> price for a USED tnc like that ? Is 150 Euros ok ?
>
> 73's de SV2EVS
>
> P.S Anyone knows a site with used tnc's ?
>
>

378. Re: Windows Logging Program from N1MM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-26 02:01:49 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <heV6a.783$Uy4.67449@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 4125

"Marco S Hyman" <marc@snafu.org> wrote in message
news:x7lm04lz75.fsf@hana.snafu.org...
> "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> writes:
>
> > There do not appear to be any useful logging programs for any
> > form of Unix, so have no idea what the spammer is whining about.
>
> Have you tried either Klog or Xlog? If so, please explain why you
> feel they are they are not useful?

Perhaps you are joking?

"KLog is a Ham radio loggin program for KDE.
This is my very first KDE program so it has many bugs, please help to correct
them. :-)"

"Xlog is an easy to use program
for logging your radio contacts. Contacts
are saved in a browsable list which can be edited."

Just a few missing capabilities:

Integration with DX cluster

Band maps

Needed entities per band/mode

Dynamic scoring

Callsign -> Prefix -> Entity/Zone database

Contest definition database

Run vs. H & P mode
Run rate
Auto serial increment
Markers for H & P

Control of multiple radios
Click to tune from band map or DX cluster spot
Auto CQ

ODBC connectivity for analysis programs

Export in ADIF, Cabrillo and CSV

Summary reports: entities per band/mode, zones per band/mode, etc.

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

379. Re: Windows Logging Program from N1MM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-26 04:49:22 UTC
From: Marco S Hyman <marc@snafu.org>
Message-ID: <x7fzqbmyst.fsf@hana.snafu.org>
Source record: 4126

"Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> writes:

> Perhaps you are joking?

Nope.

> "Xlog is an easy to use program
> for logging your radio contacts. Contacts
> are saved in a browsable list which can be edited."
>
> Just a few missing capabilities:
[snip the missing capabilities].

I see. I still lean toward pen and paper for logging, and don't miss the missing features. I guess I don't expect a logging program to act as integrated station management software. For me a simple program such as Xlog is OK. A good text editor (not a word processor) is even better.

Thanks for your answer.

// marc

380. Re: Windows Logging Program from N1MM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-26 14:25:49 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <M747a.751$Ms1.129472693@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4127

"Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
news:heV6a.783$Uy4.67449@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "Marco S Hyman" <marc@snafu.org> wrote in message
> news:x7lm04lz75.fsf@hana.snafu.org...
> > "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> writes:
> >
> > > There do not appear to be any useful logging programs for any
> > > form of Unix, so have no idea what the spammer is whining about.
> >
> > Have you tried either Klog or Xlog? If so, please explain why you
> > feel they are they are not useful?
>
>
> Perhaps you are joking?

It's an old story. Talk about a good program that runs under DOS,
"Winders", or even the Mac and a Linux enthusiast will be there with that
question, "Have you tried "XYZ" in Linux?"

You could be talking about MS Word for Windows, and they'll suggest a Linux
text editor that looks like it came straight out of an old ATARI system,
ugly, buggy, barely functional. Then you hear the plaint; "Don't you think
"XYZ" is useful?"

Linux enthusiasts may not be aware of it but yes, many times they are
joking.

Sorry, Linux enthusiasts, but "barely functional" under Linux does not
really compare with "works great" under other platforms.

I've heard that there is some really great software for Linux... Why not
talk about that?

Charles, N5PVL

381. Re: Windows Logging Program from N1MM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-26 16:01:08 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <8x57a.71808$zF6.5027593@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 4128

"Marco S Hyman" <marc@snafu.org> wrote in message
news:x7fzqbmyst.fsf@hana.snafu.org...
> "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> writes:
>
> > Perhaps you are joking?
>
> Nope.
>
> > "Xlog is an easy to use program
> > for logging your radio contacts. Contacts
> > are saved in a browsable list which can be edited."
> >
> > Just a few missing capabilities:
> [snip the missing capabilities].
>
> I see. I still lean toward pen and paper for logging, and don't miss
> the missing features. I guess I don't expect a logging program to act
> as integrated station management software. For me a simple program
> such as Xlog is OK. A good text editor (not a word processor) is even
> better.
>
> Thanks for your answer.

You are welcome.

I also keep an archival log using Access so I can generate
reports, do queries, etc.

A simple text file based log is fine until you have many
thousands of QSOs in it, and want to ask questions like
"How many states have I worked on 10M SSB and who
was my first contact in each state and do I have their QSL" :-)

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

382. clover

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-27 18:28:45 UTC
From: Anonymous <garbagebin@hitechclub.com>
Message-ID: <iNs7a.6570$x_5.719585@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 4129
Body handling: 1 inline base64-encoded payload block was not displayed

Hi. I'm interested in any information you may have on the clover mode. I'm interested in it as it is apparently a highly efficient and capable mode. Thank you.

-wsbg, 73

--

Version: PGP 8.0 - not licensed for commercial use: www.pgp.com

[Inline base64-encoded payload not displayed]

383. Re: how to register HAMCOMM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-28 02:05:53 UTC
From: Tim Rulon <timrulon@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <QVqdncuRHZRhXsOjXTWcpg@comcast.com>
Source record: 4130

For a hopefully near-complete list of all available radiofax software and
worldwide radiofax boadcast schedules, see;

http://www.nws.noaa.gov/om/marine/radiofax.htm

Tim Rulon (WA2KQD)

"wuyi" <wuyistar@sohu.com> wrote in message
news:eb1a3cfc.0302190054.7890724b@posting.google.com...
> but MMTTY needs soundcard, the HAMCOMM needn't, is there a software
> just as HAMCOMM,use the COM port only,without soundcard?
>
>
> "John" <jck54@optusnet.com.au> wrote in message
news:<3e5202da$0$12821$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>...
> > You need MMTTY....it's free !!!!!!!
> > "wuyi" <wuyistar@sohu.com> wrote in message
> > news:eb1a3cfc.0302170751.5f418ce1@posting.google.com...
> > > i'm beginner of digital communication even in RTTY, i just found the
> > > software HAMCOMM 3.1 usefull but soon learned that it was
> > > discontinued,so i wanna how to get the registered HAMCOMM at least
> > > without the 30 days's limit, is there still register for the software?
> > > Many thanks,73!
> > > BG6AAO

384. HF/VHF/UHF Gate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-28 03:35:12 UTC
From: JJ <ve1jot@hfx.eastlink.ca>
Message-ID: <3E5ED8F0.C8238157@hfx.eastlink.ca>
Source record: 4131

Just to remind/inform u folks, if you telnet to 24.222.100.41, you get
the Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada inet tnos gateway, all welcome..for
extra priv,
e-mail me with request either on the bbs or at ve1jot.rac.ca
Cheers,
John

385. Automated Instantaneous WWW signal report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-28 13:32:18 UTC
From: Brick <btutor@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2003.02.28.08.32.18.249278.5760@yahoo.com>
Source record: 4132

Just starting out with SWL. A question comes to mind immediately: With the proliferation of always-on internet access, PC signal analysis, PSK and packet radio, is there already a software package out there that analyzes signals, looks for a specific signal signature (including source call-sign & possibly their current IP address), and immediately sends a signal analysis to the sender via the internet? Example:

Sender A wants to see how his signal is across the globe. He tunes to a specific 'test' frequency. Pulls up this piece of software and hits 'test'. The software sends the signal in say PSK format including his call-sign and IP address. All the other users of the software would leave their radios tuned to the 'test' frequency when not in use, with the software running. When the software detects the signal it grabs the IP address and performs a signal strength analysis. It immediately sends a report back to the sender via the internet. Where the sender's software receives these reports (via the internet) and shows the operator his signal strengths from all the other stations. You could even go as far as to include the receivers Lat/Long and display the reception reports (with signal strengths) on a global map.

Excuse my ignorance if any of this is impossible or already done. I just thought it would be a very interesting piece of software.

386. Re: Automated Instantaneous WWW signal report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-28 14:39:25 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <xwK7a.74534$zF6.5266373@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 4133

"Brick" <btutor@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2003.02.28.08.32.18.249278.5760@yahoo.com...
> Just starting out with SWL. A question comes to mind immediately: With
> the proliferation of always-on internet access, PC signal analysis, PSK
> and packet radio, is there already a software package out there that
> analyzes signals, looks for a specific signal signature (including source
> call-sign & possibly their current IP address), and immediately sends a
> signal analysis to the sender via the internet? Example:
>
> Sender A wants to see how his signal is across the globe. He tunes to a
> specific 'test' frequency. Pulls up this piece of software and hits
> 'test'. The software sends the signal in say PSK format including his
> call-sign and IP address. All the other users of the software would
> leave their radios tuned to the 'test' frequency when not in use, with
> the software running. When the software detects the signal it grabs the
> IP address and performs a signal strength analysis. It immediately sends
> a report back to the sender via the internet. Where the sender's
> software receives these reports (via the internet) and shows the operator
> his signal strengths from all the other stations. You could even go as
> far as to include the receivers Lat/Long and display the reception
> reports (with signal strengths) on a global map.
>
> Excuse my ignorance if any of this is impossible or already done. I just
> thought it would be a very interesting piece of software.

Sounds fairly interesting, and not all that difficult to do.

Perhaps a better way to handle the signal reports would be
to post them to a single database server so they could be
seen by everyone. That sever could also analyze all reports
and produce a propagation map.

Each station could also port reception reports for signals from
stations in the existing beacon network ...

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

387. Re: Automated Instantaneous WWW signal report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-28 16:02:05 UTC
From: "Peter O. Brackett" <ab4bc@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <b3o15h$l4r$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 4134

Brick:

[snip]
"Brick" <btutor@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2003.02.28.08.32.18.249278.5760@yahoo.com...
> Just starting out with SWL. A question comes to mind immediately: With
> the proliferation of always-on internet access, PC signal analysis, PSK
> and packet radio, is there already a software package out there that
> analyzes signals, looks for a specific signal signature (including source
> call-sign & possibly their current IP address), and immediately sends a
> signal analysis to the sender via the internet? Example:
[snip]

Now that's the best use I've heard yet for "mixing" the Internet with ham
radio!
--
Peter K1PO
Indialantic By-the-Sea, FL

388. Re: Automated Instantaneous WWW signal report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-28 18:54:40 UTC
From: News Account <none@none.org>
Message-ID: <QfO7a.16615$u12.6042754@news.alltel.net>
Source record: 4135

This could answer the long standing question "If a tree falls in the
woods..."

73's

Don Woodward
KD4APP

"Brick" <btutor@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2003.02.28.08.32.18.249278.5760@yahoo.com...
> Just starting out with SWL. A question comes to mind immediately: With
> the proliferation of always-on internet access, PC signal analysis, PSK
> and packet radio, is there already a software package out there that
> analyzes signals, looks for a specific signal signature (including source
> call-sign & possibly their current IP address), and immediately sends a
> signal analysis to the sender via the internet? Example:
>
> Sender A wants to see how his signal is across the globe. He tunes to a
> specific 'test' frequency. Pulls up this piece of software and hits
> 'test'. The software sends the signal in say PSK format including his
> call-sign and IP address. All the other users of the software would
> leave their radios tuned to the 'test' frequency when not in use, with
> the software running. When the software detects the signal it grabs the
> IP address and performs a signal strength analysis. It immediately sends
> a report back to the sender via the internet. Where the sender's
> software receives these reports (via the internet) and shows the operator
> his signal strengths from all the other stations. You could even go as
> far as to include the receivers Lat/Long and display the reception
> reports (with signal strengths) on a global map.
>
> Excuse my ignorance if any of this is impossible or already done. I just
> thought it would be a very interesting piece of software.

389. PTC IIe macro's for Mixw

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-02-28 18:56:35 UTC
From: Gert-Jan Dam <gertjan@pa3fio.net>
Message-ID: <b3obd4$1oh1dr$1@ID-157801.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 4136
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

Hi,
At this moment I'm creating macro files for the PTCIIe and the mixw program.
You can now download the RTTY and PSK31 macro file. I'm working on a RTTY
contest macro and a pactor macro.
http://www.pa3fio.net/digimode/ptc_macro.htm

MVG,
Gert-Jan Dam PA3FIO
gertjan@pa3fio.net
Zelfbouw schema's, antenne idee&#65533;n en webcam
http://www.pa3fio.net Het HF knutselhoekje.

390. Re: PTC IIe macro's for Mixw

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-01 23:41:12 UTC
From: Captain Liberty <Barrister54@socal.rr.com>
Message-ID: <syb8a.13219$zb.5746624@twister.socal.rr.com>
Source record: 4137
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

"Gert-Jan Dam" <gertjan@pa3fio.net> wrote in message
news:b3obd4$1oh1dr$1@ID-157801.news.dfncis.de...
> Hi,
> At this moment I'm creating macro files for the PTCIIe and the mixw
program.
> You can now download the RTTY and PSK31 macro file. I'm working on a RTTY
> contest macro and a pactor macro.
> http://www.pa3fio.net/digimode/ptc_macro.htm
>
>
> MVG,
> Gert-Jan Dam PA3FIO
> gertjan@pa3fio.net
> Zelfbouw schema's, antenne idee&#65533;n en webcam
> http://www.pa3fio.net Het HF knutselhoekje.

Thanks!! Great idea. I'll take a look at it!

Roger, W6VZV

391. AEA PK-88

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-02 22:50:52 UTC
From: "Jeff T. Newsome Jr" <jeffn484@rivnet.net>
Message-ID: <3e628b59_6@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 4138

I need software that will run this tnc on windows me does anyone know of anything out there?
Jeff KD4QIT

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

392. Re: AEA PK-88

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-03 03:22:12 UTC
From: Dave Hunt <dave.hunt2@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <m03cm5t9lt.fsf@localhost.localdomain>
Source record: 4139

Hi,

Any terminal program can run a device like the PK 88. Have you tried
the program called Hyperterminal? It's already on your system, most
likely.

-Dave wx1g
>>>>> "Jeff" == Jeff T Newsome <jeffn484@rivnet.net> writes:

Jeff> I need software that will run this tnc on windows me does
Jeff> anyone know of anything out there? Jeff KD4QIT

Jeff> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News
Jeff> =----- http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service
Jeff> in the World! -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different
Jeff> Servers! =-----

393. Re: AEA PK-88

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-03 03:47:07 UTC
From: Dave Hunt <dave.hunt2@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <m0y93xrtvu.fsf@localhost.localdomain>
Source record: 4140

An addendum to my post:

If you're planning to use your PK 88 for amateur TCP/IP work, you'll need one of the "NOS" programs. Not sure what, specifically, to suggest, but a look with your favorite search engine should turn up many of these. They've been around since the days of MSDOS.

Good Luck,

Dave wx1g <dave.hunt2@verizon.net>
>>>>> "Dave" == Dave Hunt <dave.hunt2@verizon.net> writes:

Dave> Hi,

Dave> Any terminal program can run a device like the PK 88. Have
Dave> you tried the program called Hyperterminal? It's already on
Dave> your system, most likely.

394. Re: Microlog Air-1 manual wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-04 22:02:33 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@ctc.net>
Message-ID: <7KqdnaOt6b_ZrPijXTWc3Q@ctc.net>
Source record: 4141

> I just acquired an old Microlog AIR-1 CW/RTTY cartridge for the
> Commodore Vic-20 computer. I plugged it into my old Vic-20 and had not
> much trouble getting it to read CW. I tried to get it to read RTTY on HF
> and had a friend send some RTTY to me on 2 meters. The little indicator
> in the top left flashed in response to his sending, but the text coming
> in was just garbage, just like I got on HF. So I guess I need the manual
> after all. Does anyone have a manual they can copy/sell/scan and let me
> know cost to get it from you? Or at least some instructions?
> Thanks.

Did you have your receiver set to LSB on HF ? The normal is for 170 hz
shift and 60 wpm. Also make sure it really is rtty.

395. TNOS/LINUX crashes when sending mail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-06 03:24:07 UTC
From: kiribati@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <dfdeb6e0.0303051924.26be5d6e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 4142

Regards to everyone,

I've just installed TNOS 2.4 under Linux slackware 8.1. When I log into the bbs and send a message, the message gets delivered and everything looks ok; but when I log off from the bbs Tnos exits. When I restart the program and look up at the log.net file, the following warning appears as the last logged action:

A crashprotect prevantable restart ocurred from a SIGSEGV signal.

This only happens on delivery (even local delivery), because TNOS receives mail ok from elswere, and the rest of the bbs commands seems ok.

Any input welcomed

73 de XE1AC

396. Info-Tech M-1200 Data Decoder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-06 06:01:38 UTC
From: KK6PD <KK6PD@SBCGLOBAL.NET>
Message-ID: <6vB9a.37$Ny2.7264507@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4144
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

If anyone is looking for a Universal InfoTech M-1200 Decoder Card I am offering one on ebay Item # 3011545462 with owners manual and software.

73 and thanks for looking Pat KK6PD

397. PSKFEST 2003 CERTIFICATE WINNERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-06 07:20:09 UTC
From: n3dqu@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030306022009.00762.00000063@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 4143

Announcing the PSKfest 2003 Certificate winners. For this year's event the 070 Club is awarding certificates to the top three scores in each category/continent. See < http://hometown.aol.com/n3dqu/2003_pskfest_results.htm > for the final results.

This year we had 121 entries in the PSKfest, a very nice turnout. Special recognition to 070 Club member N8PSK for TOP DOG score of 25,434 points, fb job Chris! Also special mention to SWL member F-16527 who logged 378 QSO's in the contest!
Congratulations to the certificate winners and many thanks to all who played in the PSKFest, especially them crazy QRPer's :o) A well deserved attaboy also to Contest Manager Steve N6YIH/7 for taking care of all the logs and scoring (Tnx om, couldn't have done it without ya!). Will be looking for you all in the next one....73 de Jay N3DQU.

PSKFEST 2003 CERTIFICATE WINNERS (BY CATEGORY/CONTINENT)

SOQRP-SB
NA 1 AG4SV
2 WB5KYK
3 K1JY

EU 1 UR8QR
2 RN1AO
3 UT5NM

AS 1 RA9OAG

SOQRP-MB
NA 1 W3HF
2 KF4FHS
3 KD7KST

EU 1 DJ6TK

AS 1 RK9AZZ
2 UA0ZS

SOLP
NA 1 N8PSK (TOP DOG)
2 WM2U
3 VE9DX

EU 1 UA4FCO
2 DL2AYL
3 F6IRF

```
AS 1 UA9XBI
2 RA9AU
3 4K5D

SA 1 PY2MJ
---
SOMP
NA 1 KF4HOU
2 N3MK
3 W4BCG

EU 1 OL5Q
2 RU3AT
3 RK6BZ

AS 1 UA0FDX
---
SWL
EU 1 F-16527
---
```

398. INFO-TECH M-1200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-06 07:22:27 UTC
From: KK6PD <KK6PD@SBCGLOBAL.NET>
Message-ID: <TGC9a.49$MI6.6800543@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4145

If anyone is looking for a Universal InfoTech M-1200 Decoder Card I am offering one on ebay Item # 3011545462 with owners manual and software.

73 and thanks for looking Pat KK6PD

399. INFO-TECH M-1200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-06 07:24:06 UTC
From: KK6PD <KK6PD@SBCGLOBAL.NET>
Message-ID: <qIC9a.51$mm6.6839321@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4146

If anyone is looking for a Universal InfoTech M-1200 Decoder Card I am offering one on ebay Item # 3011545462 with owners manual and software.

73 and thanks for looking Pat KK6PD

400. INFO-TECH M-1200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-06 11:06:36 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <0ZF9a.2$6Q1.9699382@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4147

If anyone is looking for a Universal InfoTech M-1200 Decoder Card, Pat KK6PD is offering one on ebay Item # 3011545462 with owners manual and software.

(Just in case you missed Pat's post about this item.)

Charles, N5PVL

401. Re: Automated Instantaneous WWW signal report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-06 11:19:42 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <i9G9a.3$yd2.9861178@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4148

"News Account" <none@none.org> wrote in message
news:QfO7a.16615$u12.6042754@news.alltel.net...

> This could answer the long standing question "If a tree falls in the
woods..."

That's the advanced stuff... You have to get past the "sound of one hand
clapping" part first! No line-breakers!

Seriously though, it does sound like a good idea. I hope somebody runs with
it.

Charles, N5PVL

402. GN4SIW Web Pages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-06 14:08:20 UTC
From: GN4SIW <resources@gn4siw.co.uk>
Message-ID: <3E675654.3070909@gn4siw.co.uk>
Source record: 4149

The Antrim and District Amateur Radio Society
have launched their new web pages at

http://www.gn4siw.co.uk

Come and visit our bring and buy area

GOT SOMETHING TO SELL, SWOP or EXCHANGE ??

Then place your advert (free of charge)
on the ADARS BRING & BUY pages.

Thanks for your support

73 de David GI4FUM
chairman@gn4siw.co.uk

403. Win a DIGITAL RADIO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-07 15:20:05 UTC
From: BBC Gloucestershire <gloucestershire@bbc.co.uk>
Message-ID: <b4adb6$fh1$1@nntp0.reith.bbc.co.uk>
Source record: 4150

http://www.bbc.co.uk/gloucestershire/competitions/

404. Dang Terrorists!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-08 05:31:17 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <Fefaa.492$Xi4.313884804@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3951

Hackers from Brazil claiming to be Islamic Terrorists have hacked the USPN web-site at http://www.uspacket.net

Sorry but the page will be down for a day or two while the server is reconstructed. The USPN E-mail lists are working OK, but the web-site and forum are temporarily kapoot.

The FBI has been notified, etc... Again, sorry about the inconvenience!

Charles, N5PVL
webmaster@uspacket.net
http://www.uspacket.net

405. Re: Sound card "out" signal on "in"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-08 06:27:56 UTC
From: laborkei <laborkeiNOSPAM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <KWfaa.1300$He4.899@fe02.atl2.webusenet.com>
Source record: 3952

Ken,

Whatever you do don't call BuxComm !
He will make you feel like an idiot, and you should know better
before purchasing his "No Supported Soap Dish Interface".
What a piece of Garbage !

You want quality.. go with the SignaLink Interface.

Keith

"Ken" <cprstn54zz@att.net> wrote in message
news:3e4157dd.150979093@news-server.optonline.net...
> I am using a Rascal (like a Rigblaster). It isolates the sound card
> signals and does not mix them.
>
> It appears that the sound card output signal is present on the signal
> sent to my software as sound card input. So, if I am sending CW to
> the Rascal, software that displays sound-card-in shows my CW.
>
> It happens on different PC's, different Rascals, different operatin
> systems (win98 and XP) and different radios. I assume it is something
> the Windows controls are doing, or maybe the sound card itself (for
> which I have no documentation).
>
> The MIC Volume Control in windows is muted, so it is not coming in
> that way.
>
> Can someone shed some light? I would like to be able to adjust the
> amount of this pseudo-feedback higher. I like to decode my own CW and
> log it; this lets me do that.
>
> Ken KC2JDY
>
> Ken
> (to reply via email
> remove "zz" from address)

406. Re: Radio packet decoding with a PC Sound Card

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-08 06:32:58 UTC
From: laborkei <laborkeiNOSPAM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <P%faa.332$ah3.61@fe10.atl2.webusenet.com>
Source record: 3953

This link should be a big help for you.
http://www.qsl.net/soundcardpacket/

Enjoy,
Keith

"colocat" <tpzxr5@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:742b4e94.0302021349.cad354c@posting.google.com...
> Can anyone point me to a site (or thread) that would help me
> understand how to decode packets received on my scanner, then
> connected to the mic input on my PC via the discriminator port on my
> scanner. I know it has been done before and have had some success by
> tapping into the bit stream using the waveIn* functions. However, I
> am lacking the knowledge to "decode" the data at a certain baud rate
> and making any sense of the bit stream.
>
> thanks for any pointers (no pun intended)
>
> tpzxr5

407. Re: Sound card "out" signal on "in"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-08 06:36:21 UTC
From: "R. Scott" <washingtonham@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <6q3j6v0e0lmjpiclgolfuksbjomook7dql@4ax.com>
Source record: 3954

>Ken,
>
>Whatever you do don't call BuxComm !
>He will make you feel like an idiot, and you should know better
>before purchasing his "No Supported Soap Dish Interface".
>What a piece of Garbage !
>
>You want quality.. go with the SignaLink Interface.
>
>Keith

I beg to differ, Ive had my buxcom rascal for 2 years now
and both times I had questions he was more than willing
to help me. I love my rascal, and highly recommend them.

Scotty N7HJ

408. Re: BuxComm RASCAL won't key tx

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-08 06:38:15 UTC
From: laborkei <laborkeiNOSPAM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <s6gaa.1014$2J1.751@fe06.atl2.webusenet.com>
Source record: 3955

Yes !
Purchase a SignaLink Interface..
No COM port is required.

Sent the "Soap Dish" back for credit..

Keith

"Jan T Pharo" <janpharo@online.no> wrote in message
news:cm7fvugdn9up0kesfig8e06u73g49aebt2@4ax.com...
> I have this "Rascal" soapbox kit between my PC and Kenwood 130;
> however, it won't (sad to say) trig the PTT.
> After manual use of the Send/Rec switch the system will send its PSK31
> signals (and I'll have to flip the switch again).
>
> The wiring of the circuit seems ok enough, but there must be something I
> haven't thought of.
> Any advice?
>
>
>
> --
> /jan, la2bba
>
> la2bba@qsl.net
> <http://www.qsl.net/la2bba>
> JO59MA

409. 10 hours left for the best Email address

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-08 08:59:51 UTC
From: |-|erc <herc@adamskingdom.com>
Message-ID: <3e69b064_1@news.iprimus.com.au>
Source record: 3956
Cross-posted to:
rec.video,rec.sport.softball,rec.outdoors.fishing.fly,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,comp.databases.postgresql.ports.cygwin

www.slaveforlove.com
http://cgi.ebay.com.au/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3405015862

From: Elisabeth
On Thu, 6 Feb 2003 08:15:24 +1000, "|-|erc"
<herculesis@slaveforlove.com> wrote:

>Yeah I know, I only posted here on a bet.
I used a computer.

BTW, I like your name.

--

_____ ___
/ ___/ / __ __ __ /__ /
/ /__/ _ \ / -_)(_- (_- _/_ /
_ _/_//_/__/ /__)/__)/___/
www.chess3.com

410. <<<<MFSK HELP PLEASE>>>>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-08 10:43:01 UTC
From: DOMtheDUDE <tacticalresponsegroup@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <b4chg9acf1@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 3957

Hi Folks ....

Ive just set myself up using the MFSK digi-mode. I am using MixW v.2.04 and i am receiving and transmitting text just fine, however what i wish to know is how to receive and send pictures using this mode as i see many stations sending pics. Do i need a Binhex program of some sort or what?? I have tried asking stations on air but unfortunately i have only spoken to foreign stations who dont seem to understand my question hi hi. It would be greatly appreciated if anyone can help me, many thanks in advance.

Dom (M0ASR / 2E0CIA).

411. Re: Sound card "out" signal on "in"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-08 15:52:59 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <vloaa.539$tB2.394974401@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3958

"laborkei" <laborkeiNOSPAM@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:KWfaa.1300$He4.899@fe02.atl2.webusenet.com...
> Ken,
>
> Whatever you do don't call BuxComm !
> He will make you feel like an idiot, and you should know better
> before purchasing his "No Supported Soap Dish Interface".
> What a piece of Garbage !

For my part, I've had good luck with BuxComm, and the Rascal interfaces I have built and seen have all worked flawlessly. The times I have exchanged E-mail with Buck Rogers, he has been polite and informative.

I figure that "laborkei" is either making a dollar off the "brand X" interface he pushes, or it might just be that he's a garden-variety crank, out to run down BuxComm because "laborei" was too dumb to follow the Rascal's simple directions. A lot of times, guys like that will get frustrated and blame the manufacturer.

They will endlessly harass the manufacturer and when they get the old "heave-ho" that cranks deserve so well, they talk about how the manufacturer was rude and did not give "good service".

I used to have a girlfriend that complained a lot like that... She didn't react too well when she got the old "heave-ho" either.

Sour grapes!

Charles, N5PVL
webmaster@uspacket.net
http://www.uspacket.net

412. Re: Sound card "out" signal on "in"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-08 20:49:53 UTC
From: res075cz <res075cz@gte.net>
Message-ID: <RHsaa.2611$iq1.2302@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 3959

I have both the RIGBlaster and the Rascal. Both work well and each have
their own strengths and weaknesses. The Rascal went together with no
problems and the price is sure right.

--
73 de Jim, K5ROV...

James (Jim) Parsons, K5ROV, CMSgt (E-9), USAF, Ret., San Angelo, TX
Ham for over 60 yrs. 70% CW, 20 % digital, 10 % phone.
k5rov@arrl.net, QCWA, ARCI, Fists, ARRL, ARMS.
EX: W1RLA, K5FBB, K4FEO, SV0WN (CRETE), SV0WN (RHODES),
DL4NC, DL4JP, KA2FC (JAPAN), KA2JP (JAPAN).
JOHN 3:16

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:vloaa.539$tB2.394974401@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "laborkei" <laborkeiNOSPAM@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:KWfaa.1300$He4.899@fe02.atl2.webusenet.com...
> > Ken,
> >
> > Whatever you do don't call BuxComm !
> > He will make you feel like an idiot, and you should know better
> > before purchasing his "No Supported Soap Dish Interface".
> > What a piece of Garbage !
>
> For my part, I've had good luck with BuxComm, and the Rascal interfaces I
> have built and seen have all worked flawlessly. The times I have exchanged
> E-mail with Buck Rogers, he has been polite and informative.
>
> I figure that "laborkei" is either making a dollar off the "brand X"
> interface he pushes, or it might just be that he's a garden-variety crank,
> out to run down BuxComm because "laborei" was too dumb to follow the
> Rascal's simple directions. A lot of times, guys like that will get
> frustrated and blame the manufacturer.
>
> They will endlessly harass the manufacturer and when they get the old
> "heave-ho" that cranks deserve so well, they talk about how the
manufacturer
> was rude and did not give "good service".
>
> I used to have a girlfriend that complained a lot like that... She didn't
> react too well when she got the old "heave-ho" either.
>
> Sour grapes!

>
> Charles, N5PVL
> webmaster@uspacket.net
> http://www.uspacket.net
>
>
>

413. Re: <<<<MFSK HELP PLEASE>>>>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-09 00:55:20 UTC
From: "S. Miller" <stemp-removethis-@gstarusa.com>
Message-ID: <3E6A90F7.567D22AC@gstarusa.com>
Source record: 3960

Dom,

You need MixW v2.07 to send pictures via MFSK. You can download the update from the MixW web site (www.mixw.net).

- Seth

DOMtheDUDE wrote:

> Hi Folks ....
>
> Ive just set myself up using the MFSK digi-mode. I am using MixW v.2.04 and
> i am receiving and transmitting text just fine, however what i wish to know
> is how to receive and send pictures using this mode as i see many stations
> sending pics. Do i need a Binhex program of some sort or what?? I have
> tried asking stations on air but unfortunately i have only spoken to foreign
> stations who dont seem to understand my question hi hi. It would be greatly
> appreciated if anyone can help me, many thanks in advance.
>
> Dom (M0ASR / 2E0CIA).

414. <<<Thanks for MFSK help>>>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-09 12:54:53 UTC
From: DOMtheDUDE <tacticalresponsegroup@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <b4fdju$go7$1@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 3961

Hi Folks....

Many thanks to the various people who have emailed and answered this thread regarding my help on a matter with the MFSK mode.

I have now downloaded MixW 2.07 and succesfully receiving pictures.

Cheers,
Dom (M0ASR / 2E0CIA).

415. Y-adapter for Yaesu FT-100D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-09 19:45:09 UTC
From: Dennis Nuetzel <dennisn77@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <9RMaa.8659$3g.1787838@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3962

I am looking for a Y-adapter for a Yaesu FT-100D mic cable.
This is for being able to use a rigBlaster or the mic without always
detatching the faceplate to connect and re-connect the cables, since Yaesu
uses an RJ12 jack for the mic and RigBlaster's connections are RJ45.
Is there a place where I can purchase such an item?

73,
Dennis KB9VLP

416. Re: Y-adapter for Yaesu FT-100D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-10 00:28:13 UTC
From: Gerald Schmitt <KK5YY@ARRL.NETWORK>
Message-ID: <9smn6v4si8p72b4j5fv4n5httd7nefsvis@4ax.com>
Source record: 3963

On Sun, 09 Mar 2003 19:45:09 GMT, "Dennis Nuetzel"
<dennisn77@ameritech.net> wrote:

> I am looking for a Y-adapter for a Yaesu FT-100D mic cable.
> This is for being able to use a rigBlaster or the mic without always
>detatching the faceplate to connect and re-connect the cables, since Yaesu
>uses an RJ12 jack for the mic and RigBlaster's connections are RJ45.
> Is there a place where I can purchase such an item?
>
>
> 73,
> Dennis KB9VLP
>
>
I built a cable that employs the data jack on the back of the radio
and never disconnect the mic. An added bonus it works with the
817 and i suppose the 857/897s

417. Re: price for used PK-232 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-10 04:39:49 UTC
From: ronaldwindham@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <ef5fbc3b.0303092039.10253e67@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3964

Larry -

I seem to recall seeing that you won an auction on eBay for a PK232
from a fellow in Arizona on February 22.

Are you still looking for one? Did you take delivery of the other one?

Ron

"larry Deitcher" <kb9ksr@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<XRU6a.20537$0L3.8289404@news2.news.adelphia.net>...
> pick up a used one for 76.00 and a upgrade for 280. it will do psk31 mt63
> sstv and other modes
> "Spiros Xanthopoulos" <sxanthop@internet.gr> wrote in message
> news:b3ffok$bb5$1@news.internet.gr...
> > Hello everyone,
> >
> > I am thinking of getting a used PK-232 so that i can work
> > packet/rtty/sstv/psk and everything else with my HF...What is the
> suggested
> > price for a USED tnc like that ? Is 150 Euros ok ?
> >
> > 73's de SV2EVS
> >
> > P.S Anyone knows a site with used tnc's ?
> >
> >

418. Re: Radio packet decoding with a PC Sound Card

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-10 21:20:08 UTC
From: marsgal42@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <d42b5a72.0303101320.e4c483b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3965
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

"laborkei" wrote in message news:...
> This link should be a big help for you.
> http://www.qsl.net/soundcardpacket/

This says how to set up AGWPE et al, but has zero
information on how it actually works.

The original poster actually asked:

> Can anyone point me to a site (or thread) that would help me
> understand how to decode packets received on my scanner, then
> connected to the mic input on my PC via the discriminator port on my
> scanner. I know it has been done before and have had some success by
> tapping into the bit stream using the waveIn* functions. However, I
> am lacking the knowledge to "decode" the data at a certain baud rate
> and making any sense of the bit stream.

If all you're doing is reading the samples, you've done
nothing, really. Sorry.

The underlying theory (sampled signals, FSK detection)
is well covered in any undergrad communications text-
book. One of my faves is Communication Systems by Haykin.
Try also Digital Modulation and Coding by Wilson. I've
never seen anything other than arm-waving on the subject
on the Internet.

This question comes up frequently and is a candidate for
a rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc FAQ, but since nobody
ever seems to read this group (or any other group, for
that matter) before asking, such a document would serve
no purpose.

Laura Halliday VE7LDH "Que les nuages soient notre
Grid: CN89lg pied a terre..."
ICBM: 49 16.57 N 123 0.24 W - Hospital/Shafte

419. Re: Radio packet decoding with a PC Sound Card

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-11 18:21:35 UTC
From: "B. Smith" <bsmith@ou.edu>
Message-ID: <hOpba.82$p7.2258@news.ou.edu>
Source record: 3966

"Laura Halliday" <marsgal42@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> The underlying theory (sampled signals, FSK detection)
> is well covered in any undergrad communications text-
> book. One of my faves is Communication Systems by Haykin.
> Try also Digital Modulation and Coding by Wilson. I've
> never seen anything other than arm-waving on the subject
> on the Internet.

There was a Visual Basic MDT-4800 decoder, with the lower
level code being C. This link:

http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Lab/1067/MDT.HTM

Is all that is left, as the software is considered contraband. While
there is a few ZIP files there to show how to decode the bits, a
fully functional Windows program is only available via the
underground parts of society :-)

You do the same thing in software that you would do in hardware.
That is, over-sample the data, synchronize the packets, error-correct,
and then decode. If you start now, you should have something by
Christmas... I would recommend not using Visual Basic, and stick
with a simple user interface. I would recommend another operating
system than Windows, but I don't want to trip-off any of the hand
wavers out there.

420. Anyone using C-64, VIC-20, CoCo, Jaguar, Apple II? New ConTiki OS interesting.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-11 19:00:39 UTC
From: "Please invert everything left of the @ to reply" <6212hgk@newsguy.com>
Message-ID: <cfcs6v8fnhiktksl09tpbbtn940cukfsvc@4ax.com>
Source record: 3967
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc

http://dunkels.com/adam/contiki/faq.html
--
Nobody but a fool goes into a federal counterrorism operation without duct tape - Richard Preston, THE COBRA EVENT.

421. Re: Y-adapter for Yaesu FT-100D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-11 22:35:37 UTC
From: Dennis Nuetzel <dennisn77@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <Zwtba.79$Nl6.64712@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3968

Hi Gerald,

I tried to e-mail you, but the e-mail bounced back. I recieved this
message:
"Host arrl.network not found"

Anyway, could you please tell me how you accomplished this? Any
instruction would be greatly appreciated.

73,
Dennis KB9VLP

"Gerald Schmitt" <KK5YY@ARRL.NETWORK> wrote in message
news:9smn6v4si8p72b4j5fv4n5httd7nefsvis@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 09 Mar 2003 19:45:09 GMT, "Dennis Nuetzel"
> <dennisn77@ameritech.net> wrote:
>
> > I am looking for a Y-adapter for a Yaesu FT-100D mic cable.
> > This is for being able to use a rigBlaster or the mic without always
> >detatching the faceplate to connect and re-connect the cables, since
Yaesu
> >uses an RJ12 jack for the mic and RigBlaster's connections are RJ45.
> > Is there a place where I can purchase such an item?
> >
> >
> > 73,
> > Dennis KB9VLP
> >
> >
> I built a cable that employs the data jack on the back of the radio
> and never disconnect the mic. An added bonus it works with the
> 817 and i suppose the 857/897s

422. PC-ALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-12 00:54:50 UTC
From: Regis Fonteneau <regis.ftu@wanadoo.fr>
Message-ID: <b4m0eu$77j$1@news-reader12.wanadoo.fr>
Source record: 3969

Is someone use PC-ALE withe Windows XP ? Because I have some problems with it, can you help me ?

423. Universal Info-Tech M-1200 Decoder Card

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-12 01:05:35 UTC
From: kk6pd <kk6pd@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <3E6E87E7.3080308@sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 3970
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

Universal Info-Tech M-1200 Data Decoder card. Its features are as follows .. Shortwave Reception Morse Code.Baudot 45/50/57/75/100 baud, ASCII 110/150/300/600/1200 Baud, Sitor A/B, ARQ-M2 86/96/100/172/192/200 baud, Arq-M4 172/192/200 baud, ARQ-E 48/64/72/86/96/100/144/192 baud, ARQ-E3 48/64/72/86/96/100/192/200 baud, FEC-A 96/144/192 baud, ARQ-6-90 200 baud, Packet 300.1200 baud, FAX 60/90/120/240 LPM AM&FM ACARS, POCSAG, GOLAY, CTCSS PL Decode, DCS DPL Decode. Unit comes with Owners Manual and Ver 1.01 Software

Check it out ...

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3012826603

73 de Pat KK6PD

424. Re: PC-ALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-12 02:08:22 UTC
From: haynes@alumni.uark.edu
Message-ID: <qEwba.16107$gF3.1422121@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 3971

Most of the discussion of PC-ALE takes place on
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/hflink

In article <b4m0eu$77j$1@news-reader12.wanadoo.fr>,
Regis Fonteneau <regis.ftu@wanadoo.fr> wrote:
>Is someone use PC-ALE withe Windows XP ? Because I have some problems with
>it, can you help me ?

425. Re: Anyone using C-64, VIC-20, CoCo, Jaguar, Apple II? New ConTiki OS interesting.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-12 10:18:57 UTC
From: "Chip G." <n.1.m.i.e@snet.net>
Message-ID: <120320030518570449%n.1.m.i.e@snet.net>
Source record: 3972
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <cfcs6v8fnhiktksl09tpbbtn940cukfsvc@4ax.com>, < @> wrote:

> http://dunkels.com/adam/contiki/faq.html

Very interesting, but what does that have to do with anything but C-64?
Why the other items in the title when they are unrelated?

Thank,

--
--Chip
remove dots in prefix to fix email address

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

426. IC-730 and MixW (Rtty) HELP PLEASE !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-12 20:15:58 UTC
From: Spiros Xanthopoulos <sxanthop@internet.gr>
Message-ID: <b4o4ib$9bh$1@news.internet.gr>
Source record: 3973

hello everyone,

I want to work my IC-730 with my sound card along with the MixW program.
Anyone done this ?

Anyone works with IC-730 and digital modes ? (Rtty,Psk) Have you done this
with the sound card ? "URGENT" help needed....

73's de SV2EVS

427. Re: Anyone using C-64, VIC-20, CoCo, Jaguar, Apple II? New ConTiki OS interesting.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-12 21:24:58 UTC
From: Joseph Fenn <jfenn@lava.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.BSI.4.44.0303121124340.18680-100000@malasada.lava.net>
Source record: 3974
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc

How about a Kintiki for the Commodore 128!!!

****
* Ham KH6JF AARS/MARS ABM6JF QCWA WW2 VET WD RADIO *
****

428. Re: Win a DIGITAL RADIO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-13 17:20:45 UTC
From: tim conway <n9hmg@mailhot.reversethatanddropthis.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2003.03.13.17.22.22.688146@mailhot.reversethatanddropthis.com>
Source record: 3975

On Fri, 07 Mar 2003 15:20:05 +0000, BBC Gloucestershire wrote:

> http://www.bbc.co.uk/gloucestershire/competitions/
Here's a hint to make it easier to get through the clicking.
use a real browser, one that lets you open a page in a new window. I
chose Mozilla.
When the image pops up in its own statusbarless window, reopen it in a
conventional window.
Now, you can see in the status bar what you are pointing to in the image.
It's a simple client-side usemap, so you can see which of the limited
number of possible destinations you're about to click... saves you the
blind searching.

429. Re: IC-730 and MixW (Rtty) HELP PLEASE !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-13 17:38:21 UTC
From: Robert Miles <rmiles@zetnet.co.uk>
Message-ID: <ffjq4b.fjo.ln@192.168.1.1>
Source record: 3976

Tx/Rx changeover time on the 730 is slow for digital modes like Pactor and AMTOR.

Works ok with RTTY.

Regards

"Spiros Xanthopoulos" <sxanthop@internet.gr> wrote in message news:b4o4ib$9bh$1@news.internet.gr...
> hello everyone,
>
> I want to work my IC-730 with my sound card along with the MixW program.
> Anyone done this ?
>
> Anyone works with IC-730 and digital modes ? (Rtty,Psk) Have you done this
> with the sound card ? "URGENT" help needed....
>
> 73's de SV2EVS
>
>

430. Echolink - Communication Audio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-15 05:14:10 UTC
From: W4NNG <nntp@[remove]>
Message-ID: <CEyca.15126$_F2.1544952@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 3977

Anyone know a technique to reduce the audio bandwidth on a soundcard.

I'm getting to much base.

Bob W4NNG

431. Re: Echolink - Communication Audio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-15 05:41:16 UTC
From: Bob N2BR <n2br@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <v75f2m4ia1k364@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 3978

Just use your sound card controls

Bobby Raymer N2BR
Email n2br@arrl.net
Echolink Node #27353
N2BR-L Algood,TN
" W4NNG" <nntp@[remove]soalex.com> wrote in message
news:CEyca.15126$_F2.1544952@news1.east.cox.net...
> Anyone know a technique to reduce the audio bandwidth on a soundcard.
>
> I'm getting to much base.
>
> Bob W4NNG
>
>
>

432. Re: Echolink - Communication Audio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-15 05:54:19 UTC
From: Bob Wilkins k1ooo <k1ooo@cave.org>
Message-ID: <v75g0ce2bvbj47@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 3979

~All your base belong to us~

You might revisit the echolink website for application specific assistance.
You may want to set up a graphic equalizer audio filter to tailor the
soundcard audio to your ear. Quite often the net sending station is not
attenuating signals below 500 Hz and the resulting IM distortion is
difficult to filter.

bob k1ooo www.cave.org/aprs

" W4NNG" <nntp@[remove]soalex.com> wrote in message
news:CEyca.15126$_F2.1544952@news1.east.cox.net...
> Anyone know a technique to reduce the audio bandwidth on a soundcard.
>
> I'm getting to much base.
>
> Bob W4NNG
>
>
>

433. Re: IC-730 and MixW (Rtty) HELP PLEASE !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-15 08:03:27 UTC
From: Cathryn Mataga <cathryn@junglevision.com>
Message-ID: <b4un2s$u8i$1@sun-news.laserlink.net>
Source record: 3980

Robert Miles wrote:
> Tx/Rx changeover time on the 730 is slow for digital modes like Pactor and
> AMTOR.

I used to talk to people on Pactor when I had a IC730 and PK232.
I think it was the 720 that couldn't do pactor. I don't know if
it was marginal or not, but it seemed to work.

>
> Works ok with RTTY.
>
> Regards
>
>
> "Spiros Xanthopoulos" <sxanthop@internet.gr> wrote in message
> news:b4o4ib$9bh$1@news.internet.gr...
>
>>hello everyone,
>>
>>I want to work my IC-730 with my sound card along with the MixW program.
>>Anyone done this ?
>>
>>Anyone works with IC-730 and digital modes ? (Rtty,Psk) Have you done this
>>with the sound card ? "URGENT" help needed....
>>
>>73's de SV2EVS
>>
>>
>
>
>

434. TrueTTY 2.01 is released!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-15 08:52:14 UTC
From: Sergei Podstrigailo <amx2@dxsoft.com>
Message-ID: <b4upjr$23h2ti$1@ID-52759.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 3981

```
Hello All,

I have uploaded TrueTTY 2.01 to the main site and mirror:

http://www.dxsoft.com/truetty.zip
http://www.truetty.com/truetty.zip

Best regards,
Sergei

Windows HAM radio software at http://www.dxsoft.com

=============================================================================
===
TrueTTY Versions History

V2.01
In MultiFSK mode mouse click at spectrogram defines lowest radio
frequency, not center one.
Documentation was update.
V2.0
The "Setup" menu was fully remade. Check "Setup->Interface" options now!
AFC was remade. It works properly at fast AFC speed now.
A problem with RX window scrolling was fixed.
Some minor bugs were fixed.
V1.98
DTMF-code decoding was made.
MultiFSK-16 receiving and transmitting were made.
Alt-D hotkey was made for "Clear Buffer" command.
V1.97
The documentation was update.
Some minor improvements were made.
V1.96
TrueTTY can decode signals from a WAVE-file now.
See the "File->Decode From File" command.
A WAVE-file must be Windows PCM file, without compression.
A sample rate must be 11025, 22050 or 44100 Hz.
Preferred file parameters are mono, 16 bit, 11025 Hz.

The ExchangeSpeed parameter of the TRUETTY.INI file defines data exchange
speed via additional COM-port now.

Some minor improvements were made.
V1.95
TrueTTY can exchange receiving and transmitting data to another software
via additional COM-port now. So, TrueTTY can emulate hardware modem or TNC.
The "Setup->Data Exchange Interface" menu selects COM-port used for this
```

purpose. So, with two com ports (in single computer or in two computers)
connected via null-modem cable, you can use TrueTTY with another terminal
software intended for hardware modem using. For example, you can try to use
TrueTTY with WF1B contest software (though I prefer AALog). A speed of
data exchange via COM-port is 9600 bauds. See null-modem cable schematics
in NULL-MOD.GIF file.
If you are using COM-port for PTT interface but don't use it for FSK, you
can use the same port for data exchange also.
Software COM-port emulator can be used also. I have used VSPD virtual
COM-port driver from http://www.mks.zp.ua with success.

In AX25 mode TrueTTY can work (to receive and transmit) as KISS-TNC via
COM-port or DXSOFTEX.DLL interface now. KISS mode is switched on by
the "Setup->Data Exchange Interface->KISS Mode in AX25" menu item.

I have successfully tested TrueTTY with great AX25 software Pr4Win
(see http://www.geocities.com/nl3asd/download/pr4win.html ,
http://www.qsl.net/oe8djk/) with hardware COM-ports (USB/COM converters)
and with VSPD driver.
V1.93
The "File->Open KISS Capture File" command opens capture file to
save all receiving AX-25 packets in the KISS-format.
The program behavior at not very vast computer was improved.
Some minor bugs were fixed.
[...]

435. pocket PC and Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-15 18:19:40 UTC
From: TonyWard <tonester1@cbuk.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <b4vqrfgds1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 3982

Anyone know of any websites with Pocket PC software and ham radio ???
there is a nice one for Palm OS
http://www.qsl.net/va3pkh/palm-ham.html

but I want a PPC machine ...

Cheers
Tony.

436. Ham radio swap meet...FREE!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-17 21:34:59 UTC
From: denton <denton@oregontrail.net>
Message-ID: <b55eo2pts1@news.oregontrail.net>
Source record: 3983
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.swap

The Pendleton Amateur Radio Club, in Pendleton Oregon is having a tailgate swap meet this coming Saturday, March 22nd at the South Pendleton City Hall parking lot.
There is no admission charge for either participants or sellers!
Time is from 8 in the morning to about noon.

437. HAL PCI-3000 software!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-22 16:25:09 UTC
From: Dan Richardson <k6mhe@mendolink.com>
Message-ID: <bf3p7vk5nuj5ibup17htpueuq5j3586vaf@4ax.com>
Source record: 3984

I'm trying to find out if there is any Windows software available for
use with the HAL PCI-3000 HF modem.

73
Danny, K6MHE

438. For Sale 3 PacComm WA4DSY 56K RF Modems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-22 22:57:44 UTC
From: Evan Idler <evanNOSPAM@nosa.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <IT5fa.527675$Yo4.31826162@news1.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 3985

I have 3 - PacComm WA4DSY 56K RF Modems for sale.

$200.00 each O.B.O.

--Evan VE6EEI, VE6HSP, VE6UOA

evanNOSPAM@nosa.net (remove the NOSPAM)

439. new to packet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-23 20:15:04 UTC
From: MIchael Waters <pendrgn@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <g_acndrzTY1ViOOjXTWc3Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 3986

Hello,
I am a newbie to packet radio. I would like ot know where i Could get
hte software needed to use packet radio with my Alinco DR-135T. Currently I
need to get the radio repaired, but as soon as I do, I would like to get
into packet. Also, are there any links between packet, and the internet?
Please let me know. Thank you

440. AEA PK2232 Windows XP Terminal Program wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-23 23:38:08 UTC
From: Karl Geng <geng@marcoisland.org>
Message-ID: <SkmdnT3jOfLD2OOjXTWcoQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 3987

anyone out there who knows what terminal program works with Windows XP and
the AEA PK 2232 TNC?
Any and all info is appreciated.

73 Karl N1DL

441. FS>> Peet Bros. Weather Station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-24 16:36:15 UTC
From: John Schneider <thecop@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3uGfa.194506$b8.43034503@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 3988

Peet Bros. weather station (Ultimeter 2000).

Great condition and guarenteed not to be DOA.

Includes display, accessory hub and HEATED anenometer.

http://www.peetbros.com/HTML_Pages/part1.htm#ultimeter2000

This unit retails for $379 and the heated upgrade is an additional $39.

Asking $320 O.B.O.

Please e-mail off list if interested.

Thank you,

John

--

www.geocities.com/theoldcop

442. Re: AEA PK2232 Windows XP Terminal Program wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-24 21:00:49 UTC
From: "J." <me@AvoidSpam.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9348A34491EFEjacques1magmaca@64.26.141.46>
Source record: 3989

"Karl Geng" <geng@marcoisland.org> wrote in
news:SkmdnT3jOfLD2OOjXTWcoQ@comcast.com:

> anyone out there who knows what terminal program works with Windows XP
> and the AEA PK 2232 TNC?
> Any and all info is appreciated.
>
> 73 Karl N1DL
>
>
>

Do a search for XPWare. It does most TNC's and is an all mode program (RTTY,
packet, CW, etc.)

Jacques VE3TSC

443. Re: AEA PK2232 Windows XP Terminal Program wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-25 12:21:07 UTC
From: "Edward A. Feustel" <efeustel@erols.com>
Message-ID: <b5phgc$r0o$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 3990

Take a look at pkterm on www.cssincorp.com. They took over the AEA
programs.

Ed, N5EI
"J." <me@AvoidSpam.com> wrote in message
news:Xns9348A34491EFEjacques1magmaca@64.26.141.46...
> "Karl Geng" <geng@marcoisland.org> wrote in
> news:SkmdnT3jOfLD2OOjXTWcoQ@comcast.com:
>
> > anyone out there who knows what terminal program works with Windows XP
> > and the AEA PK 2232 TNC?
> > Any and all info is appreciated.
> >
> > 73 Karl N1DL
> >
> >
> >
>
> Do a search for XPWare. It does most TNC's and is an all mode program
(RTTY,
> packet, CW, etc.)
>
> Jacques VE3TSC

444. Re: AEA PK2232 Windows XP Terminal Program wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-25 21:39:06 UTC
From: "J." <me@AvoidSpam.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9349A9C2B6A94jacques1magmaca@64.26.141.46>
Source record: 3991

"Edward A. Feustel" <efeustel@erols.com> wrote in
news:b5phgc$r0o$1@bob.news.rcn.net:

> Take a look at pkterm on www.cssincorp.com. They took over the AEA
> programs.
>
> Ed, N5EI
> "J." <me@AvoidSpam.com> wrote in message
> news:Xns9348A34491EFEjacques1magmaca@64.26.141.46...
>> "Karl Geng" <geng@marcoisland.org> wrote in
>> news:SkmdnT3jOfLD2OOjXTWcoQ@comcast.com:
>>
>> > anyone out there who knows what terminal program works with Windows XP
>> > and the AEA PK 2232 TNC?
>> > Any and all info is appreciated.
>> >
>> > 73 Karl N1DL
>> >
>> >
>> >
>>
>> Do a search for XPWare. It does most TNC's and is an all mode program
> (RTTY,
>> packet, CW, etc.)
>>
>> Jacques VE3TSC
>
>
>

But you have to pay for this one and is more complex to use than XPWare which
had a trial version.

Jacques VE3TSC

445. Re: AEA PK2232 Windows XP Terminal Program wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-26 17:54:11 UTC
From: Rick Ruhl <ricker@cssincorp.com>
Message-ID: <3Hlga.199037$VD2.108670@fe03.atl2.webusenet.com>
Source record: 3992

XPWare and Pkterm are both $80. Both have a full featured 30 day demo mode.

"J." <me@AvoidSpam.com> wrote in message
news:Xns9349A9C2B6A94jacques1magmaca@64.26.141.46...
> "Edward A. Feustel" <efeustel@erols.com> wrote in
> news:b5phgc$r0o$1@bob.news.rcn.net:
>
> > Take a look at pkterm on www.cssincorp.com. They took over the AEA
> > programs.
> >
> > Ed, N5EI
> > "J." <me@AvoidSpam.com> wrote in message
> > news:Xns9348A34491EFEjacques1magmaca@64.26.141.46...
> >> "Karl Geng" <geng@marcoisland.org> wrote in
> >> news:SkmdnT3jOfLD2OOjXTWcoQ@comcast.com:
> >>
> >> > anyone out there who knows what terminal program works with Windows
XP
> >> > and the AEA PK 2232 TNC?
> >> > Any and all info is appreciated.
> >> >
> >> > 73 Karl N1DL
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >>
> >> Do a search for XPWare. It does most TNC's and is an all mode program
> > (RTTY,
> >> packet, CW, etc.)
> >>
> >> Jacques VE3TSC
> >
> >
> >
>
>
> But you have to pay for this one and is more complex to use than XPWare
which
> had a trial version.
>
> Jacques VE3TSC

446. Where do I begin?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-26 20:46:21 UTC
From: The Cloete Family <kluta@telkomsa.net>
Message-ID: <b5t3iv$k3k$1@ctb-nnrp2.saix.net>
Source record: 3993

Is there a website which will explain to me digital radio?

Brendon.

447. fax recovery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-27 07:58:39 UTC
From: lucky <lucky.lu@jumpy.it>
Message-ID: <d61394fc06c3c5ceec72084664eeaf53.102365@mygate.mailgate.org>
Source record: 3994

I am recording all phone calls, voice and fax, on my pc.

So I have a .wav file also for every fax received or transmitted with
the external stand-alone analogue fax machine.
There is a way to decode these .wav file into the original image?
As for weather faxes I think a 'softmodem' that analyze and decode wave
stream may be a solution.
But which software could do this?
Suggestions?

Regards.
lucky lu

--
Posted via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

448. Re: FYI: Digital Mode Links (Was Where do I begin?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-27 14:09:19 UTC
From: Aspiring Elmer <elmer@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <gCDga.907$me.8@fed1read04>
Source record: 3995

Lots of Digital Mode Links at URL:
http://ac6v.com/opmodes.htm#D

--
Caveat Lector - Ya All
I Doubt, Therefore I Might Be
73 From AC6V, who is QBF most of the time !!
Rod In Oceanside, CA
Home Page http://ac6v.com
DX Book http://ac6v.com/DXSAMPLE.htm

"The Cloete Family" <kluta@telkomsa.net> wrote in message
news:b5t3iv$k3k$1@ctb-nnrp2.saix.net...
> Is there a website which will explain to me digital radio?
>
> Brendon.
>
>

449. FA>> Peet Bros. Ultimeter 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-28 21:07:43 UTC
From: John Schneider <thecop@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <zQ2ha.41184$ZC6.13227557@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 3996

Weather Station (great for APRS)

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3015788886

--

www.geocities.com/theoldcop

450. Baycom BP-2M repair?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-03-30 08:04:17 UTC
From: user@domain.invalid
Message-ID: <3E86A4E1.1070404@domain.invalid>
Source record: 3997

My Tigertronics Baycom BP-2M tnc has developed a problem: no ability to generate the tones for transmission of the packet data (all receive and PTT functions of the radio work fine)

Anybody had this problem? Are these little units known for this defect? Anybody repaired one?

Will call Tigertronics but I have owned it for several years.....so...????

K7YDL
Hillsboro, Oregon

451. Problem setting up a packet link w/TH-D7s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-01 08:28:26 UTC
From: "Odd Erling N. Eriksen" <odderi@pvv.org>
Message-ID: <b6bija$o72$1@tyfon.itea.ntnu.no>
Source record: 3998

Hi,

A friend of mine and I decided to give packet a go yesterday, as we had two Kenwood TH-D7s available - packet ready handsets.

Problem: Most of the time, when we try to connect to each other, we get a message stating "[other party] is busy" - even when our link status (As shown by "DISP L") is disconnected. Then, for no apparent reason, upon the nth attempt at establishing a link, we are able to connect.

Resetting the TNC has no effect on this behavior.

Also, once we've connected and are in the converse mode, it was my understanding that we could write a message, send a packet, receive an answer etc. without leaving the converse mode. Not quite so. In order to read what the other party is transmitting back, I have to re-enter command mode, wait for him to finish his writing and then return to converse while he is going to command mode, in order to read my reply.

This procedure is so cumbersome that I suspect we're doing something wrong... :-)

The only TNC parameter changed from its default value is MYCALL, signal strength is excellent. (Distance between radios at present: 1m)

Google searches have given me a lot of hits telling me what I can do with packet, but not so many dealing with QSO procedures, debugging etc.

If anyone can offer some insight, it would be most appreciated. A dump of the TNC parameters is online at:

www.stud.ntnu.no/~odderi/TNC.txt

Thanks,
--
73 de LB1LF

Odd Erling, ARK

This message transmitted on 100% recycled electrons.

452. Re: Problem setting up a packet link w/TH-D7s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-01 14:44:54 UTC
From: user <user@iwantnospam.com>
Message-ID: <slrnb8j9dc.eqk.user@localhost.localdomain>
Source record: 3999

On Tue, 1 Apr 2003 08:28:26 +0000 (UTC), Odd Erling N. Eriksen <odderi@pvv.org> wrote:
> Hi,
>
> A friend of mine and I decided to give packet a go yesterday, as we had
> two Kenwood TH-D7s available - packet ready handsets.
>
> Problem: Most of the time, when we try to connect to each other, we get
> a message stating "[other party] is busy" - even when our link status
> (As shown by "DISP L") is disconnected. Then, for no apparent reason,
> upon the nth attempt at establishing a link, we are able to connect.
>
> Resetting the TNC has no effect on this behavior.
>
> Also, once we've connected and are in the converse mode, it was my
> understanding that we could write a message, send a packet, receive an
> answer etc. without leaving the converse mode. Not quite so. In order
> to read what the other party is transmitting back, I have to re-enter
> command mode, wait for him to finish his writing and then return to
> converse while he is going to command mode, in order to read my reply.
>
> This procedure is so cumbersome that I suspect we're doing something
> wrong... :-)
>
> The only TNC parameter changed from its default value is MYCALL, signal
> strength is excellent. (Distance between radios at present: 1m)
>
> Google searches have given me a lot of hits telling me what I can do with
> packet, but not so many dealing with QSO procedures, debugging etc.
>
> If anyone can offer some insight, it would be most appreciated. A dump of
> the TNC parameters is online at:

Well, you have to realize that the TNC in the TH-D7 is crippled,
and broken, to start out with. ;-) It is really only useful for APRS.
It has numerous bugs, including total lockups when packets of
particular lengths are received, a buffer long enough to hold
only one frame, so that throughput is absolutely *terrible* on a BBS
system, etc. You might wants to peruse some of the APRS SIG's
at http://www.tapr.org for more gory details. ;-)

- Rich

453. Re: Problem setting up a packet link w/TH-D7s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-01 21:02:20 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <w7nia.37$vd6.10881198@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4000

"user" <user@iwantnospam.com> wrote in message
news:slrnb8j9dc.eqk.user@localhost.localdomain...
>
> Well, you have to realize that the TNC in the TH-D7 is crippled,
> and broken, to start out with. ;-) It is really only useful for APRS.
> It has numerous bugs, including total lockups when packets of
> particular lengths are received, a buffer long enough to hold
> only one frame, so that throughput is absolutely *terrible* on a BBS
> system, etc. You might wants to peruse some of the APRS SIG's
> at http://www.tapr.org for more gory details. ;-)

No big deal... Use your computer's soundcard for a TNC, and interface to the
mike/speaker connections as usual.

Charles, N5PVL

454. FA>>Peet Ultimeter 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-01 21:33:54 UTC
From: John Schneider <thecop@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <6Bnia.18689$%U1.6548728@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 4001

Weather Station (great for APRS)

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3015788886

--

www.geocities.com/theoldcop

455. Re: Problem setting up a packet link w/TH-D7s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-01 21:37:44 UTC
From: user <user@iwantnospam.com>
Message-ID: <slrnb8k1jd.f28.user@localhost.localdomain>
Source record: 4002

```
On Tue, 01 Apr 2003 21:02:20 GMT, charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>
> "user" <user@iwantnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:slrnb8j9dc.eqk.user@localhost.localdomain...
>>
>> Well, you have to realize that the TNC in the TH-D7 is crippled,
>> and broken, to start out with. ;-) It is really only useful for APRS.
>> It has numerous bugs, including total lockups when packets of
>> particular lengths are received, a buffer long enough to hold
>> only one frame, so that throughput is absolutely *terrible* on a BBS
>> system, etc. You might wants to peruse some of the APRS SIG's
>> at http://www.tapr.org for more gory details. ;-)
>
> No big deal... Use your computer's soundcard for a TNC, and interface to the
> mike/speaker connections as usual.

Actually, it still is a big deal. Apparently Kenwood, in
all its wisdom, chose not to use do usual pre-emphasis/de-emphasis
that pretty much all the packet equipment running 1.2K through
voice-grade equipment does, and you end up at about a 6dB
disadvantage when trying to go through that route and communicate
with other stations.

I own a TH-D7a, and while it's a nice, portable rig for
APRS, it truly does suck rocks for general packet work. The
real tragedy is that Kenwood came so close to making a
nice portable packet machine.... *sigh*. The Alinco is really
no better, as it used the same Tasco TNC chip that the Kenwood
does.

- Rich, AA2YS
```

456. WTB HAL ST 50000 RTTY Demodulator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-03 03:21:14 UTC
From: Dave Mayfield W9WRL <w9wrl@revealed.net>
Message-ID: <b6g96m02gq0@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 4003

I am in need of a Hal ST 5000 RTTY Demodulator unit. I plan to use it with my new Boatanchor Model 28 Teletype unit. Please e-mail me at wrl@gwltd.com or w9wrl@revealed.net

73 Dave W9WRL.com

457. Anybody have TravelPlus for Repeaters CD-ROM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-03 20:51:36 UTC
From: "Bruce W.1" <bw@NOSPAMdidah.com>
Message-ID: <3E8C9ED7.24A61460@NOSPAMdidah.com>
Source record: 4004
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

What I'm looking for is all the data from the ARRL TravelPlus for Repeaters CD-ROM to load into Microsoft Access or SQL Server, to play around with some ideas I have.

I don't know if TravelPlus will let you export the entire database, or how big it might be. But if so then I wish someone would send it to me, don't forget to remove the NOSPAM from my email address.

My email inbox size limit is 2 MB. If it's bigger than this then we can transfer it via FTP server, yours or mine.

Thanks for your help.

Bruce AF8F

458. Re: Anybody have TravelPlus for Repeaters CD-ROM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-04 09:00:52 UTC
From: Matt <piss.off@spammers.com>
Message-ID: <LIbja.6678$1s1.80454@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 4005
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

Or then again you could always buy a copy??

"Bruce W.1" <bw@NOSPAMdidah.com> wrote in message
news:3E8C9ED7.24A61460@NOSPAMdidah.com...
> What I'm looking for is all the data from the ARRL TravelPlus for
> Repeaters CD-ROM to load into Microsoft Access or SQL Server, to play
> around with some ideas I have.
>
> I don't know if TravelPlus will let you export the entire database, or
> how big it might be. But if so then I wish someone would send it to me,
> don't forget to remove the NOSPAM from my email address.
>
> My email inbox size limit is 2 MB. If it's bigger than this then we can
> transfer it via FTP server, yours or mine.
>
> Thanks for your help.
>
> Bruce AF8F

459. FS: Three TNCs - KAM, uTNC-21, TAPR TNC1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-04 21:20:03 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <7Gmja.13776$cO3.936814@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 4006

Kantronics KAM
Old unit, has the GTOR upgrade.
Firmware perhaps version 6.2, not certain.
Cosmetically excellent.
Working when removed from service two months ago.
No manual.
Connectors included.
Asking $100.00 plus shipping.

Tasco uTNC21
Pocket size TNC2 clone, same as Heath HK-21.
Firmware version 1.6
Cable, manual and wall wart included.
Like new condition.
Working when removed from service two months ago.
Asking $50.00 plus shipping.

TAPR TNC1
"Gucci" case.
KISS firmware.
Many spare chips.
One of the very first sold by TAPR, circa late 1983.
Working the last time I plugged it in.
Original manual.
Asking $50,000.00 make me an offer over $25.00 :-)

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

460. Re: Three TNCs - KAM, uTNC-21, TAPR TNC1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-05 22:39:18 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <qWIja.47372$ja4.2956219@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 4007

uTNC-21 is sold.

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

"Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
news:7Gmja.13776$c03.936814@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Kantronics KAM
> Old unit, has the GTOR upgrade.
> Firmware perhaps version 6.2, not certain.
> Cosmetically excellent.
> Working when removed from service two months ago.
> No manual.
> Connectors included.
> Asking $100.00 plus shipping.
>
> Tasco uTNC21
> Pocket size TNC2 clone, same as Heath HK-21.
> Firmware version 1.6
> Cable, manual and wall wart included.
> Like new condition.
> Working when removed from service two months ago.
> Asking $50.00 plus shipping.
>
> TAPR TNC1
> "Gucci" case.
> KISS firmware.
> Many spare chips.
> One of the very first sold by TAPR, circa late 1983.
> Working the last time I plugged it in.
> Original manual.
> Asking $50,000.00 make me an offer over $25.00 :-)
>
> --
>
> ... Hank
>
> Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
>
>

461. Re: Anybody have TravelPlus for Repeaters CD-ROM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-06 05:42:41 UTC
From: "Bruce W.1" <bw@NOSPAMdidah.com>
Message-ID: <3E8FBE51.17514E38@NOSPAMdidah.com>
Source record: 4008
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

Matt wrote:
>
> Or then again you could always buy a copy??
>
> "Bruce W.1" <bw@NOSPAMdidah.com> wrote in message
> news:3E8C9ED7.24A61460@NOSPAMdidah.com...
> > What I'm looking for is all the data from the ARRL TravelPlus for
> > Repeaters CD-ROM to load into Microsoft Access or SQL Server, to play
> > around with some ideas I have.
> >
> > I don't know if TravelPlus will let you export the entire database, or
> > how big it might be. But if so then I wish someone would send it to me,
> > don't forget to remove the NOSPAM from my email address.
> >
> > My email inbox size limit is 2 MB. If it's bigger than this then we can
> > transfer it via FTP server, yours or mine.
> >
> > Thanks for your help.
> >
> > Bruce AF8F

==========

Yes I could buy a copy, but I wouln't. I'm considering creating a
website that uses this data and will benefit all radio amateurs. It
would have online interactive mapping which showed all repeaters.

This will require over 100 hours of my time and more than $100 for web
hosting, which I am willing to give.

This is just an initial investigation. Otherwise I have no use for this
data.

If you reflect the attitude of most radio amateurs then I think I'll
just skip the whole idea. It's you loss, not mine.

462. Re: Anybody have TravelPlus for Repeaters CD-ROM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-06 10:51:13 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <BETja.2269$3P.601019534@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4009
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

"Bruce W.1" <bw@NOSPAMdidah.com> wrote in message
news:3E8FBE51.17514E38@NOSPAMdidah.com...
> Matt wrote:
> >
> > Or then again you could always buy a copy??
> >
>
> Yes I could buy a copy, but I wouln't. I'm considering creating a
> website that uses this data and will benefit all radio amateurs. It
> would have online interactive mapping which showed all repeaters.
>
> This will require over 100 hours of my time and more than $100 for web
> hosting, which I am willing to give.
>
> This is just an initial investigation. Otherwise I have no use for this
> data.
>
> If you reflect the attitude of most radio amateurs then I think I'll
> just skip the whole idea. It's you loss, not mine.

Yes, please go ahead and skip the project. You do not have the character nesessary for that kind of work, and those who do have their character intact do not want software built on stolen or pirated data. Most hams are persons with well developed personalities. I'm sorry to hear that you are not.

In addition to not doing this project, I'm sure that most hams would appreciate it if you would mail your ham ticket back to the FCC. We try to maintain a certain level of decency going in the ham community, that you do not measure up to.

For your own sake as much as anybody else's, its probably best that you leave the hobby, never to return.

Goodbye!

Charles, N5PVL

463. Re: Anybody have TravelPlus for Repeaters CD-ROM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-06 13:41:17 UTC
From: Matt <piss.off@spammers.com>
Message-ID: <RYVja.8515$1s1.139839@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 4010
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

"Bruce W.1" <bw@NOSPAMdidah.com> wrote in message
news:3E8FBE51.17514E38@NOSPAMdidah.com...
> Matt wrote:
> >
> > Or then again you could always buy a copy??
>
> Yes I could buy a copy, but I wouln't. I'm considering creating a
> website that uses this data and will benefit all radio amateurs. It
> would have online interactive mapping which showed all repeaters.
>
> This will require over 100 hours of my time and more than $100 for web
> hosting, which I am willing to give.
>
> This is just an initial investigation. Otherwise I have no use for this
> data.
>
> If you reflect the attitude of most radio amateurs then I think I'll
> just skip the whole idea. It's you loss, not mine.

Absolutely no loss either way - I am in VK and as such I am highly unlikely to make any use of it - and there is already a website here in VK that does that already for VK.
It is good that you are willing to spend time and your own finances to provide a resource, but what I was objecting to was your willingness to pirate software that is provided by an organisation that uses the profits to further amateur radio - if the software is not pirated that is. I am no angel and am not overly concerned with software piracy as most of the big companies are making obscene profits from badly overpriced products, but this one annoys me irrespective of your good intentions.
Maybe you should try contacting the ARRL and putting forward your proposal to them - you never know, they may well give you permission to go ahead and use a free copy of their product - or they could well tell you that someone else has already done what you seek to do.

Matt

464. Re: Anybody have TravelPlus for Repeaters CD-ROM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-06 19:42:29 UTC
From: xpyttl <xpyttl_nospam@earthling.net>
Message-ID: <v910rei37m8ue6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 4011
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

"Matt" <piss.off@spammers.com> wrote in message
news:RYVja.8515$1s1.139839@newsfeeds.bigpond.com...

> Absolutely no loss either way - I am in VK and as such I
> am highly unlikely to make any use of it

I was a little surprised to see that. Your first post showed a call that came up Ohio, and I was going to suggest that the author was likely to be at Findlay, and quite possibly Dayton, so perhaps you could get together with him.

In any event, I would suggest you contact the author, N8DHF. I know he doesn't make anything significant off this, by the time you get the CD made, packaged, advertised, distributed, you're coming in pretty close to the selling price. He is a heck of a nice guy, and perhaps you two could get together to make something happen.

..

465. Re: Anybody have TravelPlus for Repeaters CD-ROM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-06 20:22:43 UTC
From: Whiners Abound! <LowPower@anon.com>
Message-ID: <b6q2ak$q26$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 4012
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:BETja.2269$3P.601019534@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com...

"Bruce W.1" <bw@NOSPAMdidah.com> wrote in message
news:3E8FBE51.17514E38@NOSPAMdidah.com...
> Matt wrote:
> >
> > Or then again you could always buy a copy??
> >
>
> Yes I could buy a copy, but I wouln't. I'm considering creating a
> website that uses this data and will benefit all radio amateurs. It
> would have online interactive mapping which showed all repeaters.
>
> This will require over 100 hours of my time and more than $100 for web
> hosting, which I am willing to give.
>
> This is just an initial investigation. Otherwise I have no use for this
> data.
>
> If you reflect the attitude of most radio amateurs then I think I'll
> just skip the whole idea. It's you loss, not mine.

Yes, please go ahead and skip the project. You do not have the character
nesessary for that kind of work, and those who do have their character
intact do not want software built on stolen or pirated data. Most hams are
persons with well developed personalities. I'm sorry to hear that you are
not.

In addition to not doing this project, I'm sure that most hams would
appreciate it if you would mail your ham ticket back to the FCC. We try to
maintain a certain level of decency going in the ham community, that you do
not measure up to.

For your own sake as much as anybody else's, its probably best that you
leave the hobby, never to return.

Goodbye!

Charles, N5PVL

Gawd, Charlie. You're such a whining sort....is it that time of the month?

466. TS430S REPAIR HELP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-06 20:31:23 UTC
From: Vince <vcl@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <m80ka.339$d55.80518@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 4013

hi all, i need some help. i have a kenwood ts430s and my problem is that. i will turn on the radio i will use it for a bit it works fine. but if i leave it on for a while the rig will stay on but the display will go off and it will not tx or rx but if i turn it off and back on it will work again also sometimes the display will blink (off and on) and the rig will change the band and frequency. i need help as to what to do to repair it can anyone help me.....thank you

Vince ve3elb
==========
Email: ve3elb@rac.ca
Visit My Webpages @ :
www.qsl.net/ve3elb
http://www3.sympatico.ca/vcl

467. Re: Anybody have TravelPlus for Repeaters CD-ROM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-07 04:45:49 UTC
From: "Bruce W.1" <bw@NOSPAMdidah.com>
Message-ID: <3E91027D.CC0108D8@NOSPAMdidah.com>
Source record: 4014
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

```
charlesb wrote:
>
> "Bruce W.1" <bw@NOSPAMdidah.com> wrote in message
> news:3E8FBE51.17514E38@NOSPAMdidah.com...
> > Matt wrote:
> > >
> > > Or then again you could always buy a copy??
> > >
> >
> > Yes I could buy a copy, but I wouln't. I'm considering creating a
> > website that uses this data and will benefit all radio amateurs. It
> > would have online interactive mapping which showed all repeaters.
> >
> > This will require over 100 hours of my time and more than $100 for web
> > hosting, which I am willing to give.
> >
> > This is just an initial investigation. Otherwise I have no use for this
> > data.
> >
> > If you reflect the attitude of most radio amateurs then I think I'll
> > just skip the whole idea. It's you loss, not mine.
>
> Yes, please go ahead and skip the project. You do not have the character
> nesessary for that kind of work, and those who do have their character
> intact do not want software built on stolen or pirated data. Most hams are
> persons with well developed personalities. I'm sorry to hear that you are
> not.
>
> In addition to not doing this project, I'm sure that most hams would
> appreciate it if you would mail your ham ticket back to the FCC. We try to
> maintain a certain level of decency going in the ham community, that you do
> not measure up to.
>
> For your own sake as much as anybody else's, its probably best that you
> leave the hobby, never to return.
>
> Goodbye!
>
> Charles, N5PVL

==================================================

I don't see any great moral conflict here. I've bought a half dozen
repeater handbooks, now I just want it in computer form. I have no
```

interest in any software on this CD, just the data. How many times do I have to buy the same data?

I'm really surprised at the responses here. They lead me to believe that radio amateurs wouldn't give a dime to support a website that they could really use. Nothing like what I have in mind exists today. It's beginning to look like it would be a waste of my time.

Another thing which kinda sucks is that this data does not have the exact longitude and latitude location of the repeaters, just the city, which is a very imprecise metric. I had more precision in mind.

A repeater on the north side of Chicago might not work on the south side.

This website could generate maps that showed locations of remote inputs and main transmitters, but not if I can't get this information.

If you guys ever want to see a website that shows an accurate map of repeater coverage then the ARRL needs to get know exactly where they are located.

Write the ARRL and ask them to request the precise GPS location of all the antennas. Knowing just the city doesn't cut it anymore.

468. Re: Anybody have TravelPlus for Repeaters CD-ROM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-07 10:14:13 UTC
From: Matt <piss.off@spammers.com>
Message-ID: <M0cka.8855$1s1.158294@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 4015
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

"xpyttl" <xpyttl_nospam@earthling.net> wrote in message
news:v910rei37m8ue6@corp.supernews.com...
> "Matt" <piss.off@spammers.com> wrote in message
> news:RYVja.8515$1s1.139839@newsfeeds.bigpond.com...
>
> > Absolutely no loss either way - I am in VK and as such I
> > am highly unlikely to make any use of it
>
> I was a little surprised to see that. Your first post showed a call that
> came up Ohio, and I was going to suggest that the author was likely to be
at
> Findlay, and quite possibly Dayton, so perhaps you could get together with
> him.

I think you have me confused with the original poster (AF8F) - happily I am
still in VK.

Matt

> In any event, I would suggest you contact the author, N8DHF. I know he
> doesn't make anything significant off this, by the time you get the CD
made,
> packaged, advertised, distributed, you're coming in pretty close to the
> selling price. He is a heck of a nice guy, and perhaps you two could get
> together to make something happen.
>
> ..
>
>

469. Re: Anybody have TravelPlus for Repeaters CD-ROM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-07 13:10:51 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <vNeka.1340$Er3.608442547@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4016
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

>
> Write the ARRL and ask them to request the precise GPS location of all
> the antennas. Knowing just the city doesn't cut it anymore.

Many repeater and node SYSOPs do not want precise location data to be published, as a way of protecting tower sites from vandalism and theft. In many caes, the owner of the tower will frown on publishing location info for the same reasons, taking the decision out of the hands of any ham who wants space on that tower.

It may be that you can dig up this information; It may also be that you will lose many Hams their tower space if you are irresponsible enough to publish it on a web-site. Others will suffer from theft and vandalism brought on by your "concern for your fellow Ham".

If your project requires precise GPS location data for all US repeaters, this would be a great time to start looking around for another project.

Charles, N5PVL

470. Re: Anybody have TravelPlus for Repeaters CD-ROM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-07 15:43:40 UTC
From: "Bruce W.1" <bw@NOSPAMdidah.com>
Message-ID: <3E919CAC.C421888A@NOSPAMdidah.com>
Source record: 4017
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

charlesb wrote:
>
> >
> > Write the ARRL and ask them to request the precise GPS location of all
> > the antennas. Knowing just the city doesn't cut it anymore.
>
> Many repeater and node SYSOPs do not want precise location data to be
> published, as a way of protecting tower sites from vandalism and theft. In
> many caes, the owner of the tower will frown on publishing location info for
> the same reasons, taking the decision out of the hands of any ham who wants
> space on that tower.
>
> It may be that you can dig up this information; It may also be that you will
> lose many Hams their tower space if you are irresponsible enough to publish
> it on a web-site. Others will suffer from theft and vandalism brought on by
> your "concern for your fellow Ham".
>
> If your project requires precise GPS location data for all US repeaters,
> this would be a great time to start looking around for another project.
>
> Charles, N5PVL

==========

Good point.

Consider this project scrapped.

471. Re: Anybody have TravelPlus for Repeaters CD-ROM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-07 23:58:41 UTC
From: mfccoder@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030407195841.05633.00000768@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 4018

>
>I'm really surprised at the responses here. They lead me to believe
>that radio amateurs wouldn't give a dime to support a website that they
>could really use.

Ask Bill Fisher, W4AN, how much money per year he looses running eHam and contesting.com. Those are two valueable websites, but hams (as well as the general public) think if it's on the internet, it should be free.

Also, the data on the CD is copywrite under a 'compliation copyright', that's why you have to pay for the data, but you only licence the data for your personal use, not for a website.

With hams as cheap as they are, I think it was a good idea not to do this project, while it was a good idea, you would have lost time and money, not to mention the abuse you would get from many hams.

Sigh...

472. New Logging Program?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-10 18:21:50 UTC
From: Brian Short <bshort4@cox.net>
Message-ID: <BABB204B.5603%bshort4@cox.net>
Source record: 4019

New Logging Program?

I'm curious, what other logging program is there?

I originally bought LogWindows from AEA along with the
digital mode program which could operate with it. It has
been about 10 years for me, but support is now non-existent.

Unfortunately, it is unusable for me, as is.

I want to have packet cluster (TNC or Telnet), radio control
(FT-1000mp), rotor control (DCU-1), and (optionally) some
integration with RTTY/PSK-31 (PTC-II).

I've heard DXBase is good? Suggestions?

http://www.k7on.com/

473. Packet E-mail Lists at USPN:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-11 01:38:19 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <f0pla.698$ZF6.239442039@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4020

USPN maintains a number of E-Mail lists related to Amateur Packet Radio Networking. All amateurs are welcome to join in these discussions!

Click a link below for more information, or to subscribe. Subscriptions are verified by E-mail. You must subscribe in order to post.

http://kaos.vosn.net/mailman/listinfo/packet_uspacket.net
Packet - General discussion and support of Packet Radio in the U.S..

http://kaos.vosn.net/mailman/listinfo/net_uspacket.net
Net - Discussion of Packet Radio Networking Issues.

http://kaos.vosn.net/mailman/listinfo/bbs_uspacket.net
BBS - Discussion and Support for BBS SYSOPs

http://kaos.vosn.net/mailman/listinfo/flexnet_uspacket.net
FlexNet - Discussion and Support for FlexNet

http://kaos.vosn.net/mailman/listinfo/radiomirror_uspacket.net
RadioMirror - Discussion and Support for RadioMirror multicast software

Charles Brabham N5PVL
webmaster@uspacket.net
http://www.uspacket.net

474. Insufficient "sound card" power on laptop?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-11 14:51:25 UTC
From: Ken <cprstn54zz@att.net>
Message-ID: <3ukd9v8maifl3fgmmni89q7etaavkvblnc@4ax.com>
Source record: 4021

I think my laptop HEADPHONES-OUT is too puny to drive my radio thru
the isolation transformers of a passive sound-card adapter like the
Rascal: Doing 2M FM packet, with Windows volume controls at 75%, I can
raise no nodes; with the controls maxed out, I can raise some but not
others. This laptop (Micron Millenia N5130) has very puny and
low-volume speakers and is barely OK on headphones at full volume.
The same equipment works FB when connected to my desktop with a real
sound card.

What is the typical power rating of the output jack of a sound card?
Is there a good way to measure audio power with a DVM?

If it is too puny, my intention is to get the $12 RS powered speaker
(# 277-1008) to boost the output. Any thoughts on this approach?

Ken KC2JDY

Ken
(to reply via email
remove "zz" from address)

475. Re: Insufficient "sound card" power on laptop?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-11 15:11:46 UTC
From: darrell@frappier.us
Message-ID: <ce4e6504c5b9eff3afec88b2cad8e46b@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 4022

On Fri, 11 Apr 2003 14:51:25 GMT, Ken <cprstn54zz@att.net> wrote:

>I think my laptop HEADPHONES-OUT is too puny to drive my radio thru
>the isolation transformers of a passive sound-card adapter like the
>Rascal: Doing 2M FM packet, with Windows volume controls at 75%, I can
>raise no nodes; with the controls maxed out, I can raise some but not
>others. This laptop (Micron Millenia N5130) has very puny and
>low-volume speakers and is barely OK on headphones at full volume.
>The same equipment works FB when connected to my desktop with a real
>sound card.
>

My old IBM 755C Thinkpad has a program to change the output level by
specifying what sort of device is connected to the sound out. If I
don't run this program, I can not drive PSK31 signals. I suggest
looking for a program or setup option to change the output level.

Darrell Frappier Detroit MI N3JWJ darrell@frappier.us

476. Re: Insufficient "sound card" power on laptop?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-11 20:29:57 UTC
From: Ken <cprstn54zz@att.net>
Message-ID: <na9e9vo2kvrufg6bpcfe8jm7dbog08gjt3@4ax.com>
Source record: 4023

On Fri, 11 Apr 2003 15:11:46 GMT, darrell@frappier.us (Darrell Frappier) wrote:
>My old IBM 755C Thinkpad has a program to change the output level by >specifying what sort of device is connected to the sound out.

Correct. Windows|Control Panel|Sounds|Audio lets you set your audio rig, with the most used being "Headphones" and "Desktop stereo speakers." I have the speakers selected.

Ken KC2JDY

Ken
(to reply via email
remove "zz" from address)

477. TTL interface with PC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-12 14:35:20 UTC
From: "John L. Shamblin, Jr." <jsham5@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <xx2dnRP9zfnOvwWjXTWcqQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 4024

I understand that TTL circuits use (about) +5 v for high and (about) 0v
for low while modern PCs use (about) -9v for high and about 0v for low and
that is the reason that interfaces using serial ports on the computer are
incompatible with TTL circuits. But I have seen some circuits that
interface TTL circuits and PCs using the latter's parallel port. Does the
use of the parallel port somehow circumvent this incompatibility?
John

478. Re: TTL interface with PC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-12 18:27:18 UTC
From: Bruce Lane <SpammersAreVermin@dev.nul>
Message-ID: <MPG.1901fa626f7ba3f498985e@192.168.42.131>
Source record: 4025

In article <xx2dnRP9zfnOvwWjXTWcqQ@comcast.com>, jsham5@comcast.net
says...

> I understand that TTL circuits use (about) +5 v for high and (about) 0v
> for low while modern PCs use (about) -9v for high and about 0v for low and
> that is the reason that interfaces using serial ports on the computer are
> incompatible with TTL circuits. But I have seen some circuits that

Not quite. In fact, if an output pin on a PC's serial port only
makes it to 0V on a swing from the mark condition to the space condition,
the interface is out of spec and should be considered damaged.

The full specifications of the RS232 interface may be found here:

http://www.xs4all.nl/~lammert/comm/info/RS-232_specs.html

You are correct, though, when you say that TTL and serial port
levels are not directly compatible with each other. That's why we have
wonderful products like the MAX-232, and various other TTL/RS232 level-
conversion chips.

> interface TTL circuits and PCs using the latter's parallel port. Does the
> use of the parallel port somehow circumvent this incompatibility?

Yes and no. The parallel port does indeed operate on TTL levels.
However, as its name implies, it transfers data in parallel format as
opposed to serial. If you want to feed serial data to a parallel port, or
vice-versa, you need a serial/parallel converter.

--
Bruce Lane, Owner and Head Hardware Heavy,
Blue Feather Technologies -- http://www.bluefeathertech.com
KC7GR -- kyrrin (a/t) bluefeathertech dot-com (Reassemble to use)
"I'll get a life when someone demonstrates to me it would be superior to
what I have now..." (Taki Kogoma)

479. Re: TTL interface with PC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-12 19:21:44 UTC
From: xpyttl <xpyttl_nospam@earthling.net>
Message-ID: <v9gpvtgderp469@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 4026

Your question leads me to suspect that you need a little more data.

A serial signal consists of 8 bits of data, sent to (or received by) the computer one after the other. The 8 bits are preceded by a start bit (or two, depending on your port settings) which must be low, and followed by one or more stop bits, which must be high. Thus, 8 bits of data require 10 (or 11) bits be sent. The timing of the bits it important, and this is the "baud rate" that is in the port settings. Thus, a baud rate of 9600 baud means that each bit is 1/9600th of a second. There is no time allowed between bits, but you can spend all the time in the world between bytes. The transmit and receive pins are separate, and depending on the software, data can be sent and received at the same time.

Associated with the serial port are a number of control lines as well. Although they don't do anything to the hardware, they can be sensed by the software, and depending on the software, they can tell you if there is a program ready to accept data, and you can tell the program that you are ready to listen. Depending on the software, you may find the link does nothing until you get these control lines right. There are also some lines that have to do with the status of the telephone line that might be attached to the modem that might be plugged in. Although it is less common in recent days, sometimes the sequencing of lines has to be right before the software will respond. For example, it used to be quite common to insist on a sequence like 1) Phone rings, 2) computer orders phone off hook, 3) carrier is detected, 4) Device is ready to receive.

As was mentioned, RS232 is actually plus and minus 15, although most RS232 ports will respond to almost anything that crosses zero, and some will treat something sufficiently close to zero as if it were low, but this is hardly common.

A parallel port transmits 8 bits of data at the same time over 8 signal lines. In addition, there are lines to tell the device that the 8 data lines are ready to be read, and a line that allows the device to tell the computer that it's ready for data (sometimes called the strobe). The computer will keep the data available line active for a fairly short time, around a microsecond.

If you are simply trying to bring a simple signal into the computer, there are 2 things to consider. First, without some logic on your part (for example, a microprocessor), you are not going to be able to use existing PC software. Secondly, if you want to avoid logic in your circuit, you will probably use one of the control lines, rather than a data line, and you will need to write your own PC software to read it.

Hope this helps.

..

"John L. Shamblin, Jr." <jsham5@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:xx2dnRP9zfnOvwWjXTWcqQ@comcast.com...
> I understand that TTL circuits use (about) +5 v for high and (about) 0v
> for low while modern PCs use (about) -9v for high and about 0v for low and
> that is the reason that interfaces using serial ports on the computer are
> incompatible with TTL circuits. But I have seen some circuits that
> interface TTL circuits and PCs using the latter's parallel port. Does the
> use of the parallel port somehow circumvent this incompatibility?
> John
>
>

480. Packet Radio gaming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-13 04:54:13 UTC
From: michael <mikagan1@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <V36ma.434854$3D1.234635@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 4027

Does anyone know what kind of gaming via packet radio was available in the early '90s?

Michael

"Odd Erling N. Eriksen" <odderi@pvv.org> wrote in message news:b6bija$o72$1@tyfon.itea.ntnu.no...
> Hi,
>
> A friend of mine and I decided to give packet a go yesterday, as we had
> two Kenwood TH-D7s available - packet ready handsets.
>
> Problem: Most of the time, when we try to connect to each other, we get
> a message stating "[other party] is busy" - even when our link status
> (As shown by "DISP L") is disconnected. Then, for no apparent reason,
> upon the nth attempt at establishing a link, we are able to connect.
>
> Resetting the TNC has no effect on this behavior.
>
> Also, once we've connected and are in the converse mode, it was my
> understanding that we could write a message, send a packet, receive an
> answer etc. without leaving the converse mode. Not quite so. In order
> to read what the other party is transmitting back, I have to re-enter
> command mode, wait for him to finish his writing and then return to
> converse while he is going to command mode, in order to read my reply.
>
> This procedure is so cumbersome that I suspect we're doing something
> wrong... :-)
>
> The only TNC parameter changed from its default value is MYCALL, signal
> strength is excellent. (Distance between radios at present: 1m)
>
> Google searches have given me a lot of hits telling me what I can do with
> packet, but not so many dealing with QSO procedures, debugging etc.
>
> If anyone can offer some insight, it would be most appreciated. A dump of
> the TNC parameters is online at:
>
> www.stud.ntnu.no/~odderi/TNC.txt
>
> Thanks,
> --
> 73 de LB1LF
>
> Odd Erling, ARK

>
> This message transmitted on 100% recycled electrons.

481. Re: TS430S REPAIR HELP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-13 05:38:01 UTC
From: mikehaack@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030413013801.17101.00000499@mb-ff.aol.com>
Source record: 4028

Vince,

Thats most likely a PLL unlock problem.

Call Cliff at AVVID.

73 de Mike, WB9B

482. Re: Packet Radio gaming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-13 05:51:32 UTC
From: Walter Koch <koch@u32.de>
Message-ID: <4huh9vc3s8ubpikun8vqo4j5p4oqamnpd7@4ax.com>
Source record: 4029

Moin,

michael schrobte/wrote:

> Does anyone know what kind of gaming via packet radio was available in the
> early '90s?

I'd written a kind of minesweeper for PC-DOS, so it could be used by
GP[1]. And there have been some other similar games around.

Gruss,
Walter

[1] Graphic Packet, then a very popular PR-Terminal here in europe
--
Hochdahl am Neandertal

483. Re: Packet Radio gaming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-13 21:26:11 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <TBkma.6$HJ2.4342892@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 4030

"michael" <mikagan1@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:V36ma.434854$3D1.234635@sccrnsc01...
> Does anyone know what kind of gaming via packet radio was available in the
> early '90s?
>

I believe there were several games made for FBB BBS. A good place to look
for old stuff like that would be ftp.funet.fi in ham/packet/bbs/fbb -
Something like that, anyway.

Might be worth a try!

Charles, N5PVL

484. Re: Packet Radio gaming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-13 22:17:50 UTC
From: Roger Barker <roger@peaksys.co.uk>
Message-ID: <3zBOCIAOIem+EwV6@peaksys.co.uk>
Source record: 4031

In article <V36ma.434854$3D1.234635@sccrnsc01>, michael
<mikagan1@attbi.com> writes
>Does anyone know what kind of gaming via packet radio was available in the
>early '90s?

I wrote a "noughts and crosses" (you may call it something different on
your side of the pond) game for my BPQ node, that was very popular with
local packet users in the early '90s. It had random "human frailty",
which allowed them to win occasionally!

I also remember that someone wrote a packet draughts (checkers?) game,
but I can't remember any details.

Not games, but two other apps I had on my BPQ node were -

1. Off-air deviation measurement.

2. Decoding of RTTY SYNOP transmissions, that made available weather
reports from all the SYNOP reporting stations in the UK.

Packet was quite interesting in those days...

--
Roger Barker, G4IDE - roger@peaksys.co.uk
For UI-View go to - http://www.UI-View.com
For WinPack go to - http://www.peaksys.co.uk

485. Re: Packet Radio gaming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-13 23:37:52 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <kxmma.27493$cO3.2016404@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 4032

Several people had the original "Adventure" running
on packet in the middle 80s ...

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

"michael" <mikagan1@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:V36ma.434854$3D1.234635@sccrnsc01...
> Does anyone know what kind of gaming via packet radio was available in the
> early '90s?
>
> Michael
>
>
> "Odd Erling N. Eriksen" <odderi@pvv.org> wrote in message
> news:b6bija$o72$1@tyfon.itea.ntnu.no...
> > Hi,
> >
> > A friend of mine and I decided to give packet a go yesterday, as we had
> > two Kenwood TH-D7s available - packet ready handsets.
> >
> > Problem: Most of the time, when we try to connect to each other, we get
> > a message stating "[other party] is busy" - even when our link status
> > (As shown by "DISP L") is disconnected. Then, for no apparent reason,
> > upon the nth attempt at establishing a link, we are able to connect.
> >
> > Resetting the TNC has no effect on this behavior.
> >
> > Also, once we've connected and are in the converse mode, it was my
> > understanding that we could write a message, send a packet, receive an
> > answer etc. without leaving the converse mode. Not quite so. In order
> > to read what the other party is transmitting back, I have to re-enter
> > command mode, wait for him to finish his writing and then return to
> > converse while he is going to command mode, in order to read my reply.
> >
> > This procedure is so cumbersome that I suspect we're doing something
> > wrong... :-)
> >
> > The only TNC parameter changed from its default value is MYCALL, signal
> > strength is excellent. (Distance between radios at present: 1m)
> >

> > Google searches have given me a lot of hits telling me what I can do with
> > packet, but not so many dealing with QSO procedures, debugging etc.
> >
> > If anyone can offer some insight, it would be most appreciated. A dump of
> > the TNC parameters is online at:
> >
> > www.stud.ntnu.no/~odderi/TNC.txt
> >
> > Thanks,
> > --
> > 73 de LB1LF
> >
> > Odd Erling, ARK
> >
> > This message transmitted on 100% recycled electrons.
>
>

486. FS: HAL PCI-4000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-15 14:46:07 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <PWUma.61445$ja4.4061337@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 4033

HAL PCI-4000
Manual, software, cable.
Latest firmware.
Asking $175 plus shipping.

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

487. FS: KAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-15 14:48:17 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <RYUma.61452$ja4.4063282@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 4034

```
Kantronics KAM
Old unit, has the GTOR upgrade.
Firmware version 6.
Cosmetically excellent.
Working when removed from service two months ago.
No manual.
Connectors included.
Asking $75.00 plus shipping.

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
```

488. Re: IC-730 and MixW (Rtty) HELP PLEASE !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-16 22:11:23 UTC
From: Spiros Xanthopoulos <sxanthop@internet.gr>
Message-ID: <b7kkes$ru8$1@news.internet.gr>
Source record: 4035

> I used to talk to people on Pactor when I had a IC730 and PK232.
> I think it was the 720 that couldn't do pactor. I don't know if
> it was marginal or not, but it seemed to work.
Its working ok with a tnc ? (730)? Doesn't seem to work with the sound card.

73 de SV2EVS

489. Re: KAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-17 15:23:50 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <aGzna.32373$cO3.2428724@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 4036

KAM is sold.

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

"Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
news:RYUma.61452$ja4.4063282@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Kantronics KAM
> Old unit, has the GTOR upgrade.
> Firmware version 6.
> Cosmetically excellent.
> Working when removed from service two months ago.
> No manual.
> Connectors included.
> Asking $75.00 plus shipping.
>
> --
>
> ... Hank
>
> Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
>
>

490. Info needed for HF forward on 10m.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-17 15:45:44 UTC
From: Spiros Xanthopoulos <sxanthop@internet.gr>
Message-ID: <b7mi7o$l2u$1@news.internet.gr>
Source record: 4037

hello everyone...

Looking to find frequencies for HF Gateways to some bbs's over Europe on 10m. My sysop is thinking to change the operating freq. 29.250FM 1k2 to somewhere else...Please reply if you have any info about where we can find bbs's that have HF gates on 10m, or where we can go to send/recieve forward from other bbs's.

73's de SV2EVS

P.S Dont reply here, rather use my e-mail: sv2evs@qsl.net

491. PSK31 software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-17 17:05:10 UTC
From: kuzen001@duke.edu
Message-ID: <b7mms6$1qj$1@gargoyle.oit.duke.edu>
Source record: 4038

I've just ordered a "Rascal Mark V" and cable; looking
forward to giving PSK31 a try.

I'll be using an 1800mHz PC with Windows 2000.

Any recommendations on preferred PSK31 software? TIA!

Ken Kuzenski AC4RD kuzen001 at acpub .duke .edu

All disclaimers apply, see? www.duke.edu/~kuzen001

492. Re: PSK31 software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-17 19:03:29 UTC
From: "R. Scott" <washingtonham@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3E9EFA81.C38385B0@yahoo.com>
Source record: 4039

There are many good programs. Figure out what you want to
do then go here

http://www.ac6v.com/opmodes.htm#PSK31

You will find all you need to know.

Scotty N7HJ

493. Re: PSK31 software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-17 19:28:13 UTC
From: Aspiring Elmer <elmer@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <hfDna.5243$X44.436@fed1read07>
Source record: 4040

I have tried, use, and like HamScope http://www.qsl.net/hamscope/
DigiPan -- http://www.digipan.net/
For more choices --- http://ac6v.com/software.htm#DIGITAL
Have Fun -- neat mode

73 From AC6V
Rod In Oceanside, CA
Home Page http://ac6v.com
DX Book http://ac6v.com/DXSAMPLE.htm
FM Beginners Book http://ac6v.com/FM101.htm

<kuzen001@duke.edu> wrote in message
news:b7mms6$1qj$1@gargoyle.oit.duke.edu...
>
> I've just ordered a "Rascal Mark V" and cable; looking
> forward to giving PSK31 a try.
>
> I'll be using an 1800mHz PC with Windows 2000.
>
> Any recommendations on preferred PSK31 software? TIA!
>
>
> _____
> Ken Kuzenski AC4RD kuzen001 at acpub .duke .edu
> _____
> All disclaimers apply, see? www.duke.edu/~kuzen001

494. APRS, topographic maps, search and rescue

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-17 22:39:28 UTC
From: Richard Ferguson <raferguson@att.net>
Message-ID: <3E9F2C57.C3237AB0@att.net>
Source record: 4041

I am talking to an official of the local Mountain Rescue group about APRS. Their interest relates to search teams, particularly in knowing exactly which area was covered by each team. Imagine a multi-day search, with several groups going out each day. Hard to keep track of which areas have been searched, and what has been missed, at the incident management level. Also, if you had real time data, you could redirect a group that was going over an already searched area. Put a laptop in the command vehicle, and little GPS/TNC/Radio packages in the pack of the leader of each search party.

Obviously a road map is of no value, topographic maps are required. This group primarily covers a single county, so we are not talking about a huge area for which topo maps are required.

I use APRSdos, but have not used any of the newer APRS software. Someone I know recommended UI-View for this application.

I have not seen much info on the web releated to APRS and Search and Rescue, mostly articles that seem to be talking from a "You could do this" standpoint, rather than "We have tested this extensively and this is how we do it". In other words, it looks like some theory but no practice.

Any comments or suggestions?

Richard
KA0DXM

495. Re: APRS, topographic maps, search and rescue

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-17 22:52:59 UTC
From: Roger Barker <roger@peaksys.co.uk>
Message-ID: <+ORcNIALBzn+Ewvo@peaksys.co.uk>
Source record: 4042

In article <3E9F2C57.C3237AB0@att.net>, Richard Ferguson
<raferguson@att.net> writes
>I am talking to an official of the local Mountain Rescue group about
>APRS. Their interest relates to search teams, particularly in knowing
>exactly which area was covered by each team. Imagine a multi-day
>search, with several groups going out each day. Hard to keep track of
>which areas have been searched, and what has been missed, at the
>incident management level. Also, if you had real time data, you could
>redirect a group that was going over an already searched area. Put a
>laptop in the command vehicle, and little GPS/TNC/Radio packages in the
>pack of the leader of each search party.
>
>Obviously a road map is of no value, topographic maps are required.
>This group primarily covers a single county, so we are not talking about
>a huge area for which topo maps are required.
>
>I use APRSdos, but have not used any of the newer APRS software.
>Someone I know recommended UI-View for this application.
>
>I have not seen much info on the web releated to APRS and Search and
>Rescue, mostly articles that seem to be talking from a "You could do
>this" standpoint, rather than "We have tested this extensively and this
>is how we do it". In other words, it looks like some theory but no practice.
>
>Any comments or suggestions?

Subscribe to the UI-View mailing list - you'll find it on Yahoo Groups -
and ask in there for opinions on what you want to do?

Subscribe to the TAPR APRSSIG, and ask in there for opinions on what you
want to do?

--
Roger Barker, G4IDE - roger@peaksys.co.uk
For UI-View go to - http://www.UI-View.com
For WinPack go to - http://www.peaksys.co.uk

496. FS: CDE CD-44 Rotor and Controller

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-17 23:50:45 UTC
From: Paul Vanasse <pvanasse@cox.net>
Message-ID: <p5Hna.156696$S14.95959@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 4043
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.swap

For Sale: CDE CD-44 Rotor and Controller. Norm's Rotor Service rebuilt the rotor and controller 2 years ago and it has never seen service since. Small scratch on top of control box (rear corner) from return shipment. $150

Buyer to pay shipping and insurance (if desired)

Thanks and 73

--
Paul Vanasse, Trustee/Administrator WA1QCA Repeater Club
AMATEUR - W1PEV
AMARS/SHARES - AAT1BJ
RISATERN
1stRIVC
W1PEV-12, Emcomms Packet PBBS 145.030

497. Re: APRS, topographic maps, search and rescue

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-18 01:24:17 UTC
From: Jim Hale <jhale240@cox.net>
Message-ID: <5tIna.158130$S14.137583@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 4044

"Richard Ferguson" <raferguson@att.net> wrote
> I am talking to an official of the local Mountain Rescue group about
> APRS. Their interest relates to search teams, particularly in knowing
> exactly which area was covered by each team.
>
> Obviously a road map is of no value, topographic maps are required.
> This group primarily covers a single county, so we are not talking about
> a huge area for which topo maps are required.

APRS would seem to be a solution, but it is a monolith of features. Like
everything having to do with packet, the kitchen sink must be installed in
every software idea.

I wouldn't depend much on a topo-map, as they are probably 20 years
old, or more.

Rather than tracking searchers, which is probably a lot of useless information,
I would have the team leaders transmit a grid number and start and/or end
time, plus any other useful info.

Then I would shade the areas of an electronic map as they are covered. You
might look into the new tablet computers, and map and overlay solutions.
For example, you can scan a map in, overlay it with a grid, and then write
notations on it using Paint Shop Pro for example.

The Team leads can use GPS to locate their grid borders.

498. Re: APRS, topographic maps, search and rescue

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-18 08:12:47 UTC
From: Roger Barker <roger@peaksys.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1GsefXA$N7n+Ewv0@peaksys.co.uk>
Source record: 4045

In article <5tIna.158130$S14.137583@news1.central.cox.net>, Jim Hale
<jhale240@cox.net> writes
>"Richard Ferguson" <raferguson@att.net> wrote
>> I am talking to an official of the local Mountain Rescue group about
>> APRS. Their interest relates to search teams, particularly in knowing
>> exactly which area was covered by each team.
>>
>> Obviously a road map is of no value, topographic maps are required.
>> This group primarily covers a single county, so we are not talking about
>> a huge area for which topo maps are required.
>
>APRS would seem to be a solution, but it is a monolith of features. Like
>everything having to do with packet, the kitchen sink must be installed in
>every software idea.

Such is the nature of most software - 90% of users don't use 90% of
features, but they don't all use the same 10%... Unless you are paying
for bespoke applications to be written for you, you're always going to
have features in programs that you don't use. Good software makes it
easy to ignore the features you don't want to use.

>I wouldn't depend much on a topo-map, as they are probably 20 years
>old, or more.

I guess that depends on how much the topography has changed over the 'n'
years since the topo maps, such as those available on Terraserver, were
made. If we're talking about areas where road maps are of "no value",
then the changes may well not be very great.

>Rather than tracking searchers, which is probably a lot of useless information,
>I would have the team leaders transmit a grid number and start and/or end
>time, plus any other useful info.
>
>Then I would shade the areas of an electronic map as they are covered.

That can easily be done in APRS by plotting history tracks. Also, if
someone has a suitable electronic map for doing what you describe, then
there is a good chance it can be used with APRS. The great advantage of
doing it using APRS is that the team leader can leave his radio to do
its own thing - transmitting a position beacon at regular intervals. He
doesn't need to input grid numbers, etc. If he wants to occasionally
transmit "other useful info", then he can send an APRS message. Also,
his radio will be forming part of a digi network to improve the
reliability of communications between other search teams and base.

I would suggest having a good look at the wheel that already exists,
before you decide to reinvent it...

--
Roger Barker, G4IDE - roger@peaksys.co.uk
For UI-View go to - http://www.UI-View.com
For WinPack go to - http://www.peaksys.co.uk

499. MacAPRS tcp in OS9 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-18 09:18:04 UTC
From: superdato@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <6956410a.0304180118.4faf895d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 4046

Hello,

I am trying to connect to an APRS Server via internet but it does not work.
It displays "TCP OPEN FAILED" in red colour on the bottom of the screen.
It happens with any server. I have already put the TGP Extension in the
extensions folder.

I am using iBook System 9 and G3 Blue System 9.2

Any idea about it ?

Waiting for help, better by direct email:

eb2dtpSHITSPAM@SHITSPAMea2rcf.com

(remove the capitals on the top)

73-s de EB2DTP

500. Re: PSK31 software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-18 11:37:50 UTC
From: kuzen001@duke.edu
Message-ID: <b7oo2ejpo1@gargoyle.oit.duke.edu>
Source record: 4047

> For more choices --- http://ac6v.com/software.htm#DIGITAL
> Have Fun -- neat mode

Rod, I've been inactive for several years--the last contact listed in my logbook is 1998. Being gone from the hobby for so long is the only reason I can think of, why checking your website wasn't the FIRST thing that I thought of! I'm glad to see you're still providing such a great resource to us--thanks!

Ken Kuzenski AC4RD kuzen001 at acpub .duke .edu

All disclaimers apply, see? www.duke.edu/~kuzen001

501. Re: MacAPRS tcp in OS9 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-18 11:42:21 UTC
From: Jim Hale <jhale240@cox.net>
Message-ID: <xwRna.164846$S14.72038@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 4048

"EB2DTP" <superdato@hotmail.com> wrote
> Hello,
>
> I am trying to connect to an APRS Server via internet but it does not work.
> It displays "TCP OPEN FAILED" in red colour on the bottom of the screen.
> It happens with any server. I have already put the TGP Extension in the
> extensions folder.
>
> I am using iBook System 9 and G3 Blue System 9.2
>
> Any idea about it ?

Try doing a telnet to the server using a port number. If that doesn't work, then your protocol stack is broken. If it does work, then your APRS software is broken. Try another version.

502. Re: APRS, topographic maps, search and rescue

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-18 12:09:03 UTC
From: Jim Hale <jhale240@cox.net>
Message-ID: <zVRna.165141$S14.71094@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 4049

"Roger Barker" <roger@peaksys.co.uk> wrote
>
> I would suggest having a good look at the wheel that already exists,
> before you decide to reinvent it...

I don't think this is so much "reinventing a wheel" as using the correct tool for the job. There is such a thing as too much technology for the application. What I was suggesting was a simple tool that already exists as well, and nothing needs to be reinvented.

While it would be neat to have some simple trackers attached to, say, a backpack or truck, spewing out raw information every couple of minutes, it might also be useful to have processed information from the source. Most searchers will know where the grids are, and the team can consist of large numbers of people. Unless you are planning to put a tracker on every searcher, all you will see is the leader moving around (maybe at the edges of the grid).

This is also a non-amateur application, and most APRS software has restrictions against this, so you will have to buy the commercial version (if it even exists).

503. Re: APRS, topographic maps, search and rescue

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-18 12:39:30 UTC
From: Roger Barker <roger@peaksys.co.uk>
Message-ID: <wSzfqUACI$n+EwOe@peaksys.co.uk>
Source record: 4050

In article <zVRna.165141$S14.71094@news1.central.cox.net>, Jim Hale
<jhale240@cox.net> writes
[snip]
>
>This is also a non-amateur application, and most APRS software has
>restrictions against this, so you will have to buy the commercial version
>(if it even exists).

Obviously that is something only the individual authors can comment on.
I certainly wouldn't define mountain rescue as a "commercial" activity.

Anyway, if KA0DXM is still reading this thread, and would like to email
me direct, I've made two sample topo maps of an area near his location,
so he can get an idea of the sort of quality of topo map that could be
used with UI-View.

--
Roger Barker, G4IDE - roger@peaksys.co.uk
For UI-View go to - http://www.UI-View.com
For WinPack go to - http://www.peaksys.co.uk

504. Good data rig?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-20 03:55:55 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030419235555.18865.00000240@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 3901

I am currently using an FT-100D for packet, but I'd like to get a seperate data rig for connecting to the local cluster node. Can anyone recommend a simple radio for this use? It doesn't have to have all the "bells and whistles" of a modern rig, just needs to be able to tune to 144.930 simplex.

=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-

73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net

505. Re: Good data rig?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-20 15:42:38 UTC
From: "Alan P. Biddle" <APBIDDLE@ATT.NET>
Message-ID: <eqf5av8smfo2r5okbf79if7kh86sku2f11@4ax.com>
Source record: 3902

For new equipment, MFJ has a digital only radio for about $139. AES had the Yaesu FT1500M on sale for about the same price, but it seems to have jumped up to $200. A friend has used 3 of the Yaesu rigs for a voice and packet network with great success.

Alan
WA4SCA

506. Re: Good data rig?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-20 16:55:20 UTC
From: Jim Hale <jhale240@cox.net>
Message-ID: <YhAoa.137369$yh1.8571148@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 3903

"Alan P. Biddle" <APBIDDLE@ATT.NET> wrote
> For new equipment, MFJ has a digital only radio for about $139. AES
> had the Yaesu FT1500M on sale for about the same price, but it seems
> to have jumped up to $200. A friend has used 3 of the Yaesu rigs for
> a voice and packet network with great success.

I have a 1500 and I like it. You can actually operate it without a
manual :-)

The only caution is to grab it by the wires when you bring it into
the house at night, because the case is the heat-sink, and you will
burn yourself if you were chatting on the way home.

About 5 of us bought one when they first came out, at $120 on sale,
and after a year, everyone still has them and they are a workhorse.
I'm using mine mostly for APRS, but it switches to voice with no
problems.

507. Re: PSK31 software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-20 17:16:45 UTC
From: James Van Ornum <jvanornu@sitestar.net>
Message-ID: <1CAoa.1385$rn.1171305@newshog.newsread.com>
Source record: 3904

I tried DigiPan first. It is a good package for getting your feet wet.
Easy to set up and easy to tune to transmissions. Logging is a little weak.

I am now trying DXLab (http://www.qsl.net/dxlab/) which is an interesting
suite of tools, including WinWarbler, a logging program that links to
eQSL.cc, a transciever controller, etc.

Your mileage may vary.

Jim - KG4YWD

508. Re: Good data rig?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-20 18:31:44 UTC
From: Stilz <stilz@attglobal.net>
Message-ID: <3EA2E790.2C315697@attglobal.net>
Source record: 3905

VHFRadioBuff wrote:
>
> I am currently using an FT-100D for packet, but I'd like to get a seperate data
> rig for connecting to the local cluster node. Can anyone recommend a simple
> radio for this use? It doesn't have to have all the "bells and whistles" of a
> modern rig, just needs to be able to tune to 144.930 simplex.
> =-
> 73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
> Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
> http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
> Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net

I use a Yaesu FT1500M .... works good, reliable, and easy to set up.

Mike KG4RRH

509. Logger Software ETC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-20 19:22:52 UTC
From: Dave <g3vfp@blueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <zsCoa.134$qF6.126@news-binary.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 3906

Bob Furzer has released the beta version of his 32 bit logging software, and I have it on my web page for those who may wish to download it. There is a choice of downloads, one file, or four smaller files.

I have a number of other softwares on the page too so you may want to take a look see....or not as the case may be :-)

http://g3vfp.org/download.html

Cheers

Dave G3VFP

510. Re: Good data rig?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-21 02:43:49 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030420224349.19041.00000316@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 3907

Well, seems the FT-1500 is popular. I have one in the car.. :)

I actually found out that the packet cluster is full quieting into my VX-5R from inside the shack with the stock antenna, so I bought the packet cable for that and will be using the HT to monitor the packet cluster. Thanks for the replies, though!

=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-

73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net

511. Using VX-5R for packet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-21 02:47:57 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030420224757.19041.00000318@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 3908

Hello all. I have a VX-5R HT that I will be using for packet. I tuned the local packet cluster and found that if I keep the HT in FM-Narrow, it sounds like the data is being "clipped". If it's in WFM mode, I seem to hear the entire data stream.

Does anyone have any experience using the VX-5R for packet? Any ideas on the NFM and WFM modes?

=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-

73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net

512. Re: PSK31 software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-21 18:04:25 UTC
From: Sergei Podstrigailo <amx2@dxsoft.com>
Message-ID: <b81brb$58nni$1@ID-52759.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 3909

Hello!

> I've just ordered a "Rascal Mark V" and cable; looking
> forward to giving PSK31 a try.
>
> I'll be using an 1800mHz PC with Windows 2000.
>
> Any recommendations on preferred PSK31 software? TIA!
>

See TrueTTY from http://www.dxsoft.com

WBR,
Sergei

513. Re: PSK31 software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-22 12:50:27 UTC
From: "kj@ca.org" <sss@or.org>
Message-ID: <XRapa.2794$Qg2.325190@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 3910

The one I really enjoy, and has some really cool/nice features is PSK31 Deluxe.
http://www.kns.ch/sysgem/hb9drv/PSK31%20Deluxe.htm

"Sergei Podstrigailo" <amx2@dxsoft.com> wrote in message news:b81brb$58nni$1@ID-52759.news.dfncis.de...
> Hello!
>
> >
> > I've just ordered a "Rascal Mark V" and cable; looking
> > forward to giving PSK31 a try.
> >
> > I'll be using an 1800mHz PC with Windows 2000.
> >
> > Any recommendations on preferred PSK31 software? TIA!
> >
>
> See TrueTTY from http://www.dxsoft.com
>
> ---
> WBR,
> Sergei
>
>

514. Flex32 SoundCard Packet Tutorial

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-23 04:23:32 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <8zopa.694$hL1.475282611@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3911

A new tutorial on setting up and operating Soundcard Packet with FlexNet is available at the USPN web-site: http://www.uspacket.net in the Links section, under "Packet Software", or you can go directly to this link:

http://uspacket.net/flex32/flx_32.htm

Stand by for a bit of load-up time on this page, as there are numerous screen-shots that show every detail of setting up the software and getting on the air.

Charles Brabhan N5PVL
webmaster@uspacket.net
http://www.uspacket.net

"Show Us Your Network"!

515. KAM Enhancement Board / KAM EPROM Upgrade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-23 04:29:14 UTC
From: Stuart Blake Tener <stuart@misty.com>
Message-ID: <b854rc$655$1@news.misty.com>
Source record: 3912
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.ham-radio.swap

To whom it shall concern:

It has been years since I have played on packet radio, so I recently dug out my olde KAM, which by the way has firmware version 2.84!?! I am in desperate need for some upgrades for the unit!!! Any advice on where to get a firmware upgrade for a version greater than 2.84 would be very appreciated!

I am also looking for a KAM Enhancement Board!

I spoke with a friend of mine whom told me the firmware in the KAM is not public domain, therefore my understanding is that the only way I can ask someone to email me a copy of the firmware is if it a version that you paid for and are no longer using due to your unit being broken or a version you are no longer using that you paid for, since you have upgraded to a later verion of the firmware. I am looking for anything greater than 2.84, but 8.2E would be the most optimal to receive. I do own an EPROM programmer so an image is fine. Obviously if you are sending me the firmware via email, a notice indicative of your transfer of the license to me would have to be included with the image I imagine. I am trying to locate a copy of the firmware legally, though I suppose there is little I can do if someone just sends it.

The big problem is that Kantronics doesnt seem to want to help people with olde KAMs anymore, so I am left to my own devices to find what or how I can upgrade the unit!

Here are some of my questions:

What is the compatible version E2PROM (EEPROM) to use instead of a 27C512 EPROM?

What EEPROM/E2PROM will work to replace the 27C512 in the KAM?

Is 8.2E the latest firmware the KAM that I could have if an Enhancement Board is installed in the KAM?

What is the latest version of the firmware for the KAM that works if you do NOT have an Enhancement Board?

I understand there was a memory upgrade, can anyone tell me about that upgrade?

I also understand there was a clock upgrade, can anyone tell me about that upgrade?

Is there a place I can get a PDF or digital copy of the KAM manual, KAM Enhancement Board manual, or DVR 2-2 manual?

What can I do to upgrade or enhance my DVR 2-2 to make it work better?

Does anyone know what I must do to align or setup the DVR 2-2 if I want to add a second channel/crystal to it?

Where do I get crystals and of what type for the DVR 2-2?

Please carbon copy my email address on all replies if you can.

Thanks in advance,

Stuart
N3GWG@bh90210.net

516. CQ 070 CLUB - TDW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-23 07:02:16 UTC
From: n3dqu@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030423030216.18863.00000900@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 3913

Rules now posted for the 2003 Three Day Weekend (TDW) to be held 30 May - 01 June 2003. Note changes in the awards section. Check it out at < http://www.podxs.com/html/tdw.html > ...73 de Jay N3DQU

517. Re: KAM Enhancement Board / KAM EPROM Upgrade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-23 09:19:01 UTC
From: "Joe S." <joe@schlatter.org>
Message-ID: <b85lli0310b@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 3914
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

You say: "The big problem is that Kantronics doesnt seem to want to help
people with
olde KAMs anymore, so I am left to my own devices to find what or how I can
upgrade the unit! (sic)"

Interesting. KAM manuals and BIOS flash upgrades are available for download
on their site.

Maybe if you visited their web site and contacted them:
http://www.kantronics.com/index.htm

--

Regards,
Joe S.

"Stuart Blake Tener" <stuart@misty.com> wrote in message
news:b854rc$655$1@news.misty.com...
> To whom it shall concern:
>
> It has been years since I have played on packet radio, so I recently dug
out
> my olde KAM, which by the way has firmware version 2.84!?! I am in
desperate
> need for some upgrades for the unit!!! Any advice on where to get a
firmware
> upgrade for a version greater than 2.84 would be very appreciated!
>
> I am also looking for a KAM Enhancement Board!
>
> I spoke with a friend of mine whom told me the firmware in the KAM is not
> public domain, therefore my understanding is that the only way I can ask
> someone to email me a copy of the firmware is if it a version that you
paid
> for and are no longer using due to your unit being broken or a version you
> are no longer using that you paid for, since you have upgraded to a later
> verion of the firmware. I am looking for anything greater than 2.84, but
> 8.2E would be the most optimal to receive. I do own an EPROM programmer so
> an image is fine. Obviously if you are sending me the firmware via email,
a
> notice indicative of your transfer of the license to me would have to be
> included with the image I imagine. I am trying to locate a copy of the
> firmware legally, though I suppose there is little I can do if someone

just
> sends it.
>
> The big problem is that Kantronics doesnt seem to want to help people with
> olde KAMs anymore, so I am left to my own devices to find what or how I
can
> upgrade the unit!
>
> Here are some of my questions:
>
> What is the compatible version E2PROM (EEPROM) to use instead of a 27C512
> EPROM?
>
> What EEPROM/E2PROM will work to replace the 27C512 in the KAM?
>
> Is 8.2E the latest firmware the KAM that I could have if an Enhancement
> Board is installed in the KAM?
>
> What is the latest version of the firmware for the KAM that works if you
do
> NOT have an Enhancement Board?
>
> I understand there was a memory upgrade, can anyone tell me about that
> upgrade?
>
> I also understand there was a clock upgrade, can anyone tell me about that
> upgrade?
>
> Is there a place I can get a PDF or digital copy of the KAM manual, KAM
> Enhancement Board manual, or DVR 2-2 manual?
>
> What can I do to upgrade or enhance my DVR 2-2 to make it work better?
>
> Does anyone know what I must do to align or setup the DVR 2-2 if I want to
> add a second channel/crystal to it?
>
> Where do I get crystals and of what type for the DVR 2-2?
>
> Please carbon copy my email address on all replies if you can.
>
>
> Thanks in advance,
>
> Stuart
> N3GWG@bh90210.net
>
>

518. Re: KAM Enhancement Board / KAM EPROM Upgrade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-23 10:05:48 UTC
From: Stuart Blake Tener <stuart@misty.com>
Message-ID: <b85oj2$p18$1@news.misty.com>
Source record: 3915
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Joe S.:

Unless I am mistaken, the only software and manuals they have on their site right now are for the KAM Plus and KAM XL. Those of us with the olde KAM do not seem to be getting the privledge of a software EPROM or manual to download.

Have I missed something, or did you find the 8.2E or other firmware for the Enhanced Board or KAM there?

Stuart
N3GWG

"Joe S." <joe@schlatter.org> wrote in message
news:b85lli0310b@enews2.newsguy.com...
> You say: "The big problem is that Kantronics doesnt seem to want to help
> people with
> olde KAMs anymore, so I am left to my own devices to find what or how I
can
> upgrade the unit! (sic)"
>
> Interesting. KAM manuals and BIOS flash upgrades are available for
download
> on their site.
>
> Maybe if you visited their web site and contacted them:
> http://www.kantronics.com/index.htm
>
>
> --
>
> ----
> Regards,
> Joe S.
> ----
>
>
>
> "Stuart Blake Tener" <stuart@misty.com> wrote in message
> news:b854rc$655$1@news.misty.com...
> > To whom it shall concern:
> >
> > It has been years since I have played on packet radio, so I recently dug
> out

> > my olde KAM, which by the way has firmware version 2.84!?! I am in
> desperate
> > need for some upgrades for the unit!!! Any advice on where to get a
> firmware
> > upgrade for a version greater than 2.84 would be very appreciated!
> >
> > I am also looking for a KAM Enhancement Board!
> >
> > I spoke with a friend of mine whom told me the firmware in the KAM is
not
> > public domain, therefore my understanding is that the only way I can ask
> > someone to email me a copy of the firmware is if it a version that you
> paid
> > for and are no longer using due to your unit being broken or a version
you
> > are no longer using that you paid for, since you have upgraded to a
later
> > verion of the firmware. I am looking for anything greater than 2.84, but
> > 8.2E would be the most optimal to receive. I do own an EPROM programmer
so
> > an image is fine. Obviously if you are sending me the firmware via
email,
> a
> > notice indicative of your transfer of the license to me would have to be
> > included with the image I imagine. I am trying to locate a copy of the
> > firmware legally, though I suppose there is little I can do if someone
> just
> > sends it.
> >
> > The big problem is that Kantronics doesnt seem to want to help people
with
> > olde KAMs anymore, so I am left to my own devices to find what or how I
> can
> > upgrade the unit!
> >
> > Here are some of my questions:
> >
> > What is the compatible version E2PROM (EEPROM) to use instead of a
27C512
> > EPROM?
> >
> > What EEPROM/E2PROM will work to replace the 27C512 in the KAM?
> >
> > Is 8.2E the latest firmware the KAM that I could have if an Enhancement
> > Board is installed in the KAM?
> >
> > What is the latest version of the firmware for the KAM that works if you
> do
> > NOT have an Enhancement Board?
> >

> > I understand there was a memory upgrade, can anyone tell me about that
> > upgrade?
> >
> > I also understand there was a clock upgrade, can anyone tell me about
that
> > upgrade?
> >
> > Is there a place I can get a PDF or digital copy of the KAM manual, KAM
> > Enhancement Board manual, or DVR 2-2 manual?
> >
> > What can I do to upgrade or enhance my DVR 2-2 to make it work better?
> >
> > Does anyone know what I must do to align or setup the DVR 2-2 if I want
to
> > add a second channel/crystal to it?
> >
> > Where do I get crystals and of what type for the DVR 2-2?
> >
> > Please carbon copy my email address on all replies if you can.
> >
> >
> > Thanks in advance,
> >
> > Stuart
> > N3GWG@bh90210.net
> >
> >
>
>

519. Linux + AX25 + Soundmodem driver = confused!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-23 10:44:55 UTC
From: Stuart Blake Tener <stuart@misty.com>
Message-ID: <b85qscrcd1@news.misty.com>
Source record: 3916
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Linux Packeting Amateurs:

I am running RedHat 9.0, and I have compiled my kernel with support for AX25 built into the kernel (not as a module), when I boot up it is my understanding I should see some entries in /proc/sys/net/ax25; I do not. Any ideas?

Stuart
N3GWG

520. Re: Linux + AX25 + Soundmodem driver = confused!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-23 12:45:04 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen <nielsen@remove_this.oz.net>
Message-ID: <b861sg$o0v$0@216.39.144.81>
Source record: 3917
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Wed, 23 Apr 2003 03:44:55 -0700, Stuart Blake Tener wrote:
> Linux Packeting Amateurs:
>
> I am running RedHat 9.0, and I have compiled my kernel with support for
> AX25 built into the kernel (not as a module), when I boot up it is my
> understanding I should see some entries in /proc/sys/net/ax25; I do not. Any
> ideas?
>
> Stuart
> N3GWG

Have you defined any interfaces? Do they show up when you run
ifconfig?

Bob, N7XY

521. KPC3 and TM255

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-23 18:23:22 UTC
From: Tom <supra@yucomREMOVETHIS.be>
Message-ID: <uSApa.58898$t_2.5382@afrodite.telenet-ops.be>
Source record: 3918

Hello ,

Who can give me the pin layout for the datacable between the KPC3 and the TM255 (at the back of this Rig) ?

Many thanks

Tom

522. Re: KAM Enhancement Board / KAM EPROM Upgrade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-24 00:18:36 UTC
From: tgiro@yellowbananas.com
Message-ID: <b7c32660.0304231618.21d35033@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3919
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

That is correct -- The only devices supported by the web page are:

KAM-98
KAM-XL
KPC-3+
KPC96/12

The KAM+ is no longer discussed or supported on their web page. I
left an email with their tech support to see if they have the latest
firmware or hardware for the KAM+.

We'll see if they even respond.

Tony -- KF3BX

"Stuart Blake Tener" <stuart@misty.com> wrote in message
news:<b85oj2$p18$1@news.misty.com>...
> Joe S.:
>
> Unless I am mistaken, the only software and manuals they have on their
> site right now are for the KAM Plus and KAM XL. Those of us with the olde
> KAM do not seem to be getting the privledge of a software EPROM or manual to
> download.
>
> Have I missed something, or did you find the 8.2E or other firmware for
> the Enhanced Board or KAM there?
>
> Stuart
> N3GWG
>
>
>
> "Joe S." <joe@schlatter.org> wrote in message
> news:b85lli0310b@enews2.newsguy.com...
> > You say: "The big problem is that Kantronics doesnt seem to want to help
> > people with
> > olde KAMs anymore, so I am left to my own devices to find what or how I
> can
> > upgrade the unit! (sic)"
> >
> > Interesting. KAM manuals and BIOS flash upgrades are available for
> download
> > on their site.
> >
> > Maybe if you visited their web site and contacted them:

> > http://www.kantronics.com/index.htm
> >
> >
> > --
> >
> > ----
> > Regards,
> > Joe S.
> > ----
> >
> >
> >
> > "Stuart Blake Tener" <stuart@misty.com> wrote in message
> > news:b854rc$655$1@news.misty.com...
> > > To whom it shall concern:
> > >
> > > It has been years since I have played on packet radio, so I recently dug
> out
> > > my olde KAM, which by the way has firmware version 2.84!?! I am in
> desperate
> > > need for some upgrades for the unit!!! Any advice on where to get a
> firmware
> > > upgrade for a version greater than 2.84 would be very appreciated!
> > >
> > > I am also looking for a KAM Enhancement Board!
> > >
> > > I spoke with a friend of mine whom told me the firmware in the KAM is
> not
> > > public domain, therefore my understanding is that the only way I can ask
> > > someone to email me a copy of the firmware is if it a version that you
> paid
> > > for and are no longer using due to your unit being broken or a version
> you
> > > are no longer using that you paid for, since you have upgraded to a
> later
> > > verion of the firmware. I am looking for anything greater than 2.84, but
> > > 8.2E would be the most optimal to receive. I do own an EPROM programmer
> so
> > > an image is fine. Obviously if you are sending me the firmware via
> email,
> a
> > > notice indicative of your transfer of the license to me would have to be
> > > included with the image I imagine. I am trying to locate a copy of the
> > > firmware legally, though I suppose there is little I can do if someone
> just
> > > sends it.
> > >
> > > The big problem is that Kantronics doesnt seem to want to help people
> with
> > > olde KAMs anymore, so I am left to my own devices to find what or how I

> can
> > > upgrade the unit!
> > >
> > > Here are some of my questions:
> > >
> > > What is the compatible version E2PROM (EEPROM) to use instead of a
> 27C512
> > > EPROM?
> > >
> > > What EEPROM/E2PROM will work to replace the 27C512 in the KAM?
> > >
> > > Is 8.2E the latest firmware the KAM that I could have if an Enhancement
> > > Board is installed in the KAM?
> > >
> > > What is the latest version of the firmware for the KAM that works if you
> do
> > > NOT have an Enhancement Board?
> > >
> > > I understand there was a memory upgrade, can anyone tell me about that
> > > upgrade?
> > >
> > > I also understand there was a clock upgrade, can anyone tell me about
> that
> > > upgrade?
> > >
> > > Is there a place I can get a PDF or digital copy of the KAM manual, KAM
> > > Enhancement Board manual, or DVR 2-2 manual?
> > >
> > > What can I do to upgrade or enhance my DVR 2-2 to make it work better?
> > >
> > > Does anyone know what I must do to align or setup the DVR 2-2 if I want
> to
> > > add a second channel/crystal to it?
> > >
> > > Where do I get crystals and of what type for the DVR 2-2?
> > >
> > > Please carbon copy my email address on all replies if you can.
> > >
> > >
> > > Thanks in advance,
> > >
> > > Stuart
> > > N3GWG@bh90210.net
> > >
> > >
> >
> >

523. Re: Linux + AX25 + Soundmodem driver = confused!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-24 03:53:46 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.55.0304240352480.382@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 3920
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Wed, 23 Apr 2003, Stuart Blake Tener wrote:
> Linux Packeting Amateurs:
>
> I am running RedHat 9.0, and I have compiled my kernel with support for
> AX25 built into the kernel (not as a module), when I boot up it is my
> understanding I should see some entries in /proc/sys/net/ax25; I do not. Any
> ideas?

The entries I see in there are directories, each named for an interface (in my case, ax0 ... ax3). That implies to me that you have to attach the soundcard interface before you will seen an entry.

524. Re: Good data rig?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-25 07:48:33 UTC
From: Roger <rdhalste@tm.net>
Message-ID: <lL5qa.1716$rn.1419879@newshog.newsread.com>
Source record: 3921

The easy answer is "almost any rig" that has a PTT line and enough dissipation. As digital isn't all that demanding a 20 to 50 watt rig even with a small heatsink will most likely work.

--
Roger Halstead (K8RI EN83 & ARRL Life Member)
WWW.RogerHalstead.com
N833R - World's oldest Debonair? (S# CD-2)

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme> wrote in message news:20030419235555.18865.00000240@mb-m03.aol.com...
> I am currently using an FT-100D for packet, but I'd like to get a seperate data
> rig for connecting to the local cluster node. Can anyone recommend a simple
> radio for this use? It doesn't have to have all the "bells and whistles" of a
> modern rig, just needs to be able to tune to 144.930 simplex.
> =-
> 73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
> Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
> http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
> Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net

525. Re: KAM Enhancement Board / KAM EPROM Upgrade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-25 12:08:33 UTC
From: Stuart Blake Tener <stuart@misty.com>
Message-ID: <b8b8ga$5si$1@news.misty.com>
Source record: 3922
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"tgiro" <tgiro@yellowbananas.com> wrote in message
news:b7c32660.0304231618.21d35033@posting.google.com...
> That is correct -- The only devices supported by the web page are:
>
> KAM-98
> KAM-XL
> KPC-3+
> KPC96/12
>
> The KAM+ is no longer discussed or supported on their web page. I
> left an email with their tech support to see if they have the latest
> firmware or hardware for the KAM+.

This seems rather silly to me on their part. To put up a scanned copy of the manuals in PDF format, and the last firmware that they made available for the KAM/KAM PLUS would be a reasonable thing to do, even if they declare there is no support from them for any of the documentation or firmware.

As I return to packet radio and look at their new products, it leaves me with a rather bad taste in my mouth to know that they will not do anything whatsoever to help olde customers when they have all the software and manuals right there to assist people. I find it to be a very arogant point of view for them to take. Now maybe if they get enough requests they will change that, but at this point I emailed someone; somehow they came to the conclusion that they did not want to support KAM or KAM PLUS.

Stuart
N3GWG

>
> We'll see if they even respond.
>
> Tony -- KF3BX
>
> "Stuart Blake Tener" <stuart@misty.com> wrote in message
news:<b85oj2$p18$1@news.misty.com>...
> > Joe S.:
> >
> > Unless I am mistaken, the only software and manuals they have on
their
> > site right now are for the KAM Plus and KAM XL. Those of us with the
olde
> > KAM do not seem to be getting the privledge of a software EPROM or
manual to

> > download.
> >
> > Have I missed something, or did you find the 8.2E or other firmware
for
> > the Enhanced Board or KAM there?
> >
> > Stuart
> > N3GWG
> >
> >
> >
> > "Joe S." <joe@schlatter.org> wrote in message
> > news:b85lli0310b@enews2.newsguy.com...
> > > You say: "The big problem is that Kantronics doesnt seem to want to
help
> > > people with
> > > olde KAMs anymore, so I am left to my own devices to find what or how
I
> > can
> > > upgrade the unit! (sic)"
> > >
> > > Interesting. KAM manuals and BIOS flash upgrades are available for
> > download
> > > on their site.
> > >
> > > Maybe if you visited their web site and contacted them:
> > > http://www.kantronics.com/index.htm
> > >
> > >
> > > --
> > >
> > > ----
> > > Regards,
> > > Joe S.
> > > ----
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > "Stuart Blake Tener" <stuart@misty.com> wrote in message
> > > news:b854rc$655$1@news.misty.com...
> > > > To whom it shall concern:
> > > >
> > > > It has been years since I have played on packet radio, so I recently
dug
> > out
> > > > my olde KAM, which by the way has firmware version 2.84!?! I am in
> > desperate
> > > > need for some upgrades for the unit!!! Any advice on where to get a
> > firmware
> > > > upgrade for a version greater than 2.84 would be very appreciated!

> > > >
> > > > I am also looking for a KAM Enhancement Board!
> > > >
> > > > I spoke with a friend of mine whom told me the firmware in the KAM
is
> > not
> > > > public domain, therefore my understanding is that the only way I can
ask
> > > > someone to email me a copy of the firmware is if it a version that
you
> > paid
> > > > for and are no longer using due to your unit being broken or a
version
> > you
> > > > are no longer using that you paid for, since you have upgraded to a
> > later
> > > > verion of the firmware. I am looking for anything greater than 2.84,
but
> > > > 8.2E would be the most optimal to receive. I do own an EPROM
programmer
> > so
> > > > an image is fine. Obviously if you are sending me the firmware via
> > email,
> > a
> > > > notice indicative of your transfer of the license to me would have
to be
> > > > included with the image I imagine. I am trying to locate a copy of
the
> > > > firmware legally, though I suppose there is little I can do if
someone
> > just
> > > > sends it.
> > > >
> > > > The big problem is that Kantronics doesnt seem to want to help
people
> > with
> > > > olde KAMs anymore, so I am left to my own devices to find what or
how I
> > can
> > > > upgrade the unit!
> > > >
> > > > Here are some of my questions:
> > > >
> > > > What is the compatible version E2PROM (EEPROM) to use instead of a
> > 27C512
> > > > EPROM?
> > > >
> > > > What EEPROM/E2PROM will work to replace the 27C512 in the KAM?
> > > >
> > > > Is 8.2E the latest firmware the KAM that I could have if an

Enhancement
> > > > Board is installed in the KAM?
> > > >
> > > > What is the latest version of the firmware for the KAM that works if
you
> > do
> > > > NOT have an Enhancement Board?
> > > >
> > > > I understand there was a memory upgrade, can anyone tell me about
that
> > > > upgrade?
> > > >
> > > > I also understand there was a clock upgrade, can anyone tell me
about
> > that
> > > > upgrade?
> > > >
> > > > Is there a place I can get a PDF or digital copy of the KAM manual,
KAM
> > > > Enhancement Board manual, or DVR 2-2 manual?
> > > >
> > > > What can I do to upgrade or enhance my DVR 2-2 to make it work
better?
> > > >
> > > > Does anyone know what I must do to align or setup the DVR 2-2 if I
want
> > to
> > > > add a second channel/crystal to it?
> > > >
> > > > Where do I get crystals and of what type for the DVR 2-2?
> > > >
> > > > Please carbon copy my email address on all replies if you can.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Thanks in advance,
> > > >
> > > > Stuart
> > > > N3GWG@bh90210.net
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >

526. Re: Linux + AX25 + Soundmodem driver = confused!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-25 19:53:25 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.55.0304251953110.797@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 3923
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Fri, 25 Apr 2003, Stuart Blake Tener wrote:
> "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
> news:Pine.LNX.4.55.0304240352480.382@kd6lvw.ampr.org...
> > On Wed, 23 Apr 2003, Stuart Blake Tener wrote:
> > > Linux Packeting Amateurs:
> > >
> > > I am running RedHat 9.0, and I have compiled my kernel with support
> for
> > > AX25 built into the kernel (not as a module), when I boot up it is my
> > > understanding I should see some entries in /proc/sys/net/ax25; I do not.
> Any
> > > ideas?
> >
> > The entries I see in there are directories, each named for an interface
> (in my
> > case, ax0 ... ax3). That implies to me that you have to attach the
> soundcard
> > interface before you will seen an entry.
>
> Dear Sir:
>
> When you say "attach the soundcard interface", do you mean doing a modprobe
> soundmodem? I did that and still do not see any interfaces in the
> /proc/sys/net/ax25 directory. or are there more things I must do?

IF that's how one gets a soundcard interface attached, then yes. I don't have
experience with soundcards as TNC's. The problem is with your driver.

527. Seeking email addr for DL8MBT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-25 20:02:36 UTC
From: Joseph Fenn <jfenn@lava.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.BSI.4.44.0304251001080.18496-100000@malasada.lava.net>
Source record: 3924

I found his link on the web but its all in German and could'nt find
an email address.

Does anyone here know the email address for DL8MBT.
I got to his website but its all in German so could'nt figure out his
email addr or if he has one. His url is
http://www.radlherr.de
He was a pioneer in creating software for Packet radio and Baycom
systems as well as Digicom.
Joe/KH6JF

****
* Ham KH6JF AARS/MARS ABM6JF QCWA WW2 VET WD RADIO *
****

528. SAD NEWS FROM PHOENIX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-25 20:21:37 UTC
From: Bill Andrews <w8akh@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3EA998A4.94CB0BDA@earthlink.net>
Source record: 3925

Hi Terry and Joe,

Just a quick note to inform you that Corinne's
mom passed away on Wed Apr 23, 2003.

She had a bout with breast cancer. Radiation
apparently killed off all signs of cancer in
the breast. But other tests indicated a cancer
was still somewhere in her system.

She was hospitalized about 4 weeks ago.
After complaints of stomach pain. Subsequent
checks did not show anything. But she was not a
cooperative patient. Did fight and refuse tests and treatments.

Than was transferred to a nursing facility.
In the meantime Corinne and her sisters found a great facility
that reminded me of a resort. Corinne and Laverne moved
a lot of her stuff from her mobile home like bed, dressers,
decor etc. She was there about a week when the nurse called Corinne
and said she thought her mom needed to be hospitalized due to
dehydration and she was not eating. This was on Monday 4/21/03.

We went there and arranged transport to a local ER in Sun City.
The hospital admitted her and kept her till Wed. They recommended
a move to a hospice facility. This was done late afternoon.

Lois was at this hospice when her mom arrived. The nurse attending
to her mom called lois out into
the hall and informed her that she did not think mom would make it
through
the nite. All were called and everyone including great grandkids were
there to see her off to join her husband Mack. Who she has
been talking about more and more the past six months.

Linda arrived last nite with her youngest.
Left the other three at home with their father.

Love from Bill & Corinne

529. Re: Seeking email addr for DL8MBT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-25 20:33:40 UTC
From: Walter Koch <koch@u32.de>
Message-ID: <km6jav00n94ad5cm6rhprc9rpf185ogskq@4ax.com>
Source record: 3926

Moin,

Joseph Fenn schrobte/wrote:

> Does anyone here know the email address for DL8MBT.
> I got to his website but its all in German so could'nt figure out his
> email addr or if he has one. His url is
> http://www.radlherr.de

flori at radlherr.de (see bottom of page)

If this does not work, maybe the guys at info at baycom.de could help
you.

Gruss,
Walter
--
Hochdahl am Neandertal

530. Re: Linux + AX25 + Soundmodem driver = confused!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-25 21:45:05 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen <nielsen@remove_this.oz.net>
Message-ID: <b8ca91$150$0@216.39.144.81>
Source record: 3927
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Stuart,

It sounds like you probably have not correctly configured soundmodem.
See section 6.1.5 of the AX25-HOWTO for details on how to do this.

Bob

On Fri, 25 Apr 2003 04:51:09 -0700, Stuart Blake Tener wrote:
>
> "Bob Nielsen" <nielsen@remove_this.oz.net> wrote in message
> news:b861sg$o0v$0@216.39.144.81...
>> On Wed, 23 Apr 2003 03:44:55 -0700, Stuart Blake Tener wrote:
>> > Linux Packeting Amateurs:
>> >
>> > I am running RedHat 9.0, and I have compiled my kernel with support
> for
>> > AX25 built into the kernel (not as a module), when I boot up it is my
>> > understanding I should see some entries in /proc/sys/net/ax25; I do not.
> Any
>> > ideas?
>> >
>> > Stuart
>> > N3GWG
>>
>> Have you defined any interfaces? Do they show up when you run
>> ifconfig?
>
> Bob:
>
> Well I did do a modprobe soundmodem if that is what you mean, and when I did
> an "ifconfig -a" I saw the interfaces, but I cannot "up" any of them, and
> they do not show up when I do an "ifconfig" (absent any parameters).
>
> Stuart
> N3GWG
>
>

--
Bob Nielsen, N7XY n7xy@n7xy.net
Bainbridge Island, WA http://www.n7xy.net
IOTA NA-065, USI WA-028S

531. Re: KAM Enhancement Board / KAM EPROM Upgrade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-25 22:09:43 UTC
From: Rick Ruhl <ricker@cssincorp.com>
Message-ID: <vajch1eed9enf9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 3928
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

FYI, I made a decision with Pacterm for Windows to support the KAM-E and KAM PLUS with 7.0 firmware or later. Current release 1.7 does that.

In version 2.0 of Pacterm for Windows, due later this year, I still plan to support the KAM-E and KAM PLUS with 7.0 firmware or later.

I even decided to contine to support the KPC-1 with 5.0 firmware. That's almost a 20 year old TNC. :)

Rick - W4PC
http://www.cssincorp.com/pacterm

"Stuart Blake Tener" <stuart@misty.com> wrote in message
news:b8b8ga$5si$1@news.misty.com...
>
> "tgiro" <tgiro@yellowbananas.com> wrote in message
> news:b7c32660.0304231618.21d35033@posting.google.com...
> > That is correct -- The only devices supported by the web page are:
> >
> > KAM-98
> > KAM-XL
> > KPC-3+
> > KPC96/12
> >
> > The KAM+ is no longer discussed or supported on their web page. I
> > left an email with their tech support to see if they have the latest
> > firmware or hardware for the KAM+.
>
> This seems rather silly to me on their part. To put up a scanned copy of
the
> manuals in PDF format, and the last firmware that they made available for
> the KAM/KAM PLUS would be a reasonable thing to do, even if they declare
> there is no support from them for any of the documentation or firmware.
>
> As I return to packet radio and look at their new products, it leaves me
> with a rather bad taste in my mouth to know that they will not do anything
> whatsoever to help olde customers when they have all the software and
> manuals right there to assist people. I find it to be a very arogant point
> of view for them to take. Now maybe if they get enough requests they will
> change that, but at this point I emailed someone; somehow they came to the
> conclusion that they did not want to support KAM or KAM PLUS.
>
> Stuart
> N3GWG

>
>
> >
> > We'll see if they even respond.
> >
> > Tony -- KF3BX
> >
> > "Stuart Blake Tener" <stuart@misty.com> wrote in message
> news:<b85oj2$p18$1@news.misty.com>...
> > > Joe S.:
> > >
> > > Unless I am mistaken, the only software and manuals they have on
> their
> > > site right now are for the KAM Plus and KAM XL. Those of us with the
> olde
> > > KAM do not seem to be getting the privledge of a software EPROM or
> manual to
> > > download.
> > >
> > > Have I missed something, or did you find the 8.2E or other
firmware
> for
> > > the Enhanced Board or KAM there?
> > >
> > > Stuart
> > > N3GWG
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > "Joe S." <joe@schlatter.org> wrote in message
> > > news:b85lli0310b@enews2.newsguy.com...
> > > > You say: "The big problem is that Kantronics doesnt seem to want to
> help
> > > > people with
> > > > olde KAMs anymore, so I am left to my own devices to find what or
how
> I
> > > can
> > > > upgrade the unit! (sic)"
> > > >
> > > > Interesting. KAM manuals and BIOS flash upgrades are available for
> > > download
> > > > on their site.
> > > >
> > > > Maybe if you visited their web site and contacted them:
> > > > http://www.kantronics.com/index.htm
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > >

> > > > ----
> > > > Regards,
> > > > Joe S.
> > > > ----
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > "Stuart Blake Tener" <stuart@misty.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:b854rc$655$1@news.misty.com...
> > > > > To whom it shall concern:
> > > > >
> > > > > It has been years since I have played on packet radio, so I
recently
> dug
> > > out
> > > > > my olde KAM, which by the way has firmware version 2.84!?! I am in
> > > desperate
> > > > > need for some upgrades for the unit!!! Any advice on where to get
a
> > > firmware
> > > > > upgrade for a version greater than 2.84 would be very appreciated!
> > > > >
> > > > > I am also looking for a KAM Enhancement Board!
> > > > >
> > > > > I spoke with a friend of mine whom told me the firmware in the KAM
> is
> > > not
> > > > > public domain, therefore my understanding is that the only way I
can
> ask
> > > > > someone to email me a copy of the firmware is if it a version that

> you
> > > paid
> > > > > for and are no longer using due to your unit being broken or a
> version
> > > you
> > > > > are no longer using that you paid for, since you have upgraded to
a
> > > later
> > > > > verion of the firmware. I am looking for anything greater than
2.84,
> but
> > > > > 8.2E would be the most optimal to receive. I do own an EPROM
> programmer
> > > so
> > > > > an image is fine. Obviously if you are sending me the firmware via
> > > email,
> > > a
> > > > > notice indicative of your transfer of the license to me would have

> to be
> > > > > included with the image I imagine. I am trying to locate a copy of
> the
> > > > > firmware legally, though I suppose there is little I can do if
> someone
> > > just
> > > > > sends it.
> > > > >
> > > > > The big problem is that Kantronics doesnt seem to want to help
> people
> > > with
> > > > > olde KAMs anymore, so I am left to my own devices to find what or
> how I
> > > can
> > > > > upgrade the unit!
> > > > >
> > > > > Here are some of my questions:
> > > > >
> > > > > What is the compatible version E2PROM (EEPROM) to use instead of a
> > > 27C512
> > > > > EPROM?
> > > > >
> > > > > What EEPROM/E2PROM will work to replace the 27C512 in the KAM?
> > > > >
> > > > > Is 8.2E the latest firmware the KAM that I could have if an
> Enhancement
> > > > > Board is installed in the KAM?
> > > > >
> > > > > What is the latest version of the firmware for the KAM that works
if
> you
> > > do
> > > > > NOT have an Enhancement Board?
> > > > >
> > > > > I understand there was a memory upgrade, can anyone tell me about
> that
> > > > > upgrade?
> > > > >
> > > > > I also understand there was a clock upgrade, can anyone tell me
> about
> > > that
> > > > > upgrade?
> > > > >
> > > > > Is there a place I can get a PDF or digital copy of the KAM
manual,
> KAM
> > > > > Enhancement Board manual, or DVR 2-2 manual?
> > > > >
> > > > > What can I do to upgrade or enhance my DVR 2-2 to make it work
> better?

> > > > >
> > > > > Does anyone know what I must do to align or setup the DVR 2-2 if I
> want
> > > to
> > > > > add a second channel/crystal to it?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Where do I get crystals and of what type for the DVR 2-2?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Please carbon copy my email address on all replies if you can.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Thanks in advance,
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Stuart
> > > > > > N3GWG@bh90210.net
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
>
>

532. Re: SAD NEWS FROM PHOENIX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-25 23:14:37 UTC
From: Jim Hale <jhale240@cox.net>
Message-ID: <xjjqa.3134$XE.99520@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 3929

"Bill Andrews" <w8akh@earthlink.net> wrote
> Hi Terry and Joe,
>
> Just a quick note to inform you that Corinne's
> mom passed away on Wed Apr 23, 2003.

No clue who she was, but she's in a better trailer court now!

Best Regards...

533. Re: KAM Enhancement Board / KAM EPROM Upgrade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-25 23:46:15 UTC
From: tgiro@yellowbananas.com
Message-ID: <b7c32660.0304251546.4e634461@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3930
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Stuart Blake Tener" <stuart@misty.com> wrote in message
news:<b8b8ga$5si$1@news.misty.com>...
> "tgiro" <tgiro@yellowbananas.com> wrote in message
> news:b7c32660.0304231618.21d35033@posting.google.com...
> > That is correct -- The only devices supported by the web page are:
> >
> > KAM-98
> > KAM-XL
> > KPC-3+
> > KPC96/12
> >
> > The KAM+ is no longer discussed or supported on their web page. I
> > left an email with their tech support to see if they have the latest
> > firmware or hardware for the KAM+.
>
> This seems rather silly to me on their part. To put up a scanned copy of the
> manuals in PDF format, and the last firmware that they made available for
> the KAM/KAM PLUS would be a reasonable thing to do, even if they declare
> there is no support from them for any of the documentation or firmware.
>
> As I return to packet radio and look at their new products, it leaves me
> with a rather bad taste in my mouth to know that they will not do anything
> whatsoever to help olde customers when they have all the software and
> manuals right there to assist people. I find it to be a very arogant point
> of view for them to take. Now maybe if they get enough requests they will
> change that, but at this point I emailed someone; somehow they came to the
> conclusion that they did not want to support KAM or KAM PLUS.
>
> Stuart
> N3GWG

I fully agree, Stuart. They have not responded to the message I left
on their tech support email address, so I guess the KAM+ is an orphan.
Mine has Version 6.1 firmware and no enhancement board. All I have
ever used it for was packet, aprs, & rtty. I have since moved to the
sound card audio & interface for rtty, mfsk, psk, so all that is left
on the KAM is packet/aprs. Actually, with AWGPE, those can be done
with the sound card interface, also - just haven't gotten around to
it.

The only digital modes I'm interested in that require a modem is
PACTOR II & III. That's so I can do Windowlink/airmail. I got my
KAM+ used, at a hamfest for 60 bucks. I'm glad I didn't pay more for
it -- and I'll not buy another.

Good luck.

73 - Tony -- KF3BX

>
>
> >
> > We'll see if they even respond.
> >
> > Tony -- KF3BX
> >
> > "Stuart Blake Tener" <stuart@misty.com> wrote in message
> news:<b85oj2$p18$1@news.misty.com>...
> > > Joe S.:
> > >
> > > Unless I am mistaken, the only software and manuals they have on
> their
> > > site right now are for the KAM Plus and KAM XL. Those of us with the
> olde
> > > KAM do not seem to be getting the privledge of a software EPROM or
> manual to
> > > download.
> > >
> > > Have I missed something, or did you find the 8.2E or other firmware
> for
> > > the Enhanced Board or KAM there?
> > >
> > > Stuart
> > > N3GWG
> > >SNIPPED TO SAVE SPACE< < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < <

534. Re: Seeking email addr for DL8MBT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-26 03:02:20 UTC
From: packet <bla@bla.com>
Message-ID: <Xns93697049B15F5blablacom@203.194.27.1>
Source record: 3931

Joseph Fenn <jfenn@lava.net> wrote in
news:Pine.BSI.4.44.0304251001080.18496-100000@malasada.lava.net:

> I found his link on the web but its all in German and could'nt find
> an email address.
>
> Does anyone here know the email address for DL8MBT.
> I got to his website but its all in German so could'nt figure out his
> email addr or if he has one. His url is
> http://www.radlherr.de
> He was a pioneer in creating software for Packet radio and Baycom
> systems as well as Digicom.
> Joe/KH6JF
Try Here Joe
http://www.radlherr.de/ his email is on this site flori@radlherr.de

535. DVR 2-2 Power Cable Connector type?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-26 15:39:57 UTC
From: Stuart Blake Tener <stuart@misty.com>
Message-ID: <b8e994jhv1@news.misty.com>
Source record: 3932

DVR 2-2 users:

I have a DVR 2-2, and I lost the power cable for it. I need to know what is the type of connector on the back of the DVR 2-2, and where can I purchase a plug that mates with it that I can use to build a power cable for it!

Stuart
N3GWG
Beverly Hills, CA

536. DVR 2-2 users:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-26 15:41:31 UTC
From: Stuart Blake Tener <stuart@misty.com>
Message-ID: <b8e9c1$jq2$1@news.misty.com>
Source record: 3933
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc

DVR 2-2 users:

I have a DVR 2-2, and I lost the power cable for it. I need to know what is the type of connector on the back of the DVR 2-2, and where can I purchase a plug that mates with it that I can use to build a power cable for it!

Stuart
N3GWG
Beverly Hills, CA

537. Re: KPC3 and TM255

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-27 15:52:01 UTC
From: Tom <supra@yucomREMOVETHIS.be>
Message-ID: <B0Tqa.64762$t_2.5683@afrodite.telenet-ops.be>
Source record: 3934

"Tom" <supra@yucomREMOVETHIS.be> wrote in message
news:uSApa.58898$t_2.5382@afrodite.telenet-ops.be...
> Hello ,
>
> Who can give me the pin layout for the datacable between the KPC3 and the
> TM255 (at the back of this Rig) ?
>
> Many thanks
>
> Tom
>
I found it myself . Look for the details on mods.dk

73's
Tom

538. WTB: RIGblaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-27 16:38:32 UTC
From: Athlon <dwarheit@nauticom.net>
Message-ID: <tr1oav8poakkt5mbgo8p2ukn53hd2o1ajb@4ax.com>
Source record: 3935

I am looking for the 4 pin version of the RIGblaster. I do not need
the wall wart, cables or the CD, only the unit itself. I you have one
for sale, please email me directly.

TIA,

Dave

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

539. ICS AMT-1 info needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-28 08:26:25 UTC
From: Roger Basford <Basford@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <Dx$OkzMxWOr+Ew6L@btinternet.com>
Source record: 3936

Hi All,

Just acquired an AMT-1 AMTOR and RTTY decoder made by ICS in the UK during the 1980's. ICS no longer support this unit and so I was wondering if anyone could help with a scan of the manual? I'm mainly interested in using the equipment for FEC (NAVTEX) decoding.

TIA,
--
Roger Basford
(G3VKM) Norfolk, UK

540. Packet cable for VX-5R

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-29 14:22:07 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030429102207.28141.00000405@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 3937

Hello all. I was wondering if there's a packet cable for the VX-5R that already has the TNC end connected. I bought a cable for my FT-100D a while ago and it already had the connector on it. I just bought one for the VX-5R and it's wires on the end. I have neither the equipment nor the skill to properly solder a connector on the end.

=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-

73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net

541. Re: Packet cable for VX-5R

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-29 17:15:03 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen <nielsen@remove_this.oz.net>
Message-ID: <b8mbun$5k6$0@216.39.144.81>
Source record: 3938

On 29 Apr 2003 14:22:07 GMT, VHFRadioBuff wrote:
> Hello all. I was wondering if there's a packet cable for the VX-5R
> that already has the TNC end connected. I bought a cable for my
> FT-100D a while ago and it already had the connector on it. I just
> bought one for the VX-5R and it's wires on the end. I have neither
> the equipment nor the skill to properly solder a connector on the
> end.

A good soldering station and some practice should help that (I'm sure
you will have other opportunities to use it in the future). However,
K4ABT sells cables for almost any TNC/Radio combination, including the
VX-5R. He has cables for Kantronics as well as TNCs which use the
5-pin DIN connector ($14.95):

http://www.packetradio.com/Radio-TNC_Wiring_Diagrams.htm

73,
Bob, N7XY

542. ISO MT8860, and other IC"s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-04-30 22:29:53 UTC
From: Don Smith <desmith@innercite.com>
Message-ID: <vb0jss2iplv553@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 3939
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I am trying to locate a couple of DTMF chips to repair a repeater tone panel. It is using Mitel's MT8860P, MT8865P and MT5087P. Might anybody out there in radio land have a couple of spares? I have tried to locate them from the different suppliers without success. I have found MT8960 and MT8965's but cannot find any info on their replacement possibilities. Will consider any other numbers that will work. Thanks Don Smith KB6GQA

543. Searching for info about *extremely* narrow-bandwidth digital modulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-02 12:42:01 UTC
From: Marek Peca <marek@tynska.cuni.cz>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10305021233340.11310-100000@tynska.cuni.cz>
Source record: 3940
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur

Hello,

more than year ago, I read an interresting article(s) on the net about some ultra-narrow-band digital mode, but now I can't find them and forgot keywords or name. So I'm asking you, if you do not know about it or something similar.

There an author wrote, he uses some very very narrowband digital modulation, I think, it was alike CCW or PSK31, but with band in order of 1 Hz (or bit less or more), and of course a very slow bitrate. But he wrote, he can communicate with a very low power pocket batteries (and it seems also a very bad antenna) across thousand kilometers, when he is on journey, and his wife can read at home messages, which he has sent.

So, please, if you know about some papers about these modes, using bandwidth in order of hertz/milihertz, or any keywords for search, please tell me.

Thank you,
Marek P.
Cc: marek@tynska.cuni.cz

544. Re: Searching for info about *extremely* narrow-bandwidth digitalmodulation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-02 15:14:53 UTC
From: "Brian.Farrelly" <Brian.Farrelly@nho.hydro.com>
Message-ID: <3EB28B6D.359AAEA3@nho.hydro.com>
Source record: 3941
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur

Marek Peca wrote:
>

> So, please, if you know about some papers about these modes, using
> bandwidth in order of hertz/milihertz, or any keywords for search, please
> tell me.

www.qsl.net/on7yd/136naro.htm

contains some ideas.

Brian

--
mailto:Brian.Farrelly@nho.hydro.com Norsk Hydro Research Centre
phone +47 55 99 68 74 (((Postboks 7190
fax +47 55 99 69 70 2oooS N-5020 Bergen
home +47 55 13 78 49 HYDRO Norway

545. Re: ISO MT8860, and other IC"s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-02 19:05:04 UTC
From: dean arthur <dearthur@silverstar.com>
Message-ID: <3EB2C160.A067FD4A@silverstar.com>
Source record: 3942
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Two places in Calif. to try:
http://www.alltronics.com in San Jose - owned by W6TTy[?]
http://www.allelectronics.com in Los Angeles area

546. CW Whats Happening

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-03 05:59:38 UTC
From: Carl Domanico <carl.domanico@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <3EB35AC9.5020501@verizon.net>
Source record: 3943

Hello People....Does anyone have any really solid information as to what is happening with dropping the code Proficiency test to be able to operate on all the HF Bands in a phone mode!! From the input I received so far it would appear that after this ITU meeting takes place...most all the countries will be in agreement for dropping the cw portion of the Licenseing exam...to be able to operate the High Frequencies via a phone mode.....If I'm not mistaken...I think this meeting is coming up, sometime this summer???

Any and all info that has some solidary to it would be greatly appreciated....

Thanks a Lot
Carl
N2MFW

547. Re: CW Whats Happening

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-03 13:06:43 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net>
Message-ID: <D9Psa.2913$3f7.2400194@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3944

"Carl Domanico" <carl.domanico@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:3EB35A63.1070905@verizon.net...
Hello People....Does anyone have any really solid information as to what is happening with dropping the code Proficiency test to be able to operate on all the HF Bands in a phone mode!! From the input I received so far it would appear that after this ITU meeting takes place...most all the countries will be in agreement for dropping the cw portion of the Licenseing exam...to be able to operate the High Frequencies via a phone mode.....If I'm not mistaken...I think this meeting is coming up, sometime this summer???

Any and all info that has some solidary to it would be greatly appreciated....

Thanks a Lot
Carl
N2MFW

Please don't post this to every amateur radio newsgroup. Just post it to the more relevant ones. This particular group is about digital modes (packet, PSK31, etc) NOT morse code or general operating or policies.

It is on the agenda but there is no guarantee that they will get around to voting on it. The agenda is quite lengthy and they don't get to everything. This has happened before. Until there is a vote, there is no way to even guess what will happen. Even if the world conference votes to drop it, there will be an additional lag for implementation. Also keep in mind that even if the international requirement is dropped, each individual country can decide to keep it for their specific licensing requirements. Some countries have already stated that they plan on keeping it no matter what. Here in the US, the FCC would put out a Notice for Proposed Rulemaking and allow time for comments. Then decide on whether to drop it or not and decide on an implementation time.

So don't hold your breath or wait around. It's many months away if it happens at all. Instead, learn that 5wpm. It takes about 30 hours for the average person to achieve this. Some take less, some take more. So get one of the good computer programs, practice an hour a day (break it into 15 to 30 minute sessions though for best results) and you could be on the air in a few weeks instead of waiting months or even years.

With the sunspot cycle heading downhill, good conditions on the bands will become less frequent. So waiting is wasting time.

Good luck,
Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

548. Re: CW Whats Happening

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-03 23:47:57 UTC
From: John McHarry <jmcharry@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <UoYsa.32210$bc5.16061@fe04.atl2.webusenet.com>
Source record: 3945

Carl Domanico wrote:

> Hello People....Does anyone have any really solid information as to what
> is happening with dropping the code Proficiency test to be able to
> operate on all the HF Bands in a phone mode!! From the input I received
> so far it would appear that after this ITU meeting takes place...most
> all the countries will be in agreement for dropping the cw portion of
> the Licenseing exam...to be able to operate the High Frequencies via a
> phone mode.....If I'm not mistaken...I think this meeting is coming up,
> sometime this summer???
>
> Any and all info that has some solidary to it would be greatly
> appreciated....
>
> Thanks a Lot
> Carl
> N2MFW

'Solidary'??

549. Re: CW Whats Happening

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-04 02:27:40 UTC
From: DxxxxxBxx <dDOTbxx@lycos.com>
Message-ID: <khu8bvs3b87ltc5k0i4r987k3gv4dgm73r@4ax.com>
Source record: 3946

Soli, meaning solitary.

Dary, misspelling for dairy.

I think he wants information about a lonely milk cow!

On Sat, 03 May 2003 19:47:57 -0400, John McHarry
<jmcharry@comcast.net> wrote:

>Carl Domanico wrote:
>
>> Hello People....Does anyone have any really solid information as to what
>> is happening with dropping the code Proficiency test to be able to
>> operate on all the HF Bands in a phone mode!! From the input I received
>> so far it would appear that after this ITU meeting takes place...most
>> all the countries will be in agreement for dropping the cw portion of
>> the Licenseing exam...to be able to operate the High Frequencies via a
>> phone mode.....If I'm not mistaken...I think this meeting is coming up,
>> sometime this summer???
>>
>> Any and all info that has some solidary to it would be greatly
>> appreciated....
>>
>> Thanks a Lot
>> Carl
>> N2MFW
>
>'Solidary'??

550. New Soundcard Packet Tutorial

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-04 22:17:57 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <pkgta.9079$e36.2032270379@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3947

USPN: http://www.uspacket.net is proud to announce a detailed, step-by-step tutorial on soundcard packet radio with Flex32, the Windows version of FlexNet.

The article takes you from start to finish, incluing all steps and information necessary in order to get on the air. It includes sections on the soundcard/radio interface, the soundcard modem software, Flex32, and a simple term program, tnc32 that is used to test your installation on the air.

In the sections that describe software setup, extensive use of screen shots show every step, making it much easier to get everything going right, the first try.

The final section tells where you can find FlexNet support by means of either an E-mail list or an Internet forum. It also has a link to Paxon, an excellent packet terminal that is optimized for use with FlexNet and offers many useful and fun features.

As is mentioned in the tutorial, Flex32 is "the best of the best" for soundcard packet, offering great performance and an easy setup, allowing you to utilize your soundcard and computer as an advanced DSP packet modem/TNC.

FlexNet's sophisticated "artificial intelligence" gives you performance impossible for old-style systems to approach. If you've been looking for something new, something better for packet, It's already waiting for you.

Previously, there was no detailed information on setting up Flex32... That is no longer the case!

If you know someone who wants to get started with soundcard packet but doesn't know where to start, steer them toward this tutorial.

Just go to the web-site listed below, and click the banner to start learning about soundcard packet!

Charles Brabham, N5PVL
webmaster@uspacket.net
USPN: http://www.uspacket.net

USPN Donations policy: The best way to further USPN's purpose is to get out there and enjoy packet radio. So instead of sending us your money, take the cash and buy yourself a new TNC, or maybe help a new Ham get on the air.

Buy a rack of ribs, some beverages and throw a Packet Bash!

Those are just a few ideas, but we at USPN are confident that you will think of something to do with your money.

Charles, N5PVL

551. MFJ-1274 - troubles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-04 23:45:41 UTC
From: David Shalita <davidr@cnmnetwork.com>
Message-ID: <3EB5A625.578D9402@cnmnetwork.com>
Source record: 3948

My MFJ1274 TNC was working on my PC386 but now it obeys CAL and K keyboard commands [DCD and PTT light] when connected to an eTower 500 MHz PC thru COM1 at 9600 baud.
Pushing spacebar will not change tone frequency and "D" won't cause two tones.

Tried several terminal emulation choices and flow control but does not help.

On the PC386 Procomm was the terminal program I used.
On the eTower PC I'm trying to use Hyperterm with WIN98SE.

Has anyone else been able to use Hyperterm with MFJ 1270 or 1274 TNCs? If not Hyperterm, what terminal program works better? What terminal emulation works?

Thank you for any hints.

73, W6MIK, Dave

552. Re: Good data rig?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-05 06:18:32 UTC
From: IanCT <IanCThurston@nospam.hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Ymnta.7365$%_3.5024208@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3949

Wow, talk about overkill for packet. :) Don't worry, I used my FT-100D for packet
as well as voice. :) I do have an FT-1500M as well and I use it for APRS.

Once again, I agree with everyone else, great rig. With 100+ memories, you can store
every packet frequency known to the 2m band! :)

-IanCT/KE6CBL

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme> wrote in message
news:20030419235555.18865.00000240@mb-m03.aol.com...
> I am currently using an FT-100D for packet, but I'd like to get a seperate data
> rig for connecting to the local cluster node. Can anyone recommend a simple
> radio for this use? It doesn't have to have all the "bells and whistles" of a
> modern rig, just needs to be able to tune to 144.930 simplex.
> =-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
> 73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
> Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
> http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
> Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net
>

553. Re: MFJ-1274 - troubles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-05 08:11:09 UTC
From: "J." <me@AvoidSpam.com>
Message-ID: <Xns93722AA44D88Ajacques1magmaca@216.166.71.233>
Source record: 3950

David Shalita <davidr@cnmnetwork.com> wrote in
news:3EB5A625.578D9402@cnmnetwork.com:

> My MFJ1274 TNC was working on my PC386 but now it obeys CAL and K
> keyboard commands [DCD and PTT light] when connected to an eTower 500
> MHz PC thru COM1 at 9600 baud.
> Pushing spacebar will not change tone frequency and "D" won't cause two
> tones.
>
> Tried several terminal emulation choices and flow control but does not
> help.
>
>
> On the PC386 Procomm was the terminal program I used.
> On the eTower PC I'm trying to use Hyperterm with WIN98SE.
>
> Has anyone else been able to use Hyperterm with MFJ 1270 or 1274 TNCs?
> If not Hyperterm, what terminal program works better? What terminal
> emulation works?
>
> Thank you for any hints.
>
> 73, W6MIK, Dave
>

Try looking for XPWare. It's a very good, easy to use all mode TNC program.

Jacques VE3TSC

554. Hamvention Flea Market Space(s) wanted.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-05 12:36:00 UTC
From: laborkei <laborkeiNOSPAM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <MMsta.76073$fM2.75299@fe07.atl2.webusenet.com>
Source record: 3802
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap

Hello,

I am looking for one or two Flea Market Spaces at Hamvention.
If you can't make it this year, please let me know what you want for them.

Thanks,

Keith LaBorde, K4KAL
Please reply to K4KAL at ARRL dot net

555. Re: Good data rig?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-05 13:30:07 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030505093007.18985.00000970@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 3803

>Wow, talk about overkill for packet. :) Don't worry, I used my FT-100D for
>packet
>as well as voice. :) I do have an FT-1500M as well and I use it for APRS.
>
>Once again, I agree with everyone else, great rig. With 100+ memories, you
>can store
>every packet frequency known to the 2m band! :)
>
>-IanCT/KE6CBL

Actually, I don't use the FT-100D only for packet. I mainly use it for 6m/2m/70cm SSB. There's a DX cluster and a local Packet BBS that I like to log onto, so the FT-100D is useful. Since I posted this, I decided to use my VX-5R on packet. Both the DX cluster and the BBS are close enough that I get a good strong signal with the whip antenna on the HT inside my shack. I just ordered the packet cable for it.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net

556. Re: PSK31 software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-05 14:03:34 UTC
From: John <jck54@tpg.com.au>
Message-ID: <3eb706f7@dnews.tpgi.com.au>
Source record: 3807

MixW !!!!!!!!!!!!
"LC3RBT" <lc3rbt@online.sp.no> wrote in message
news:Bxpta.9632$b71.159629@news4.e.nsc.no...
> Sergei Podstrigailo wrote:
>
> > Hello!
> >
> >>
> >> I've just ordered a "Rascal Mark V" and cable; looking
> >> forward to giving PSK31 a try.
> >>
> >> I'll be using an 1800mHz PC with Windows 2000.
> >>
> >> Any recommendations on preferred PSK31 software? TIA!
> >>
> >
> > See TrueTTY from http://www.dxsoft.com
> >
> > ---
> > WBR,
> > Sergei
> I vote for MMTTY instead :-)
>
> T.
> --
> Get rid of .sp when replying :-)

557. Kantronics KPC3 and ICOM with ISO Standard Mini Din Port

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-05 18:09:12 UTC
From: Alberto <iz0fma@NO-SPAMarrl.net>
Message-ID: <4l9dbvo8c3dm30g7o8q2sgjqiu4meqrl8t@4ax.com>
Source record: 3804
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

Hello FM,

I've just starded to introduce myself into the Packet Radio's world.
I've purchased a Kantronics KPC3 (second hand). Got also a piece of
cable with 4 wires, one mindi din male connector and a 9 pin RS232
male connector. Solder & diagrams

TNC RIG (Icom 2725)
9 PIN SERIAL MINI DIN

3 3 Transmit audio (AFSK)
1 1 PTT Push to Talk
5 5 Receive Audio
6 2 Ground.

Done.

As i plug the serial plug into my KPC3, the rig it start transmitting.
Even if the PC & the relative software are powered down.

I mean. I have checked out on few dedicated web sites and all reported
to me the same wiring scheme I have implemented. I have checked the
connection with a tester and nothing is wrong.

Any suggestion ? Have I used the wrong scheme ?

I strongly hope somebody will give me some support..

Alberto

558. Re: Kantronics KPC3 and ICOM with ISO Standard Mini Din Port

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-05 22:26:07 UTC
From: Roger Barker <roger@peaksys.co.uk>
Message-ID: <mTWK6hA$Tut+EwtP@peaksys.co.uk>
Source record: 3806
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

In article <4l9dbvo8c3dm30g7o8q2sgjqiu4meqrl8t@4ax.com>, Alberto
<iz0fma@NO-SPAMarrl.net> writes
>Hello FM,
>
>I've just starded to introduce myself into the Packet Radio's world.
>I've purchased a Kantronics KPC3 (second hand). Got also a piece of
>cable with 4 wires, one mindi din male connector and a 9 pin RS232
>male connector. Solder & diagrams
>
>TNC RIG (Icom 2725)
>9 PIN SERIAL MINI DIN
>
>
>3 3 Transmit audio (AFSK)
>1 1 PTT Push to Talk
>5 5 Receive Audio
>6 2 Ground.

I think your connections for the KPC-3 are wrong - check the manual.

--
Roger Barker, G4IDE - roger@peaksys.co.uk
For UI-View go to - http://www.UI-View.com
For WinPack go to - http://www.peaksys.co.uk

559. Re: Kantronics KPC3 and ICOM with ISO Standard Mini Din Port

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-05 23:07:30 UTC
From: IanCT <IanCThurston@nospam.hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <S8Cta.7516$%_3.5172580@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3805
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

Double check your wiring against the diagram, you might possibly
have put your PTT to ground.

Some Radio to TNC wiring includes the use of capacitors if the
PTT is also wired to the transmit audio (usually the case when
using HT's)

I believe this is the picture that you are referring to the wiring:
http://www.packetradio.com/!TNCables/060KV.gif

Which shows the setup you described you used.

Good luck!
-Ian

"Alberto" <iz0fma@NO-SPAMarrl.net> wrote in message
news:4l9dbvo8c3dm30g7o8q2sgjqiu4meqrl8t@4ax.com...
> Hello FM,
>
> I've just starded to introduce myself into the Packet Radio's world.
> I've purchased a Kantronics KPC3 (second hand). Got also a piece of
> cable with 4 wires, one mindi din male connector and a 9 pin RS232
> male connector. Solder & diagrams
>
> TNC RIG (Icom 2725)
> 9 PIN SERIAL MINI DIN
>
>
> 3 3 Transmit audio (AFSK)
> 1 1 PTT Push to Talk
> 5 5 Receive Audio
> 6 2 Ground.
>
> Done.
>
> As i plug the serial plug into my KPC3, the rig it start transmitting.
> Even if the PC & the relative software are powered down.
>
> I mean. I have checked out on few dedicated web sites and all reported
> to me the same wiring scheme I have implemented. I have checked the
> connection with a tester and nothing is wrong.
>
> Any suggestion ? Have I used the wrong scheme ?
>
> I strongly hope somebody will give me some support..

>
>
>
> Alberto
>

560. Re: PSK31 software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-06 08:46:11 UTC
From: LC3RBT <lc3rbt@online.sp.no>
Message-ID: <Bxpta.9632$b71.159629@news4.e.nsc.no>
Source record: 3801

Sergei Podstrigailo wrote:

> Hello!
>
>>
>> I've just ordered a "Rascal Mark V" and cable; looking
>> forward to giving PSK31 a try.
>>
>> I'll be using an 1800mHz PC with Windows 2000.
>>
>> Any recommendations on preferred PSK31 software? TIA!
>>
>
> See TrueTTY from http://www.dxsoft.com
>
> ---
> WBR,
> Sergei

I vote for MMTTY instead :-)

T.
--
Get rid of .sp when replying :-)

561. Re: Linux + AX25 + Soundmodem driver = confused!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-06 08:53:31 UTC
From: Stuart Blake Tener <stuart@misty.com>
Message-ID: <b97t6o$899$1@news.misty.com>
Source record: 3808
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Bob:

"Bob Nielsen" <nielsen@remove_this.oz.net> wrote in message
news:b8ca91$150$0@216.39.144.81...
> Stuart,
>
> It sounds like you probably have not correctly configured soundmodem.
> See section 6.1.5 of the AX25-HOWTO for details on how to do this.
Several weeks ago I read this message and thought, yeah I did all that! Now I reread the 6.1.5 section of the AX25-HOWTO and noticed a small note way at the bottom of the page stating that you have to not have the Linux sound drivers loaded when you try to use the packet modem! HMM! I am not sure if I "modularized" the sound drivers, and made sure they are not loaded. I am not sure if this will fix my problem, but if so I am going to write a small notation that I will ask to have added to the AX25-HOWTO in BOLD PRINT!

I do know that when I did an "ifconfig -a" the sm0 and such all showed up, but I could not "ifconfig sm0 up" it. I know that if it had "uped" properly, I would see it when I did a plain olde "ifconfig (enter)" and that never happened.

Thanks for the pointer, I will try it again in several minutes to see if this resolves the issue, and I see the sm0 device come up after doing an ifconfig sm0 up on it!

Stuart
N3GWG

>
> Bob
>
> On Fri, 25 Apr 2003 04:51:09 -0700, Stuart Blake Tener wrote:
> >
> > "Bob Nielsen" <nielsen@remove_this.oz.net> wrote in message
> > news:b861sg$o0v$0@216.39.144.81...
> >> On Wed, 23 Apr 2003 03:44:55 -0700, Stuart Blake Tener wrote:
> >> > Linux Packeting Amateurs:
> >> >
> >> > I am running RedHat 9.0, and I have compiled my kernel with
support
> > for
> >> > AX25 built into the kernel (not as a module), when I boot up it is my
> >> > understanding I should see some entries in /proc/sys/net/ax25; I do
not.
> > Any

> >> > ideas?
> >> >
> >> > Stuart
> >> > N3GWG
> >>
> >> Have you defined any interfaces? Do they show up when you run
> >> ifconfig?
> >
> > Bob:
> >
> > Well I did do a modprobe soundmodem if that is what you mean, and when I
did
> > an "ifconfig -a" I saw the interfaces, but I cannot "up" any of them,
and
> > they do not show up when I do an "ifconfig" (absent any parameters).
> >
> > Stuart
> > N3GWG
> >
> >
>
>
> --
> Bob Nielsen, N7XY n7xy@n7xy.net
> Bainbridge Island, WA http://www.n7xy.net
> IOTA NA-065, USI WA-028S

562. Re: Hamvention Flea Market Space(s) wanted.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-06 23:39:31 UTC
From: Hambone the Magnifico <paintcanfullofpcb@amishonline.com>
Message-ID: <3eb847c4@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 3809
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Don't bother. Word is there will be limited bus transport between parking
lots so unless your
ready for a looooooooooooooooong walk
to get to the Arena, it will be a most discouraging
and visitor/patron un-friendly atmosphere as
it was when I went (and will never goto another
Hamvention, ever again, untill they move it to
another site. The Hara site is far-too undersized
and it's nothing short of a major pain-in-the-ass
to ge into, around and out of. It's NO fun at Dayton anymore)
"laborkei" <laborkeiNOSPAM@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:MMsta.76073$fM2.75299@fe07.atl2.webusenet.com...
> Hello,
>
> I am looking for one or two Flea Market Spaces at Hamvention.
> If you can't make it this year, please let me know what you want for them.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Keith LaBorde, K4KAL
> Please reply to K4KAL at ARRL dot net
>
>
>
>
>

563. Packet Digipeater For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-07 03:39:31 UTC
From: "J. F. Samuels" <k2cib@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <Td%ta.17453$kV3.3692124@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 3810

I have three TNCs (2-PacComm Tiny-2s and 1- TNC-200) and the EIA matrix box with cables for sale. The EPROMs are TheNet.
It's all been checked out and works well.

This is the heart of a three frequency digipeater. One TNC was used for forwarding, and two for LANs (on 2 meters and 440 MHz). Just add 12 VDC, three radios and go.

One IC-3-AT with DC module is also available (was used for the forwarding).

TNCs $50 each plus shipping. EIA matrix box $50. IC-3AT $75. Whole package including shipping $225.

John, K2CIB -- k2cib@nospam.arrl.net

564. RadioMirror Tutorials at USPN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-07 20:37:07 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <T7eua.1918$mu1.973489209@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3811

USPN http://www.uspacket.net is proud to announce a new addition to our Tutorials page, covering the excellent RadioMirror multicast packet radio software/protocol authored by John Hansen W2FS.

RadioMirror amateur packet radio multicast software is a powerful tool for distributing information to many hams at once, and can be especially useful during the course of an emergency. At 1200 baud, RadioMirror can move up to 20 MB of data per day! RadioMirror can send any type of computer file, and even entire disk directories along with any subdirectories and all their files, which will appear intact with the same structure on each and every recieving station's hard-drive.

RadioMirror can do this simultaneously for an unlimited number of recieving stations because it is an unconnected protocol, similar in this respect to APRS, and the AMSAT multicast protocol. A non-ham digital serice that operates in a similar fashion is EMWIN, the multicast transmission put out by the National Weather Service.

RadioMirror net-works like this: One transmitting (Server) station's multicast transmissions are received simultaneously by many receiving (Client) stations. Any ham can send information updates to the RadioMirror server's operator, by using voice repeaters and/or packet. The server then distributes the information to all participants in the network, including an unlimited number of stations that do not contribute information to the multicasts, but only receive them. Contrary to practice in traditional point-to-point networking, these receive-only stations are counted as a vital participants in a multicast network.

The kind of information that can be distributed are only limited by our imagination and the FCC PART97 regulations. All kinds of public domain computer files can be distributed by RadioMirror, including graphics and executables. For most purposes though, Text files and HTML documents will probably be the most widely utilized because they carry a lot of information in a small package and can be rapidly updated.

Anyone with a receiver, a computer, and a KISS TNC can pick up the files distributed by RadioMirror, making it very easy and inexpensive for Hams to participate in this type of network!

The natural advantage of RF over wired systems is that you can distribute information to many recipients at one time. Our traditional point-to-point packet radio systems have not taken advantage of the real power of radio, the way RadioMirror's multicast protocol does. Because of this, RadioMirror is at once the most revolutionary and the most efficient digital mode that exists for wide-area, rapid distribution of digital information.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL
webmaster@uspacket.net
http://www.uspacket.net

565. Re: Good data rig?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-08 02:33:10 UTC
From: IanCT <IanCThurston@nospam.hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Gljua.9810$%_3.5704086@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3812

I know, I was only kiddin'. I use my FT-100D for packet with the laptop,
but
I also use if for FM VHF/UHF also. Only used for packet when I want to get
on the air with the keyboard, my APRS is on the FT-1500M as noted below.

Let me know when you get your packet cable for the VX-5R, I have a VX-1R
and am awaiting for the 4 conductor jack to complete my cable.

Also, you said you have a whip antenna on your HT, is that the replacement
for
the rubber duck antenna that it comes with? Where did you get yours?

r/
-IanCT

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme> wrote in message
news:20030505093007.18985.00000970@mb-m01.aol.com...
> >Wow, talk about overkill for packet. :) Don't worry, I used my FT-100D
for
> >packet
> >as well as voice. :) I do have an FT-1500M as well and I use it for
APRS.
> >
> >Once again, I agree with everyone else, great rig. With 100+ memories,
you
> >can store
> >every packet frequency known to the 2m band! :)
> >
> >-IanCT/KE6CBL
>
> Actually, I don't use the FT-100D only for packet. I mainly use it for
> 6m/2m/70cm SSB. There's a DX cluster and a local Packet BBS that I like to
log
> onto, so the FT-100D is useful. Since I posted this, I decided to use my
VX-5R
> on packet. Both the DX cluster and the BBS are close enough that I get a
good
> strong signal with the whip antenna on the HT inside my shack. I just
ordered
> the packet cable for it.
> =-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
> 73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
> Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
> http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
> Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net

566. Re: Good data rig?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-08 03:30:40 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030507233040.18206.00001345@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 3813

>Also, you said you have a whip antenna on your HT, is that the replacement
>for
>the rubber duck antenna that it comes with? Where did you get yours?

I use an MFJ triband whip.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net

567. Re: Hamvention Flea Market Space(s) wanted.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-08 17:48:13 UTC
From: laborkei <laborkeiNOSPAM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <tJwua.62207$N62.2777@fe08.atl2.webusenet.com>
Source record: 3814
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Hambone?,

I had the opportunity to visit Hamvention for a few years now, and anything this large will have it's share of problems.
I left the hotel early, parked right across of Hara in one of the pay lots, and did not have that far to walk.
When I did make a purchase, I flagged down one of the people driving around in those Golf Carts,
and got a ride back to my vehicle.

This year, at the last minute, myself and some friends decided it would be nice to have a Flea Market space.
We have a lot of items on our "Want List", and would welcome to opportunity to sell some items we have to generate funds.
Last year, we noticed there were quite a few open spaces, and with the economy the way it is this year maybe someone out there
wants to sell there space for what they have into it.
So.. I am still looking.. Hamvention has not answered any of my email inquires yet and it has been over a week.

If anyone has an available space, and want to sell it, please send a note to my address below.

k4kal at arrl dot net

Thanks,
Keith

"Hambone the Magnifico" <paintcanfullofpcb@amishonline.com> wrote in message news:3eb847c4@snipnews.snip.net...
> Don't bother. Word is there will be limited bus transport between parking
> lots so unless your
> ready for a looooooooooooooooong walk
> to get to the Arena, it will be a most discouraging
> and visitor/patron un-friendly atmosphere as
> it was when I went (and will never goto another
> Hamvention, ever again, untill they move it to
> another site. The Hara site is far-too undersized
> and it's nothing short of a major pain-in-the-ass
> to ge into, around and out of. It's NO fun at Dayton anymore)
> "laborkei" <laborkeiNOSPAM@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:MMsta.76073$fM2.75299@fe07.atl2.webusenet.com...
> > Hello,
> >
> > I am looking for one or two Flea Market Spaces at Hamvention.

> > If you can't make it this year, please let me know what you want for
them.
> >
> > Thanks,
> >
> > Keith LaBorde, K4KAL
> > Please reply to K4KAL at ARRL dot net
> >

568. Re: Hamvention Flea Market Space(s) wanted.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-09 01:42:54 UTC
From: "J. F. Samuels" <k2cib@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <yIDua.26042$AG6.5953284@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 3815
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I copied this from the "...boatanchor" forum:

I'm not going to be able to go to Dayton this year. I have a great
flea market space, admission ticket, and the VIP Outside Vendor
Parking Pass available.

Please call 843-871-7749 if interested.

Steve Thomason, W4IJ

"laborkei" <laborkeiNOSPAM@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:tJwua.62207$N62.2777@fe08.atl2.webusenet.com...
> Hambone?,
>
> I had the opportunity to visit Hamvention for a few years now, and
anything
> this large will have it's share of problems.
> I left the hotel early, parked right across of Hara in one of the pay
lots,
> and did not have that far to walk.
> When I did make a purchase, I flagged down one of the people driving
around
> in those Golf Carts,
> and got a ride back to my vehicle.
>
> This year, at the last minute, myself and some friends decided it would be
> nice to have a Flea Market space.
> We have a lot of items on our "Want List", and would welcome to
opportunity
> to sell some items we have to generate funds.
> Last year, we noticed there were quite a few open spaces, and with the
> economy the way it is this year maybe someone out there
> wants to sell there space for what they have into it.
> So.. I am still looking.. Hamvention has not answered any of my email
> inquires yet and it has been over a week.
>
> If anyone has an available space, and want to sell it, please send a note
to
> my address below.
>
> k4kal at arrl dot net
>
> Thanks,
> Keith

>
>
> "Hambone the Magnifico" <paintcanfullofpcb@amishonline.com> wrote in
message
> news:3eb847c4@snipnews.snip.net...
> > Don't bother. Word is there will be limited bus transport between
parking
> > lots so unless your
> > ready for a looooooooooooooooong walk
> > to get to the Arena, it will be a most discouraging
> > and visitor/patron un-friendly atmosphere as
> > it was when I went (and will never goto another
> > Hamvention, ever again, untill they move it to
> > another site. The Hara site is far-too undersized
> > and it's nothing short of a major pain-in-the-ass
> > to ge into, around and out of. It's NO fun at Dayton anymore)
> > "laborkei" <laborkeiNOSPAM@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:MMsta.76073$fM2.75299@fe07.atl2.webusenet.com...
> > > Hello,
> > >
> > > I am looking for one or two Flea Market Spaces at Hamvention.
> > > If you can't make it this year, please let me know what you want for
> them.
> > >
> > > Thanks,
> > >
> > > Keith LaBorde, K4KAL
> > > Please reply to K4KAL at ARRL dot net
> > >
>
>
>

569. Bell 202 bit mode for HF remote base?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-09 04:41:43 UTC
From: Rick Karlquist N6RK <richard@karlquist.removethis.com>
Message-ID: <bkGua.262969$Si4.206170@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 3816

I would like to set up an HF remote base as follows. A computer
running rig control software issues CAT commands at 1200 baud
from the RS232 output. This goes to a Bell 202 modem connected
to the microphone input of a UHF transmitter at the control QTH.
At the remote QTH, a UHF receiver has the speaker output connected
to another Bell 202 modem which recovers the data. A 1200/4800
baud rate converter is then used to drive the CAT input of the
HF radio (which only works at 4800 baud). The communication
is one way: commands are send to the HF radio, but nothing is
read back. In principle, this seems like it should work, but I
need to get the modems into "bit mode" so that communication
is one way without an extra stuff thrown in that would confuse
the HF radio. Also, no handshake of modems is possible since
there is no reverse UHF path. Where can I get simple modems
that can operate in this simple mode (as opposed to V.23 or whatever)?
Can I get away with some ultra simple circuit I can homebrew
from Exar 2206/2211 chips? Also, what about baud rate converters?

Rick N6RK
www.n6rk.com

570. MSYS Setup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-09 07:27:21 UTC
From: IanCT <IanCThurston@nospam.hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <tLIua.10833$%_3.5976082@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3817

I'm trying to setup MSYS v1.18 (get it to work before v1.19 install) and here's my problem.

It's up, I can connect locally, people can connect over the air. But, we can't send bulletins.

Here's the routine>

```
Enter command: A,B,C,D....X,Y,?,* >
SB ALL
Enter title if local, CITY STATE & POSTAL CODE if not local:
This is a test
Enter text, end with ^Z or /EX, ^A to abort

This is a test message

/ex
Old bulletin Msg 27 Killed
Enter command: A,B,C,D....X,Y,?,* >
```

We can send private messages, traffic, and replies, but no bulletins.

MaxBullAge is 21, and my MSYS.DO, MSYSHCL.DO, MSYS.OPT files are all pretty basic. I don't get it.

I used to run MSYS a long time ago and I don't remember this problem.

73,
Ian KE6CBL

571. Re: MSYS Setup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-09 11:00:48 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <ATLua.7112$3f7.4935455@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3818

Maybe v1.18 is not Y2K compliant, so it thinks it has bulletins from the early 1900's. Maybe it's wiping out 100 yr old bulletins as fast as you can write them.

Just a thot! But I'm no expert on MSYS... Maybe the upgrade will fix it, I'm thinking.

Charles, N5PVL

"IanCT" <IanCThurston@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message news:tLIua.10833$%_3.5976082@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
> I'm trying to setup MSYS v1.18 (get it to work before v1.19 install) and
> here's my problem.
>
> It's up, I can connect locally, people can connect over the air. But, we
> can't send bulletins.
>
> Here's the routine>
>
> Enter command: A,B,C,D....X,Y,?,* >
> SB ALL
> Enter title if local, CITY STATE & POSTAL CODE if not local:
> This is a test
> Enter text, end with ^Z or /EX, ^A to abort
>
> This is a test message
>
> /ex
> Old bulletin Msg 27 Killed
> Enter command: A,B,C,D....X,Y,?,* >
>
> We can send private messages, traffic, and replies, but no bulletins.
>
> MaxBullAge is 21, and my MSYS.DO, MSYSHCL.DO, MSYS.OPT files are all
pretty
> basic. I don't get it.
>
> I used to run MSYS a long time ago and I don't remember this problem.
>
> 73,
> Ian KE6CBL
>
>
>

572. Re: Bell 202 bit mode for HF remote base?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-09 15:05:29 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <r8gnbv0mt4cr0pijte2mopbktq4ihegc4g@4ax.com>
Source record: 3819

On Fri, 09 May 2003 04:41:43 GMT, "Rick Karlquist N6RK"
<richard@karlquist.removethis.com> wrote:

>Can I get away with some ultra simple circuit I can homebrew
>from Exar 2206/2211 chips? Also, what about baud rate converters?

Yes, if performance isn't an issue, you can go bit banging with the
Exar chips all day long. The problem is just as you suspect, the baud
rate conversion. If you're going to use a bit oriented protocol,
you'll need the baud rate conversion to be bit oriented too --meaning
that something needs to buffer up a complete transmission frame before
resending it at a higher rate. If you don't do this, then extraneous
marks or spaces can be inserted.

By the way, there are much better ways of sending data than using a
Bell 202 modem over a NBFM link. Why not kill two birds with one
stone by using 4800 BPS modulation on a real FSK link?

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

573. Re: MSYS Setup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-09 18:29:45 UTC
From: IanCT <IanCThurston@nospam.hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <tsSua.11553$%_3.6067271@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3820

That's a good idea, I did try the upgrade too and it didn't work.

I'll roll back the date to pre <2000 and see what that does.

Thanks for the suggestion!

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:ATLua.7112$3f7.4935455@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> Maybe v1.18 is not Y2K compliant, so it thinks it has bulletins from the
> early 1900's. Maybe it's wiping out 100 yr old bulletins as fast as you
can
> write them.
>
> Just a thot! But I'm no expert on MSYS... Maybe the upgrade will fix it,
> I'm thinking.
>
> Charles, N5PVL
>
>
> "IanCT" <IanCThurston@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:tLIua.10833$%_3.5976082@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
> > I'm trying to setup MSYS v1.18 (get it to work before v1.19 install) and
> > here's my problem.
> >
> > It's up, I can connect locally, people can connect over the air. But,
we
> > can't send bulletins.
> >
> > Here's the routine>
> >
> > Enter command: A,B,C,D....X,Y,?,* >
> > SB ALL
> > Enter title if local, CITY STATE & POSTAL CODE if not local:
> > This is a test
> > Enter text, end with ^Z or /EX, ^A to abort
> >
> > This is a test message
> >
> > /ex
> > Old bulletin Msg 27 Killed
> > Enter command: A,B,C,D....X,Y,?,* >
> >
> > We can send private messages, traffic, and replies, but no bulletins.
> >
> > MaxBullAge is 21, and my MSYS.DO, MSYSHCL.DO, MSYS.OPT files are all

> pretty
> > basic. I don't get it.
> >
> > I used to run MSYS a long time ago and I don't remember this problem.
> >
> > 73,
> > Ian KE6CBL
> >
> >
> >
>
>

574. Re: MSYS Setup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-09 18:31:18 UTC
From: IanCT <IanCThurston@nospam.hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <WtSua.11554$%_3.6067516@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3821

Still didn't work... :(

"IanCT" <IanCThurston@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:tsSua.11553$%_3.6067271@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
> That's a good idea, I did try the upgrade too and it didn't work.
>
> I'll roll back the date to pre <2000 and see what that does.
>
> Thanks for the suggestion!
>
> "charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> news:ATLua.7112$3f7.4935455@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> > Maybe v1.18 is not Y2K compliant, so it thinks it has bulletins from the
> > early 1900's. Maybe it's wiping out 100 yr old bulletins as fast as you
> can
> > write them.
> >
> > Just a thot! But I'm no expert on MSYS... Maybe the upgrade will fix
it,
> > I'm thinking.
> >
> > Charles, N5PVL
> >
> >
> > "IanCT" <IanCThurston@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:tLIua.10833$%_3.5976082@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
> > > I'm trying to setup MSYS v1.18 (get it to work before v1.19 install)
and
> > > here's my problem.
> > >
> > > It's up, I can connect locally, people can connect over the air. But,
> we
> > > can't send bulletins.
> > >
> > > Here's the routine>
> > >
> > > Enter command: A,B,C,D....X,Y,?,* >
> > > SB ALL
> > > Enter title if local, CITY STATE & POSTAL CODE if not local:
> > > This is a test
> > > Enter text, end with ^Z or /EX, ^A to abort
> > >
> > > This is a test message
> > >

> > > /ex
> > > Old bulletin Msg 27 Killed
> > > Enter command: A,B,C,D....X,Y,?,* >
> > >
> > > We can send private messages, traffic, and replies, but no bulletins.
> > >
> > > MaxBullAge is 21, and my MSYS.DO, MSYSHCL.DO, MSYS.OPT files are all
> > pretty
> > > basic. I don't get it.
> > >
> > > I used to run MSYS a long time ago and I don't remember this problem.
> > >
> > > 73,
> > > Ian KE6CBL
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

575. Re: MSYS Setup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-09 19:28:01 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <5jTua.360$t11.157628453@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3822

"IanCT" <IanCThurston@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:WtSua.11554$%_3.6067516@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
> Still didn't work... :(
>

Problem with me is, I've never operated MSYS so I don't know much about it. There is an MSYS SYSOP who participates in the BBS List at USPN though, who might be able to help you out. If you don't do any good here, you might look over there.

At the USPN web site, push the "Lists" button on the left, then choose the list that interests you there. The BBS list is a good place for SYSOPs, in general.

Charles, N5PVL
webmaster@uspacket.net
http://www.uspacket.net

576. NEWQPSK - Q15x25 mode

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-09 22:07:26 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <yEVua.21$n04.7957647@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3823

Hey do any of you guys operate NEWQPSK/Q15x25 mode?

One reference said the freq for that is 14.109.5 but I haven't seen anybody there. Supposed to use FEC, is a connected mode, and sends/recieves up to fifteen 88 baud PSK streams for a total of 2500 baud. Acts like packet, sounds like psk.

I tried it out on VHF the other day... By using a really long TXDELAY, a local ham and I were able to connect over the local voice repeater!

Anybody on HF with it? When and where?

Charles, N5PVL

577. Re: NEWQPSK - Q15x25 mode

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-09 23:45:24 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <o4Xua.147654$ja4.6896118@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 3824

Here are a couple interesting references:

Q15X25 Webpage Created By Ron KA2HZO02/

Q15X25

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:yEVua.21$n04.7957647@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com...
> Hey do any of you guys operate NEWQPSK/Q15x25 mode?
>
> One reference said the freq for that is 14.109.5 but I haven't seen anybody
> there. Supposed to use FEC, is a connected mode, and sends/recieves up to
> fifteen 88 baud PSK streams for a total of 2500 baud. Acts like packet,
> sounds like psk.
>
> I tried it out on VHF the other day... By using a really long TXDELAY, a
> local ham and I were able to connect over the local voice repeater!
>
> Anybody on HF with it? When and where?
>
> Charles, N5PVL
>
>
>

578. Re: NEWQPSK - Q15x25 mode

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-10 02:23:46 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <SoZua.77366$cO3.5048018@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 3825

Oh barf ... stupid links did not copy correctly.
Oh well ... Google finds a lot of good information.

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

"Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
news:o4Xua.147654$ja4.6896118@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Here are a couple interesting references:
>
> Q15X25 Webpage Created By Ron KA2HZO02/
>
> Q15X25
>
> --
>
> ... Hank
>
> Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
>
> "charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> news:yEVua.21$n04.7957647@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com...
> > Hey do any of you guys operate NEWQPSK/Q15x25 mode?
> >
> > One reference said the freq for that is 14.109.5 but I haven't seen
anybody
> > there. Supposed to use FEC, is a connected mode, and sends/recieves up to
> > fifteen 88 baud PSK streams for a total of 2500 baud. Acts like packet,
> > sounds like psk.
> >
> > I tried it out on VHF the other day... By using a really long TXDELAY, a
> > local ham and I were able to connect over the local voice repeater!
> >
> > Anybody on HF with it? When and where?
> >
> > Charles, N5PVL
> >
> >
> >
>

>

579. Re: NEWQPSK - Q15x25 mode

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-10 12:39:18 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <Wp6va.7920$3f7.5317757@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3826

"Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
news:SoZua.77366$cO3.5048018@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Oh barf ... stupid links did not copy correctly.
> Oh well ... Google finds a lot of good information.
>

RGR, I had been using "DogPile" but it only gave me info on a few Linux drivers, and nothing really informative about Newqpsk / Q15x25 mode as far as operating procedure goes. I hardly ever remember to try "Google", guess I ought to more often.

I've noticed that all of the best soundcard packet drivers seem to come from the same guy, Thomas Sailer, HB9JNX/AE4WA.

You'd think a guy who could do all of that would be your typical pencil-necked geek, but no, not this one... I was at his web site a while back, and the women in the family here wanted to know who the handsome guy on the screen was. I think my XYL developed a crush over the dude.

My wife just now looked over my shoulder at this post and remarked that yes it's true... HB9JNX doesn't really look like your typical packet radio geek. I resisted the temptation to look in the mirror and remind myself what a typical packet radio geek looks like... "It ain't purdy", as we would say here in Texas!

Moving right along and veering back towards the topic, I was able to use Flex32 with Thomas Sailer's "soundmodem" driver to set up and operate a Packet/Newqpsk gateway yesterday for a few hours.

Newqpsk is the old name for Q15x25... The soundmoden driver has been around longer than the new Q15x25 dll package for MixW, which explains a lot of things besides the old name. The MixW version, for example, has more flavors of FEC available in its options, being a more recent release. Also, most of the default settings on the two packages are different. - You would have to play around with them, to get them to talk to each other.

Ther FlexNet group recently came out with a new version of Flex32 (the "Windows" version of FlexNet) that allows you to come in on one port, and digi out through another port of your choice by specifying an SSID. You can even come out on the same port, if you specify that port's SSID. Since I run a packet node, I didn't see much use for a simple digi like that and kind of ignored it.

Then a few weeks back while writing up a tutorial on soundcard packet with Flex32, I happened to notice the "Newqpsk" option among the soundmodem's built-in drivers, along with several flavors of FSK and AFSK packet at various baud rates. After I finished the tutorial on soundcard packet, I

went back to check out Newqpsk.

After tinkering with Newqpsk for a while, I remembered the simple digi function in Flex32 that I hadn't been all that excited about, and a few minutes later I had a VHF Packet/ HF Newqpsk gateway running.

As an "acid test", I wrote up a connect script so the Packet BBS here could try to go out through the Flex32 digi and make a connect attempt on HF, in Newqpsk mode. It worked, except of course there was nobody there to connect to.

Admittedly, the Newqpsk driver in the Flex32 soundmodem driver is not the latest version of this evolving mode, but still it looks pretty useful due to its ability to gateway to Packet.

I've never heard of this being done before, but there's lots of things I've never heard about. Is anybody else playing with this "gateway" function?

Charles, N5PVL

580. cisco router to dispose

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-11 05:42:13 UTC
From: gervais <gfillio1@globetrotter.net>
Message-ID: <Volva.8435$nA6.630872@charlie.risq.qc.ca>
Source record: 3827

hi all,
i have a cisco router
serie 802 isdn in the box,never serve

let me know if interested

ve2ckn
ve2ckn@hotmail.com

looking for a trade for a 2 bands radio

581. Re: NEWQPSK - Q15x25 mode

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-11 13:30:53 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <hgsva.8726$3f7.5666731@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3828

Newqpsk/Q15x25 has to be operated in "attended mode", due to it's bandwidth.

Charles, N5PVL

582. HookUp Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-11 18:46:05 UTC
From: Gary Boyer <gboyer@columbus.rr.com>
Message-ID: <NTwva.18285$BA.9489644@twister.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 3829

I am in process of hooking up a rigblaster pro to the sound system on my computer which is a soundblaster 5.1 (5 mini desktop speakers) I can not figure out how to connect the quipmen I just mentioned so you can hear the speakers....I had the instructions at one time from another ham..but I must have accidentally thrown them away please advise.....tnx..73..Gary..K8BY

583. Re: Bell 202 bit mode for HF remote base?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-12 03:48:48 UTC
From: Rick Karlquist N6RK <richard@karlquist.removethis.com>
Message-ID: <AQEva.293662$Si4.246731@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 3830

I don['t want to require modified radios.

Rick N6RK

"Jake Brodsky" <frussle@erols.com> wrote in message
news:r8gnbv0mt4cr0pijte2mopbktq4ihegc4g@4ax.com...
> By the way, there are much better ways of sending data than using a
> Bell 202 modem over a NBFM link. Why not kill two birds with one
> stone by using 4800 BPS modulation on a real FSK link?

584. Re: Bell 202 bit mode for HF remote base?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-12 04:21:15 UTC
From: Jim Hale <jhale240@cox.net>
Message-ID: <%iFva.56377$g41.5421937@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 3831

Most Yaesu's today have a data jack and FM modulators.

Resist the temptation to use junk. Go ahead a find the right
radio first.

"Rick Karlquist N6RK" <richard@karlquist.removethis.com> wrote
>
> I don['t want to require modified radios.
>
> Rick N6RK
>
> "Jake Brodsky" <frussle@erols.com> wrote
>
> > By the way, there are much better ways of sending data than using a
> > Bell 202 modem over a NBFM link. Why not kill two birds with one
> > stone by using 4800 BPS modulation on a real FSK link?

585. Re: Bell 202 bit mode for HF remote base?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-12 04:40:55 UTC
From: Dana Myers K6JQ <k6jq@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <6db8d50835a0983dacba070e4c4aa520@TeraNews>
Source record: 3832

Jim Hale wrote:
> Most Yaesu's today have a data jack and FM modulators.
>
> Resist the temptation to use junk. Go ahead a find the right
> radio first.

What kind of tx/rx and rx/tx turn-around times do they have?
Do they modulate both the VCO and the PLL reference?

Dana K6JQ

586. Re: NEWQPSK - Q15x25 mode

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-12 15:07:37 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <ZMOva.1247$Dg5.234124311@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3833

I got to try out Newqpsk on 20 meters yesterday evening, by a pre-arrainged schedule with Mike, KC5MAI.

I'll start off by mentioning that Newqpsk is not a weak-signal mode like PSK. In that respect, it seems slightly better than 300 bd packet, but not by far. For good performance, you need a good clean bit of spectrum.

Band conditions were pretty bad last night, but there were other digital sigs out there, so it wasn't THAT bad.

Flex32 has no tuning indicator, and tuning Newqpsk with no indicator is just like HF Packet - A real challenge! We had agreed on a freq though, and were able to hear each other and diddle the tuning until the two stations achieved a connect.

It operates exactly like HF Packet. If something makes it to the other station at all, it makes it in 100% condition. No dropped characters or garbage characters. On the monitor screen, you see what looks like regular old AX25 packets. - Sure sounds different though!

Both stations ran Paxon as the terminal program for Flex32 with the soundmodem driver. My TS-450sat talked to Mike's TS-2000. My HF vertical talked to his inverted-V over a short-range, groundwave contact, so cross-polarization came into play, attenuating our signals.

Our link was not good, as the band was noisy, but still I managed to transfer a 5k text file, and we QSO'd for 30-45 minutes. Overall throughput for the contact was about what I would have expected out of HF Packet, except for a few occasions when the band momentarily cleared up. On those occasions, Newqpsk was transferring 256 character packets over fifteen 88.33 baud psk streams at an effective 2500 baud, and the throughput during those brief periods was impressive, giving me a glimpse of Newqpsk's potential.

As I noted in an earlier post, Newqpsk is a wide mode, too wide to be legal for unattended operation on HF. For "attended" operation though, it is as legal as church on Sunday.

I found out that the Flex32 "Newqpsk" and MixW "Q15x25" are identical. The big difference between the two is that MixW gives you a great waterfall display for tuning, and Flex32 lets you gateway Newqpsk to Packet, opening up all of those packet applications for use with this new wide mode.

Newqpsk works great on VHF too, by the way, faster than 1200 baud packet at no additional expense. If you are set up with the FlexNet soundmodem for 1200 baud packet, running Newqpsk is just a matter of setting up the software for that mode in the soundmodemconfig program. If you prefer MixW, you just have to download and install the Q15x25 dll package from the MixW

web site.

Charles, N5PVL

587. Re: AEA PK-88

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-13 10:16:52 UTC
From: Peter Martinsen <oz1pmx@thorsager.dk>
Message-ID: <3ec0c613$0$32481$edfadb0f@dread16.news.tele.dk>
Source record: 3834

Pc Pakratt for Windows 2.1 !

"Jeff T. Newsome Jr" <jeffn484@rivnet.net> wrote in message
news:3e628b59_6@corp.newsgroups.com...
> I need software that will run this tnc on windows me does anyone know of
> anything out there?
> Jeff KD4QIT
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

588. PSK on 30 & 17

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-13 11:27:52 UTC
From: kuzen001@duke.edu
Message-ID: <b9qkro$7fn$1@gargoyle.oit.duke.edu>
Source record: 3835

In the couple of weeks that I've been playing with PSK31
on HF, I've found activity on 20 and when they're open, on
15 and 10 meters.

So far I haven't heard any PSK31 on 30 meters or on 17m.
Are any of you doing PSK there? Are there standard PSK
frequencies (like 14.07015 / 21070.15) I haven't found?
TIA!

____
Ken Kuzenski AC4RD kuzen001 at acpub .duke .edu
____
All disclaimers apply, see? www.duke.edu/~kuzen001

589. Re: Calling Freqs (Was PSK on 30 & 17)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-13 18:17:32 UTC
From: AMHAM <amham@connectnet.net>
Message-ID: <0Fawa.7670$Pc5.5839@fed1read01>
Source record: 3837

Here is a compilation of calling frequencies including PSK31 -- see URL:
http://ac6v.com/callfreq.htm

Sez for 30 and 17M
18100.150 PSK31
10142.150 PSK31

<kuzen001@duke.edu> wrote in message
news:b9qkro$7fn$1@gargoyle.oit.duke.edu...
>
> In the couple of weeks that I've been playing with PSK31
> on HF, I've found activity on 20 and when they're open, on
> 15 and 10 meters.
>
> So far I haven't heard any PSK31 on 30 meters or on 17m.
> Are any of you doing PSK there? Are there standard PSK
> frequencies (like 14.07015 / 21070.15) I haven't found?
> TIA!
>
>
> ____
> Ken Kuzenski AC4RD kuzen001 at acpub .duke .edu
> ____
> All disclaimers apply, see? www.duke.edu/~kuzen001

590. Kam Eprom Chips for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-13 18:53:17 UTC
From: kbtgw <kbtgw@ws.quik.com>
Message-ID: <wabwa.1078$54.6895@newsfeed.intelenet.net>
Source record: 3838

```
Hi, name here is Les and I have excess Kantronics Eprom Chips for sale:
For Kam + I have the 8.2 version for $32 shipped;
for KPC 3 I have the 5.1 version for $22 shipped.
73 de Les, K2TGW K2TGW@arrl.net
```

591. Re: PSK on 30 & 17

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-13 19:07:39 UTC
From: "Larry D. Clark" <buddycl@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <%nbwa.210$sh5.253087@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3836

try 10142.150 & 18100.150

larry
kd5foy

<kuzen001@duke.edu> wrote in message
news:b9qkro$7fn$1@gargoyle.oit.duke.edu...
>
> In the couple of weeks that I've been playing with PSK31
> on HF, I've found activity on 20 and when they're open, on
> 15 and 10 meters.
>
> So far I haven't heard any PSK31 on 30 meters or on 17m.
> Are any of you doing PSK there? Are there standard PSK
> frequencies (like 14.07015 / 21070.15) I haven't found?
> TIA!
>
>
> ____
> Ken Kuzenski AC4RD kuzen001 at acpub .duke .edu
> ____
> All disclaimers apply, see? www.duke.edu/~kuzen001

592. Re: KAM question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-13 21:26:25 UTC
From: RadioWhiz <k2cib@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1052860919.59674@newshost01.voicenet.com>
Source record: 3839

The Windows 95 "Terminal" program works just fine with the KAM and gives you
a bunch of programmable buttons for, "goto xmit", "immediate RX", "CQ", etc.
The newer "Hyperterminal" works OK too, but is harder to set up. It handles
COM5 through COM7 too.
I run the KAM in terminal mode from within my logging program, DX4WIN, which
has a simple communications program built-in.
I use the KAM to transmit in FSK mode, and I use MMTTY for receive! It does
a slightly better job most of the time, and has a better tuning indicator.

John, K2CIB

"fch" <na@hle.com> wrote in message news:3E47D92E.FD793AA8@hle.com...
> Is a computer needed with a KAM Plus unit or just dumb
> terminal.
> Very new to this of course.
> With a KAM, can you not have to use a sound card at all.
>
> How does one normally tune in, for example, a PACTOR
> signal?
>

593. Re: AEA PK-88

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-13 21:36:46 UTC
From: Rick Ruhl <ricker@cssincorp.com>
Message-ID: <vc2pbgiekne4ee@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 3840

PC Pakratt was discontinued and is no longer for sale.

Please check out Pkterm at http://www.cssincorp.com/pkterm. True 32 bit
program that will run your PK-88 just fine.

"Peter Martinsen" <oz1pmx@thorsager.dk> wrote in message
news:3ec0c613$0$32481$edfadb0f@dread16.news.tele.dk...
> Pc Pakratt for Windows 2.1 !
>
> "Jeff T. Newsome Jr" <jeffn484@rivnet.net> wrote in message
> news:3e628b59_6@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > I need software that will run this tnc on windows me does anyone know of
> > anything out there?
> > Jeff KD4QIT
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
>
>

594. RadioMirror Tutorials at USPN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-14 02:02:52 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <gthwa.870$yl2.284030992@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3841

USPN http://www.uspacket.net is proud to announce a new Tutorial on RadioMirror, the excellent multicast packet software and protocol authored by John Hansen, W2FS.

You are taken step-by-step through the entire process, with screen-shots of the software setup.

RadioMirror amateur packet radio multicast software is a powerful tool for distributing information to many hams at once, and can be especially useful during the course of an emergency. At 1200 baud, RadioMirror can move up to 20 MB of data per day, and can operate at higher baud rates for more throughput! It is much easier and cheaper to receive high-speed packet than it is to transmit it. RadioMirror can send any type of computer file, and even entire disk directories along with any subdirectories and all their files, which will appear intact with the same structure on each and every recieving station's hard-drive.

Partially recieved files are saved, and the gaps are automatically filled in by the software when it receives later multicasts. The server sends its data over and over in a constant cycle, automatically updating the client stations' receive directories as the server's transmitted files are updated or changed.

RadioMirror can do this simultaneously for an unlimited number of recieving stations because it is an unconnected protocol, similar in this respect to APRS, and the AMSAT multicast protocol. A non-ham digital serice that operates in a similar fashion is EMWIN, the multicast transmission put out by the National Weather Service.

An article outlining one way to utilize RadioMirror for emergency digital communications can be found at http://www.uspacket.net/l_ecom01.htm

A RadioMirror net-works like this: One transmitting (Server) station's multicast transmissions are received simultaneously by many receiving (Client) stations. Any number of sources can send information updates to the RadioMirror server's operator, by using voice repeaters and/or packet. The server then distributes the information to all participants in the network, including an unlimited number of stations that do not contribute information to the multicasts, but only receive them. Contrary to practice in traditional point-to-point networking, these receive-only stations are counted as a vital participants in a multicast network.

In a traditional point-to-point type packet network, the appearance of more than two stations on the frequency at once will rapidly bring on an overall loss of efficiency, because each station must transmit and so competition for air-time arises, along with collisions, etc.... After a certain number

of stations attempt to participate on one freq at the same time, point-to-point packet becomes pointless!

By way of contrast, with a multicast network there is only one transmitting (server) station transferring data to an unlimited number of receiving (client) stations. There is no competition for air-time, since the Multicast Server transmits 1kb packets with almost no "down time" between packets. The data stream is almost continuous. Because of this, the more (client, receive-only) participants there are on the freq at one time, the more efficient the multicast network becomes. - The more the merrier!

The kind of information that can be distributed are only limited by our imagination and the FCC PART97 regulations. All kinds of public domain computer files can be distributed by RadioMirror, including graphics and executables. For most purposes though, Text files and HTML documents will probably be the most widely utilized because they carry a lot of information in a small package and can be rapidly updated.

Anyone with a receiver, a computer, and a KISS TNC can pick up the files distributed by RadioMirror, making it very easy and inexpensive for Hams to participate in this type of network!

RadioMirror Tutorials:

Tutorials for RadioMirror Server and Client stations, can be found at USPN, on the "Tutorial" page.

The natural advantage of RF over wired systems is that you can distribute information to an unlimited number of recipients at one time. Our traditional point-to-point packet radio systems have not taken advantage of this unique and powerful capability, the way RadioMirror's multicast protocol does. Because of this, RadioMirror is at once the most revolutionary and the most efficient digital mode that exists for wide-area, rapid distribution of digital information.

RadioMirrors multicast protocol can never replace traditional point-to-point networking, because there are many things that only point-to-point networking can do. - But what RadioMirror networks *can* do greatly enhance any packet net's capability, and reduce the load of point-to-point networks, increasing their efficiency.

If you would like to build an advanced ham radio information system for your area, RadioMirror is a good system to use in addition to traditional voice and packet, taking the power of Amateur Radio to a new level.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

webmaster@uspacket.net
http://www.uspacket.net

595. NxtWave 2000 VSB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-15 05:58:41 UTC
From: bobgrant@fastmail.fm
Message-ID: <da725e49.0305142158.5df8075c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3842

Hi,

Does anyone have a pdf datasheet for the NxtWave 2000 VSB
demodulator they could send me.

Thanks
Bob

596. Seeking Hoka Code 3 Gold

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-16 19:04:18 UTC
From: esp4@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <2340d7c9.0305161104.23d5da9f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3843

If anyone wanting to unload a Hoka Code 3 Gold (full version),
please drop me a line. C3GPro is an alternative, or Code 30 if at a
low price :)

I've been using RadioRaft 3.21 and would like to try the Hoka, but the
wallet isn't deep enough to buy it new. Anyone out there worn out
from listening to all those dits and dahs?

Located in Northern VA, USA.

Thanks all,

Ed P.

597. Hamvention 2003 Pictures from K4KAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-18 20:59:51 UTC
From: laborkei <laborkeiNOSPAM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ZnSxa.15022$vo6.14041@fe05.atl2.webusenet.com>
Source record: 3844
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap

If you didn't make Dayton Hamvention this year, here is some of the action.
Please be warned these pictures are large, and if you are on a dialup
connection it may take a while.

http://www.qsl.net/wd5bjq/hamvent03.htm

73's,

Keith, K4KAL

598. Re: Would Someone Please ~KILL~ The Person Who Invented WebTV? __ zOULCQzT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-18 21:21:16 UTC
From: Alan Young <aayoung@sonic.net>
Message-ID: <180520031421255102%aayoung@sonic.net>
Source record: 3845
Cross-posted to: rec.arts.prose,rec.music.makers.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

In article <81EE9506.0C08AF30@peggytos.mi.us>, <
AL-Zawahiri@peggytos.mi.us> wrote:

> Second only to SPAM, WebTV is the worst thing to ever happen to Usenet!!!

Okay, if you want the death penalty for the *second* worst thing, what
punishment do we give you for spamming?

599. Cheap Baycom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-18 23:54:34 UTC
From: Andrewjj20 <andrewjj20@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <_2Vxa.5724$s14.2270704@news0.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 3846

looking at a baycom packet modem, must be pre assembled

VA7JAJ

600. Re: Cheap Baycom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-19 00:45:13 UTC
From: Terry Bendell <ve3tkb@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <BAEDA159.3394%ve3tkb@rogers.com>
Source record: 3847

On 5/18/03 19:54, in article _2Vxa.5724$s14.2270704@news0.telusplanet.net,
"Andrewjj20" <andrewjj20@yahoo.com> wrote:

> looking at a baycom packet modem, must be pre assembled
>
> VA7JAJ
>
>
I find them to be somewhat unreliable, my friends call the BayCrap Modems.

Suggest you try a standlaone TNC or Soundcard modem.

73
Terry

601. Packet activity in Los Angeles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-19 13:23:20 UTC
From: David Shalita <davidr@cnmnetwork.com>
Message-ID: <3EC8DAC8.C64E1B17@cnmnetwork.com>
Source record: 3848

Hi,

I'm trying to make operational my old Packet Radio
setup on a PC. Was running TCPIP/AX25 on 439.025 MHZ but do not hear
any Packet on this frequency. Not sure if my radio is deaf or what.

On what 440, 2M, and HF frequencies are packet active in Los Angeles
and San Fernando Valley?

There was a voice Packet Radio Net on 2M where
Packet issues were discussed? Does this still exist?
What time and frequency?

Many thanks, 73, W6MIK, Dave

602. Digital modes for Field Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-19 17:27:08 UTC
From: Apogee <nospam@iowatelecom.not>
Message-ID: <bab41okuc2@ins22.netins.net>
Source record: 3849

Whats everybody planning for field day? I'm trying to decide if it will be time well spent setting up for some digital modes?

603. Re: Packet activity in Los Angeles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-19 19:03:36 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.55.0305191851050.2020@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 3850

On Mon, 19 May 2003, David Shalita wrote:
> I'm trying to make operational my old Packet Radio
> setup on a PC. Was running TCPIP/AX25 on 439.025 MHZ but do not hear
> any Packet on this frequency. Not sure if my radio is deaf or what.
>
> On what 440, 2M, and HF frequencies are packet active in Los Angeles
> and San Fernando Valley?
>
> There was a voice Packet Radio Net on 2M where
> Packet issues were discussed? Does this still exist?
> What time and frequency?
>
> Many thanks, 73, W6MIK, Dave

There are only two frequencies which are TCP/IP specific in Los Angeles:
144.930MHz and 223.660MHz
(I have such ports on my box in the LA basin - with the 2m port currently down
for repairs - but I haven't heard anything on the 125cm port for quite a while.
I cannot hear the San Fernando Valley stations in general.)

There are some frequencies which are shared with other modes for which TCP/IP
is apparently used (or at least some TCP/IP hosts have used):
439.025MHz (9600 baud) and 441.500MHz (AX.25 mail interchange)

Los Angeles recently went through a housecleaning cycle. Your old ampr.org IP
address was probably expired due to inactivity and in favor of a new geographic
and frequency based assignment that went into effect this year. This was also
in part necessary because there were IP address assignments made back when the
area was allocated 44.16/16, but about 3 years ago, the allowed assignment
range was tightened to 44.16.0/18 and the stations outside of the reduced range
failed to move.

The Packet Radio net on 145.300- (LA County Sheriff's repeater) on Mondays at
8pm ended officially in October 2000 due to a lack of activity.

The local bandplans listed at http://www.qsl.net/scdcc are still in effect.
Note however that the TCP/IP numbering plan for the Los Angeles area that was
proposed is NOT the one that went into effect. There are no local plans for
packet use of HF - the national plan is followed below 30 MHz.

Most of the digital activity has left the air or has been converted to APRS (on
144.390MHz). There are a few die-hards out there still.

604. Re: Cheap Baycom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-20 01:21:29 UTC
From: DOMtheDUDE <tacticalresponsegroup@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <bac01pqoa1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 3851

Well ive used one for years until recently and mine has been a great little worker, never had a single problem with it.

Dom (M0ASR / 2E0CIA).

"Terry Bendell" <ve3tkb@rogers.com> wrote in message news:BAEDA159.3394%ve3tkb@rogers.com...
> On 5/18/03 19:54, in article _2Vxa.5724$s14.2270704@news0.telusplanet.net,
> "Andrewjj20" <andrewjj20@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > looking at a baycom packet modem, must be pre assembled
> >
> > VA7JAJ
> >
> >
> I find them to be somewhat unreliable, my friends call the BayCrap Modems.
>
> Suggest you try a standlaone TNC or Soundcard modem.
>
> 73
> Terry
>

605. Re: Packet activity in Los Angeles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-20 01:26:26 UTC
From: David Shalita <davidr@cnmnetwork.com>
Message-ID: <3EC98442.53E6C5EE@cnmnetwork.com>
Source record: 3852

Hi,

Many thanks for the very informative update on Packet
and changes in Los Angeles PAcket activity.

Much to absorb in your mailnote. Had no idea my IP address might expire.

I will see what APRS offers on 144.39.

73, W6MIK, Dave

"D. Stussy" wrote:
>
> On Mon, 19 May 2003, David Shalita wrote:
> > I'm trying to make operational my old Packet Radio
> > setup on a PC. Was running TCPIP/AX25 on 439.025 MHZ but do not hear
> > any Packet on this frequency. Not sure if my radio is deaf or what.

> Most of the digital activity has left the air or has been converted to APRS (on
> 144.390MHz). There are a few die-hards out there still.

OK.

606. WTB: Alinco DR135T/TP or /TGP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-20 02:59:33 UTC
From: laborkei <laborkeiNOSPAM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <zIgya.1201$jH2.93@fe09.atl2.webusenet.com>
Source record: 3853
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap

I am interested in trying APRS Tracking.
If you have a Alinco DR135T/TP or /TPG you would be interested in selling
please email me at K4KAL at ARRL dot NET with price.

Thanks,
Keith, K4KAL

607. Re: Packet activity in Los Angeles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-21 01:05:23 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.55.0305210104570.2203@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 3854

On Mon, 19 May 2003, David Shalita wrote:
> Many thanks for the very informative update on Packet
> and changes in Los Angeles PAcket activity.
>
> Much to absorb in your mailnote. Had no idea my IP address might expire.

They were expired because there were about 600 of them issued yet less than 10 of them could be identified as actually in use (in addition to the other reasons previously mentioned).

> I will see what APRS offers on 144.39.

608. Re: Packet activity in Los Angeles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-21 03:33:39 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <nsCya.2872$ZI1.1086998695@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3855

"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:Pine.LNX.4.55.0305210104570.2203@kd6lvw.ampr.org...
> >
> > Much to absorb in your mailnote. Had no idea my IP address might expire.
>
> They were expired because there were about 600 of them issued yet less
than 10
> of them could be identified as actually in use (in addition to the other
> reasons previously mentioned).

I'm shocked! Who would have guessed?

Charles, N5PVL

609. Re: Packet activity in Los Angeles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-21 05:13:46 UTC
From: Jim Hale <jhale240@cox.net>
Message-ID: <eWDya.48156$823.47571@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 3856

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote
> "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote...
> > >
> > > Had no idea my IP address might expire.

They're not like social security numbers.

> > They were expired because there were about 600 of them issued yet less
> > than 10 of them could be identified as actually in use (in addition to the other
> > reasons previously mentioned).
>
> I'm shocked! Who would have guessed?

ampr.org is a complete solution to a non-problem.

610. Re: Packet activity in Los Angeles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-21 06:27:04 UTC
From: Dana Myers K6JQ <k6jq@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <e0baac0a5fe7bad5f8b72d7fec869e1b@TeraNews>
Source record: 3857

Jim Hale wrote:
> "charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote
>
>>"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote...
>>
>>>>Had no idea my IP address might expire.
>
>
> They're not like social security numbers.
>
>
>>>They were expired because there were about 600 of them issued yet less
>>>than 10 of them could be identified as actually in use (in addition to the other
>>>reasons previously mentioned).
>>
>>I'm shocked! Who would have guessed?
>
>
> ampr.org is a complete solution to a non-problem.

Gentlemen! Remember about living in glass houses.

Dana

611. Re: Packet activity in Los Angeles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-21 11:23:08 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <wkJya.2894$xJ5.1135854695@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3858

"Dana Myers K6JQ" <k6jq@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:e0baac0a5fe7bad5f8b72d7fec869e1b@TeraNews...
>
> Gentlemen!

Where?

Charles, N5PVL

612. Re: Packet activity in Los Angeles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-21 11:56:20 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <EPJya.2896$he6.1137966191@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3859

"Jim Hale" <jhale240@cox.net> wrote in message
news:eWDya.48156$823.47571@news1.east.cox.net...
>
> > > They were expired because there were about 600 of them issued yet less
> > > than 10 of them could be identified as actually in use (in addition to
the other
> > > reasons previously mentioned).
> >
> > I'm shocked! Who would have guessed?
>
> ampr.org is a complete solution to a non-problem.

The problem I have with ampr.org is Internet related. I operate a number of E-mail reflectors and have learned to distrust ampr.org addresses.

When I get a lot of bounced messages, more often than not the culprit will be an ampr.org address that has flaked out. They are amazingly unreliable; the worst of the worst, even out-doing "Hotmail" as a source of bounced messages on the E-mail reflectors that I have administered over the years.

In this day and age, when competent Internet access is so cheap asnd easy to obtain, there is no excuse for having to settle for an ampr.org address for online activities.

If the ten (or less) hams in L.A. who still bother with ampr.org want to use that stuff on the air that's just fine, but I sure wish they would keep it off of the Internet!

A few years back, the problem was so bad that I considered just banning all ampr.org addresses from the lists I administered at that time. I am glad now that I did not, as I have noticed since then that the ampr.org addresses are becoming increasingly rare.

The problem appears to be taking care of itself.

Charles, N5PVL

613. Re: Packet activity in Los Angeles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-21 22:03:10 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.55.0305212153470.2605@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 3860

On Wed, 21 May 2003, charlesb wrote:
> "Jim Hale" <jhale240@cox.net> wrote:
> > > > They were expired because there were about 600 of them issued yet less
> > > > than 10 of them could be identified as actually in use (in addition to
> the other
> > > > reasons previously mentioned).
> > >
> > > I'm shocked! Who would have guessed?
> >
> > ampr.org is a complete solution to a non-problem.
>
> The problem I have with ampr.org is Internet related. I operate a number of
> E-mail reflectors and have learned to distrust ampr.org addresses.
>
> When I get a lot of bounced messages, more often than not the culprit will
> be an ampr.org address that has flaked out. They are amazingly unreliable;
> the worst of the worst, even out-doing "Hotmail" as a source of bounced
> messages on the E-mail reflectors that I have administered over the years.

That wasn't an ampr.org problem. That was a problem of having too many host
administrators not knowing how to properly set up their hosts to either have
24/7 connectivity and/or a mail relay (cf. MX-RR in DNS) to handle their mail
when their hosts are not connected to the Internet at large, either directly or
indirectly. These issues could exist in any domain. Granted, they were more
prevalent in ampr.org because of its anarchistic lack of rules (e.g.
"connectivity is the problem of each host administrator to solve on his own").
The real problem was that any attempt to impose a network order was always
fought by someone - yet a network cannot function without some order to it.

> In this day and age, when competent Internet access is so cheap asnd easy to
> obtain, there is no excuse for having to settle for an ampr.org address for
> online activities.

Since when has an ampr.org IP address ever been "a last resort?"

> If the ten (or less) hams in L.A. who still bother with ampr.org want to use
> that stuff on the air that's just fine, but I sure wish they would keep it
> off of the Internet!

See the above. If properly administered, there would be no such problem, so
there's no need for your wish.

> A few years back, the problem was so bad that I considered just banning all
> ampr.org addresses from the lists I administered at that time. I am glad now
> that I did not, as I have noticed since then that the ampr.org addresses are
> becoming increasingly rare.

>
> The problem appears to be taking care of itself.

That's because the only ones remaining are those die-hards who actually KNOW about networking and do things correctly. All the idiots have been kicked out in this area, and are being kicked out in other areas.

614. Re: Packet activity in Los Angeles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-21 23:20:25 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <ZQTya.410$pi7.130895995@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3861

"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:Pine.LNX.4.55.0305212153470.2605@kd6lvw.ampr.org...
>
> That wasn't an ampr.org problem. That was a problem of having too many
host
> administrators not knowing how to properly set up their hosts

... which is an ampr.org problem.

I didn't really comment on the "why" of it all, I was just pointing out the
end result.

These folks made a career out of making Hams look dorky and flakey to people
who provide services on the Internet. I for one am glad to see that most of
them are gone, perhaps to move on to something that will reflect better on
the hobby.

Charles, N5PVL

615. Re: Packet activity in Los Angeles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-22 00:24:11 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <LMUya.125$xL6.42108019@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3862

AMSAT limited their AMSAT BBS's to a manageable number, each station intended to cover a large geographical area. I was going to cough up the bucks to set up as an AMSAT BBS once, and was told I was too close to an AMSAT BBS in west Texas, 450 miles away.

If they had something like that with Internet/Packet gateways, things would have worked out quite differently. They would have been fewer, but also better.

Charles, N5PVL

616. Re: Packet activity in Los Angeles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-22 08:05:12 UTC
From: Jim Hale <jhale240@cox.net>
Message-ID: <Yw%ya.51122$823.29135@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 3863

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote
>
> AMSAT limited their AMSAT BBS's to a manageable number, each station
> intended to cover a large geographical area. I was going to cough up the
> bucks to set up as an AMSAT BBS once, and was told I was too close to an
> AMSAT BBS in west Texas, 450 miles away.
>
> If they had something like that with Internet/Packet gateways, things would
> have worked out quite differently. They would have been fewer, but also
> better.

The purpose of the gateways was to tunnel through the Internet. To connect distant RF LAN's together. There used to be a BBS in every large town, and I don't remember too many feeds coming from AMSAT. The thing that made BBS's boring, was there was no content. You can only live so long by posting classified ads, out of band mods, and bitches about your fellow Hams. Just kind of dried up, and we are all better for it.

The gateways went away after the RF LAN's went away. I'm sure there are a few die-hards left, but Morse code and PSK31 is probably 1000 times more popular, because you are having a real conversation, and that's what Ham radio is about--communicating. Radio Relay sucks.

617. Re: Packet activity in Los Angeles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-22 12:33:56 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <Ts3za.190$C77.108310650@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3864

"Jim Hale" <jhale240@cox.net> wrote in message
news:Yw%ya.51122$823.29135@news1.east.cox.net...
> "charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote
> >
> > AMSAT limited their AMSAT BBS's to a manageable number, each station
> > intended to cover a large geographical area. I was going to cough up the
> > bucks to set up as an AMSAT BBS once, and was told I was too close to an
> > AMSAT BBS in west Texas, 450 miles away.
> >
> > If they had something like that with Internet/Packet gateways, things
would
> > have worked out quite differently. They would have been fewer, but also
> > better.
>
> The purpose of the gateways was to tunnel through the Internet. To
connect
> distant RF LAN's together.

Actually, we already had HF for that. Gateways were there to undermine first the use of HF, then VHF/UHF for networking amateur packet radio. The idea was to undermine and destroy the extensive digital ham radio network that existed, and replace it with tcpip LANS. I first heard this plan put forward by Greg Jones WD5IVD when he was president of TPRS, prior to his association with TAPR. His tcpip buddies at that time were very excited by Greg's plan, and parroted his comments back and forth among each other for years as they implemented that plan.

The destructive part of the plan worked very well. The constructive part never developed though... The US amateur tcpip community was always much better at tearing down the work of others than they ever were at building anything, themselves.

Amateur tcpip in a nutshell: Great for undermining Hams trying to use Radio.

>There used to be a BBS in every large town,
> and I don't remember too many feeds coming from AMSAT.

Precisely - for the reason I mentioned above. There was a limit on the number of AMSAT BBS's. Each one had to cover a pretty good-sized area. The AMSAT BBS were there to work WITH the existing HF network, not attempt to replace it or undermine it. This is because the AMSAT people are real Hams, who know how to play well with others.

You can't really say that about the amateur tcpip community though, who invented the "protocol wars" that caused so much divisiveness within the ham

radio community, and who have put much more effort into destroying and denigrating the work of others than they ever put into building anything, themselves.

Look at yourself, running down what other hams have done. - Your knee-jerk negativity is typical of the amateur tcpip community, and you guys JUST CAN'T FIGURE OUT why your group never achieved any popularity within the ham radio community.

Duh!

>The thing that
> made BBS's boring, was there was no content. You can only live so long by
> posting classified ads, out of band mods, and bitches about your fellow
> Hams. Just kind of dried up, and we are all better for it.

Actually, BBS's did not go away due to content issues; They went away because they were undermined in their ability to pass traffic. Internet gateways were used to disrupt message forwarding networks around the world, and this cynical "assistance" from gateway operators soon accomplished its purpose. This was a central part of the plan I heard Greg Jones put forward. The HF nets were considered to be essential to the world digital ham network that existed at that time, and they were no good for tcpip, so they were to the very first part of the existing global network to be attacked.

Let me guess... You don't have a clue as to how "Internet Forwarders" could possibly have had a negative effect upon the BBS forwarding network - do you? Your story is that they were there to "help out", right?

> The gateways went away after the RF LAN's went away.

Mission accomplished.

>I'm sure there
> are a few die-hards left, but Morse code and PSK31 is probably 1000
> times more popular, because you are having a real conversation, and that's
> what Ham radio is about--communicating. Radio Relay sucks.

So you are coming out of the closet as being down on the entire concept of networking Amateur Radio....

I'm shocked! - Who would have ever guessed?

Fortunately, the US amateur tcpip community lost cohesion and fell apart before they had quite managed to destroy everything. The HF nets are still set back by your group's activities, but most of the VHF/UHF nets not only hung in there despite the best efforts of TAPR, they are now actively growing again.

If you look at actively growing, successful VHF/UHF networks in the US today, one universal feature among them is that they totally ignore TAPR, and incorporate absolutely no gateways into their network. The ones that allowed gateways, such as TexNet did, have all gone away.

Two large-scale VHF/UHF networks presently cover the entire East coast of the US, from Canada all the way to Florida. These networks penetrate inland from 100 to 350 miles, all up and down the eastern seaboard. Another group of VHF/UHF nets cover the great lakes area, another group is growing out of Arkansas, where they have built a statewide packet network from scratch after TexNet evaporated. There are two other groups out west. These are the large-scale VHF/UHF networks. There are, of course, hundreds or possibly thousands of smaller packet nets scattered around as well. I regularly get e-mail from hams starting up new packet nets, dusting off their TNC's so they can have fun with packet radio.

TexNet once had over 100 nodes, all 1.2 kb access with 9.6kb backbone. - Then they started using gateways to link the nodes instead of 9.6kb RF, and guess what? Within three years the entire TexNet network had ceased to exist.

In fact, TexNet is the only large-scale VHF/UHF net that switched over entirely to using gateways instead of RF for their "backbone" - and TexNet is the only large-scale packet network in the US that has completely disappeared without a trace.

The rest of them not only still exist; They are actively growing again. One network in the northeastern US has put up over 100 nodes in the last five years.

Also: The HF nets are coming back. There is now several core groups of HF digital Hams who ignore gateway operators and will not allow them to "help out", by routing traffic around Hams who use radio. These groups are now starting to consolidate and organize, brightening up the future considerably for digital amateur radio around the world, not just in the United States.

From your attitude, it is plain that you wouldn't want to have anything to do with rebuilding the US digital ham radio network, so the following is really for other readers here:

To see what hams are doing with Packet in the US today, and to how you can be a part of that, drop by for a visit at USPN : http://www.uspacket.net

Charles Brabham, N5PVL
webmaster@uspacket.net
http://www.uspacket.net

618. USPacket.Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-22 12:45:45 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <ZD3za.191$lm7.109258924@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3865

USPN Mission Statement

The terrorist attacks of September 11th, 2001 and subsequent events have highlighted the need for more advanced, more comprehensive amateur radio emergency communications. Hams have enjoyed increased cooperation and respect from many government agencies and officials in the wake of 9-11, including a special message of praise and encouragement to U.S. amateurs from president George W. Bush.

At the same time, significant advances have been made in the equipment and software availble to digital amateur radio networkers, making it possible to build network we could only dream about in years past. Amateurs not only have a clear mandate to develop our capability to provide communications in times of emergency or disaster, but we also have the means to do so in a meaningful fashion.

Packet radio (in conjunction with other digital modes) has enormous potential to provide fast, accurate emergency/disaster communications. With the recent resurge of interest, several of our large-scale VHF/UHF networks have begun to expand and upgrade, and new packet networks are again springing up around the nation.

By building and developing independent, amateur radio network that does not depend upon standard, non-ham methods of communicating such as the Internet, amateurs arrainge to be there when they are needed the very most - when traditional methods of communication have broken down or become overloaded during the course of an emergency. Our mandate is to provide independent, alternate emergency communications and only networked amateur radio is relevant to that purpose.

Soon, we can reasonably expect to begin tying our regional networks together into a cohesive, national digital communications network, completely seperate and independent, through the participation of thousands of American hams. It is a large, ongoing task, not something we can expect to "finish", as our networks must not only grow, they must also be maintained and upgraded as we go along.

Now is the time for amateur packet radio networkers to share information, get to know each other and work together more closely than we ever have before. We will be addressing a challenge, answering to a mandate and having a good deal of fun while we are at it. It is this spirit within the hobby that USPN is here to facilitate. We dedicate our efforts to the many amateurs who participate in this fine aspect of amateur radio, and to the

people of the United States of America.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL
webmaster@uspacket.net
http://www.uspacket.net

619. FieldDay and 802.11b

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-22 12:52:54 UTC
From: "kj@ca.org" <sss@or.org>
Message-ID: <WH3za.6027$c41.651630@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 3866

Anyone know what would be needed to qualify a 802.11b connect to two ham stations for FD points?

620. The protocol wars

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-22 15:36:47 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <j86za.2012$4G.665973@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3867

Ahem! I hate to be the one to point this out, but there are two camps involved in packet radio today:

Camp 1: Talks about doing something with IP, at high-speed.

Camp 2: Actually does something with AX25, at low to medium speed.

When I say "actually does something" in this context, I'm not talking about individual efforts, or small experimental networks, but instead I mean large-scale, organized networks that make a difference in the real world. All of the existing ones are AX25 networks.

To see these, go to: http://www.uspacket.net and push the "Network" button. We have several packet networks in the U.S. that are in the "awesome" category.

No "IP Only" packet network has even remotely approached the level of usefulness I'm talking about.

That's a shame, because the benefits in using IP are obvious.

Still - The fact remains. Hams just do not appear to get excited about IP in large numbers, and large numbers of participants is much more important than winning or losing another battle in the protocol wars.

The idea is to get something done.

To cut through the obfuscation and nonsense involved in "protocol wars", the attitude at USPN is that success talks and vapor systems walk. We look around at what actually flies in the real ham radio world, and what doesn't.

What comes up here in the U.S. are AX25 systems, featuring 1.2kb access with 9.6 and some 19.2kb backbone links. On HF, the PACKET, CLOVER and PACTOR II systems do more (from a networking standpoint) than the rest combined. The sucessful networks all pretty much look this way. Take a look for yourself!

As far as experimental systems go, I've run across the same problem with the multicast software I lean toward. It's use would be a real advance, it does a lot more, etc. and so on, but the fact is that not very many hams are excited about using it. No "critical mass".

I have heard numerous "critical mass" predictions related to amateur tcpip over the years. When a hacked-up version of normal BBS code was patched onto "NOS", critical mass was supposed to be just around the corner. That flopped, so next it was the ability to use regular tcpip apps included with operating systems that was supposed to bring on "critical mass".. That flopped too. Now it's the wireless networking equipment. That will flop too, so sorry. "Critical Mass" is not just around the corner.

The reason for it has nothing to do with mythical "killer apps", improved networking protocol, or inexpensive wireless networking equipment. Fact is, not many hams warm up to the IP stuff. They just don't go for it in significant numbers. For whatever reason, that's how it goes.

So... When anybody asks me what is being used for digital amateur radio networking, what really works and can be done at a reasonable cost, I recommend AX25 packet with 1.2kb access, 9.6kb (or better) backbone. On HF, PACKET, CLOVER or PACTOR II do the most work, PACTOR III is the best of the best if you can afford it.

I am looking forward to being able to point out a significant achievement from an amateur radio IP group, just as I would like to point out significant use of multicast protocol. - But I can't do that right now, and right now is when things need to be done.

It's nice to be able to kick back and put in years of debate over fine points of the "protocol wars", but at USPN, we honor those who accomplish things over those who talk about doing them. Networkers who design, build, and maintain functional networks are our "experts"... The ones who walk the walk. When it comes time to get things done (Now, for example) we look to these great Hams to see what tools and methods are most likely to produce good results.

Charles, N5PVL
webmaster@uspacket.net
http://www.uspacket.net

621. Re: Packet activity in Los Angeles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-22 20:36:10 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.55.0305222005440.2831@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 3868

On Thu, 22 May 2003, charlesb wrote:
> "Jim Hale" <jhale240@cox.net> wrote in message
> news:Yw%ya.51122$823.29135@news1.east.cox.net...
> > "charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote
> > > AMSAT limited their AMSAT BBS's to a manageable number, each station
> > > intended to cover a large geographical area. I was going to cough up the
> > > bucks to set up as an AMSAT BBS once, and was told I was too close to an
> > > AMSAT BBS in west Texas, 450 miles away.
> > >
> > > If they had something like that with Internet/Packet gateways, things
> would
> > > have worked out quite differently. They would have been fewer, but also
> > > better.
> >
> > The purpose of the gateways was to tunnel through the Internet. To
> connect
> > distant RF LAN's together.
>
> Actually, we already had HF for that. Gateways were there to undermine first
> the use of HF, then VHF/UHF for networking amateur packet radio. The idea
> was to undermine and destroy the extensive digital ham radio network that
> existed, and replace it with tcpip LANS. I first heard this plan put forward
> by Greg Jones WD5IVD when he was president of TPRS, prior to his association
> with TAPR. His tcpip buddies at that time were very excited by Greg's plan,
> and parroted his comments back and forth among each other for years as they
> implemented that plan.

Who really gives a sh-t about TAPR anyway? All it ever was was a LOCAL group with delusions of grandeur. No one in my area ever gave anything they did any significant weight (like was apparently given from other regions of the country).

> The destructive part of the plan worked very well. The constructive part
> never developed though... The US amateur tcpip community was always much
> better at tearing down the work of others than they ever were at building
> anything, themselves.

Are you certain? In this area, the TCP/IP gateways (as gateways to replace HF) are gone and the AX.25 mail HF gateways (the few that exist) are the ones that survived. Just because it happened the other way in your region doesn't make it globally true.

That's also because they (the majority of TCP/IP'ers) were idiots who didn't even understand the networking structure they were professing. A conversation I had about 3 years ago with another amateur went like this: "OK, I have an IP

address. What do I do with it?" Although an extra class licensee, he was
almost as computer illiterate as they come on top of it all. He's a nice
person otherwise, and quite an avid HF (both code and voice) user, but clueless
as to any packet operation, let alone TCP/IP.

> Amateur tcpip in a nutshell: Great for undermining Hams trying to use
> Radio.
>
> >There used to be a BBS in every large town,
> > and I don't remember too many feeds coming from AMSAT.
>
> Precisely - for the reason I mentioned above. There was a limit on the
> number of AMSAT BBS's. Each one had to cover a pretty good-sized area. The
> AMSAT BBS were there to work WITH the existing HF network, not attempt to
> replace it or undermine it. This is because the AMSAT people are real Hams,
> who know how to play well with others.

Being a "real ham" isn't significant. Having an understanding of computer
networks is.

> You can't really say that about the amateur tcpip community though, who
> invented the "protocol wars" that caused so much divisiveness within the ham
> radio community, and who have put much more effort into destroying and
> denigrating the work of others than they ever put into building anything,
> themselves.
>
> Look at yourself, running down what other hams have done. - Your knee-jerk
> negativity is typical of the amateur tcpip community, and you guys JUST
> CAN'T FIGURE OUT why your group never achieved any popularity within the ham
> radio community.

Even the LANDLINE BBS (not amateur radio) community realized in the mid 1990's
that the Internet was taking over from the role that they had played for 15
years - and that it was time to adapt (by becomming Internet hosts aka
mini-ISP's) or die. The only really significant place where LL BBS's are still
prevalent is in Alaska, where Internet connectivity isn't better (on the
whole), and the use of the LL BBS's allows for "local issues" to remain local.

> Duh!
>
> >The thing that
> > made BBS's boring, was there was no content. You can only live so long by
> > posting classified ads, out of band mods, and bitches about your fellow
> > Hams. Just kind of dried up, and we are all better for it.
>
> Actually, BBS's did not go away due to content issues; They went away
> because they were undermined in their ability to pass traffic. Internet
> gateways were used to disrupt message forwarding networks around the world,
> and this cynical "assistance" from gateway operators soon accomplished its
> purpose. This was a central part of the plan I heard Greg Jones put forward.
> The HF nets were considered to be essential to the world digital ham network
> that existed at that time, and they were no good for tcpip, so they were to

> the very first part of the existing global network to be attacked.

HF gateways are still essential for DISASTER COMMUNICATIONS - where the Internet is unavailable because the communications infrastructure is damaged or destroyed. Unfortunately, those who abandoned their HF gateways in favor of a high(er) speed wired network lacked sufficient foresight. This is one of the major reasons why the Los Angeles area still has at least one HF gateway at the moment.

> Let me guess... You don't have a clue as to how "Internet Forwarders" could
> possibly have had a negative effect upon the BBS forwarding network - do
> you? Your story is that they were there to "help out", right?
>
> > The gateways went away after the RF LAN's went away.
>
> Mission accomplished.

Are you certain? The mission was replacement, but in many areas, BOTH the HF gateways and Internet forwarding disappeared. That's really a failure, not an accomplishment.

> >I'm sure there
> > are a few die-hards left, but Morse code and PSK31 is probably 1000
> > times more popular, because you are having a real conversation, and that's
> > what Ham radio is about--communicating. Radio Relay sucks.
>
> So you are coming out of the closet as being down on the entire concept of
> networking Amateur Radio....
>
> I'm shocked! - Who would have ever guessed?
>
> Fortunately, the US amateur tcpip community lost cohesion and fell apart
> before they had quite managed to destroy everything. The HF nets are still
> set back by your group's activities, but most of the VHF/UHF nets not only
> hung in there despite the best efforts of TAPR, they are now actively
> growing again.

...And although the Internet didn't have as much popularity in Europe, over there, they seem to have a "happy balance" of methods.

> If you look at actively growing, successful VHF/UHF networks in the US
> today, one universal feature among them is that they totally ignore TAPR,
> and incorporate absolutely no gateways into their network. The ones that
> allowed gateways, such as TexNet did, have all gone away.

...Or had rules or restrictions regarding wireline forwarding to the point to prevent REPLACEMENT of the HF gateways by the Internet. I agree with the fact that they ignored TAPR. Southern California has always ignored TAPR, and we're next door to them.

> Two large-scale VHF/UHF networks presently cover the entire East coast of
> the US, from Canada all the way to Florida. These networks penetrate inland
> from 100 to 350 miles, all up and down the eastern seaboard. Another group

> of VHF/UHF nets cover the great lakes area, another group is growing out of
> Arkansas, where they have built a statewide packet network from scratch
> after TexNet evaporated. There are two other groups out west. These are the
> large-scale VHF/UHF networks. There are, of course, hundreds or possibly
> thousands of smaller packet nets scattered around as well. I regularly get
> e-mail from hams starting up new packet nets, dusting off their TNC's so
> they can have fun with packet radio.
>
> TexNet once had over 100 nodes, all 1.2 kb access with 9.6kb backbone. -
> Then they started using gateways to link the nodes instead of 9.6kb RF, and
> guess what? Within three years the entire TexNet network had ceased to
> exist.

Their choice to REPLACE instead of ADD/augment their backbone with wireline is what really did them in. Also, technology is another problem: 1200 baud half duplex, in a world where the minimum wireline speed is in the 56kbaud full duplex range, just doesn't cut it. I'm hoping that amateur use of 802.11 equipment will bring back the wireless stuff to popularity. I've even got an 802.11b+ (22Mbps) port on my packet machine now (and am waiting for another licensed friend to get his end running) - but currently that part of the wireless lan hobby is concerned more with "wardriving."

> In fact, TexNet is the only large-scale VHF/UHF net that switched over
> entirely to using gateways instead of RF for their "backbone" - and TexNet
> is the only large-scale packet network in the US that has completely
> disappeared without a trace.
>
> The rest of them not only still exist; They are actively growing again. One
> network in the northeastern US has put up over 100 nodes in the last five
> years.
>
> Also: The HF nets are coming back. There is now several core groups of HF
> digital Hams who ignore gateway operators and will not allow them to "help
> out", by routing traffic around Hams who use radio. These groups are now
> starting to consolidate and organize, brightening up the future considerably
> for digital amateur radio around the world, not just in the United States.

September 11, 2001 should have helped with that, let alone the May 2003 "tornado alley" attack in the mid-west (to the extent that the amateur infrastructure was still standing).

> From your attitude, it is plain that you wouldn't want to have anything to
> do with rebuilding the US digital ham radio network, so the following is
> really for other readers here:
>
> To see what hams are doing with Packet in the US today, and to how you can
> be a part of that, drop by for a visit at USPN : http://www.uspacket.net
>
> Charles Brabham, N5PVL
> webmaster@uspacket.net
> http://www.uspacket.net

In general, I have learned from your prior posts that anything you endorse is either self-serving or doomed to failure because of your connection to it.

622. Re: FieldDay and 802.11b

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-22 20:39:27 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.55.0305222039470.2831@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 3869

On Thu, 22 May 2003, kj@ca.org wrote:
> Anyone know what would be needed to qualify a 802.11b connect to two ham
> stations for FD points?

Ask the ARRL. "Field day" is their wet dream.

623. Re: Packet activity in Los Angeles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-22 22:23:06 UTC
From: Jim Hale <jhale240@cox.net>
Message-ID: <e5cza.52651$823.27875@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 3870

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote
>
> From your attitude, it is plain that you wouldn't want to have anything to
> do with rebuilding the US digital ham radio network...

You are correct. Part 15 is the place to build LAN's, and not Part 97.

Morse code, and PSK31 type modes, are much more fun, and you meet
a better class of people. Hams that network below 11 Mbps are just
pissing their lives away.

624. Re: FieldDay and 802.11b

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-22 22:38:49 UTC
From: Jim Hale <jhale240@cox.net>
Message-ID: <Zjcza.52703$823.34667@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 3871

"kj@ca.org" <sss@or.org> wrote
>
> Anyone know what would be needed to qualify a 802.11b connect to two ham
> stations for FD points?

What's a Field Day?

625. Re: The protocol wars

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-22 22:43:29 UTC
From: Jim Hale <jhale240@cox.net>
Message-ID: <locza.52706$823.13137@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 3872

Radio Relay sucks.

626. Re: FieldDay and 802.11b

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-23 00:28:53 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030522202853.27568.00000155@mb-m03.aol.com>
Source record: 3873

>"kj@ca.org" <sss@or.org> wrote
>>
>> Anyone know what would be needed to qualify a 802.11b connect to two ham
>> stations for FD points?
>
>What's a Field Day?

Since the original poster never mentioned Field Day (only said "FD"), I suspect
you know what it is and are just trolling.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net

627. Re: Packet activity in Los Angeles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-23 01:43:29 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <51fza.329$ST4.196769860@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3874

"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:Pine.LNX.4.55.0305222005440.2831@kd6lvw.ampr.org...
>
> Who really gives a sh-t about TAPR anyway? All it ever was was a LOCAL
group
> with delusions of grandeur. No one in my area ever gave anything they did
any
> significant weight (like was apparently given from other regions of the
> country).

I used to think highly of TAPR. They lost their sense of mission a long time ago, though, and now actively discourage hams from building digital network. They really only support "IP only" and APRS these days, setups that require Internet connections and cannot fully function as stand-alone amateur radio applications. If it is done with "just radio", then TAPR will pay lip-service while being careful to avoid actually being useful. If it involves networking digital ham radio, they will do whatever they can to actively discourage it.

For years I argued with TAPR, trying to get them to address the wishes of most hams in the U.S. instead of constantly trying to thwart them. Finally I decided to quit all of that carping and complaining though, and put my energy into something more constructive. TAPR showed themselves to be unlikely to reform, so I quit worrying about them.

In past months, TAPR has turned out to be quite useful. Ill-mannered, negative types like Jim Hale show up from time to time at USPN, and it is good to be able to send them off to TAPR, where their negative, anti-ham, do-nothing attitudes will be more likely to be appreciated, and they can enjoy the fellowship of thier own kind.

TAPR has been of help in some other ways there is no need to go into here. I do not see USPN as being in competition with TAPR, because the two organizations do completely different things.

> > The destructive part of the plan worked very well. The constructive part
> > never developed though... The US amateur tcpip community was always much
> > better at tearing down the work of others than they ever were at
building
> > anything, themselves.
>
> Are you certain? In this area, the TCP/IP gateways (as gateways to
replace HF)
> are gone and the AX.25 mail HF gateways (the few that exist) are the ones
that
> survived. Just because it happened the other way in your region doesn't

make
> it globally true.

Yes, I am certain. The global HF forwarding network was thoroughly undermined. There are a few good HF nets still in operation, but so far, they have not recovered as quickly or as thoroughly as the VHF/UHF networks.

In my area, the gateways hung in there long enough to be sure the HF nets were kapoot, then they shut down as well, mission accomplished.

>
> That's also because they (the majority of TCP/IP'ers) were idiots who
didn't
> even understand the networking structure they were professing. A
conversation
> I had about 3 years ago with another amateur went like this: "OK, I have
an IP
> address. What do I do with it?" Although an extra class licensee, he was
> almost as computer illiterate as they come on top of it all. He's a nice
> person otherwise, and quite an avid HF (both code and voice) user, but
clueless
> as to any packet operation, let alone TCP/IP.
>

At USPN, we are happy to answer any questions we can. Failing that, we try to point people in a direction where the answer might be found. Nobody starts off knowing any of this stuff, much less all of it.

As for TCPIP, it was grossly over-promoted, a consequence of the protocol wars. I think the over-promotion set back amateur tcpip, and created a lot of confusion.

> > >There used to be a BBS in every large town,
> > > and I don't remember too many feeds coming from AMSAT.
> >
> > Precisely - for the reason I mentioned above. There was a limit on the
> > number of AMSAT BBS's. Each one had to cover a pretty good-sized area.
The
> > AMSAT BBS were there to work WITH the existing HF network, not attempt
to
> > replace it or undermine it. This is because the AMSAT people are real
Hams,
> > who know how to play well with others.
>
> Being a "real ham" isn't significant. Having an understanding of computer
> networks is.

I think be a "real ham" is always significant, if you are talking about an amateur radio activity. Our sense of community is the most valuable thing we have.

>
> Even the LANDLINE BBS (not amateur radio) community realized in the mid

1990's
> that the Internet was taking over from the role that they had played for
15
> years - and that it was time to adapt (by becomming Internet hosts aka
> mini-ISP's) or die. The only really significant place where LL BBS's are
still
> prevalent is in Alaska, where Internet connectivity isn't better (on the
> whole), and the use of the LL BBS's allows for "local issues" to remain
local.
>

Yes, I know about that. I ran a "Wildcat" BBS back in those days, if you are familiar with the old software. There are still a good number of those old-style LLBBS's around, but as you say, they are local-only propositions, usually dedicated to a specialized purpose.

I lost interest in the LLBBS and shut down about a year before Internet access became available. Since then, I have never been tempted to operate a mini-isp, preferring instead to lease space on other people's servers. It's cheap, it's easy, and there are less headaches. The web hosts I use are operated professionally. Those guys deal with problems I wouldn't know how to get started on.

>
> HF gateways are still essential for DISASTER COMMUNICATIONS - where the
> Internet is unavailable because the communications infrastructure is
damaged or
> destroyed. Unfortunately, those who abandoned their HF gateways in favor
of a
> high(er) speed wired network lacked sufficient foresight. This is one of
the
> major reasons why the Los Angeles area still has at least one HF gateway
at the
> moment.
>

Where I am, we have zippo, nada on HF at this time. I've been saving up for a good HF modem. The more you pay, the more throughput you can expect. Meanwhile, I've been kicking X15x25 around, trying it out a little.

> >
> > > The gateways went away after the RF LAN's went away.
> >
> > Mission accomplished.
>
> Are you certain? The mission was replacement, but in many areas, BOTH the
HF
> gateways and Internet forwarding disappeared. That's really a failure,
not an
> accomplishment.

I'm certain. How many amateur tcpip folks do you know of who are deeply concerned about packet BBS messages? Personally, I don't know of any. - The "internet forwarders" existed in order to undercut the entire concept of packet radio messaging. Once the HF operators were undermined and shut down, the gateways no longer had any purpose and shut down as well, mission accomplished.

> > Fortunately, the US amateur tcpip community lost cohesion and fell apart
> > before they had quite managed to destroy everything. The HF nets are still
> > set back by your group's activities, but most of the VHF/UHF nets not only
> > hung in there despite the best efforts of TAPR, they are now actively
> > growing again.
>
> ...And although the Internet didn't have as much popularity in Europe, over
> there, they seem to have a "happy balance" of methods.

The Internet was and is extremely popular in Europe. A big difference there was that they went over to cable access while we were still slogging along with dialup. Hardly anybody ever used dialup in Europe, because regulations there made it prohibitively expensive. - So while some Hams over here were trying to finagle back-door freebee access during the dialup era, the European Hams were already past all that. The "amateur ISP" idea never really caught on over there, for that reason.

Also we should not forget that regulations throughout most of Europe simply do not allow the introduction of non-ham links into the ham radio network. You can gateway overseas to where similar regulations do not exist, but within Europe, it is not always so easy to arrainge. This put the focus on what can be done with radio, where it should be.

>
> > If you look at actively growing, successful VHF/UHF networks in the US
> > today, one universal feature among them is that they totally ignore TAPR,
> > and incorporate absolutely no gateways into their network. The ones that
> > allowed gateways, such as TexNet did, have all gone away.
>
> ...Or had rules or restrictions regarding wireline forwarding to the point to
> prevent REPLACEMENT of the HF gateways by the Internet. I agree with the fact
> that they ignored TAPR. Southern California has always ignored TAPR, and we're
> next door to them.

Unfortunately, TAPR is perceived by many hams as being a national packet radio organization, when actually it is nothing of the kind. TAPR is a research organization with the words "Packet Radio" in their name, a hold-over from earlier times. More than 90% of their total effort today goes into various self-promotion efforts. Behind the great front they put up,

there is - nothing.

> >
> > TexNet once had over 100 nodes, all 1.2 kb access with 9.6kb backbone. -
> > Then they started using gateways to link the nodes instead of 9.6kb RF,
and
> > guess what? Within three years the entire TexNet network had ceased to
> > exist.
>
> Their choice to REPLACE instead of ADD/augment their backbone with
wireline is
> what really did them in.

They started off with Add/augment, but it rapidly degenerated from there.
Greg Jones WD5IVD was in charge.

>Also, technology is another problem: 1200 baud half
> duplex, in a world where the minimum wireline speed is in the 56kbaud full
> duplex range, just doesn't cut it.

Actually, the evidence does not support that theory. Remember that at USPN,
we look at what is actually being done, as opposed to theory about what
might be done. Check out the SEDAN web site. That network has saved many
lives and millions of dollars worth of property over the years, by passing
simple text messages over a 1.2kb access/9.6kb backbone system.

Most emergency communications end up being of this nature. The slow/medium
speed stuff is cheap, easy to set up and operate, and easy to maintain and
repair. SEDAN predates the "protocol wars" and operated continuously while
other networks experienced a serious slump. Today, many of the new VHF/UHF
emergency digital networks are modeled after SEDAN, and with good reason.
Their system undeniably works, and works well.

>I'm hoping that amateur use of 802.11
> equipment will bring back the wireless stuff to popularity. I've even got
an
> 802.11b+ (22Mbps) port on my packet machine now (and am waiting for
another
> licensed friend to get his end running) - but currently that part of the
> wireless lan hobby is concerned more with "wardriving."

I'll comment about 802.11 in a seperate post. This one is too long already.

>
> > Also: The HF nets are coming back. There is now several core groups of
HF
> > digital Hams who ignore gateway operators and will not allow them to
"help
> > out", by routing traffic around Hams who use radio. These groups are now
> > starting to consolidate and organize, brightening up the future
considerably
> > for digital amateur radio around the world, not just in the United
States.

>
> September 11, 2001 should have helped with that, let alone the May 2003
> "tornado alley" attack in the mid-west (to the extent that the amateur
> infrastructure was still standing).

I would be most happy if we never needed emergency communications again, but
the fact is that we always will.

> > To see what hams are doing with Packet in the US today, and to how you
can
> > be a part of that, drop by for a visit at USPN :
http://www.uspacket.net
> >
> > Charles Brabham, N5PVL
> > webmaster@uspacket.net
> > http://www.uspacket.net
>
> In general, I have learned from your prior posts that anything you endorse
is
> either self-serving or doomed to failure because of your connection to it.

What was it that you remember me endorsing? I'm curious about that.

Charles, N5PVL

628. 802.11 (From QRZ)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-23 01:53:56 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <Uafza.334$xc5.198110357@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3875

There has been an ongoing dialog in the amateur radio community about 802.11 wireless modems for several years now; In fact the dialog began some time before the equipment had generally become available.

One almost universally accepted idea for application of 802.11 equipment by Hams is to use it to extend basic Internet access into areas that have lost it. The most workable version of this idea is to have 20-50 miles of "portable" network that can be rapidly deployed where needed, when needed. After the emergency is over, the system is taken down, refurbished, and stored in readiness for future need.

A great idea, if anyone actually does it, and it works.

Because 802.11 is being pushed as a PR item in the ham magazines, dozens of new and potential hams are starry-eyed with unreasonable expectations for a low powered microwave system that is in widespread use by millions of non-hams, all across the country.

In metropolitan areas, for example, the steadily increasing layers of commercial 802.11 users are going to make it very difficult to get any appreciable range from these systems, even with dish antennas. There are ways to make it work of course if money is no object, but money almost always IS an object... Hams are generally thrifty.

At USPN, we are gathering information about digital amateur radio networks in the US. So far, we haven't heard of any appreciable network being built with 802.11... There are several experimental setups done on a small scale, probably hundreds of them. - But no organized, wide-scale network.

Years of hype - No network.

The organized, working networking is almost all being done at lower frequencies, and at lower data rates because this is what works best. Why they work best is seldom aparrent to a new Ham who has not had an opportunity to get a firm grasp of the laws of physics as they apply to ham radio, doesn't know much about the hobby yet, and who has instead been reading the PR material about 802.11 that is published in the Ham magazines.

That is the danger when you try to "PR" what is supposed to be rational and scientific... The natural tendency in "PR" is to accentuate the positive, and eliminate or ignore the negative, which obviously is a far cry from the basic requirements of either objectivity or reason. This creates a fog of misinformation and unreasonable expectations, most particularly affecting impressionable new Hams.

Not long ago, prospective Hams were being promised free Internet access by the "PR" types, never got it because it had always been illegal from the

get-go, and as a result we ended up with a large pool of dissatisfied new Hams, brought into the hobby on the strength of a false PR claim.

Being bad PR, it highlights systems that nothing appreciable has been accomplished with, ignoring the excellent, large-scale US packet networks that actually exist and operate every day. The people who have actually accomplished something with packet radio are systematically ignored. These systems are downplayed so new Hams can be "sold" into the hobby on the strength of something that has yet to see any significant use. - A sure prescription of disappointment and disallusionment. - Again.

Unfortunately, most new and prospective Hams are thorougly exposed to this "tabloid" side of the hobby and so are starved for facts. The rational, scientific aspect of the hobby, and our most outstanding accomplishents are seldom presented to them.

Too boring! - the PR guys say. Don't scare new Hams away by talking about what Hams actually tend to do with radio!

The 802.11 stuff is kind of neat, but is severley limited by both federal law, and the laws of physics. There are obvious uses for 802.11, but using it as a basis for a "PR" campaign for the hobby is a good way to shoot off our own foot, disallusioning a large group of new Hams. - Yet again!

Charles Brabham, N5PVL
webmaster@uspacket.net
http://www.uspacket.net

629. Re: FieldDay and 802.11b

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-23 03:01:12 UTC
From: Jim Hale <jhale240@cox.net>
Message-ID: <Y9gza.53806$823.52174@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 3876

```
"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme> wrote
> >"kj@ca.org" <sss@or.org> wrote
> >>
> >> Anyone know what would be needed to qualify a 802.11b connect to two ham
> >> stations for FD points?
> >
> >What's a Field Day?
>
> Since the original poster never mentioned Field Day (only said "FD"), I suspect
> you know what it is and are just trolling.

or he read the title...
```

630. Re: Cheap Baycom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-23 03:46:07 UTC
From: Andrewjj20 <andrewjj20@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3Qgza.14775$FC2.5182401@news1.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 3877

Is there windows drivers for baycom modems
"Andrewjj20" <andrewjj20@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:_2Vxa.5724$s14.2270704@news0.telusplanet.net...
> looking at a baycom packet modem, must be pre assembled
>
> VA7JAJ
>
>

631. Re: The protocol wars

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-23 12:14:07 UTC
From: Just Some Guy <notareal@address.net>
Message-ID: <as3scvsul0akdlf1t2qaoc2r4pfr3stu5h@4ax.com>
Source record: 3878

On Thu, 22 May 2003 15:36:47 GMT, "charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>
>Ahem! I hate to be the one to point this out, but there are two camps
>involved in packet radio today:

Ahem! There may be two camps involved in packet radio today but
they are so small as to be undetectable. The only light in the packet
tunnel is APRS which, I am sure, you don't approve of. Charles, the
horse is long since dead, stop beating it.
>

632. Re: The protocol wars

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-23 12:33:14 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <eyoza.481$Qz3.256156819@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3879

"Just Some Guy" <notareal@address.net> wrote in message
news:as3scvsul0akdlf1t2qaoc2r4pfr3stu5h@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 22 May 2003 15:36:47 GMT, "charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
> wrote:
>
> >
> > >Ahem! I hate to be the one to point this out, but there are two camps
> > >involved in packet radio today:
>
> Ahem! There may be two camps involved in packet radio today but
> they are so small as to be undetectable. The only light in the packet
> tunnel is APRS which, I am sure, you don't approve of. Charles, the
> horse is long since dead, stop beating it.

An anonymous Troll, ashamed to back up his words with his name. That's
because he knows he is wrong.

Sounds like one of TAPR's supporters, still fighting the "protocol wars",
and still in that exclusive little closet where he can pretend that
everything else beyond his pet protocol somehow doesn't exist.

Obnoxious as they are, I've always felt a certain amount of pity for such
individuals. To be so filled with ugly negativity as to live a life of
denial... That's really sort of pathetic.

I suppose that if I was that screwed-up, I would be ashamed to put my name
to my words too.

Charles, N5PVL

633. SeaTTY can receive HF-FAX now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-23 13:27:37 UTC
From: Sergei Podstrigailo <amx2@dxsoft.com>
Message-ID: <bal7k8$vgpr$1@ID-52759.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 3880

Hello, All!

I just have uploaded SeaTTY 1.16 beta to the main site and mirror:

http://www.dxsoft.com/seattyb.zip
http://www.hamport.com/seattyb.zip

V1.16
Saved images preview was made.
AFC works in FAX mode now (during "LPT speed detected" state).
Squelch prevents auto-start at noise in FAX mode now.
User can select LPM and IOC for manual start now.
V1.15
SeaTTY can receive HF-FAX now.

WBR,
Sergei

Windows HAM radio software at http://www.dxsoft.com

634. Re: The protocol wars

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-23 14:21:53 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <58qza.530$AW5.265766008@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3881

"Just Some Guy" <notareal@address.net> wrote in message
news:as3scvsul0akdlf1t2qaoc2r4pfr3stu5h@4ax.com...
>
>The only light in the packet tunnel is APRS which, I am sure,
>you don't approve of. Charles, the horse is long since dead, stop
>beating it.
>

Well, you are certainly wrong there! APRS is by no means a "dead horse", in fact it is very popular. How you can characterize it as "the only light in the tunnel" and a "dead horse" at the same time is beyond me.

USPN does not support APRS (other than referring people to TAPR) for two reasons:

1. APRS does not really fall within USPN's area of interest. - Amateur Packet Radio Networking.

2. TAPR already does a good job of providing support for APRS, and setting up seperate support at USPN would only create confusion.

Why re-invent the wheel?

Charles Brabham, N5PVL
webmaster@uspacket.net
http://www.uspacket.net

635. Knwd TM-D700 Programming problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-23 18:32:04 UTC
From: "Nosko S." <sorry.nospam@here.com>
Message-ID: <balq0ctqs1@localhost.localdomain>
Source record: 3882

I can't get the D700 and computer program (Kenwood's MCP for the D700) to communicate.

All looks good on a Win 95, but it hangs. Here's what I've tried:

I checked baud rates & power cycled the radio as required.
Checked the cable
Put an RS232 monitor in the line (Red/Green LEDs on DTR, DSR, RTS, CTS, TxD, RxD)
On radio power up it asserts the CTS line true and keeps it true.
The Radio's Tx line is set to idle (space). There does appear to be a short blip of
data (blip of mark seen as a short change from red to green)
The DSR line appears to be open circuit (NO LED ever)
When the MCP program is running but idle, it sets the DTR line true and the Tx data to idle.

When the MCP program is commanded to "read" the radio:
It asserts the RTS line true.
The reading radio dialog appears, shows NO progress and hangs until:
The radio is shut off then about 4 seconds later a "communication time out dialog box appears and it can be dismissed and MCP control returns to me.

I tried to putting it into read mode THEN turning on the radio to see if that data burst was the go-ahead, but it still hangs.

Radio works fine otherwise - doing APRS ok etc....

--
[please clip quoted postings down to the relevant parts, thank you]
K9DCI and I am at the ARRL dot net (home)
Steve Noskowics...Pardon my paranoia, but my email has no U's in it:
steuve.Nouskouwicz@moutoroula.com

636. Re: Knwd TM-D700 Programming problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-23 19:43:31 UTC
From: Roger Barker <roger@peaksys.co.uk>
Message-ID: <faPUoXAjnnz+EwKA@peaksys.co.uk>
Source record: 3883

In article <balq0ctqs1@localhost.localdomain>, Nosko S.
<sorry.nospam@here.com> writes
>I can't get the D700 and computer program (Kenwood's MCP for the D700) to
>communicate.
>
>All looks good on a Win 95, but it hangs. Here's what I've tried:
[snip low level diagnostics]

Connect the D700 to HyperTerminal or some other terminal program, set to
9600,N,8,1, and, if the option is available, configure the terminal
program to translate CR to CR/LF and for local echo.

Switch the D700 into TNC APRS mode, and check that it doesn't get stuck
at "Opening TNC".

Manually send the command AI 1 followed by a return, the D700 should
respond with AI 1 and you should see data appear in the terminal
program every time the D700 sees an APRS packet. If you get the AI 1
response, and you see data, then almost certainly the problem is with
the Kenwood software.

--
Roger Barker, G4IDE - roger@peaksys.co.uk
For UI-View go to - http://www.UI-View.com
For WinPack go to - http://www.peaksys.co.uk

637. Re: Packet activity in Los Angeles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-23 21:13:40 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.55.0305232045510.3093@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 3884

On Fri, 23 May 2003, charlesb wrote:
> "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
> news:Pine.LNX.4.55.0305222005440.2831@kd6lvw.ampr.org...
> > Who really gives a sh-t about TAPR anyway? All it ever was was a LOCAL group
> > with delusions of grandeur. No one in my area ever gave anything they did any
> > significant weight (like was apparently given from other regions of the
> > country).
>
> I used to think highly of TAPR. They lost their sense of mission a long time
> ago, though, and now actively discourage hams from building digital network.
> They really only support "IP only" and APRS these days, setups that require
> Internet connections and cannot fully function as stand-alone amateur radio
> applications. If it is done with "just radio", then TAPR will pay
> lip-service while being careful to avoid actually being useful. If it
> involves networking digital ham radio, they will do whatever they can to
> actively discourage it.

Clearly, that's one difference. No one here EVER thought highly of TAPR.

> For years I argued with TAPR, trying to get them to address the wishes of
> most hams in the U.S. instead of constantly trying to thwart them. Finally I
> decided to quit all of that carping and complaining though, and put my
> energy into something more constructive. TAPR showed themselves to be
> unlikely to reform, so I quit worrying about them.

Why should anyone care? They were 2 states away from your area. They had no
influence to begin with, and to attribute any to them only demonstrates the
delusion.

> In past months, TAPR has turned out to be quite useful. Ill-mannered,
> negative types like Jim Hale show up from time to time at USPN, and it is
> good to be able to send them off to TAPR, where their negative, anti-ham,
> do-nothing attitudes will be more likely to be appreciated, and they can
> enjoy the fellowship of thier own kind.
>
> TAPR has been of help in some other ways there is no need to go into here. I
> do not see USPN as being in competition with TAPR, because the two
> organizations do completely different things.
>
> > > The destructive part of the plan worked very well. The constructive part
> > > never developed though... The US amateur tcpip community was always much
> > > better at tearing down the work of others than they ever were at
> building
> > > anything, themselves.
> >

> > Are you certain? In this area, the TCP/IP gateways (as gateways to
> replace HF)
> > are gone and the AX.25 mail HF gateways (the few that exist) are the ones
> that
> > survived. Just because it happened the other way in your region doesn't
> make
> > it globally true.
>
> Yes, I am certain. The global HF forwarding network was thoroughly
> undermined. There are a few good HF nets still in operation, but so far,
> they have not recovered as quickly or as thoroughly as the VHF/UHF networks.
>
> In my area, the gateways hung in there long enough to be sure the HF nets
> were kapoot, then they shut down as well, mission accomplished.

IF their sole mission was destruction of ALL communication, fine. However, their professed mission was replacement. If this [latter] mission was untrue, then their duping of the amateur radio public should have been challenged - and if not, that proves either: 1) How anarchistic the networks were to begin with (which contradicts the premise of cooperation needed for a network to succeed), or 2) How stupid the operators in that area were. Granted that there's no intelligence test for amateur licenses, but one still has to wonder.

> > That's also because they (the majority of TCP/IP'ers) were idiots who didn't
> > even understand the networking structure they were professing. A conversation
> > I had about 3 years ago with another amateur went like this: "OK, I have an IP
> > address. What do I do with it?" Although an extra class licensee, he was
> > almost as computer illiterate as they come on top of it all. He's a nice
> > person otherwise, and quite an avid HF (both code and voice) user, but clueless
> > as to any packet operation, let alone TCP/IP.
>
> At USPN, we are happy to answer any questions we can. Failing that, we try
> to point people in a direction where the answer might be found. Nobody
> starts off knowing any of this stuff, much less all of it.
>
> As for TCPIP, it was grossly over-promoted, a consequence of the protocol
> wars. I think the over-promotion set back amateur tcpip, and created a lot
> of confusion.

Overpromoted - Yes. However, was it the right idea? I say yes - if properly done. It wasn't properly done. The key was to have interoperability, which for the most part, didn't emerge in the U.S.

> > > >There used to be a BBS in every large town,
> > > > and I don't remember too many feeds coming from AMSAT.
> > >
> > > Precisely - for the reason I mentioned above. There was a limit on the
> > > number of AMSAT BBS's. Each one had to cover a pretty good-sized area. The
> > > AMSAT BBS were there to work WITH the existing HF network, not attempt to
> > > replace it or undermine it. This is because the AMSAT people are real Hams,
> > > who know how to play well with others.

> >
> > Being a "real ham" isn't significant. Having an understanding of computer
> > networks is.
>
> I think be a "real ham" is always significant, if you are talking about an
> amateur radio activity. Our sense of community is the most valuable thing we
> have.

You missed the point. The point was that the network needed people who knew
about networking, not just amateur radio. Many licensed amateurs were
completely clueless in that respect.

> > Even the LANDLINE BBS (not amateur radio) community realized in the mid 1990's
> > that the Internet was taking over from the role that they had played for 15
> > years - and that it was time to adapt (by becomming Internet hosts aka
> > mini-ISP's) or die. The only really significant place where LL BBS's are still
> > prevalent is in Alaska, where Internet connectivity isn't better (on the
> > whole), and the use of the LL BBS's allows for "local issues" to remain local.
>
> Yes, I know about that. I ran a "Wildcat" BBS back in those days, if you are
> familiar with the old software. There are still a good number of those
> old-style LLBBS's around, but as you say, they are local-only propositions,
> usually dedicated to a specialized purpose.
>
> I lost interest in the LLBBS and shut down about a year before Internet
> access became available. Since then, I have never been tempted to operate a
> mini-isp, preferring instead to lease space on other people's servers. It's
> cheap, it's easy, and there are less headaches. The web hosts I use are
> operated professionally. Those guys deal with problems I wouldn't know how
> to get started on.

In our case (several BBS's including mine - all networked WWIV boards), the
Internet was the cause. 1995 marked the year that the Internet invaded Los
Angeles. 1996 was the year that a many of us gave up. We had users demanding
newsgroups be delivered to our BBS's, interoperability of e-mail to the
Internet, etc. Some of the sysops figured out how to route their BBS network
traffic via Internet e-mail, but even that indicated a losing proposition. It
was clear: adapt (become part of the Internet) or die.

In that respect, what I'm working on for my packet system is a combination that
is "adapted": Packet mail should be internally stored the same way as SMTP
received mail, and packet bulletins stored into local newsgroups, thus also
available via NNTP. I still have some details to work out. They can still be
transferred between systems via AX.25 conventions for all I care, but the point
for survival that must be stressed is interoperability.

> > HF gateways are still essential for DISASTER COMMUNICATIONS - where the
> > Internet is unavailable because the communications infrastructure is damaged or
> > destroyed. Unfortunately, those who abandoned their HF gateways in favor of a
> > high(er) speed wired network lacked sufficient foresight. This is one of the
> > major reasons why the Los Angeles area still has at least one HF gateway at the
> > moment.

>
> Where I am, we have zippo, nada on HF at this time. I've been saving up for
> a good HF modem. The more you pay, the more throughput you can expect.
> Meanwhile, I've been kicking X15x25 around, trying it out a little.
>
> > >
> > > > The gateways went away after the RF LAN's went away.
> > >
> > > Mission accomplished.
> >
> > Are you certain? The mission was replacement, but in many areas, BOTH the HF
> > gateways and Internet forwarding disappeared. That's really a failure, not an
> > accomplishment.
>
> I'm certain. How many amateur tcpip folks do you know of who are deeply
> concerned about packet BBS messages? Personally, I don't know of any. - The
> "internet forwarders" existed in order to undercut the entire concept of
> packet radio messaging. Once the HF operators were undermined and shut down,
> the gateways no longer had any purpose and shut down as well, mission
> accomplished.

If they weren't concerned, then just what were they forwarding via the
Internet?

> > > Fortunately, the US amateur tcpip community lost cohesion and fell apart
> > > before they had quite managed to destroy everything. The HF nets are still
> > > set back by your group's activities, but most of the VHF/UHF nets not only
> > > hung in there despite the best efforts of TAPR, they are now actively
> > > growing again.
> >
> > ...And although the Internet didn't have as much popularity in Europe, over
> > there, they seem to have a "happy balance" of methods.
>
> The Internet was and is extremely popular in Europe. A big difference there
> was that they went over to cable access while we were still slogging along
> with dialup. Hardly anybody ever used dialup in Europe, because regulations
> there made it prohibitively expensive. - So while some Hams over here were
> trying to finagle back-door freebee access during the dialup era, the
> European Hams were already past all that. The "amateur ISP" idea never
> really caught on over there, for that reason.
>
> Also we should not forget that regulations throughout most of Europe simply
> do not allow the introduction of non-ham links into the ham radio network.
> You can gateway overseas to where similar regulations do not exist, but
> within Europe, it is not always so easy to arrainge. This put the focus on
> what can be done with radio, where it should be.
>
> >
> > > If you look at actively growing, successful VHF/UHF networks in the US
> > > today, one universal feature among them is that they totally ignore TAPR,
> > > and incorporate absolutely no gateways into their network. The ones that

> > > allowed gateways, such as TexNet did, have all gone away.
> >
> > ...Or had rules or restrictions regarding wireline forwarding to the point to
> > prevent REPLACEMENT of the HF gateways by the Internet. I agree with the fact
> > that they ignored TAPR. Southern California has always ignored TAPR, and we're
> > next door to them.
>
> Unfortunately, TAPR is perceived by many hams as being a national packet
> radio organization, when actually it is nothing of the kind. TAPR is a
> research organization with the words "Packet Radio" in their name, a
> hold-over from earlier times. More than 90% of their total effort today goes
> into various self-promotion efforts. Behind the great front they put up,
> there is - nothing.

Exactly my point. Whenever anyone cites TAPR, we know they're full of it.

> > > TexNet once had over 100 nodes, all 1.2 kb access with 9.6kb backbone. -
> > > Then they started using gateways to link the nodes instead of 9.6kb RF, and
> > > guess what? Within three years the entire TexNet network had ceased to
> > > exist.
> >
> > Their choice to REPLACE instead of ADD/augment their backbone with wireline is
> > what really did them in.
>
> They started off with Add/augment, but it rapidly degenerated from there.
> Greg Jones WD5IVD was in charge.

Someone in charge? Amateur radio is more anarchistic than anything else.

> >Also, technology is another problem: 1200 baud half
> > duplex, in a world where the minimum wireline speed is in the 56kbaud full
> > duplex range, just doesn't cut it.
>
> Actually, the evidence does not support that theory. Remember that at USPN,
> we look at what is actually being done, as opposed to theory about what
> might be done. Check out the SEDAN web site. That network has saved many
> lives and millions of dollars worth of property over the years, by passing
> simple text messages over a 1.2kb access/9.6kb backbone system.
>
> Most emergency communications end up being of this nature. The slow/medium
> speed stuff is cheap, easy to set up and operate, and easy to maintain and
> repair. SEDAN predates the "protocol wars" and operated continuously while
> other networks experienced a serious slump. Today, many of the new VHF/UHF
> emergency digital networks are modeled after SEDAN, and with good reason.
> Their system undeniably works, and works well.

...But the point is that disasters should be few and far between, and in the
meantime (e.g. "normal operating mode"), there should be some attraction - but
these slow speeds actually drive people away.

> >I'm hoping that amateur use of 802.11
> > equipment will bring back the wireless stuff to popularity. I've even got an

> > 802.11b+ (22Mbps) port on my packet machine now (and am waiting for another
> > licensed friend to get his end running) - but currently that part of the
> > wireless lan hobby is concerned more with "wardriving."
>
> I'll comment about 802.11 in a seperate post. This one is too long already.
>
> >
> > > Also: The HF nets are coming back. There is now several core groups of HF
> > > digital Hams who ignore gateway operators and will not allow them to "help
> > > out", by routing traffic around Hams who use radio. These groups are now
> > > starting to consolidate and organize, brightening up the future considerably
> > > for digital amateur radio around the world, not just in the United
> States.
> >
> > September 11, 2001 should have helped with that, let alone the May 2003
> > "tornado alley" attack in the mid-west (to the extent that the amateur
> > infrastructure was still standing).
>
> I would be most happy if we never needed emergency communications again, but
> the fact is that we always will.
>
> > > To see what hams are doing with Packet in the US today, and to how you can
> > > be a part of that, drop by for a visit at USPN : http://www.uspacket.net
> > >
> > > Charles Brabham, N5PVL
> > > webmaster@uspacket.net
> > > http://www.uspacket.net
> >
> > In general, I have learned from your prior posts that anything you endorse is
> > either self-serving or doomed to failure because of your connection to it.
>
> What was it that you remember me endorsing? I'm curious about that.

Anything you speak out in favor of. :-)

638. Re: 802.11 (From QRZ)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-23 21:30:33 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.55.0305232118390.3093@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 3885

On Fri, 23 May 2003, charlesb wrote:
> There has been an ongoing dialog in the amateur radio community about 802.11
> wireless modems for several years now; In fact the dialog began some time
> before the equipment had generally become available.
>
> One almost universally accepted idea for application of 802.11 equipment by
> Hams is to use it to extend basic Internet access into areas that have lost
> it. The most workable version of this idea is to have 20-50 miles of
> "portable" network that can be rapidly deployed where needed, when needed.
> After the emergency is over, the system is taken down, refurbished, and
> stored in readiness for future need.
>
> A great idea, if anyone actually does it, and it works.
>
> Because 802.11 is being pushed as a PR item in the ham magazines, dozens of
> new and potential hams are starry-eyed with unreasonable expectations for a
> low powered microwave system that is in widespread use by millions of
> non-hams, all across the country.
>
> In metropolitan areas, for example, the steadily increasing layers of
> commercial 802.11 users are going to make it very difficult to get any
> appreciable range from these systems, even with dish antennas. There are
> ways to make it work of course if money is no object, but money almost
> always IS an object... Hams are generally thrifty.
>
> At USPN, we are gathering information about digital amateur radio networks
> in the US. So far, we haven't heard of any appreciable network being built
> with 802.11... There are several experimental setups done on a small scale,
> probably hundreds of them. - But no organized, wide-scale network.
>
> Years of hype - No network.

How hard have you looked?
www.seattlewireless.org
www.sydneywireless.org.au (URL - I think; have to check).
There's two examples of something more medium to wide scale.

The Los Angeles area now has a WLAN user's group which has just started its
second year. (www.socalwug.org) However, it may be more of a collection of
"wardrivers" than network builders at this time.

> The organized, working networking is almost all being done at lower
> frequencies, and at lower data rates because this is what works best. Why
> they work best is seldom aparrent to a new Ham who has not had an
> opportunity to get a firm grasp of the laws of physics as they apply to ham

> radio, doesn't know much about the hobby yet, and who has instead been
> reading the PR material about 802.11 that is published in the Ham magazines.

Actually, only the 6 lower "channels" are in the amateur band. Therefore,
those who are licensed and putting these up will want to use those frequencies,
while those who are only "part 15'ers" should use the upper ones (but are
sufficiently radio unknowledgeable to know this).

> That is the danger when you try to "PR" what is supposed to be rational and
> scientific... The natural tendency in "PR" is to accentuate the positive,
> and eliminate or ignore the negative, which obviously is a far cry from the
> basic requirements of either objectivity or reason. This creates a fog of
> misinformation and unreasonable expectations, most particularly affecting
> impressionable new Hams.

PR is just to get someone interested in an idea. However, after one's interest
is piqued, there's no excuse for not doing further research into what the
concept actually means. Those who really care will be able to identify the
misinformation and thus avoid it.

> Not long ago, prospective Hams were being promised free Internet access by
> the "PR" types, never got it because it had always been illegal from the
> get-go, and as a result we ended up with a large pool of dissatisfied new
> Hams, brought into the hobby on the strength of a false PR claim.

I have no idea what this is in reference to. What free Internet access?

> Being bad PR, it highlights systems that nothing appreciable has been
> accomplished with, ignoring the excellent, large-scale US packet networks
> that actually exist and operate every day. The people who have actually
> accomplished something with packet radio are systematically ignored. These
> systems are downplayed so new Hams can be "sold" into the hobby on the
> strength of something that has yet to see any significant use. - A sure
> prescription of disappointment and disallusionment. - Again.

How many non-amateur licensees really even know about a packet network? Even
my relatives who know that amateur radio exists, that I have a license, and
that I have "a computer on the air" probably don't realize that it may be part
of a network of computers.

> Unfortunately, most new and prospective Hams are thorougly exposed to this
> "tabloid" side of the hobby and so are starved for facts. The rational,
> scientific aspect of the hobby, and our most outstanding accomplishents are
> seldom presented to them.
>
> Too boring! - the PR guys say. Don't scare new Hams away by talking about
> what Hams actually tend to do with radio!
>
> The 802.11 stuff is kind of neat, but is severley limited by both federal
> law, and the laws of physics. There are obvious uses for 802.11, but using
> it as a basis for a "PR" campaign for the hobby is a good way to shoot off
> our own foot, disallusioning a large group of new Hams. - Yet again!

What is disillusioning is that the ARRL claims to have taken the lead on this concept. Bullsh-t. There were those who were doing this sort of thing way before LAST YEAR when the ARRL first even thought of it.

639. Free HF Packet tuning indicator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-23 22:20:56 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <c9xza.402$bW.182522939@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3886

I figured out a simple way to use a PSK31 soundcard program's waterfall display as a tuning indicator for HF packet TNC's that have no built-in indicator.

It's cheap, it works, it's a lot better than trying to do it by "ear".

It's actually easier and faster to use than most built-in tuning indicators!

http://www.uspacket.net/hfpkt.htm

... Or go to USPN, click the "Tutorials" page, and pick it from the list there.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL
webmaster@uspacket.net
http://www.uspacket.net

640. DQPSK modulation technique

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-25 13:43:15 UTC
From: saumyajit_tech@yahoo.co.in
Message-ID: <9d5c33e7.0305250543.778093e9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3887

I am an enginnering graduate doing a training in VLSI.
Could any body please help me in understanding the implementation of
modulation technique within the digital domain.I will explain my doubt.
For DQPSK modulation,we take a dibit and depending upon the phase (jw)
we taransmit those bits in I-phase and Q-phase. I phase holds the real
value and Q phase hlds the Imaginary part.
NOw the Real values can be -1, +1 ,0. Do we have to take 2s complement
arithmetic for representing this. But that again needs 2 bits.
So I would like to know how these bits are sent? Or I would liek to
know if my idea is wrong ?
Could any body please help me ..
Thanks !!

Saumyajit

641. Re: DQPSK modulation technique

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-25 17:02:49 UTC
From: Jim Hale <jhale240@cox.net>
Message-ID: <ZG6Aa.95602$823.15744@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 3888

"Saumyajit" <saumyajit_tech@yahoo.co.in> wrote
> I am an enginnering graduate doing a training in VLSI.
> Could any body please help me in understanding the implementation of
> modulation technique within the digital domain.I will explain my doubt.
> For DQPSK modulation,we take a dibit and depending upon the phase (jw)
> we taransmit those bits in I-phase and Q-phase. I phase holds the real
> value and Q phase hlds the Imaginary part.
> NOw the Real values can be -1, +1 ,0. Do we have to take 2s complement
> arithmetic for representing this. But that again needs 2 bits.
> So I would like to know how these bits are sent? Or I would liek to
> know if my idea is wrong ?

Take a look at:

http://cvs.sourceforge.net/cgi-bin/viewcvs.cgi/*checkout*/spuc/spuc/comm/dqpsk.h?rev=1.1

(the above may wrap, so link it back together on your browser line).

642. Re: KPC3 and TM255

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-25 23:01:11 UTC
From: n1pop <n1pop@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <30jeav0dp7pfqc7p9ro80g3ng0lsfuud2u@4ax.com>
Source record: 3889

Hello Tom.

I have no knowledge of the TM-255, so I can't help there. But I can
tell you that the KPC-3's data port is a standard RS-232 DCE port.
That's the 25-pin connector. The 9-pin connector is audio interface
and a pinout is available at http://www.kantronics.com/kpc3+.htm
(should also work for KPC-3).

On Wed, 23 Apr 2003 18:23:22 GMT, "Tom" <supra@yucomREMOVETHIS.be>
wrote:

>Hello ,
>
>Who can give me the pin layout for the datacable between the KPC3 and the
>TM255 (at the back of this Rig) ?
>
>Many thanks
>
>Tom
>

643. Re: DQPSK modulation technique

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-26 05:40:57 UTC
From: saumyajit_tech@yahoo.co.in
Message-ID: <9d5c33e7.0305252140.9282f74@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3890

"Jim Hale" <jhale240@cox.net> wrote in message
news:<ZG6Aa.95602$823.15744@news1.east.cox.net>...
> "Saumyajit" <saumyajit_tech@yahoo.co.in> wrote
> > I am an enginnering graduate doing a training in VLSI.
> > Could any body please help me in understanding the implementation of
> > modulation technique within the digital domain.I will explain my doubt.
> > For DQPSK modulation,we take a dibit and depending upon the phase (jw)
> > we taransmit those bits in I-phase and Q-phase. I phase holds the real
> > value and Q phase hlds the Imaginary part.
> > NOw the Real values can be -1, +1 ,0. Do we have to take 2s complement
> > arithmetic for representing this. But that again needs 2 bits.
> > So I would like to know how these bits are sent? Or I would liek to
> > know if my idea is wrong ?
>
> Take a look at:
>
> http://cvs.sourceforge.net/cgi-bin/viewcvs.cgi/*checkout*/spuc/spuc/comm/dqpsk.h?rev=1.1
>
> (the above may wrap, so link it back together on your browser line).

HI Jim,
Yaah it looks useful,But as i am an VLSI guy so i am finding it bit
difficult to understand the C and C++. Do you have the idea of any
reference document or any codes in HDL (VHDL/ VERILOG) regarding this
?? Then it will be very much help full for me..
Thanks Jim for your kind response.
Saumyajit

644. Re: Memorial Day - TOM - WA1LYT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-26 12:03:55 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030526080355.09634.00000257@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 3891

Was it really neccessary to spam this on all the amateur radio groups? If your link has anything to do with Amateur radio, rec.radio.amateur would have been sufficient.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net

645. TNC with APRS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-26 13:59:16 UTC
From: gwheelerx@qolpublishing.com
Message-ID: <180b90ab.0305260559.20d74524@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3892

I've read that some of the later TNC's include APRS. Apparently they connect to a computer to be configured, then all they need is a GPS receiver and radio to become an APRS station. (Makes sense -- the TNC contains everything needed.)

So, which models of TNC have this feature? I have a PacComm Tiny-2, and I'm pretty sure it does not. Is there a firmware upgrade (or replacement) for the Tiny-2?

Thanks.

Gerry KG4NBB

646. Re: TNC with APRS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-26 14:04:01 UTC
From: Vital Spark <vital.spark@blueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <l9pAa.457$cS3.3881999@news-text.cableinet.net>
Source record: 3893

At least some of the KANTRONICS TNC's have APRS features in built. The 9612+ TNC's i have been using for a while do, and the second-hand KAM98 that I recently acquired appears to have the same features.

I am not aware of any TNC-2 eprom with the APRS features.

Vital

647. Re: TNC with APRS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-26 15:42:27 UTC
From: Jim Hale <jhale240@cox.net>
Message-ID: <DBqAa.107322$823.66262@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 3894

"Gerry Wheeler" <gwheelerx@qolpublishing.com> wrote
>
> I've read that some of the later TNC's include APRS. Apparently they
> connect to a computer to be configured, then all they need is a GPS
> receiver and radio to become an APRS station. (Makes sense -- the TNC
> contains everything needed.)
>
> So, which models of TNC have this feature? I have a PacComm Tiny-2, and
> I'm pretty sure it does not. Is there a firmware upgrade (or replacement)
> for the Tiny-2?

That's the old way of doing things. Today you buy a tracker (single board
PIC processor), hook that to your GPS, and radio, and then throw it under
the spare tire.

In the house, I recommend AGWPE (packet engine) and use the soundcard
modem. Every Ham I know has an old PC and sound card to make up an
APRS station. Then get the UI-View software and you're done.

TNC's, even if they are free, will be less than the station described above.
The trackers have neat features that transmit your position as you make a
turn. TNC's just beacon. The AGW soundcard driver works great, even
on XP.

648. MFJ1274-info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-26 16:11:27 UTC
From: David Shalita <davidr@cnmnetwork.com>
Message-ID: <3ED23CAF.3A32FDC2@cnmnetwork.com>
Source record: 3895

I'm reviving my Packet gear on 2M for AX25 1200 baud service
and later some APRS.
My TNC seems to be not working correctly.
Another possibility I do not have it setup correctly.
Some info from 1274 USERS would sure help.

I am running a ver 1.2.7 firmare.
My TNC is rigged for 1200 baud as best I can tell.
JMPR 8 and 5 are in place. All others JMPR are not shorting any pins

The Modem disconnect header is jumper for internal modem.
Running port to PC at 9600 allows me to control the TNC
from keyboard using Hypertem in WIN98SE. Will try another
Packet program like YAPP.

Digital Loopback works OK. Can CONNECT to W6MIK and echo keyboard
typing.
Tones are heard on another HT when CAL is typed
and see 10W into dummy load.
Tones changed with space bar.

I hear packets and see tone bursts on scope at 144.39 and 144.95
in Van Nuys, CA. DCD light flashes on every time I hear a BRACK.
But not any decode info is every painted on screen.
Also the LED Tuning Unit Display NEVER ever has any segment lighted.
In VHF mode, should the any LED segments be lighted?

Ran an APRS software with same result,
nothing is ever painted on screen.

Ran an alignment procedure for this TNC.
Tone calibration part of the procedure worked OK
Expected tones were very close to 1200, 200, 1600 and 1800.]
Portion of procedure that DID NOT work
was square wave adjustment and TUNING UNITs LED segments NEVER
tuned on. Square wave was on wrong pin of JMPR.

Will APRS packet info ever paint ANYTHING on screen
when running AX25 Packet software such as YAPP?
In VHF packet mode, should LED segments EVER be on?

Is there any reason why my MFJ1274 TNC might not work with APRS?

I will feed packet received info from another radio
into this TNC to see if radio is at fault but I suspect the TNC.

What might help would be to run my 1274 TNC on another AX25 Packet station that also uses a 1274.

Many thanks in advance for any hints.

73, W6MIK, Dave

649. MixW ver 2.08 W/PSK63 now available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-26 17:01:01 UTC
From: Jim <jaffejim@erols.com>
Message-ID: <bath8ffre1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 3896

Hi there fellow warblers and finger talkers happy to announce that MixW version 2.08 is now supporting PSK63 mode. You may download the latest version dated May 26th from our website which is www.nvbb.net/~jaffejim/mixwpage.htm Please make sure you download the new Help files dated April 11 2003 they reflect a full revision fully supporting version 2.07 please read the information regarding PSK63 (BPSK63) and the Macros that make it work. Also read the Changes page and if I were you I would print out the entire page which will give you an insight as to how the program has progressed and works.. Best 73 and happy finger talking and warbling.. Jim, WA2VOS

650. Re: TNC with APRS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-26 23:59:47 UTC
From: News Account <none@none.org>
Message-ID: <TTxAa.25310$Dd4.8038620@news.alltel.net>
Source record: 3897

PK-96 does it.

73's

Don Woodward
KD4APP
AMSAT 33535
http://kd4app.webhop.org

"Gerry Wheeler" <gwheelerx@qolpublishing.com> wrote in message
news:180b90ab.0305260559.20d74524@posting.google.com...
> I've read that some of the later TNC's include APRS. Apparently they
> connect to a computer to be configured, then all they need is a GPS
> receiver and radio to become an APRS station. (Makes sense -- the TNC
> contains everything needed.)
>
> So, which models of TNC have this feature? I have a PacComm Tiny-2, and
> I'm pretty sure it does not. Is there a firmware upgrade (or replacement)
> for the Tiny-2?
>
> Thanks.
>
> Gerry KG4NBB

651. Red Hat 8.0 / Soundmodem user-mode / PTT parallel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-27 00:23:12 UTC
From: kc0atc@qsl.net
Message-ID: <a444e1b1.0305261623.6f6c45a4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3898

Hi,

I am trying to get my Red Hat 8.0 box working as a aprs digipeater.
I have the user-level soundmodem package working ok, and the
aprsdigi software is working.

My problem is PTT. I am attempting to use the parallel
port. No joy so far. A multimeter between PTT and ground
show no change when running soundmodemconfig PTT test, or
when running aprsdigi.

I built the parallel port circuit from Sailor's web page.

web searching, I see where people doing this with serial
port have to turn off the port using setserial. Is there
some similar thing that must be done for parallel?

Help!

Chris
kc0atc

652. Re: Red Hat 8.0 / Soundmodem user-mode / PTT parallel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-27 01:46:48 UTC
From: Jim Hale <jhale240@cox.net>
Message-ID: <cszAa.112299$823.16266@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 3899

"Chris" <kc0atc@qsl.net> wrote
>
> My problem is PTT. I am attempting to use the parallel
> port. No joy so far. A multimeter between PTT and ground
> show no change when running soundmodemconfig PTT test, or
> when running aprsdigi.
>
> I built the parallel port circuit from Sailor's web page.
>
> web searching, I see where people doing this with serial
> port have to turn off the port using setserial. Is there
> some similar thing that must be done for parallel?

Maybe try different parallel port modes in the CMOS (old style
XT probably best).

653. Re: Red Hat 8.0 / Soundmodem user-mode / PTT parallel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-27 03:15:03 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen <nielsen@remove_this.oz.net>
Message-ID: <baul7n$7sn$0@216.39.144.81>
Source record: 3900

On 26 May 2003 17:23:12 -0700, Chris wrote:
> Hi,
>
> I am trying to get my Red Hat 8.0 box working as a aprs digipeater.
> I have the user-level soundmodem package working ok, and the
> aprsdigi software is working.
>
> My problem is PTT. I am attempting to use the parallel
> port. No joy so far. A multimeter between PTT and ground
> show no change when running soundmodemconfig PTT test, or
> when running aprsdigi.
>
> I built the parallel port circuit from Sailor's web page.
>
> web searching, I see where people doing this with serial
> port have to turn off the port using setserial. Is there
> some similar thing that must be done for parallel?
>
> Help!

You should not have the line printer driver loaded (rmmod lp).

654. Re: Red Hat 8.0 / Soundmodem user-mode / PTT parallel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-27 05:01:34 UTC
From: kc0atc@qsl.net
Message-ID: <a444e1b1.0305262101.733e102f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3751

Thanks Jim!

I finally had the sense to try out the parallel port using
a printer. And it didn't work there either. I ended up doing
like you say, configuring the parallel port in the BIOS
to use a fixed address.

So for posterity's sake, here is what I am using and how it
goes together:

1) working sound card - in my case it's a built-in to the
motherboard of this 333 mhz dell machine
2) compiled kernel with mkiss.o module
3) downloaded and compiled user-level soundmodem code
(version 0.7)
4) downloaded libax25, ax25-apps, ax25-tools from sourceforge
5) downloaded aprsdigi and xastir
6) Configured and compiled all of that stuff. The compile for
aprsdigi didn't work until I did some fooling around with
/usr/include/linux/rose.h and /usr/include/linux/ax25.h,
namely, replacing them with links to ../netrose/rose.h, and
(I think) ../netax25/ax25.h something like that. and I had
to add the definition for AX25_MTU from the old /usr/include/linux
version of ax25.h (I'm not sure if I have that all exactly right,
if it builds for you, then just go for it. If not, then it is
pretty
obvious what is wrong.)
7) run soundmodemconfig, create channels for each of the ax25 devices
you
want to use sm0, sm1, etc. Also, check out the scope display to
see if your
volume is set right. And test the PTT.
8) I linked /usr/local/etc/ax25 to /etc/ax25 (I may have done this
earlier)
9) edit /etc/ax25/axports to have a callsign/port for each channel
defined
in 7. The names sm0, sm1, etc. don't appear in axports file. But
we are building some callsign/ax25 definitions that will get hooked up
to sm0, sm1, etc. later.
10) run soundconfig -v5 and watch the packets come in
11) ifconfig sm0 up
12) axparms -setcall sm0 <callsign from axports>
13) aprsdigi (pointed to the ax25 port name indicated as the first
item in a axports definition line for callsign used in step 12)
14) ifconfig sm1 up

15) axparms -setcall sm1 <callsign from axports>
16) xastir - setup to use ax25 using ax25 name from axports which is
associated with the callsign used in step 15.

So the axports file relates callsign and ax25 port name. The
soundmodem config
relates callsign and network device (sm0, etc.) for each channel:

net device --> callsign --> ax25 port
sm0 -> kc0atc -> digi (used by aprsdigi)
sm1 -> kc0atc-2 -> xastir (used by xastir)

Using mkiss the same stuff shows up as input on both ports, so
both xastir and aprsdigi get to see it.

It took me a long time to get this all figured out.

I am using parport0 as my PTT trigger. It is working ok.
I built the little PTT circuit from Sailor's web page.
Once I got my parallel port working, then the PTT and GND
lines from his circuit go to 0 ohms (PTT is grounded)
when the software wants to transmit.

(Isn't the internet cool? I can type all of this in and Google
Groups will keep it for all of posterity. If I forget, I can
just look it up!)

Chris
kc0atc

655. Re: TNC with APRS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-27 05:23:16 UTC
From: IanCT <IanCThurston@nospam.hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8DCAa.55$H7.3270881@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3752

If you can swing it, Kantronics puts out a
GPS Tracker which is a KPC-3P with
8.3 Firmware, and a BUILT-IN Garmin
12 GPS Receiver. It's a little wider than
a standard KPC-3, but you just wire it to
the radio like you normally would, and you
are ready to rock'n'roll. I have a picture
at http://www.IanThurston.com/radiogear/RadioPA.jpg
and http://www.IanThurston.com/radiogear/220GPS.jpg

My layout in the truck has changed a bit, but it's
nearly still the same. I have the Tracker on the
nat'l APRS frequency using a Yaesu FT-1500M.

73
Ian, KE6CBL

"Gerry Wheeler" <gwheelerx@qolpublishing.com> wrote in message
news:180b90ab.0305260559.20d74524@posting.google.com...
> I've read that some of the later TNC's include APRS. Apparently they
> connect to a computer to be configured, then all they need is a GPS
> receiver and radio to become an APRS station. (Makes sense -- the TNC
> contains everything needed.)
>
> So, which models of TNC have this feature? I have a PacComm Tiny-2, and
> I'm pretty sure it does not. Is there a firmware upgrade (or replacement)
> for the Tiny-2?
>
> Thanks.
>
> Gerry KG4NBB

656. Re: KPC3 and TM255

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-27 05:25:39 UTC
From: IanCT <IanCThurston@nospam.hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <nFCAa.57$da.3435797@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3753

See http://www.packetradio.com/Radio-TNC_Wiring_Diagrams.htm

If the rear of the radio uses the Mini-DIN, then the wiring you look for is
http://www.packetradio.com/!TNCables/060KV.gif

73!

"n1pop" <n1pop@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:30jeav0dp7pfqc7p9ro80g3ng0lsfuud2u@4ax.com...
> Hello Tom.
>
> I have no knowledge of the TM-255, so I can't help there. But I can
> tell you that the KPC-3's data port is a standard RS-232 DCE port.
> That's the 25-pin connector. The 9-pin connector is audio interface
> and a pinout is available at http://www.kantronics.com/kpc3+.htm
> (should also work for KPC-3).
>
> On Wed, 23 Apr 2003 18:23:22 GMT, "Tom" <supra@yucomREMOVETHIS.be>
> wrote:
>
> >Hello ,
> >
> >Who can give me the pin layout for the datacable between the KPC3 and the
> >TM255 (at the back of this Rig) ?
> >
> >Many thanks
> >
> >Tom
> >
>

657. Re: Red Hat 8.0 / Soundmodem user-mode / PTT parallel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-27 11:33:13 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <Z1IAa.853$Ew1.569070758@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3754

"Chris" <kc0atc@qsl.net> wrote in message
news:a444e1b1.0305262101.733e102f@posting.google.com...
>
> (Isn't the internet cool? I can type all of this in and Google
> Groups will keep it for all of posterity. If I forget, I can
> just look it up!)

A couple of days go, I was trying to hook up a packet link between two computers using ethernet. I was doing a little bit of head-scratching, until I remembered an article I had written on the subject seven or eight years ago, and had put in my personal web-site.

I brought up the browser, clicked the mouse a few times, and there was all the info I needed!

Charles, N5PVL

658. Re: Opinions on service for Alinco DR-570

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-28 02:35:16 UTC
From: "\"Sparky\"" <sparks@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <t8icnU10K6VSrEmjXTWcpA@vnet-inc.com>
Source record: 3758
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

How are you powering your radio? If from an AC power supply, you may
have a failing power supply. Your display's brightness shouldn't
change at all regardless of your transmit power setting.

73, Sam

<olllllllo@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:XkVAa.106$aa7.73@newssvr32.news.prodigy.com...
| Hello--
|
| I have an Alinco DR-570 2m/70cm radio that,
| when transmitting on high power, the display
| will dim to arond 50% brightness, and the power
| output meter will quickly go full scale, then drop
| to zero, then go full scale--repeatedly.
|
| I believe that the radio is trying to protect itself
| from damage.
|
| On low power, all seems well, except that the
| display dims more than I seem to remember it
| dimming during transmit.
|
| Does anyone have knowledge of a good place to
| send it for analysis and/or repair? It is approx 10
| years old, so I have to consider the cost of a new
| radio over the cost of repairing this one.
|
| Thanks for any helpful input!
|
| 73
|
|

659. Opinions on service for Alinco DR-570

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-28 02:40:55 UTC
From: olllllllo@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <XkVAa.106$aa7.73@newssvr32.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3755
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

Hello--

I have an Alinco DR-570 2m/70cm radio that,
when transmitting on high power, the display
will dim to arond 50% brightness, and the power
output meter will quickly go full scale, then drop
to zero, then go full scale--repeatedly.

I believe that the radio is trying to protect itself
from damage.

On low power, all seems well, except that the
display dims more than I seem to remember it
dimming during transmit.

Does anyone have knowledge of a good place to
send it for analysis and/or repair? It is approx 10
years old, so I have to consider the cost of a new
radio over the cost of repairing this one.

Thanks for any helpful input!

73

660. Re: Opinions on service for Alinco DR-570

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-28 02:59:27 UTC
From: Clif Holland <radio.service@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <jCVAa.17469$8i4.8951@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 3756
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

You don't have enough current going to the radio. Too small of wire or
plugged into the cigarette lighter jack...

--
Clif Holland, KA5IPF
AVVid
Authorized Kenwood and Icom Amateur Service
www.avvid.com
1-800-214-5779

<olllllllo@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:XkVAa.106$aa7.73@newssvr32.news.prodigy.com...
> Hello--
>
> I have an Alinco DR-570 2m/70cm radio that,
> when transmitting on high power, the display
> will dim to arond 50% brightness, and the power
> output meter will quickly go full scale, then drop
> to zero, then go full scale--repeatedly.
>
> I believe that the radio is trying to protect itself
> from damage.
>
> On low power, all seems well, except that the
> display dims more than I seem to remember it
> dimming during transmit.
>
> Does anyone have knowledge of a good place to
> send it for analysis and/or repair? It is approx 10
> years old, so I have to consider the cost of a new
> radio over the cost of repairing this one.
>
> Thanks for any helpful input!
>
> 73
>
>

661. Re: Opinions on service for Alinco DR-570

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-28 03:25:56 UTC
From: olllllllo@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <8%VAa.128$Gh5.75@newssvr32.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3757
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

Clif--

You are 100% correct.

I took your advice, and looked more closely at the cabling,
and sure enough, I used a power pigtail that appeared to be
the same guage as my other red/black power cables, except
it wasn't...the insulation was just thicker.

Thanks for the heads-up.

This should be enough motivation for me to solder on all of
the Anderson PowerPole connectors I received, and do it
to the kit's heavy guage wire.

Thanks, and 73.

"Clif Holland" <radio.service@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:jCVAa.17469$8i4.8951@nwrddc02.gnilink.net...
> You don't have enough current going to the radio. Too small of wire or
> plugged into the cigarette lighter jack...
>
> --
> Clif Holland, KA5IPF
> AVVid
> Authorized Kenwood and Icom Amateur Service
> www.avvid.com
> 1-800-214-5779
>
>
> <olllllllo@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> news:XkVAa.106$aa7.73@newssvr32.news.prodigy.com...
> > Hello--
> >
> > I have an Alinco DR-570 2m/70cm radio that,
> > when transmitting on high power, the display
> > will dim to arond 50% brightness, and the power
> > output meter will quickly go full scale, then drop
> > to zero, then go full scale--repeatedly.
> >
> > I believe that the radio is trying to protect itself
> > from damage.
> >
> > On low power, all seems well, except that the
> > display dims more than I seem to remember it

> > dimming during transmit.
> >
> > Does anyone have knowledge of a good place to
> > send it for analysis and/or repair? It is approx 10
> > years old, so I have to consider the cost of a new
> > radio over the cost of repairing this one.
> >
> > Thanks for any helpful input!
> >
> > 73
> >
> >
>
>

662. Re: Opinions on service for Alinco DR-570

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-28 04:55:23 UTC
From: Jim Hale <jhale240@cox.net>
Message-ID: <%iXAa.115724$823.17923@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 3759
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

> I have an Alinco DR-570 2m/70cm radio that,
> when transmitting on high power, the display
> will dim to arond 50% brightness, and the power
> output meter will quickly go full scale, then drop
> to zero, then go full scale--repeatedly.
>
> Does anyone have knowledge of a good place to
> send it for analysis and/or repair?

I realize you found the problem, but the answer to your question is:

http://www.therfshop.com/

I also have a DR-570, and Randy fixed my dead display.

Randy does FAST quality work. He had my radio back before
I even missed it.

663. Using a NEC MobilePro

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-28 05:37:44 UTC
From: IanCT <IanCThurston@nospam.hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <IWXAa.2856$Yt1.602@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3760

These cute little suckers would be ideal for
portable packet purposes. I have a laptop I use
when needed, but these guys are too neat looking to
pass up, but they run WinCE. These devices
link to PC's using the PC's serial cable, and I assume
a proprietary connection on the MobilePro side.

Can these be used for Packet Radio? Do they
have a terminal program? I would assume I'd have
to use a null modem adapter to link to the TNC, since
the device expects a DTE (PC) device on the other end.

And can they run DOS programs such as paKet or
KaGold? Is there an emulator for them?

Just wondering if anyone has ever tried it.

Thanks in advance,
-Ian, KE6CBL

664. kodak 4230 problems/battery questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-28 16:07:03 UTC
From: jane <aiwass@golden.net>
Message-ID: <9_4Ba.5$Vm.471071@mantis.golden.net>
Source record: 3761
Cross-posted to: rec.photo.digital,rec.photo.marketplace.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

I recently bought a kodak 4230 easyshare... took about 400-500 pics on the crv3 kodak battery.. I suppose it's dead now cause the battery turns itself on every now and then, takes a pic and then jams on me, and I cannot shut it down or do anything else unless I let it sit for a few minutes.

so I tried to buy a couple of alkaline batteries but the same thing happened.

can someone tell me whether brand new alkaline AA batteries can be just as bad and have the same effect as an almost dead crv3 battery when I put them in? it sure looks like it, unless of course there is a problem with my camera.

can someone tell me what the best batteries are for my kodak? I am thinking of buying 2 AA rechargable lithiums (energizer) with the charger for around $40 canadian dollars. is there a problem when buying non kodak rechargable batteries? and what would be the difference between 2 AA rechargables and one rechargable battery pack? thanks for any input.

665. Re: kodak 4230 problems/battery questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-28 18:26:42 UTC
From: Ron Baird <ronbaird@kodak.com>
Message-ID: <bb2v13$gm3$1@news.kodak.com>
Source record: 3762
Cross-posted to: rec.photo.digital,rec.photo.marketplace.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

Greetings Jane,

I would consider getting the accessory battery charger. If you do not want to get a dock, you might want to consider getting the travel kit. This gives you a battery charger that works on 100 - 240 and features several adapters for the diverse plug configurations you may encounter around the world. It also provides a nice carry bag with shoulder strap and smaller bag for other items. It will quickly charge the NiMH battery that is also included with the travel kit (about 3 hours or so) and you can use over and over. Just one purchase.

If not of interest to you, consider getting another CRV3 battery. It is a lithium battery and will last a long time.

Digital cameras require a specific amount of power, and though Alkaline batteries are pretty good for most things, they may note be able to provide the power levels that a camera requires. So, we recommend the lithium or NiMH rechargeables to give you those higher levels and in the NiMH the ability to recharge saving you a lot of money in the long run.

Talk to you soon, Jane, let me know if you have questions.

Ron Baird
Kodak

"jane" <aiwass@golden.net> wrote in message
news:9_4Ba.5$Vm.471071@mantis.golden.net...
> I recently bought a kodak 4230 easyshare... took about 400-500 pics on
the
> crv3 kodak battery.. I suppose it's dead now cause the battery turns
itself
> on every now and then, takes a pic and then jams on me, and I cannot shut
it
> down or do anything else unless I let it sit for a few minutes.
>
> so I tried to buy a couple of alkaline batteries but the same thing
> happened.
>
> can someone tell me whether brand new alkaline AA batteries can be just as
> bad and have the same effect as an almost dead crv3 battery when I put
them
> in? it sure looks like it, unless of course there is a problem with my
> camera.
>
> can someone tell me what the best batteries are for my kodak? I am

> thinking of buying 2 AA rechargable lithiums (energizer) with the charger
> for around $40 canadian dollars. is there a problem when buying non
kodak
> rechargable batteries? and what would be the difference between 2 AA
> rechargables and one rechargable battery pack? thanks for any input.
>
>

666. Re: Knwd TM-D700 Programming problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-28 20:45:26 UTC
From: "Nosko S." <sorry.nospam@here.com>
Message-ID: <bb37nu$6hv$1@localhost.localdomain>
Source record: 3763

Roger Barker seems to have responded, but my reader says the message is no longer available. Try emailing me

Nosko S. <sorry.nospam@here.com> wrote in message news:balq0ctqs1@localhost.localdomain...
> I can't get the D700 and computer program (Kenwood's MCP for the D700) to
> communicate.
>
> All looks good on a Win 95, but it hangs. Here's what I've tried:
>
> I checked baud rates & power cycled the radio as required.
> Checked the cable
> Put an RS232 monitor in the line (Red/Green LEDs on DTR, DSR, RTS, CTS, TxD,
> RxD)
> On radio power up it asserts the CTS line true and keeps it true.
> The Radio's Tx line is set to idle (space). There does appear to be
> a short blip of
> data (blip of mark seen as a short change from red to green)
> The DSR line appears to be open circuit (NO LED ever)
> When the MCP program is running but idle, it sets the DTR line true and
> the Tx data to idle.
>
> When the MCP program is commanded to "read" the radio:
> It asserts the RTS line true.
> The reading radio dialog appears, shows NO progress and hangs until:
> The radio is shut off then about 4 seconds later a "communication
> time out dialog box appears and it can be dismissed and MCP
> control returns to me.
>
> I tried to putting it into read mode THEN turning on the radio to see if
> that data burst was the go-ahead, but it still hangs.
>
> Radio works fine otherwise - doing APRS ok etc....
>
> --
> [please clip quoted postings down to the relevant parts, thank you]
> K9DCI and I am at the ARRL dot net (home)
> Steve Noskowics...Pardon my paranoia, but my email has no U's in it:
> steuve.Nouskouwicz@moutoroula.com
>

>
>
>

667. Re: kodak 4230 problems/battery questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-28 21:36:27 UTC
From: Jim Hale <jhale240@cox.net>
Message-ID: <vZ9Ba.120191$823.22740@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 3764
Cross-posted to: rec.photo.digital,rec.photo.marketplace.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

Buy the batteries Kodak recommends.

668. Super ERP using 802.11g under Part 97

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-28 23:12:33 UTC
From: horseshoe7@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5f4fe4c2.0305281512.70a7edde@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3765

According to the following, hams are able to use SUPER EIRP on 802.11g:

http://www.qsl.net/kb9mwr/projects/wireless/pwr.html

Is this true? And, if so, why in the hell aren't we taking advantage of this?

- Stewart (N0MHS)

669. Re: Super ERP using 802.11g under Part 97

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-28 23:50:36 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.55.0305282343100.4227@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 3766

On Wed, 28 May 2003, stewart wrote:
> According to the following, hams are able to use SUPER EIRP on 802.11g:
>
> http://www.qsl.net/kb9mwr/projects/wireless/pwr.html
>
> Is this true? And, if so, why in the hell aren't we taking advantage of this?

Who said we weren't? (Of course, clueless Stu did!)

Yes, there are things that we can do with 802.11 stuff that exceed Part 15's limitations and remain legal for us licensed "few" (relative to the population as a whole - >0.3%) as long as we abide by Part 97 (meaning that we are restricted to those parts of the bands where these devices overlap with amateur privileges).

That means:
- content restrictions (including some third-party restrictions)
- ID'ing every 10 minutes when the link is in use.
- Automatic power control for PEP in excess of 1W (100W PEP maximum).

These are all the things that the average, unlicensed person doesn't have to worry about. However, they then have no such restrictions - only distance (due to their ERP limitations).

670. Re: kodak 4230 problems/battery questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-29 00:45:41 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@ctc.net>
Message-ID: <_gydnea0a5ExxUijRTvU3Q@ctc.net>
Source record: 3767
Cross-posted to: rec.photo.digital,rec.photo.marketplace.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

"jane" <aiwass@golden.net> wrote in message
news:9_4Ba.5$Vm.471071@mantis.golden.net...
> I recently bought a kodak 4230 easyshare... took about 400-500 pics on
the
> crv3 kodak battery.. I suppose it's dead now cause the battery turns
itself
> on every now and then, takes a pic and then jams on me, and I cannot shut
it
> down or do anything else unless I let it sit for a few minutes.
>
> so I tried to buy a couple of alkaline batteries but the same thing
> happened.
>
Get some of the MINH batteries and a charger. The others usually will not have enough power for a digital cam. The MiNh batteries have a property of being able to supply a very short pulse of high intensity power (current) the cams need for a pix. Other types of batteries will not do this very well, but will last a long time in a flashlight or other device. The MiNh batteries will run down by their selves if not used in about a month or less. This is no real big problem , you just have to make sure you charge them before you get ready for a pix session. I have a cam that uses 2 batteries so I have 6 batteries . I lable 2 each of them 1,2,3 and rotate them in them order. That way I usually have a charged set ready.

671. Re: Super ERP using 802.11g under Part 97

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-29 01:28:16 UTC
From: Jim Hale <jhale240@cox.net>
Message-ID: <QmdBa.121173$823.117920@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 3768

"stewart" <horseshoe7@yahoo.com> wrote
> According to the following, hams are able to use SUPER EIRP on 802.11g:
>
> http://www.qsl.net/kb9mwr/projects/wireless/pwr.html
>
> Is this true? And, if so, why in the hell aren't we taking advantage of this?

1. Part 97 limits content
2. Higher power doesn't mean better
3. Part 15 is good enough
4. Higher power sending BBS out-of-band mods is not
as much fun as sending pictures of naked women at frugal power.
5. 802.11 is spread spectrum. I don't know where you could
say it wasn't, and get higher power allowances.

672. Re: kodak 4230 problems/battery questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-29 03:04:56 UTC
From: Tom Pfeiffer <tompc@tompfeiffer.com>
Message-ID: <sNeBa.779727$Zo.162401@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 3769
Cross-posted to: rec.photo.digital,rec.photo.marketplace.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

NiMh. Nickel Metal Hydride.

:)

Tom P.

"Ralph Mowery" <rmowery@ctc.net> wrote in message > >
> Get some of the MINH batteries and a charger. The others usually will not
> have enough power for a digital cam. The MiNh batteries have a property
of
> being able to supply a very short pulse of high intensity power (current)
> the cams need for a pix. Other types of batteries will not do this very
> well, but will last a long time in a flashlight or other device. The MiNh
> batteries will run down by their selves if not used in about a month or
> less. This is no real big problem , you just have to make sure you charge
> them before you get ready for a pix session. I have a cam that uses 2
> batteries so I have 6 batteries . I lable 2 each of them 1,2,3 and rotate
> them in them order. That way I usually have a charged set ready.
>
>

673. Re: Super ERP using 802.11g under Part 97

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-29 07:56:17 UTC
From: horseshoe7@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5f4fe4c2.0305282356.3cd42c24@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3770

"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:<Pine.LNX.4.55.0305282343100.4227@kd6lvw.ampr.org>...
> On Wed, 28 May 2003, stewart wrote:
> > According to the following, hams are able to use SUPER EIRP on 802.11g:
> >
> > http://www.qsl.net/kb9mwr/projects/wireless/pwr.html
> >
> > Is this true? And, if so, why in the hell aren't we taking advantage of this?
>
> Who said we weren't? (Of course, clueless Stu did!)

STILL having trouble with the commute, eh? Now, could you try to
focus on the issue at hand for a few seconds, please...

> - Automatic power control for PEP in excess of 1W (100W PEP maximum).

Go to the site and check the table for the 802.11g entry; it lists a
top limit of 1500 watts PEP (per 93.313), and an astronomical EIRP
limit. My original question is in reference to these figures.

- Stewart
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/MURS-OPEN

674. Re: Super ERP using 802.11g under Part 97

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-29 08:05:15 UTC
From: horseshoe7@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5f4fe4c2.0305290005.39aca1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3771

"Jim Hale" <jhale240@cox.net> wrote in message
news:<QmdBa.121173$823.117920@news1.east.cox.net>...
> "stewart" <horseshoe7@yahoo.com> wrote
> > According to the following, hams are able to use SUPER EIRP on 802.11g:
> >
> > http://www.qsl.net/kb9mwr/projects/wireless/pwr.html
> >
> > Is this true? And, if so, why in the hell aren't we taking advantage of this?
>
> 1. Part 97 limits content

To pussies...

But what does this really have to do with my question regarding SUPER
EIRP? It seems that you REALLY didn't read the table, did you?

> 2. Higher power doesn't mean better

I'm more interested in antenna gain (but that, of course effects
EIRP).

> 3. Part 15 is good enough

Bullshit.

> 4. Higher power sending BBS out-of-band mods is not
> as much fun as sending pictures of naked women at frugal power.

Maybe, but I still want better comm range than Part 15 provides...
hell, we can run super high-gain omnis on 802.11g, according to the
info from the table.

> 5. 802.11 is spread spectrum. I don't know where you could
> say it wasn't, and get higher power allowances.

Ah - so we FINALLY get back to the question from my original post...
the table in the link said that 802.11g is NOT spread spectrum (at
least for purposes of the rules, as interpreted by KB9MWR) - that was
the WHOLE POINT of my question... do you have a comment in regards to
this? (Let's try to stay on topic, for once).

- Stewart
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/MURS-OPEN

675. Re: Super ERP using 802.11g under Part 97

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-29 15:33:27 UTC
From: Dana Myers K6JQ <k6jq@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <cd5734f52a9ec2dddc89e3708c10a30e@TeraNews>
Source record: 3772

stewart wrote:

> "Jim Hale" <jhale240@cox.net> wrote in message
news:<QmdBa.121173$823.117920@news1.east.cox.net>...
>
>>"stewart" <horseshoe7@yahoo.com> wrote
>>
>>>According to the following, hams are able to use SUPER EIRP on 802.11g:
>>>
>>>http://www.qsl.net/kb9mwr/projects/wireless/pwr.html
>>>
>>>Is this true? And, if so, why in the hell aren't we taking advantage of this?
>>
>>1. Part 97 limits content
>
>
> To pussies...

Oh heavens. To think I was about to join this thread and
point out that ODFM is quite likely *not* spread-spectrum,
supporting your point of view.

Thanks for saving me the trouble.

Dana

676. Re: Super ERP using 802.11g under Part 97

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-29 17:08:45 UTC
From: Jim Hale <jhale240@cox.net>
Message-ID: <x8rBa.129570$823.28364@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 3773

"stewart" <horseshoe7@yahoo.com> wrote
>
> > 5. 802.11 is spread spectrum. I don't know where you could
> > say it wasn't, and get higher power allowances.
>
> the table in the link said that 802.11g is NOT spread spectrum (at
> least for purposes of the rules, as interpreted by KB9MWR)

I reread the draft. 802.11g is not spread spectrum when using the OFDM modes, thus the spread spectrum restrictions don't apply.

So I guess the user has control of which mode the modem is in? I can flip a bit and be in OFDM mode only?

677. Re: Super ERP using 802.11g under Part 97

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-29 19:06:29 UTC
From: WB2JKX <mycall-N0SPAM@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <VSsBa.178911$ja4.9189701@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 3774

Jim Hale <jhale240@cox.net> wrote in message
news:x8rBa.129570$823.28364@news1.east.cox.net...
> "stewart" <horseshoe7@yahoo.com> wrote
> >
> > > 5. 802.11 is spread spectrum. I don't know where you could
> > > say it wasn't, and get higher power allowances.
> >
> > the table in the link said that 802.11g is NOT spread spectrum (at
> > least for purposes of the rules, as interpreted by KB9MWR)
>
> I reread the draft. 802.11g is not spread spectrum when using the OFDM
> modes, thus the spread spectrum restrictions don't apply.
>
> So I guess the user has control of which mode the modem is in? I can
> flip a bit and be in OFDM mode only?
>

--

802.11b is Direct Sequence Spread Spectrun, which is allowed under part 97
rules. 802.11g is OFDM, a type of multi-tone carrier modulation technique.
Is that even legal under current amateur rules? Not a statement, just a
question.

678. HELP: ICOM IC-V100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-29 19:25:38 UTC
From: Vince <vcl@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <R8tBa.6163$y77.629314@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 3775

hi all, i am looking for the schematic for the programmer and the software
for the icom IC-V100 if anyone can help please email me thank you

Vince ve3elb
==========
Email: ve3elb@rac.ca
Visit My Webpages @
www.qsl.net/ve3elb
http://www3.sympatico.ca/vcl

679. Re: Super ERP using 802.11g under Part 97

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-29 20:58:01 UTC
From: Jim Hale <jhale240@cox.net>
Message-ID: <tvuBa.130736$823.128766@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 3776

"WB2JKX" <mycall-N0SPAM@arrl.net> wrote
>
> 802.11b is Direct Sequence Spread Spectrun, which is allowed under part 97
> rules. 802.11g is OFDM, a type of multi-tone carrier modulation technique.
> Is that even legal under current amateur rules? Not a statement, just a
> question.

I always have trouble with 2.201 of the FCC rules, but in this case, I believe
OFDM would be J2D?? But, in any case, 97.305 allows multiplex emissions,
and unspecified codes in the 13cm band that have J1D, J2D, J7D, or J9D
modes. I'm just guessing here, but I seem to recall that only TDMA data is
a hated Amateur mode :-)

680. Re: kodak 4230 problems/battery questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-29 21:18:43 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@ctc.net>
Message-ID: <ScycnT3frMXD5EujXTWcoQ@ctc.net>
Source record: 3777
Cross-posted to: rec.photo.digital,rec.photo.marketplace.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

"Tom Pfeiffer" <tompc@tompfeiffer.com> wrote in message
news:sNeBa.779727$Zo.162401@sccrnsc03...
> NiMh. Nickel Metal Hydride.
>
> :)
>
> Tom P.
>
> "Ralph Mowery" <rmowery@ctc.net> wrote in message > >
> > Get some of the MINH batteries and a charger. The others usually will
not
> > have enough power for a digital cam. The MiNh batteries have a property
> of
>

I did manage to transpose the leters.. Thanks.

681. Re: HELP: ICOM IC-V100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-30 01:22:50 UTC
From: "Gary P. Fiber" <g.fiber@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <iecddvgl6vmmjfavf5ranc0mqr4kp1biq1@4ax.com>
Source record: 3779

On Thu, 29 May 2003 15:25:38 -0400, "Vince" <vcl@sympatico.ca> wrote:

>hi all, i am looking for the schematic for the programmer and the software
>for the icom IC-V100 if anyone can help please email me thank you
>
>
> Vince ve3elb
> ==================
> Email: ve3elb@rac.ca
> Visit My Webpages @
> www.qsl.net/ve3elb
> http://www3.sympatico.ca/vcl
>
Never was any software for programming the V-100. Programming the
V-100 can only be done with the EX-494 , cloning from a H-16 or
cloning from another V-100. To clone from another V-100 an EX-494 was
also required.

Gary K8IZ
Registered Linux User # 312991

682. Re: Super ERP using 802.11g under Part 97

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-30 01:23:37 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.55.0305300121120.4483@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 3780

On Thu, 29 May 2003, stewart wrote:
> "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:<Pine.LNX.4.55.0305282343100.4227@kd6lvw.ampr.org>...
> > On Wed, 28 May 2003, stewart wrote:
> > > According to the following, hams are able to use SUPER EIRP on 802.11g:
> > >
> > > http://www.qsl.net/kb9mwr/projects/wireless/pwr.html
> > >
> > > Is this true? And, if so, why in the hell aren't we taking advantage of this?
> >
> > Who said we weren't? (Of course, clueless Stu did!)
>
> STILL having trouble with the commute, eh? Now, could you try to
> focus on the issue at hand for a few seconds, please...
>
> > - Automatic power control for PEP in excess of 1W (100W PEP maximum).
>
> Go to the site and check the table for the 802.11g entry; it lists a
> top limit of 1500 watts PEP (per 93.313), and an astronomical EIRP
> limit. My original question is in reference to these figures.

That info is wrong. Spread Spectrum is limited to 100W PEP (47 CFR 97.311(g)).
Only modes which use a single carrier frequency (suppressed or not) have the
1,500W limit (i.e. not on "two or more channels").

683. Re: kodak 4230 problems/battery questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-30 01:24:30 UTC
From: jane <aiwass@golden.net>
Message-ID: <OnyBa.63$s%5.18250850@mantis.golden.net>
Source record: 3778
Cross-posted to: rec.photo.digital,rec.photo.marketplace.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

okay.. thanks for the advice. I will get some Nimh batteries and a
charger...

what would be the best buy for my kodak? greenpower batteries, duracell or
kodak? they all vary in prices, but I'm not sure about performance.
thanks.

"Ralph Mowery" <rmowery@ctc.net> wrote in message
news:ScycnT3frMXD5EujXTWcoQ@ctc.net...
>
> "Tom Pfeiffer" <tompc@tompfeiffer.com> wrote in message
> news:sNeBa.779727$Zo.162401@sccrnsc03...
> > NiMh. Nickel Metal Hydride.
> >
> > :)
> >
> > Tom P.
> >
> > "Ralph Mowery" <rmowery@ctc.net> wrote in message > >
> > > Get some of the MINH batteries and a charger. The others usually will
> not
> > > have enough power for a digital cam. The MiNh batteries have a
property
> > of
> >
>
> I did manage to transpose the leters.. Thanks.
>
>

684. Re: Super ERP using 802.11g under Part 97

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-30 01:28:05 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.55.0305300127080.4483@kd6lvw.ampr.org>
Source record: 3781

On Thu, 29 May 2003, stewart wrote:
> > 5. 802.11 is spread spectrum. I don't know where you could
> > say it wasn't, and get higher power allowances.
>
> Ah - so we FINALLY get back to the question from my original post...
> the table in the link said that 802.11g is NOT spread spectrum (at
> least for purposes of the rules, as interpreted by KB9MWR) - that was
> the WHOLE POINT of my question... do you have a comment in regards to
> this? (Let's try to stay on topic, for once).

He's wrong. The IEEE specification indicates that 802.11g physical layer 1 is DIRECT SEQUENCE SPREAD SPECTRUM. Your source from that web site has stuck his antenna up his rear while transmitting a few too many times.

685. Re: Super ERP using 802.11g under Part 97

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-30 02:25:45 UTC
From: horseshoe7@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5f4fe4c2.0305291825.668c41b6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3782

Dana Myers K6JQ <k6jq@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:<cd5734f52a9ec2dddc89e3708c10a30e@TeraNews>...
> stewart wrote:
>
> > "Jim Hale" <jhale240@cox.net> wrote in message
news:<QmdBa.121173$823.117920@news1.east.cox.net>...
> >
> >>"stewart" <horseshoe7@yahoo.com> wrote
> >>
> >>>According to the following, hams are able to use SUPER EIRP on 802.11g:
> >>>
> >>>http://www.qsl.net/kb9mwr/projects/wireless/pwr.html
> >>>
> >>>Is this true? And, if so, why in the hell aren't we taking advantage of this?
> >>
> >>1. Part 97 limits content
> >
> >
> > To pussies...
>
> Oh heavens. To think I was about to join this thread and
> point out that ODFM is quite likely *not* spread-spectrum,
> supporting your point of view.
>
> Thanks for saving me the trouble.
>
> Dana

Yes, run off and hide under the bed - we wouldn't want any HAMS using
the INTERNET now, would we? I'll tell you what, I'll use the Internet
the way I feel like it, and you just sit there and complain and try to
figure out ways hams CAN'T use it, OK?

With all the new low-cost/ubiquitous/reasonably-performing Part 15
stuff becoming widely available, it is almost a moot point anyway, as
hams effectively have ZERO advantage over Part 15 users when
considering realistic cost-effective implementations.

There are now companies selling low cost 19dBi Plate antennas that
will hold various ubiquitous/low-cost APs in a weatherproof container
in their bellies, so that we don't have to worry about feedline
loss... turnkey 802.11b solutions for $120!... When compared to this -
most Hams don't have JACK over wise Part 15 users... You nay-saying
hams are stuck in the late-80's, you have got to be the epitomy of the
of defination of a "technological throwback" when it comes to all

things relating to RF-based Internet usage... it's like you guys are AFRAID... SCARED... PUSSIES. It's unbelievable. What do you think? That the Internet or Part 15 is just going to go away?! HA!

Look, it you don't want to use the Internet over RF, FINE... but what is with the HOLY CRUSADE against anyone else who wants to use it? HELLOOOO! It's 2003, not 1993!

Don't look now - but I'm a HAM, using the INTERNET! Oh my GOD! We can't have any of THAT! Better call up Riley Holingsworth QUICK!

IDIOTS.

- Stewart (N0MHS)

686. Re: Super ERP using 802.11g under Part 97

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-30 02:43:38 UTC
From: Jim Hale <jhale240@cox.net>
Message-ID: <uzzBa.132577$823.103670@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 3783

"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote
>
> Only modes which use a single carrier frequency (suppressed or not) have the
> 1,500W limit (i.e. not on "two or more channels").

97.313(b) has no reference to emission type. All legal emissions may operate
up to this power level, unless restricted elsewhere (for example para c through h,
and spread spectrum limitations put forward by the ARRL).

687. Re: Super ERP using 802.11g under Part 97

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-30 03:18:43 UTC
From: Jim Hale <jhale240@cox.net>
Message-ID: <n4ABa.132831$823.15691@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 3784

"stewart" <horseshoe7@yahoo.com> wrote
>
> IDIOTS.

There's no need for emotional outbursts. This isn't something any of us will lose any sleep over. Just grab your belt hooks and breath deeply.

While it is true you can take electronics from other regulated services, you have to measure the advantage. As I see it, the low power spread spectrum users would only be negatively affected by a new neighbor with more power. I hope that Hams will not destroy the 13 cm band, just because they can.

688. Re: kodak 4230 problems/battery questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-30 04:51:29 UTC
From: EktarEd <spammelater@spam.com>
Message-ID: <lrBBa.179440$ja4.9243582@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 3785
Cross-posted to: rec.photo.digital,rec.photo.marketplace.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

Jane writes:

>okay.. thanks for the advice. I will get some Nimh batteries and a
>charger...

>what would be the best buy for my kodak? greenpower >batteries, duracell
or
>kodak? they all vary in prices, but I'm not sure about >performance.
>thanks.

Go with the battery with the highest MAH rating that is milli amp hour. They should be in the neighborhood of 1700 to 1800 mah.

Ed

689. Re: Super ERP using 802.11g under Part 97

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-30 11:40:42 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <_qHBa.186$BX6.204265537@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3786

>
> Don't look now - but I'm a HAM, using the INTERNET! Oh my GOD! We
> can't have any of THAT! Better call up Riley Holingsworth QUICK!

Better than that, I sent him a copy of your post.

Charles, N5PVL

690. Re: Super ERP using 802.11g under Part 97

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-30 12:27:35 UTC
From: "S. Sampson" <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <X6IBa.320280$vU3.127553@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 3787

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote
> >
> > Don't look now - but I'm a HAM, using the INTERNET! Oh my GOD! We
> > can't have any of THAT! Better call up Riley Holingsworth QUICK!
>
>
> Better than that, I sent him a copy of your post.

As long as you don't operate a 70's style repeater, or operate the 60's style phone bands on HF, you will be invisible to him. Riley is a creature of the ARRL's phone complaint system, and not the microwave part of the radio hobby. His recent war against the wide sidebanders (who could use wide AM if he pisses them off) who aren't harming anyone (i.e., having fun), and is typical ARRL "phone-herd" inspiration. Thankfully, they are dropping dead in significant numbers, and the ARRL might have to start finding post-phone/morse era leadership to stay in business.

691. Re: Super ERP using 802.11g under Part 97

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-30 13:34:14 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <q5JBa.191$yE.210871368@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3789

"stewart" <horseshoe7@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:5f4fe4c2.0305291825.668c41b6@posting.google.com...

>I'll tell you what, I'll use the Internet
> the way I feel like it, and you just sit there and complain and try to
> figure out ways hams CAN'T use it, OK?
>
>
> Don't look now - but I'm a HAM, using the INTERNET! Oh my GOD! We
> can't have any of THAT! Better call up Riley Holingsworth QUICK!
>
> - Stewart (N0MHS)

Only a total dweeb would break the law for the dubious purpose of setting up Internet access over Ham Radio frequencies.

Amazing how the ignorance of these kind of losers is always accompanied by overweaning arrogance, along with an obvious dislike for Ham Radio and Hams.

An insect just begging for the sole of Ham Radio's shoe.

Charles, N5PVL

692. Re: kodak 4230 problems/battery questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-30 13:55:38 UTC
From: jane <aiwass@golden.net>
Message-ID: <tfJBa.76$4H7.23060631@mantis.golden.net>
Source record: 3788
Cross-posted to: rec.photo.digital,rec.photo.marketplace.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

it's not green power, sorry.. it's emerging power... :)
and those have 2100mah on them... charger plus 4 batteries = 70$CAN...
duracel charger with 2 (1800mah) batteries is $40CAN... I think i'll stick
with the latter.

well, thanks for all yer advice. I just hope it's not my camera that's
defective, because it really doesn't work when I put in brand new alkalines.
oh well, I guess the new NiMH will let me find out for sure.

"EktarEd" <spammelater@spam.com> wrote in message
news:lrBBa.179440$ja4.9243582@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Jane writes:
>
> >okay.. thanks for the advice. I will get some Nimh batteries and a
> >charger...
>
> >what would be the best buy for my kodak? greenpower >batteries, duracell
> or
> >kodak? they all vary in prices, but I'm not sure about >performance.
> >thanks.
>
>
> Go with the battery with the highest MAH rating that is milli amp hour.
They
> should be in the neighborhood of 1700 to 1800 mah.
>
> Ed
>
>

693. Re: Super ERP using 802.11g under Part 97

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-30 15:45:19 UTC
From: Dana Myers K6JQ <k6jq@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <281d797555c965ca8502feafe25a0ce0@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 3790

stewart wrote:

> Dana Myers K6JQ <k6jq@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:<cd5734f52a9ec2dddc89e3708c10a30e@TeraNews>...

>>stewart wrote:
>>>
>>>To pussies...

>>Oh heavens. To think I was about to join this thread and
>>point out that ODFM is quite likely *not* spread-spectrum,
>>supporting your point of view.
>>
>>Thanks for saving me the trouble.
>>
>>Dana
>
>
> Yes, run off and hide under the bed - we wouldn't want any HAMS using
> the INTERNET now, would we? I'll tell you what, I'll use the Internet
> the way I feel like it, and you just sit there and complain and try to
> figure out ways hams CAN'T use it, OK?

Here, I'll explain so you can understand it. Your needlessly
confrontational stance and especially your choice of gratuitiously
vulgar language are the issue (not your, or my, opinion about the
use of ham radio for internet).

Dana

694. Re: Super ERP using 802.11g under Part 97

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-30 18:07:58 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <26NBa.359$7h7.182@newssvr31.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3791

"Dana Myers K6JQ" <k6jq@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:281d797555c965ca8502feafe25a0ce0@free.teranews.com...
> >
> Here, I'll explain so you can understand it. Your needlessly
> confrontational stance and especially your choice of gratuitiously
> vulgar language are the issue (not your, or my, opinion about the
> use of ham radio for internet).
>
> Dana
>

Sorry, Dana, but its the other way round with me. I think his readiness to violate the FCC regs is much more significant than his lack of intelligence or basic social skills.

I will admit though, that if you believe that his lack of intelligence and basic social skills may have been a contributing factor in his disregard for the FCC regs, then you've probably got a good point there.

I've noticed the same lack of intelligence and basic social skills very often among the "Ham-Radio Internet" crowd... I think it's a prerequisite for membership, though there will always be mavericks like yourself.

Charles, N5PVL

695. Re: Cellphone while driving ban - any effect?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-31 09:54:46 UTC
From: "S. Sampson" <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <GZ_Ba.330574$vU3.213312@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 3792

"Now Has License" <kg-six-nhl@barovelli.com> wrote
>
> how someone looking to hand out tickets would not see any
> difference from a cellphone user and a ham using a HT.
>
> Any comments on the latest assault on one's rights?

There is no difference. We all want special rights, but the facts
are that over 50,000 people (mostly kids in the backseat) die,
and at least double that number are injured every year. That's
over 900 a week. If we lost that many Army troops in Iraq,
we would have to use WMD to survive.

There is no basic right to kill your neighbors while using your
radio. Get a hands-free adapter, and become a responsible,
caring driver. Even single-occupant emergency vehicles need
to use these, as they also are part of the mayhem.

We can let the lawyers work it out after the death, or have the
cops work it out with citations before someone gets splashed.
Spend a day at the morgue, then decide whether 18 dead a
week, in each state, is an acceptable loss.

73

696. Re: Cellphone while driving ban - any effect?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-31 11:00:56 UTC
From: Mark Allread <mallread@flatsurface.com>
Message-ID: <oprp097uxnbsorsk@news.chartermi.com>
Source record: 3793

On Sat, 31 May 2003 09:54:46 GMT, S. Sampson <nospam@cox.net> wrote:

> "Now Has License" <kg-six-nhl@barovelli.com> wrote
>>
>> how someone looking to hand out tickets would not see any
>> difference from a cellphone user and a ham using a HT.
>>
>> Any comments on the latest assault on one's rights?
>
> There is no difference. We all want special rights, but the facts
> are that over 50,000 people (mostly kids in the backseat) die,
> and at least double that number are injured every year. That's
> over 900 a week.

You are claiming that over 50,000 people a year, including over
25,000 children, are killed due to automobile accidents caused
by using handheld microphones (cell phone, radio, etc.). Is that
correct? I don't believe it. Please provide authoritative references
for this claim. I assume you're referring to the US.

The facts are not what you claim them to be.

1998, Automobile deaths, ages 0-14: 2,568 (source:
http://rpc.senate.gov/~rpc/releases/1999/gc1083100.htm)

TOTAL estimated automobile deaths for 2001: 42,116. (source: http://www-
nrd.nhtsa.dot.gov/pdf/nrd-30/NCSA/TSF2001/2001overview.pdf) In fact, the
yearly
total from 1991 through 2001 never exceeded 43,000. An even more
telling metric is the number of deaths per million vehicle miles
travelled, as it take into account the number of cars on the road
and the length of their travels. That number has been consistently
falling, from 1.91 in 1991 to 1.52 in 2001, a time when cell phone
usage has greatly increased.

In 2001, children 0-14 represented approximately 5% of those deaths,
certainly not grounds for the statement "mostly children." That
percentage is remarkable consistent from 1991-2001. (source: http://www-
nrd.nhtsa.dot.gov/pdf/nrd-30/NCSA/TSF2001/2001children.pdf)

The NHTSA doesn't provide statistics (that I could find) related
to deaths caused by use of hand held microphones, but it's reasonable
to assume that it is some small fraction of the totals.

So, given that you have obviously not done the research, and have
fabricated statistics to support your case, we must conclude
that you have some agenda in regard to this matter which is unrelated

to facts.

--
Mark

697. Re: Cellphone while driving ban - any effect?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-31 11:08:23 UTC
From: "S. Sampson" <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <H20Ca.330827$vU3.91299@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 3794

"Mark Allread" <mallread@flatsurface.com> wrote
>
> we must conclude that you have some agenda in regard to this
> matter which is unrelated to facts.

Yes, actually the money from the tickets goes to the basement
lounge of every police department, and we must protect those
lounges by arresting Hams.

698. Re: Cellphone while driving ban - any effect?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-31 11:15:01 UTC
From: Mark Allread <mallread@flatsurface.com>
Message-ID: <oprp1avbxibsorsk@news.chartermi.com>
Source record: 3795

On Sat, 31 May 2003 11:08:23 GMT, S. Sampson <nospam@cox.net> wrote:

> "Mark Allread" <mallread@flatsurface.com> wrote
>>
>> we must conclude that you have some agenda in regard to this
>> matter which is unrelated to facts.
>
> Yes, actually the money from the tickets goes to the basement
> lounge of every police department, and we must protect those
> lounges by arresting Hams.

Thank you for the clear admission that you've have deliberately lied.
That fact will be used when reading any further posts from you.

--
Mark

699. Re: Cellphone while driving ban - any effect?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-31 11:36:44 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <gt0Ca.451$jz3.18@newssvr31.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3796

"Mark Allread" <mallread@flatsurface.com> wrote in message
news:oprp1avbxibsorsk@news.chartermi.com...
> On Sat, 31 May 2003 11:08:23 GMT, S. Sampson <nospam@cox.net> wrote:
>
> > "Mark Allread" <mallread@flatsurface.com> wrote
> >>
> >> we must conclude that you have some agenda in regard to this
> >> matter which is unrelated to facts.
> >
> > Yes, actually the money from the tickets goes to the basement
> > lounge of every police department, and we must protect those
> > lounges by arresting Hams.
>
> Thank you for the clear admission that you've have deliberately lied.
> That fact will be used when reading any further posts from you.

Thank you for the clear admission that expediency and your personal
convenience mean more to you than the lives of innocent children.

That fact will be used when reading any further posts from you.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

700. Re: Cellphone while driving ban - any effect?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-31 11:46:14 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net>
Message-ID: <aC0Ca.273$NF5.156332@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3797

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:gt0Ca.451$jz3.18@newssvr31.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Mark Allread" <mallread@flatsurface.com> wrote in message
> news:oprp1avbxibsorsk@news.chartermi.com...
> > On Sat, 31 May 2003 11:08:23 GMT, S. Sampson <nospam@cox.net> wrote:
> >
> > > "Mark Allread" <mallread@flatsurface.com> wrote
> > >>
> > >> we must conclude that you have some agenda in regard to this
> > >> matter which is unrelated to facts.
> > >
> > > Yes, actually the money from the tickets goes to the basement
> > > lounge of every police department, and we must protect those
> > > lounges by arresting Hams.
> >
> > Thank you for the clear admission that you've have deliberately lied.
> > That fact will be used when reading any further posts from you.
>
> Thank you for the clear admission that expediency and your personal
> convenience mean more to you than the lives of innocent children.
>
> That fact will be used when reading any further posts from you.

Why pick on cell phone users or radio operators when the single biggest element in accidents is alcohol? The last time I saw statistics on this (which admittedly was some time ago) over half of all accidents/deaths were alcohol related.

Since distracted driving is generally illegal anyway, there is no need for a specific law aimed at cell phones or radio operators.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

701. Re: Cellphone while driving ban - any effect?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-31 11:52:27 UTC
From: Mark Allread <mallread@flatsurface.com>
Message-ID: <oprp1clpnhbsorsk@news.chartermi.com>
Source record: 3798

On Sat, 31 May 2003 11:36:44 GMT, charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote:

> Thank you for the clear admission that expediency and your personal
> convenience mean more to you than the lives of innocent children.

As has already been demonstrated, there is no factual basis for your statements. No authoritative evidence (actually, none at all) has been presented that even a single innocent child has died from use of hand held microphones while driving.

I suspect you're just a grumpy old fart who likes to complain.

--
Mark

702. Re: Cellphone while driving ban - any effect?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-31 15:18:15 UTC
From: olllllllo@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <XI3Ca.668$ka7.188@newssvr32.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3799

"Mark Allread" <mallread@flatsurface.com> wrote in message
news:oprp1clpnhbsorsk@news.chartermi.com...
> As has already been demonstrated, there is no factual basis for your
> statements. No authoritative evidence (actually, none at all) has been
> presented that even a single innocent child has died from use of hand held
> microphones while driving.
>
> I suspect you're just a grumpy old fart who likes to complain.
>
> --
> Mark

AHEM Bullsh1t *AHEM* "Demonstration", "evidence", my left one...!

I am a Ham, a cellphone user, a GPS user, a map-reader, a food eater, etc.
when driving.
ANY distraction, while operating a multi-ton motor vehicle, is a danger,
risk, and full
blown contributor to accidents and "accidental" deaths.

At least I admit it.

But any specific ban, such as cellphone or hand-held device usage is lame.
Bozos shave,
and bimbos put on makeup while driving. Some read the paper. For that brief
moment,
the dropped french fry, or the incoming call becomes priority number one,
and the lives
and safety of those I may be putting at risk, including my own, suddenly
fall out of the
utmost importance....shoot, even a dog has more survival smarts than that!

A driver inattentiveness law would be nice, but it should be more of a
"driver willful and
knowing blatant disregard" law.

And, if guilty, that law should include me as well.

703. Re: Cellphone while driving ban - any effect?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-31 16:03:16 UTC
From: "Dr. Anton Squeegee" <SpammersAreVermin@dev.nul>
Message-ID: <MPG.19427220fb43719c9898df@192.168.42.131>
Source record: 3800

In article <biegdvsqsbmjgt1hhn9lo0lr7b0kq0s3hv@4ax.com>, kg-six-nhl@barovelli.com says...

> http://www.bayarea.com/mld/mercurynews/news/5975717.htm
>
> When it happened in New York I ignored any comments - heck I was not
> even licensed then - about how someone looking to hand out tickets
> would not see any difference from a cellphone user and a ham using a
> HT.
>
> Any comments on the latest assault on one's rights?

First off; using ham radio is a privilege, not a right.

Second; check the article headline again. "Assembly OKs ban on driving while calling -- except with headset, speaker."

The keywords here are "except with headset, speaker." This makes a -lot- of sense, considering that the idea is not to tie up one hand by having to plaster an object to one's face.

If you're going to use an HT while you're driving, at least use a remote speaker/mic or (ideally) a headset. Most modern ham HT's can be easily equipped for such, as can many of the commercial units (Motorola in particular).

While I understand that setting precedents in legislation can be a problem, I've had far too many close calls here at home (Puget Sound region) from brainless drivers who think their cellphone chat (without any sort of handsfree gear) is more important than paying attention to the road around them. If I were still living in California (I grew up in the Bay Area), I would be hard-pressed to oppose this bill.

When I did my own mobile install, with multiple radios and a cellphone, hands-free capability was part of the design from the word 'Go!' Besides the phone's hands-free cradle, I also installed an aircraft-surplus audio panel which allows me to use a speaker or headset for any of the three radios (HF, VHF, UHF). I've not had to use a hand mic ever since.

Considering the availability of surplus hardware, I don't see why this same trick couldn't be duplicated on other installations. This "assault on our rights," as you put it, is nothing more than a response to irresponsible people endangering themselves and others on the road.

73 de KC7GR

--
Dr. Anton Squeegee, Director, Dutch Surrealist Plumbing Institute.
(Known to some as Bruce Lane, KC7GR, Owner and Head Hardware Heavy,
Blue Feather Technologies -- http://www.bluefeathertech.com)
kyrrin a/t bluefeathertech dot c=o>m (Reassemble to use).
"Raf tras spintern. Raf tras spoit." (Keith Laumer, "The Galaxy
Builder")

704. Re: Cellphone while driving ban - any effect?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-31 16:55:22 UTC
From: "S. Sampson" <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <_75Ca.331047$vU3.67179@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 3701

"Mark Allread" <mallread@flatsurface.com> wrote
>
> Thank you for the clear admission that you've have deliberately lied.
> That fact will be used when reading any further posts from you.

I never have a discussion with a loon.

705. Re: Cellphone while driving ban - any effect?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-31 22:13:59 UTC
From: Mark Allread <mallread@flatsurface.com>
Message-ID: <oprp15dltqbsorsk@news.chartermi.com>
Source record: 3702

On Sat, 31 May 2003 15:18:15 GMT, <olllllllo@sbcglobal.net> wrote:

>
> *AHEM* Bullsh1t *AHEM* "Demonstration", "evidence", my left one...!
>
> I am a Ham,

and another idiot with an opinion and no facts to back it up.

--
Mark

706. Re: Cellphone while driving ban - any effect?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-31 22:17:08 UTC
From: Mark Allread <mallread@flatsurface.com>
Message-ID: <oprp15iulpbsorsk@news.chartermi.com>
Source record: 3703

On Sat, 31 May 2003 16:55:22 GMT, S. Sampson <nospam@cox.net> wrote:

> "Mark Allread" <mallread@flatsurface.com> wrote
>>
>> Thank you for the clear admission that you've have deliberately lied.
>> That fact will be used when reading any further posts from you.
>
> I never have a discussion with a loon.

You should check your facts before posting nonsense on the Internet.
I always do.

--
Mark

707. Re: Cellphone while driving ban - any effect?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-31 22:49:22 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <SjaCa.196$Rm4.135557186@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3704

"Mark Allread" <mallread@flatsurface.com> wrote in message
news:oprp15iulpbsorsk@news.chartermi.com...
>
> You should check your facts before posting nonsense on the Internet.
> I always do.
>

Good to know that Mark carefully checks the facts every time he posts
nonsense on the Internet.

Charles, N5PVL

708. Re: Cellphone while driving ban - any effect?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-31 23:18:06 UTC
From: olllllllo@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <OKaCa.777$9C.309@newssvr32.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3705

"Mark Allread" <mallread@flatsurface.com> wrote in message
news:oprp15dltqbsorsk@news.chartermi.com...
> and another idiot with an opinion and no facts to back it up.
>
> --
> Mark

Hey stoner---

I have facts. Facts from personal, everyday
experience.

Where are your FACTS that say my
experiences weren't/aren't real?

Idiot.

Where are your FACTS that prove driver
distraction does not contribute to accidents,
injuries, or deaths?

Moron.

I certainly don't listen to some bozo moron
like yourself claiming: "If there are no facts
posted, the situation does not exist."

It is a FACT that paying attention to something
other than driving creates the FACT that you are
no longer paying 100% attention on driving.

Show the world a fact that shows 100% minus
an arbitrary number other than a negative or zero
still equals 100%.

Fool.

709. Re: Cellphone while driving ban - any effect?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-05-31 23:34:36 UTC
From: Mark Allread <mallread@flatsurface.com>
Message-ID: <oprp183yknbsorsk@news.chartermi.com>
Source record: 3706

On Sat, 31 May 2003 23:18:06 GMT, <olllllllo@sbcglobal.net> wrote:

>
> "Mark Allread" <mallread@flatsurface.com> wrote in message
> news:oprp15dltqbsorsk@news.chartermi.com...
>> and another idiot with an opinion and no facts to back it up.
>>
>> --
>> Mark

> I have facts. Facts from personal, everyday
> experience.

I'm waiting for you to claim that the paperman cause
the sun to rise in the morning, as the only logical
argument you have made is one of "post hoc, ergo propter hoc."

> Idiot.

> Moron.

> Fool.

Typical responses from someone who knows they've lost
the real argument, but insist on flailing about in a
pathetic attempt at redemption.

The credibility of your argument is readily apparent.

--
Mark

710. Re: Cellphone while driving ban - any effect?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-01 00:00:03 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <7mbCa.207$jj5.139377810@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3707

"Mark Allread" <mallread@flatsurface.com> wrote in message
news:oprp183yknbsorsk@news.chartermi.com...
>
> The credibility of your argument is readily apparent.

...As is the lack of credibility in yours.

Is was mighty big of you though, to admit that the other guy was right. - I didn't think you had it in you.

Charles, N5PVL

711. Re: Cellphone while driving ban - any effect?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-01 00:04:22 UTC
From: "S. Sampson" <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <aqbCa.333456$vU3.322555@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 3708

"Mark Allread" <mallread@flatsurface.com> wrote
>
> Typical responses from someone who knows they've lost
> the real argument

There was no argument. Hams should have no exemption from
killing people with their cars, etc, while talking on a radio. You
can't argue with facts.

712. Re: Cellphone while driving ban - any effect?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-01 00:25:56 UTC
From: olllllllo@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <oKbCa.791$qT3.464@newssvr32.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3709

"Mark Allread" <mallread@flatsurface.com> wrote in message
news:oprp183yknbsorsk@news.chartermi.com...

> I'm waiting for you to claim that the paperman cause
> the sun to rise in the morning, as the only logical
> argument you have made is one of "post hoc, ergo propter hoc."

Ah, yes, amusing to watch you drag in the strawman, stage left.

My statement was clear; anyone with intelligence could grasp
it. Now, you, who has no leg to stand on, drags in the illogical
so as to "win" the argument. Not very educated, are you?

> Typical responses from someone who knows they've lost
> the real argument, but insist on flailing about in a
> pathetic attempt at redemption.

Take a poll. Who here in this ng thinks you have any solidity
to your claims? Hello? McFly???

Idiot.

Moron.

I will restate for the challenged:
ANY distraction, while operating a multi-ton motor
vehicle, is a danger, risk, and full blown contributor to
accidents and "accidental" deaths.

Blather on, dude, blather on...

> The credibility of your argument is readily apparent.

Are you talking to me, or YOU?!?

> Mark

Fool.

713. Re: Cellphone while driving ban - any effect?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-01 10:35:10 UTC
From: Unclaimed Mysteries <k4doh@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <bbckrh$f8p$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 3710

Dee D. Flint wrote:

>
> Why pick on cell phone users or radio operators when the single biggest
> element in accidents is alcohol? The last time I saw statistics on this
> (which admittedly was some time ago) over half of all accidents/deaths were
> alcohol related.
>

Clearly the solution to this problem is hands-free drinking. Use an
approved device such as the one found here -
http://www.funshop.com/drinkhat.html

--
It Came From C. L. Smith's Unclaimed Mysteries.
http://www.unclaimedmysteries.net

"Unthinking respect for authority is the greatest enemy of truth."
-Albert Einstein, authority

714. Re: Cellphone while driving ban - any effect?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-01 11:28:45 UTC
From: Unclaimed Mysteries <k4doh@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <bbco01$5pg$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 3711

S. Sampson wrote:
> "Mark Allread" <mallread@flatsurface.com> wrote
>
>>Typical responses from someone who knows they've lost
>>the real argument
>
>
> There was no argument. Hams should have no exemption from
> killing people with their cars, etc, while talking on a radio. You
> can't argue with facts.
>

I'd like to see some pertinent facts.

Two-way radio communication in cars has been going on much longer than car cellphone use. I haven't seen any publicized study on the effects of using two-way radio devices on driving safety.

I'd be surprised if the two-way radios have no effect on driver attention. I'd also be surprised if they have as great an effect as cell phone use.

Before you tell me I just want to kill slightly fewer people than cell phone users, let's get some perspective by comparing both of these activities to other common distractions such as eating while driving, complex sound systems, quieter interiors preventing the driver from hearing emergency vehicle sirens, and say, desperately trying to get some decent music on the radio in a Clear Channel Communications-controlled world.

Hmmm. I'm think I'm onto something ...

"Your honor, my client's defense is that Clear Channel shares part of the blame for my client mowing down those school crossing guards like the 7-10 split. If they didn't program all the stations in town with their lame-a$$ formats, he would not have had to reach down and fiddle with the radio dial so often ... "

73 Corry K4DOH
--
It Came From C. L. Smith's Unclaimed Mysteries.
http://www.unclaimedmysteries.net

715. Re: Cellphone while driving ban - any effect?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-01 16:16:01 UTC
From: "S. Sampson" <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <5FpCa.339155$vU3.214385@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 3712

"Unclaimed Mysteries" <k4doh@mindspring.com> wrote
>
> [snip]
>
> desperately trying to get some decent music on the radio in
> a Clear Channel Communications-controlled world.

Clear Channel is the ARRL of commercial radio.

716. "Worst newsgroup EVER!"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-01 18:39:49 UTC
From: kuzen001@duke.edu
Message-ID: <bbdh9l$9js$1@gargoyle.oit.duke.edu>
Source record: 3713

> > Thank you for the clear admission that you've have deliberately lied.
> > That fact will be used when reading any further posts from you.
>
> Thank you for the clear admission that expediency and your personal

I started reading this newsgroup to get some info on
digital modes. What I found was a bunch of mincing
hairdressers screeching and hitting each other with
their purses. I'm just glad you people are on the
newsgroup and not spreading this tawdry poison on
the air. Bye-bye, morons.

717. Re: "Worst newsgroup EVER!"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-01 19:04:00 UTC
From: "S. Sampson" <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <A6sCa.339232$vU3.197791@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 3714

<kuzen001@duke.edu> wrote
>
> I started reading this newsgroup to get some info on
> digital modes.

A simple check with Google would have shown there hasn't been a
discussion about digital modes here for at least 15 years.

Who's the idiot now?

718. MFSK16 digital mode

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-01 19:36:16 UTC
From: "S. Sampson" <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <QAsCa.339265$vU3.149493@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 3715

I downloaded the MFSK16 AKA Stream software last weekend, to liven-up my HF listening pleasure. This mode has been around for a number of years, and I didn't know if anyone was still using it.

http://www.qsl.net/zl1bpu/MFSK/

I tuned my radio to 14.080 and just left it there for about an hour. Then I heard the distinctive warble sound and quickly tuned it in. It was two stations in Utah and Pennsylvania talking to each other. This was with a coil of wire behind my seat, because I was too lazy to climb the roof and hook-up my K4ABT dipole.

A funny thing happened. The waterfall display had faded away and I couldn't hear the warble, but I was still getting text displayed!

About the only bad thing I can say about it, is that it comes-in with little character bursts. These bursts start to go on my nerves after about 15 minutes :-) If I was the author, I'd rewrite the output code to make it more like one character at a time. Since this is a keyboard mode, the early arrival of characters isn't important.

If you have your soundcard hooked-up, you should give it a try.

719. datacom 201-7 to baycom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-01 21:43:13 UTC
From: Andrewjj20 <andrewjj20@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <RruCa.7642$6f3.848961@news1.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 3716

I am trying to convert an old datacom 201-7 to a baycom, all that needs to be done is to change some switches inside, i need to know what functions to trun on and what ones to turn off.

VA7JAJ
Echolink node 100000
IRLP node 1470

720. sound card modem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-01 22:18:21 UTC
From: Andrewjj20 <andrewjj20@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <NYuCa.7713$6f3.865447@news1.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 3717

could someone recommend a good program for operating packet with a sound
card, if possible

VA7JAJ
echolink node 100000

721. Re: sound card modem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-01 22:21:55 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@ctc.net>
Message-ID: <qoednY5iB_944Uej4p2dnA@ctc.net>
Source record: 3718

> could someone recommend a good program for operating packet with a sound
> card, if possible
>
> VA7JAJ
> echolink node 100000
>

Can't say if they are any good as I do not do packet but look here.

http://www.muenster.de/~welp/sb.htm#pr

I think packet is hard to do with the sound cards.

722. Re: sound card modem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-01 23:45:00 UTC
From: "S. Sampson" <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <0ewCa.340673$vU3.282304@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 3719

"Andrewjj20" <andrewjj20@yahoo.com> wrote
> could someone recommend a good program for operating packet with a sound
> card, if possible
>
> VA7JAJ

Go to:

http://www.elcom.gr/sv2agw/inst.htm

Click on the AGWPE.zip download. I use this all the time, and it works
just fine. I keep the input audio as low as possible, and it decodes real
nice. I use the Rascal Interface by K4ABT:

http://www.packetradio.com/psk31.html

The AGWPE programmer interface is pretty simple as well, as I was playing
with a MySQL web interface program to throw APRS data into a database.
I haven't messed with that in awhile though, as I pretty much just got tired
of looking at the same old icons year after year :-)

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/SV2AGW/files/Programming/Linux%20AGW%20to%20MySQL/

Steve
https://chevy.kicks-ass.net

723. Re: sound card modem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-02 00:05:06 UTC
From: Taliesin MacAran <taliesin@cox.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <SwwCa.340797$vU3.236149@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 3720

> Click on the AGWPE.zip download. I use this all the time, and it works
> just fine. I keep the input audio as low as possible, and it decodes real
> nice.

Do you mean that you keep the volume on your radio low
or is this an adjustment on your computer?

Thx,

Talie

724. Re: sound card modem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-02 00:23:36 UTC
From: "S. Sampson" <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <cOwCa.340935$vU3.211954@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 3721

"Taliesin MacAran" <taliesin@cox.nospam.net> wrote
> > Click on the AGWPE.zip download. I use this all the time, and it works
> > just fine. I keep the input audio as low as possible, and it decodes real
> > nice.
>
> Do you mean that you keep the volume on your radio low
> or is this an adjustment on your computer?
>
> Thx,
>
> Talie

In my case, since I'm supposed to have powered speakers, but I never plug them in, I keep my computer volume control to max, and mostly use the mute control if it drives me nuts. Then I adjust my radio audio so the spectrum shows the signal with a bit of dynamic range (maybe a 1/4" of power amplitude) with the mic gain set to max, and then use mic gain to fine tune the whole thing.

Steve
https://chevy.kicks-ass.net

725. Re: sound card modem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-02 00:30:20 UTC
From: Taliesin MacAran <taliesin@cox.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <wUwCa.340987$vU3.101153@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 3722

Thank you for your assistance.

Talie

"S. Sampson" <nospam@cox.net> wrote in message
news:cOwCa.340935$vU3.211954@news1.central.cox.net...
> "Taliesin MacAran" <taliesin@cox.nospam.net> wrote
> > > Click on the AGWPE.zip download. I use this all the time, and it
works
> > > just fine. I keep the input audio as low as possible, and it decodes
real
> > > nice.
> >
> > Do you mean that you keep the volume on your radio low
> > or is this an adjustment on your computer?
> >
> > Thx,
> >
> > Talie
>
> In my case, since I'm supposed to have powered speakers, but I never
> plug them in, I keep my computer volume control to max, and mostly use
> the mute control if it drives me nuts. Then I adjust my radio audio so
the
> spectrum shows the signal with a bit of dynamic range (maybe a 1/4" of
> power amplitude) with the mic gain set to max, and then use mic gain to
> fine tune the whole thing.
>
> Steve
> https://chevy.kicks-ass.net
>
>

726. Re: question about olympus d-490

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-02 02:56:04 UTC
From: "S. Sampson" <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <81zCa.341383$vU3.102858@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 3724
Cross-posted to: rec.photo.digital,rec.photo.marketplace.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

"lea" <aiwass@golden.net> wrote
>
> I am about to purchase a used olympus d-490

Why do you think someone in a ham radio newsgroup would care?

727. question about olympus d-490

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-02 03:45:29 UTC
From: lea <aiwass@golden.net>
Message-ID: <5DzCa.179$ba7.38256794@mantis.golden.net>
Source record: 3723
Cross-posted to: rec.photo.digital,rec.photo.marketplace.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

I am about to purchase a used olympus d-490, and when I asked if the person had any problems with it, he said no, except for the lens mechanism that spends some 5 seconds still doing something after I close the cover and the lense hides itself.. so if I understood him correctly, after I try to close the cover and shut down the cam, the lense retracts completely and then, when it hits bottom, it still makes noise and possibly moves a little bit (sideways or slightly up and down), and then after it shuts down completely.

what I would like to know, before I get this cam, is whether this is normal for this cam, or is it a defect from manufacturer. the person said it's been doing this since he bought it new some 15 months ago.

thanks.

728. News RTTY frequencies.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-02 04:25:21 UTC
From: Taliesin MacAran <taliesin@cox.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <RkACa.341526$vU3.339014@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 3725
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

Anybody here know of any RTTY frequencies for News reports in English?

Thx

Talie
--
Taliesin MacAran
Sonoran Desert, USA

729. after set of 1 or 2 cobra 2000gtl external speakers original extension speakers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-03 08:28:51 UTC
From: david <satobs@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <3edc5c3b$0$13750$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 3726
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.mods,alt.ham-radio.packet,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.cb.skip,free.uk.amateur-radio,pl.rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

hi im after 1 or a pair of cobra 2000gtl external spekers woodgrain ones will pay good money for a good condition pair of speakers or will settle with 1 extension speaker as my cobra 2000 base radio that i purchased never came with 1 anyone intersted in selling complete set or even 1 with the woodgrain case will pay for no problems with freight , thanks dave nsw australia

730. Re: Cellphone while driving ban - any effect?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-03 16:28:56 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <1kipdv0dqi89andv1cf816hnoouv3gpgvf@4ax.com>
Source record: 3727

On Sat, 31 May 2003 05:24:12 GMT, Now Has License
<kg-six-nhl@barovelli.com> wrote:

>http://www.bayarea.com/mld/mercurynews/news/5975717.htm
>
>When it happened in New York I ignored any comments - heck I was not
>even licensed then - about how someone looking to hand out tickets
>would not see any difference from a cellphone user and a ham using a
>HT.
>
>Any comments on the latest assault on one's rights?

Am I the only one who sees the irony?

Not only is it ok to fly an aircraft while talking on the radio, but
it's expected and encouraged and even mandatory in some airspaces.
Similar behavior is expected with a yacht of any decent size.

The difference is very simple: These activities emphasize piloting
over chatting.

People do all sorts of stupid things while driving down the road.
This is an attempt to legislate responsibility and good behavior.
It's nothing but "feel good" legislation.

New studies are beginning to show that the number one killer after
drunk driving is: (drum roll) DRIVER FATIGUE! Driving while talking
on the cell phone is actually pretty far down on the list.

What we really need to reduce the accident rate is responsibility and
accountability. Everything else is puffery.

Jake Brodsky, mailto:frussle@erols.com
PP ASEL IA, Cessna Cardinal N30946, Based @ FME
Amateur Radio Station AB3A

731. TNC EPROM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-03 16:40:50 UTC
From: David Shalita <davidr@cnmnetwork.com>
Message-ID: <3EDCCF92.F2B4FE39@cnmnetwork.com>
Source record: 3728

My MFJ1274 TNC is sick and I need hints to get it
going again. At power
on, the usual LED sequence does not occur. All 3
LEDs, STA CONM and PWR
stay lighted forever, not normal.

I managed to insert the TNC2 EPROM in backwards,
EMBARRASED, so I am
certain it is bad. It is marked marked TNC2
version 1.2.7 -32K.
Both MFJ 1270 and 1274 TNCs use this TNC2 EPROM.

I have my original EPROM, V1.1.7, that was used
with 16K RAM. Somehow, I
need a test EPROM to see if I can get this TNC to
boot correctly again.
I'd rather use a test EPROM than spend $20 only to
find the TNC will not
work even with a new EPROM.

Will my OLD TNC2 version 1.1.7 EPROM work with
32KRAM? Do I need to
change any jumpers to make this
old EPROM work with 32K RAM?

Thanks, 73, W6MIK, Dave

732. Re: TNC EPROM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-03 18:37:48 UTC
From: News Account <none@none.org>
Message-ID: <0W5Da.28008$Dd4.9083600@news.alltel.net>
Source record: 3729

Why not ask them ...

Technical Support: (800) 647-8324 (662) 323-0549

73's

Don Woodward
KD4APP

"David Shalita" <> wrote in message news:3EDCCF47.1F00C150@cnmnetwork.com...

<snip>
>
> Will my OLD TNC2 version 1.1.7 EPROM work with 32KRAM? Do I need to
> change any jumpers to make this
> old EPROM work with 32K RAM?
>
>
> Thanks, 73, W6MIK, Dave

733. Re: TNC EPROM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-03 20:15:03 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen <nielsen@remove_this.oz.net>
Message-ID: <bbivk7$9k2$0@216.39.144.81>
Source record: 3730

Version 1.1.4 and later require 32K of SRAM. If the TNC was formerly
used with an earlier version and 16K (8K chips at U24 and U25), cut the
trace between the center and one end pad of JMP12 and add a jumper from
the center to the other end pad. A 43256C-15L is installed at U25 and
U24 is not used.

73,
Bob, N7XY

On Tue, 03 Jun 2003 18:37:48 GMT, News Account wrote:
> Why not ask them ...
>
> Technical Support: (800) 647-8324 (662) 323-0549
>
> 73's
>
> Don Woodward
> KD4APP
>
>
> "David Shalita" <> wrote in message news:3EDCCF47.1F00C150@cnmnetwork.com...
>
><snip>
>>
>> Will my OLD TNC2 version 1.1.7 EPROM work with 32KRAM? Do I need to
>> change any jumpers to make this
>> old EPROM work with 32K RAM?
>>
>>
>> Thanks, 73, W6MIK, Dave
>
>

734. Re: Cellphone while driving ban - any effect?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-03 22:24:30 UTC
From: "S. Sampson" <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <ye9Da.354042$vU3.311002@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 3731

"Jake Brodsky" <frussle@erols.com> wrote
>
> Am I the only one who sees the irony?
>
> Not only is it ok to fly an aircraft while talking on the radio, but
> it's expected and encouraged and even mandatory in some airspaces.

I guess you could compare the two, if aviation density was
multiplied by fifty or so (2 planes per mile, to over 50 planes
per mile).

You can day-dream for quite awhile when the chances of someone
being in your 3 dimensional space, as opposed to the two dimensional
space of ground transportation.

Just my amateur opinion.

Steve

735. Re: sound card modem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-04 00:05:00 UTC
From: Andrewjj20 <andrewjj20@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <MIaDa.9841$MM4.1491018@news0.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 3732

I am having trouble recieving, can anyone help me(using AGWPE
"Andrewjj20" <andrewjj20@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:NYuCa.7713$6f3.865447@news1.telusplanet.net...
> could someone recommend a good program for operating packet with a sound
> card, if possible
>
> VA7JAJ
> echolink node 100000
>
>

736. Re: sound card modem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-04 02:21:01 UTC
From: "S. Sampson" <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <hIcDa.355049$vU3.204851@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 3733

"Andrewjj20" <andrewjj20@yahoo.com> wrote
>
> I am having trouble recieving, can anyone help me(using AGWPE

http://www.qsl.net/soundcardpacket/

737. AEA AMT-1 software?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-04 08:38:34 UTC
From: Roger Basford <Basford@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <QXNqNnAKAb3+EwL9@btinternet.com>
Source record: 3734

Hi,

Any old AMTOR users remember what software the AEA AMT-1 terminal unit used? I know there was dedicated stuff for the later AMT-3 but was there such a thing for the -1 or did it just use a dumb terminal program?

73,
--
Roger Basford
(G3VKM)

738. Re: Cellphone while driving ban - any effect?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-04 14:36:27 UTC
From: olllllllo@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <LtnDa.1604$2h7.444@newssvr32.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3735

"Jake Brodsky" <frussle@erols.com> wrote in message
news:1kipdv0dqi89andv1cf816hnoouv3gpgvf@4ax.com...
> Am I the only one who sees the irony?

You're equating a pilot's license/training/mental overhead
to that of the average motorist?

739. Re: Cellphone while driving ban - any effect?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-04 15:52:03 UTC
From: Taliesin MacAran <No@email.spam.com>
Message-ID: <DAoDa.356915$vU3.124380@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 3736

> You're equating a pilot's license/training/mental overhead
> to that of the average motorist?

That would be like comparing apples and potatoes.
Two totally different creatures.
And I'm neither an average motorist (I used to drive an ambulance in NYC)
nor a pilot.

--
Taliesin MacAran
Sonoran Desert, USA

740. Re: *** !!! FREE MARTHA !!! *** |--------- idyck

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-05 02:11:46 UTC
From: Bill Turner <noway@nohow.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9390C347FFFE3pookster@129.250.170.84>
Source record: 3738
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Go away.

--
Bill, W7TI

741. PK-232 with LINUX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-05 02:16:54 UTC
From: Harv Nelson <ha.nelson-UNSPAM@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2003.06.05.02.16.53.723942@centurytel.net>
Source record: 3737

Just getting started in the digital radio game again after 15 years, or so, QRT.

Got my old PK-232 to run with my Linux box (Mandrake 9.1). Just now, I'm using "minicom" to talk to the thing.

Are there any terminal software packages available specificly for the PK-232 and LINUX?

KPSK is great, but are there any programs available for AMTOR, Pactor, RTTY, etc., using the sound card on Linux?

Thanks a bunch, 73

Harv/AI9NL

742. Re: question about olympus d-490

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-05 08:13:51 UTC
From: "David W. Aldridge" <Hoofr@gte.net>
Message-ID: <3ZCDa.20902$JW6.2925@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 3739
Cross-posted to: rec.photo.digital,rec.photo.marketplace.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

My D490 makes no sounds after the cover is closed.

I suspect foul play - or a binary loop, neither of which are good

--
David Aldridge

True Openness means closedness
to the charms that make us
comfortable with the present
.
"lea" <aiwass@golden.net> wrote in message
news:5DzCa.179$ba7.38256794@mantis.golden.net...
> I am about to purchase a used olympus d-490, and when I asked if the
person
> had any problems with it, he said no, except for the lens mechanism that
> spends some 5 seconds still doing something after I close the cover and
the
> lense hides itself.. so if I understood him correctly, after I try to
close
> the cover and shut down the cam, the lense retracts completely and then,
> when it hits bottom, it still makes noise and possibly moves a little bit
> (sideways or slightly up and down), and then after it shuts down
completely.
>
> what I would like to know, before I get this cam, is whether this is
normal
> for this cam, or is it a defect from manufacturer. the person said it's
been
> doing this since he bought it new some 15 months ago.
>
> thanks.
>
>

743. Re: PK-232 with LINUX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-05 17:38:32 UTC
From: haynes@alumni.uark.edu
Message-ID: <seLDa.32231$rO.2978154@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 3740

gmfsk does mfsk and rtty and throb

linpsk does rtty (as well as PSK)

--
jhaynes at alumni dot uark dot edu

744. Re: PK-232 with LINUX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-06 03:12:04 UTC
From: Shane Deering <vk3bvp@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <1hg5r-bq2.ln1@vk3bvp-3.ampr.org>
Source record: 3741

Harv Nelson wrote:
> Are there any terminal software packages available specificly for the
> PK-232 and LINUX?

Not that I've heard of. I run mine in KISS mode and use the kernel ax25
stuff.

--
Shane Deering Sent using Knode v0.7.1
vk3bvp@qsl.net on Mandrake Linux, the Desktop OS
vk3bvp@vk3bvp.#sev.vic.aus.oc MDK9.0 Up 3 hours and 24 minutes
http://www.qsl.net/vk3bvp/ RH7.2 Up 3 hours and 1 minute

745. TNC MFJ1270 -won't decode

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-06 13:40:39 UTC
From: David Shalita <davidr@cnmnetwork.com>
Message-ID: <3EE099D7.9F5A3C8E@cnmnetwork.com>
Source record: 3742

Many folks, thanks for helpful suggestions.
My TNC is coming back to life.

Dave VanHorn wrote:

>
> With all power disconnected, take the SRAM backup battery out, put the eprom
> back in (the right way) then put the SRAM battery back in, and power up.
>
> The settings are stored in the SRAM, and you may have gotten trash data in
> there.

Changed to oldest CPU and oldest EPROM,
version 1.1.7, and defeated battery backup
as suggested.

Now the TNC sends the greeting message
to screen and keyboard commands do control
TNC. I can start CAL and produce tones, and list parameters.

But now the COM1 paramters have changed.
Was 8 bit, now 7.
Was no parity, now even.

Did the change back to old EPROM
cause COM1 paramters to change?

The XR2211 output will not switch, is only
a DC level as I adjust R79. Is either
-5volts or +5volts.

Any other causes besides a bad XR11 IC?
Electrolytic caps in the XR2211 decoder are suspect, but their values
are very small
so do not suspect dry electrolytics to be cause of failure.

I welcome suggestions.
Thank you, 73, W6MIK, Dave

746. Re: TNC MFJ1270 -won't decode

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-06 15:45:03 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen <nielsen@remove_this.oz.net>
Message-ID: <bbqctv$6qe$0@216.39.144.81>
Source record: 3743

On Fri, 06 Jun 2003 06:40:39 -0700, David Shalita wrote:
>
> But now the COM1 paramters have changed.
> Was 8 bit, now 7.
> Was no parity, now even.
>
> Did the change back to old EPROM
> cause COM1 paramters to change?

Yes. 7E1 is the default in the standard TNC EPROMs.

747. MFJ1274 -won't calibrate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-07 14:34:30 UTC
From: David Shalita <davidr@cnmnetwork.com>
Message-ID: <3EE1F7F5.6A74C7C5@cnmnetwork.com>
Source record: 3744

I'm repairing my damaged MFJ1274 TNC and find it will not complete the CALIBRATE procedure. The CAL procedure I am using is located at

http://www.packetradio.com/1270algn.htm

Apparently in the tone generation area, both MFJ1270 and 1274 are identical. Suggestions from MFJ1270 owners will apply to solving my problems.

I canset tones to 1200 and 2200 Hz in VHF and also to 1600 and 1800Hz in HF mode in 'single tone mode' up through step 12.

Step 13 thru 15, 'double tone' mode [1600/1800Hz] [1200/2200] fails to create
a square wave output from the U20 XR2211 'FSK decoder' IC. In 'double tone' CAL
mode XR2206 FSK Generator chip generate an alternating tone [1600/1800 or 1200/2200 Hz].

My TNC is driving the FSK generator and asking the the tones to jump between 1600/1800 Hz under control of 100USEC squarewave into pin 9 of U16.
50USEC at 1600Hz and 50USEC at 1800 HZ.

My XR2206 U16 will NOT produce two tones when driven
by this 100USEC signal, just a single tone.
But U16 DOES switch tones when commanded by CAL pressing the SPACEBAR.
U16 fails to generate double tones when drive by fast sq wave signal.

Failing to send two tones to U20 XR2211 is why the required SQ wave is not being generated during 'double tone CAL' procedure.

What is the timing of the FSK SQ wave on U16 XR2206 PIN 9
on a good working MFJ1270/1274 in double tone CAL mode?

What is the shape and timing of U16 XR2206 pin 11 VCO output
during 'double tone mode'?

Do I have a failing XR2206 IC?

After setting Decode Threshold pot,
my TNC2 now decodes AX25 packets into readable clear text.

Thanks for any help.

73, W6MIK, Dave

748. Re: Packet Radio gaming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-08 02:34:19 UTC
From: "John E. Malmberg" <wb8tyw@qsl.network>
Message-ID: <LgxEa.1846$Jw6.1323476@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 3745

Hank Oredson wrote:
> Several people had the original "Adventure" running
> on packet in the middle 80s ...

I had a few games including the original Adventure game of them running off of an OpenVMS host in the middle 90s in middle Tennesee, aside from a few staged demos, they were ignored.

Unfortunately most of the users of the demos did not realize that the game they were playing was actually on a computer several miles away.

-John
wb8tyw@qsl.network
Personal Opinion Only

749. PSK31 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-09 15:58:40 UTC
From: nenlaven@webdreamland.com
Message-ID: <97503afa.0306090758.4484daef@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3746

In Hamscope, you had the option of transmitting on one frequency, and receiving on another, but in Digipan, you apparently do not, or at least its not as in your face about it as Hamscope is.

My question is :

For normal QSO's with people on PSK31, wouldn't I always want to transmit and receive on the same frequency?

Thanks,
KE4RCA

750. Re: PSK31 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-09 16:56:06 UTC
From: AMHAM73 <amham73@connectnet.net>
Message-ID: <G_2Fa.45890$Pc5.38324@fed1read01>
Source record: 3747

Normally TX and RX on the same freq, but a DXpedition might work split to
avoid a massive pileup.

"Nenlaven" <nenlaven@webdreamland.com> wrote in message
news:97503afa.0306090758.4484daef@posting.google.com...
> In Hamscope, you had the option of transmitting on one frequency, and
> receiving on another, but in Digipan, you apparently do not, or at
> least its not as in your face about it as Hamscope is.
>
> My question is :
>
> For normal QSO's with people on PSK31, wouldn't I always want to
> transmit and receive on the same frequency?
>
> Thanks,
> KE4RCA

751. KAM Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-11 11:56:38 UTC
From: CR88D <ascanna1@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <WNEFa.45334$y05.39849@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 3748

Hi

I recently picked up a (really) old KAM with version 2 firmware, and would like to know the following:

As my primary use for the KAM will be for RTTY in a dual-receive configuration with MMTTY, will updating the firmware provide any benefit?

If the answer is "yes", what's the highest code rev. I can install without having to add any optional boards?

Does anyone have EPROM images for the KAM? I just need the file; I have the means to program the chip. Kantronics has already informed me that they no longer support this unit.

Thanks - Al, K2ZN

752. CWGET problem on Windows 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-13 07:23:03 UTC
From: "Brian.Farrelly" <Brian.Farrelly@nho.hydro.com>
Message-ID: <3EE97BD7.4D36D9FF@nho.hydro.com>
Source record: 3749

I find that CWGET stops after a few minutes and that attempting to
do "end task" does not work - I get 'not responding' and have
to reboot. I am running (or trying to run) version 1.36.

I recently replaced Windows NT with Windows 2000 on a machine which
is a few years old. The clock frequency is about 500 MHz and there
should be enough memory. I can find the exact details if necessary.

Any ideas?

Brian LA0DG

--
mailto:Brian.Farrelly@nho.hydro.com Norsk Hydro Research Centre
phone +47 55 99 68 74 (((Postboks 7190
fax +47 55 99 69 70 2oooS N-5020 Bergen
home +47 55 13 78 49 HYDRO Norway

753. Re: *** !!! FREE MARTHA !!! *** |--------- idyck

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-13 10:36:29 UTC
From: BJ <rajohngm@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <NOhGa.4430$0v4.333148@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 3750
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

She is a crook and screwed many investors. Give her 20 years.

754. Re: *** !!! FREE MARTHA !!! *** |--------- idyck

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-14 09:02:32 UTC
From: Reg Edwards <g4fgq.regp@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <bceob7gcj1@titan.btinternet.com>
Source record: 3651
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

"BJ" wrote
> She is a crook and screwed many investors. Give her 20 years.
==========

She may also be a loving and caring mother. It is cruel to deprive children
of their mother's love.

755. Re: *** !!! FREE MARTHA !!! *** |--------- idyck

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-14 10:26:28 UTC
From: Jim Simmons <jimsim@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <oLCGa.2046$Hw.1725269@news2.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 3652
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

"Reg Edwards" <g4fgq.regp@btinternet.com> wrote in message
news:bceob7gcj1@titan.btinternet.com...
> "BJ" wrote
> > She is a crook and screwed many investors. Give her 20 years.
> ==========
>
> She may also be a loving and caring mother. It is cruel to deprive
children
> of their mother's love.
>
>

So, you believe it is ok for here to screw other mothers out of something they had set aside for their children. Her actions show her as she is, a mean greedy person, thinking only of herself. What about those who bought the stock she sold only to find out the next day it's value had dropped. Should they eat the losses that the incurred when Martha sold them stock which she knew was bad?
Give her some time in jail, give her time to reflect on her actions.

Jim Simmons

Jim

756. Using FT-847 and MFJ-1278 on HF Packet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-15 04:46:36 UTC
From: Dad <dadfink@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <MSSGa.44441$rO.4392268@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 3653

I am trying to resurrect my old MFJ-1278 for packet use.
Fm Packet works great (send and receive) on two meters,
and I'm able to receive on HF. The problem I'm having is
that when I try to transmit on HF there is no transmit audio
and therefore no power output.

The setup on HF is as follows. The xcvr is connected to the
TNC as Radio 1 via the Data In/Out connector on the FT-847.
The PTT is wired separately to the xcvr and not via the
transmit audio line. When I attempt to connect to a station on HF,
everything appears to be working correctly, except there is no power
output. I've tried several terminal software packages - all with the
same results.

Has anyone successfully used the FT-847/MFJ-1278 combo on HF?
As far as I know, I have everything hooked up correctly as per
the xcvr and tnc manuals.

Any help would be greatly appreciated!

Norm, K2NF

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.483 / Virus Database: 279 - Release Date: 5/19/03

757. to low signal laptop-soundcard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-15 10:04:31 UTC
From: Kees <antispam@read.in.message.nl>
Message-ID: <e5hoev82ojbqn17j4r2ka3g4gvsphcdut4@4ax.com>
Source record: 3654
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur,aus.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.amateur

Hi there,

Thanks very much for reading.

I have the following problem:

Config:
Laptop Armada 1700 ;

The programs that I have troubles with are MixW, ChromaPix, GetCW and
Truetty.
I input a signal via the soundcard; signal is controlled by microphone
input of mixer (there is just that input).
When I listen over the speakers it sounds ok to me.
But when I use it as an input, some programs seem not to respond toit,
or the signal i see (waterfall or other graphic) is to soft (low).

I know the programs work ok, beacause on my desktop they do.

Does anyone have any idea what I can do?
Is there a hidden setting that can 'boost' the input or throughput?
Or is this often the case with laptop computers???

Any help is bery much appreciated.

Kees

758. Re: to low signal laptop-soundcard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-15 13:09:17 UTC
From: Harry Bloomfield <harry.m1bytNOSPAM@tiscali.co.uk>
Message-ID: <MPG.19568054d86cb0ca9896dc@News.CIS.DFN.DE>
Source record: 3655
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur,aus.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.amateur

In article <e5hoev82ojbqn17j4r2ka3g4gvsphcdut4@4ax.com>,
antispam@read.in.message.nl says...
| The programs that I have troubles with are MixW, ChromaPix, GetCW and
| Truetty.
| I input a signal via the soundcard; signal is controlled by microphone
| input of mixer (there is just that input).
| When I listen over the speakers it sounds ok to me.
| But when I use it as an input, some programs seem not to respond toit,
| or the signal i see (waterfall or other graphic) is to soft (low).
|
|

I seem to remember that there is a setting somewhere within the sound
card configuration, which allows you to increase the gain on the line-
in socket. It is just a ticky box type.

--
Regards,
Harry (M1BYT)...

Remove the 'NOSPAM' in my email address to reply.

Free Amateur Radio Courses:-
http://www.ukradioamateur.org

759. Re: to low signal laptop-soundcard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-15 14:32:03 UTC
From: Paul MW0CDO <gw7lhi@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <bchvtf$iabrk$1@ID-117699.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 3656
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur,aus.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.amateur

Kees.

You need to check the advanced audio settings, and turn on the "Mic Boost" or "Mic Gain" by clicking the checkbox provided for this.

If you have a volume control icon (loudspeaker icon) in the task tray just to the left of the clock, right click it and choose "Open Volume Controls".
Now click Options and then Properties, then select Recording.
Make sure that Mic or Microphone box in the scrollable list of controls is checked, if not left click the box once to check it.
Now click OK and another set of volume sliders will appear.
Click the Advanced button under the microphone fader, and check that the gain or boost or whatever the manufacturer has called it is checked or selected.
Note that depending on the make / model of soundcard the Advanced button may or may not be under the Mic control fader.. it could be in the middle of the window under all the faders.

You can see the difference made immediately by leaving Mix or whichever program running, and turning the various settings on / off and adjusting the faders while watching the waterfall.

Not all soundcard drivers call the various controls and checkboxes by the same names, so you may have to apply a little guesswork or consult the help for the sound card.

My card is a Creative Soundblaster AWE64 Gold, and the setting names given are those used by Creative Labs.

If you do not have a volume control in your task tray, you can easily add it.
Go to Start > Settings > Control Panel (you may not have Settings, depends on your operating system, I use Windows 98).. once at Control Panel, find the Multimedia icon and double left click to open. About 1/3rd the way down the resultant dialogue (Windows XP) or at the bottom of the dialogue (Windows 98), you will see a checkbox labelled "Show volume control on the taskbar".. check the box and the loudspeaker icon will appear in your task bar near the clock. It will either be a yellow loudspeaker or a dark coloured loudspeaker depending on your operating system. You can then follow the steps above.

Finally, as it's a laptop, you may have to find out how to disable the built in microphone in order to use the external mic input. The laptop user manual will tell you how to do this.

Paul MW0CDO.

"Kees" <antispam@read.in.message.nl> wrote in message
news:e5hoev82ojbqn17j4r2ka3g4gvsphcdut4@4ax.com...
> Hi there,
>
> Thanks very much for reading.
>
> I have the following problem:
>
> Config:
> Laptop Armada 1700 ;
>
> The programs that I have troubles with are MixW, ChromaPix, GetCW and
> Truetty.
> I input a signal via the soundcard; signal is controlled by microphone
> input of mixer (there is just that input).
> When I listen over the speakers it sounds ok to me.
> But when I use it as an input, some programs seem not to respond toit,
> or the signal i see (waterfall or other graphic) is to soft (low).
>
> I know the programs work ok, beacause on my desktop they do.
>
> Does anyone have any idea what I can do?
> Is there a hidden setting that can 'boost' the input or throughput?
> Or is this often the case with laptop computers???
>
>
> Any help is bery much appreciated.
>
> Kees

760. Re: Using FT-847 and MFJ-1278 on HF Packet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-15 14:47:37 UTC
From: "Alan P. Biddle" <APBIDDLE@ATT.NET>
Message-ID: <c81pevkjsf36lm7kgvgrs3794vlljriqbe@4ax.com>
Source record: 3657

Norm,

The PKT port on the FT-847 is for FM. The DATA port is for SSB and AM. The same audio is available for RX from both ports.

So, you will need to move the TX audio and keying to the DATA port to work HF, or more accurately SSB. I am not familiar with your TNC, but if it is a 2 port unit, you may be able to run one port to the DATA and one to the PKT ports, and select accordingly.

Also, the radio has an overdrive protection and will shut down the audio if you hit it too hard. Since the DATA port requires much less drive that the PKT port under some circumstances, start low.

Good luck!

Alan
WA4SCA

761. Re: Keep The SEGWAY Off Our Sidewalks & Streets! ------ #randum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-15 18:11:18 UTC
From: Richard Clark <kb7qhc@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <43dpev0q6p6k6mehpiomus7ctkv0vqi8i9@4ax.com>
Source record: 3658
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

On Sun, 15 Jun 2003 15:11:45 GMT, Rational_Transportation@dawze.or.us
wrote:

>Noting good can come from this new personal transpiration device. It will only serve
>to make Americans FATTER than they already are, by reducing exercise.
>Just what this critically obese society needs now, right?
>
>In addition, it will create hazards and annoyances on our sidewalks. Even if we
>restrict it to streets (assuming such rules could be enforced), it will slow traffic
>and cause hazards there as well.
>
>Let's take this singular opportunity to head this quality-of-life disaster off at the
pass!
>Contact your elected representatives and tell them to restrict this useless, indulgent
>device to PRIVATE PROPERTY ONLY.
>
>Thanks!

self-indulgent tripe snipped (fat juvenile american weight loss
method that actually works! Pass this along to 10 friends or bad luck
will happen to you within 3 years)

Such paranoia. This sideways bike makes perfect sense for getting
on/off the moving sidewalks that carry us to our nuclear powered,
steam-driven aeromobiles.

you are not welcome! Keep moving please....

762. Re: CWGET problem on Windows 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-15 20:12:26 UTC
From: Chris <crazychris@csma-netlink.co.uk>
Message-ID: <3eecd330$0$12996$afc38c87@vipnews>
Source record: 3659

Sorry can't help Brian. I'm running CWGET 1.35 on a 1GHz Athlon, 512Mb RAM without any problems.

I can recommend a little utility to close any windows that have stopped responding...its called WinKill (ver 2.0). Can't remember where I got it from now but its worth a hunt on the Net for it.

Have you tried the dxsoft chat room which is where the CWGet author hangs out. He's called Sergei and is usually very helpfull. Try the software website first:

http://www.dxsoft.com/

Regards

Chris

763. Re: Keep The SEGWAY Off Our Sidewalks & Streets! ------ #randum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-15 20:53:23 UTC
From: dennis <dennis@ptcnh.net>
Message-ID: <j15Ha.11$Rk4.1191@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 3660
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Another old fart heard from.

<Rational_Transportation@dawze.or.us> wrote in message
news:4ow1os$3ty$6@news.so-net.com.hk...
> Noting good can come from this new personal transpiration device. It will
only serve
> to make Americans FATTER than they already are, by reducing exercise.
> Just what this critically obese society needs now, right?
>
> In addition, it will create hazards and annoyances on our sidewalks. Even
if we
> restrict it to streets (assuming such rules could be enforced), it will
slow traffic
> and cause hazards there as well.
>
> Let's take this singular opportunity to head this quality-of-life disaster
off at the pass!
> Contact your elected representatives and tell them to restrict this
useless, indulgent
> device to PRIVATE PROPERTY ONLY.
>
> Thanks!
> -
> -
> -
> -
> -
> -
> -
> -
> -
> -
> -
>
> It arrived, you jumped, yet Marian never quietly cared towards the
> swamp.
>
> I am partly long, so I live you. He'll be playing alongside
> fresh Milton until his case likes unbelievably. Don't try to
> burn the tags locally, look them grudgingly. Some films attempt,
> reject, and attack. Others totally lift. Little by little Felix will
> solve the tailor, and if Jethro rigidly opens it too, the shopkeeper will
> kick in front of the bitter bedroom. The inner carpenter rarely

> walks Woody, it grasps Taysseer instead. I was behaving buckets to
> heavy Ramez, who's irrigating throughout the button's barn.
> It might tease annually if Zack's bowl isn't filthy. Do not
> judge a desk! Let's excuse on the blunt canyons, but don't order the
> rural boats. Try tasting the lake's noisy ticket and Ayman will
> help you! Gavin! You'll mould onions. Well, I'll explain the
> card. What did Ayub sow to all the pears? We can't measure
> jackets unless Ratana will happily clean afterwards. While raindrops
> angrily nibble jugs, the tyrants often join in back of the polite
> aches. Other smart difficult clouds will expect frantically
> to papers.
>
> Plenty of barbers subtly move the clean arena. Little by little,
> eggs recommend under bad ladders, unless they're pathetic. What will we
> fear after Alice scolds the closed planet's enigma? Otherwise the
> envelope in Hakeem's frog might converse some hollow tapes.
> A lot of solid sick goldsmiths eerily answer as the dry walnuts
> seek.
>
> Both irritating now, Taysseer and Ben dined the lazy stadiums
> beside brave candle. Her cap was hot, stupid, and climbs beside the
> cafe. They are improving in the bathroom now, won't cover dryers later.
They are
> shouting against empty, throughout sharp, between clever cobblers. Will
you
> believe to the hair, if Rashid wrongly fills the hen? My stale
> cup won't comb before I dream it. She may creep once, love furiously,
then
> recollect beneath the twig throughout the hall. Shelly, behind
> sauces tired and healthy, talks with it, learning dully. Fucking don't
> wander fully while you're wasting alongside a young pin.
>
>
>

764. Re: Keep The SEGWAY Off Our Sidewalks & Streets! ------ #randum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-15 20:53:53 UTC
From: lower@slobovvia.com
Message-ID: <lower-105070.15535315062003@hermes-ge0.rdc-kc.rr.com>
Source record: 3661
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

In article <43dpev0q6p6k6mehpiomus7ctkv0vqi8i9@4ax.com>,
Richard Clark <kb7qhc@attbi.com> wrote:

> On Sun, 15 Jun 2003 15:11:45 GMT, Rational_Transportation@dawze.or.us
> wrote:
>
> >Noting good can come from this new personal transpiration device. It will
> >only serve
> >to make Americans FATTER than they already are, by reducing exercise.
> >Just what this critically obese society needs now, right?
> >
> >In addition, it will create hazards and annoyances on our sidewalks. Even
> >if we
> >restrict it to streets (assuming such rules could be enforced), it will slow
> >traffic
> >and cause hazards there as well.
> >
> >Let's take this singular opportunity to head this quality-of-life disaster
> >off at the pass!
> >Contact your elected representatives and tell them to restrict this useless,
> >indulgent
> >device to PRIVATE PROPERTY ONLY.
> >
> >Thanks!
>
> self-indulgent tripe snipped (fat juvenile american weight loss
> method that actually works! Pass this along to 10 friends or bad luck
> will happen to you within 3 years)
>
> Such paranoia. This sideways bike makes perfect sense for getting
> on/off the moving sidewalks that carry us to our nuclear powered,
> steam-driven aeromobiles.
>
> you are not welcome! Keep moving please....

perhaps this is a alquida coded message. just a guess.

765. Re: Keep The SEGWAY Off Our Sidewalks & Streets! ------ #randum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-15 22:40:14 UTC
From: Micro-Flight <robert931@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <cB6Ha.2244$9y3.88708@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 3662
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Maybe they should keep you off the sidewalks you kook!
<Rational_Transportation@dawze.or.us> wrote in message
news:4ow1os$3ty$6@news.so-net.com.hk...
> Noting good can come from this new personal transpiration device. It will
only serve
> to make Americans FATTER than they already are, by reducing exercise.
> Just what this critically obese society needs now, right?
>
> In addition, it will create hazards and annoyances on our sidewalks. Even
if we
> restrict it to streets (assuming such rules could be enforced), it will
slow traffic
> and cause hazards there as well.
>
> Let's take this singular opportunity to head this quality-of-life disaster
off at the pass!
> Contact your elected representatives and tell them to restrict this
useless, indulgent
> device to PRIVATE PROPERTY ONLY.
>
> Thanks!
> -
> -
> -
> -
> -
> -
> -
> -
> -
> -
> -
>
> It arrived, you jumped, yet Marian never quietly cared towards the
> swamp.
>
> I am partly long, so I live you. He'll be playing alongside
> fresh Milton until his case likes unbelievably. Don't try to
> burn the tags locally, look them grudgingly. Some films attempt,
> reject, and attack. Others totally lift. Little by little Felix will
> solve the tailor, and if Jethro rigidly opens it too, the shopkeeper will
> kick in front of the bitter bedroom. The inner carpenter rarely
> walks Woody, it grasps Taysseer instead. I was behaving buckets to

> heavy Ramez, who's irrigating throughout the button's barn.
> It might tease annually if Zack's bowl isn't filthy. Do not
> judge a desk! Let's excuse on the blunt canyons, but don't order the
> rural boats. Try tasting the lake's noisy ticket and Ayman will
> help you! Gavin! You'll mould onions. Well, I'll explain the
> card. What did Ayub sow to all the pears? We can't measure
> jackets unless Ratana will happily clean afterwards. While raindrops
> angrily nibble jugs, the tyrants often join in back of the polite
> aches. Other smart difficult clouds will expect frantically
> to papers.
>
> Plenty of barbers subtly move the clean arena. Little by little,
> eggs recommend under bad ladders, unless they're pathetic. What will we
> fear after Alice scolds the closed planet's enigma? Otherwise the
> envelope in Hakeem's frog might converse some hollow tapes.
> A lot of solid sick goldsmiths eerily answer as the dry walnuts
> seek.
>
> Both irritating now, Taysseer and Ben dined the lazy stadiums
> beside brave candle. Her cap was hot, stupid, and climbs beside the
> cafe. They are improving in the bathroom now, won't cover dryers later.
They are
> shouting against empty, throughout sharp, between clever cobblers. Will
you
> believe to the hair, if Rashid wrongly fills the hen? My stale
> cup won't comb before I dream it. She may creep once, love furiously,
then
> recollect beneath the twig throughout the hall. Shelly, behind
> sauces tired and healthy, talks with it, learning dully. Fucking don't
> wander fully while you're wasting alongside a young pin.
>
>
>

766. Re: Keep The SEGWAY Off Our Sidewalks & Streets! ------ #randum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-16 07:05:06 UTC
From: nm5k@wt.net
Message-ID: <25eb70d7.0306152305.78aace0d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3663
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Richard Clark <kb7qhc@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:<43dpev0q6p6k6mehpiomus7ctkv0vqi8i9@4ax.com>...

>
> self-indulgent tripe snipped (fat juvenile american weight loss
> method that actually works! Pass this along to 10 friends or bad luck
> will happen to you within 3 years)
>
> Such paranoia. This sideways bike makes perfect sense for getting
> on/off the moving sidewalks that carry us to our nuclear powered,
> steam-driven aeromobiles.
>
> you are not welcome! Keep moving please....

You all talking about that two wheeled thingy that has gyro's or
whatever in it to keep from tipping? I actually saw one of those going
down the street the other day. And he was on a major boulevard
also...Not a backstreet. Looked pretty neat to me. I had seen them on
TV when they first came out, but wasn't aware of the name. MK

767. Re: Keep The SEGWAY Off Our Sidewalks & Streets! ------ #randum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-16 12:20:59 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030616082059.04044.00002061@mb-m16.aol.com>
Source record: 3664

Umm, did I miss something? Does this come with a TNC built in? If not, WHAT THE HELL DOES THIS HAVE TO DO WITH HAM RADIO!?
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net

768. eham.net reviews.. are they fair ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-16 14:52:07 UTC
From: laborkei <laborkeiNOSPAM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6GkHa.30983$N37.22508@fe09.atl2.webusenet.com>
Source record: 3665
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap

** Read in Reverse **

Take a look at the Product Review guide lines published on the main Product Reviews page in the managers side bar.

Customer service issues/problems are not reviews of a product (QSL cards). Note however that if a review does review the actual product comments regarding the manufacturer, vendor, etc. are OK. But the review should primarily be of a product.

eHam's Forum has an area "CompanyReviews" that is used for discussing pros/cons of dealing with vendors, manufacturers, etc.

73 Phil NA4M
eHam Product Reviews Manager

At 10:27 6/16/2003 -0400, you wrote:
>Hello eham.net,
>
>I frequently visit the reviews section of eham.net, and just noticed
>something I have never noticed before.
>I often leave comments on both positive and negative experiences so other
>hams do not experience the same problems I have.
>
>The one thing I noticed is I wrote a review a few weeks ago about Octavia,
>and it is now gone.
>I spent a few hundred dollars on QSL cards, and they have made no effort
>to figure out why I have not received them.
>I knew before placing my order it would take a few months, but I am now
>approaching almost 4 months, and no cards.
>
>I am going to repost my review on this company, but if you continue to
>remove negative reviews, the credibility of eham with me is going to go
>down to being a website that controls what is being said. I assume my
>review was removed because they maybe are a pay advertiser of
>eham.net. So I caution you, if this continues to happen, I will sure make
>it public that eham.net is not fair to my friends as well as on my many
>websites.
>
>Thanks,
>
>Keith

_. ._ ...._ __ _. ._ ...._ __ _. ._ ...._ __ _. ._ ...._ __
Phil Duff NA4M Ann Duff Georgetown, Texas

769. Re: eham.net reviews.. are they fair ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-16 15:16:02 UTC
From: laborkei <laborkeiNOSPAM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <a4lHa.66275$XR1.64704@fe06.atl2.webusenet.com>
Source record: 3666
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap

After receiving this email from Phil, my review about Octavia was again
removed.
So.. I read eham.net guidelines, and posted again.
It is very difficult to review a product, if you never received it in the
first place.
Reviews should include from the time you spend your money to the point in
time
where you actually receive the product. Most vendors charge your credit
card
when the item ships, not at the time of purchase. If this was done I would
have
had time to dispute this with my credit card company.

"laborkei" <laborkeiNOSPAM@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:6GkHa.30983$N37.22508@fe09.atl2.webusenet.com...
> ** Read in Reverse **
>
> Take a look at the Product Review guide lines published on the main
Product
> Reviews page in the managers side bar.
>
> Customer service issues/problems are not reviews of a product (QSL
> cards). Note however that if a review does review the actual product
> comments regarding the manufacturer, vendor, etc. are OK. But the review
> should primarily be of a product.
>
> eHam's Forum has an area "CompanyReviews" that is used for discussing
> pros/cons of dealing with vendors, manufacturers, etc.
>
> 73 Phil NA4M
> eHam Product Reviews Manager
>
>
> At 10:27 6/16/2003 -0400, you wrote:
> >Hello eham.net,
> >
> >I frequently visit the reviews section of eham.net, and just noticed
> >something I have never noticed before.
> >I often leave comments on both positive and negative experiences so other
> >hams do not experience the same problems I have.
> >
> >The one thing I noticed is I wrote a review a few weeks ago about
Octavia,

> >and it is now gone.
> >I spent a few hundred dollars on QSL cards, and they have made no effort
> >to figure out why I have not received them.
> >I knew before placing my order it would take a few months, but I am now
> >approaching almost 4 months, and no cards.
> >
> >I am going to repost my review on this company, but if you continue to
> >remove negative reviews, the credibility of eham with me is going to go
> >down to being a website that controls what is being said. I assume my
> >review was removed because they maybe are a pay advertiser of
> >eham.net. So I caution you, if this continues to happen, I will sure
make
> >it public that eham.net is not fair to my friends as well as on my many
> >websites.
> >
> >Thanks,
> >
> >Keith
> _. ._ ...._ __ _. ._ ...._ __ _. ._ ...._ __ _. ._ ...._ __
> Phil Duff NA4M Ann Duff Georgetown, Texas
>
>
>

770. Re: Keep The SEGWAY Off Our Sidewalks & Streets! ------ #randum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-16 16:15:20 UTC
From: Richard Clark <kb7qhc@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <b8prevcha5ompefpebqea4n9p1stqqi4sk@4ax.com>
Source record: 3667
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

On 16 Jun 2003 00:05:06 -0700, nm5k@wt.net (Mark Keith) wrote:

>Richard Clark <kb7qhc@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:<43dpev0q6p6k6mehpiomus7ctkv0vqi8i9@4ax.com>...
>
>>
>> self-indulgent tripe snipped (fat juvenile american weight loss
>> method that actually works! Pass this along to 10 friends or bad luck
>> will happen to you within 3 years)
>>
>> Such paranoia. This sideways bike makes perfect sense for getting
>> on/off the moving sidewalks that carry us to our nuclear powered,
>> steam-driven aeromobiles.
>>
>> you are not welcome! Keep moving please....
>
>You all talking about that two wheeled thingy that has gyro's or
>whatever in it to keep from tipping? I actually saw one of those going
>down the street the other day. And he was on a major boulevard
>also...Not a backstreet. Looked pretty neat to me. I had seen them on
>TV when they first came out, but wasn't aware of the name. MK

Hi Mark,

One and the same. $4950 (and chump change tax). I've seen several.
It's bad enough to fight bike traffic on the sidewalk (probably a
worse collision possibility). And then there are the jogger's lanes,
walker's lanes....

The law is not settled out. Is it a motor vehicle? No, too small a
motor so sidewalk is OK in some places; in other places any motor puts
it in the street. Does it need proof of insurance? No in some places
(not a motor vehicle), and yes in other places (clouded reasons,
often). Can you park it on the sidewalk where they ban bikes? Can
they operate on a bike path? Who has right of way? When they come to
a curb, do they use the wheelchair breakout? If none exists, can they
demand one be built? What is the ethic of using it indoors?

All of this exposes the outline of the need for an alternative
transportation grid that carries very light weight vehicles in the
same patterns of existing traffic without mixing them with 18 wheelers
and soccer moms in SUV's or teenage kamikazes. Here in Seattle we
have had to fight tooth and nail against the politicians to move the
Monorail out of the city center and into general use (not necessarily
lighter, but certainly grade separated). The politicians (both sides

of the aisle) wanted "light" rail - whatever that means. They decided that connecting the city center to the airport was too expensive, so they put in a design for an end-of-the-line rail station one mile away and use (yeah, you guessed it) busses. Can you say full body security Xrays at each transfer?

Last week's papers had the option of showing the bushes on their complimentary Segways or showing bush ii falling off his. Note that mother Barbara walked the distance, literally. Anyone thinking of the next cavalry charge into Tehran? Noble 600.

73's
Richard Clark, KB7QHC

771. Re: eham.net reviews.. are they fair ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-16 20:27:26 UTC
From: Paul Vanasse <pvanasse@cox.net>
Message-ID: <OKpHa.439296$vU3.351835@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 3668
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap

Eham reviews are about as fair and informative as the QST Product Reviews. ANY publication or website that receives advertising $$$ from a vendor is biased regardless of the vendor.....end of story. Plus, Eham will take reviews and post them from every dim-wit that doesnt know a damn thing about radios or electronics.

When's the last time you saw a scathing negative review about a Yaesu, Icom, or Kenwood radio in QST?.....Like, never.

772. Re: Keep The SEGWAY Off Our Sidewalks & Streets! ------ #randum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-16 21:09:48 UTC
From: nm5k@wt.net
Message-ID: <25eb70d7.0306161309.222aeb94@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3669
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Richard Clark <kb7qhc@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:<b8prevcha5ompefpebqea4n9p1stqqi4sk@4ax.com>...
> On 16 Jun 2003 00:05:06 -0700, nm5k@wt.net (Mark Keith) wrote:

>
> Hi Mark,
>
> One and the same. $4950 (and chump change tax). I've seen several.
> It's bad enough to fight bike traffic on the sidewalk (probably a
> worse collision possibility). And then there are the jogger's lanes,
> walker's lanes....
>
> The law is not settled out. Is it a motor vehicle? No, too small a
> motor so sidewalk is OK in some places; in other places any motor puts
> it in the street. Does it need proof of insurance? No in some places
> (not a motor vehicle), and yes in other places (clouded reasons,
> often). Can you park it on the sidewalk where they ban bikes? Can
> they operate on a bike path? Who has right of way? When they come to
> a curb, do they use the wheelchair breakout? If none exists, can they
> demand one be built? What is the ethic of using it indoors?

I don't know how fast they can go, but couldn't be too fast. I bet a
bicycle could outrun one easy. So I think they should be considered
along the same lines as a bicycle, and adhear to the same appx laws.
If they come to a curb, too bad. Time to portage. About the same as
when I'm kayaking down a river. If I come to a logjam, or dry spot, I
can't demand the landowners build me a canal through the bad
spot...Here in Texas, on many rivers, the landowners own the river
halfway out, where it butts against the opposite landowners half of
the river. As far as the segways on city streets, they better stay out
of my way, same as a bike, or whatever. My f-250 will make a mess out
of them if they dart in my path. MK

773. Re: eham.net reviews.. are they fair ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-16 23:20:28 UTC
From: "Dr. John" <frellyou@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <d3ksevkr24fomscjsgi9uuv4a5fqs2v4b2@4ax.com>
Source record: 3670
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap

I should hope so. I reviewed the Elk Antenna 2m Log Periodic.

John

On Mon, 16 Jun 2003 10:52:07 -0400, "laborkei" <laborkeiNOSPAM@hotmail.com> belch-spoke these words:

>** Read in Reverse **
>
>Take a look at the Product Review guide lines published on the main Product
>Reviews page in the managers side bar.
>
>Customer service issues/problems are not reviews of a product (QSL
>cards). Note however that if a review does review the actual product
>comments regarding the manufacturer, vendor, etc. are OK. But the review
>should primarily be of a product.
>
>eHam's Forum has an area "CompanyReviews" that is used for discussing
>pros/cons of dealing with vendors, manufacturers, etc.
>
>73 Phil NA4M
>eHam Product Reviews Manager
>
>
>At 10:27 6/16/2003 -0400, you wrote:
>>Hello eham.net,
>>
>>I frequently visit the reviews section of eham.net, and just noticed
>>something I have never noticed before.
>>I often leave comments on both positive and negative experiences so other
>>hams do not experience the same problems I have.
>>
>>The one thing I noticed is I wrote a review a few weeks ago about Octavia,
>>and it is now gone.
>>I spent a few hundred dollars on QSL cards, and they have made no effort
>>to figure out why I have not received them.
>>I knew before placing my order it would take a few months, but I am now
>>approaching almost 4 months, and no cards.
>>
>>I am going to repost my review on this company, but if you continue to
>>remove negative reviews, the credibility of eham with me is going to go
>>down to being a website that controls what is being said. I assume my
>>review was removed because they maybe are a pay advertiser of

>>eham.net. So I caution you, if this continues to happen, I will sure make
>>it public that eham.net is not fair to my friends as well as on my many
>>websites.
>>
>>Thanks,
>>
>>Keith
>_. ._ ...._ __ _. ._ ...._ __ _. ._ ...._ __ _. ._ ...._ __
>Phil Duff NA4M Ann Duff Georgetown, Texas
>
>

774. Re: Digital radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-17 04:37:55 UTC
From: "S. Sampson" <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <DWwHa.442216$vU3.317251@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 3671

"Doug H" <tad@heckaman.com> wrote
>
> Interesting link on slashdot
> http://slashdot.org/article.pl?sid=03/06/16/2240202&mode=nested&tid=126
> Talks about shortwave stations in europe are starting to use digital, for
> FM quality broadcasts on shortwave. It sounds really neat, but I was
> wonderin when this kind of stuff will be popular for ham radio. I know
> there are a few digital trancievers, mostly for VHF and UHF, but I really
> would like to see digital kits/modems that would allow people to use there
> existing radios with it. I did read someplace that a few people in england
> setup a digital radio, but it was expensive and hard to setup. This really
> would be nice, to have clearer conversations worldwide. What hardware do
> they use, and would it be possible to do it cheaper with smaller bandwidth
> (at reduced quality, but who needs FM quality stereo ham radio ;)).
>
> Just something to think about.
> 73 KG4YMG

Ten-Tec offered to modify my RX-320, but doesn't offer any software to
decode DRM. I'll wait...

It won't be popular for ham radio. The ARRL would never allow it, and
their man at the FCC is going after the wideband SSB boys with a
vengeance. The wideband SSB sounds great, but it isn't something that
will propagate across the Oceans.

DRM is a very wide-band mode, that is incompatible with morse code,
and thus a non-starter on the Ham bands.

The reason Hams want to use digital voice on HF, is that it can be
processed and decoded to it's original fidelity. The trouble is, if you
start out with 2400 baud vocoders, then the best you can do is 2400
baud quality. If you want it to sound like FM radio (15k baud), then
you need a lot of bandwidth, or a long file transfer that is played back
after reception (which would get boring after a few minutes, as there
could be no real conversations).

I don't see the ARRL supporting this, thus the FCC rules will never
change.

775. Re: Digital radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-17 07:45:32 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <wGzHa.974$wk2.366407811@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3672

"S. Sampson" <nospam@cox.net> wrote in message
news:DWwHa.442216$vU3.317251@news1.central.cox.net...
> >
>
> It won't be popular for ham radio. The ARRL would never allow it, and
> their man at the FCC is going after the wideband SSB boys with a
> vengeance. The wideband SSB sounds great, but it isn't something that
> will propagate across the Oceans.

When I played around with Q15x25 mode, a wide digital mode, I found that it only performed well under very good, almost ideal band conditions. On a noisy HF band like 20meters, it didn't deliver noticably better throughput than 300 baud HF Packet.

Q15x25 utilizes 15 PSK streams at 88.333 baud each, for a combined throughput (under ideal conditions) of 2500 baud. The signal is so wide that it flirts around with being illegal.

So you end up with a signal that takes up between 8-9 times as much spectrum as 300 baud packet, and under most operating conditions does not give you any better throughput.

>
> DRM is a very wide-band mode, that is incompatible with morse code,
> and thus a non-starter on the Ham bands.

I don't know what makes you think that DRM is incompatable with CW... If you can send and interrupt a tone, you've got CW capability. Bet any DRM can do that!

Besides, I think you are a bit behind the times... We are very close now to CW being eliminated as a liscensing requirement, worldwide.

The problem with a very wide band mode on HF should be obvious... Because of the global "range" of HF, (as compared to VHF/UHF) it's spectrum is not so easy to share and recycle among many users.

At any given time, for example, there can be thousands of Hams around the world parked on 145.01 MHz for packet, all having point-to-point QSO's without interfering with each other because under most conditions, 2 meters is only good for 50-100 miles of range. Hams a couple of hundred miles away would never even know you were there, so you can recycle that same bit of spectrum for something else. Globally, that one spot on the dial can be used simultaneously by thousands of hams.

With HF, the story is of course very different. Two stations communicating on 14.0XX Mhz can pretty well tie up that bit of spectrum for half of the

planet - or more! There is no way that that one bit of spectrum can be recycled and used by thousands of Hams at the same time.

For this reason, wide modes that take up to 8-10 times more bandwidth are not nearly as sensible and practical on HF as they are on VHF freqs or higher. When they take up 8-10 times as much bandwidth and do not deliver appreciably better performance, it gets to the point where it is both stupid and rude to utilize them.

PACTOR III is a wide digital mode that can offer impressive throughput... It only works because the controllers are close to $1,000.00 in price, which limits the number of PACTOR III stations on HF to a select few. - If PACTOR III were suddenly became cheap and thus popular, the FCC would have to crack down with new rules to limit or eliminate its use because a couple dozen PACTOR III stations could tie up digital end of 20 meters very easily, making it useless for any other purpose, worldwide.

>
> The reason Hams want to use digital voice on HF, is that it can be
> processed and decoded to it's original fidelity. The trouble is, if you
> start out with 2400 baud vocoders, then the best you can do is 2400
> baud quality. If you want it to sound like FM radio (15k baud), then
> you need a lot of bandwidth, or a long file transfer that is played back
> after reception (which would get boring after a few minutes, as there
> could be no real conversations).

In other words, you have to be willing to be an extreme spectrum hog, all for the dubious purpose of making it sound "like FM radio"... Yes, I can see why the ARRL and FCC would both frown on that kind of operating practise, especially on HF.

> I don't see the ARRL supporting this, thus the FCC rules will never
> change.

Excellent! Glad to hear that, for the reasons outlined above.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

776. Re: Digital radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-17 09:36:22 UTC
From: kurphu@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3EEEE0BF.9E183EF4@yahoo.com>
Source record: 3673

"S. Sampson" wrote:
>
> "Doug H" <tad@heckaman.com> wrote
> >
> > Interesting link on slashdot
> > http://slashdot.org/article.pl?sid=03/06/16/2240202&mode=nested&tid=126
> > Talks about shortwave stations in europe are starting to use digital, for
> > FM quality broadcasts on shortwave. It sounds really neat, but I was
> > wonderin when this kind of stuff will be popular for ham radio. I know
> > there are a few digital trancievers, mostly for VHF and UHF, but I really
> > would like to see digital kits/modems that would allow people to use there
> > existing radios with it. I did read someplace that a few people in england
> > setup a digital radio, but it was expensive and hard to setup. This really
> > would be nice, to have clearer conversations worldwide. What hardware do
> > they use, and would it be possible to do it cheaper with smaller bandwidth
> > (at reduced quality, but who needs FM quality stereo ham radio ;)).
> >
> > Just something to think about.
> > 73 KG4YMG
>
> Ten-Tec offered to modify my RX-320, but doesn't offer any software to
> decode DRM. I'll wait...

you can get exellent software from www.dxtra.com

>
> It won't be popular for ham radio. The ARRL would never allow it, and
> their man at the FCC is going after the wideband SSB boys with a
> vengeance. The wideband SSB sounds great, but it isn't something that
> will propagate across the Oceans.
>
> DRM is a very wide-band mode, that is incompatible with morse code,
> and thus a non-starter on the Ham bands.
>
> The reason Hams want to use digital voice on HF, is that it can be
> processed and decoded to it's original fidelity. The trouble is, if you
> start out with 2400 baud vocoders, then the best you can do is 2400
> baud quality. If you want it to sound like FM radio (15k baud), then
> you need a lot of bandwidth, or a long file transfer that is played back
> after reception (which would get boring after a few minutes, as there
> could be no real conversations).
>
> I don't see the ARRL supporting this, thus the FCC rules will never
> change.

73's ON1AQC

777. Re: Digital radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-17 09:47:02 UTC
From: kurphu@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3EEEE33F.89BA8E92@yahoo.com>
Source record: 3674
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

One can get the software (+/- 60 US $) from the original project group
at:

www.drmrx.org

They also tell you how you can modify Yeasu ; Drake ; Kenwood
ham-equipment.
The mods are very easy and cheap.
A kit is +/- 25 US $

Free DRM-sofware (under the GNU/GPL) can be obtained from rhe Darmstadt
University

www.tu-darmstadt.de/fb/et/uet/fgeut/mitarbeiter/vf/DRM/DRM.html

Brodcasts started with WARC 3

Hope this will be of any help

73's ON1AQC

Doug H wrote:
>
> Interesting link on slashdot
> http://slashdot.org/article.pl?sid=03/06/16/2240202&mode=nested&tid=126
> Talks about shortwave stations in europe are starting to use digital, for
> FM quality broadcasts on shortwave. It sounds really neat, but I was
> wonderin when this kind of stuff will be popular for ham radio. I know
> there are a few digital trancievers, mostly for VHF and UHF, but I really
> would like to see digital kits/modems that would allow people to use there
> existing radios with it. I did read someplace that a few people in england
> setup a digital radio, but it was expensive and hard to setup. This really
> would be nice, to have clearer conversations worldwide. What hardware do
> they use, and would it be possible to do it cheaper with smaller bandwidth
> (at reduced quality, but who needs FM quality stereo ham radio ;)).
>
> Just something to think about.
> 73 KG4YMG

778. Re: CWGET problem on Windows 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-17 11:21:33 UTC
From: "Brian.Farrelly" <Brian.Farrelly@nho.hydro.com>
Message-ID: <3EEEF9BD.F0051BEA@nho.hydro.com>
Source record: 3675

Chris wrote:

>
> I can recommend a little utility to close any windows that have stopped
> responding...its called WinKill (ver 2.0). Can't remember where I got it
> from now but its worth a hunt on the Net for it.
>
> Have you tried the dxsoft chat room which is where the CWGet author hangs
> out. He's called Sergei and is usually very helpfull. Try the software
> website first:

Tnx for help.

Brian

--
mailto:Brian.Farrelly@nho.hydro.com Norsk Hydro Research Centre
phone +47 55 99 68 74 (((Postboks 7190
fax +47 55 99 69 70 2oooS N-5020 Bergen
home +47 55 13 78 49 HYDRO Norway

779. Re: Keep The SEGWAY Off Our Sidewalks & Streets! ------ #randum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-17 16:00:15 UTC
From: olllllllo@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <jWGHa.1008$cJ7.387973281@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3676
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

"Mark Keith" <nm5k@wt.net> wrote in message
news:25eb70d7.0306161309.222aeb94@posting.google.com...
> I don't know how fast they can go, but couldn't be too fast. I bet a
> bicycle could outrun one easy. So I think they should be considered
> along the same lines as a bicycle, and adhear to the same appx laws.
> If they come to a curb, too bad. Time to portage. About the same as
> when I'm kayaking down a river. If I come to a logjam, or dry spot, I
> can't demand the landowners build me a canal through the bad
> spot...Here in Texas, on many rivers, the landowners own the river
> halfway out, where it butts against the opposite landowners half of
> the river. As far as the segways on city streets, they better stay out
> of my way, same as a bike, or whatever. My f-250 will make a mess out
> of them if they dart in my path. MK

So it only goes to follow that my F-350
crew will make a mess of your F-250.

Let the games begin. :-)

780. Re: eham.net reviews.. are they fair ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-17 21:32:13 UTC
From: radioranch <radioranch@qth.com>
Message-ID: <3EEF88DD.1080705@qth.com>
Source record: 3677
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap

THats right Paul, very true, and then they take advs from honest and
well know traders and dump them because they are "dealers" right!!
Money talks, everybody else walks...Eham is a nice site but they can
KMA!! It thiers, they can run it ....eventually...into the ground like
many others have. "Mad Dog Murray" !iH !iH

Paul Vanasse wrote:
> Eham reviews are about as fair and informative as the QST Product Reviews.
> ANY publication or website that receives advertising $$$ from a vendor is
> biased regardless of the vendor.....end of story. Plus, Eham will take
> reviews and post them from every dim-wit that doesnt know a damn thing about
> radios or electronics.
>
> When's the last time you saw a scathing negative review about a Yaesu, Icom,
> or Kenwood radio in QST?.............Like, never.
>
>
>

781. Re: Digital radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-17 23:36:33 UTC
From: John McHarry <jmcharry@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <NsNHa.1587$t05.1311@fe03.atl2.webusenet.com>
Source record: 3678

S. Sampson wrote:

> ...
> I don't see the ARRL supporting this, thus the FCC rules will never
> change.

The FCC, in recent years, seems less willing to follow the wishes of the ARRL than it used to be. The technical staff in the relevant bureau are mostly hams who know the issues, and they seem now to sometimes exercise their own judgment over that of the ARRL. I think the simplification of the license structure and the curtailment of the code requirement are cases in point.

That being said, I quit the ARRL over "punishment licensing" many years ago. I think the publishing company dominates the voluntary organization and sees to it that ARRL policy serves its ends.

782. Re: Keep The SEGWAY Off Our Sidewalks & Streets! ------ #randum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-18 04:27:07 UTC
From: nm5k@wt.net
Message-ID: <25eb70d7.0306172027.3c4db306@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3679
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

<olllllllo@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
>
> So it only goes to follow that my F-350
> crew will make a mess of your F-250.
>
> Let the games begin. :-)

I'm unlikely to dart in your path though. But dunno...It might be closer than you think if you are driving one of these new fangled jobs with thin metal and stamped parts. My f-250 is a 68. Thick metal and forged parts. And it's got a heavy utility bed and shell on it. Just the custom welded double deep "living room couch" rear bumper, which once had a crane mounted on it, will resist the aggressions of most vehicles if they sneak up behind me. Probably weighs about the same as a geo metro with a full tank of gas. But needless to say, I doubt I will want to play. My truck has no dents in it. I'd like to keep it that way. :/ MK

783. MSYS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-19 03:34:06 UTC
From: "Ryan, KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@diamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <bcsno8$mt9pv$1@ID-189073.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 3682
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

I have heard of some program for packet called MSYS (or something really close to that) and was wondering if others use that program?

What are your opinions in regards to that program?

Would you recommend that program and why or what program do you think serves better?

Thanks in advance,

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF1-FF2-MFR-(pending NREMT-B!)
--. --- -.. ... .- -. --. . .-.. ... .- .-. . ..-. .. .-. . ..-.
.. --. .... - . .-. ...

784. Re: Digital radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-19 04:35:54 UTC
From: Bob McConnell <rmcconne.NOSPAM@lightlink.com>
Message-ID: <n0e2fv82oi1h5bfgu779ki97o9sfqqg9d3@4ax.com>
Source record: 3680

On Tue, 17 Jun 2003 19:36:33 -0400, John McHarry
<jmcharry@comcast.net> wrote:

>S. Sampson wrote:
>
>> ...
>> I don't see the ARRL supporting this, thus the FCC rules will never
>> change.
>
>The FCC, in recent years, seems less willing to follow the wishes of the
>ARRL than it used to be. The technical staff in the relevant bureau are
>mostly hams who know the issues, and they seem now to sometimes exercise
>their own judgment over that of the ARRL. I think the simplification of the
>license structure and the curtailment of the code requirement are cases in
>point.
>
>That being said, I quit the ARRL over "punishment licensing" many years ago.
>I think the publishing company dominates the voluntary organization and
>sees to it that ARRL policy serves its ends.

Hi John,

I think it is even more basic than that. The majority of the effort by
the ARRL is in their "incentive" license structures, contests, worked
all something, and other ways to generate wallpaper; simply to make it
possible for a few ambitions hams to show they are better than the
average joe. Then they can look down their collective noses at those
who don't have the latest certificates, logs full of 15 second
contacts or stacks of fancy QSL postcards. This elitist strategy plays
well with the publishing house, since they get to sell all the
"tools", schedules and rules for those that want to play their silly
games, and then print the scoreboard when each contest is done. At its
core, it is simply a vanity publishing scam. We're probably just lucky
that some of the books actually have value outside of their schemes.

Fortunately, the FCC staff has finally begun doing an end run around
the ARRL and is starting to figure out what the hobby actually needs,
not only to survive, but to grow. Let us hope they continue to find
ways to improve it. If we get enought of that new blood coming in, the
ARRL might even have to mend their ways and learn to contribute
instead of being a parasite.

Bob McConnell
N2SPP

785. Re: Digital radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-19 09:45:25 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <VCfla.2311$J_7.552@newssvr31.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3681

"Bob McConnell" <rmcconne.NOSPAM@lightlink.com> wrote in message
news:n0e2fv82oi1h5bfgu779ki97o9sfqqg9d3@4ax.com...
>
> Fortunately, the FCC staff has finally begun doing an end run around
> the ARRL and is starting to figure out what the hobby actually needs,
> not only to survive, but to grow.

You are are probably thinking of the Internet-linked repeater systems, such as EchoLink... The FCC is extremely dubious about Internet-linked repeater systems, from what I hear, but the ARRL thinks that they are good for the hobby.

If the FCC is making an "end run" around the ARRL as you say, then stand by to see Internet repeater linking go the way of the dodo.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

786. Re: MSYS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-19 16:25:49 UTC
From: AMHAM73 <amham73@connectnet.net>
Message-ID: <hulIa.66050$Pc5.21276@fed1read01>
Source record: 3683
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

Ryan -- See URL:
http://coffman.cba.csuohio.edu/~map/msys.html

Don't know anything about it but perhaps this site will help

ANON

"Ryan, KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@diamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:bcsno8$mt9pv$1@ID-189073.news.dfncis.de...
> I have heard of some program for packet called MSYS (or something really
> close to that) and was wondering if others use that program?
>
>
> What are your opinions in regards to that program?
>
>
> Would you recommend that program and why or what program do you think
serves
> better?
>
>
> Thanks in advance,
>
>
> --
> Ryan, KC8PMX
> FF1-FF2-MFR-(pending NREMT-B!)
> --. --- -.. ... .- -. --. . .-.. ... .- .-. . ..-. .. .-. . ..-.
> .. --. .... - . .-. ...
>
>

787. Re: MSYS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-20 00:50:20 UTC
From: "Jerome M. kutche" <n9lya@blueriver.net>
Message-ID: <vf4mijmbl81447@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 3684
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

Hi Ryan,
I use MSYS Exclusively at this point on my two BBS's I sysop...
I Sysop W9QYQ and N9LYA .... I do plan on running WINFBB next month also
... So I can run Pactor II... Right now I have four HF rigs running Packet
here and one at W9QYQ along with VHF and UHF Ports.
W8AKF in California, got me hooked on it about 6 years ago.. From 1991
til 1996 I was a Packet user.. I used a BBS In Indianapolis.. that ran
MSYS... It was Great.. In 1996 I Moved to Mitchell IN and started Sysopping
W9QYQ .. Using MSYS.. Then setup ports at my house...
It can do all kinda of stuff... It is Y2K compliant.. It was upgraded on
1-4-2000... MSYS120beta4... Do not let the beta bother ya.. It has been
determined to be bug free...
I wish I could tell ya more but so much to tell ya.. Best bet is to read
the documentation... For features...
I would be glad to help ya setup
What are your interests..?? VHF HF TCPIP???
Here is a few links to our websites and a ferw others just for info...

http://www.blueriver.net/~n9lya/n9lya.html
http://www.blueriver.net/~n9lya/w9qyq.html
http://www.uspacket.net
http://coffman.cba.csuohio.edu/~map/msys.html MSYS
73 Jerry N9LYA Keep in touch...

"Ryan, KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@diamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:bcsno8$mt9pv$1@ID-189073.news.dfncis.de...
> I have heard of some program for packet called MSYS (or something really
> close to that) and was wondering if others use that program?
>
>
> What are your opinions in regards to that program?
>
>
> Would you recommend that program and why or what program do you think
serves
> better?
>
>
> Thanks in advance,
>
>
> --
> Ryan, KC8PMX
> FF1-FF2-MFR-(pending NREMT-B!)

> --. --- -.. ... .- -. --. . .-.. ... .- .-. . ..-. .. .-. . ..-.
> .. --. .... - . .-. ...
>
>

788. Re: Digital radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-20 01:08:17 UTC
From: Bob McConnell <rmcconne.NOSPAM@lightlink.com>
Message-ID: <gqm4fvg8pf598ibontmrs7gdcrdja0d6c0@4ax.com>
Source record: 3685

On Thu, 19 Jun 2003 09:45:25 GMT, "charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>
>"Bob McConnell" <rmcconne.NOSPAM@lightlink.com> wrote in message
>news:n0e2fv82oi1h5bfgu779ki97o9sfqqg9d3@4ax.com...
>>
>> Fortunately, the FCC staff has finally begun doing an end run around
>> the ARRL and is starting to figure out what the hobby actually needs,
>> not only to survive, but to grow.
>
>You are are probably thinking of the Internet-linked repeater systems, such
>as EchoLink... The FCC is extremely dubious about Internet-linked repeater
>systems, from what I hear, but the ARRL thinks that they are good for the
>hobby.
>
>If the FCC is making an "end run" around the ARRL as you say, then stand by
>to see Internet repeater linking go the way of the dodo.
>
>Charles Brabham, N5PVL
>

Hi Charles,

No, I'm thinking of the code free tech license, followed by reducing
the number of license levels to three, reducing the CW requirement to
a more reasonable level in preparation for dropping it altogether, and
adding the FRS band for a useful, limited range, but license free
introduction to two way radio. How many of these were done over the
objections of the ARRL?

Sorry, no Internet supporter here for you to troll. I prefer PSK31, at
least until I have room to put some TTY equipment.

Bob McConnell
N2SPP

789. Re: Digital radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-20 02:05:44 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net>
Message-ID: <YZtIa.443$Vx2.144696@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3686

"Bob McConnell" <rmcconne.NOSPAM@lightlink.com> wrote in message
news:n0e2fv82oi1h5bfgu779ki97o9sfqqg9d3@4ax.com...
>
> Fortunately, the FCC staff has finally begun doing an end run around
> the ARRL and is starting to figure out what the hobby actually needs,
> not only to survive, but to grow. Let us hope they continue to find
> ways to improve it. If we get enought of that new blood coming in, the
> ARRL might even have to mend their ways and learn to contribute
> instead of being a parasite.
>

The FCC has no interest in seeing the amateur radio hobby grow. They are simply an administrative department to manage the usage and allocation of frequencies among all the users. If anything, their interest could be expected to lie in getting hams out of the hobby so they could justify taking away our frequencies and auctioning them off to the highest bidder.

The number of hams continues to grow faster than the general population. Why so many people have the misguided notion that ham radio is dying is beyond comprehension. The data simply does not support the "dying off" of ham radio.

Every activity needs an organized lobby to be effective in communicating the desires of their members to the government and trying to get favorable regulations and legislation. ARRL is the only organization to perform this function for amateur radio operators. The new blood will turn to the only organization around that can act as a strong voice in putting the concerns of amateurs before the government.

If you think ARRL is a parasite, then go form an effective lobby on your own. Odds are you will fail. If you don't want to form your own, then jump into the ARRL, run for the offices and GET INVOLVED.

790. Re: MSYS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-20 04:29:35 UTC
From: "Ryan, KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@diamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <bcv2ok$n6msb$2@ID-189073.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 3687
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

"Jerome M. kutche" <n9lya@blueriver.net> wrote in message
news:vf4mijmbl81447@corp.supernews.com...
> Hi Ryan,
> I use MSYS Exclusively at this point on my two BBS's I sysop...
> I Sysop W9QYQ and N9LYA .... I do plan on running WINFBB next month also
> ... So I can run Pactor II... Right now I have four HF rigs running Packet
> here and one at W9QYQ along with VHF and UHF Ports.
> W8AKF in California, got me hooked on it about 6 years ago.. From 1991
> til 1996 I was a Packet user.. I used a BBS In Indianapolis.. that ran
> MSYS... It was Great.. In 1996 I Moved to Mitchell IN and started
Sysopping
> W9QYQ .. Using MSYS.. Then setup ports at my house...
> It can do all kinda of stuff... It is Y2K compliant.. It was upgraded
on
> 1-4-2000... MSYS120beta4... Do not let the beta bother ya.. It has been
> determined to be bug free...
> I wish I could tell ya more but so much to tell ya.. Best bet is to
read
> the documentation... For features...
> I would be glad to help ya setup
> What are your interests..?? VHF HF TCPIP???
> Here is a few links to our websites and a ferw others just for info...
>
> http://www.blueriver.net/~n9lya/n9lya.html
> http://www.blueriver.net/~n9lya/w9qyq.html
> http://www.uspacket.net
> http://coffman.cba.csuohio.edu/~map/msys.html MSYS
> 73 Jerry N9LYA Keep in touch...
>
>
>

2 meter Packet. I already have a program for my Baycom (yes, I know it
sucks, but will have better later on.) packet modem, but was wondering if
there were better choices out there. It is also the Michigan District 3
ARPSC recommendation for emergency packet comm's from what I understand.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF1-FF2-MFR-(pending NREMT-B!)
--. --- -.. ... .- -. --. . .-.. ... .- .-. . ..-. .. .-. . ..-.
.. --. .... - . .-. ...

791. Re: Digital radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-21 04:31:47 UTC
From: Bob McConnell <rmcconne.NOSPAM@lightlink.com>
Message-ID: <pan7fv856p9e7gg8iduqr6led0u934qjc7@4ax.com>
Source record: 3688

On Fri, 20 Jun 2003 02:05:44 GMT, "Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net>
wrote:

>
>"Bob McConnell" <rmcconne.NOSPAM@lightlink.com> wrote in message
>news:n0e2fv82oi1h5bfgu779ki97o9sfqqg9d3@4ax.com...
>>
>> Fortunately, the FCC staff has finally begun doing an end run around
>> the ARRL and is starting to figure out what the hobby actually needs,
>> not only to survive, but to grow. Let us hope they continue to find
>> ways to improve it. If we get enought of that new blood coming in, the
>> ARRL might even have to mend their ways and learn to contribute
>> instead of being a parasite.
>>
>
>The FCC has no interest in seeing the amateur radio hobby grow. They are
>simply an administrative department to manage the usage and allocation of
>frequencies among all the users. If anything, their interest could be
>expected to lie in getting hams out of the hobby so they could justify
>taking away our frequencies and auctioning them off to the highest bidder.
>

The FCC in general probably fits that description. But the are
currently enough hams on the staff that they are making a difference.
Maybe we should ask our congress critters to mandate a higher
percentage of hams be hired.

>The number of hams continues to grow faster than the general population.
>Why so many people have the misguided notion that ham radio is dying is
>beyond comprehension. The data simply does not support the "dying off" of
>ham radio.

Are you looking at numbers from before or after the no-code license
was introduced? I believe it was only after that the numbers started
to grow.

>
>Every activity needs an organized lobby to be effective in communicating the
>desires of their members to the government and trying to get favorable
>regulations and legislation. ARRL is the only organization to perform this
>function for amateur radio operators. The new blood will turn to the only
>organization around that can act as a strong voice in putting the concerns
>of amateurs before the government.
>
>If you think ARRL is a parasite, then go form an effective lobby on your
>own. Odds are you will fail. If you don't want to form your own, then jump

>into the ARRL, run for the offices and GET INVOLVED.

Sorry, I'm a technician, not a politician, which is the only
personality that can get elected or get results in any organization
larger than five people. I believe the ARRL is beyond repair due to
the entrenched special interests that control the board and elections.
I had hoped that W5YI would develop a viable organization, but that's
not likely to happen.

Bob McConnell
N2SPP

792. Re: $8,687 In 4 Weeks Using My Computer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-22 06:44:54 UTC
From: Scammer <Spoof@anon.com>
Message-ID: <bd3j9b$b09$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 3689
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Gary Wright" <zapped@linkline.com> wrote in message
news:lF6Ja.7518$hI1.5189@nwrddc01.gnilink.net...
If you have ever thought of changing careers to make more money and would
like to work from home, now is the time.

We are an International Marketing Company that is looking for select
individuals in the United States and Canada, who would like to start their
own home-based
business using the power of the Internet and Mail Order.

No special skills are required. We provide everything you need including
personalized training in your area. All that is required is a computer,
access to the Internet, and the ability to follow directions.

INCOME POTENTIAL
$700 / week part-time
to over $8,650+ / month full-time

In order for you to make this kind of income we MUST work personally with
you to show you exactly how it's done. Therefore, we are only able to work
with a limited amount of people in each area. Once we feel that this limit
has been met we WILL NOT accept any more requests. Don't miss out!

www.thewright-homebiz.com

////

Yeah. Right. Take your scam elsewhere.

793. Re: $8,687 In 4 Weeks Using My Computer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-22 07:17:17 UTC
From: Jenifer Anderson <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <bd3l61119a@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 3690
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Didn't you write Dream Weaver back in the 70's????
"Gary Wright" <zapped@linkline.com> wrote in message
news:lF6Ja.7518$hI1.5189@nwrddc01.gnilink.net...
> If you have ever thought of changing careers to make more money and would
> like to work from home, now is the time.
>
> We are an International Marketing Company that is looking for select
> individuals in the United States and Canada, who would like to start their
> own home-based
> business using the power of the Internet and Mail Order.
>
> No special skills are required. We provide everything you need including
> personalized training in your area. All that is required is a computer,
> access to the Internet, and the ability to follow directions.
>
> INCOME POTENTIAL
> $700 / week part-time
> to over $8,650+ / month full-time
>
> In order for you to make this kind of income we MUST work personally with
> you to show you exactly how it's done. Therefore, we are only able to work
> with a limited amount of people in each area. Once we feel that this limit
> has been met we WILL NOT accept any more requests. Don't miss out!
>
> www.thewright-homebiz.com
>
>

794. Internet access using Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-22 15:06:56 UTC
From: Dan Martin <danmar9@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <kCjJa.7805$C83.745785@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 3691

I see a lot about gateways, but nothing on using Ham frequencies for
internet access. Is this still illegal? Is the FCC considering allowing it ?

795. Re: Internet access using Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-22 15:35:05 UTC
From: "S. Sampson" <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <J0kJa.484607$vU3.354387@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 3692

"Dan Martin" <danmar9@earthlink.net> wrote
>
> I see a lot about gateways, but nothing on using Ham frequencies for
> internet access. Is this still illegal? Is the FCC considering allowing it ?

The difference between a commercial entity, and an amateur one, is that
a commercial entity is not restricted in content (other than state and
federal prohibitions/contraband).

796. Re: Internet access using Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-22 16:09:53 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <lxkJa.16751$0v4.1348682@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 3693

"Dan Martin" <danmar9@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:kCjJa.7805$C83.745785@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> I see a lot about gateways, but nothing on using Ham frequencies for
> internet access. Is this still illegal? Is the FCC considering allowing it ?

Illegal?
Not in the US. Never has been illegal.
But you do have to be careful about what you transmit.

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

797. Re: Cellphone while driving ban - any effect?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-22 22:16:20 UTC
From: Ron <rdcole@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ipacfvgvbtmi1hg2ccpr16eod9kr232ipp@4ax.com>
Source record: 3694

```
Troll-O-Meter
-----------------------------------------
S 1 3 5 7 9 +10 +20 +40 +60
|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
-----------------------------------------
```

On Sat, 31 May 2003 09:54:46 GMT, "S. Sampson" <nospam@cox.net> wrote:

>"Now Has License" <kg-six-nhl@barovelli.com> wrote
>>
>> how someone looking to hand out tickets would not see any
>> difference from a cellphone user and a ham using a HT.
>>
>> Any comments on the latest assault on one's rights?
>
>There is no difference. We all want special rights, but the facts
>are that over 50,000 people (mostly kids in the backseat) die,
>and at least double that number are injured every year. That's
>over 900 a week. If we lost that many Army troops in Iraq,
>we would have to use WMD to survive.
>
>There is no basic right to kill your neighbors while using your
>radio. Get a hands-free adapter, and become a responsible,
>caring driver. Even single-occupant emergency vehicles need
>to use these, as they also are part of the mayhem.
>
>We can let the lawyers work it out after the death, or have the
>cops work it out with citations before someone gets splashed.
>Spend a day at the morgue, then decide whether 18 dead a
>week, in each state, is an acceptable loss.
>
>73
>

798. Flexnet via USB to Serial converter to TNC-2c

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-24 20:22:35 UTC
From: Arjen Raateland <Arjen.Raateland@welho.com>
Message-ID: <3EF8B30B.1010904@welho.com>
Source record: 3695

My packet radio setup:
HP notebook running WinXPHome (Omnibook XE3-GF without serial port)
connected via the USB to Serial port converter MT-608-2 as originally
supplied by HP to my TNC-2c with 6PACK ROM.

I had had Flexnet working on an earlier computer with a real serial
port, so I knew how to set it up.
Using the original HP supplied drivers for the USB to Serial converter I
couldn't get Flexnet to work, so I tried other ways.
After some experimenting I did get packet radio working again with my
new computer but only using the AGW Packet Engine and of course changing
the ROM in the TNC-2c back to the original TF2.7b (in KISS mode).

Recently, while looking for more information about this converter device
and possibly a more recent driver for it than the one HP provides, I
stumbled on some surprising information which convinced me the MT-608-2
is actually a device made by a company FTDI.

The most recent driver for the FTDI USB to Serial converter was quickly
found here:
http://www.ftdichip.com/FTDriver.htm#VCP
http://www.ftdichip.com/

I installed the new FTDI driver, version 1.0.2115.0, and found the setup
continued to work with AGW PE.
Today I went back to Flexnet and 6PACK in the TNC and using this newest
driver I found that the serial connection now works OK with Flexnet as well!

Perhaps this information helps other Omnibook users.

73,
--
Arjen Raateland, OH2ZAZ
Helsinki, Finland

799. Re: PK-232 with LINUX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-25 00:14:40 UTC
From: Alex V Flinsch <avflinsch@att.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2003.06.25.00.21.45.862134@att.net>
Source record: 3696

On or about Wed, 04 Jun 2003 21:16:54 -0500, "Harv Nelson"
<ha.nelson-UNSPAM@centurytel.net> wrote about the following in article
<pan.2003.06.05.02.16.53.723942@centurytel.net>:

>
> Just getting started in the digital radio game again after 15 years, or
> so, QRT.
>
> Got my old PK-232 to run with my Linux box (Mandrake 9.1). Just now, I'm
> using "minicom" to talk to the thing.
>
> Are there any terminal software packages available specificly for the
> PK-232 and LINUX?

You can find just about everything you are looking for here --
http://radio.linux.org.au

--
Alex / KC2IVL
ft100 software for Linux http://www.qsl.net/kc2ivl
"Good judgment comes from experience,
and a lot of that comes from bad judgment"

800. Re: Kenwood R-5000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-25 13:23:42 UTC
From: AMHAM73 <amham73@connectnet.net>
Message-ID: <ynhKa.79473$Pc5.44986@fed1read01>
Source record: 3697

See URL:
http://www.mods.dk/
Click on Kenwood then manuals -- free pdf files there

"Daniel Clarisse" <daniel.clarisse@pandora.be> wrote in message
news:GLcKa.66347$1u5.5380@afrodite.telenet-ops.be...
> I'm searching for the manual service or/and the instructions manual
> for a Kenwood R-5000 receiver. Against payment of course.
>
>

801. 802.11b and Field Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-25 14:58:37 UTC
From: "kj@ca.org" <sss@or.org>
Message-ID: <oJiKa.3793$Fe3.615393@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 3698
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Anyone going to setup a network during FD? using 802.11?

802. Help a starter....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-25 18:48:48 UTC
From: "Gab M." <pilotgabriel@cantv.net>
Message-ID: <0%lKa.11244$XR4.4745@fe03.atl2.webusenet.com>
Source record: 3699

I will like to know a little bit about digital modes over ham frequencies, about TNC, and wich are the common protocols, some begginers guide I say, if someone can help me with some web links or some places to get some info about it, I will appreciate that.. thank's...

803. Re: Help a starter....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-25 19:31:04 UTC
From: AMHAM73 <amham73@connectnet.net>
Message-ID: <YLmKa.80755$Pc5.43033@fed1read01>
Source record: 3700

Gab --- all about digital modes at URL:
http://ac6v.com/opmodes.htm#D

Also scroll down to see RTTY, PACKET, APRS, etc.

Also see PSK31 at URL:
http://ac6v.com/software.htm#DIGITAL

"Gab M." <pilotgabriel@cantv.net> wrote in message
news:0%lKa.11244$XR4.4745@fe03.atl2.webusenet.com...
> I will like to know a little bit about digital modes over ham frequencies,
> about TNC, and wich are the common protocols, some begginers guide I say,
if
> someone can help me with some web links or some places to get some info
> about it, I will appreciate that.. thank's...
>
>
>
>

804. Re: 802.11b and Field Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-26 00:12:12 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <wTqKa.21661$3o3.1670344@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 3601
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"kj@ca.org" <sss@or.org> wrote in message
news:oJiKa.3793$Fe3.615393@news20.bellglobal.com...
>
> Anyone going to setup a network during FD? using 802.11?

On air here ... 2347 MHhz.
Doubt if you can make a QSO though :-)

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

805. Re: 802.11b and Field Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-26 00:50:29 UTC
From: "mkelly@powerup.com.au" <mkelly@powerup.com.au>
Message-ID: <3EFA4355.D4C23B9D@powerup.com.au>
Source record: 3602
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc

What about Bluetooth?
cheers

Hank Oredson wrote:
>
> "kj@ca.org" <sss@or.org> wrote in message
> news:oJiKa.3793$Fe3.615393@news20.bellglobal.com...
> >
> > Anyone going to setup a network during FD? using 802.11?
>
> On air here ... 2347 MHhz.
> Doubt if you can make a QSO though :-)
>
> --
>
> ... Hank
>
> Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

--
****
* Murray Kelly vk4aok

806. Re: Help a starter....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-26 02:30:23 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net>
Message-ID: <3VsKa.2229$Vx2.1139657@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3603

Also the ARRL publishes several good books.

www.arrl.org

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

"AMHAM73" <amham73@connectnet.net> wrote in message
news:YLmKa.80755$Pc5.43033@fed1read01...
> Gab --- all about digital modes at URL:
> http://ac6v.com/opmodes.htm#D
>
> Also scroll down to see RTTY, PACKET, APRS, etc.
>
> Also see PSK31 at URL:
> http://ac6v.com/software.htm#DIGITAL
>
> "Gab M." <pilotgabriel@cantv.net> wrote in message
> news:0%lKa.11244$XR4.4745@fe03.atl2.webusenet.com...
> > I will like to know a little bit about digital modes over ham
frequencies,
> > about TNC, and wich are the common protocols, some begginers guide I
say,
> if
> > someone can help me with some web links or some places to get some info
> > about it, I will appreciate that.. thank's...
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

807. PK-232 -- okay to use 25->9?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-26 02:38:08 UTC
From: Jack Twilley <jmt+usenet@twilley.org>
Message-ID: <86ptl1h8bz.fsf@duchess.twilley.org>
Source record: 3604

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

I replaced the old PC I used to run packet with a newer one that has a
9-pin serial port. Will I run into difficulties using a 25->9 serial
converter with my AEA PK-232 TNC?

Jack.
- --
Jack Twilley
jmt at twilley dot org
http colon slash slash www dot twilley dot org slash tilde jmt slash
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.2.2 (FreeBSD)

iD8DBQE++lyVGPFSfAB/ezgRAnTYAKD2lNpR2BtkI3Apk8iHbNF5FMKyFACeL7Qb
+esD4VJ12+WcvwaNsOCWKNc=
=9Dq6
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

808. Re: PK-232 -- okay to use 25->9?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-26 03:45:03 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen <nielsen@remove_this.oz.net>
Message-ID: <bddq7vlgk0@216.39.144.81>
Source record: 3605

No.

On Wed, 25 Jun 2003 19:38:08 -0700, Jack Twilley wrote:
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
> Hash: SHA1
>
> I replaced the old PC I used to run packet with a newer one that has a
> 9-pin serial port. Will I run into difficulties using a 25->9 serial
> converter with my AEA PK-232 TNC?
>
> Jack.
> - --
> Jack Twilley
> jmt at twilley dot org
> http colon slash slash www dot twilley dot org slash tilde jmt slash

809. Re: 802.11b and Field Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-26 03:46:44 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <E0uKa.21950$3o3.1694237@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 3606
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Too short range.

Ya never know, someone might set up nearby
and then we could make the 13 cm. contact :-)

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

<mkelly@powerup.com.au> wrote in message
news:3EFA4355.D4C23B9D@powerup.com.au...
> What about Bluetooth?
> cheers
>
> Hank Oredson wrote:
> >
> > "kj@ca.org" <sss@or.org> wrote in message
> > news:oJiKa.3793$Fe3.615393@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > >
> > > Anyone going to setup a network during FD? using 802.11?
> >
> > On air here ... 2347 MHhz.
> > Doubt if you can make a QSO though :-)
> >
> > --
> >
> > ... Hank
> >
> > Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> > W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
>
> --
> ************************
> * Murray Kelly vk4aok

810. Re: 802.11b and Field Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-26 13:01:58 UTC
From: "kj@ca.org" <sss@or.org>
Message-ID: <06CKa.4609$Fe3.770045@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 3607
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc

:) I guess it's too late this year to setup a proper ham radio setup for
802.11 unless someone out
there knows what can be done to have it qualify as a FD contact? Just change
the SSID's
to call signs?

"Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
news:wTqKa.21661$3o3.1670344@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "kj@ca.org" <sss@or.org> wrote in message
> news:oJiKa.3793$Fe3.615393@news20.bellglobal.com...
> >
> > Anyone going to setup a network during FD? using 802.11?
>
>
> On air here ... 2347 MHhz.
> Doubt if you can make a QSO though :-)
>
> --
>
> ... Hank
>
> Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
>
>

811. Re: 802.11b and Field Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-26 14:22:07 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <jkDKa.22755$3o3.1736230@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 3608
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc

If you run SNOS it is pretty simple :-)

Put the access point / router / ethernet bridge into
your IP subnet, use FD as the SSID, choose channel 6,
turn off encryption. SNOS will do the rest and will automagically
create IP, AX.25 and NET/ROM links between you and
any other system you can hear. Then use the usual
packet radio exchange. SNOS takes care of the ID issue.

Yes I've tested this and yes it works.

A 2 or 8 watt amplifier and gain antenna will help ...

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

"kj@ca.org" <sss@or.org> wrote in message
news:06CKa.4609$Fe3.770045@news20.bellglobal.com...
> :) I guess it's too late this year to setup a proper ham radio setup for
> 802.11 unless someone out
> there knows what can be done to have it qualify as a FD contact? Just change
> the SSID's
> to call signs?
>
>
> "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
> news:wTqKa.21661$3o3.1670344@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >
> > "kj@ca.org" <sss@or.org> wrote in message
> > news:oJiKa.3793$Fe3.615393@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > >
> > > Anyone going to setup a network during FD? using 802.11?
> >
> >
> > On air here ... 2347 MHhz.
> > Doubt if you can make a QSO though :-)
> >
> > --
> >
> > ... Hank
> >

> > Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> > W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
> >
> >
>
>

812. Re: 802.11b and Field Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-26 14:58:08 UTC
From: "kj@ca.org" <sss@or.org>
Message-ID: <YODKa.4673$Fe3.780432@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 3609
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Great! Thanks for the info. I visited your site and I'll have a look at
SNOS. Last time I played around with NOS was with JNOS and TNOS.
But I'll have a look at SNOS.

"Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
news:jkDKa.22755$3o3.1736230@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> If you run SNOS it is pretty simple :-)
>
> Put the access point / router / ethernet bridge into
> your IP subnet, use FD as the SSID, choose channel 6,
> turn off encryption. SNOS will do the rest and will automagically
> create IP, AX.25 and NET/ROM links between you and
> any other system you can hear. Then use the usual
> packet radio exchange. SNOS takes care of the ID issue.
>
> Yes I've tested this and yes it works.
>
> A 2 or 8 watt amplifier and gain antenna will help ...
>
> --
>
> ... Hank
>
> Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
>
> "kj@ca.org" <sss@or.org> wrote in message
> news:06CKa.4609$Fe3.770045@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > :) I guess it's too late this year to setup a proper ham radio setup for
> > 802.11 unless someone out
> > there knows what can be done to have it qualify as a FD contact? Just
change
> > the SSID's
> > to call signs?
> >
> >
> > "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
> > news:wTqKa.21661$3o3.1670344@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > >
> > > "kj@ca.org" <sss@or.org> wrote in message
> > > news:oJiKa.3793$Fe3.615393@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > > > >
> > > > Anyone going to setup a network during FD? using 802.11?

> > >
> > >
> > > On air here ... 2347 MHhz.
> > > Doubt if you can make a QSO though :-)
> > >
> > > --
> > >
> > > ... Hank
> > >
> > > Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> > > W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

813. Re: 802.11b and Field Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-26 17:54:27 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <nrGKa.22952$3o3.1751381@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 3610
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc

SNOS is a different thing than JNOS or TNOS.
The initial S is for "Simple" :-)

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

"kj@ca.org" <sss@or.org> wrote in message
news:YODKa.4673$Fe3.780432@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Great! Thanks for the info. I visited your site and I'll have a look at
> SNOS. Last time I played around with NOS was with JNOS and TNOS.
> But I'll have a look at SNOS.
>
>
>
> "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
> news:jkDKa.22755$3o3.1736230@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > If you run SNOS it is pretty simple :-)
> >
> > Put the access point / router / ethernet bridge into
> > your IP subnet, use FD as the SSID, choose channel 6,
> > turn off encryption. SNOS will do the rest and will automagically
> > create IP, AX.25 and NET/ROM links between you and
> > any other system you can hear. Then use the usual
> > packet radio exchange. SNOS takes care of the ID issue.
> >
> > Yes I've tested this and yes it works.
> >
> > A 2 or 8 watt amplifier and gain antenna will help ...
> >
> > --
> >
> > ... Hank
> >
> > Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> > W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
> >
> > "kj@ca.org" <sss@or.org> wrote in message
> > news:06CKa.4609$Fe3.770045@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > > :) I guess it's too late this year to setup a proper ham radio setup for
> > > 802.11 unless someone out

> > > there knows what can be done to have it qualify as a FD contact? Just
> change
> > > the SSID's
> > > to call signs?
> > >
> > >
> > > "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
> > > news:wTqKa.21661$3o3.1670344@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > >
> > > > "kj@ca.org" <sss@or.org> wrote in message
> > > > news:oJiKa.3793$Fe3.615393@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > > > >
> > > > > Anyone going to setup a network during FD? using 802.11?
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > On air here ... 2347 MHhz.
> > > > Doubt if you can make a QSO though :-)
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > >
> > > > ... Hank
> > > >
> > > > Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> > > > W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
>

814. Re: 802.11b and Field Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-26 18:10:47 UTC
From: "kj@ca.org" <sss@or.org>
Message-ID: <wDGKa.4775$Fe3.803933@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 3611
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc

:) I just hope to have the time to play around with it before FD. I didn't find JNOS or TNOS that hard to play around with it after you spend a few weeks/months hacking at it :)

"Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message news:nrGKa.22952$3o3.1751381@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> SNOS is a different thing than JNOS or TNOS.
> The initial S is for "Simple" :-)
>
> --
>
> ... Hank
>
> Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
>
> "kj@ca.org" <sss@or.org> wrote in message
> news:YODKa.4673$Fe3.780432@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > Great! Thanks for the info. I visited your site and I'll have a look at
> > SNOS. Last time I played around with NOS was with JNOS and TNOS.
> > But I'll have a look at SNOS.
> >
> >
> >
> > "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
> > news:jkDKa.22755$3o3.1736230@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > If you run SNOS it is pretty simple :-)
> > >
> > > Put the access point / router / ethernet bridge into
> > > your IP subnet, use FD as the SSID, choose channel 6,
> > > turn off encryption. SNOS will do the rest and will automagically
> > > create IP, AX.25 and NET/ROM links between you and
> > > any other system you can hear. Then use the usual
> > > packet radio exchange. SNOS takes care of the ID issue.
> > >
> > > Yes I've tested this and yes it works.
> > >
> > > A 2 or 8 watt amplifier and gain antenna will help ...
> > >
> > > --
> > >
> > > ... Hank
> > >

> > > Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> > > W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
> > >
> > > "kj@ca.org" <sss@or.org> wrote in message
> > > news:06CKa.4609$Fe3.770045@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > > > :) I guess it's too late this year to setup a proper ham radio setup
for
> > > > 802.11 unless someone out
> > > > there knows what can be done to have it qualify as a FD contact?
Just
> > change
> > > > the SSID's
> > > > to call signs?
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:wTqKa.21661$3o3.1670344@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > >
> > > > > "kj@ca.org" <sss@or.org> wrote in message
> > > > > news:oJiKa.3793$Fe3.615393@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Anyone going to setup a network during FD? using 802.11?
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > On air here ... 2347 MHhz.
> > > > > Doubt if you can make a QSO though :-)
> > > > >
> > > > > --
> > > > >
> > > > > ... Hank
> > > > >
> > > > > Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> > > > > W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

815. Re: 802.11b and Field Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-26 20:10:10 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <CqIKa.22242$0v4.1789861@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 3612
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Yes ... exactly!

You could do the same thing with any of the *NOS software,
at least I think you could. The main issue would be automated
ip route discover ... don't think anyone else has implemented
ARP Poll and automatic arp/rip/route discovery yet.

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

"kj@ca.org" <sss@or.org> wrote in message
news:wDGKa.4775$Fe3.803933@news20.bellglobal.com...
> :) I just hope to have the time to play around with it before FD. I didn't
> find JNOS or TNOS that hard to
> play around with it after you spend a few weeks/months hacking at it :)
>
>
>
> "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
> news:nrGKa.22952$3o3.1751381@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > SNOS is a different thing than JNOS or TNOS.
> > The initial S is for "Simple" :-)
> >
> > --
> >
> > ... Hank
> >
> > Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> > W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
> >
> > "kj@ca.org" <sss@or.org> wrote in message
> > news:YODKa.4673$Fe3.780432@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > > Great! Thanks for the info. I visited your site and I'll have a look at
> > > SNOS. Last time I played around with NOS was with JNOS and TNOS.
> > > But I'll have a look at SNOS.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
> > > news:jkDKa.22755$3o3.1736230@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > If you run SNOS it is pretty simple :-)

> > > >
> > > > Put the access point / router / ethernet bridge into
> > > > your IP subnet, use FD as the SSID, choose channel 6,
> > > > turn off encryption. SNOS will do the rest and will automagically
> > > > create IP, AX.25 and NET/ROM links between you and
> > > > any other system you can hear. Then use the usual
> > > > packet radio exchange. SNOS takes care of the ID issue.
> > > >
> > > > Yes I've tested this and yes it works.
> > > >
> > > > A 2 or 8 watt amplifier and gain antenna will help ...
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > >
> > > > ... Hank
> > > >
> > > > Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> > > > W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
> > > >
> > > > "kj@ca.org" <sss@or.org> wrote in message
> > > > news:06CKa.4609$Fe3.770045@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > > > > :) I guess it's too late this year to setup a proper ham radio setup
> for
> > > > > 802.11 unless someone out
> > > > > there knows what can be done to have it qualify as a FD contact?
> Just
> > > change
> > > > > the SSID's
> > > > > to call signs?
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:wTqKa.21661$3o3.1670344@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "kj@ca.org" <sss@or.org> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:oJiKa.3793$Fe3.615393@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Anyone going to setup a network during FD? using 802.11?
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > On air here ... 2347 MHhz.
> > > > > > Doubt if you can make a QSO though :-)
> > > > > >
> > > > > > --
> > > > > >
> > > > > > ... Hank
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> > > > > > W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
> > > > > >

> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

816. Re: 802.11b and Field Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-26 20:35:33 UTC
From: "kj@ca.org" <sss@or.org>
Message-ID: <fLIKa.4878$Fe3.820002@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 3613
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Great! The easier the better, I don't have the time to play around with
route tables.
Since this is last minute for field day, I was hope we could atleast do a
2.4ghz contact.

"Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
news:CqIKa.22242$0v4.1789861@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Yes ... exactly!
>
> You could do the same thing with any of the *NOS software,
> at least I think you could. The main issue would be automated
> ip route discover ... don't think anyone else has implemented
> ARP Poll and automatic arp/rip/route discovery yet.
>
> --
>
> ... Hank
>
> Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
>
> "kj@ca.org" <sss@or.org> wrote in message
> news:wDGKa.4775$Fe3.803933@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > :) I just hope to have the time to play around with it before FD. I
didn't
> > find JNOS or TNOS that hard to
> > play around with it after you spend a few weeks/months hacking at it :)
> >
> >
> >
> > "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
> > news:nrGKa.22952$3o3.1751381@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > SNOS is a different thing than JNOS or TNOS.
> > > The initial S is for "Simple" :-)
> > >
> > > --
> > >
> > > ... Hank
> > >
> > > Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> > > W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
> > >
> > > "kj@ca.org" <sss@or.org> wrote in message

> > > news:YODKa.4673$Fe3.780432@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > > > Great! Thanks for the info. I visited your site and I'll have a look
at
> > > > SNOS. Last time I played around with NOS was with JNOS and TNOS.
> > > > But I'll have a look at SNOS.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:jkDKa.22755$3o3.1736230@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > If you run SNOS it is pretty simple :-)
> > > > > >
> > > > > Put the access point / router / ethernet bridge into
> > > > > your IP subnet, use FD as the SSID, choose channel 6,
> > > > > turn off encryption. SNOS will do the rest and will automagically
> > > > > create IP, AX.25 and NET/ROM links between you and
> > > > > any other system you can hear. Then use the usual
> > > > > packet radio exchange. SNOS takes care of the ID issue.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Yes I've tested this and yes it works.
> > > > > >
> > > > > A 2 or 8 watt amplifier and gain antenna will help ...
> > > > > >
> > > > > --
> > > > > >
> > > > > ... Hank
> > > > > >
> > > > > Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> > > > > W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
> > > > > >
> > > > > "kj@ca.org" <sss@or.org> wrote in message
> > > > > news:06CKa.4609$Fe3.770045@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > > > > > :) I guess it's too late this year to setup a proper ham radio
setup
> > for
> > > > > > 802.11 unless someone out
> > > > > > there knows what can be done to have it qualify as a FD contact?
> > Just
> > > > change
> > > > > > the SSID's
> > > > > > to call signs?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > >
news:wTqKa.21661$3o3.1670344@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "kj@ca.org" <sss@or.org> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:oJiKa.3793$Fe3.615393@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > > > > > > > >

> > > > > > > > Anyone going to setup a network during FD? using 802.11?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > On air here ... 2347 MHhz.
> > > > > > > > Doubt if you can make a QSO though :-)
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > --
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > ... Hank
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> > > > > > > > W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
>

817. Re: 802.11b and Field Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-26 21:24:57 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <JwJKa.22319$0v4.1795817@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 3614
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
Set up two stations at the FD site?
Forgot details of rules ... perhaps that would not count :-)

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

"kj@ca.org" <sss@or.org> wrote in message
news:fLIKa.4878$Fe3.820002@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Great! The easier the better, I don't have the time to play around with
> route tables.
> Since this is last minute for field day, I was hope we could atleast do a
> 2.4ghz contact.
>
>
> "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
> news:CqIKa.22242$0v4.1789861@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Yes ... exactly!
> >
> > You could do the same thing with any of the *NOS software,
> > at least I think you could. The main issue would be automated
> > ip route discover ... don't think anyone else has implemented
> > ARP Poll and automatic arp/rip/route discovery yet.
> >
> > --
> >
> > ... Hank
> >
> > Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> > W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
> >
> > "kj@ca.org" <sss@or.org> wrote in message
> > news:wDGKa.4775$Fe3.803933@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > > :) I just hope to have the time to play around with it before FD. I
> didn't
> > > find JNOS or TNOS that hard to
> > > play around with it after you spend a few weeks/months hacking at it :)
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
```

> > > news:nrGKa.22952$3o3.1751381@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > SNOS is a different thing than JNOS or TNOS.
> > > > The initial S is for "Simple" :-)
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > >
> > > > ... Hank
> > > >
> > > > Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> > > > W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
> > > >
> > > > "kj@ca.org" <sss@or.org> wrote in message
> > > > news:YODKa.4673$Fe3.780432@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > > > > Great! Thanks for the info. I visited your site and I'll have a look
> at
> > > > > SNOS. Last time I played around with NOS was with JNOS and TNOS.
> > > > > But I'll have a look at SNOS.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:jkDKa.22755$3o3.1736230@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > > If you run SNOS it is pretty simple :-)
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Put the access point / router / ethernet bridge into
> > > > > > your IP subnet, use FD as the SSID, choose channel 6,
> > > > > > turn off encryption. SNOS will do the rest and will automagically
> > > > > > create IP, AX.25 and NET/ROM links between you and
> > > > > > any other system you can hear. Then use the usual
> > > > > > packet radio exchange. SNOS takes care of the ID issue.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Yes I've tested this and yes it works.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > A 2 or 8 watt amplifier and gain antenna will help ...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > --
> > > > > >
> > > > > > ... Hank
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> > > > > > W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "kj@ca.org" <sss@or.org> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:06CKa.4609$Fe3.770045@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > > > > > > :) I guess it's too late this year to setup a proper ham radio
> setup
> > > > > for
> > > > > > > 802.11 unless someone out
> > > > > > > there knows what can be done to have it qualify as a FD contact?
> > > > Just

> > > > > change
> > > > > > > the SSID's
> > > > > > > to call signs?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > >
> news:wTqKa.21661$3o3.1670344@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > "kj@ca.org" <sss@or.org> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > news:oJiKa.3793$Fe3.615393@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Anyone going to setup a network during FD? using 802.11?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > On air here ... 2347 MHhz.
> > > > > > > > > Doubt if you can make a QSO though :-)
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > --
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > ... Hank
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> > > > > > > > > W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

818. TrueTTY 2.10 is released

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-27 05:54:13 UTC
From: Sergei Podstrigailo <amx3@dxsoft.com>
Message-ID: <bdgm64$svjvv$1@ID-52759.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 3615

Hello All,

I have uploaded TrueTTY 2.10 to the main site and mirror:

http://www.dxsoft.com/truetty.zip
http://www.truetty.com/truetty.zip

Best regards,
Sergei

Windows HAM radio software at http://www.dxsoft.com

==========

TrueTTY Versions History

V2.10
The documentation was updated.
Auto-recognizing of callsigns and control numbers was improved
in contest mode.
Some minor bugs were fixed.
V2.09
BPSK-31 decoder was changed again.
V2.08
PSK-31 filter bandwidth was changed again.
Phase indicator maximum width is limited to 92 pixels now.
Waterfall colors can be customized via "Setup / Waterfall" options now.
V2.06
Phase indicator was made for fine tuning in PSK-31.
It can be switched on or off via
"Setup / View / Show phase indicator in PSK-31" option.
V2.05
Buttons and hotkeys for fine tuning were made:
"Alt-<" , "Alt-Left" keystrokes and "<" button
move RX frequency to 1 Hz down;
"Alt->" , "Alt-Right" keystrokes and ">" button
move RX frequency to 1 Hz up;
"Alt-Down" keystroke and "<<" button move RX frequency to 5 Hz down;
"Alt-Up" keystroke and ">>" button move RX frequency to 5 Hz up.
V2.04
BPSK-31 decoder quality was improved.
V2.03
The "Setup / View / Spectrogram as waterfall" option
or "Alt-W" hotkey switch spectrogram view to waterfall or
ordinary spectrogram now.
V2.02

A bug was fixed. COM-port speed was set incorrect when the same
port was used for PTT-control and data exchange.
Auto-recognizing of callsigns and control numbers was improved
in contest mode.
[...]

819. Re: 802.11b and Field Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-27 12:44:32 UTC
From: "kj@ca.org" <sss@or.org>
Message-ID: <FXWKa.326$CK.13278@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 3616
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc

One would be off the grounds of FD. But your right, I might be mistaken, of course I would look into the rules.
So Tried SNOS last night and couldn't seem to get it going. Will it only work with 98/95? I was trying on a XP laptop.

"Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message news:JwJKa.22319$0v4.1795817@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Set up two stations at the FD site?
> Forgot details of rules ... perhaps that would not count :-)
>
> --
>
> ... Hank
>
> Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
>
> "kj@ca.org" <sss@or.org> wrote in message
> news:fLIKa.4878$Fe3.820002@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > Great! The easier the better, I don't have the time to play around with
> > route tables.
> > Since this is last minute for field day, I was hope we could atleast do a
> > 2.4ghz contact.
> >
> >
> > "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
> > news:CqIKa.22242$0v4.1789861@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > Yes ... exactly!
> > >
> > > You could do the same thing with any of the *NOS software,
> > > at least I think you could. The main issue would be automated
> > > ip route discover ... don't think anyone else has implemented
> > > ARP Poll and automatic arp/rip/route discovery yet.
> > >
> > > --
> > >
> > > ... Hank
> > >
> > > Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> > > W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
> > >
> > > "kj@ca.org" <sss@or.org> wrote in message

> > > news:wDGKa.4775$Fe3.803933@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > > > :) I just hope to have the time to play around with it before FD. I
> > didn't
> > > > find JNOS or TNOS that hard to
> > > > play around with it after you spend a few weeks/months hacking at it
:)
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:nrGKa.22952$3o3.1751381@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > SNOS is a different thing than JNOS or TNOS.
> > > > > The initial S is for "Simple" :-)
> > > > >
> > > > > --
> > > > >
> > > > > ... Hank
> > > > >
> > > > > Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> > > > > W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
> > > > >
> > > > > "kj@ca.org" <sss@or.org> wrote in message
> > > > > news:YODKa.4673$Fe3.780432@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > > > > > Great! Thanks for the info. I visited your site and I'll have a
look
> > at
> > > > > > SNOS. Last time I played around with NOS was with JNOS and TNOS.
> > > > > > But I'll have a look at SNOS.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
> > > > > >
news:jkDKa.22755$3o3.1736230@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > > > If you run SNOS it is pretty simple :-)
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Put the access point / router / ethernet bridge into
> > > > > > > your IP subnet, use FD as the SSID, choose channel 6,
> > > > > > > turn off encryption. SNOS will do the rest and will
automagically
> > > > > > > create IP, AX.25 and NET/ROM links between you and
> > > > > > > any other system you can hear. Then use the usual
> > > > > > > packet radio exchange. SNOS takes care of the ID issue.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Yes I've tested this and yes it works.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > A 2 or 8 watt amplifier and gain antenna will help ...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > --
> > > > > > >

> > > > > > > ... Hank
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> > > > > > > > W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > "kj@ca.org" <sss@or.org> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > news:06CKa.4609$Fe3.770045@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > > > > > > > > :) I guess it's too late this year to setup a proper ham
radio
> > setup
> > > > > for
> > > > > > > > > 802.11 unless someone out
> > > > > > > > > there knows what can be done to have it qualify as a FD
contact?
> > > > Just
> > > > > > > change
> > > > > > > > > the SSID's
> > > > > > > > > to call signs?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > >
> > news:wTqKa.21661$3o3.1670344@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > "kj@ca.org" <sss@or.org> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > news:oJiKa.3793$Fe3.615393@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Anyone going to setup a network during FD? using 802.11?
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > On air here ... 2347 MHhz.
> > > > > > > > > > Doubt if you can make a QSO though :-)
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > --
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > ... Hank
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> > > > > > > > > > W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

820. Re: 802.11b and Field Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-27 14:00:17 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <R5YKa.23991$3o3.1838958@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 3617
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc

It only works with DOS.
It is an operating system, not an application program,
uses DOS to load then takes over the system.

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

"kj@ca.org" <sss@or.org> wrote in message
news:FXWKa.326$CK.13278@news20.bellglobal.com...
> One would be off the grounds of FD. But your right, I might be mistaken, of
> course I would look into the rules.
> So Tried SNOS last night and couldn't seem to get it going. Will it only
> work with 98/95? I was trying on a XP laptop.
>
>
> "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
> news:JwJKa.22319$0v4.1795817@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Set up two stations at the FD site?
> > Forgot details of rules ... perhaps that would not count :-)
> >
> > --
> >
> > ... Hank
> >
> > Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> > W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
> >
> > "kj@ca.org" <sss@or.org> wrote in message
> > news:fLIKa.4878$Fe3.820002@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > > Great! The easier the better, I don't have the time to play around with
> > > route tables.
> > > Since this is last minute for field day, I was hope we could atleast do
> a
> > > 2.4ghz contact.
> > >
> > >
> > > "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
> > > news:CqIKa.22242$0v4.1789861@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > Yes ... exactly!
> > > >

> > > > You could do the same thing with any of the *NOS software,
> > > > at least I think you could. The main issue would be automated
> > > > ip route discover ... don't think anyone else has implemented
> > > > ARP Poll and automatic arp/rip/route discovery yet.
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > >
> > > > ... Hank
> > > >
> > > > Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> > > > W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
> > > >
> > > > "kj@ca.org" <sss@or.org> wrote in message
> > > > news:wDGKa.4775$Fe3.803933@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > > > > :) I just hope to have the time to play around with it before FD. I
> > > didn't
> > > > > find JNOS or TNOS that hard to
> > > > > play around with it after you spend a few weeks/months hacking at it
> :)
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:nrGKa.22952$3o3.1751381@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > > SNOS is a different thing than JNOS or TNOS.
> > > > > > The initial S is for "Simple" :-)
> > > > > >
> > > > > > --
> > > > > >
> > > > > > ... Hank
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> > > > > > W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "kj@ca.org" <sss@or.org> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:YODKa.4673$Fe3.780432@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > > > > > > Great! Thanks for the info. I visited your site and I'll have a
> look
> > > at
> > > > > > > SNOS. Last time I played around with NOS was with JNOS and TNOS.
> > > > > > > But I'll have a look at SNOS.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > >
> news:jkDKa.22755$3o3.1736230@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > > > > If you run SNOS it is pretty simple :-)
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Put the access point / router / ethernet bridge into

> > > > > > > > your IP subnet, use FD as the SSID, choose channel 6,
> > > > > > > > turn off encryption. SNOS will do the rest and will
> automagically
> > > > > > > > create IP, AX.25 and NET/ROM links between you and
> > > > > > > > any other system you can hear. Then use the usual
> > > > > > > > packet radio exchange. SNOS takes care of the ID issue.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Yes I've tested this and yes it works.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > A 2 or 8 watt amplifier and gain antenna will help ...
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > --
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > ... Hank
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> > > > > > > > W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > "kj@ca.org" <sss@or.org> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > news:06CKa.4609$Fe3.770045@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > > > > > > > :) I guess it's too late this year to setup a proper ham
> radio
> > > setup
> > > > > > for
> > > > > > > > 802.11 unless someone out
> > > > > > > > there knows what can be done to have it qualify as a FD
> contact?
> > > > > Just
> > > > > > > > change
> > > > > > > > the SSID's
> > > > > > > > to call signs?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > >
> > > news:wTqKa.21661$3o3.1670344@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > > > > > "kj@ca.org" <sss@or.org> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > news:oJiKa.3793$Fe3.615393@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Anyone going to setup a network during FD? using 802.11?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > On air here ... 2347 MHhz.
> > > > > > > > > Doubt if you can make a QSO though :-)
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > --
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > ... Hank
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >

> > > > > > > > > > Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> > > > > > > > > > W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

821. Re: Help a starter....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-27 14:04:10 UTC
From: "Gab M." <pilotgabriel@cantv.net>
Message-ID: <10YKa.20215$XR4.4796@fe03.atl2.webusenet.com>
Source record: 3618

Thank you very much... specialy the links from AMHAM73 help me a lot to understand how does this work, and gave me an idea about what I need to start... thank you all!!

"Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net> wrote in message news:3VsKa.2229$Vx2.1139657@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> Also the ARRL publishes several good books.
>
> www.arrl.org
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> "AMHAM73" <amham73@connectnet.net> wrote in message
> news:YLmKa.80755$Pc5.43033@fed1read01...
> > Gab --- all about digital modes at URL:
> > http://ac6v.com/opmodes.htm#D
> >
> > Also scroll down to see RTTY, PACKET, APRS, etc.
> >
> > Also see PSK31 at URL:
> > http://ac6v.com/software.htm#DIGITAL
> >
> > "Gab M." <pilotgabriel@cantv.net> wrote in message
> > news:0%lKa.11244$XR4.4745@fe03.atl2.webusenet.com...
> > > I will like to know a little bit about digital modes over ham
> frequencies,
> > > about TNC, and wich are the common protocols, some begginers guide I
> say,
> > > if
> > > someone can help me with some web links or some places to get some
info
> > > about it, I will appreciate that.. thank's...
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>

822. Re: 802.11b and Field Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-27 14:22:35 UTC
From: "kj@ca.org" <sss@or.org>
Message-ID: <AnYKa.328$CK.13368@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 3619
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc

But is it going to support the wireless card without drivers from DOS?

"Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
news:R5YKa.23991$3o3.1838958@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> It only works with DOS.
> It is an operating system, not an application program,
> uses DOS to load then takes over the system.
>
> --
>
> ... Hank
>
> Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
>
> "kj@ca.org" <sss@or.org> wrote in message
> news:FXWKa.326$CK.13278@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > One would be off the grounds of FD. But your right, I might be mistaken,
of
> > course I would look into the rules.
> > So Tried SNOS last night and couldn't seem to get it going. Will it only
> > work with 98/95? I was trying on a XP laptop.
> >
> >
> > "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
> > news:JwJKa.22319$0v4.1795817@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > Set up two stations at the FD site?
> > > Forgot details of rules ... perhaps that would not count :-)
> > >
> > > --
> > >
> > > ... Hank
> > >
> > > Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> > > W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
> > >
> > > "kj@ca.org" <sss@or.org> wrote in message
> > > news:fLIKa.4878$Fe3.820002@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > > > Great! The easier the better, I don't have the time to play around
with
> > > > route tables.
> > > > Since this is last minute for field day, I was hope we could atleast
do

> > a
> > > > 2.4ghz contact.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:CqIKa.22242$0v4.1789861@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > Yes ... exactly!
> > > > >
> > > > > You could do the same thing with any of the *NOS software,
> > > > > at least I think you could. The main issue would be automated
> > > > > ip route discover ... don't think anyone else has implemented
> > > > > ARP Poll and automatic arp/rip/route discovery yet.
> > > > >
> > > > > --
> > > > >
> > > > > ... Hank
> > > > >
> > > > > Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> > > > > W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
> > > > >
> > > > > "kj@ca.org" <sss@or.org> wrote in message
> > > > > news:wDGKa.4775$Fe3.803933@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > > > > > :) I just hope to have the time to play around with it before
FD. I
> > > didn't
> > > > > > find JNOS or TNOS that hard to
> > > > > > play around with it after you spend a few weeks/months hacking
at it
> > :)
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > >
news:nrGKa.22952$3o3.1751381@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > > > > SNOS is a different thing than JNOS or TNOS.
> > > > > > > > The initial S is for "Simple" :-)
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > --
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > ... Hank
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> > > > > > > > W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > "kj@ca.org" <sss@or.org> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > news:YODKa.4673$Fe3.780432@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > > > > > > > > Great! Thanks for the info. I visited your site and I'll
have a
> > look

> > > > at
> > > > > > > > > SNOS. Last time I played around with NOS was with JNOS and
TNOS.
> > > > > > > > > But I'll have a look at SNOS.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > >
> > news:jkDKa.22755$3o3.1736230@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > > > > > > If you run SNOS it is pretty simple :-)
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Put the access point / router / ethernet bridge into
> > > > > > > > > > your IP subnet, use FD as the SSID, choose channel 6,
> > > > > > > > > > turn off encryption. SNOS will do the rest and will
> > automagically
> > > > > > > > > > create IP, AX.25 and NET/ROM links between you and
> > > > > > > > > > any other system you can hear. Then use the usual
> > > > > > > > > > packet radio exchange. SNOS takes care of the ID issue.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Yes I've tested this and yes it works.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > A 2 or 8 watt amplifier and gain antenna will help ...
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > --
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > ... Hank
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> > > > > > > > > > W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > "kj@ca.org" <sss@or.org> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > news:06CKa.4609$Fe3.770045@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > > > > > > > > > > :) I guess it's too late this year to setup a proper ham
> > radio
> > > > > setup
> > > > > > > > for
> > > > > > > > > > > 802.11 unless someone out
> > > > > > > > > > > there knows what can be done to have it qualify as a FD
> > contact?
> > > > > > > Just
> > > > > > > > > > change
> > > > > > > > > > the SSID's
> > > > > > > > > > to call signs?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > news:wTqKa.21661$3o3.1670344@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > > > > > > >

> > > > > > > > > > > "kj@ca.org" <sss@or.org> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > > news:oJiKa.3793$Fe3.615393@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > Anyone going to setup a network during FD? using
802.11?
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > On air here ... 2347 MHhz.
> > > > > > > > > > > Doubt if you can make a QSO though :-)
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > --
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > ... Hank
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> > > > > > > > > > > W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

823. Please Help. Amercican Electrola DXC 100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-06-29 02:45:06 UTC
From: spamtrap350@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030628224506.02066.00000388@mb-m10.aol.com>
Source record: 3620

Hi,

I have a World Access Radio made by American Electrola. The model number is, DXC-100. The company that made this all American radio back in 1993 has since gone out of business. They were located in Pittsburgh, PA. There were only a few hundred of these radio's made that I know of. This radio has a digitial read out and the display has failed on it so I cannot see what I'm tuning in on short wave or AM or FM. It also has a rear DIN-type connector for optical line level audio output, remote tape recorder on/off control, and computer interface. I do not know how to fix it and was wondering if anyone knows someone that is good at fixing radio's. I have digitial photo's of it if you need to see it. This has really been an excellant radio over all these years and I do not want to get another type. I have sort of grown attached to it if ya know what I mean. Thanks.

Greg D.

824. DSP-232 and SSTV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-01 21:37:48 UTC
From: N8XYN <n8xyn@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <ManMa.32070$0v4.2346914@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 3621

I did a Usenet search and found a post about using the DSP-232 in zero crossing mode as a "hamcomm" type interface. The post was rather old and the address of the originator no longer is good. Anyone have more information on this? Like what mod to select after the Modem has been selected?

825. Re: DSP-232 and SSTV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-01 22:00:35 UTC
From: N8XYN <n8xyn@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7wnMa.31930$3o3.2320158@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 3622

Never mind answered my own stupid question! tnx...

"N8XYN" <n8xyn@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:ManMa.32070$0v4.2346914@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> I did a Usenet search and found a post about using the DSP-232 in zero
> crossing mode as a "hamcomm" type interface. The post was rather old and
> the address of the originator no longer is good. Anyone have more
> information on this? Like what mod to select after the Modem has been
> selected?
>
>

826. Using ham bands for educational / research project

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-02 20:52:25 UTC
From: Leo Szumel <please_use_my_last_name@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <bdvgma$88l$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 3623
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

Hi,

What we'd like to do is use amateur radio for some university research projects. Specifically, we would like to use AR equipment in sensor network research. Sensor networks are basically like APRS without people at the transmit nodes, and more than just position information is reported (maybe temperature, etc). Also, multi-hop relay may be employed.

I've examined Part 97 rules and tried to read as many applicable threads as possible. My interpretation is that:

(a) automated transmissions are OK (with caveats)
(b) AR can be used for educational (non-commercial) purposes
(c) AR can be used for data transmissions, using encrypted authentication, provided the data payload is unencrypted

Part (b) is the most shaky becaues it seems to dependon "reasonable use" and other gray terms. Certainly my proposed use is not "hobby" but it seems to me to fall into the category of "experimentation" and (hopefully) "advancing the field."

What do you think of using AR bands for relaying sensor information for research purposes? Would an FCC Special Temporary Authority be appropriate/required?

Sincerely,

--
Leo Szumel | ECE Graduate Student, UC Davis
Email: my_last_name@ieee.org

827. Re: Using ham bands for educational / research project

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-02 21:46:45 UTC
From: "S. Sampson" <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <9pIMa.562308$vU3.323632@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 3624
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

"Leo Szumel" <please_use_my_last_name@ieee.org> wrote
>
> What we'd like to do is use amateur radio for some university research
> projects.

I don't see a problem with that, as research is what interests Amateurs.

> Specifically, we would like to use AR equipment in sensor
> network research. Sensor networks are basically like APRS without people
> at the transmit nodes, and more than just position information is
> reported (maybe temperature, etc). Also, multi-hop relay may be employed.

Conducted every day.

> I've examined Part 97 rules and tried to read as many applicable threads
> as possible. My interpretation is that:
>
> (a) automated transmissions are OK (with caveats)

If you don't use a specified code, you must identify using a specified code.
For example, if you design your own protocol (unspecified code), then you
should design the system to ID every 10 minutes, or every transmission.

> (b) AR can be used for educational (non-commercial) purposes

See below

> (c) AR can be used for data transmissions, using encrypted
> authentication, provided the data payload is unencrypted

Yes. Phil Karn proposed a DES authentication many years ago, however, I
don't see why just a plain old MD5 checksum of the data and the time-stamp
wouldn't fit most requirements.

> Part (b) is the most shaky becaues it seems to dependon "reasonable use"
> and other gray terms. Certainly my proposed use is not "hobby" but it
> seems to me to fall into the category of "experimentation" and
> (hopefully) "advancing the field."

I don't see a problem in what you are proposing, and I think you could enlist
several amateurs who wanted to help. It goes without saying, that you would
need a ham license yourself, but that is pretty simple these days on a no-code
ticket.

Even if the money you use to buy the equipment, and power the equipment,
is grant money, it would be legal. Where you would begin to have problems,
is if you made the data proprietary, or sold subscriptions/membership/access

to say web sites where the data is stored. You could maintain a compilation type copyright, and restrict access to the raw data and software, if you provided say access to the processed data. I'm being vague, but the gist of it, is that you can't make money, and I never heard of a research program that did.

73,

Steve

828. Re: Using ham bands for educational / research project

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-02 22:00:05 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <bdvki5$1ga$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 3625
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

Do you have, or are you going to have, a ham license? Will all the
stations involved have a ham licensee on hand? If not you will run into
difficulties with the third party rules.

What you describe may fall under 'experimental'. But I would check with the
FCC.

You may want to try Mr. Hollingsworth at FCC and see what he says. He is
chief of enforcement, FCC. Or maybe he can direct you to the proper desk.

Keep us informed. This is interesting.

RHOLLING@fcc.gov

Dan/W4NTI

"Leo Szumel" <please_use_my_last_name@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:bdvgma$88l$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu...
> Hi,
>
> What we'd like to do is use amateur radio for some university research
> projects. Specifically, we would like to use AR equipment in sensor
> network research. Sensor networks are basically like APRS without people
> at the transmit nodes, and more than just position information is
> reported (maybe temperature, etc). Also, multi-hop relay may be employed.
>
> I've examined Part 97 rules and tried to read as many applicable threads
> as possible. My interpretation is that:
>
> (a) automated transmissions are OK (with caveats)
> (b) AR can be used for educational (non-commercial) purposes
> (c) AR can be used for data transmissions, using encrypted
> authentication, provided the data payload is unencrypted
>
> Part (b) is the most shaky becaues it seems to dependon "reasonable use"
> and other gray terms. Certainly my proposed use is not "hobby" but it
> seems to me to fall into the category of "experimentation" and
> (hopefully) "advancing the field."
>
> What do you think of using AR bands for relaying sensor information for
> research purposes? Would an FCC Special Temporary Authority be
> appropriate/required?
>
> Sincerely,
>

> --
> Leo Szumel | ECE Graduate Student, UC Davis
> Email: my_last_name@ieee.org
>

829. Re: Using ham bands for educational / research project

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-02 23:51:06 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.hhezo6f.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>
Source record: 3626
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

(Message read and reply posted in rec.radio.amateur.policy)

On Wed, 2 Jul 2003 17:00:05 -0500, Dan/W4NTI wrote:

>You may want to try Mr. Hollingsworth at FCC and see what he says. He is
>chief of enforcement, FCC. Or maybe he can direct you to the proper desk.

Riley (who has plenty on his plate as it is) is in the Enforcement
Bureau, not the Wireless Telecomm Bureau that issues ham licenses
and interprets the rules thereof. He would not be involved in such
before-the-fact interpretations - it's the folks in Bill Cross' shop
at WTB who issue interpretations as to whether such operation is
permissible or not, and then Riley sees to the enforcement.

Off the top of my baldy bean, if there is any taint of remuneration
or pecuniary interest, I would advise a ham client that it would not
be permissable.

Then again, the wizards and wonders at the FCC's Office of
Engineering Technology have been known to issue Experimental
licenses for things which are the equivalent of attempts to mate a
zebra with a tiger.

Contact Carl Huie <chuie@fcc.gov> who is a ham and the guy in OET who
handles such things.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
ARRL Volunteer Counsel

830. Re: Using ham bands for educational / research project

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-02 23:51:06 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.hhf025g.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>
Source record: 3627
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

(Message read and reply posted in rec.radio.amateur.policy)

On Wed, 02 Jul 2003 21:46:45 GMT, S. Sampson wrote:

>I'm being vague, but the gist of it, is that you
>can't make money, and I never heard of a research program that did.

How do you think Tommy Lott developed ACSB when the land-mobile
big-three folks turned him down flat and he did the "research" for
the wannabee companies? Can you say 20 meters ?

Lots of us knew what he was doing but nobody wanted to blow the
whistle. Shame on me.

Then again, best to let that "creation" stay dead.....it's been about
25 years, and it never caught on, primarily because the manufacturers
who did fall for that scheme could never get the equipment to work
properly.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

831. Re: Using ham bands for educational / research project

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-03 00:03:38 UTC
From: Leo Szumel <please_use_my_last_name@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <bdvrsrcit1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 3628
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

Dan, Steve,

S. Sampson wrote:
> If you don't use a specified code, you must identify using a specified code.
> For example, if you design your own protocol (unspecified code), then you
> should design the system to ID every 10 minutes, or every transmission.

That should not be a problem. I envisage we would use an unspecified
code for our data transmissions, but we could self-identify with an RTTY
broadcast every 10 min. This will all be computer-controlled so that
should be easy.

> Yes. Phil Karn proposed a DES authentication many years ago, however, I
> don't see why just a plain old MD5 checksum of the data and the time-stamp
> wouldn't fit most requirements.

Our motivation for authentication is that we are concerned with
controlling access to the sensor network; for instance, we want to be
the only ones who can give commands to the sensor nodes, like "turn off."

> I don't see a problem in what you are proposing, and I think you could enlist
> several amateurs who wanted to help. It goes without saying, that you would
> need a ham license yourself, but that is pretty simple these days on a no-code
> ticket.

I should have mentioned, I have a NCT license: KD5SZT. Issued last
summer. That's a great idea, getting hams involved. I think it would be
a fun project.

> Even if the money you use to buy the equipment, and power the equipment,
> is grant money, it would be legal. Where you would begin to have problems,
> is if you made the data proprietary, or sold subscriptions/membership/access
> to say web sites where the data is stored. You could maintain a compilation
> type copyright, and restrict access to the raw data and software, if you provided
> say access to the processed data. I'm being vague, but the gist of it, is that you
> can't make money, and I never heard of a research program that did.

Our goal is to provide a "service" to researchers; no compensation would
ever be accepted and the network is only for use in relaying sensor data
and sending commands to said sensors. Data produced would be freely
available. Sounds like our application is OK with the use policies.

Steve, thanks for your input!

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> Do you have, or are you going to have, a ham license? Will all the

> stations involved have a ham licensee on hand? If not you will run > into difficulties with the third party rules.

I do have a NCT license. I can imagine getting my advisor to get a license, but I'm interesting in seeing if I can get around that. As I see it, there would be several autonomous transmitters (relay devices) and one control station, all of which would be under my control. As I do sleep some of the time, is that a problem? :)

> What you describe may fall under 'experimental'. But I would check with the
> FCC.

We want to design our system so that any manner of communication means could be used to ferry the sensor data (internet, etc). But for our initial experimentation, I think ham radio would be (a) very appropriate and affordable and (b) fun. We will probably use ISM for short-range communications and only rely on ham for longer range xmits.

> You may want to try Mr. Hollingsworth at FCC and see what he says. He is
> chief of enforcement, FCC. Or maybe he can direct you to the proper desk.

Great, thanks for the reference. I will contact him.

Regards,

--
Leo Szumel | ECE Graduate Student, UC Davis | KD5SZT
Email: my_last_name@ieee.org

832. Re: Using ham bands for educational / research project

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-03 00:08:35 UTC
From: "Dr. Anton Squeegee" <SpammersAreVermin@dev.null>
Message-ID: <MPG.196d13de7fb2a03198992e@192.168.42.131>
Source record: 3629
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

In article <bdvgma$88l$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>,
please_use_my_last_name@ieee.org says...

<snip>

> What do you think of using AR bands for relaying sensor information for
> research purposes? Would an FCC Special Temporary Authority be
> appropriate/required?

I think you need to get your Technician-class (or higher) ham
license. That, at least, would be a good start.

--
Dr. Anton Squeegee, Director, Dutch Surrealist Plumbing Institute.
(Known to some as Bruce Lane, KC7GR, Owner and Head Hardware Heavy,
Blue Feather Technologies -- http://www.bluefeathertech.com)
kyrrin a/t bluefeathertech dot c=o>m (Reassemble to use).
"Raf tras spintern. Raf tras spoit." (Keith Laumer, "The Galaxy
Builder")

833. Re: Using ham bands for educational / research project

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-03 00:19:07 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@ctc.net>
Message-ID: <um-dnVX-BdB6656iXTWJkg@ctc.net>
Source record: 3630
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

>
> What we'd like to do is use amateur radio for some university research
> projects. Specifically, we would like to use AR equipment in sensor
> network research. Sensor networks are basically like APRS without people
> at the transmit nodes, and more than just position information is
> reported (maybe temperature, etc). Also, multi-hop relay may be employed.

I would say find a comercial frequency and use it. YOu did not mention the
frequency that you would be using . If under 30 mhz it will be would wide.
If in the UHF and above you may go ok. Just remember you will be subject
to a shared frequency and others may use that frequency.

Sounds like one way or beacon modes to me.

834. Re: Using ham bands for educational / research project

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-03 03:44:42 UTC
From: "S. Sampson" <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <KENMa.562804$vU3.528240@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 3631
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

"Ralph Mowery" <rmowery@ctc.net> wrote
>
> I would say find a comercial frequency and use it.

What does that mean? That his application is illegal, or you just don't
want him to experiment on the Ham bands?

> You did not mention the frequency that you would be using .

The only frequency he could use would be VHF and shorter wavelengths,
due to the automatic features he needs.

> If under 30 mhz it will be would wide.

World wide?? What does that have to do with anything?

> If in the UHF and above you may go ok. Just remember you will be subject
> to a shared frequency and others may use that frequency.

Duh... Although most VHF and UHF bands are about as empty as
the U.S. treasury, and two people using the same frequency would be as
likely as being hit with a metorite.

> Sounds like one way or beacon modes to me.

That's because you're very ignorant of the rules:

http://www.arrl.org/FandES/field/regulations/news/part97/

835. Re: Using ham bands for educational / research project

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-03 13:36:30 UTC
From: Duane Allen <computeruser@sprintmail.com>
Message-ID: <3F043297.6070307@sprintmail.com>
Source record: 3632
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

Leo Szumel wrote:
> Hi,
>
> What we'd like to do is use amateur radio for some university research
> projects. ...
>
> I've examined Part 97 rules and tried to read as many applicable threads
> as possible. My interpretation is that:
>
> ...
> (b) AR can be used for educational (non-commercial) purposes
> ...
>
> Part (b) is the most shaky becaues it seems to dependon "reasonable use"
> and other gray terms. Certainly my proposed use is not "hobby" ...

Section 97.113(c) prohibits transmission of "Communications in which the station licensee or control operator has a pecuniary interest, including communications on behalf of an employer."

Are you being employeed by UC to conduct university research projects?

I would think that the University already has or can easily get non-amateur resources (both hardware and spectrum allocation) that would support your research projects. The challenging task is finding out who may have such resources. In addition to checking with the project lead faculty, you may need to check with the department head and the college dean. An often overlooked channel for information is contacting the purchasing persons at the department/college/campus levels. They know who requisitioned what. From there you can go to the requisitioners and find out what administrative activities they went through for licensing.

Good luck,

Duane Allen
N6JPO

836. Re: Using ham bands for educational / research project

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-03 13:54:46 UTC
From: Duane Allen <computeruser@sprintmail.com>
Message-ID: <3F043790.1000608@sprintmail.com>
Source record: 3633
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

Leo Szumel wrote:
> Hi,
>
> What we'd like to do is use amateur radio for some university research
> projects. ...
>
> I've examined Part 97 rules and tried to read as many applicable threads
> as possible. My interpretation is that:
>
> ...
> (b) AR can be used for educational (non-commercial) purposes
> ...
>
> Part (b) is the most shaky becaues it seems to dependon "reasonable use"
> and other gray terms. Certainly my proposed use is not "hobby" ...

Section 97.113(a)(3) prohibits transmission of "Communications in which the station licensee or control operator has a pecuniary interest, including communications on behalf of an employer."

Are you being employeed by UC to conduct university research projects?

I would think that the University already has or can easily get non-amateur resources (both hardware and spectrum allocation) that would support your research projects. The challenging task is finding out who may have such resources. In addition to checking with the project lead faculty, you may need to check with the department head and the college dean. An often overlooked channel for information is contacting the purchasing persons at the department/college/campus levels. They know who requisitioned what. From there you can go to the requisitioners and find out what administrative activities they went through for licensing.

Good luck,

Duane Allen
N6JPO

837. Re: Using ham bands for educational / research project

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-03 19:04:25 UTC
From: "S. Sampson" <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <Z6%Ma.570970$vU3.22988@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 3634
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

"Duane Allen" <computeruser@sprintmail.com> wrote
>
> Are you being employeed by UC to conduct university research projects?

Graduate students aren't considered employee's of a University.

838. Re: Using ham bands for educational / research project

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-03 19:48:31 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.hhgjbvn.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>
Source record: 3635
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 03 Jul 2003 13:54:46 GMT, Duane Allen wrote:

>I would think that the University already has or can easily get
>non-amateur resources (both hardware and spectrum allocation) that would
>support your research projects. The challenging task is finding out who
>may have such resources. In addition to checking with the project lead
>faculty, you may need to check with the department head and the college
>dean. An often overlooked channel for information is contacting the
>purchasing persons at the department/college/campus levels. They know
>who requisitioned what. From there you can go to the requisitioners and
>find out what administrative activities they went through for licensing.

Yes, UC does have such resources, and the source of who has what
where throughout the UC system is the Office of the Vice President
of Administration, located on the UC Berkeley campus.

At least that's who we used to deal with concerning radio spectrum
assignment and licensing matters for the UC system.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

839. Re: Using ham bands for educational / research project

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-03 19:54:04 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.hhgk9fp.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>
Source record: 3636
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 03 Jul 2003 19:04:25 GMT, S. Sampson wrote:

>> Are you being employeed by UC to conduct university research projects?
>
>Graduate students aren't considered employee's of a University.

However, when I did my graduate research at UCLA 40+ years ago, the
result was considered "for" the University, not for me as a private
individual.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

840. software?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-03 20:01:22 UTC
From: Hillbilly3302 <*******davesradio@alltel.net**********>
Message-ID: <mY%Ma.945$J%2.452605@news.alltel.net>
Source record: 3637

what is a good free software for rtty/CW/sstv... running a Rascal V Plus
and winpsk for psk-31 but need something for the others...?

dave
k5drc

841. Re: software?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-03 20:58:37 UTC
From: Bob N2BR <n2br@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <vg9665qaddkg7f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 3638

Try MixW it has all you need on one software package

"Hillbilly3302" <*******davesradio@alltel.net**********> wrote in message
news:mY%Ma.945$J%2.452605@news.alltel.net...
> what is a good free software for rtty/CW/sstv... running a Rascal V Plus
> and winpsk for psk-31 but need something for the others...?
>
> dave
> k5drc
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.495 / Virus Database: 294 - Release Date: 6/30/2003

842. Re: Using ham bands for educational / research project

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-03 21:10:28 UTC
From: "S. Sampson" <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <8Z0Na.574967$vU3.189815@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 3639
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote
> > S. Sampson wrote:
> >
> >> Are you being employeed by UC to conduct university research projects?
> >
> >Graduate students aren't considered employee's of a University.
>
> However, when I did my graduate research at UCLA 40+ years ago, the
> result was considered "for" the University, not for me as a private
> individual.

I would put it this way: If the University intends to patent any part of the research, then the ARS should not be used.

843. Re: software?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-04 00:52:38 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@ctc.net>
Message-ID: <aH6dncXUrvWoTZmiXTWJhg@ctc.net>
Source record: 3640

"Hillbilly3302" <*******davesradio@alltel.net**********> wrote in message
news:mY%Ma.945$J%2.452605@news.alltel.net...
> what is a good free software for rtty/CW/sstv... running a Rascal V Plus
> and winpsk for psk-31 but need something for the others...?
>
> dave
> k5drc
>

look here and take your choice.

http://www.muenster.de/~welp/sb.htm

844. Bell 202 modulation (AFSK1200)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-05 06:21:05 UTC
From: rpg@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <4ef33040.0307042221.38993489@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3641

I'm working on a telemetry board and wish to include software FSK1200 (MX-614's are getting harder to find). The official Bell 202 spec is out of print and hard to find as well, so I pieced this together from what I can find. Multimon won't decode the output, so I'm likely doing something wrong. This is supposed to be (once it works) my reference implementation before I port it to my telemetry board, so I'm trying to keep it as simple as possible.

The program outputs 22050 hz 8-bit signed data to stdout;
gcc fsk.c -o fsk -lm; ./fsk > test; sox -s -b -r 22050 test -w test.wav

Phase is coherent, and there are peaks at 1200 and 2200 in the spectrum analysis.

Any help or suggestions would be appreciated.

Robert Cicconetti
KG4MVB

-- begin fsk.c --

```
#include <math.h>
#include <stdio.h>

// $#@$#@
//#define BAUDRATE 1200
// octet rate is 150 octet / sec. (1200 / 8). BIT period is
// .000666, or 1/1500 (10 bits per symbol, including start and stop).
// BAUDRATE is therefor used improperly here; fixme.
#define BAUDRATE 1500
#define MARK 1200
#define SPACE 2200

// For convenience when working with multimon
#define SAMPLERATE 22050

double sendbyte(char a, double angle);

int main (void)
{
// 8 bit output; encoder DAC is likely to be only 3 or 4 bit
short outbyte;
int i;
double angle = 0;
char test[]= "fm KG4MVB-0 to FFFFFF-0 SABM+";
```

```
for (i = 0 ; i < 5000; i++) putchar(0);
//PREAMBLE
for (i = 0 ; i < 24; i++) sendbyte(0, angle);

for (i = 0 ; i < 29 ; i++)
{
angle = sendbyte(test[i], angle);
}

for (i = 0 ; i < 2; i++) sendbyte(0, angle);
for (i = 0 ; i < 50000; i++) putchar(0);
return 0;
}

double sendbyte(char a, double angle)
{
// LSB first. Start bit MARK end bit SPACE
// MARK is 1, SPACE is 0
//
double phase_offset = 0;
int freq, j = 0, i;
char bit;

for (i = 0; i < 10; i++)
{
if (i == 0)
bit = 1;
else if (i == 9)
bit = 0;
else
bit =( a >> (i-1)) & 0x1;

if (bit == 1)
freq = MARK;
else
freq = SPACE;

phase_offset = angle -
(double)2*M_PI*freq*((double)(j-1)/SAMPLERATE);
while (j < ((i+1)*SAMPLERATE)/BAUDRATE )
{
angle =
(double)2*M_PI*freq*((double)j/SAMPLERATE) + phase_offset;
putchar((short)(0.5*sin(angle)*128));
j++;
}
}
return angle;
}
```

845. Re: Bell 202 modulation (AFSK1200)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-05 09:38:07 UTC
From: Dana Myers K6JQ <k6jq@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <dce3bb769f04a13d2f471366f6c3b230@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 3642

R C wrote:
> I'm working on a telemetry board and wish to include software FSK1200
> (MX-614's are getting harder to find). The official Bell 202 spec is
> out of print and hard to find as well, so I pieced this together from
> what I can find. Multimon won't decode the output, so I'm likely doing
> something wrong. This is supposed to be (once it works) my reference
> implementation before I port it to my telemetry board, so I'm trying
> to keep it as simple as possible.

> Any help or suggestions would be appreciated.

First - is multimon expecting a properly formatted AX.25 frame?
(This code is clearly not creating an HDLC-encoded, AX.25-formatted
frame). It looks like you're sending conventional async-encoded
ASCII. You need to:

a) Create the AX.25 frame
b) HDLC-encode the AX.25 frame
c) Generate the checksum for the frame
d) send the resulting stream of bits using the modem

Also - I'd avoid the use of transcendental functions (sin()) in the
synthesizer loop ; I'd create a lookup table and index it via an
integer phase accumulator. Off the top of my head, I'd guess a
16-bit phase accumulator and a 256-element sine array would be
plenty. You'd need to precalculate the phase increments for
1200 and 2200 Hz, likely as constants.

Good luck!
Dana

846. Re: Bell 202 modulation (AFSK1200)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-05 16:10:38 UTC
From: rpg@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <4ef33040.0307050810.40ca5257@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3643

Dana Myers K6JQ <k6jq@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:<dce3bb769f04a13d2f471366f6c3b230@free.teranews.com>...
> R C wrote:
> > I'm working on a telemetry board and wish to include software FSK1200
> > (MX-614's are getting harder to find). The official Bell 202 spec is
> > out of print and hard to find as well, so I pieced this together from
> > what I can find. Multimon won't decode the output, so I'm likely doing
> > something wrong. This is supposed to be (once it works) my reference
> > implementation before I port it to my telemetry board, so I'm trying
> > to keep it as simple as possible.
>
> > Any help or suggestions would be appreciated.
>
> First - is multimon expecting a properly formatted AX.25 frame?
> (This code is clearly not creating an HDLC-encoded, AX.25-formatted
> frame). It looks like you're sending conventional async-encoded
> ASCII. You need to:

That would explain it. I hadn't planned on using AX-25 (TX is the
audio subcarrier of an FM ATV camera; no point in packetizing it since
no digipeaters would be able to hear it, and unit should never be out
of reception range in any case). I had planned on adding framing,
checksums and possibly FEC later.

I was also trying to keep it as simple as possible initially; encode
raw octets and make sure I can decode them.

It may be worth adding the HDLC/ax.25; initial basestation will likely
be a laptop running soundmodem.

> Also - I'd avoid the use of transcendental functions (sin()) in the
> synthesizer loop ; I'd create a lookup table and index it via an
> integer phase accumulator. Off the top of my head, I'd guess a
> 16-bit phase accumulator and a 256-element sine array would be
> plenty. You'd need to precalculate the phase increments for
> 1200 and 2200 Hz, likely as constants.

That is step 2 :) The target CPU will most likely be a Mega8535 AVR,
so conversion to integer is definately indicated. :)

Thanks,
R C
KG4MVB

847. 6 meter packet, 1200 baud, FM, 50.620 mHZ.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-05 20:17:50 UTC
From: N8XYN <n8xyn@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <OnGNa.39845$3o3.2725604@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 3645

Just testing, beacon every 15 Mins, MID at same interval. Is there anybody out there!

I know there are other more Robust modes, but they aren't unattended...tnx

de Jim n8xyn em89ag

848. rsgb special offer join rsgb for ï¿½1.05 or $2 for a year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-05 20:37:31 UTC
From: g3zhi@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3f0e2b8d.1430583@news.btinternet.com>
Source record: 3646

Join the Radio Society of Great Britain for ï¿½1.05 or 2 US dollars

Normal membership cost is ï¿½42.50 but if you join in July

with the RSGB special offer Membership will cost you ï¿½1.05

Thatï¿½s a massive saving of ï¿½41.45 pounds!

For that you get one years membership of RSGB which includes the RSGB
national radio magazine Radcom.

Go to http://www.qsl.net/g3zhi/rsgb.jpg for the application form.

http://www.qsl.net/g3zhi

849. KAM Plus: CW Xmit is Inverted.. Help??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-05 20:45:48 UTC
From: Fred King <fred@fredking.us>
Message-ID: <MPG.197102ebe8cf7cd989680@news.localnet.com>
Source record: 3644

I'm trying to get my Dad's Kam Plus working with his Ten-tec Omni-D. Weird problem: In CW mode, the keying is inverted. Turning the Ten-Tec to CW (usually works fine with straight key), the carrier comes ON solid, and is keyed OFF by the active times of an "O", for example.

The KAM "INV" command applies only to RTTY, ASCII / AMTOR.

What is going on here?? IsThere another KAM command I can't find???

This is supposed to be a plain Reed Relay contact.. I can't see how it can be inverted except in firmware somehow.

Any help, pointers, suggestions greatly appreciated.

Thanks es 73,

Terry King WB1FQH for N1UF
terry@fredking.us

850. Re: KAM Plus: CW Xmit is Inverted.. Help??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-06 00:35:48 UTC
From: "S. Miller" <stemp-removethis-@gstarusa.com>
Message-ID: <3F076EE4.E8695170@gstarusa.com>
Source record: 3649

Been a while since I owned a KAM, but I believe that there may be a physical jumper on the circuit board that selects normal or inverted CW keying. Sorry, no manual so I can't say which one, but it may be visually apparent by following the CW key line back through the circuit.

Fred King wrote:

> I'm trying to get my Dad's Kam Plus working with his Ten-tec
> Omni-D. Weird problem: In CW mode, the keying is inverted.
> Turning the Ten-Tec to CW (usually works fine with straight
> key), the carrier comes ON solid, and is keyed OFF by the
> active times of an "O", for example.
>
> The KAM "INV" command applies only to RTTY, ASCII / AMTOR.
>
> What is going on here?? IsThere another KAM command I can't
> find???
>
> This is supposed to be a plain Reed Relay contact.. I can't
> see how it can be inverted except in firmware somehow.
>
> Any help, pointers, suggestions greatly appreciated.
>
> Thanks es 73,
>
> Terry King WB1FQH for N1UF
> terry@fredking.us
>

851. Re: Bell 202 modulation (AFSK1200)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-06 00:52:19 UTC
From: Dana Myers K6JQ <k6jq@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <d1ad53c9730e0ab67314cc6fe01c9fd6@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 3647

R C wrote:

> Dana Myers K6JQ <k6jq@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:<dce3bb769f04a13d2f471366f6c3b230@free.teranews.com>...

> It may be worth adding the HDLC/ax.25; initial basestation will likely
> be a laptop running soundmodem.

In which case, AX.25 isn't that hard at all to implement,
HDLC is fairly trivial, and the checksum code can be found
pretty readily (I have a Java port of something I sponged out
of an old RFC if you're looking for sample code).

You'll likely need it all for soundmodem recognize your frames, but
you don't need to do full-on connected-mode AX.25, UI datagrams are
likely enough.

>>Also - I'd avoid the use of transcendental functions (sin()) in the
>>synthesizer loop ; I'd create a lookup table and index it via an
>>integer phase accumulator. Off the top of my head, I'd guess a
>>16-bit phase accumulator and a 256-element sine array would be
>>plenty. You'd need to precalculate the phase increments for
>>1200 and 2200 Hz, likely as constants.
>
>
> That is step 2 :) The target CPU will most likely be a Mega8535 AVR,
> so conversion to integer is definately indicated. :)

Heh. You're tempting to cobble up the DDS code for giggles and
flash it into an atMega16 I have sitting here ;-)

Dana

852. MFJ 1270C to IC W32A HT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-06 01:03:36 UTC
From: fghester@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030705210336.22557.00000154@mb-m15.aol.com>
Source record: 3648

try as i might i can't get HW 32A to key 1270C correctly. without JUMPRL installed it won't key HT. With JUMPRL installed it will not unkey HT no matter what the value of R 140. What's the trick? plese respond directly to wd9f@arrl.net Thanks to all / 73 / Woody

853. Re: rsgb special offer join rsgb for £1.05 or $2 for a year

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-06 03:07:34 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030705230734.13495.00000269@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 3650

For those that are interested, from the message headers:

X-Complaints-To: news-complaints@lists.btinternet.com
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net
National "Do Not Call" Registry: http://donotcall.gov

854. Re: 6 meter packet, 1200 baud, FM, 50.620 mHZ.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-06 03:12:25 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030705231225.13495.00000273@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 3551

>Just testing, beacon every 15 Mins, MID at same interval. Is there anybody
>out there!
>
>I know there are other more Robust modes, but they aren't unattended...tnx

Monitoring the frequency from FM29!
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net
National "Do Not Call" Registry: http://donotcall.gov

855. Re: KAM Plus: CW Xmit is Inverted.. Help??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-06 11:39:47 UTC
From: "James R. DeLoach" <jasd-49X@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <862ggvcsjp4jmlm8g8mve944t0de6v0989@4ax.com>
Source record: 3552

On Sat, 05 Jul 2003 19:35:48 -0500, "S. Miller"
<stemp-removethis-@gstarusa.com> wrote:

>
>Been a while since I owned a KAM, but I believe that there may be a
>physical jumper on the circuit board that selects normal or inverted CW
>keying. Sorry, no manual so I can't say which one, but it may be visually
>apparent by following the CW key line back through the circuit.
>
>
>Fred King wrote:
>
>> I'm trying to get my Dad's Kam Plus working with his Ten-tec
>> Omni-D. Weird problem: In CW mode, the keying is inverted.
>> Turning the Ten-Tec to CW (usually works fine with straight
>> key), the carrier comes ON solid, and is keyed OFF by the
>> active times of an "O", for example.
>>
>> The KAM "INV" command applies only to RTTY, ASCII / AMTOR.
>>
>> What is going on here?? IsThere another KAM command I can't
>> find???
>>
>> This is supposed to be a plain Reed Relay contact.. I can't
>> see how it can be inverted except in firmware somehow.
>>
>> Any help, pointers, suggestions greatly appreciated.
>>
>> Thanks es 73,
>>
>> Terry King WB1FQH for N1UF
>> terry@fredking.us
>>
The invert command is "INVert ON or OFF" Default is OFF.
Here is the statement from the Kam Plus manual, While in the RTTY,
ASCII, or AMTOR mode, entering a <Ctrl-C>I directive will toggle the
inversion ON and OFF.

Regards,

James

Note: To reply by email, remove the "X" in the reply to address.

.....
Posted via TITANnews - Uncensored Newsgroups Access

>>>> at http://www.TitanNews.com <<<<
-=Every Newsgroup - Anonymous, UNCENSORED, BROADBAND Downloads=-

856. Re: KAM Plus: CW Xmit is Inverted.. Help??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-06 16:35:11 UTC
From: "James R. DeLoach" <jasd-49X@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <1hjggv87uvgb9iptj85brsrbk2kp0166bk@4ax.com>
Source record: 3553

On Sun, 06 Jul 2003 06:39:47 -0500, James R. DeLoach
<jasd-49X@comcast.net> wrote:

>On Sat, 05 Jul 2003 19:35:48 -0500, "S. Miller"
><stemp-removethis-@gstarusa.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>Been a while since I owned a KAM, but I believe that there may be a
>>physical jumper on the circuit board that selects normal or inverted CW
>>keying. Sorry, no manual so I can't say which one, but it may be visually
>>apparent by following the CW key line back through the circuit.
>>
>>
>>Fred King wrote:
>>
>>> I'm trying to get my Dad's Kam Plus working with his Ten-tec
>>> Omni-D. Weird problem: In CW mode, the keying is inverted.
>>> Turning the Ten-Tec to CW (usually works fine with straight
>>> key), the carrier comes ON solid, and is keyed OFF by the
>>> active times of an "O", for example.
>>>
>>> The KAM "INV" command applies only to RTTY, ASCII / AMTOR.
>>>
>>> What is going on here?? IsThere another KAM command I can't
>>> find???
>>>
>>> This is supposed to be a plain Reed Relay contact.. I can't
>>> see how it can be inverted except in firmware somehow.
>>>
>>> Any help, pointers, suggestions greatly appreciated.
>>>
>>> Thanks es 73,
>>>
>>> Terry King WB1FQH for N1UF
>>> terry@fredking.us
>>>
>The invert command is "INVert ON or OFF" Default is OFF.
>Here is the statement from the Kam Plus manual, While in the RTTY,
>ASCII, or AMTOR mode, entering a <Ctrl-C>I directive will toggle the
>inversion ON and OFF.
>
Also the manual states that pin 4 on the KAM+ connects to the CW key
jack on your transceiver. When operating in CW, it will ground this
pin thru a 100 ohm resistor to key the radio. The radio must be set

to CW with the VOX turned ON and any electronic keyer turned OFF.
Essentially all the software is doing is turning a relay on and off in
the KAM+. Have you tried a HARD RESET on the KAM+, if not,
you might give that a try? Good Luck. 73's.

James

.....
Posted via TITANnews - Uncensored Newsgroups Access
>>>> at http://www.TitanNews.com <<<<
-=Every Newsgroup - Anonymous, UNCENSORED, BROADBAND Downloads=-

857. Re: Bell 202 modulation (AFSK1200)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-06 21:58:00 UTC
From: Paul Keinanen <keinanen@sci.fi>
Message-ID: <056hgv8at9pntuc4etei2r5uavne004jb4@4ax.com>
Source record: 3554

On 4 Jul 2003 23:21:05 -0700, rpg@hotmail.com (R C) wrote:

>I'm working on a telemetry board and wish to include software FSK1200
>(MX-614's are getting harder to find). The official Bell 202 spec is
>out of print and hard to find as well, so I pieced this together from
>what I can find. Multimon won't decode the output, so I'm likely doing
>something wrong.

What is this Multimon thing expecting ?

Is it expecting ordinary asynchronous characters ?

> // LSB first. Start bit MARK end bit SPACE
> // MARK is 1, SPACE is 0

> for (i = 0; i < 10; i++)
> {
> if (i == 0)
> bit = 1;
> else if (i == 9)
> bit = 0;
> else
> bit =(a >> (i-1)) & 0x1;

In that case I assume you have mixed the polarity of the start and
stop bits.

In ordinary RS-232 asynchronous communication the start bit is "0"
SPACE, interrupting the idle MARK state, followed by the data bits
with LSB sent first. The stop bit is "1" or MARK, which then transfers
to a MARK idle state if no more characters are to be transmitted.

If that Multimon thing is expecting AX.25, don't forget to add the bit
stuffing in the last stage before transmission.

Paul OH3LWR

858. Re: Bell 202 modulation (AFSK1200)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-07 01:36:58 UTC
From: rpg@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <4ef33040.0307061736.db2d914@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3555

Dana Myers K6JQ <k6jq@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:<d1ad53c9730e0ab67314cc6fe01c9fd6@free.teranews.com>...
> R C wrote:
> > That is step 2 :) The target CPU will most likely be a Mega8535 AVR,
> > so conversion to integer is definately indicated. :)
>
> Heh. You're tempting to cobble up the DDS code for giggles and
> flash it into an atMega16 I have sitting here ;-)

Hmm.. shot one, for GCC, no ASM. Nominally targetted for 8515 at 8
mhz, easy
to redo.

Not quite finished implementing, and definately not tested, but
framework should be there.

R C
KG4MVB

-- begin fsk2.c --

```
#include <avr/io.h>
#include <avr/pgmspace.h>

// Generated via sine.c. Theory suggests you can use reflexive nature
of sine.
// but we'll keep it simple. Besides, we have 8K of flash.

// For avr use prog_uchar?
prog_uchar s_table[] = [
0x80,0x83,0x86,0x89,0x8C,0x90,0x93,0x96,0x99,0x9C,0x9F,0xA2,0xA5,0xA8,0xAB,0xAE,
0xB1,0xB3,0xB6,0xB9,0xBC,0xBF,0xC1,0xC4,0xC7,0xC9,0xCC,0xCE,0xD1,0xD3,0xD5,0xD8,
0xDA,0xDC,0xDE,0xE0,0xE2,0xE4,0xE6,0xE8,0xEA,0xEB,0xED,0xEF,0xF0,0xF1,0xF3,0xF4,
0xF5,0xF6,0xF8,0xF9,0xFA,0xFA,0xFB,0xFC,0xFD,0xFD,0xFE,0xFE,0xFE,0xFF,0xFF,0xFF,
0xFF,0xFF,0xFF,0xFF,0xFE,0xFE,0xFE,0xFD,0xFD,0xFC,0xFB,0xFA,0xFA,0xF9,0xF8,0xF6,
0xF5,0xF4,0xF3,0xF1,0xF0,0xEF,0xED,0xEB,0xEA,0xE8,0xE6,0xE4,0xE2,0xE0,0xDE,0xDC,
0xDA,0xD8,0xD5,0xD3,0xD1,0xCE,0xCC,0xC9,0xC7,0xC4,0xC1,0xBF,0xBC,0xB9,0xB6,0xB3,
0xB1,0xAE,0xAB,0xA8,0xA5,0xA2,0x9F,0x9C,0x99,0x96,0x93,0x90,0x8C,0x89,0x86,0x83,
0x80,0x7D,0x7A,0x77,0x74,0x70,0x6D,0x6A,0x67,0x64,0x61,0x5E,0x5B,0x58,0x55,0x52,
0x4F,0x4D,0x4A,0x47,0x44,0x41,0x3F,0x3C,0x39,0x37,0x34,0x32,0x2F,0x2D,0x2B,0x28,
0x26,0x24,0x22,0x20,0x1E,0x1C,0x1A,0x18,0x16,0x15,0x13,0x11,0x10,0x0F,0x0D,0x0C,
0x0B,0x0A,0x08,0x07,0x06,0x06,0x05,0x04,0x03,0x03,0x02,0x02,0x02,0x01,0x01,0x01,
0x01,0x01,0x01,0x01,0x02,0x02,0x02,0x03,0x03,0x04,0x05,0x06,0x06,0x07,0x08,0x0A,
0x0B,0x0C,0x0D,0x0F,0x10,0x11,0x13,0x15,0x16,0x18,0x1A,0x1C,0x1E,0x20,0x22,0x24,
0x26,0x28,0x2B,0x2D,0x2F,0x32,0x34,0x37,0x39,0x3C,0x3F,0x41,0x44,0x47,0x4A,0x4D,
0x4F,0x52,0x55,0x58,0x5B,0x5E,0x61,0x64,0x67,0x6A,0x6D,0x70,0x74,0x77,0x7A,0x7D
```

```
];

// Hmm.. for now assume an 8515-class running at 8 mhz. I've got a few
// spares in the box, and haven't gotten to ordering the megas from
digikey
// yet.

// This will not be a dedicated DDS, and it only needs to produce two
// frequencies. Output methods include a DAC (R2R or separate), I2C
digipot
// (not sure if fast enough, but have some laying around) or PWM.
Assume DAC
// for now.

// Choose an apropriate sample rate. ~10 khz should be adequate, and
not put
// a large burden on the AVR. A low pass may be indicated.

// Keep things simple.. use 32-bit accumulator, so we can run on a
slow clock.
// This is overkill, but easier to do in GCC than 24-bit.
volatile register uint32_t accum, freq_offset;

/* May want to make a circular buffer */
volatile uint8_t fsk_out, fsk_bit, fsk_done_flag;

// Okay.. 8M clock

// Use /256 for TCNT0. 3 of the /256 cycles will give us a sample rate
of
// 10416 Hz
#define TCNT0_PRESCALE 4
#define TCNT0_PERIOD 3

// FCLK = 10416/2^32 = 2.43x10^-6 resolution.
// 1199.9999987893 hz
#define MARK 494780232
// 2200.0000002057 hz
#define SPACE 907097093

// Use /8 for TCNT1. This puts our nominal bitrate at 1199.4 bps,
prolly close
// enough. (0.05%)
#define TCNT1_PRESCALE 2
#define BIT_PERIOD 667

SIGNAL(SIG_OUTPUT_COMPARE0)
{
// Not sure how well this will be optimized, but as it's only run
// every 10khz..
accum += freq_offset;
PORTC = PRG_RDB(s_table + (accum >> 24));
0CR0 += TCNT0_PERIOD;
```

```
// is this needed?
sei();
}

/* This needs to be set pretty close to 1/1500 second. */
SIGNAL(SIG_OUTPUT_COMPARE1A)
{
switch (fsk_bit)
{
case 0 : freq_offset = MARK; break;
case 9 : freq_offset = SPACE; fsk_done = 1; break;
default : freq_offset = (fsk_out >> (fsk_bit-1)) ?
MARK : SPACE; break;
}
fsk_bit++;
// If only item on TCNT1;
//TCNT1 = 0;
// If sharing TCNT1
OCR1A += BIT_PERIOD;
// is this needed?
sei();
}

void main (void)
{
//setup everything, add ax.25 framing and HDLC encoding. optimized
//crc16 in avr-libc.
}
```

859. Re: Bell 202 modulation (AFSK1200)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-07 02:46:48 UTC
From: rpg@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <4ef33040.0307061846.4fab33ed@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3556

Paul Keinanen <keinanen@sci.fi> wrote in message
news:<056hgv8at9pntuc4etei2r5uavne004jb4@4ax.com>...
> On 4 Jul 2003 23:21:05 -0700, rpg@hotmail.com (R C) wrote:
>
> >I'm working on a telemetry board and wish to include software FSK1200
> >(MX-614's are getting harder to find). The official Bell 202 spec is
> >out of print and hard to find as well, so I pieced this together from
> >what I can find. Multimon won't decode the output, so I'm likely doing
> >something wrong.
>
> What is this Multimon thing expecting ?

Okay, I've figured some things out since I first posted. Multimon was
written by Thomas Sailer, who also wrote the soundmodem program.

It's available at http://www.baycom.org/~tom/ham/linux/multimon.html.

Documentation is lacking, but reviewing the source (don't try and
debug at 4 AM; I should've figured this out originally) the afsk1200
decoder expects hdlc encoding, and possibly AX.25 framing (didn't
trace that far). However, an undocumented option -v 10 yields the raw
bit stream.

> In that case I assume you have mixed the polarity of the start and
> stop bits.
>
> In ordinary RS-232 asynchronous communication the start bit is "0"
> SPACE, interrupting the idle MARK state, followed by the data bits
> with LSB sent first. The stop bit is "1" or MARK, which then transfers
> to a MARK idle state if no more characters are to be transmitted.

That part I figured out from APRS packets recorded off the air. Start
is definately 1200 hz, stop is 2200 hz.

Thanks for the suggestions,
R C
KG4MVB

860. Re: Bell 202 modulation (AFSK1200)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-07 07:16:40 UTC
From: Paul Keinanen <keinanen@sci.fi>
Message-ID: <uo3igvcp716drh7rvd1egqmb0nn3964dio@4ax.com>
Source record: 3557

On 6 Jul 2003 19:46:48 -0700, rpg@hotmail.com (R C) wrote:

>> In ordinary RS-232 asynchronous communication the start bit is "0"
>> SPACE, interrupting the idle MARK state, followed by the data bits
>> with LSB sent first. The stop bit is "1" or MARK, which then transfers
>> to a MARK idle state if no more characters are to be transmitted.
>
>That part I figured out from APRS packets recorded off the air. Start
>is definately 1200 hz, stop is 2200 hz.

How did you determine this ?

APRS is definitively AX.25, which is a version of the X.25 protocol,
which are all members of the HDLC protocol family. These protocols
are _synchronous_ protocols, thus, they do not contain any start or
stop bits. The AX.25 protocol sends 8 bit octets (bytes) packed
immediately side by side without any bits in between them forming a
multiple octet frame. The whole frame starts with on octet with the
"01111110" bit pattern (the flag pattern) and it also ends with the
same pattern (or a single flag is used as a _frame_ separators, if
multiple frames are sent at once). In order to avoid false flag
detection within the data part of the frame, the 0 bit is inserted
after five consecutive 1 bits.

To illustrate how synchronous protocols work and how the bit stuffing
is done in HDLC, here is a simplified example (use fixed font).

Assume that you want to send the characters "a?b" which is 0x61 0x3F
0x62. First convert to bits (LSB first)

```
10000110 = 'a'
11111100 = '?'
01000110 = 'b'
```

Put them side by side

```
100001101111110001000110
........^^^^^...........
```

Check for 5 consecutive 1 bits and add the bit stuffing.

```
1000011011111010001000110
-------------I-----------
```

Add the flag octets

```
01111110100001101111101000100011001111110
```

It should be noted that the checking for five 1 bits must be done after the bytes are put into a single bit stream, since sending two consecutive bytes such as 00001111 11110000 do not violate the five1bit rule separately, but when the bytes are combined into a bit sequence, you would end up with 0000111111110000, bit stuffing must be inserted 00001111101110000. Sending 11111111 11111111 requires three stuff bits: 1111101111101111101

This a very simplified example, a real AX.25 frame would contain the address fields and the CRC field at the end.

When you say that the APRS frame starts with a Mark and ends with a Space, are you sure you are not seeing some effects of TxDelay, i.e. the Tx is on but no data (or some preamble) is sent.

Paul OH3LWR

861. Re: Bell 202 modulation (AFSK1200)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-07 08:28:28 UTC
From: Dana Myers K6JQ <k6jq@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <f1b9a1fedc97e541193454c0b6ffa28a@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 3558

Paul Keinanen wrote:
> On 6 Jul 2003 19:46:48 -0700, rpg@hotmail.com (R C) wrote:
>
>
>>>In ordinary RS-232 asynchronous communication the start bit is "0"
>>>SPACE, interrupting the idle MARK state, followed by the data bits
>>>with LSB sent first. The stop bit is "1" or MARK, which then transfers
>>>to a MARK idle state if no more characters are to be transmitted.
>>
>>That part I figured out from APRS packets recorded off the air. Start
>>is definately 1200 hz, stop is 2200 hz.
>
>
> How did you determine this ?
>
> APRS is definitively AX.25, which is a version of the X.25 protocol,
> which are all members of the HDLC protocol family. These protocols
> are _synchronous_ protocols, thus, they do not contain any start or
> stop bits. The AX.25 protocol sends 8 bit octets (bytes) packed
> immediately side by side without any bits in between them forming a
> multiple octet frame. The whole frame starts with on octet with the
> "01111110" bit pattern (the flag pattern) and it also ends with the
> same pattern (or a single flag is used as a _frame_ separators, if
> multiple frames are sent at once). In order to avoid false flag
> detection within the data part of the frame, the 0 bit is inserted
> after five consecutive 1 bits.

Well, don't forget that HDLC is NRZI encoded - the absolute level
(mark vs. space) is meaningless. A transition indicates a 0 and
the lack of a transition indicates a 1. Sending a series of 0s
results in a square wave of 1/2 the baud rate (since each baud
is the opposite of the previous baud). Sending a series of 1s
results in a series of unchanged bauds.

So, if the initial state of the NRZI encoder is '1' and the
'01111110' flag sequence is sent, the resulting output is:

initial state 1 : 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 and the next flag looks like
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 and this pattern repeats as long
as flags are being sent.

If the initial state is 0 you get:

initial state 0 : 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 and this pattern repeats.

So, you can't tell anything about the date being sent
from the absolute levels.

Dana

862. Digipan 1.7?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-07 13:53:35 UTC
From: "Alan P. Biddle" <APBIDDLE@ATT.NET>
Message-ID: <kjuigv4ocisg1jg865u44k7lnihngmdiv9@4ax.com>
Source record: 3559

Hi,

The WWW.DIGIPAN.NET shows a new version 1.7 is out. However, all 3 links are either bad, or error out. Any help on where to actually find version 1.7?

Alan
WA4SCA

863. Re: Digipan 1.7?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-07 14:10:04 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <0bfOa.1095$7c6.1086@newssvr22.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3560

The situation is explained at:

http://www.nvbb.net/~jaffejim/digipan.htm

Charles, N5PVL

"Alan P. Biddle" <APBIDDLE@ATT.NET> wrote in message
news:kjuigv4ocisg1jg865u44k7lnihngmdiv9@4ax.com...
> Hi,
>
> The WWW.DIGIPAN.NET shows a new version 1.7 is out. However, all 3
> links are either bad, or error out. Any help on where to actually
> find version 1.7?
>
> Alan
> WA4SCA
>
>

864. Re: Digipan 1.7?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-07 16:01:20 UTC
From: "Alan P. Biddle" <APBIDDLE@ATT.NET>
Message-ID: <a86jgvcv7iv779pgqcceear63v0tdkdah0@4ax.com>
Source record: 3561

Charles,

All is now clear.

Alan
WA4SCA

865. Re: Digipan 1.7?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-07 17:12:06 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <GRhOa.1103$Uz7.464@newssvr22.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3562

I wasn't sure what the exact nature of the catastrophe was.... Maybe tracking down a final "bug" in the software? The explanation was a little bit cryptic, to say the least.

I thought that was weird about the PACTOR issue too... They complain about PACTOR stations - but the new version has recieve-only PACTOR added!

Is PACTOR recieve there for the sole purpose of obtaining the call of offending PACTOR stations so PSK31 users can whine about them? Personally, I have NEVER seen the bad behavior by PACTOR ops that the guy complains about, and I spend a good deal of time either monitoring the digital stuff, or on the air.

I don't have PACTOR capability here, but know that PACTOR stations perform a useful purpose for ALL hams, not just for a couple of keyboarders going at it.

I'll look into it... If these guys are out to harass PACTOR ops, they may find that they have bitten off more than they can chew. Sounds to me like they may have that old "we own this bit of spectrum" fantasy going. - But then again, the web-site I listed is so cryptic that it's hard to tell exactly WHAT they think they are are saying. It could be something else altogether.

Hard to say... Anybody have an idea what they are talking about?

Charles, N5PVL

"Alan P. Biddle" <APBIDDLE@ATT.NET> wrote in message news:a86jgvcv7iv779pgqcceear63v0tdkdah0@4ax.com...
> Charles,
>
> All is now clear.
>
> Alan
> WA4SCA
>
>

866. Re: Bell 202 modulation (AFSK1200)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-07 20:12:28 UTC
From: rpg@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <4ef33040.0307071212.6ae342fb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3563

Paul Keinanen <keinanen@sci.fi> wrote in message
news:<uo3igvcp716drh7rvd1egqmb0nn3964dio@4ax.com>...
> APRS is definitively AX.25, which is a version of the X.25 protocol,
> which are all members of the HDLC protocol family. These protocols
> are _synchronous_ protocols, thus, they do not contain any start or
> stop bits. The AX.25 protocol sends 8 bit octets (bytes) packed
> immediately side by side without any bits in between them forming a
> multiple octet frame. The whole frame starts with on octet with the
> "01111110" bit pattern (the flag pattern) and it also ends with the
> same pattern (or a single flag is used as a _frame_ separators, if
> multiple frames are sent at once). In order to avoid false flag
> detection within the data part of the frame, the 0 bit is inserted
> after five consecutive 1 bits.

Therein lies the problem I was having. I was looking at this as
another protocol layered on top of an asynchronous serial connection.

Thank you, it makes more sense now. Bitstuffing on a synchronous
serial
connection is trivial. Receiving is slightly less so, but not too
difficult.

I think I got the impression that bell 202 is asynchronous from some
of the commercial bell 202 modems I found on google; nearly all of
them say asynchronous. (Which, on reflection, is probably on the
computer<->modem interface.)

Caller ID _does_ use an asynchronous wireline format, and also uses
Bell 202 signaling. Okay.. so it can go either way, and hdlc is
synchronous.

> When you say that the APRS frame starts with a Mark and ends with a
> Space, are you sure you are not seeing some effects of TxDelay, i.e.
> the Tx is on but no data (or some preamble) is sent.

It does indeed appear to be some sort of runout to keep the squelch
from closing too quickly, but the of end packet flag occurs approx. 32
bits before.

Thanks,
R C
KG4MVB
(In any case, telemetry is not required to be AX.25 encapsulated, but
it's nice for interoperation)

867. Re: Using ham bands for educational / research project

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-07 23:02:38 UTC
From: Leo Szumel <please_use_my_last_name@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <becu6gscv1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 3564
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

Hi Duane, Steve,

Duane Allen wrote:
> Section 97.113(a)(3) prohibits transmission of "Communications in which
> the station licensee or control operator has a pecuniary interest,
> including communications on behalf of an employer."
>
> Are you being employeed by UC to conduct university research projects?

I am being paid by UC to do research in general. I would not receive
compensation as a result of this specific research, so in my mind I have
no pecuniary interest in the project.

> I would think that the University already has or can easily get
> non-amateur resources (both hardware and spectrum allocation) that would
> support your research projects. The challenging task is finding out who
> may have such resources. In addition to checking with the project lead
> faculty, you may need to check with the department head and the college
> dean. An often overlooked channel for information is contacting the
> purchasing persons at the department/college/campus levels. They know
> who requisitioned what. From there you can go to the requisitioners and
> find out what administrative activities they went through for licensing.

I will look into this suggestion. We are working with someone in another
department who had to get an FCC license to use some VHF spectrum;
either he didn't do his research or the UC doesn't have anything suitable.

The basic problem is that we want to place our sensors in areas where
existing infrastructure is missing (no phone lines for example). We
could buy satellite uplinks, but that would cost a lot of money.

It sounds like my idea is closest to violating 97.113(a)(5), i.e.
regular communications that could be reasonably (albeit expensively)
furnished through other radio services. What is your opinion, does cost
factor into the "reasonableness" of alternative radio services?

S. Sampson wrote:
>>>Graduate students aren't considered employee's of a University.
>>
>> However, when I did my graduate research at UCLA 40+ years ago, the
>> result was considered "for" the University, not for me as a private
>> individual.
>
> I would put it this way: If the University intends to patent any part
of the

> research, then the ARS should not be used.

I'll have to check on the patent issue. Our goal for this project, however, is wholly noncommercial. It would simply exist to facilitate research. And ultimate, it wouldn't use ARS. I'm just thinking about the first 1-3 years, when we are setting things up and experimenting, that ARS would be very suitable and (possibly) appropriate.

Thanks again for your valuable input!

Regards,

-Leo

--
Leo Szumel | ECE Graduate Student, UC Davis | KD5SZT
Email: my_last_name@ieee.org

868. Re: Using ham bands for educational / research project

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-08 04:11:27 UTC
From: keep-it-clean <keep-it-clean@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <PvrOa.43801$0v4.3014182@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 3565
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

Just go ahead and do it !!!

Don't worry about the "barracks lawyers". Hams are the biggest bunch of wannabe cops that exist.

Your research will be a better use of the bandwidth than 99.999% of the mindless jabber on the amateur bands today,

869. Re: Using ham bands for educational / research project

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-08 04:28:26 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <KLrOa.1276$vK5.668@newssvr23.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3566
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

Moron.

"keep-it-clean" <keep-it-clean@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:PvrOa.43801$0v4.3014182@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Just go ahead and do it !!!
>
> Don't worry about the "barracks lawyers". Hams are the biggest bunch of
> wannabe cops that exist.
>
> Your research will be a better use of the bandwidth than 99.999% of the
> mindless jabber on the amateur bands today,
>
>
>
>

870. 6 meter packet beacon 50.620

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-08 15:34:49 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030708113449.04251.00000011@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 3567

I'll have my packet beacon running on 50.620 FM for a while today. Give a listen and see if you hear it/see it. I've got PacTerm running so I'll see any messages on the frequency.

Again, this is at 50 watts on my FT-100D with an MFJ "double zep" antenna vertically polarized at 20 feet.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net
National "Do Not Call" Registry: http://donotcall.gov

871. What's the deal with WIDEN-n?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-08 21:28:24 UTC
From: Jack Twilley <jmt+usenet@twilley.org>
Message-ID: <868yr81zev.fsf@duchess.twilley.org>
Source record: 3568

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

[This message was reposted from eHam.net's APRS forum by the author]

I'm writing an APRS client in Ruby, so I've spent a lot of time
staring at packets. I think I understand how RELAY works -- whatever
station repeats RELAY packets replaces RELAY with their callsign. WIDE
works the same way, but what about WIDEN-n? I've collected some
packets with my AEA TNC to demonstrate my confusion.

KE6STH>W6CX-3*>WIDE>SWRRSR:'1PQ!68k/]"4%}Sione ke6sth@arrl.net
KE6STH>W6CX-3>W6CO-5*>SWRRSR:'1PQ!68k/]"4%}Sione ke6sth@arrl.net

In this case, WIDE is being replaced with W6CO-5 when that station
repeats the packet. That makes perfect sense.

K6HG-9*>WIDE2-1>S7RURP:'2]1l sk/]"3q}
K6HG-9>WIDE2*>S7RURP:'2]1l sk/]"3q}

What station is repeating this packet? I can't tell. What's supposed
to happen here?

KE6TIP-3*>WIDE4-3>APRS:!3757.24NN12218.40W#PHG4268/A=000850/SanPabloRidge,Richmond
KE6TIP-3*>WIDE4-2>APRS:!3757.24NN12218.40W#PHG4268/A=000850/SanPabloRidge,Richmond

This fascinates me. Why does the same station -- the originating
station even -- repeat a packet and decrement the counter?

Help!
- --
Jack Twilley
jmt at twilley dot org
http colon slash slash www dot twilley dot org slash tilde jmt slash
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.2.2 (FreeBSD)

iD8DBQE/Czd+GPFSfAB/ezgRAl3pAJ415nJp2z83soAnh8LOUwBdqE++KwCgolaA
tcZhYZPfSFRR24i27cBmo54=
=pmSU
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

872. FS:FT Raid SCSI card

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-09 01:26:18 UTC
From: gervais <gfillio1@globetrotter.net>
Message-ID: <_aKOa.26165$q42.22170@charlie.risq.qc.ca>
Source record: 3569

hi all,i have 2 raid card,SCSI DAP960 to sell or trade.looking for a portable or a mobile in exchange

gervais
ve2ckn@hotmail.com

873. Re: Using ham bands for educational / research project

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-09 02:08:55 UTC
From: keep-it-clean <keep-it-clean@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <XOKOa.45659$3o3.3076210@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 3570
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:KLrOa.1276$vK5.668@newssvr23.news.prodigy.com...
> Moron.
>
> "keep-it-clean" <keep-it-clean@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:PvrOa.43801$0v4.3014182@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Just go ahead and do it !!!
> >
> > Don't worry about the "barracks lawyers". Hams are the biggest bunch of
> > wannabe cops that exist.
> >
> > Your research will be a better use of the bandwidth than 99.999% of the
> > mindless jabber on the amateur bands today,
> >

Better to be a moron than a clueless top-poster.

Now then, I take it you disagree with my advice to the original poster.

My comments were not meant to offend those engaged [formerly or currently]
in the professional practice of law (especially communications law) like
Phil Kane. That said, my remarks stand.

874. Re: What's the deal with WIDEN-n?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-09 02:43:09 UTC
From: "S. Sampson" <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1jLOa.654221$vU3.248431@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 3571

"Jack Twilley" <jmt+usenet@twilley.org> wrote

I'm writing an APRS client in Ruby, so I've spent a lot of time
staring at packets. I think I understand how RELAY works -- whatever
station repeats RELAY packets replaces RELAY with their callsign. WIDE
works the same way, but what about WIDEN-n? I've collected some
packets with my AEA TNC to demonstrate my confusion.

WIDEN-n is a decrement counter. That is, if you put WIDE4-4 in your
path, the first digi will decrement the count before relaying the packet, or
WIDE4-3, then the next digi will be WIDE4-2. When a digipeater gets
a packet that is fully decremented, it won't digipeat it (i.e., WIDE4-0).

This is off the top of my head. Check the spec file at TAPR web site.

875. Re: Using ham bands for educational / research project

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-09 02:44:43 UTC
From: "S. Sampson" <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <vkLOa.654226$vU3.251882@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 3572
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

"keep-it-clean" <keep-it-clean@worldnet.att.net> wrote
>
> Now then, I take it you disagree with my advice to the original poster.

That really wasn't "advice," it was just noise.

876. Re: Using ham bands for educational / research project

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-09 03:49:00 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.hhqcxpj.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>
Source record: 3573
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

[No readable article body recovered]

877. Re: What's the deal with WIDEN-n?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-09 06:59:59 UTC
From: Jack Twilley <jmt+usenet@twilley.org>
Message-ID: <86k7asyykw.fsf@duchess.twilley.org>
Source record: 3574

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

>>>>> "SSampson" == S Sampson <nospam@cox.net> writes:

Jack> I'm writing an APRS client in Ruby, so I've spent a lot of time
Jack> staring at packets. I think I understand how RELAY works --
Jack> whatever station repeats RELAY packets replaces RELAY with their
Jack> callsign. WIDE works the same way, but what about WIDEN-n? I've
Jack> collected some packets with my AEA TNC to demonstrate my
Jack> confusion.

SSampson> WIDEN-n is a decrement counter. That is, if you put WIDE4-4
SSampson> in your path, the first digi will decrement the count before
SSampson> relaying the packet, or WIDE4-3, then the next digi will be
SSampson> WIDE4-2. When a digipeater gets a packet that is fully
SSampson> decremented, it won't digipeat it (i.e., WIDE4-0).

Sure, I've seen WIDE2-1 turn into WIDE2, but that doesn't explain the
behavior I saw.

SSampson> This is off the top of my head. Check the spec file at TAPR
SSampson> web site.

What spec file? I couldn't find it in the APRS or the AX25 specs.

Jack.
- --
Jack Twilley
jmt at twilley dot org
http colon slash slash www dot twilley dot org slash tilde jmt slash
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.2.2 (FreeBSD)

iD8DBQE/C711GPFSfAB/ezgRAo/SAKDwT31vC/gKGutXv4dNCsFIjN1pBACfY6ls
4hZpbaXQ+NfvCU0krZOFN+o=
=mHJe
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

878. Re: Bell 202 modulation (AFSK1200)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-09 08:29:05 UTC
From: rpg@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <4ef33040.0307090029.bbd949c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3575

Dana Myers K6JQ <k6jq@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:<f1b9a1fedc97e541193454c0b6ffa28a@free.teranews.com>...
> Well, don't forget that HDLC is NRZI encoded - the absolute level
> (mark vs. space) is meaningless. A transition indicates a 0 and
> the lack of a transition indicates a 1. Sending a series of 0s
> results in a square wave of 1/2 the baud rate (since each baud
> is the opposite of the previous baud). Sending a series of 1s
> results in a series of unchanged bauds.

This helped a lot as well, thanks. Just got my bitstuffing/nrzi/crc16
code working.

The ax.25 spec document appears to be wrong, at least in current
practice:
"2.2.8 Order of Bit Transmission

With the exception of the FCS field, all fields of an AX.25 frame
shall be sent with each octet's least-significant bit first. The FCS
shall be sent most-significant bit first."

The FCS is LSB like everything else in the packets I've decoded, by
hand or otherwise. Took me a while to figure out exactly why my crc16
was broken, though. :(

R C
KG4MVB

879. Re: What's the deal with WIDEN-n?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-09 09:08:10 UTC
From: Roger Barker <roger@peaksys.co.uk>
Message-ID: <VQo2aWA6t9C$EwmI@peaksys.co.uk>
Source record: 3576

In article <868yr81zev.fsf@duchess.twilley.org>, Jack Twilley
<jmt+usenet@twilley.org> writes
>[This message was reposted from eHam.net's APRS forum by the author]
>
>I'm writing an APRS client in Ruby, so I've spent a lot of time
>staring at packets. I think I understand how RELAY works -- whatever
>station repeats RELAY packets replaces RELAY with their callsign. WIDE
>works the same way, but what about WIDEN-n? I've collected some
>packets with my AEA TNC to demonstrate my confusion.

Callsign substitution when using RELAY and WIDE is probably not the
norm.
>
>KE6STH>W6CX-3*>WIDE>SWRRSR:'1PQ!68k/]"4%}Sione ke6sth@arrl.net
>KE6STH>W6CX-3>W6CO-5*>SWRRSR:'1PQ!68k/]"4%}Sione ke6sth@arrl.net
>
>In this case, WIDE is being replaced with W6CO-5 when that station
>repeats the packet. That makes perfect sense.
>
>K6HG-9*>WIDE2-1>S7RURP:'2]1l sk/]"3q}
>K6HG-9>WIDE2*>S7RURP:'2]1l sk/]"3q}
>
>What station is repeating this packet? I can't tell. What's supposed
>to happen here?

There are two implementations of WIDEn-N -

1. (In Kantronics UIFLOOD terminology, this is ID.) The digipeating
station decrements the SSID of the WIDEn-N alias, inserts its own
callsign/alias, with the 'H' bit set, before the WIDEn-N, and removes
any previous alias immediately before the WIDEn-N. The idea being that
the callsign/alias of the last digipeater is shown. So, if DIGI1 and
DIGI2 are two digis supporting WIDEn-N, and G4IDE sends a frame via
WIDE3-3, you get this -

G4IDE>APRS,WIDE3-3:Hello world
G4IDE>APRS,DIGI1*,WIDE3-2:Hello world
G4IDE>APRS,DIGI2*,WIDE3-1:Hello world

2. (In Kantronics UIFLOOD terminology, this is NOID.) The digipeating
station decrements the SSID of the WIDEn-N alias, it does not insert its
own callsign/alias, or remove any previous alias. So, if DIGI1 and DIGI2
are two digis supporting WIDEn-N, and G4IDE sends a frame via WIDE3-3,
you get this -

```
G4IDE>APRS,WIDE3-3:Hello world
G4IDE>APRS,WIDE3-2:Hello world
G4IDE>APRS,WIDE3-1:Hello world
```

Mixing the two can result in misleading information.

--
Roger Barker, G4IDE - roger@peaksys.co.uk
For UI-View go to - http://www.UI-View.com
For WinPack go to - http://www.peaksys.co.uk

880. Re: Digipan 1.7?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-09 21:42:29 UTC
From: "Nosko S." <sorry.nospam@here.com>
Message-ID: <bei28e$b9$1@localhost.localdomain>
Source record: 3577

Ah! We sure do like to speculate, don't we. It's easy to ask. The email address is right on their web page.

I forgot to ask, so I won't post his text, but an email to one of the developers (skip) said it was some printing bug and that he is now on the air testing the fix. I then asked about Pactor, but haven't gotten the reply yet.

'guards
.Steve K
. ga 9
. rb D
. ag C
. e I

charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:GRhOa.1103$Uz7.464@newssvr22.news.prodigy.com...
> I wasn't sure what the exact nature of the catastrophe was.... Maybe
> tracking down a final "bug" in the software? The explanation was a little
> bit cryptic, to say the least.
>
> I thought that was weird about the PACTOR issue too... They complain about
> PACTOR stations - but the new version has recieve-only PACTOR added!
>
> Is PACTOR recieve there for the sole purpose of obtaining the call of
> offending PACTOR stations so PSK31 users can whine about them? Personally,
I
> have NEVER seen the bad behavior by PACTOR ops that the guy complains
about,
> and I spend a good deal of time either monitoring the digital stuff, or on
> the air.
>
> I don't have PACTOR capability here, but know that PACTOR stations perform
a
> useful purpose for ALL hams, not just for a couple of keyboarders going at
> it.
>
> I'll look into it... If these guys are out to harass PACTOR ops, they may
> find that they have bitten off more than they can chew. Sounds to me like
> they may have that old "we own this bit of spectrum" fantasy going. - But
> then again, the web-site I listed is so cryptic that it's hard to tell
> exactly WHAT they think they are are saying. It could be something else
> altogether.
>
> Hard to say... Anybody have an idea what they are talking about?

>
> Charles, N5PVL
>
>
>
> "Alan P. Biddle" <APBIDDLE@ATT.NET> wrote in message
> news:a86jgvcv7iv779pgqcceear63v0tdkdah0@4ax.com...
> > Charles,
> >
> > All is now clear.
> >
> > Alan
> > WA4SCA
> >
> >
>
>

881. Re: What's the deal with WIDEN-n?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-10 05:25:10 UTC
From: Cap Pennell <ke6afe@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <beitbr01r00@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 3578

"Roger Barker" <roger@peaksys.co.uk> wrote in message
news:VQo2aWA6t9C$EwmI@peaksys.co.uk...
<snip>
> Callsign substitution when using RELAY and WIDE is probably not the
> norm.

Though not yet universal, callsign substitution is _quite_ common in my
area, where many are using Kantronics or PacComm TNCs.

> >K6HG-9*>WIDE2-1>S7RURP:'2]1l sk/]"3q}
> >K6HG-9>WIDE2*>S7RURP:'2]1l sk/]"3q}
> >
> >What station is repeating this packet? I can't tell. What's supposed
> >to happen here?

These two packets were sent by a couple of high-elevation WIDEn-N
digipeaters on mountaintops ringing the SF Bay area.

> There are two implementations of WIDEn-N -
<snip>
> Mixing the two can result in misleading information.

NOID is far better for determining network topology, and is recommended.
This recommendation is by, not least of all, the Father of APRS, Bob WB4APR,
who worked with Kantronics to enable the WIDEn-N protocol in the first
place, and the guy whose idea WIDEn-N was.
http://www.dididahdahdidit.com/text/digis.txt (explanation)
http://www.dididahdahdidit.com/ (general APRS info)
Hope this helps.
73, Cap KE6AFE

882. Re: What's the deal with WIDEN-n?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-10 06:17:24 UTC
From: Jack Twilley <jmt+usenet@twilley.org>
Message-ID: <86smpeykgb.fsf@duchess.twilley.org>
Source record: 3579

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

>>>>> "Cap" == Cap Pennell <ke6afe@hotmail.com> writes:

Roger> Callsign substitution when using RELAY and WIDE is probably not
Roger> the norm.

Cap> Though not yet universal, callsign substitution is _quite_ common
Cap> in my area, where many are using Kantronics or PacComm TNCs.

[...]

Can you explain this behavior? I'm pretty sure the mobile station
doesn't have a WIDE alias.

KB5WIA*>WIDE2-1>APRS:$GPGLL,3759.519,N,12218.458,W,232104,A*3A
KB5WIA*>WIDE2>APRS:$GPGLL,3759.519,N,12218.458,W,232104,A*3A

Roger> There are two implementations of WIDEn-N -

[...]

Roger> Mixing the two can result in misleading information.

Cap> NOID is far better for determining network topology, and is
Cap> recommended. This recommendation is by, not least of all, the
Cap> Father of APRS, Bob WB4APR, who worked with Kantronics to enable
Cap> the WIDEn-N protocol in the first place, and the guy whose idea
Cap> WIDEn-N was.

Bob asserts that it's more important to know where the station entered
the network in order to estimate the station's position. I'm not sure
I agree. However, I can get what I want by using TRACEn-N instead of
WIDEn-N, so all is good.

I have another question for you, Cap, which is slightly related to a
message you sent to a mailing list but which also probably interests
people outside that list. I would like to change my fixed home
station's routing from RELAY,WIDE (which is bad but works) to specific
stations. What is the best way to identify a good path? I'm hoping
for something more sophisticated than "listen for stations that
identify themselves as WIDE and that you can hear directly", but if
that's the right answer, that's good to know.

Jack.
- --
Jack Twilley
```

jmt at twilley dot org
http colon slash slash www dot twilley dot org slash tilde jmt slash
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.2.2 (FreeBSD)

iD8DBQE/DQT5GPFSfAB/ezgRAurZAKDNBsCtEBbu3/8mXwJ4XyAzeCiMkgCfW9ui
LPI46wCJEgqmG36xQUMAdvM=
=RmFw
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

883. Re: What's the deal with WIDEN-n?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-10 08:37:42 UTC
From: Roger Barker <roger@peaksys.co.uk>
Message-ID: <o$yJxIAWXSD$Ewbi@peaksys.co.uk>
Source record: 3580

In article <86smpeykgb.fsf@duchess.twilley.org>, Jack Twilley
<jmt+usenet@twilley.org> writes
[snip]
>
>Can you explain this behavior? I'm pretty sure the mobile station
>doesn't have a WIDE alias.
>
>KB5WIA*>WIDE2-1>APRS:$GPGLL,3759.519,N,12218.458,W,232104,A*3A
>KB5WIA*>WIDE2>APRS:$GPGLL,3759.519,N,12218.458,W,232104,A*3A

KB5WIA has sent a frame, presumably -
KB5WIA>APRS,WIDE2-2:Etc...
and it has been digipeated by two WIDEn-N digis.

The only slightly unusual thing about it is that most implementations of
WIDEn-N, including Kantronics, will set the 'H' bit when the SSID is
decremented to zero. With an AEA/Timewave TNC, which is what you appear
to be using, the frame from the final WIDEn-N would be monitored as -

KB5WIA*>WIDE2*>APRS:$GPGLL,3759.519,N,12218.458,W,232104,A*3A
(the difference is the '*' after the WIDE2)

--
Roger Barker, G4IDE - roger@peaksys.co.uk
For UI-View go to - http://www.UI-View.com
For WinPack go to - http://www.peaksys.co.uk

884. Re: What's the deal with WIDEN-n?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-10 15:29:56 UTC
From: Jack Twilley <jmt+usenet@twilley.org>
Message-ID: <86of02xuvf.fsf@duchess.twilley.org>
Source record: 3581

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

>>>>> "Roger" == Roger Barker <roger@peaksys.co.uk> writes:

Jack> Can you explain this behavior? I'm pretty sure the mobile
Jack> station doesn't have a WIDE alias.

Jack> KB5WIA*>WIDE2-1>APRS:$GPGLL,3759.519,N,12218.458,W,232104,A*3A
Jack> KB5WIA*>WIDE2>APRS:$GPGLL,3759.519,N,12218.458,W,232104,A*3A

Roger> KB5WIA has sent a frame, presumably -
Roger> KB5WIA>APRS,WIDE2-2:Etc...
Roger> and it has been digipeated by two WIDEn-N digis.

That would make sense except for the asterisks.

Roger> The only slightly unusual thing about it is that most
Roger> implementations of WIDEn-N, including Kantronics, will set the
Roger> 'H' bit when the SSID is decremented to zero. With an
Roger> AEA/Timewave TNC, which is what you appear to be using, the
Roger> frame from the final WIDEn-N would be monitored as -

Roger> KB5WIA*>WIDE2*>APRS:$GPGLL,3759.519,N,12218.458,W,232104,A*3A
Roger> (the difference is the '*' after the WIDE2)

None of the ~180k packets I have have two asterisks.

Jack.
- --
Jack Twilley
jmt at twilley dot org
http colon slash slash www dot twilley dot org slash tilde jmt slash
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.2.2 (FreeBSD)

iD8DBQE/DYZ5GPFSfAB/ezgRAmpwAKCAPbh4l4iumj8ZU/mXh4764QKvSQCfWD3X
jjBYlHs3lFew7k8siCmtPLI=
=6NM8
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

885. Re: What's the deal with WIDEN-n?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-10 16:48:29 UTC
From: Roger Barker <roger@peaksys.co.uk>
Message-ID: <ZEg1fcAdjZD$EwuR@peaksys.co.uk>
Source record: 3582

```
In article <86of02xuvf.fsf@duchess.twilley.org>, Jack Twilley
<jmt+usenet@twilley.org> writes
>>>>>> "Roger" == Roger Barker <roger@peaksys.co.uk> writes:
>
>Jack> Can you explain this behavior? I'm pretty sure the mobile
>Jack> station doesn't have a WIDE alias.
>
>Jack> KB5WIA*>WIDE2-1>APRS:$GPGLL,3759.519,N,12218.458,W,232104,A*3A
>Jack> KB5WIA*>WIDE2>APRS:$GPGLL,3759.519,N,12218.458,W,232104,A*3A
>
>Roger> KB5WIA has sent a frame, presumably -
>Roger> KB5WIA>APRS,WIDE2-2:Etc...
>Roger> and it has been digipeated by two WIDEn-N digis.
>
>That would make sense except for the asterisks.
>
>Roger> The only slightly unusual thing about it is that most
>Roger> implementations of WIDEn-N, including Kantronics, will set the
>Roger> 'H' bit when the SSID is decremented to zero. With an
>Roger> AEA/Timewave TNC, which is what you appear to be using, the
>Roger> frame from the final WIDEn-N would be monitored as -
>
>Roger> KB5WIA*>WIDE2*>APRS:$GPGLL,3759.519,N,12218.458,W,232104,A*3A
>Roger> (the difference is the '*' after the WIDE2)
>
>None of the ~180k packets I have have two asterisks.

Sorry, typo. For a frame header decoded by an AEA/Timewave TNC, it
should of course have been -
KB5WIA>WIDE2*>APRS:$GPGLL,3759.519,N,12218.458,W,232104,A*3A

The main point being, that, as I said, most WIDEn-N digis set the 'H'
bit when the SSID is decremented to zero.

--
Roger Barker, G4IDE - roger@peaksys.co.uk
For UI-View go to - http://www.UI-View.com
For WinPack go to - http://www.peaksys.co.uk
```

886. Re: What's the deal with WIDEN-n?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-10 17:36:55 UTC
From: Jack Twilley <jmt+usenet@twilley.org>
Message-ID: <86he5uxozs.fsf@duchess.twilley.org>
Source record: 3583

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

>>>>> "Roger" == Roger Barker <roger@peaksys.co.uk> writes:

[... confusion and typos ...]

Jack> None of the ~180k packets I have have two asterisks.

Roger> Sorry, typo. For a frame header decoded by an AEA/Timewave TNC,
Roger> it should of course have been -
Roger> KB5WIA>WIDE2*>APRS:$GPGLL,3759.519,N,12218.458,W,232104,A*3A

Right, but that's not what I saw, which is why I asked. If I had seen
that, I would have understood it to be what you explained.

Roger> The main point being, that, as I said, most WIDEn-N digis set
Roger> the 'H' bit when the SSID is decremented to zero.

I need to reread the AX25 spec until I understand all of the bits.

Jack.
- --
Jack Twilley
jmt at twilley dot org
http colon slash slash www dot twilley dot org slash tilde jmt slash
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.2.2 (FreeBSD)

iD8DBQE/DaQ8GPFSfAB/ezgRAogVAJwOWxO6ZJuUCVQ9Hw0dCq2ITq50bQCgpyCa
dpIqzuapAbXBaXh9DhIrEJU=
=bAjZ
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

887. Re: What's the deal with WIDEN-n?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-10 20:20:00 UTC
From: "Nosko S." <sorry.nospam@here.com>
Message-ID: <bekhq0$g9a$1@localhost.localdomain>
Source record: 3584

Uh... Is there an Idiots Guide to APRS? I recently got a Knwd D700, it's really slick and I'm interested in learning more. I downloaded the spec and looked over the digi paper referenced on Jeff's site @ http://www.dididahdahdidit.com/text/digis.txt over in Woodstock (I'm nearby), but there is still many details assumed. It's all still over my head except for hooking up the GPS and using it. I'd like to gain an understanding of the basics. Should I understand packet first? A simple glossary of terms would be a good thing. I may just try to corner Jeff.
--
'guards
.Steve K
. ga 9
. rb D
. ag C
. e I

--
[please clip quoted postings down to the relevant parts, thank you]

Steve N...Pardon my paranoia, but my email has no U's in it:
steuve.Nouskouwicz@moutoroula.com

Cap Pennell <ke6afe@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:beitbr01r00@enews2.newsguy.com...
> "Roger Barker" <roger@peaksys.co.uk> wrote in message
> news:VQo2aWA6t9C$EwmI@peaksys.co.uk...
> <snip>
> > Callsign substitution when using RELAY and WIDE is probably not the
> > norm.
>
> Though not yet universal, callsign substitution is _quite_ common in my
> area, where many are using Kantronics or PacComm TNCs.
>
> > >K6HG-9*>WIDE2-1>S7RURP:'2]1l sk/]"3q}
> > >K6HG-9>WIDE2*>S7RURP:'2]1l sk/]"3q}
> > >
> > >What station is repeating this packet? I can't tell. What's supposed
> > >to happen here?
>
> These two packets were sent by a couple of high-elevation WIDEn-N
> digipeaters on mountaintops ringing the SF Bay area.
>
> > There are two implementations of WIDEn-N -
> <snip>
> > Mixing the two can result in misleading information.

>
> NOID is far better for determining network topology, and is recommended.
> This recommendation is by, not least of all, the Father of APRS, Bob
WB4APR,
> who worked with Kantronics to enable the WIDEn-N protocol in the first
> place, and the guy whose idea WIDEn-N was.
> http://www.dididahdahdidit.com/text/digis.txt (explanation)
> http://www.dididahdahdidit.com/ (general APRS info)
> Hope this helps.
> 73, Cap KE6AFE
>
>

888. Re: Using ham bands for educational / research project

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-11 04:35:28 UTC
From: k0hb@arrl.net
Message-ID: <e79dbd9b.0307102035.44b0325e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3585
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

Leo Szumel <please_use_my_last_name@ieee.org> wrote

> I am being paid by UC to do research in general.
> I would not receive compensation as a result of
> this specific research, so in my mind I have
> no pecuniary interest in the project.

If you are doing this research project within the scope of your paid position, then you DO have a pecuniary interest, and Amateur Radio is not an appropriate communications medium.

73, de Hans, K0HB

889. Re: Digipan 1.7?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-11 10:53:14 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <uGwPa.102$6i5.77653107@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3586

"Nosko S." <sorry.nospam@here.com> wrote in message
news:bei28e$b9$1@localhost.localdomain...
> Ah! We sure do like to speculate, don't we. It's easy to ask. The email
> address is right on their web page.

On the other hand, a competent web-site should be able to stand on its own.
You shouldn't have to E-mail the web-master, asking what the heck the
web-site is supposed to be about.

Charles, N5PVL

890. Re: Using ham bands for educational / research project

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-11 13:19:25 UTC
From: JoAnne Maenpaa <Joanne.Maenpaa@motorola.com>
Message-ID: <bemdj7bdf1@newshost.mot.com>
Source record: 3587

There is a lot of discussion within AMSAT in regards to
University research satellites using amateur radio fre-
quencies.

While these two links are satellite related they may
provide some insight into existing radio Rules and
Regulations for using the amateur radio frequencies
for research in general:

http://www.amsat.org/amsat/intro/using-ham-freqs.html

http://www.iaru.org/satellite/

These links are not the regulations. But they do point
to the details.

73 de JoAnne WB9JEJ

"K0HB" <k0hb@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:e79dbd9b.0307102035.44b0325e@posting.google.com...
> Leo Szumel <please_use_my_last_name@ieee.org> wrote
>
> > I am being paid by UC to do research in general.
> > I would not receive compensation as a result of
> > this specific research, so in my mind I have
> > no pecuniary interest in the project.
>
> If you are doing this research project within the scope of your paid
> position, then you DO have a pecuniary interest, and Amateur Radio is
> not an appropriate communications medium.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

891. DigiPan 1.7 with PSK63

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-11 15:50:07 UTC
From: Jim <jaffejim@erols.com>
Message-ID: <bemmbh$o8e$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 3588

Hi Fellow Warblers..MixW Software is happy to announce our FREEWARE DigiPan 1.7 with the new PSK63 and Pactor receive is now available for downloading.. from our website which is www.nvbb.net/~jaffejim/mixwpage.htm go to the DigiPan page and download to your heart's content.. Best 73 and good luck.. Jim WA2VOS

892. Re: FS:FT Raid SCSI card

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-11 15:56:25 UTC
From: Bruce Lane <SpammersAreVermin@dev.null>
Message-ID: <MPG.19787e04ce2bf439989947@192.168.42.131>
Source record: 3589

In article <_aKOa.26165$q42.22170@charlie.risq.qc.ca>, gervais says...

> hi all,i have 2 raid card,SCSI DAP960 to sell or trade.looking for a
> portable or a mobile in exchange

Ummm... I kinda hate to be the one to burst your bubble, but those cards aren't worth anywhere near the cost of any decent portable or mobile radio that I know of.

Some recent examples, as in completed auctions on E-pay, may be found here. http://makeashorterlink.com/?Q4D312C35

In summary, the DAC960's (there's no such thing as a "DAP960" that I know of) seem to be going for between $30 - $50, and even at $30 they're slow to move.

Good luck.

--
Dr. Anton Squeegee, Director,
Dutch Surrealist Plumbing Institute
(Known to some as Bruce Lane, KC7GR)
kyrrin a/t bluefeathertech d-o=t c&o&m
"Oh, yeah, I've always wanted a pet... That could KILL us!"

893. Re: DigiPan 1.7 with PSK63

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-11 18:16:58 UTC
From: Michalkun <buranko2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns93B57CD1BFECFmichalkunyahoocom@128.233.192.251>
Source record: 3590

"Jim" <jaffejim@erols.com> wrote in news:bemmbh$o8e$1@bob.news.rcn.net:

> Hi Fellow Warblers..MixW Software is happy to announce our FREEWARE
> DigiPan 1.7 with the new PSK63 and Pactor receive is now available for

Does anyone know what are freq. ranges for listening to this stuff and
decoding?

894. Re: DigiPan 1.7 with PSK63

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-12 05:31:22 UTC
From: "Dave, AA6YQ" <aa6yq@ambersoft.com>
Message-ID: <K2NPa.40359$N7.5280@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 3591

You'll typically find PSK63 in the upper 1500 hz of a PSK sub-band. On 20m, for example, look between 14072.5 and 14074. I often call CQ on 14073, and am often rewarded with a PSK63 QSO.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

"Michalkun" <buranko2000@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:Xns93B57CD1BFECFmichalkunyahoocom@128.233.192.251...
> "Jim" <jaffejim@erols.com> wrote in news:bemmbh$o8e$1@bob.news.rcn.net:
>
> > Hi Fellow Warblers..MixW Software is happy to announce our FREEWARE
> > DigiPan 1.7 with the new PSK63 and Pactor receive is now available for
>
> Does anyone know what are freq. ranges for listening to this stuff and
> decoding?

895. Re: Using ham bands for educational / research project

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-12 19:46:29 UTC
From: "M.S. Bob" <msbob@hotmail.com-edy>
Message-ID: <81p0hvgd34m7aj51k2im8deai0c3868s7i@4ax.com>
Source record: 3592

On 10 Jul 2003 21:35:28 -0700, k0hb@arrl.net (K0HB) wrote:

>Leo Szumel <please_use_my_last_name@ieee.org> wrote
>
>> I am being paid by UC to do research in general.
>> I would not receive compensation as a result of
>> this specific research, so in my mind I have
>> no pecuniary interest in the project.
>
>If you are doing this research project within the scope of your paid
>position, then you DO have a pecuniary interest, and Amateur Radio is
>not an appropriate communications medium.

I have known hams who are employed as researchers, and the division of what they do in other allocations (license-exempt/license-free bands in some cases) and what they do in the amateur bands depends on whether they are doing their own radio tinkering, or whether the work is being developed for a research project that is sponsored (and hence controlled or directed) by their employer.

For their radio interfaces for research projects at work, they used non-amateur bands. Yet for projects that were purely self-interest, self-directed, and only concerned radio communications, they did experiements in the amateur bands (UHF and higher).

896. Soundcard Softwares Etc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-13 10:40:02 UTC
From: Dave <g3vfp@blueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <6GaQa.404$T03.278@news-binary.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 3593

Hi nothing NEW about these softwares just plain and simple softwares for all modes which use a soundcard. PSK,MFSK,CW,RTTY,SSTV, etc. Plus circuits of interest etc.

Got a moment, take a look.

http://www.g3vfp.org/download.html

Keep smiling guys. I know its difficult to do these days :-)

de Dave G3VFP

897. Re: DigiPan 1.7 with PSK63

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-14 18:53:19 UTC
From: Michalkun <buranko2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns93B882D259734michalkunyahoocom@128.233.192.251>
Source record: 3594

"Dave, AA6YQ" <aa6yq@ambersoft.com> wrote in
news:K2NPa.40359$N7.5280@sccrnsc03:

> You'll typically find PSK63 in the upper 1500 hz of a PSK sub-band. On
> 20m, for example, look between 14072.5 and 14074. I often call CQ on
> 14073, and am often rewarded with a PSK63 QSO.

Thanks for the hint.

898. Good mobile APRS rig?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-15 01:08:01 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030714210801.24526.00000453@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 3595

Hello all. I am thinking of getting into APRS from the mobile and I was wondering if anyone can suggest a good APRS rig. This would ONLY be used for APRS and nothing else, so it doesn't have to be packed with the latest bells and whistles. I am planing on using my KPC-3 with some sort of Palm device and running PocketAPRS. Email replies are welcome.

=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-

73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net
National "Do Not Call" Registry: http://donotcall.gov

899. Re: Good mobile APRS rig?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-15 01:29:03 UTC
From: "S. Sampson" <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <zNIQa.45695$o86.6325@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 3596

Just get the cheapest Yaesu 2 meter mobile rig. They are usually cheaper
than anything else you can find. I took all my 80's junk and threw it into the
dumpster. That crap was more trouble than it was worth, and I don't want
someone else to suffer.

Just ignore the bells and whistles. It will increase the resale value in 10 years.

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme> wrote
>
> Hello all. I am thinking of getting into APRS from the mobile and I was
> wondering if anyone can suggest a good APRS rig. This would ONLY be used for
> APRS and nothing else, so it doesn't have to be packed with the latest bells
> and whistles. I am planing on using my KPC-3 with some sort of Palm device and
> running PocketAPRS. Email replies are welcome.

900. Question about GPS and APRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-15 02:00:50 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030714220050.24596.00000483@mb-m01.aol.com>
Source record: 3597

Hello all. I've been surfing the web, reading about APRS but I am confused about one thing. How does the GPS play into the whole thing? Can anyone give me a webpage with a good explanation of how everything links together (planing on using a Handspring Visor Prism with my KPC-3 and a cheap 2m mobile rig)? If anyone can suggest a specific GPS unit, that would be good. Email replies are welcome.

Also, does anyone sell a Palm pilot mount for the car?
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net
National "Do Not Call" Registry: http://donotcall.gov

901. Re: Question about GPS and APRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-15 02:55:15 UTC
From: "S. Sampson" <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <n2KQa.46086$o86.3270@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 3598

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme> wrote
>
> I've been surfing the web, reading about APRS but I am confused
> about one thing. How does the GPS play into the whole thing?

APRS is designed to report "positions." These positions are derived from
some kind of NMEA 0183 protocol device.

Any GPS that provides a serial output, NMEA 0193, and WGS 84
based position references would be acceptable. I like Garmin products.

Check out the Geko 301 (Wow!)

People in other parts of the world may use a different datum then WGS 84,
and their position may not correlate well to U.S. based maps.

[GPS with WGS 84 datum and serial port NMEA]
[Data modulator]
[Radio]

Most people use a tracker device based on a one-chip PIC processor,
and run that to a radio.

As people move around the tracker decodes the NMEA lat/lon/speed
and/or altitude, and modulates the radio and signals the PTT.

902. Re: Question about GPS and APRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-15 04:05:51 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030715000551.14803.00000513@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 3599

So how does the GPS interface with the system? I know the Palm Pilot connects
to the TNC and the TNC connects to the radio. Do you know of some good websites
that explain more? I will check into the Geko 301.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net
National "Do Not Call" Registry: http://donotcall.gov

903. Re: Question about GPS and APRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-15 05:31:44 UTC
From: Dana Myers K6JQ <k6jq@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <b3f151ff6862aaf6695149b420392de1@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 3600

VHFRadioBuff wrote:
> So how does the GPS interface with the system? I know the Palm Pilot connects
> to the TNC and the TNC connects to the radio. Do you know of some good websites
> that explain more? I will check into the Geko 301.

Nah, it's all too hard. Do you have more time or more money?
If you have a lot of time, then cobble stuff together.
Otherwise, write a check and buy an APRS-integrated radio
like a Kenwood TM-D700A. Just remember it's not suitable for
ordinary connected-mode packet cause of a blatant bug, but it's
a fine APRS radio.

Dana K6JQ

904. Re: Question about GPS and APRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-15 12:36:41 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030715083641.12238.00000548@mb-m13.aol.com>
Source record: 3501

>If you have a lot of time, then cobble stuff together.
>Otherwise, write a check and buy an APRS-integrated radio
>like a Kenwood TM-D700A. Just remember it's not suitable for
>ordinary connected-mode packet cause of a blatant bug, but it's
>a fine APRS radio.
>

Hmm.. just looked at that.. $520? That's a bit more than I wanted to spend. I already have a KPC-3. I figured I could use that and buy a used 2m radio, probably for less than $100. The interface for a stand alone GPS unit is too complicated?

=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net
National "Do Not Call" Registry: http://donotcall.gov

905. Re: Question about GPS and APRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-15 13:13:23 UTC
From: derswede@aol.comspam.com
Message-ID: <20030715091323.01346.00000341@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 3502

<< How does the GPS play into the whole thing? >>

If your Kantronics is V6 or higher, read the manual about connecting a GPS to it. It will 'share' a port on the TNC.

I have an old HT, a KPC-3 (V.8.3) and a Palm IIIc hooked to a RatShak GPS head (the one they closed out for $40) which works just fine. Using PocketAPRS, I get good tracks. Had used my Palm M515 but the serial port died....got the IIIc as it was quite cheap, in color and I consider it a "throwaway" unit. Bloody M515 is too expensive to risk.

Mounts? Look for the suction cup Palm mounts. Mine sits just over the dash on the LH side on the Grand Prix, quite readable using it for either APRS or just GPS. Cobbled up a charger cord/interface and feed both the Palm and the GPS off a 5V source.

Andrew

Ah, the smell of rosin core solder!

906. Frame collector patch for Linux?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-15 13:53:20 UTC
From: Michael Vogel <icarus@dabo.de>
Message-ID: <g011fb.ch2.ln@heimdall.gut-bs.de>
Source record: 3504

Hi!

I heard about a patch for the linux kernel to implement a frame
collector. The project I found was dead and was only implemented for the
2.2.x kernel.

Is there any other patch?

Thanks!

Michael

907. Re: Question about GPS and APRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-15 13:53:41 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030715095341.12087.00000475@mb-m13.aol.com>
Source record: 3503

>If your Kantronics is V6 or higher, read the manual about connecting a GPS to
>it. It will 'share' a port on the TNC.

Looking at the manual, it says it's version 5.0. When I use the "version"
command, I get this:

KPC3-54CF3230-5.1

I am guessing this is version 5.1? Is there a firmware update to bring it to
6.0?
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net
National "Do Not Call" Registry: http://donotcall.gov

908. Re: Question about GPS and APRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-15 14:27:50 UTC
From: "Dr. Anton Squeegee" <SpammersAreVermin@dev.null>
Message-ID: <MPG.197daf3fbcd8e6d989952@192.168.42.131>
Source record: 3505

In article <20030714220050.24596.00000483@mb-m01.aol.com>, VHFRadioBuff says...

> Hello all. I've been surfing the web, reading about APRS but I am confused
> about one thing. How does the GPS play into the whole thing? Can anyone give me
> a webpage with a good explanation of how everything links together (planing on
> using a Handspring Visor Prism with my KPC-3 and a cheap 2m mobile rig)? If
> anyone can suggest a specific GPS unit, that would be good. Email replies are
> welcome.

The usual scheme is that the GPS receiver feeds its data to the TNC (in my case, a Kantronics KPC-3+), and the TNC interfaces with the radio involved to move the data. APRS, as its name implies, is mainly for position reporting.

> Also, does anyone sell a Palm pilot mount for the car?

Have a look at http://www.smc-corp.com -- That's where I got my console mount and pedestals for the 'TechMobile' (http://www.bluefeathertech.com/kc7gr/thevan.html).

Choice of GPS receiver is entirely up to the individual's finances and requirements. I got lucky with a bunch of surplus hardware, and locating some good deals on OEM modules, and ended up investing a little under $250 for a fairly high-end GPS setup that's WAAS-enabled.

That figure included the antenna, which I got from a fellow who was selling them on Ebay a while back, and a DC injector (which was needed due to the antenna's bias voltage requirements -- 12V vs. 5).

HOWEVER -- keep in mind that my setup was built specifically for high accuracy in a mobile environment, and took a lot of work to put together. It uses an APRS-dedicated single-channel transceiver (a converted Motorola Mitrek).

In short: If you're looking for something in a handheld, my solution would not be a good one for you. ;-)

Good hunting.

--
Dr. Anton Squeegee, Director, Dutch Surrealist Plumbing Institute
(Known to some as Bruce Lane, KC7GR)
kyrrin a/t bluefeathertech d-o=t c&o&m
"Quando Omni Flunkus Moritati" (Red Green)

909. Re: Question about GPS and APRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-15 14:39:10 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030715103910.12238.00000560@mb-m13.aol.com>
Source record: 3506

>The usual scheme is that the GPS receiver feeds its data to the
>TNC (in my case, a Kantronics KPC-3+), and the TNC interfaces with the
>radio involved to move the data. APRS, as its name implies, is mainly
>for position reporting.

But if I'm using a Palm Pilot, how does the Palm Pilot interface with the TNC? Do you need a splitter so both can plug into the TNC? Can you recommend a GPS unit that will do what I need? It's going to be a mobile setup, but doesn't need to be the top of the line.

=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net
National "Do Not Call" Registry: http://donotcall.gov

910. Re: Question about GPS and APRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-15 19:31:20 UTC
From: "Dr. Anton Squeegee" <SpammersAreVermin@dev.null>
Message-ID: <MPG.197df65e6cc4c778989955@192.168.42.131>
Source record: 3507

In article <20030715103910.12238.00000560@mb-m13.aol.com>, VHFRadioBuff says...

> >The usual scheme is that the GPS receiver feeds its data to the
> >TNC (in my case, a Kantronics KPC-3+), and the TNC interfaces with the
> >radio involved to move the data. APRS, as its name implies, is mainly
> >for position reporting.
>
> But if I'm using a Palm Pilot, how does the Palm Pilot interface with the TNC?
> Do you need a splitter so both can plug into the TNC? Can you recommend a GPS
> unit that will do what I need? It's going to be a mobile setup, but doesn't
> need to be the top of the line.

You need a serial (RS232) port on the Palm Pilot. If it lacks such, or cannot be adapted to have one, you're pretty much SOL. In that case, you would probably need to look at using a laptop computer.

In short: You have a serial connection from the GPS device to the TNC. You have a second one from the TNC to the computer. The KPC-3+ can accomodate both, as it has two hardware serial ports.

Choice of GPS unit is, again, a very individual and subjective thing. I would suggest visiting http://www.gpscentral.ca -- they have a wide variety of units from all manufacturers, and you get to take advantage of the good exchange rate between US Dollars and Canadian. I bought my OEM module from them.

--
Dr. Anton Squeegee, Director, Dutch Surrealist Plumbing Institute
(Known to some as Bruce Lane, KC7GR)
kyrrin a/t bluefeathertech d-o=t c&o&m
"Quando Omni Flunkus Moritati" (Red Green)

911. Re: Question about GPS and APRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-15 20:47:38 UTC
From: derswede@aol.comspam.com
Message-ID: <20030715164738.26581.00000420@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 3508

VHFRadioBuff and Dr. Anton Squeegee hacked out on digital impact entry devices:

<<Looking at the manual, it says it's version 5.0. When I use the "version" command, I get this:

KPC3-54CF3230-5.1

I am guessing this is version 5.1? Is there a firmware update to bring it to 6.0? >>
<< > Do you need a splitter so both can plug into the TNC? Can you recommend a GPS
> unit that will do what I need? It's going to be a mobile setup, but doesn't
> need to be the top of the line.

You need a serial (RS232) port on the Palm Pilot. If it lacks such, or cannot be adapted to have one, you're pretty much SOL. >>

>If your Kantronics is V6 or higher, read the manual about connecting a GPS to
>it. It will 'share' a port on the TNC.

Heh...you'll have to give me the "Anton Squeegee" reference again....forgot the ref. for that, but it rings a bell. Also, lovely use of Latin...who said it was dead??

That said, all Palms up to but excepting the Zire have an RS232 serial port built in (and there may be some newer ones which are USB only...not sure). I've used a Palm IIIc, a IIIx, a M505, and my M515 for pocketAPRS use. I settled on the IIIc as I got it cheap and the wife complained when I "borrowed" her Palm for testing.

Mine is run using a hacked HotSync cable. Power is obtained from the 12V car system via a 5V regulated supply (love them cell phones...cheap/free parts!) hooked to the appropriate connections in the H/S cable. GPS is hooked to the TNC, the serial port of the TNC hooks to the Palm. Radio interface is off the TNC. All of this is right out of the book...no mystical incantations or dead chickens (other that what was in the sandwich, of course) (chicken, that is). SO!!

The power supply powers the RatShak GPS head via the unused fourth wire in the serial connection cable (uses an RJ11 cable ala telephone connector). This took a bit of hacking internally....just ran thru a diode the + lead to the unused fourth connector, wired the other end to the +5 for the Palm (actually 5.5V out, diodes for protection on both devices). TNC is under the seat along with the radio. Antenna is a $5 RatShak glassmount POBGF which works for this application. Data and power are run up to the Palm/GPS via one cable. 12V at the radio/TNC is connected to the PS for the Palm & GPS head.

Lost you yet? Unit works OK, with only 4W out (old Icom commercial HT) I have been able to maintain tracking around the small towns and cities here in central NC. Works great for ARES work, esp. when we have flooding/storms and folks want to see where you are located.

As for the TNC...you need at least V.6. Don't know if Kantronics has an upgrade....lemme dig thru my junkbox, thought I had a dead KPC-3 board with the later version ROM on it. To go the the "Plus" version you will have to upgrade the board....but V.6.0 will get you GPS connectivity.

Andrew

912. Re: Good mobile APRS rig?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-15 22:34:47 UTC
From: public@jamierdean.com
Message-ID: <e909hv0ppa7coj4a5lq072ef7njp0g153j@4ax.com>
Source record: 3509

I used my Icom IC-2100H for about a year full time as a
stand-alone-digi and it worked great. I had no problems.

73,
Jamie R. Dean - W4JRD
W4JRD's Radio Information Page.: http://www.jamierdean.com/radio

On 15 Jul 2003 01:08:01 GMT, vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme (VHFRadioBuff)
wrote:

>Hello all. I am thinking of getting into APRS from the mobile and I was
>wondering if anyone can suggest a good APRS rig. This would ONLY be used for
>APRS and nothing else, so it doesn't have to be packed with the latest bells
>and whistles. I am planing on using my KPC-3 with some sort of Palm device and
>running PocketAPRS. Email replies are welcome.
>=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
>73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
>Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
>http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
>Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net
>National "Do Not Call" Registry: http://donotcall.gov

913. Re: Question about GPS and APRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-16 00:59:44 UTC
From: "Dr. Anton Squeegee" <SpammersAreVermin@dev.null>
Message-ID: <MPG.197e435c39ec3eb8989958@192.168.42.131>
Source record: 3510

In article <20030715164738.26581.00000420@mb-m18.aol.com>, AA says...

<snip>

> Heh...you'll have to give me the "Anton Squeegee" reference again....forgot the
> ref. for that, but it rings a bell. Also, lovely use of Latin...who said it
> was dead??

<snicker> Thank you! I was wondering if someone would ask me about
that. Think 'Firesign Theater.' More specifically, their latest album
'All Things Firesign.' There's a track on there called "Everything You
Know Is Wrong!" You'll need to listen to it to understand where I came
up with Anton Squeegee.

As for the Latin: That's simply a quote from 'The Red Green
Show,' a bit of Canadian comedy. Think of Red (aka Steve Smith) as the
Canadian version of Tim Taylor, but with a beard and graying hair.

> That said, all Palms up to but excepting the Zire have an RS232 serial port
> built in (and there may be some newer ones which are USB only...not sure).
> I've used a Palm IIIc, a IIIx, a M505, and my M515 for pocketAPRS use. I
> settled on the IIIc as I got it cheap and the wife complained when I "borrowed"
> her Palm for testing.

They do? Good heavens... I had no idea. I always thought the
docking station did some voltage-level shifting to make it talk to the
PC's serial port.

> Mine is run using a hacked HotSync cable. Power is obtained from the 12V car
> system via a 5V regulated supply (love them cell phones...cheap/free parts!)
> hooked to the appropriate connections in the H/S cable. GPS is hooked to the
> TNC, the serial port of the TNC hooks to the Palm. Radio interface is off the
> TNC. All of this is right out of the book...no mystical incantations or dead
> chickens (other that what was in the sandwich, of course) (chicken, that is).
> SO!!

Well, congrats! I'm glad someone knew of an alternate solution.

There you are, VHFRadioBuff... All the help you need from someone
who's actually done it.

--
Dr. Anton Squeegee, Director, Dutch Surrealist Plumbing Institute
(Known to some as Bruce Lane, KC7GR)
kyrrin a/t bluefeathertech d-o=t c&o&m
"Quando Omni Flunkus Moritati" (Red Green)

914. Re: Good mobile APRS rig?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-16 02:58:13 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030715225813.03463.00000334@mb-m16.aol.com>
Source record: 3511

>I used my Icom IC-2100H for about a year full time as a
>stand-alone-digi and it worked great. I had no problems.

I've looked into the IC-2100H in the past for use as a regular 2m mobile and didn't like what I had read... Right now I am thinking of picking up an old HTX-212 or an ADI rig. I'll look for the GPS and the Palm Pilot first since used 2m rigs are a dime a dozen.

=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-

73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net
National "Do Not Call" Registry: http://donotcall.gov

915. Re: Question about GPS and APRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-16 04:05:45 UTC
From: Dana Myers K6JQ <k6jq@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <66e38c1a1982e540c13a049f3aa7c650@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 3512

VHFRadioBuff wrote:
>>If you have a lot of time, then cobble stuff together.
>>Otherwise, write a check and buy an APRS-integrated radio
>>like a Kenwood TM-D700A. Just remember it's not suitable for
>>ordinary connected-mode packet cause of a blatant bug, but it's
>>a fine APRS radio.
>>
>
>
> Hmm.. just looked at that.. $520?

Yeah. Figure the price of a 1200/9600 baud TNC and a dual-band
mobile and it's not a bad deal. Never mind the fact it's
pre-assembled and functional when you get it. You only really
have to make the cable from the GPS receiver to the TM-D700A.

Alternatively, the TH-D7 might be just the ticket.

> That's a bit more than I wanted to spend. I
> already have a KPC-3. I figured I could use that and buy a used 2m radio,
> probably for less than $100. The interface for a stand alone GPS unit is too
> complicated?

Not really. It's just kinda cool not to have a bunch of stuff cobbled
together in the car, the reliability is probably much better for the
more integrated unit. You can use the D700 while it's also running
APRS and so on.

A TH-D7 is an HT version and might be a better deal if you just
want to broadcast your location and speed all the time.

Dana K6JQ

916. Re: Question about GPS and APRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-16 04:48:14 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030716004814.27389.00000437@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 3513

>Yeah. Figure the price of a 1200/9600 baud TNC and a dual-band
>mobile and it's not a bad deal. Never mind the fact it's
>pre-assembled and functional when you get it. You only really
>have to make the cable from the GPS receiver to the TM-D700A.

Let's look at used prices:

Old 2m mobile (Don't need a dualbander!): $50

KPC-3+: $125

$175+/- total vs $520 new for a dualband radio with built in TNC. Maybe if it had an integrated GPS, the $520 price tag would be worth it, or if it was my primary mobile rig.

=-

73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net
National "Do Not Call" Registry: http://donotcall.gov

917. Any links to pics of APRS setup?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-16 07:07:58 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030716030758.27389.00000446@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 3514

Does anyone have links to pictures of mobile APRS setups? I think I know how I want to set mine up, but I'd like to see pics of what other hams have done.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net
National "Do Not Call" Registry: http://donotcall.gov

918. Re: Any links to pics of APRS setup?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-16 08:01:02 UTC
From: Sven Pape <s.pape@tu-bs.de>
Message-ID: <3F15063E.1CE2F29F@tu-bs.de>
Source record: 3515

VHFRadioBuff wrote:
>
> Does anyone have links to pictures of mobile APRS setups? I think I know how I
> want to set mine up, but I'd like to see pics of what other hams have done.
> =-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
> 73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
> Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
> http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
> Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net
> National "Do Not Call" Registry: http://donotcall.gov
Try
http://www.aprs.de
http://www.aprs.dk

Sven

919. Re: Question about GPS and APRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-16 11:56:52 UTC
From: derswede@aol.comspam.com
Message-ID: <20030716075652.00723.00000003@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 3516

<< <snicker> Thank you! I was wondering if someone would ask me about that. Think 'Firesign Theater.' More specifically, their latest album 'All Things Firesign.' There's a track on there called "Everything You Know Is Wrong!" You'll need to listen to it to understand where I came up with Anton Squeegee.

As for the Latin: That's simply a quote from 'The Red Green Show,' a bit of Canadian comedy. Think of Red (aka Steve Smith) as the Canadian version of Tim Taylor, but with a beard and graying hair. >>

Ah, yes....I'll have to check that out...ah, for the days when people actually USED their brains....and verbal spars and parries were witty, not profane. I have always agreed with Samuel Clemmons (Mark Twain) when he said that profanity was the last refuge of the weak mind.

And will check out the Red Green show next time I'm at our Montreal office....but I really don't watch much TV. As for the Palm/APRS solution, post here and I'll try to pull the details together to post, if one is interested.

Cheers!
Andrew
KG4GSC

And my favourite...

"...if one tolerates bad manners, they grow worse. I must find the oaf who did this thing, explain to him his offense, give him a chance to apologise, then kill him." (R.A. Heinlein, "The Cat Who Walks Through Walls")

920. Re: Good mobile APRS rig?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-16 12:04:30 UTC
From: derswede@aol.comspam.com
Message-ID: <20030716080430.00723.00000005@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 3517

<< >I used my Icom IC-2100H for about a year full time as a
>stand-alone-digi and it worked great. I had no problems.

I've looked into the IC-2100H in the past for use as a regular 2m mobile and
didn't like what I had read >>

Fine rig, just don't use a non-resonant antenna (i.e., high VSWR) as the finals
module could fail (like any rig!). I'm running a RatShak HTX-252 for APRS...it
was free, albeit dead; took a few minutes to repair the problem (speaker wire
pinched between the frame and board toasted a resistor in the audio
circuit...so much for Chinese QC). If you get one, do the squelch mod, it
works much better. A stock -252 works poorly. The -242 or the -212 are better
selections and rather cheap.

Want solid? Look for the Icom non-PL units, the Kenwood non-PL units...both
rock solid (don't drop one on your foot, either) and cheap. Either unit will
last a long time.

A

921. Re: Any links to pics of APRS setup?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-16 13:54:35 UTC
From: "Dr. Anton Squeegee" <SpammersAreVermin@dev.null>
Message-ID: <MPG.197ef8f8fc23bf7898995a@192.168.42.131>
Source record: 3518

In article <20030716030758.27389.00000446@mb-m19.aol.com>, VHFRadioBuff says...

> Does anyone have links to pictures of mobile APRS setups? I think I know how I
> want to set mine up, but I'd like to see pics of what other hams have done.

There's a lot more there than just my APRS radio, but...

http://www.bluefeathertech.com/kc7gr/thevan.html

The APRS transceiver, as mentioned earlier, is a converted Motorola Mitrek. You'll see it on the upper shelf, just below the power inverter. The Kantronics is secured to its topside with industrial-strength Velcro.

The GPS receiver is a Garmin-15 series OEM engine, in a mil-surplus housing, right next to the Mitrek. To the left of the Mitrek, barely visible in the shot, is a little DC injector (also called a 'Bias-Tee') that, in my case, was required to make my GPS antenna happy (it required 12V bias as opposed to the more usual 5V).

Said receiver has a single serial output that is dual-connected to both the TNC and computer. Seems to work pretty well.

Keep in mind that, based on what you've posted before, my setup is probably more effort than you would want to go to, especially where the onboard computer is concerned. However, you asked for pictures of APRS setups, soooo..... ;-)

73 de KC7GR

--
Dr. Anton Squeegee, Director, Dutch Surrealist Plumbing Institute
(Known to some as Bruce Lane, KC7GR)
kyrrin a/t bluefeathertech d-o=t c&o&m
"Quando Omni Flunkus Moritati" (Red Green)

922. Re: Any links to pics of APRS setup?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-16 16:11:45 UTC
From: David Hubbell <hubbell@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <3F1577BE.6080403@ptd.net>
Source record: 3519

Hi,
I've been following the thread and I missed what it is you are trying to accomplish. If what you want to do is put a blip on an APRS map and thats all then I suggest getting the TinyTrakIII.
http://www.byonics.com/tinytrak/.
It is simple to build, costs 40.00 bucks and interfaces with any KPC product cable 16.00 http://www.packetradio.com/!TNCables/KV2RSRJ45.jpg <http://www.packetradio.com/%21TNCables/KV2RSRJ45.jpg> (this one is for the htx-212) you may already have a cable for the KPC that you own.
Buy an old rig, conect the tinytrack to the radio cable, connect the GPS (used ETREX cheap) to the other end of the TinyTrack (standard GPS to DB9 Serial connection) and turn it on. You are now putting an APRS signal out on 144.390 simplex, No PL. I can now see you from my home in NJ.
If you want the GPS to work in the city or in a heavily wooded or Valley area then you will need a GPS that has an external antenna connector on it. Garmin GPSMAP 76 or Garmin 12XL. If you are getting a GPS anyway I would suggest you bite the bullet and get the GPSMAP 76. Now you can see where you are in the world on a selfcontained map on the GPS. No wires hanging around to a PDA.

If what you want to do is more than that then spend the bucks and get the Kenwood TM D700. That simple! Any other way you do it will put more wires in your car than any wife will allow and my wife is a Ham. N2TVM
Have Fun
Please call if you need help. just drop me an email and ill send you the no.
Dave Hubbel N2KTO http://www.findu.com/cgi-bin/find.cgi?call=n2kto&radar=*** <http://www.findu.com/cgi-bin/find.cgi?call=n2kto&radar=***>http://www.hubtech.net/hamradio.htm
http://www.hubtech.net/gpsinfo.htm
http://www.hubtech.net/new_page_3.htm (APRS page)

VHFRadioBuff wrote:

>Does anyone have links to pictures of mobile APRS setups? I think I know how I
>want to set mine up, but I'd like to see pics of what other hams have done.
>=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
>73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
>Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
>http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
>Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net
>National "Do Not Call" Registry: http://donotcall.gov
>
>

923. Re: Any links to pics of APRS setup?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-17 05:11:04 UTC
From: IanCT <IanCThurston@nospam.hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <IdqRa.186$gn5.15644014@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3520

http://www.IanThurston.com/radiogear

Kind of old pics, added new gear since then.
But the APRS is there. :)

http://www.IanThurston.com/gps

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme> wrote in message
news:20030716030758.27389.00000446@mb-m19.aol.com...
> Does anyone have links to pictures of mobile APRS setups? I think I know
how I
> want to set mine up, but I'd like to see pics of what other hams have
done.
> =-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
> 73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
> Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
> http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
> Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net
> National "Do Not Call" Registry: http://donotcall.gov

924. Re: Question about GPS and APRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-17 05:12:48 UTC
From: IanCT <IanCThurston@nospam.hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <kfqRa.187$mB2.5233785@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3521

Yes, available from Kantronics. But they will give you the latest and
greatest version which is 8.3, which does have GPS/APRS support.

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme> wrote in message
news:20030715095341.12087.00000475@mb-m13.aol.com...
> >If your Kantronics is V6 or higher, read the manual about connecting a
GPS to
> >it. It will 'share' a port on the TNC.
>
> Looking at the manual, it says it's version 5.0. When I use the "version"
> command, I get this:
>
> KPC3-54CF3230-5.1
>
> I am guessing this is version 5.1? Is there a firmware update to bring it
to
> 6.0?
> =-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
> 73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
> Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
> http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
> Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net
> National "Do Not Call" Registry: http://donotcall.gov

925. Re: What's the deal with WIDEN-n?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-17 06:07:20 UTC
From: Cap Pennell <ke6afe@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <bf5eeq01qht@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 3522

One way to find your best first digi for a home station is to look at the APRS map. Look for the nearest digi "star" icon. In your area, a path of W6CX-3,WIDE or W6CX-3,WIDE1-1 would be better than starting with RELAY. You are correct that home stations should not use RELAY because it usually causes more dupes than are required.
Finding the closest WIDEn-N digi to name in a home station's path isn't automatic, but if you look at the map and watch the packets scroll by on your terminal screen for a while...
Hope this helps.
73, Cap KE6AFE

Jack wrote:
I have another question for you, Cap, which is slightly related to a message you sent to a mailing list but which also probably interests people outside that list. I would like to change my fixed home station's routing from RELAY,WIDE (which is bad but works) to specific stations. What is the best way to identify a good path? I'm hoping for something more sophisticated than "listen for stations that identify themselves as WIDE and that you can hear directly", but if that's the right answer, that's good to know.

Jack.
- --
Jack Twilley
jmt at twilley dot org

926. Re: Digipan 1.7?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-17 19:32:33 UTC
From: "Nosko S." <sorry.nospam@here.com>
Message-ID: <bf6tm2$8ed$1@localhost.localdomain>
Source record: 3524

Granted. After reading the web site I did say "What the HAIL is he talkn' about", but then, we do like to dramatize, or be cute or clever when we talk/write, don't we.

On the other hand, After reading the OP I said to myself "What is it that makes people post critical comments out to the world instead of just asking the originator what was meant?" Seems like the latter would take less ink and solve the original problem. If a friend/brother/mother says something you don't understand, is the accepted response to go to the neighbor's and do some speculation about what was meant?

Besides, I think DigiPan is a pretty neat piece of work (do we even know what a Fourier transform is - much less how to program one) that these guys are providing the Ham community, free of charge and somebody is griping that the guy isn't an accomplished author. Sheesh! Lighten up folks and enjoy the fruits of their labour... or write your own.

So there... the pipe & smoke it thing.

--
'guards
.Steve K
. ga 9
. rb D
. ag C
. e I

Let's see who picks on the spelling or grammar...
charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:uGwPa.102$6i5.77653107@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Nosko S." <sorry.nospam@here.com> wrote in message
> news:bei28e$b9$1@localhost.localdomain...
> > Ah! We sure do like to speculate, don't we. It's easy to ask. The
email
> > address is right on their web page.
>
> On the other hand, a competent web-site should be able to stand on its
own.
> You shouldn't have to E-mail the web-master, asking what the heck the
> web-site is supposed to be about.
>
> Charles, N5PVL
>
>
>

927. Re: Question about GPS and APRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-17 19:39:05 UTC
From: "Nosko S." <sorry.nospam@here.com>
Message-ID: <bf6u29$8fg$1@localhost.localdomain>
Source record: 3523

Dana,
I don't need to know, but what's the bug just for my information?

--
'guards
.Steve K
. ga 9
. rb D
. ag C
. e I

Dana Myers K6JQ <k6jq@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:b3f151ff6862aaf6695149b420392de1@free.teranews.com...
>... Just remember it's not suitable for
> ordinary connected-mode packet cause of a blatant bug, but it's
> a fine APRS radio.
>
> Dana K6JQ
>

928. Re: Question about GPS and APRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-17 20:46:14 UTC
From: Dana Myers K6JQ <k6jq@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <cef974fde00cbcc5918e9077e853af29@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 3525

Nosko S. wrote:
> Dana,
> I don't need to know, but what's the bug just for my information?

http://groups.google.com/groups?hl=en&lr=&ie=UTF-8&oe=UTF-8&safe=off&threadm=3B5DAFB1.5080200%40dioxine.com&rnum=1&prev=/groups%3Fq%3Ddana%2Bk6jq%2Bkenwood%2Bd700a%2Bbug%26hl%3Den%26lr%3D%26ie%3DUTF-8%26oe%3DUTF-8%26safe%3Doff%26selm%3D3B5DAFB1.5080200%2540dioxine.com%26rnum%3D1

Basically, the D700A TNC never sends an ack to an I-frame unless
the I-frame has the Poll bit set. What this means is that the
vast majority of other TNCs (as far as I can tell) will consistently
send MAXFRAME number of frames to the D700, then time-out waiting
for an ack, re-send the frames and set the Poll bit. It positively
kills performance when using the D700 in connected mode to most
BBSes and such.

The problem does not appear when talkign to other D7/D700s, since
the D700 *always* sets the Poll bit on every frame and does not
implement a deferred ack timer at all. This is less than optimal
as well.

Of course, if you run in KISS mode and use a host-implemented
AX.25 stack, this problem is avoided.

Since the D700 is really focused on APRS which is implemented
using UI frames (datagrams), it's apparently not a critical issue.

> Dana Myers K6JQ <k6jq@arrl.net> wrote in message
> news:b3f151ff6862aaf6695149b420392de1@free.teranews.com...
>
>>... Just remember it's not suitable for
>>ordinary connected-mode packet cause of a blatant bug, but it's
>>a fine APRS radio.
>>
>>Dana K6JQ
>>
>
>
>

929. Question about the TM-D700A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-17 21:53:13 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030717175313.02417.00000081@mb-m21.aol.com>
Source record: 3526

With the TM-D700A, can you talk on one side and have APRS up and running on the other side?

=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-

73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net
National "Do Not Call" Registry: http://donotcall.gov

930. Re: Question about the TM-D700A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-17 22:16:43 UTC
From: Dana Myers K6JQ <k6jq@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <6cc839801948c0286b525031843b1e93@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 3527

VHFRadioBuff wrote:
> With the TM-D700A, can you talk on one side and have APRS up and running on the
> other side?

Yes.

It's quite capable, and I'm trying to remember all the things it
can do. I've certainly used the ability to run packet on one side
and talk on the other several times and liked it a lot.

The manuals are available from Kenwood, look at:

http://www.kenwood.net/indexKenwood.cfm?do=SupportFileCategory&FileCatID=5

You'll want both the Instruction Manual *and* the Specialized Manual
(which covers all the digital features).

It's quite a versatile radio and is effectively much more than
a TNC and a radio in one box. The APRS display and the ability
to send messages using the microphone keypad (much like SMS
on a phone) are good.

Dana

931. LinWSJT - problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-18 09:25:05 UTC
From: Bob <bcnntp@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <ide8fb-oa.ln@p400bob.lse.com.au>
Source record: 3528

Anyone built Jonathons latest on a SuSE 8.1 box?

I got it going after a fashion by doing the following. Note that JT44 dies after a minute or so. FSK441 looks okay but havent seen any sigs yet!

- Dont install the wxGTK packages from the SuSE distrib. Download the latest source wxGTK-2.4.1.tar.gz and build it per the INSTALL info in the LinWSJT package.
- libsndfile is not installed in the SuSE default. It is however on the distrib CD/DVD. I also installed the develop package but dont know if it was needed.
- The FFT libraries are named differently under SuSE. I modified the Makefile in the LinWSJT root changing the export LIBS reference from -lfftw to -ldfftw
- Building takes a while. My P400 took about 40 minutes to do wxGTK and another 30 to do LinWSJT
- Note that I ran the configure/make etc processes as a normal user and then su root to do install and ldconfig. I mention this because the root user doesnt get the path to the /usr/local area. Before doing make install add the /usr/local/bin temporarily to roots path so it can find wx-config.

I suspect the JT44 dying is a problem with fft libs. There is a comment on the fft source sight that says that the norm now is to prefix the single precision libraries with an "s" but leave the double precision w/out a prefix. The older method (and what is set up in SuSE 8.1) is to use both an "s" and "d" prefix and not have an prefixless library at all. Having said that I should point out that their is a prefixless fft header file in /lib/include that symlinks to the single precision library!

Am going to spend some time this weekend looking for signals to resolve. After that I'll create some symlinks for the prefixless libraries and change the header file around before trying a WSJT build again...

Cheers Bob VK2YQA

932. Re: LinWSJT - problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-18 12:29:13 UTC
From: "S. Sampson" <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <tKRRa.56423$o86.21193@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 3529

"Bob" <bcnntp@optusnet.com.au> wrote
>
> Anyone built Jonathons latest on a SuSE 8.1 box?
>
> I got it going after a fashion by doing the following. Note that JT44 dies
> after a minute or so. FSK441 looks okay but havent seen any sigs yet!

That's not good.

> - Dont install the wxGTK packages from the SuSE distrib. Download the latest
> source wxGTK-2.4.1.tar.gz and build it per the INSTALL info in the LinWSJT
> package.

Sounds limp already.

933. 6 meter packet beacon on the air

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-18 17:57:57 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030718135757.02982.00000108@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 3530

Hello all. There's a band opening right now, so I figured I'd fire up the TNC. I'm on 50.620FM running a packet beacon. It'll be mostly unattended as I have some other things to do, but please give it a listen if you have a TNC and send me a message.

A packet is sent out once per minute, so please tune to the freq and wait at least 1 minute before giving up. I an running an FT-100D at 50 watts with an MFJ "double zep" antenna vertically polarized at 20 feet.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net
National "Do Not Call" Registry: http://donotcall.gov

934. My MHeard list for 07/18/03 50.620 FM 1200 Baud Packe from EM89ag

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-18 21:46:15 UTC
From: N8XYN <n8xyn@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <HUZRa.61572$0v4.4113870@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 3531
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.packet,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

```
18-Jul-03 14:25:22 W5DI-6
18-Jul-03 14:21:38 KB5RYP-6
18-Jul-03 14:08:20 W5AUU-6 N/R
18-Jul-03 00:18:52 KD4EVB-6

Not much but at least not empty!

de N8XYN
Jim
```

935. Amateur Radio Legal Issues List

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-19 03:32:51 UTC
From: n0jaa@aol.compost
Message-ID: <20030718233251.21580.00000152@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 3532

Fellow Amateur Radio Operators,

I have created a new list that deals specifically with legal issues pertaining to our hobby, the Amateur Radio Legal Issues List. The list is for the dissemination and discussion of legal issues (such as PRB-1 and pending legislation in Congress) and other related issues (court battles, etc.) that can affect our hobby.

If you are interested in such a list, please send a blank email to ARLI-subscribe@topica.com.

73's,
Paul Gray, N0JAA
Moderator, Amateur Radio Legal Issues List

"We have captured lightning and used it to teach sand how to think."

936. Re: Amateur Radio Legal Issues List

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-19 16:31:49 UTC
From: "Dr. Anton Squeegee" <SpammersAreVermin@dev.null>
Message-ID: <MPG.19831254cc53be90989964@192.168.42.131>
Source record: 3533

In article <20030718233251.21580.00000152@mb-m07.aol.com>, Amateur Radio Station N0JAA says...

<snip>

> If you are interested in such a list, please send a blank email to
> ARLI-subscribe@topica.com.

Ummmm.... That's a great idea, but couldn't you have picked a list host that doesn't have a reputation as a spam supporter? I block traffic from the entire Topica domain at our servers for good reason.

Yahoo isn't much better... Could ARRL help, perhaps?

Good luck in any case.

--
Dr. Anton Squeegee, Director, Dutch Surrealist Plumbing Institute
(Known to some as Bruce Lane, KC7GR)
kyrrin a/t bluefeathertech d-o=t c&o&m
"Quando Omni Flunkus Moritati" (Red Green)

937. PK232 TNC Wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-19 19:26:27 UTC
From: Carver Washburn <clwashburn@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3F199B9E.7070103@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 3534

I am looking for a basic Timewave PK232 Terminal Node Control (TNC) to support AMTOR ARQ, AMTOR FEC, Pactor ARQa and Pactor FEC. Unit should be tested to know it is in working order. Also need manual.

Carver Washburn, W2TFM
clwashburn@worldnet.att.net

938. Kenwood & Yaesu lists

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-22 03:43:25 UTC
From: gswiebe@mb.sympatico.ca
Message-ID: <3f1caf8a.130410812@news.mts.net>
Source record: 3535

Hello all:

I have been subscribed to the subject lists for a number of years though I rarely post messages. I did post one to the Kenwood list several weeks ago. A week later I send another one but it was not posted. I received a message several days later saying I was not subscribed. Note that I receive posted messages from list members daily. I unsubscribed & subscribed, send a message - same results. I sent a message to Larry Wilson describing my problems. He suggested that: "Probably you are subscribed under a different address, perhaps an alias." Not so, I use only one address for all my emails including the list. I send a message to the Yaesu list with the same results. The reply: ""Your attempt to post was rejected because you are not subscribed to this list (You can't post unless you are subscribed)." Can anyone help me?

73 de Glenn...VE4GN

939. Guide to the rec.radio Newsgroups

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-22 18:12:17 UTC
From: No Cal Ham <"No Cal Ham"@nowhere.net>
Message-ID: <58fTa.8514$ff.1829@fed1read01>
Source record: 3536

http://faqs.jmas.co.jp/FAQs/radio/personal-intro

940. Re: Kenwood & Yaesu lists

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-22 18:39:27 UTC
From: 6212hgk{invert}@newsguy.com
Message-ID: <3f1d84bb.12531875@News.CIS.DFN.DE>
Source record: 3537

Go to groups.yahoo.com and register there.
Then go to groups.yahoo.com/group/yaesuvx5 and join it.

On Tue, 22 Jul 2003 03:43:25 GMT, gswiebe@mb.sympatico.ca (Glenn) wrote:

>Hello all:
>
>I have been subscribed to the subject lists for a number of years
>though I rarely post messages. I did post one to the Kenwood list
>several weeks ago. A week later I send another one but it was not
>posted. I received a message several days later saying I was not
>subscribed. Note that I receive posted messages from list members
>daily. I unsubscribed & subscribed, send a message - same results. I
>sent a message to Larry Wilson describing my problems. He suggested
>that: "Probably you are subscribed under a different address, perhaps
>an alias." Not so, I use only one address for all my emails including
>the list. I send a message to the Yaesu list with the same results.
>The reply: ""Your attempt to post was rejected because you are not
>subscribed to this list (You can't post unless you are subscribed)."
>Can anyone help me?
>
>73 de Glenn...VE4GN

--
Nobody but a fool goes into a federal counterrorism operation without duct tape - Richard Preston, THE COBRA EVENT.

941. Graphics Packet for Linux

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-25 12:15:26 UTC
From: Krzysztof Piecuch <kpiecuch@optic.com.pl>
Message-ID: <3F211F5E.5FA4A28E@optic.com.pl>
Source record: 3538
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,aus.radio.amateur.digital

Hello!!
I am looking for "Graphics Packet for Linux" application.
I have link ftp://147.229.35.11/pub/hamradio/Xpr/ but
unfortunately it is invalid. I will be greateful for
information where can I find this application.

Regards
--
SP9RQA

942. Re: Kenwood & Yaesu lists

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-25 15:27:44 UTC
From: gswiebe@mb.sympatico.ca
Message-ID: <3f214c1c.1042281@news.mts.net>
Source record: 3539

Thanks for the tip, John. While I have no interest in the VX5 I did subscribe to several other groups.

Glenn

On Tue, 22 Jul 2003 18:39:27 GMT, 6212hgk{invert}@newsguy.com (John Bartley K7AAY (ex-KGH2126)) wrote:

>Go to groups.yahoo.com and register there.
>Then go to groups.yahoo.com/group/yaesuvx5 and join it.
>

943. Re: Graphics Packet for Linux

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-25 20:12:57 UTC
From: Keith <kilowattradio_spam@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <bfs34d0aht@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 3540
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,aus.radio.amateur.digital

On Fri, 25 Jul 2003 14:15:26 +0200, Krzysztof Piecuch <kpiecuch@optic.com.pl>
wrote:

> Graphics Packet for Linux

http://members.fortunecity.com/xe1bef/linuxsoftware.htm
http://slow.ksi.vslib.cz/cgi-bin/slow/main.pl?ver=4&inf=355
http://www.qsl.net/g7xeo/G7EXOO%20Packet%20Radio.html

--
The Radio Page Ham, Police Scanner, Shortwave and more.
http://www.kilowatt-radio.org/

944. Hinternet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-26 16:25:10 UTC
From: Dan Martin <danmar9@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <GXxUa.120872$Io.10295589@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 3541

Anyone accessing the internet using 802.11b 2.4 Ghz gear and FCC Amateur Radio Part 97 ?

Dan, AD4PV

945. Re: Hinternet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-26 16:36:45 UTC
From: "S. Sampson" <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <x6yUa.114718$o86.6084@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 3542

"Dan Martin" <danmar9@earthlink.net> wrote
>
> Anyone accessing the internet using 802.11b 2.4 Ghz gear and FCC Amateur
> Radio Part 97 ?

What is it you want to do?

946. Re: Hinternet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-26 21:24:56 UTC
From: Dan Martin <danmar9@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <IkCUa.23160$Mc.1769537@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 3543

+++ I would like to share internet broadband with other local hams using Part 97 power and antenna advantages, as long as it doesn't violate Part 97 regs.

"S. Sampson" <nospam@cox.net> wrote in message news:x6yUa.114718$o86.6084@news1.central.cox.net...
> "Dan Martin" <danmar9@earthlink.net> wrote
> >
> > Anyone accessing the internet using 802.11b 2.4 Ghz gear and FCC Amateur
> > Radio Part 97 ?
>
> What is it you want to do?
>
>

947. Re: Hinternet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-27 02:15:01 UTC
From: "S. Sampson" <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <FAGUa.119602$o86.25477@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 3544

Most Hams wouldn't do this because of the content restrictions
on the bands. As long as you are willing to filter the data, then
this is really no big deal.

Are your local Hams too poor to buy their own Internet connection?

You would probably be in violation of your ISP by doing this. Unless
you have a commercial connection, they usually don't allow you to
share (sub-let) your connection. They would probably terminate your
contract.

"Dan Martin" <danmar9@earthlink.net> wrote
>
> +++ I would like to share internet broadband with other local hams using
> Part 97 power and antenna advantages, as long as it doesn't violate Part 97
> regs.
>
>
> "S. Sampson" <nospam@cox.net> wrote in message
> > "Dan Martin" <danmar9@earthlink.net> wrote
> > >
> > > Anyone accessing the internet using 802.11b 2.4 Ghz gear and FCC Amateur
> > > Radio Part 97 ?
> >
> > What is it you want to do?

948. Re: Hinternet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-27 02:34:38 UTC
From: Dana Myers K6JQ <k6jq@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <5bf88f9794ebaca5138c2e60c30fdc76@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 3545

Dan Martin wrote:
> +++ I would like to share internet broadband with other local hams using
> Part 97 power and antenna advantages, as long as it doesn't violate Part 97
> regs.

What exactly are the Part 97 advantages?

I'd strongly starting with WiFi under Part 15 limitations,
carefully reading the rules. You might find there aren't
any Part 97 advantages in your case, only disadvantages,
and Part 15 is just fine. Make sure your internet provider
permits you to redistribute access in the TOS.

I'm speaking from experience here.

Dana K6JQ

949. Re: Hinternet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-27 03:55:29 UTC
From: Dana Myers K6JQ <k6jq@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <3ec46baac753ebd99810efc494776218@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 3546

I previously wrote:

> I'd strongly starting with WiFi under Part 15 limitations,

Of course, I meant, I'd strongly *suggest* start with WiFi under Part 15...

Dana

950. Re: Hinternet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-27 04:09:23 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <TfIUa.73249$3o3.4925918@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 3547

Not a problem as long as everyone sticks to
the part 97 content requirements. Of course
if you do stick to those requirements, you will
probably not need the internet access part,
but just the Ham Radio links.

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

"Dan Martin" <danmar9@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:IkCUa.23160$Mc.1769537@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> +++ I would like to share internet broadband with other local hams using
> Part 97 power and antenna advantages, as long as it doesn't violate Part 97
> regs.
>
>
> "S. Sampson" <nospam@cox.net> wrote in message
> news:x6yUa.114718$o86.6084@news1.central.cox.net...
> > "Dan Martin" <danmar9@earthlink.net> wrote
> > >
> > > Anyone accessing the internet using 802.11b 2.4 Ghz gear and FCC Amateur
> > > Radio Part 97 ?
> >
> > What is it you want to do?
> >
> >
>
>

951. How do I find a packet station in my area?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-27 23:54:50 UTC
From: clutch@lycos.com
Message-ID: <vi8pjp8fr3bpa3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 3548

I'd like to try 2m packet. But how do I find a station that is doing
it? Is there a standard set of freqs to monitor?

I'm in northern michigan.

Thanks,

Wes, KC8SPR
--
Reply to:
Whiskey Echo Sierra Sierra AT Gee Tee EYE EYE dot COM
Lycos address is a spam trap.

952. Re: How do I find a packet station in my area?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-28 01:34:10 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <m4%Ua.77157$0v4.5086899@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 3549

Google.
Try "packet radio michigan".
Many web pages with info on packet radio in Michigan.
Listen on 144.91 - 145.09 ten KHz steps.

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

<clutch@lycos.com> wrote in message news:vi8pjp8fr3bpa3@corp.supernews.com...
> I'd like to try 2m packet. But how do I find a station that is doing
> it? Is there a standard set of freqs to monitor?
>
> I'm in northern michigan.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Wes, KC8SPR
> --
> Reply to:
> Whiskey Echo Sierra Sierra AT Gee Tee EYE EYE dot COM
> Lycos address is a spam trap.

953. APRS Linked Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-28 03:01:56 UTC
From: Dick <rhughes33@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <le49ivsi13heic4e7b0s2dgdh2oodmdori@4ax.com>
Source record: 3550

How can I find a list of 2-meter repeaters that link to the APRS network? I looked in the ARRL repeater guide, but didn't see any reference to APRS.

Dick

954. Re: APRS Linked Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-28 03:13:22 UTC
From: Elmer E Ing <EEI@tutor.com>
Message-ID: <nx0Va.12073$ff.1432@fed1read01>
Source record: 3452

Info at URL:
http://findu.com/tech.html

"Dana Myers K6JQ" <k6jq@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:c2a4597e8d6acff029ef05cc45b45e12@free.teranews.com...
>
>
> Dick wrote:
> > How can I find a list of 2-meter repeaters that link to the APRS
> > network? I looked in the ARRL repeater guide, but didn't see any
> > reference to APRS.
>
> I'm curious what this means. Do you mean APRS stations that
> link to each other?
>
> Dana K6JQ
>

955. Re: APRS Linked Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-28 03:26:52 UTC
From: Dana Myers K6JQ <k6jq@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <c2a4597e8d6acff029ef05cc45b45e12@free.teranews.com>
Source record: 3451

Dick wrote:
> How can I find a list of 2-meter repeaters that link to the APRS
> network? I looked in the ARRL repeater guide, but didn't see any
> reference to APRS.

I'm curious what this means. Do you mean APRS stations that
link to each other?

Dana K6JQ

956. Re: APRS Linked Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-28 04:02:58 UTC
From: Dick <rhughes33@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <3s79ivoul5b1cnkvukajojk591idt6tf3j@4ax.com>
Source record: 3453

I am just starting to explore the world of APRS, so I am feeling my way along here. As I understand from reading the manuals for the TinyTrak and MIC-E tnc's, there are repeaters that can extract the APRS tone burst when making a voice contact on a normal repeater and rebroadcast it into the APRS system. I assume it would be like a digipeater on 144.39.

Dick - W6CCD

On Mon, 28 Jul 2003 03:26:52 GMT, Dana Myers K6JQ <k6jq@arrl.net> wrote:

>
>
>Dick wrote:
>> How can I find a list of 2-meter repeaters that link to the APRS
>> network? I looked in the ARRL repeater guide, but didn't see any
>> reference to APRS.
>
>I'm curious what this means. Do you mean APRS stations that
>link to each other?
>
>Dana K6JQ

957. Re: APRS Linked Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-28 04:16:30 UTC
From: Dick <rhughes33@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <7p89iv8c1pshsgiig0dnl3cjv2nm8niaq4@4ax.com>
Source record: 3454

Findu only finds APRS stations transmitting on the system. You can find me on there. I am looking for voice repeaters that cross-link into the APRS digipeater system.

Dick - W6CCD

On Sun, 27 Jul 2003 20:13:22 -0700, "Elmer E Ing" <EEI@tutor.com> wrote:

>Info at URL:
>http://findu.com/tech.html
>
>
>
>
>"Dana Myers K6JQ" <k6jq@arrl.net> wrote in message
>news:c2a4597e8d6acff029ef05cc45b45e12@free.teranews.com...
>>
>>
>> Dick wrote:
>> > How can I find a list of 2-meter repeaters that link to the APRS
>> > network? I looked in the ARRL repeater guide, but didn't see any
>> > reference to APRS.
>>
>> I'm curious what this means. Do you mean APRS stations that
>> link to each other?
>>
>> Dana K6JQ
>>
>

958. Re: APRS Linked Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-28 05:13:20 UTC
From: "Dr. Anton Squeegee" <SpammersAreVermin@dev.null>
Message-ID: <MPG.198e50c82facb13e989983@192.168.42.131>
Source record: 3455

In article <le49ivsi13heic4e7b0s2dgdh2oodmdori@4ax.com>, Dick says...

> How can I find a list of 2-meter repeaters that link to the APRS
> network? I looked in the ARRL repeater guide, but didn't see any
> reference to APRS.

If you had seen any, it'd be a good trick, considering that no
repeater I've ever heard of links to APRS.

Now, if you're talking Echolink, that's a different game entirely.

http://www.king.igs.net/~karc/modes/echolink.htm

Good hunting.

--
Dr. Anton Squeegee, Director, Dutch Surrealist Plumbing Institute
(Known to some as Bruce Lane, KC7GR)
kyrrin a/t bluefeathertech d-o=t c&o&m
"Quando Omni Flunkus Moritati" (Red Green)

959. Re: APRS Linked Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-28 05:15:40 UTC
From: "Dr. Anton Squeegee" <SpammersAreVermin@dev.null>
Message-ID: <MPG.198e51575dd62fd5989984@192.168.42.131>
Source record: 3456

In article <3s79ivoul5b1cnkvukajojk591idt6tf3j@4ax.com>, Dick says...

> I am just starting to explore the world of APRS, so I am feeling my
> way along here. As I understand from reading the manuals for the
> TinyTrak and MIC-E tnc's, there are repeaters that can extract the
> APRS tone burst when making a voice contact on a normal repeater and
> rebroadcast it into the APRS system. I assume it would be like a
> digipeater on 144.39.

The capability to do so may exist, but it's another question
entirely as to whether anyone has actually taken advantage of it.

My understanding of APRS is that it is simplex-only, with a
nationwide frequency of 144.3900. No voice repeaters are involved. It's
all data.

If this has changed, I would be as curious as you as to what
happened, and when.

73 de KC7GR

--
Dr. Anton Squeegee, Director, Dutch Surrealist Plumbing Institute
(Known to some as Bruce Lane, KC7GR)
kyrrin a/t bluefeathertech d-o=t c&o&m
"Quando Omni Flunkus Moritati" (Red Green)

960. Re: Graphics Packet for Linux

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-28 06:28:56 UTC
From: Krzysztof Piecuch <kpiecuch@optic.com.pl>
Message-ID: <3F24C2A8.73B06D60@optic.com.pl>
Source record: 3457
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,aus.radio.amateur.digital

Keith napisaï¿½(a):

> > Graphics Packet for Linux
>
> http://members.fortunecity.com/xe1bef/linuxsoftware.htm
> http://slow.ksi.vslib.cz/cgi-bin/slow/main.pl?ver=4&inf=355
> http://www.qsl.net/g7xeo/G7EXOO%20Packet%20Radio.html

Unfortunately all those addresses use
ftp://147.229.35.11/pub/hamradio/Xpr/
link and it is invalid. I am still
looking for this application. I will
be greatful for good addresses or if
somebody will send this program to me.

961. Re: APRS Linked Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-28 07:38:00 UTC
From: Roger Barker <roger@peaksys.co.uk>
Message-ID: <zAL$uWAYLNJ$Ew6k@peaksys.co.uk>
Source record: 3458

In article <3s79ivoul5b1cnkvukajojk591idt6tf3j@4ax.com>, Dick
<rhughes33@cableone.net> writes
>I am just starting to explore the world of APRS, so I am feeling my
>way along here. As I understand from reading the manuals for the
>TinyTrak and MIC-E tnc's, there are repeaters that can extract the
>APRS tone burst when making a voice contact on a normal repeater and
>rebroadcast it into the APRS system. I assume it would be like a
>digipeater on 144.39.

What you are talking about is described in MIC-E.TXT, which is one of
the documents distributed by WB4APR with his DOS APRS package. I think
it was originally written about 10 years ago.

From the comments being made, it sounds like you folks over there never
implemented the idea?

--
Roger Barker, G4IDE - roger@peaksys.co.uk
For UI-View go to - http://www.UI-View.com
For WinPack go to - http://www.peaksys.co.uk

962. Re: Graphics Packet for Linux

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-28 12:07:19 UTC
From: "S. Sampson" <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <Xl8Va.139465$o86.9106@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 3459
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,aus.radio.amateur.digital

Okay, so I went to:

http://radio.linux.org.au/

and looked-up XPR:

ftp://ftp.qsl.net/pub/ok2pid/xpr-0.42.tgz

Do I win a cookie?

963. Re: APRS Linked Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-28 13:34:03 UTC
From: Dick <rhughes33@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <pf9aivgljs5rlds4bop2i937lhn51r6gmo@4ax.com>
Source record: 3460

The TAPR manual I have was revised in May 1997. I am going to pursue
this out of curiosity more than anything else.

Dick - W6CCD

On Mon, 28 Jul 2003 08:38:00 +0100, Roger Barker <roger@peaksys.co.uk>
wrote:

>In article <3s79ivoul5b1cnkvukajojk591idt6tf3j@4ax.com>, Dick
><rhughes33@cableone.net> writes
>>I am just starting to explore the world of APRS, so I am feeling my
>>way along here. As I understand from reading the manuals for the
>>TinyTrak and MIC-E tnc's, there are repeaters that can extract the
>>APRS tone burst when making a voice contact on a normal repeater and
>>rebroadcast it into the APRS system. I assume it would be like a
>>digipeater on 144.39.
>
>What you are talking about is described in MIC-E.TXT, which is one of
>the documents distributed by WB4APR with his DOS APRS package. I think
>it was originally written about 10 years ago.
>
>From the comments being made, it sounds like you folks over there never
>implemented the idea?

964. Re: Hinternet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-28 22:24:14 UTC
From: 6212hgk{invert}@newsguy.com
Message-ID: <3f25a271.25568437@news.individual.de>
Source record: 3461

Why not just go legal and _sell_ the service to non-ham subscribers?

On Sat, 26 Jul 2003 21:24:56 GMT, "Dan Martin" <danmar9@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>+++ I would like to share internet broadband with other local hams using
>Part 97 power and antenna advantages, as long as it doesn't violate Part 97
>regs.
>
>
>"S. Sampson" <nospam@cox.net> wrote in message
>news:x6yUa.114718$o86.6084@news1.central.cox.net...
>> "Dan Martin" <danmar9@earthlink.net> wrote
>> >
>> > Anyone accessing the internet using 802.11b 2.4 Ghz gear and FCC Amateur
>> > Radio Part 97 ?
>>
>> What is it you want to do?
>>
>>
>
>

--
Nobody but a fool goes into a federal counterrorism operation without duct tape - Richard
Preston, THE COBRA EVENT.

965. Kenwood TS570, PSK31, PTT and the ACC2 port.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-29 18:03:00 UTC
From: sspiroff@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3c4d06a9.0307291003.57cfc1c0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3462

After reading Don N2IRZ's great article on PSK31 in the August 2003 issue of CQ magazine I decided to give this digital mode stuff a try. I stuck a headset microphone on top the the speaker of my TS570, rubber-banded a pair of headphones to the hand microphone of the TS570, adjusted the VOX sensitivity, and downloaded the Digipan software. It worked! I was even able to pull off a couple of QSOs.

Next I decided to try and build a "sound card interface" cable as described by Don in the article. Basically this interface connects the line in and line out from the PC's sound card to the Audio Out and Audio In pins on the ACC2 jack of the TS570. I left out the PTT circuitry since I already have an RS232 cable between the rig and the PC, assuming I could just use that PTT circuit. Receiving works great, other than some noise coming in on the line, the signal is getting decoded and displayed properly. Transmit, however, is not working. When I switch to TX mode in the software the rig is keying up, and switching to TX mode, although the audio does not seem to be making it. Basically it's tramsmitting an un-modulated signal. I did notice that the mic is open though, as when I tap on it the ALC and power meters move. A quick check with a multimeter shows that something is getting through the interface and to the correct oin on the ACC2 connector.

So, finally, my question. Do I need to use the PTT line on the ACC2 port to get the TS570 to "hear" the audio coming in on the ACC2 connector, or should the PTT on the serial cable work? I guess the simple answer to my question is "build the PTT circuit and find out!", eh?

Cheers,
Steven K4RVA

966. Re: Kenwood TS570, PSK31, PTT and the ACC2 port.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-30 12:05:39 UTC
From: k4rs <k4rs_NOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <qdcfivc68vtsls3kffo8fej8sern4s2p8t@4ax.com>
Source record: 3463

On 29 Jul 2003 11:03:00 -0700, sspiroff@yahoo.com (Steven Spiroff)
wrote:

<snip>

>Next I decided to try and build a "sound card interface" cable as
>described by Don in the article. Basically this interface connects the
>line in and line out from the PC's sound card to the Audio Out and
>Audio In pins on the ACC2 jack of the TS570. I left out the PTT
>circuitry since I already have an RS232 cable between the rig and the
>PC, assuming I could just use that PTT circuit. Receiving works great,
>other than some noise coming in on the line, the signal is getting
>decoded and displayed properly. Transmit, however, is not working.
>When I switch to TX mode in the software the rig is keying up, and
>switching to TX mode, although the audio does not seem to be making
>it. Basically it's tramsmitting an un-modulated signal. I did notice
>that the mic is open though, as when I tap on it the ALC and power
>meters move. A quick check with a multimeter shows that something is
>getting through the interface and to the correct oin on the ACC2
>connector.
>
>So, finally, my question. Do I need to use the PTT line on the ACC2
>port to get the TS570 to "hear" the audio coming in on the ACC2
>connector, or should the PTT on the serial cable work? I guess the
>simple answer to my question is "build the PTT circuit and find out!",
>eh?
>
>Cheers,
>Steven K4RVA

I ran into the same problem. My interface controls the keying circuit
via the serial port. Connection to the TS-570 is via the 13 pin DIN
connector. I found that with MixW I could also key the rig using CAT
commands. After I disconnected the PTT line from the serial port and
hooked up the CAT cable, I found the radio would only transmit an
unmodulated signal. The solution in my case was to reconnect the PTT
circuit and add another serial port for the CAT.

73 de Roger, K4RS

967. software for aea pk232

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-30 17:05:26 UTC
From: nicknlp@aol.com
Message-ID: <62560280.0307300905.517ffff4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3464

hi,

im looking for software to run an aeapk232 on windows xp
but having problems finding any.

could anyone please help

thanks
nick

968. Re: software for aea pk232

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-30 18:23:47 UTC
From: Elmer E Ing <EEI@muddled.com>
Message-ID: <T2UVa.16155$ff.10858@fed1read01>
Source record: 3465

Try PKTerm URL:
http://www.cssincorp.com/pkterm/

May be more -- try Google http://www.google.com/

"nick" <nicknlp@aol.com> wrote in message
news:62560280.0307300905.517ffff4@posting.google.com...
> hi,
>
> im looking for software to run an aeapk232 on windows xp
> but having problems finding any.
>
> could anyone please help
>
> thanks
> nick

969. Re: Kenwood TS570, PSK31, PTT and the ACC2 port.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-30 18:34:50 UTC
From: sspiroff@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3c4d06a9.0307301034.6a3f8b1b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3466

> <snip>
>
> >So, finally, my question. Do I need to use the PTT line on the ACC2
> >port to get the TS570 to "hear" the audio coming in on the ACC2
> >connector, or should the PTT on the serial cable work? I guess the
> >simple answer to my question is "build the PTT circuit and find out!",
> >eh?
> >
>
> I ran into the same problem. My interface controls the keying circuit
> via the serial port. Connection to the TS-570 is via the 13 pin DIN
> connector. I found that with MixW I could also key the rig using CAT
> commands. After I disconnected the PTT line from the serial port and
> hooked up the CAT cable, I found the radio would only transmit an
> unmodulated signal. The solution in my case was to reconnect the PTT
> circuit and add another serial port for the CAT.
>
> 73 de Roger, K4RS

Thanks Roger. I was hoping that was the issue, and that I had not messed up something with the interface. I can see about 0-200 mV on the AF IN pin of the ACC2 connector, depending on how I adjust the variable resistor, when I transmit from MixW. Any idea how much signal is "enough" to output a properly modulated signal? I was just going to adjust the input level until the ALC meter showed a slight deflection.

Cheers,
Steven K4RVA

970. Pin connections for KAM & IC-280 Mic connector needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-30 22:58:01 UTC
From: Joe <wd4kav@despammed.com>
Message-ID: <bg9ivi$7q8$1@news.chatlink.com>
Source record: 3467
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.mods,alt.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

Help...

I need the wiring info to connect my KAM to my Icom IC-280. If you can
e-mail me a schematic (at least showing the connections to the 4 pin mic) I
would appreciate it!

Thanks
Joe
wd4kav@despammed.com

--
____
Life is not measured by the number of breaths we take, but by the
moments that take our breath away.

971. Re: software for aea pk232

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-31 00:30:03 UTC
From: Bob <fari1599@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <aeZVa.6487$0z3.3504@fe04.atl2.webusenet.com>
Source record: 3468

Try the best...
http://www.glaswerks.com/xpware/

"nick" <nicknlp@aol.com> wrote in message
news:62560280.0307300905.517ffff4@posting.google.com...
> hi,
>
> im looking for software to run an aeapk232 on windows xp
> but having problems finding any.
>
> could anyone please help
>
> thanks
> nick

972. Help with a KPC-3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-31 13:37:47 UTC
From: "Gab M." <pilotgabriel@cantv.net>
Message-ID: <JM8Wa.495$rJ4.469@fe04.atl2.webusenet.com>
Source record: 3469
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.packet,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

I just got a second hand KPC-3 and I'm trying to connect it to a Kenwood TMV7A using the interface data cable, the PG-5 , I found some connection diagrams over the internet, and I have the manual, but I don't have the starting guide or something, and so I did the connections using the DB9 port but:

-) I see the TX light go red, but monitoring myself I cannot heard the noises product of the TNC.

-) I have never seen the RX green light turn on, I have move the squelch to every position, on and off and I never got the RX light to work, does this light only turn on when the TNC receive a AFSK tone in the transmition? or it suppose to light even when the squelch is of?...

So if any can help me with a good wire diagram from a Dim connector to a DB9 for a Kenwood TMV7A , or maybe tell me about the lights, I will appreciate it.

Also, the TNC seems to be ok, I can access it with the computer in HyperTerminal, also I can access the mail box, but It seems or that I haven't connected it right or that something is wrong with it.

And also if someone knows a freq for a gateway or something to try the TNC and the packet thing since I bought it to experiment and see how this work, I'm located in the Miami-Ft. Lauderdale area.

Thanks,
YY5-ADS

Reply please to : prueba21k@yahoo.com

973. Re: Help with a KPC-3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-07-31 14:52:42 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <tQGdnb9w5Y2FsLSiXTWJhQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 3470
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.packet,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

> -) I see the TX light go red, but monitoring myself I cannot heard the
> noises product of the TNC.

TX audio not connected right, or transmit level adjustment turned all the
way down.
I think you might have to enable the interface on the radio too, but I'm not
sure.

> -) I have never seen the RX green light turn on, I have move the squelch
to
> every position, on and off and I never got the RX light to work, does this
> light only turn on when the TNC receive a AFSK tone in the transmition? or
> it suppose to light even when the squelch is of?...

That only happens when it hears modem carrier.
Try the commands: "Int term" and "cd soft"

> So if any can help me with a good wire diagram from a Dim connector to a
DB9
> for a Kenwood TMV7A , or maybe tell me about the lights, I will appreciate
> it.

http://www.packetradio.com/tnc2rad.htm

> And also if someone knows a freq for a gateway or something to try the TNC
> and the packet thing since I bought it to experiment and see how this
work,
> I'm located in the Miami-Ft. Lauderdale area.

144.39 is the nationwide APRS frequency, that should be active pretty much
anywhere.
I don't work conventional "connected" packet anymore, just APRS.

974. Is a KPC-3 ok for APRS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-01 02:55:36 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030731225536.20295.00000828@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 3471

Hello all. Me again. I'm still looking into the whole APRS thing and I have another question. If I do go with APRS, I think I will be getting a Handspring Visor Prism with the Magellan GPS Companion. Since the GPS will be directly conntected to the palm, I will only need to connect the TNC to the palm. Will the KPC-3 be ok for this? From what I've read, it will work, but I believe that the KPC-3 is only capable of working at 1200 baud. Is this a major deal, not being able to communicate at 9600 baud? Should I just spring for the KPC-3+?
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net
National "Do Not Call" Registry: http://donotcall.gov

975. Newbie: What software do I need to receive Weather fax on my HF Codan-$3500 + laptop computer?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-01 06:26:34 UTC
From: Guy <#aufempen#@dysonNOSPAM.brisnet.org.au>
Message-ID: <3f2a0819@news.comindico.com.au>
Source record: 3472

What software do I need to receive Weather fax on my HF Codan-$3500 + laptop computer.

Please contact me direct.

Guy
Brisbane
Australia

--
To EMAIL me: remove # and NOSPAM in my email address

976. Melbourne

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-01 09:06:29 UTC
From: "Dom Spencer (N e-site)" <domspencer@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <3f2a2d99$0$31922$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 3473

The equipment you need

http://www.all-areas-antennas.com.au/

977. Re: Ham Radio Domain Article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-01 21:34:23 UTC
From: Rob Judd <judd@ob-wan.com>
Message-ID: <3F2ADCDF.5E7A4C5C@ob-wan.com>
Source record: 3475

Fred,

Stop spamming Usenet with commercial matter. Thanks.

Rob

Fred Atkinson wrote:
>
> If you're interested in setting up a ham radio site (under your
> call sign domain), read the article I've put up. It's a [very] budget
> was to have your ham radio page at http://www.yourcall.com and your
> email at rick@yourcall.com.
>
> The article is at http://www.mishmash.com/hamdomain.
>
> If you like it, let me know. If you do it, let me know, too.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Fred, WB4AEJ

978. packet frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-01 21:41:49 UTC
From: ve3elb <vcl@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <E8BWa.926$Ji1.198818@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 3474

hi all. does anyone know the packet frequency (ies) for toronto canada. if anyone knows then can you reply to me here at the news group. thank you

vince ve3elb

979. Re: packet frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-02 00:23:37 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <dwDWa.80633$3o3.5534628@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 3476

Google knows!

http://www.kwarc.org/nodelist.html

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

"ve3elb" <vcl@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:E8BWa.926$Ji1.198818@news20.bellglobal.com...
> hi all. does anyone know the packet frequency (ies) for toronto canada. if
> anyone knows then can you reply to me here at the news group. thank you
>
>
> vince ve3elb
>
>

980. aprsd - igate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-02 20:17:11 UTC
From: John Woodstock <jwoodstock@spamcop.net>
Message-ID: <gMOdnYVqAdPsgbGiU-KYgw@giganews.com>
Source record: 3477
Cross-posted to: mpc.lists.tapr.aprssig,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

Hopefully someone can help me. I just downloaded and compiled the WA4DSY Linux APRS server.

The compile and install went very smooth.

With a few tweaks to the 4 config files, I brought it up.. I pointed the Java Applet at the server and voila, the map started filling up with stations..

On the TNC side, I have the TNC attached, however I have not fired up the radio side yet.. I wanted to make sure the traffic flowed properly before I did that.

After running for a while, I noticed that the igate was not showing on the map. I went to findu and looked under my call sign and -- nothing..

So it seems the inbound is working, but the outbound is not.

I did run the aprspass program to set the password to the value for my callsign.. What am I missing.. I have sent the author an email, but as of yet, no response.

Any thoughts?

Second Question - I'm running this igate because the machine I am running it on is my IRLP node. I was hoping to use the program udp_example and load the position for my IRLP node and follow the IVRS speck for the position record.. That program talks to port 1315.. How would I structure the message to that port to send out my node's position?

Thanks for any pointers..
--

--

John Woodstock / K1DWU
ARRL Life Member / QCWA Life Member
IRLP Node: 8570 & 4143
EchoLink *APRS*/70715 Trustee
EchoLink *LI_NY*/63421 Trustee
EchoLink Node 29982/64066
---
http://www.k1dwu.net/
http://www.hamtrader.com/
http://www.ham-links.com/
http://www.hamnews.com/

---

981. SEA esp520 220 mhz radio's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-03 04:34:47 UTC
From: Bill Frovik n0mnb <n0mnb@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <KKWcnU-9K8OVDbGiU-KYuQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 3478
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.misc,rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.packet,rec.radio.commercial-lmr,rec.radio.swap

hello
i am looking for any info on this radio, like service manuals and programing material, will pay $ ...ASAP

73's de n0mnb

982. Re: Using ham bands for educational / research project

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-03 04:54:02 UTC
From: Bill Frovik n0mnb <n0mnb@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <w9-dnUZjZosRCbGiXTWJkQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 3479
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

go for it. as long as u share your info and results with the ham
community..and it wouldn't hurt to get ur ticket..
73's de n0mnb
"Leo Szumel" <please_use_my_last_name@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:bdvgma$88l$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu...
> Hi,
>
> What we'd like to do is use amateur radio for some university research
> projects. Specifically, we would like to use AR equipment in sensor
> network research. Sensor networks are basically like APRS without people
> at the transmit nodes, and more than just position information is
> reported (maybe temperature, etc). Also, multi-hop relay may be employed.
>
> I've examined Part 97 rules and tried to read as many applicable threads
> as possible. My interpretation is that:
>
> (a) automated transmissions are OK (with caveats)
> (b) AR can be used for educational (non-commercial) purposes
> (c) AR can be used for data transmissions, using encrypted
> authentication, provided the data payload is unencrypted
>
> Part (b) is the most shaky becaues it seems to dependon "reasonable use"
> and other gray terms. Certainly my proposed use is not "hobby" but it
> seems to me to fall into the category of "experimentation" and
> (hopefully) "advancing the field."
>
> What do you think of using AR bands for relaying sensor information for
> research purposes? Would an FCC Special Temporary Authority be
> appropriate/required?
>
> Sincerely,
>
> --
> Leo Szumel | ECE Graduate Student, UC Davis
> Email: my_last_name@ieee.org
>

983. Re: Using ham bands for educational / research project

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-03 14:02:36 UTC
From: "WB3FUP (Mike Hall)" <wb3fup@msn.com>
Message-ID: <bgj4lu$p4gko$1@ID-134747.news.uni-berlin.de>
Source record: 3480
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

I believe that you have to have a properly licensed control operator, at a control point, for any transmission made on amateur radio except in the case of emergency. Emergency is further defined as threat to life and property.

I have, on various boy scout camporees, place a two meter, rock controlled radio, set on 52, in the disaster sight. The boys were on there own, but there was always someone with a stronger radio, close at hand, to monitor, w/o the boys realizing it. Never had a problem, and did some sole searching about definition of control point. Decided that the stretch, if any was worth it, and I was willing to defend my decision if necessary.

--
73 es cul

wb3fup
a Salty Bear

"Bill Frovik n0mnb" <n0mnb@comcast.net> wrote in message news:w9-dnUZjZosRCbGiXTWJkQ@comcast.com...
> go for it. as long as u share your info and results with the ham
> community..and it wouldn't hurt to get ur ticket..
> 73's de n0mnb
> "Leo Szumel" <please_use_my_last_name@ieee.org> wrote in message
> news:bdvgma$88l$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu...
> > Hi,
> >
> > What we'd like to do is use amateur radio for some university research
> > projects. Specifically, we would like to use AR equipment in sensor
> > network research. Sensor networks are basically like APRS without people
> > at the transmit nodes, and more than just position information is
> > reported (maybe temperature, etc). Also, multi-hop relay may be employed.

> >
> > I've examined Part 97 rules and tried to read
as many applicable threads
> > as possible. My interpretation is that:
> >
> > (a) automated transmissions are OK (with
caveats)
> > (b) AR can be used for educational
(non-commercial) purposes
> > (c) AR can be used for data transmissions,
using encrypted
> > authentication, provided the data payload is
unencrypted
> >
> > Part (b) is the most shaky becaues it seems to
dependon "reasonable use"
> > and other gray terms. Certainly my proposed
use is not "hobby" but it
> > seems to me to fall into the category of
"experimentation" and
> > (hopefully) "advancing the field."
> >
> > What do you think of using AR bands for
relaying sensor information for
> > research purposes? Would an FCC Special
Temporary Authority be
> > appropriate/required?
> >
> > Sincerely,
> >
> > --
> > Leo Szumel | ECE Graduate Student, UC Davis
> > Email: my_last_name@ieee.org
> >
>
>

984. Re: Using ham bands for educational / research project

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-03 15:06:31 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.hj1ljhn.pminews@netnews.comcast.net>
Source record: 3481
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 2 Aug 2003 23:54:02 -0500, Bill Frovik n0mnb wrote:

> go for it. as long as u share your info and results with the ham
>community..and it wouldn't hurt to get ur ticket..
>73's de n0mnb

Leo and I kicked this around in private e-mail, and because of lots
of facts that you don't know, the use of amateur radio for this
project is NOT proper.

Gotta' know the whole story before you dispense quasi-legal
advice.....especially when said advice is incorrect.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
Principal Attorney
Communications Law Center
San Francisco, CA

985. Broadband over Powerline

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-04 13:38:19 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <fltXa.78$Ih1.51259@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3482

Howdy!

Last weekend I attended the Austin, Texas Summerfest, a ham get-together and the
ARRL West Gulf Division convention. There were about a thousand hams there, with a great flea-market, and several presentations including one by your truly on packet radio.

The most interesting presentation was the ARRL president's forum, where ARRL president Jim Haynie talked to a large room packed with hundreds of hams. Most of his time was spent talking about the BPL (broadband over powerline) issue, the most serious threat the hobby has ever encountered.

Mr. Haynie showed us a short film taken in New York state, where the broadband over powerline system is being tried out on a test basis. The film was taken by ARRL engineer Ed Hare. It showed Ed driving around the neighborhood where the BPL system was being tried out, with an HF radio in his car. He had an HF antenna on the car of course, for the TS-430 he used for this test.

As Ed drove along, he would slowly turn the dial on the HF rig, across the 20 meter band. At no time could a human voice or digital signal be heard - only one "birdie" of interference after another, all across the band. Ed switched over to 15 meters with the same results. No human voice, no CW, no digital sigs, just "birdies" from the broadband over powerline system that was being tested.

In the film, ARRL engineer Ed Hare was driving down the street, recieving interference from powerlines alongside the road. In your hamshack, the interference will come right into your shack, radiating from all of the wiring in the walls. - A much worse situation than the one Ed was demonstrating for us in the film.

If BPL is implemented nationwide, as is being proposed, then US hams will be wanting to sell their HF equipment to foriegn hams, because the equipment will be useless here in the United States. We will have to kiss HF Amateur Radio goodbye.

Considering the damage BPL would do to Ham Radio and several other services that utilize HF frequencies, with the overwhelming RF pollution it generates, you would think that the whole thing would be shot down in short order. - Unfortunately this is not the case. The federal government is under enormous pressure to make universal broadband Internet access a reality, and BPL is the only system that shows any potential for delivering this in the near future.

What Mr. Haynie of the ARRL was telling us was that Ham Radio in the US is currently in a fight for its life, and it is going to be a very tough fight.

I am sorry to have to report such news, but it needs to get around. This is a serious situation, requiring a serious response.

President Haynie recommended that hams write thier congressmen, and to remember to use the word "pollution" when referring to the interference of BPL, as this term has great weight in washingtons' political circles. Its a word even a congressman can understand, I suppose!

Also: this would be a good time to join the ARRL if you are not currently a member. They have some of the political apparatus needed to fight this battle in Washington, but will need funding. If you are already a member, consider making a donation.

This is a serious issue.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL
Director: USPacket.Net
n5pvl@uspacket.net
http://www.uspacket.net

986. Packets lost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-04 15:50:43 UTC
From: rva@grad.icmc.sc.usp.br
Message-ID: <8cb7ecc9.0308040750.758e5998@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3483

Hi guys, i'm assembled a circuit to transmit positions over radios,
and i'm having a little trouble:

I'm using Linux soundmodem as my packet decoder.

If i connect the sound output directly into the sound card of the
Linux box, all sent packets are perfectly received and decoded.

If i connect the sound output to a Motorola Talkabout, and the
output of the other Talkabout into the PC sound card, i also get all
sent packets decoded correctly.

But....

If i connect the sound output to a Motorola PRO5100 radio and the
output of the other PRO5100 to PC, i get many lost packets.

Sometimes, from 10 sent packets all are received...sometimes
none....there is no logic in it.

Finally, the radio seems to be cutting the signal from time to
time...

any idea?

[]s Rafael.

987. Re: Broadband over Powerline

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-04 21:38:41 UTC
From: "S. Sampson" <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <BnAXa.233909$o86.144795@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 3484

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote
>
> This is a serious issue.

So serious I sold my HF gear before it becomes worthless.

988. Re: Packets lost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-04 21:41:25 UTC
From: "S. Sampson" <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <9qAXa.233918$o86.203774@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 3485

"Rafael V. Aroca" <rva@grad.icmc.sc.usp.br> wrote
>
> any idea?

Check the audio bandpass of the 5100. Maybe it can't handle 2.5 kHz.

989. Re: Packets lost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-05 16:13:10 UTC
From: "Jim, N2VX" <ZZZZjim_cronin@juno.com>
Message-ID: <sglvivs03q85r9ve4f1218hiafavqsfm0g@4ax.com>
Source record: 3486

Haven't done packet in a while but...

A too-short TXDELAY (or the Linux equivalent) will cause problems.

Another poster mentioned bandwidth of the radios. Since you have a PC + soundcard you can do a quick check with a PC-based audio spectrum analyzer program. There are a bunch of them, shareware, freeware, etc. When experimenting with 9k6 packet I plugged an audio signal generator into the input of one radio and sent the output of the second radio to the PC soundcard.

There are also PC-based audio signal generator programs.

Good luck,
Jim

On 4 Aug 2003 08:50:43 -0700, rva@grad.icmc.sc.usp.br (Rafael V. Aroca) wrote:

>Hi guys, i'm assembled a circuit to transmit positions over radios,
>and i'm having a little trouble:
>
> I'm using Linux soundmodem as my packet decoder.
>
> If i connect the sound output directly into the sound card of the
>Linux box, all sent packets are perfectly received and decoded.
>
> If i connect the sound output to a Motorola Talkabout, and the
>output of the other Talkabout into the PC sound card, i also get all
>sent packets decoded correctly.
>
> But....
>
> If i connect the sound output to a Motorola PRO5100 radio and the
>output of the other PRO5100 to PC, i get many lost packets.
>
> Sometimes, from 10 sent packets all are received...sometimes
>none....there is no logic in it.
>
> Finally, the radio seems to be cutting the signal from time to
>time...
>
>
> any idea?
>
>[]s Rafael.

990. Re: SEA esp520 220 mhz radio's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-05 21:30:23 UTC
From: Skipp knows some of the story <usethesonicservertocontactme@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <bgp7lf$3q1$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 3487
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.misc,rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.packet,rec.radio.commercial-lmr,rec.radio.swap

Hi Bill,

The SEA and Midland LMR 220Mhz repeaters & radios are now appearing on the surplus market, pulled from the (now bankrupt) narrow band trunking systems.

Securecor and Intek were large 220 smr operators in the late 90's. They had systems out here on on the US West Coast from Texas to Alaska.

They are hybrid ACSB units, the LMR and SEA formats do not work with the other.

SEA is still on line selling repeaters, however they probably wont do a lot to help you convert the equipment to Amateur Operation.

Two Surplus Dealers are selling used ACSB radios and some repeaters, look for them using the Ebay IDs of erac and rhoadeseller (might be rhodeseller).

I bought much/most of the 220 antenna combiner equipment as it is very high quality (Sinclair, Decibel, Wacom & Telewave) gear, of which I'm slowly parting out to get some of my money back. Watch for my auctions on Ebay if you have interest in this sort of stuff.

You might be able to make some of the radios talk to each other on simplex (direct), then figure a way to move them up onto the amateur band after you get the programming right, but it's not a beginers task.

There are a few 220 smr system operators still in operation around the country, you might do a reverse lookup on the fcc web page and contact those people you can find for information.

cheers
skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

contact me through the sonic server Email icons if you like.

: In rec.radio.swap Bill Frovik n0mnb <n0mnb@comcast.net> wrote:
: hello
: i am looking for any info on this radio, like service manuals and programing
: material, will pay $ ...ASAP

: 73's de n0mnb

991. Re: Broadband over Powerline

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-06 01:14:45 UTC
From: RaOuL <russ@bit-net.com>
Message-ID: <9EYXa.9734$jg.2594579@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 3488

Thanks for bringing this to our attention Charles. However, there are a large number of Amateurs who don't have access to HF frequencies and for us this is a non-issue. I play packet on VHF and up because I don't have a Morse code endorsement. I've tried, but failed the test after countless hours of studying. So I have resigned myself to use the frequencies I have access to, to the best of my ability, and have given up on the HF frequencies that I will never have access to.

Take my advice, give up on HF too. It's a dying allocation with limited usefulness and only accessible by "elite" hams who can pass a test best left to dinosaurs.

I won't miss HF when it's gone, but I will enjoy the high speed internet access when it arrives!

73,
RaOuL

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:fltXa.78$Ih1.51259@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
> Howdy!
>
> Last weekend I attended the Austin, Texas Summerfest, a ham get-together
and
> the
> ARRL West Gulf Division convention. There were about a thousand hams
there,
> with a great flea-market, and several presentations including one by your
> truly on packet radio.
>
> The most interesting presentation was the ARRL president's forum, where
ARRL
> president Jim Haynie talked to a large room packed with hundreds of hams.
> Most of his time was spent talking about the BPL (broadband over
powerline)
> issue, the most serious threat the hobby has ever encountered.
>
> Mr. Haynie showed us a short film taken in New York state, where the
> broadband over powerline system is being tried out on a test basis. The
film
> was taken by ARRL engineer Ed Hare. It showed Ed driving around the
> neighborhood where the BPL system was being tried out, with an HF radio in
> his car. He had an HF antenna on the car of course, for the TS-430 he used
> for this test.
>

> As Ed drove along, he would slowly turn the dial on the HF rig, across the
> 20 meter band. At no time could a human voice or digital signal be heard -
> only one "birdie" of interference after another, all across the band. Ed
> switched over to 15 meters with the same results. No human voice, no CW,
no
> digital sigs, just "birdies" from the broadband over powerline system that
> was being tested.
>
> In the film, ARRL engineer Ed Hare was driving down the street, recieving
> interference from powerlines alongside the road. In your hamshack, the
> interference will come right into your shack, radiating from all of the
> wiring in the walls. - A much worse situation than the one Ed was
> demonstrating for us in the film.
>
> If BPL is implemented nationwide, as is being proposed, then US hams will
be
> wanting to sell their HF equipment to foriegn hams, because the equipment
> will be useless here in the United States. We will have to kiss HF Amateur
> Radio goodbye.
>
> Considering the damage BPL would do to Ham Radio and several other
services
> that utilize HF frequencies, with the overwhelming RF pollution it
> generates, you would think that the whole thing would be shot down in
short
> order. - Unfortunately this is not the case. The federal government is
under
> enormous pressure to make universal broadband Internet access a reality,
and
> BPL is the only system that shows any potential for delivering this in the
> near future.
>
> What Mr. Haynie of the ARRL was telling us was that Ham Radio in the US is
> currently in a fight for its life, and it is going to be a very tough
fight.
>
> I am sorry to have to report such news, but it needs to get around. This
is
> a serious situation, requiring a serious response.
>
> President Haynie recommended that hams write thier congressmen, and to
> remember to use the word "pollution" when referring to the interference of
> BPL, as this term has great weight in washingtons' political circles. Its
a
> word even a congressman can understand, I suppose!
>
> Also: this would be a good time to join the ARRL if you are not currently
a
> member. They have some of the political apparatus needed to fight this
> battle in Washington, but will need funding. If you are already a member,

> consider making a donation.
>
> This is a serious issue.
>
> Charles Brabham, N5PVL
> Director: USPacket.Net
> n5pvl@uspacket.net
> http://www.uspacket.net
>
>

992. Re: Broadband over Powerline

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-06 01:58:53 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <xhZXa.86629$3o3.5977011@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 3489

If you think this won't wipe out most VHF bands you
are mistaken. Weak signal work, particularly on 6M,
will no longer be possible. But heck, you won't care,
you can move to SHF!

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

"RaOuL" <russ@bit-net.com> wrote in message
news:9EYXa.9734$jg.2594579@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> Thanks for bringing this to our attention Charles. However, there are a
> large number of Amateurs who don't have access to HF frequencies and for us
> this is a non-issue. I play packet on VHF and up because I don't have a
> Morse code endorsement. I've tried, but failed the test after countless
> hours of studying. So I have resigned myself to use the frequencies I have
> access to, to the best of my ability, and have given up on the HF
> frequencies that I will never have access to.
>
> Take my advice, give up on HF too. It's a dying allocation with limited
> usefulness and only accessible by "elite" hams who can pass a test best left
> to dinosaurs.
>
> I won't miss HF when it's gone, but I will enjoy the high speed internet
> access when it arrives!
>
> 73,
> RaOuL
>
> "charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> news:fltXa.78$Ih1.51259@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
> > Howdy!
> >
> > Last weekend I attended the Austin, Texas Summerfest, a ham get-together
> and
> > the
> > ARRL West Gulf Division convention. There were about a thousand hams
> there,
> > with a great flea-market, and several presentations including one by your
> > truly on packet radio.
> >
> > The most interesting presentation was the ARRL president's forum, where

> ARRL
> > president Jim Haynie talked to a large room packed with hundreds of hams.
> > Most of his time was spent talking about the BPL (broadband over
> powerline)
> > issue, the most serious threat the hobby has ever encountered.
> >
> > Mr. Haynie showed us a short film taken in New York state, where the
> > broadband over powerline system is being tried out on a test basis. The
> film
> > was taken by ARRL engineer Ed Hare. It showed Ed driving around the
> > neighborhood where the BPL system was being tried out, with an HF radio in
> > his car. He had an HF antenna on the car of course, for the TS-430 he used
> > for this test.
> >
> > As Ed drove along, he would slowly turn the dial on the HF rig, across the
> > 20 meter band. At no time could a human voice or digital signal be heard -
> > only one "birdie" of interference after another, all across the band. Ed
> > switched over to 15 meters with the same results. No human voice, no CW,
> no
> > digital sigs, just "birdies" from the broadband over powerline system that
> > was being tested.
> >
> > In the film, ARRL engineer Ed Hare was driving down the street, recieving
> > interference from powerlines alongside the road. In your hamshack, the
> > interference will come right into your shack, radiating from all of the
> > wiring in the walls. - A much worse situation than the one Ed was
> > demonstrating for us in the film.
> >
> > If BPL is implemented nationwide, as is being proposed, then US hams will
> be
> > wanting to sell their HF equipment to foriegn hams, because the equipment
> > will be useless here in the United States. We will have to kiss HF Amateur
> > Radio goodbye.
> >
> > Considering the damage BPL would do to Ham Radio and several other
> services
> > that utilize HF frequencies, with the overwhelming RF pollution it
> > generates, you would think that the whole thing would be shot down in
> short
> > order. - Unfortunately this is not the case. The federal government is
> under
> > enormous pressure to make universal broadband Internet access a reality,
> and
> > BPL is the only system that shows any potential for delivering this in the
> > near future.
> >
> > What Mr. Haynie of the ARRL was telling us was that Ham Radio in the US is
> > currently in a fight for its life, and it is going to be a very tough
> fight.
> >

> > I am sorry to have to report such news, but it needs to get around. This
> is
> > a serious situation, requiring a serious response.
> >
> > President Haynie recommended that hams write thier congressmen, and to
> > remember to use the word "pollution" when referring to the interference of
> > BPL, as this term has great weight in washingtons' political circles. Its
> a
> > word even a congressman can understand, I suppose!
> >
> > Also: this would be a good time to join the ARRL if you are not currently
> a
> > member. They have some of the political apparatus needed to fight this
> > battle in Washington, but will need funding. If you are already a member,
> > consider making a donation.
> >
> > This is a serious issue.
> >
> > Charles Brabham, N5PVL
> > Director: USPacket.Net
> > n5pvl@uspacket.net
> > http://www.uspacket.net
> >
> >
>
>

993. Re: Broadband over Powerline

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-06 02:34:58 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net>
Message-ID: <mPZXa.17460$Vx2.8877712@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3490

"RaOuL" <russ@bit-net.com> wrote in message
news:9EYXa.9734$jg.2594579@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> Thanks for bringing this to our attention Charles. However, there are a
> large number of Amateurs who don't have access to HF frequencies and for
us
> this is a non-issue. I play packet on VHF and up because I don't have a
> Morse code endorsement. I've tried, but failed the test after countless
> hours of studying. So I have resigned myself to use the frequencies I have
> access to, to the best of my ability, and have given up on the HF
> frequencies that I will never have access to.
>
> Take my advice, give up on HF too. It's a dying allocation with limited
> usefulness and only accessible by "elite" hams who can pass a test best
left
> to dinosaurs.
>
> I won't miss HF when it's gone, but I will enjoy the high speed internet
> access when it arrives!
>
> 73,
> RaOuL
>

Harmonics in the 6 meter and 2 meter band will probably be strong enough to have a serious impact on VHF activities so yes it does affect you. It will affect commericial AM and FM radio reception. Your viewpoint is very shortsighted. In addition, better technologies than BPL exist for high speed internet access. BPL will NOT be cheaper than the existing high speed technologies.

As far as code goes, it is unfortunate that the proper training methods are not more widely known and so many people like yourself become unnecessarily discouraged. Using the correct methods and daily practice, one can get the 5wpm in 30 hours. See the book "The Art and Skill of Radiotelegraphy", which is available for downloading free off the internet. The principles discussed in this book are implemented in the free G4FON software, which can also be downloaded free from the internet.

Plus, even though I don't like the idea, it is likely that the code requirement will be dropped in the not too distant future (experts estimate two years or less). Thus access to HF will require only written tests. Do you want those bands wiped out when they are almost certainly going to be accessible to you in a relatively short time?

Are you so selfish as to want to see other's enjoyment of amateur radio seriously impacted? It is not the amateurs who make the regulations. All we can do is express our opinions. Sometimes the FCC heeds those opinions and sometimes they do not.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

> "charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> news:fltXa.78$Ih1.51259@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
> > Howdy!
> >
> > Last weekend I attended the Austin, Texas Summerfest, a ham get-together
> and
> > the
> > ARRL West Gulf Division convention. There were about a thousand hams
> there,
> > with a great flea-market, and several presentations including one by
your
> > truly on packet radio.
> >
> > The most interesting presentation was the ARRL president's forum, where
> ARRL
> > president Jim Haynie talked to a large room packed with hundreds of
hams.
> > Most of his time was spent talking about the BPL (broadband over
> powerline)
> > issue, the most serious threat the hobby has ever encountered.
> >
> > Mr. Haynie showed us a short film taken in New York state, where the
> > broadband over powerline system is being tried out on a test basis. The
> film
> > was taken by ARRL engineer Ed Hare. It showed Ed driving around the
> > neighborhood where the BPL system was being tried out, with an HF radio
in
> > his car. He had an HF antenna on the car of course, for the TS-430 he
used
> > for this test.
> >
> > As Ed drove along, he would slowly turn the dial on the HF rig, across
the
> > 20 meter band. At no time could a human voice or digital signal be
heard -
> > only one "birdie" of interference after another, all across the band. Ed
> > switched over to 15 meters with the same results. No human voice, no CW,
> no
> > digital sigs, just "birdies" from the broadband over powerline system
that
> > was being tested.
> >
> > In the film, ARRL engineer Ed Hare was driving down the street,
recieving
> > interference from powerlines alongside the road. In your hamshack, the

> > interference will come right into your shack, radiating from all of the
> > wiring in the walls. - A much worse situation than the one Ed was
> > demonstrating for us in the film.
> >
> > If BPL is implemented nationwide, as is being proposed, then US hams
will
> be
> > wanting to sell their HF equipment to foriegn hams, because the
equipment
> > will be useless here in the United States. We will have to kiss HF
Amateur
> > Radio goodbye.
> >
> > Considering the damage BPL would do to Ham Radio and several other
> services
> > that utilize HF frequencies, with the overwhelming RF pollution it
> > generates, you would think that the whole thing would be shot down in
> short
> > order. - Unfortunately this is not the case. The federal government is
> under
> > enormous pressure to make universal broadband Internet access a reality,
> and
> > BPL is the only system that shows any potential for delivering this in
the
> > near future.
> >
> > What Mr. Haynie of the ARRL was telling us was that Ham Radio in the US
is
> > currently in a fight for its life, and it is going to be a very tough
> fight.
> >
> > I am sorry to have to report such news, but it needs to get around. This
> is
> > a serious situation, requiring a serious response.
> >
> > President Haynie recommended that hams write thier congressmen, and to
> > remember to use the word "pollution" when referring to the interference
of
> > BPL, as this term has great weight in washingtons' political circles.
Its
> a
> > word even a congressman can understand, I suppose!
> >
> > Also: this would be a good time to join the ARRL if you are not
currently
> a
> > member. They have some of the political apparatus needed to fight this
> > battle in Washington, but will need funding. If you are already a
member,
> > consider making a donation.

> >
> > This is a serious issue.
> >
> > Charles Brabham, N5PVL
> > Director: USPacket.Net
> > n5pvl@uspacket.net
> > http://www.uspacket.net
> >
> >
>
>

994. Re: Broadband over Powerline

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-06 08:53:13 UTC
From: Roger Basford <Basford@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <M0Kd1KR5HMM$EwGJ@btinternet.com>
Source record: 3491

Hi Charles,

I was interested and depressed to read of the impact of the "broadband over power line" interference tests done by the ARRL. Over here in Britain there are having similar experiments and we are expecting similar reactions from our government, as it has promised broadband Internet access to all and sundry. As it seems that PLT (as it's known here) may be the only method of delivering broadband to the public (unless they force British Telecom (BT) into providing the service over their telephone lines, which is unlikely) so its introduction seems to be a foregone conclusion.

Today, the British government have announced that they will be carrying out a big environmental impact survey of (amongst a lot of other things) the effects on health of mobile phone base-station masts and high-voltage power lines that run near to homes. Now, I know these issues have been around for a long while and I won't go into the pros and cons, but given the general ignorance of the media and the public of the actual technical issues involved it would seem to me that a few hints of the unknown health impact of PLT in the home might be called for? After all, we are talking about wideband RF coming into every home and business, in fact anywhere there's a mains supply, and the effects on health of this are probably unknown. Of course, there is a downside to stirring up too much public concern on RF in the home environment and that is every radio amateur could end up with being accused of giving the neighbour's kids some life-threatening condition!

In the UK, all classes of amateur licence now have HF access in some form and the number of potentially-affected British hams has just increased considerably; the Europeans are pushing ahead with similar changes. If your FCC follow suit you may get more people prepared to stand up and try to get PLT blocked. I hope just there aren't too many "I'm all right, Jack!" types in the US like the poster who responded to you saying he won't miss HF!

Just my two pennyworth!
--
Roger Basford
(G3VKM)

995. Re: Broadband over Powerline

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-06 17:45:53 UTC
From: "Dr. Anton Squeegee" <SpammersAreVermin@dev.null>
Message-ID: <MPG.199adea828e660e19899aa@192.168.42.131>
Source record: 3492

In article <9EYXa.9734$jg.2594579@news1.news.adelphia.net>, RaOuL says...

<snip>

> Take my advice, give up on HF too. It's a dying allocation with limited
> usefulness and only accessible by "elite" hams who can pass a test best left
> to dinosaurs.

It's attitudes like that which endanger the ENTIRE amateur radio service, not just HF allocations.

Were you around, sir, when, thanks largely to lobbying by UPS, half of the amateur 220MHz band was taken away? Did you know that UPS never did a bloody thing with that band, after fighting so hard for it? In fact, I don't know of ANY commercial radio service that's currently using that little 2MHz slice that the lobbyists fought so hard for.

Have you been asleep during the arguments about allegedly Part 15 RFID tags and other garbage that the FCC wants to allow in the 430MHz region?

How about the crap going on at 2.4GHz, with nine zillion types of cordless phones, wireless Internet, and Lord only knows what else?

I wonder if you'll be just as indifferent when commercial interests start sniffing around more of the 6m, 2m, and 70cm allocations? Trust me, they will, unless the amateur radio community, as a whole, stands up and says "No, we need those bands."

I also find it extremely difficult to believe that someone cannot copy 5 WPM Morse code. That's all that is required nowadays to get on HF. Not 13, not 20, not 10. Five lousy words per minute. My 88-year old father could copy that fast, fercryinoutloud!

I've never thought of myself as "elite." That's silly. I am, however, bloody proud of my efforts to win my first license (in 1977), and to upgrade to Extra in 2000. I may not have had to go through the high-speed CW test, but I can tell you that the written was no picnic, even for someone with my experience.

> I won't miss HF when it's gone, but I will enjoy the high speed internet
> access when it arrives!

If you had paid any attention at all in your studies of electronics and RF theory, you would know that BPL is a massive threat to HF allocations for EVERY SERVICE that uses them, not just amateur. We're talking international broadcast, aviation, marine, the works!

Tell you what; We won't miss you when you're gone, either. If you're so bloody interested in the Internet that you're willing to sacrifice a huge chunk of the entire HF spectrum to get it through a known-damaging technology, why in the Multiverse did you even bother to get your ham ticket?

Come to think of it, why don't you just go turn your ticket in and stick to the Internet which you are obviously so in love with? If you're truly as apathetic as you sound, I think the amateur service can easily do without you.

--
Dr. Anton Squeegee, Director, Dutch Surrealist Plumbing Institute
(Known to some as Bruce Lane, KC7GR)
kyrrin a/t bluefeathertech d-o=t c&o&m
"Quando Omni Flunkus Moritati" (Red Green)

996. Win FBB and TELNET

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-06 20:25:34 UTC
From: Michael Talkington <kc8fwd@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <2vdYa.22228$td7.19852@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 3493

Hello,
I need a forwarding partner on the internet via telnet and someone who can help me set it up for telnet also.I have a dsl connection Thanks Mike KC8FWD

997. Re: Broadband over Powerline

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-06 23:58:03 UTC
From: Paul Hurm <phurm@one.net>
Message-ID: <vj35k3buq3c33e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 3494

It would seem that "non-radio knowledgeable" consumers are the ones that BPL is aimed towards. These include the people that don't seem to know that their cell phones and cordless phones use radio signals. The same for Bluetooth or WiFi devices they might want to use inside their homes.

Sad to say but interference to the above devices would be more likely to gain attention than interference to something (hamming) that most people misunderstand.

I agree that we need to do what we can against this but has anything been done to test whether this technology will affect "in home" use of devices mentioned above?

Paul Hurm
N8OT
phurm@one.net

998. a question about 802.11b

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-07 00:03:51 UTC
From: larry <larya24@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <HHgYa.67045$4UE.3015@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 3495

Greetings and sorry for my lack of knowledge on the subject.
does anyone know of a website for some circuity information for the 802....
I am not interested in stealing ideas I just would like to know how the got
onto 2.4 ghz.
Larry ve3fxq

999. Re: a question about 802.11b

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-07 02:13:54 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com>
Message-ID: <CBiYa.110$Kn.59393@nnrp1.ptd.net>
Source record: 3496

"larry" <larya24@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:HHgYa.67045$4UE.3015@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> Greetings and sorry for my lack of knowledge on the subject.
> does anyone know of a website for some circuity information for the
802....
> I am not interested in stealing ideas I just would like to know how the
got
> onto 2.4 ghz.
> Larry ve3fxq

Larry,

I would suggest that it is MUCH more practical and economical to buy
802.11b or 802.11b/g equipment ...

The 802.11 standards are HUGE and VERY complicated ... an any
practical implementation requires custom ASICs ... not a home-brew
project in any sense.

(I work for one of the world leaders in 802.11 ICs and modules, but
I am telling you straight, not trying to simply sell another NIC card ...)

73,
Carl - wk3c

p.s. If you'd like to browse the standards, they should be available
for free as .pdf downloads at http://standards.ieee.org/getieee802/

1000. Re: a question about 802.11b

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-07 14:03:03 UTC
From: larry <larya24@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <r_sYa.73999$4UE.62027@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 3497

H Carl
Actually my curiosity is not that I want to build one but more that I would like to know how they got the signal onto 2.4 ghz. I am more interested in the rf transmitter and receiver portions than in what they are using it for.
Larry

"Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com> wrote in message
news:CBiYa.110$Kn.59393@nnrp1.ptd.net...
> "larry" <larya24@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:HHgYa.67045$4UE.3015@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> > Greetings and sorry for my lack of knowledge on the subject.
> > does anyone know of a website for some circuity information for the
> 802....
> > I am not interested in stealing ideas I just would like to know how the
> got
> > onto 2.4 ghz.
> > Larry ve3fxq
>
> Larry,
>
> I would suggest that it is MUCH more practical and economical to buy
> 802.11b or 802.11b/g equipment ...
>
> The 802.11 standards are HUGE and VERY complicated ... an any
> practical implementation requires custom ASICs ... not a home-brew
> project in any sense.
>
> (I work for one of the world leaders in 802.11 ICs and modules, but
> I am telling you straight, not trying to simply sell another NIC card ...)
>
> 73,
> Carl - wk3c
>
> p.s. If you'd like to browse the standards, they should be available
> for free as .pdf downloads at http://standards.ieee.org/getieee802/
>
>

1001. Re: a question about 802.11b

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-07 16:26:38 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com>
Message-ID: <25vYa.131$jl2.2472@nnrp1.ptd.net>
Source record: 3498

"larry" <larya24@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:r_sYa.73999$4UE.62027@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> H Carl
> Actually my curiosity is not that I want to build one but more that I
would
> like to know how they got the signal onto 2.4 ghz. I am more interested
in
> the rf transmitter and receiver portions than in what they are using it
for.
> Larry

Most, if not all, modern chipsets that for 802.11b use direct conversion
to I and Q channels ... the major signal procesing is done at baseband.

For simpler, more general RF/IF needs, you might check out, for example,
the websites of
RF Microdevices http://www.rfmd.com
and
Maxim http://www.maxim-ic.com/

73,
Carl - wk3c

1002. Re: Broadband over Powerline

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-09 16:56:48 UTC
From: Klaus Kramer <DL4KCK@t-online.de>
Message-ID: <3F3527D0.6080205@t-online.de>
Source record: 3499

Dr. Anton Squeegee wrote:
> In article <9EYXa.9734$jg.2594579@news1.news.adelphia.net>, RaOuL
> says...
>
> <snip>
>
>> Take my advice, give up on HF too. It's a dying allocation with limited
>>usefulness and only accessible by "elite" hams who can pass a test best left
>>to dinosaurs.
>
>
> It's attitudes like that which endanger the ENTIRE amateur radio
> service, not just HF allocations.
>
>
(snipped name calling)

Hello,

in contrary, exactly your "elitist" CW attitude arm in arm
with your 88-year old father is holding back the needed
young blood from our hobby. One should think that now with
nearly all the world abandoning that antique mode requisite
you would be glad for any help in this deadly BPL matter,
but no, name calling again as ever.
This is the same in Germany, sadly to say, so kiss goodbye,
shortwave AR, hello "Hinternet" (TM by ARRL)...

Klaus, DL4KCK
www.datv-agaf.de

1003. Sp 9.75 and PK-232mbx

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-10 04:55:49 UTC
From: Pascal & Pamela <pvanschijndel@cox.net>
Message-ID: <pfkZa.229530$R92.285@news2.central.cox.net>
Source record: 3500

Hey there

I am trying to run SP 9.75 with my PK-232mbx with a prom from dec 93 and
can't seem to get it to
work right....all the other modes work....does someone have experiance with
this program and can
give me tips to make it work....

thanks

1004. Re: Sp 9.75 and PK-232mbx

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-10 05:31:18 UTC
From: Hans Remeeus <hans@remeeus.nl>
Message-ID: <bh4lat$u9p7d$1@ID-169386.news.uni-berlin.de>
Source record: 3401

Please use TFPCX as interface between SP and the PK-232:

http://microlet.com/tfpcx/

Good luck!

--

73, Hans Remeeus.
Ham Radio: PA1HR
www.remeeus.nl

Communication is about people, the rest is technology.

"Pascal & Pamela" <pvanschijndel@cox.net> schreef in bericht
news:pfkZa.229530$R92.285@news2.central.cox.net...
> Hey there
>
> I am trying to run SP 9.75 with my PK-232mbx with a prom from dec 93 and
> can't seem to get it to
> work right....all the other modes work....does someone have experiance
with
> this program and can
> give me tips to make it work....
>
> thanks
>
>
>

1005. Re: Sp 9.75 and PK-232mbx

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-10 05:32:32 UTC
From: Hans Remeeus <hans@remeeus.nl>
Message-ID: <bh4ld7$u098r$1@ID-169386.news.uni-berlin.de>
Source record: 3402

Or use a WA8DED eprom in your PK-232.

--

73, Hans Remeeus.
Ham Radio: PA1HR
www.remeeus.nl

Communication is about people, the rest is technology.

"Hans Remeeus" <hans@remeeus.nl> schreef in bericht
news:bh4lat$u9p7d$1@ID-169386.news.uni-berlin.de...
> Please use TFPCX as interface between SP and the PK-232:
>
> http://microlet.com/tfpcx/
>
> Good luck!
>
>
> --
>
> 73, Hans Remeeus.
> Ham Radio: PA1HR
> www.remeeus.nl
>
> Communication is about people, the rest is technology.
>
>
> "Pascal & Pamela" <pvanschijndel@cox.net> schreef in bericht
> news:pfkZa.229530$R92.285@news2.central.cox.net...
> > Hey there
> >
> > I am trying to run SP 9.75 with my PK-232mbx with a prom from dec 93 and
> > can't seem to get it to
> > work right....all the other modes work....does someone have experiance
> with
> > this program and can
> > give me tips to make it work....
> >
> > thanks
> >
> >
> >
>
>

1006. help me on the mx614 modem!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-10 07:56:17 UTC
From: wuyistar@sohu.com
Message-ID: <eb1a3cfc.0308092356.5d6ddd68@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3403

i've just two mx614 modem to do some tests
i used the FX614 chip and KENWOOD TH25A to do it,the PTT part and the
transmiting part is OK,but the receiving part can't work steadily,
i've a lot of improving but still cannot find the reason,so could
someone help me!
thanks
73
BD6AAO

1007. Packet software for Windows CE?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-10 17:14:45 UTC
From: Ken <cprstn54zz@att.net>
Message-ID: <f5vcjv09uhto8vtmc51siet8g74vgj6cpf@4ax.com>
Source record: 3404

I am looking for something that will permit a handheld PC running
under HPC Pro 3.1 (CE 2.11) to perform all necessary terminal
functions, including the printing of messages.

Windows Terminal is installed and it can do most of the job, but it
can't direct RX to the printer without manual cutting and pasting.

Ken KC2JDY

Ken
(to reply via email
remove "zz" from address)

1008. Re: Broadband over Powerline

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-11 05:53:42 UTC
From: BadWsky <badwsky@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1taejvgmvbmscf2g53ucd14iv8roiqcr9m@4ax.com>
Source record: 3405

You know, I finally get set up to be able to operate on HF from my apartment by using CW and the in-the-mud signal digital modes such as PSK31, 10 and Hell and what do you know this trash-hash comes along! It is bad enough that I had to deal with light dimmers!
The general public is the most ignorant political animal that has to be fed for votes. Politicians dread having to go into any type of teaching mode and they hardly ever bother being educated themselves. "My constituents" does not include YOU! You weirdo strange noise radio tuning person!
The politicians are too lazy to take the time to explain to the big companies that this sceme is harmful to HF reception, that they have to take the expense to build the infrastrucure of a shielded type of system. This is the easy way out. The people must have their bread and circuses. I used to care about the general public until I realized that they tend to crap where they all eat. Perhaps they don't even deserve our efforts to help them if they allow this to go through.
It is thumbs down time as we are about to be fed to the lions. It is all fun and games till someone loses an eye as they said in Rome.
I am going to write my politician if only for amusement purposes.

Anthony WW2W

1009. Re: How to write FCC - RE (Broadband over Powerline)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-11 12:25:37 UTC
From: Keyboard In The Wilderness <KITW@forest.com>
Message-ID: <5XLZa.31908$ff.14006@fed1read01>
Source record: 3406

Subject: [DX] How to File BPL Comments with the FCC
> Several suggested I summarize how to do this:
>
> 1. Go to http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/prod/ecfs/upload_v2.cgi
> 2. Enter only 03-104 in Box 1 (Proceeding).
> 3. Complete all required boxes (2, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10 & 12)
> 4. Attach your file in .doc, .pdf, .xls, etc in the first large
> box and click "Sent Attached File to FCC".
>
> OR...and much easier for shorter comments,
>
> 5. Type your comments directly into the bottom large box,
> keeping <70 characters per line, and click "Send Brief
> Comments to FCC".
>
> After 4. or 5., another screen should appear where you need to
> click "Finish Transaction and Receive Confirmation". If you
> did everything correctly, you should then receive a confirmation
> number on the next screen. If you don't see the confirmation
> number, your comments were not submitted correctly.
>
> Your comments will likely not appear until the next
> business day since it takes them awhile to process everything.
> Please DO comment before the August 20 window closes! This
> could be the most important thing you will ever do to ensure we
> do not allow the FCC fill our bands with interference.

"BadWsky" <badwsky@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1taejvgmvbmscf2g53ucd14iv8roiqcr9m@4ax.com...
> The politicians are too lazy to take the time to explain to the big
> companies that this sceme is harmful to HF reception, that they have
> to take the expense to build the infrastrucure of a shielded type of
> system.

SNIP

> I am going to write my politician if only for amusement purposes.
>
> Anthony WW2W

1010. Question about digital over phonepatch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-11 16:10:31 UTC
From: Rick Adams <ke8hh@REMOVETHECAPSqsl.net>
Message-ID: <99ffjv0h9hdpk877k3uuiiucstarumv3nm@4ax.com>
Source record: 3407

I'm about to move to a location with no landline telephone
service and could use some advice.

For voice communications (non-amateur, of course) I have a
cell phone, and for computer communications I have a Direcway 2-way
satellite connection. Here's the problem:

In order to activate the satellite at a new location, or to
"reset" it if a problem develops--which is about once every couple
months--I have to use a standard dial-up networking connection and
connect to a toll-free number at direcway. The call is usually 2-3
minutes in length and just transfers info about my site id and
authorization numbers back and forth.

As no other means seems to exist--my cell carrier doesn't
offer Internet connections--I would like to be able to use the
autopatch on a local repeater to make my connections. Since the use is
strictly non-commercial, it should be a legal use of the equipment,
but I have no experience with autopatch/computer interfacing and don't
know if it's possible to "Convince" Windows XP to recognize the
connection as a valid dial-up networking one. I've tried using my cell
phone as a modem (it's a Motorola V60i TDMA version) but, while
Windows installs the drivers for it and recognizes it as a modem, when
I attempt to connect with it, the system dials, immediately
disconnects, and tells me the remote computer failed to answer--my
concern is that the system will do the same thing with a connection
via autopatch.

If anyone can provide some feedback on this, or other
suggestions (I have only HF, 6M, 2M, & 70CM available and will be
living in the Union City area of Michigan) it would be greatly
appreciated.

Thanks in advance,

73 de KE8HH, Rick

--

Rick Adams
adamsr@NOSPAM.direcway.com

"... and the only measure of your worth and your deeds
will be the love you leave behind when you're gone."

Fred Small, J.D., "Everything Possible"

1011. Re: Question about digital over phonepatch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-11 17:25:48 UTC
From: "WB3FUP (Mike Hall)" <wb3fup@msn.com>
Message-ID: <bh8jiv$v78rj$1@ID-134747.news.uni-berlin.de>
Source record: 3408

Non-commercial to you perhaps. Not so non-commercial to DirectWay. I would find another way if it were me. And I definitely would have the permission of the repeater trustee is I decided to go ahead anyway. He might take a dim view of the fine he had to pay if the FCC and I see this matter the same way. Admittedly worst case scenario but accountants (except those at Enron) are trained to anticipate all losses and provide for no gains.

--
73 es cul

wb3fup
a Salty Bear

"Rick Adams" <ke8hh@REMOVETHECAPSqsl.net> wrote in message news:99ffjv0h9hdpk877k3uuiiucstarumv3nm@4ax.com...
> I'm about to move to a location with no landline telephone
> service and could use some advice.
>
> For voice communications (non-amateur, of course) I have a
> cell phone, and for computer communications I have a Direcway 2-way
> satellite connection. Here's the problem:
>
> In order to activate the satellite at a new location, or to
> "reset" it if a problem develops--which is about once every couple
> months--I have to use a standard dial-up networking connection and
> connect to a toll-free number at direcway. The call is usually 2-3
> minutes in length and just transfers info about my site id and
> authorization numbers back and forth.
>
> As no other means seems to exist--my cell carrier doesn't
> offer Internet connections--I would like to be able to use the
> autopatch on a local repeater to make my connections. Since the use is
> strictly non-commercial, it should be a legal use of the equipment,
> but I have no experience with autopatch/computer interfacing and don't
> know if it's possible to "Convince" Windows XP to recognize the
> connection as a valid dial-up networking one. I've tried using my cell
> phone as a modem (it's a Motorola V60i TDMA version) but, while
> Windows installs the drivers for it and recognizes it as a modem, when
> I attempt to connect with it, the system dials, immediately
> disconnects, and tells me the remote computer failed to answer--my
> concern is that the system will do the same thing with a connection
> via autopatch.
>
> If anyone can provide some feedback on this, or other

> suggestions (I have only HF, 6M, 2M, & 70CM available and will be
> living in the Union City area of Michigan) it would be greatly
> appreciated.
>
> Thanks in advance,
>
> 73 de KE8HH, Rick
>
> --
>
> Rick Adams
> adamsr@NOSPAM.direcway.com
>
> "... and the only measure of your worth and your deeds
> will be the love you leave behind when you're gone."
>
> Fred Small, J.D., "Everything Possible"

1012. Re: Question about digital over phonepatch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-12 01:02:38 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@ctc.net>
Message-ID: <HImdnaZ4A7nxoKWiU-KYuQ@ctc.net>
Source record: 3410

> Non-commercial to you perhaps. Not so non-commercial to DirectWay. I
> would find another way if it were me. And I definitely would have the
> permission of the repeater trustee is I decided to go ahead anyway. He
> might take a dim view of the fine he had to pay if the FCC and I see this
> matter the same way. Admittedly worst case scenario but accountants
> (except those at Enron) are trained to anticipate all losses and provide
> for no gains.
>

With the relaxed rules such as ordering a pizza legal now I do not see any problems with this as all he is doing is ordering a service and the regular telephone is not possiable. I do agree he should talk it over with the repeater trustee.

One big problem is that it will not be full duplex and will probably be limited to 2400 baud depending on the band. Maybe 9600 on a good day on a good band and radio. The duplex problem could also be overcome but will take some work unless the repeater will crossband.

1013. Need Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-12 01:05:25 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <3f383d8a_5@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 3409

Hello,

I'm wanting to be able to uses my laptop and communicate back to my home computer via packet. I was wondering what software I need to do this. I want be able to in my computer back home and get files off of my home computer when I need them and put them on my laptop.

Todd N9OGL

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1014. Re: Question about digital over phonepatch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-12 02:52:58 UTC
From: "WB3FUP (Mike Hall)" <wb3fup@msn.com>
Message-ID: <bh9kqd$1007el$1@ID-134747.news.uni-berlin.de>
Source record: 3411

Yes, I see your point. But when you order the Pizza, the Pizza Shop does not use your radio to prepare the Pizza. That is why I placed the caveat. In this case DirectWay is using the ham equipment to reprogram a receiver that has gone a wandering. I do not know if there is a difference there, but before I did it I would; 1. do some serious research and see if there was a definitive answer; 2. see if I couldn't find another way to do it.

--
73 es cul

wb3fup
a Salty Bear

"Ralph Mowery" <rmowery@ctc.net> wrote in message
news:HImdnaZ4A7nxoKWiU-KYuQ@ctc.net...
> > Non-commercial to you perhaps. Not so non-commercial to DirectWay. I
> > would find another way if it were me. And I definitely would have the
> > permission of the repeater trustee is I decided to go ahead anyway. He
> > might take a dim view of the fine he had to pay if the FCC and I see
this
> > matter the same way. Admittedly worst case scenario but accountants
> > (except those at Enron) are trained to anticipate all losses and
provide
> > for no gains.
> >
>
> With the relaxed rules such as ordering a pizza legal now I do not see
any
> problems with this as all he is doing is ordering a service and the
regular
> telephone is not possiable. I do agree he should talk it over with the
> repeater trustee.
>
> One big problem is that it will not be full duplex and will probably be
> limited to 2400 baud depending on the band. Maybe 9600 on a good day on
a
> good band and radio. The duplex problem could also be overcome but will
> take some work unless the repeater will crossband.
>
>

1015. Re: Need Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-12 03:19:38 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <e1ZZa.94887$3o3.6619589@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 3412

"Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:3f383d8a_5@corp.newsgroups.com...
> Hello,
>
> I'm wanting to be able to uses my laptop and communicate back to my
> home computer via packet. I was wondering what software I need to do this. I
> want be able to in my computer back home and get files off of my home
> computer when I need them and put them on my laptop.
>
> Todd N9OGL

Short distance (hundreds of feet)?
Medium distance (dozens of miles)?
Long distance (hundreds of miles)?

There are some solutions for all of those cases.
They are very different ...

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

1016. Re: Need Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-12 04:54:34 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <3f38733b_5@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 3413

Hello

I was going to run it 2 meters and I want it to go a lease a few miles.
Todd

"Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
news:e1ZZa.94887$3o3.6619589@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> news:3f383d8a_5@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > Hello,
> >
> > I'm wanting to be able to uses my laptop and communicate back to my
> > home computer via packet. I was wondering what software I need to do
this. I
> > want be able to in my computer back home and get files off of my home
> > computer when I need them and put them on my laptop.
> >
> > Todd N9OGL
>
>
> Short distance (hundreds of feet)?
> Medium distance (dozens of miles)?
> Long distance (hundreds of miles)?
>
> There are some solutions for all of those cases.
> They are very different ...
>
> --
>
> ... Hank
>
> Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1017. Re: Need Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-12 06:51:10 UTC
From: Roger Conroy <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <bha2rv$gg4$1@ctb-nnrp2.saix.net>
Source record: 3414

"Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:3f383d8a_5@corp.newsgroups.com...
> Hello,
>
> I'm wanting to be able to uses my laptop and communicate back to my
> home computer via packet. I was wondering what software I need to do this.
I
> want be able to in my computer back home and get files off of my home
> computer when I need them and put them on my laptop.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

Just remember - you may NOT do any work/business related communication on
ham bands. That would presumably include transfering work related computer
files.

73 Roger ZR3RC

1018. Re: Question about digital over phonepatch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-12 10:03:39 UTC
From: Floyd Davidson <floyd@barrow.com>
Message-ID: <87isp3jipw.fld@barrow.com>
Source record: 3415

"WB3FUP \(Mike Hall\)" <wb3fup@msn.com> wrote:
>Yes, I see your point. But when you order the Pizza, the Pizza Shop does
>not use your radio to prepare the Pizza. That is why I placed the caveat.
>In this case DirectWay is using the ham equipment to reprogram a receiver
>that has gone a wandering. I do not know if there is a difference there,
>but before I did it I would; 1. do some serious research and see if there
>was a definitive answer; 2. see if I couldn't find another way to do it.
>
>--
>73 es cul
>
>wb3fup
>a Salty Bear

All of that is a moot point. It won't work to begin with.

End of story.

>"Ralph Mowery" <rmowery@ctc.net> wrote in message
>news:HImdnaZ4A7nxoKWiU-KYuQ@ctc.net...
>> > Non-commercial to you perhaps. Not so non-commercial to DirectWay. I
>> > would find another way if it were me. And I definitely would have the
>> > permission of the repeater trustee is I decided to go ahead anyway. He
>> > might take a dim view of the fine he had to pay if the FCC and I see
>this
>> > matter the same way. Admittedly worst case scenario but accountants
>> > (except those at Enron) are trained to anticipate all losses and
>provide
>> > for no gains.
>> >
>>
>> With the relaxed rules such as ordering a pizza legal now I do not see
>any
>> problems with this as all he is doing is ordering a service and the
>regular
>> telephone is not possiable. I do agree he should talk it over with the
>> repeater trustee.
>>
>> One big problem is that it will not be full duplex and will probably be
>> limited to 2400 baud depending on the band. Maybe 9600 on a good day on
>a
>> good band and radio. The duplex problem could also be overcome but will
>> take some work unless the repeater will crossband.
>>
>>

>
>

--
Floyd L. Davidson <http://web.newsguy.com/floyd_davidson>
Ukpeagvik (Barrow, Alaska) floyd@barrow.com

1019. Re: Question about digital over phonepatch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-12 13:21:47 UTC
From: WB2JKX <mycall-N0SPAM@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <LR5_a.97851$0v4.6734599@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 3416

Rick Adams <ke8hh@REMOVETHECAPSqsl.net> wrote in message
news:99ffjv0h9hdpk877k3uuiiucstarumv3nm@4ax.com...
> I'm about to move to a location with no landline telephone
> service and could use some advice.
>
> For voice communications (non-amateur, of course) I have a
> cell phone, and for computer communications I have a Direcway 2-way
> satellite connection. Here's the problem:
>
> In order to activate the satellite at a new location, or to
> "reset" it if a problem develops--which is about once every couple
> months--I have to use a standard dial-up networking connection and
> connect to a toll-free number at direcway. The call is usually 2-3
> minutes in length and just transfers info about my site id and
> authorization numbers back and forth.
>
> As no other means seems to exist--my cell carrier doesn't
> offer Internet connections--I would like to be able to use the
> autopatch on a local repeater to make my connections. Since the use is
> strictly non-commercial, it should be a legal use of the equipment,
> but I have no experience with autopatch/computer interfacing and don't
> know if it's possible to "Convince" Windows XP to recognize the
> connection as a valid dial-up networking one. I've tried using my cell
> phone as a modem (it's a Motorola V60i TDMA version) but, while
> Windows installs the drivers for it and recognizes it as a modem, when
> I attempt to connect with it, the system dials, immediately
> disconnects, and tells me the remote computer failed to answer--my
> concern is that the system will do the same thing with a connection
> via autopatch.
>
> If anyone can provide some feedback on this, or other
> suggestions (I have only HF, 6M, 2M, & 70CM available and will be
> living in the Union City area of Michigan) it would be greatly
> appreciated.
>
> Thanks in advance,
>
> 73 de KE8HH, Rick
>
>
If you can force your cell phone into AMPS mode (analog mode), you might
have more success. TDMA is pretty much useless for data. I assume your phone
has a built-in modem, and can accept a direct data connection. If so, there
may be flow control parameters that would need to be set, due to the

relatively slow data link speed.

1020. FS: Libretto 50CT Mini laptop for portable PSK-31

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-12 14:26:27 UTC
From: n4elm@arrl.net
Message-ID: <2145de3a.0308120626.4659c252@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3417

For Sale:

Toshiba Libretto 50CT Sub-laptop PC

Very small, PDA size, about the size of a VHS tape
P50, 16 Meg RAM, 810 Meg Harddisk
Active Color screen, built-in mouse
1 PCMCIA type 2 slot, built-in Yamaha Soundcard (originally playback
only)
with:
port replicator - serial, parallel, & VGA ports
1.44 M PCMCIA floppy
PCMCIA portable CDROM (non Toshiba)
3Com PCMCIA 10bt Ethernet card
33.6 baud PCMCIA Eiger Modem card
Toshiba OEM Windows 95 OS installed, no restore disks
battery (used works)
AC power supply
manual

The Good - The Libretto is in good condition and works well.

The Bad - Only known problem is 2 vertical columns on the extreme left
side
of the screen are partially out (incorrect color or blank depending on
the
background, does not affect screen view), both top latches are broke.
Infrared port removed for audio input mod (below).

The Excellent - The pc has 2 mods.
1. Selectable Overclock, the pc can switch between 50 or 100 Mhz at
power up
(details to be provided).
2. Audio input has been added to the built-in sound card (original pc
has no
mike or audio inputs!). It is mono only but it does allow the Libretto
to run PSK-31 (or other soundcard programs requiring audio input). The
mod sacrificed the IR port to get space for the input connector.

This has been my portable PSK-31 pc, but I need to upgrade to a pc
with a larger screen.

Asking $225.00 for all

73 - Dave
==========
Dave Redfearn, ARS N4ELM, McKinney, TX

Email: n4elm@NOJUNKcomcast.net (to reply, remove NOJUNK)
QRL? de N4ELM/qrp

1021. Re: Question about digital over phonepatch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-12 16:15:07 UTC
From: Tom Ayers <kg6mvb@nospam.qsl.net>
Message-ID: <3F39128B.1920F3FD@nospam.qsl.net>
Source record: 3418

One more issue - encryption. You can't use it on the ham bands. Even
if their data is not encrypted in the common computer sense (which it
probably is), if it is a proprietary format that in itself would be
considered encrypted.

I would suggest trying an analog cell phone connection at slow speeds.
They used to sell modems that worked with the analog phones back in the
day. I think the best I ever got was 4800 baud on a good day. While
the digital phones may allow you to pass data, it is direct data
between the phone and the cell provider, in true data mode. Any of the
digital phone formats are not friendly with regular modem data.

73,
Tom Ayers, KG6MVB

"WB3FUP (Mike Hall)" wrote:
>
> Yes, I see your point. But when you order the Pizza, the Pizza Shop does
> not use your radio to prepare the Pizza. That is why I placed the caveat.
> In this case DirectWay is using the ham equipment to reprogram a receiver
> that has gone a wandering. I do not know if there is a difference there,
> but before I did it I would; 1. do some serious research and see if there
> was a definitive answer; 2. see if I couldn't find another way to do it.
>
> --
> 73 es cul
>
> wb3fup
> a Salty Bear
>
> "Ralph Mowery" <rmowery@ctc.net> wrote in message
> news:HImdnaZ4A7nxoKWiU-KYuQ@ctc.net...
> > > Non-commercial to you perhaps. Not so non-commercial to DirectWay. I
> > > would find another way if it were me. And I definitely would have the
> > > permission of the repeater trustee is I decided to go ahead anyway. He
> > > might take a dim view of the fine he had to pay if the FCC and I see
> this
> > > matter the same way. Admittedly worst case scenario but accountants
> > > (except those at Enron) are trained to anticipate all losses and
> provide
> > > for no gains.
> > >
> >
> > With the relaxed rules such as ordering a pizza legal now I do not see
> any

> > problems with this as all he is doing is ordering a service and the
> regular
> > telephone is not possiable. I do agree he should talk it over with the
> > repeater trustee.
> >
> > One big problem is that it will not be full duplex and will probably be
> > limited to 2400 baud depending on the band. Maybe 9600 on a good day on
> a
> > good band and radio. The duplex problem could also be overcome but will
> > take some work unless the repeater will crossband.
> >
> >

--
Money is no object to me...

I have no money, therefore it is not an object.

1022. Help with THENET NODE CONFIGURATION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-13 02:20:23 UTC
From: gervais <gfillio1@globetrotter.net>
Message-ID: <Hfh_a.49022$Yz.35841@charlie.risq.qc.ca>
Source record: 3419

hi all,
i need some help on setting my node

my problem is that it is answering connect request to fast and the txdelay
is too
fast.
what of these parameter are use
TEST1:VE2RQU-7} 70 60 192 255 6 4 1800 25 300 3 5 180 7 7 7200 255 10 4 2
10 50 18000 1 1 2 1

it has been a time since i play with it,i lost memory

thnaks for your help

gervais
ve2ckn@hotmail.com

1023. Re: Is a KPC-3 ok for APRS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-14 04:33:20 UTC
From: IanCT <IanCThurston@nospam.hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <kiE_a.1514$fz7.37429554@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3420

Yes, you will need at least v8.2 firmware I believe for GPS commands, the latest is v8.3. It's max baud rate is 1200, you would need a KPC-9612 for 9600 baud but that's really not necessary as the national APRS frequency is 144.39 at 1200 baud.

Typically I think it's TCP/IP BBS's that use 9600 baud to pass mass amounts of traffic, and that's usually over 440 I believe.

KPC-3+ is a nice TNC. I have two, and a KPC-3P-GPS Tracker in the car which has a built in GPS into the TNC, so all I need is the TNC and radio to transmit GPS positions and GPS Telemetry. And plug in the laptop into the serial
cable tucked into my glove box for keyboard to keyboard/pbbs operation. :)

73

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme> wrote in message news:20030731225536.20295.00000828@mb-m29.aol.com...
> Hello all. Me again. I'm still looking into the whole APRS thing and I have
> another question. If I do go with APRS, I think I will be getting a Handspring
> Visor Prism with the Magellan GPS Companion. Since the GPS will be directly
> conntected to the palm, I will only need to connect the TNC to the palm. Will
> the KPC-3 be ok for this? From what I've read, it will work, but I believe that
> the KPC-3 is only capable of working at 1200 baud. Is this a major deal, not
> being able to communicate at 9600 baud? Should I just spring for the KPC-3+?
> =-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
> 73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
> Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
> http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
> Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net
> National "Do Not Call" Registry: http://donotcall.gov
>

1024. bbs programs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-14 06:43:19 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <3f3b2fad$1_5@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 3421

What's the Best BBS program and how do you set it up

Todd N9OGL

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1025. FA: APRS items (GPS/PDA/Mount)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-14 14:46:02 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030814104602.08493.00001144@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 3422

I have the following items for auction on Ebay:

Handspring Visor PDA
Magellan GPS Companion for Handspring PDA
Magellan GPS/Handspring vehicle mount

These can be seen at
http://cgi6.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewSellersOtherItems&userid=vhfradi
obuff&include=0&since=-1&sort=3&rows=50
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net
National "Do Not Call" Registry: http://donotcall.gov

1026. FS: TNC to radio packet/data cables

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-14 14:53:39 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030814105339.08314.00001442@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 3423

http://www.eham.net/classifieds/detail/101177

I have for sale 2 cables from Buxcomm, never used, still in the original packaging. I bought these for projects that I never did.

I have the following cables:

(5DI8MX) TNC with 5 pin DIN plug to Icom 8 pin Mic and ext speaker jacks

(KVI8MX) Kantronics 9 pin radio port (plug is a 9 pin male serial plug) to Icom 8 pin Mic and ext speaker jacks.

Asking $12 each plus $3.85 shipping by Priority Mail (within the lower 48).
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net
National "Do Not Call" Registry: http://donotcall.gov

1027. FS: Handspring PDA to KPC-3 cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-14 17:39:52 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030814133952.08493.00001149@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 3424

I have for sale one Handspring PDA to 25 pin serial cable. The 25 pin end is male. I bought this to hook up my PDA to my KPC-3 for APRS, but never did it. It's still sealed in the original bag. Asking $40 plus $3.85 shipping Priority Mail.

=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-

73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net
National "Do Not Call" Registry: http://donotcall.gov

1028. APRSPoint clearance sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-15 09:53:29 UTC
From: hxc98@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <aa8577c5.0308150153.3fa9dc3f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3425

Final clearance sale on the most intuitive APRS software with detailed street map support for both US and Canada. Get $50 off the regular price on APRSPoint plus MapPoint pkg. Less than 80 copies left. Get reduced price on APRSPoint license key as well, offer good before Sep 1, 2003. Please visit http://www.aprspoint.com/ for details. Thanks.

1029. Re: bbs programs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-15 15:40:21 UTC
From: Todd Daugherty <toddaug@consolidated.net>
Message-ID: <3f3cff18_5@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 3426

Oh packet..I uses to run FBB BBS a long time ago on a different machine. but I've pretty much forgotten how to set it up. MSYS I could never figure out how to set up

Todd n9ogl

"Keith" <kilowattradio_spam@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:bhhv1d219eb@enews2.newsguy.com...
> On Thu, 14 Aug 2003 01:43:19 -0500,
> Todd Daugherty in <3f3b2fad$1_5@corp.newsgroups.com> wrote:
> > What's the Best BBS program and how do you set it up
> >
> > Todd N9OGL
> >
>
> Can you be a little more specific? Is this for packet
> or dial up or Internet?
> For packet there is W0RLI, F6FBB(sp?) and MSYS. For
> dial up there are a number of software programs that
> also tie into the Internet.
>
> --
> Best Regards, Keith AOL IM:kilowattradio
> NW Oregon Radio http://kilowatt-radio.org/
> "Give SCO $699 for using Linux or the Penguin gets it."

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 100,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! =-----

1030. New Website ON4JU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-15 15:41:56 UTC
From: Patrick <on1oe@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <3f3cff47$0$317$ba620e4c@reader1.news.skynet.be>
Source record: 3427

Hello OM,
New Website from ON4JU
www.on4ju.tk

Thanks for your visite.

'73s
Pat ON4JU
E-mail on4ju@busmail.net

1031. BPL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-15 16:30:41 UTC
From: Bill Evans <bevans@ebsys.mb.ca>
Message-ID: <y08%a.39128$Rz6.54279@news1.mts.net>
Source record: 3428

Perhaps yesterday's, continuing massive power failure in the northeastern U.S.A. and Canada will convince the power utilities that they should focus their energies and resources on their core business, rather than entering a new business that is already served by telephone companies, competitive local exchange carriers (CLEC), cable television operators, satellite services and, in some markets, broadband wireless access (BWA) carriers.

According to the August 15th Toronto Globe and Mail, "critics have been calling for breakers to be installed throughout North America's grids for some time, only to be told by the grid operators (power companies) that there is no money to fund such a massive infrastructure overhaul."

Why would regulators, politicians and the power companies' shareholders be interested in spending billions of dollars entering a new business when they obviously have major challenges in their own backyard. Seems like time to "stick to the knitting".

William E. Evans, P. Eng.
VE4UD

1032. FA: APRS stuff (GPS/PDA/Mount)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-15 17:11:42 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030815131142.28312.00000053@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 3429

I have the following items for auction on Ebay:

Handspring Visor PDA
Magellan GPS Companion for Handspring PDA
Magellan GPS/Handspring vehicle mount

These can be seen at
http://cgi6.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewSellersOtherItems&userid=vhfradi
obuff&include=0&since=-1&sort=3&rows=50
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net
National "Do Not Call" Registry: http://donotcall.gov

1033. FS: TNC to Radio cables

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-15 17:12:07 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030815131207.28312.00000054@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 3430

I have for sale 2 cables from Buxcomm, never used, still in the original packaging. I bought these for projects that I never did.

I have the following cables:

(5DI8MX) TNC with 5 pin DIN plug to Icom 8 pin Mic and ext speaker jacks

(KVI8MX) Kantronics 9 pin radio port (plug is a 9 pin male serial plug) to Icom 8 pin Mic and ext speaker jacks.

Asking $12 each plus $3.85 shipping by Priority Mail (within the lower 48).
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net
National "Do Not Call" Registry: http://donotcall.gov

1034. FA: APRS stuff (PDA/GPS/Mount)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-15 17:13:26 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030815131326.28312.00000057@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 3431

I have the following items for auction on Ebay:

Handspring Visor PDA
Magellan GPS Companion for Handspring PDA
Magellan GPS/Handspring vehicle mount

These can be seen at
http://cgi6.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewSellersOtherItems&userid=vhfradi
obuff&include=0&since=-1&sort=3&rows=50
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net
National "Do Not Call" Registry: http://donotcall.gov

1035. Re: BPL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-15 18:28:44 UTC
From: WB2JKX <mycall-N0SPAM@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <wD9%a.101835$0v4.7031958@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 3432

Bill Evans <bevans@ebsys.mb.ca> wrote in message
news:y08%a.39128$Rz6.54279@news1.mts.net...
> Perhaps yesterday's, continuing massive power failure in the northeastern
> U.S.A. and Canada will convince the power utilities that they should focus
> their energies and resources on their core business, rather than entering
a
> new business that is already served by telephone companies, competitive
> local exchange carriers (CLEC), cable television operators, satellite
> services and, in some markets, broadband wireless access (BWA) carriers.
>
> According to the August 15th Toronto Globe and Mail, "critics have been
> calling for breakers to be installed throughout North America's grids for
> some time, only to be told by the grid operators (power companies) that
> there is no money to fund such a massive infrastructure overhaul."
>
> Why would regulators, politicians and the power companies' shareholders be
> interested in spending billions of dollars entering a new business when
they
> obviously have major challenges in their own backyard. Seems like time to
> "stick to the knitting".
>
> William E. Evans, P. Eng.
> VE4UD
>
>
There are certainly points where individual utilities connect to the "grid"
that would allow them to disconnect when unstable conditions are detected,
but I suspect the real problem is that many of them can't do it without
suffering major load shedding because they are buying, rather than
generating, a lot of their power. Cutting off a lot of their customers on
their own will bring political heat (like who gets to be the lucky ones). On
the other hand, when the gamble fails and there is a complete failure, just
blame it on "the grid"

1036. Re: bbs programs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-16 06:32:33 UTC
From: IanCT <IanCThurston@nospam.hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <5ek%a.1465$Ie2.1257@newssvr25.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3433

I prefer MSYS, it's easy to setup and has a nice interface compared to what's available... Runs under MS-DOS.

For dialup BBS, I like SearchLight (dunno if still available) and WildCat BBS. They have had a Windows version for quite some time.

SynchroNet is a nice dialup/telnet BBS too, used with HyperTerminal you can use ANSI graphics.

r/
-IanCT

"Keith" <kilowattradio_spam@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:bhhv1d219eb@enews2.newsguy.com...
> On Thu, 14 Aug 2003 01:43:19 -0500,
> Todd Daugherty in <3f3b2fad$1_5@corp.newsgroups.com> wrote:
> > What's the Best BBS program and how do you set it up
> >
> > Todd N9OGL
> >
>
> Can you be a little more specific? Is this for packet
> or dial up or Internet?
> For packet there is W0RLI, F6FBB(sp?) and MSYS. For
> dial up there are a number of software programs that
> also tie into the Internet.
>
> --
> Best Regards, Keith AOL IM:kilowattradio
> NW Oregon Radio http://kilowatt-radio.org/
> "Give SCO $699 for using Linux or the Penguin gets it."

1037. FS: PDA to 25 pin serial cable - APRS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-16 13:57:02 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030816095702.25337.00000086@mb-m15.aol.com>
Source record: 3434

I have for sale one Handspring PDA to 25 pin serial cable. The 25 pin end is male. I bought this to hook up my PDA to my KPC-3 for APRS, but never did it. It's still sealed in the original bag. Asking $40 plus $3.85 shipping Priority Mail.

=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-

73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net
National "Do Not Call" Registry: http://donotcall.gov

1038. FS: Buxcomm Packet/Data cables

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-16 13:57:39 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030816095739.25337.00000087@mb-m15.aol.com>
Source record: 3435

I have for sale 2 cables from Buxcomm, never used, still in the original packaging. I bought these for projects that I never did.

I have the following cables:

(5DI8MX) TNC with 5 pin DIN plug to Icom 8 pin Mic and ext speaker jacks

(KVI8MX) Kantronics 9 pin radio port (plug is a 9 pin male serial plug) to Icom 8 pin Mic and ext speaker jacks.

Asking $12 each plus $3.85 shipping by Priority Mail (within the lower 48).
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net
National "Do Not Call" Registry: http://donotcall.gov

1039. FA: APRS stuff - PDA/PDA mount!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-16 13:59:48 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030816095948.25337.00000090@mb-m15.aol.com>
Source record: 3436

I have the following items for auction on Ebay:

Handspring Visor PDA
Magellan GPS/Handspring vehicle mount

Buy the PDA and add the available Magellan Handspring GPS module, and you can easily get onto APRS!

These can be seen at
http://cgi6.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewSellersOtherItems&userid=vhfradiobuff&include=0&since=-1&sort=3&rows=50
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net
National "Do Not Call" Registry: http://donotcall.gov

1040. FA: Handspring Visor PDA - Great for APRS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-17 03:11:20 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030816231120.07106.00000144@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 3437

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=38331&item=3041171181

I have for auction a Handspring Visor PDA - great for using PocketAPRS!

I bought this for that very project, but I don't have the time to fiddle with
APRS, so it's up for auction!
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net
National "Do Not Call" Registry: http://donotcall.gov

1041. FA: Handspring Visor/GPS Bracket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-17 03:12:44 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030816231244.07106.00000145@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 3438

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=34290&item=3041172522

I have for auction one bracket for the Handspring Visor PDA with the Magellan GPS module attached. I bought this to use with my Handspring Visor (also for auction) and PocketAPRS, but I don't have the time to play with APRS.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net
National "Do Not Call" Registry: http://donotcall.gov

1042. Re: BPL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-17 04:57:28 UTC
From: Bill Evans <bevans@ebsys.mb.ca>
Message-ID: <kxM%a.42408$Rz6.58280@news1.mts.net>
Source record: 3439

Additional information in th news today confirms what you have suggested. It all indicates that corrective activity is going to cost lots of money and require major resources. Power utilities may have been loathe to pursue thes "core business" activities because funding was not here for various reasons. Hopefully the fallout from this incredibly expensive and frightening exposure will be removal of the regulatory and corporate constraints - and a clear mandate to focus on grid and generation plant improvements. Never mind new business ventures with questionable economics and huge capital requirements.

WB2JKX wrote in message ...

>>
>There are certainly points where individual utilities connect to the "grid"
>that would allow them to disconnect when unstable conditions are detected,
>but I suspect the real problem is that many of them can't do it without
>suffering major load shedding because they are buying, rather than
>generating, a lot of their power. Cutting off a lot of their customers on
>their own will bring political heat (like who gets to be the lucky ones). On
>the other hand, when the gamble fails and there is a complete failure, just
>blame it on "the grid"
>
>

1043. NEED HELP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-17 17:26:02 UTC
From: nedrog@aol.comNOSPAM
Message-ID: <20030817132602.05361.00000135@mb-m16.aol.com>
Source record: 3440

Is the PacTerm file found on Win 98 the same as the file on win 95. In other words, I have a laptop with only a basic '95 load, and a full load of '98 on another comp. Can I transfer the PacTerm file from '98 and use it on '95?

73's

Howard W3CQH

1044. pactor anyone ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-18 19:13:08 UTC
From: Pär C <flitt@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <bhr8g5$2em6j$1@ID-181316.news.uni-berlin.de>
Source record: 3441

It's been a while since I had a decent qso on a digital
mode on hf. What I get is connect frames probably aimed
for the winlink system and pactor-2 stations of course.
I don't want to spend 699$ for a Pactor-2 system as they
are certainly fine modems but a huge overkill for my needs.
Even if I did spend all that cash I'd probably only
see the winlink system anyway so that's not an option.

So my question is: is there anyone trying pactor-1 or
perhaps gtor for anything besides automated message
handling and forwarding ?

73's de Per/sm0rwo

1045. Re: Is a KPC-3 ok for APRS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-19 03:52:20 UTC
From: DxxxxxBxx <abuse@abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz.com>
Message-ID: <3b73kvkrlqkcmgu5dhk5ook3u51da4u9qe@4ax.com>
Source record: 3442

I've used a KPC-3 (no plus) with APRS...no problem. I believe the
extra firmware is required for use with no PC. I don't know what type
of setup you're using, but if your palm does the APRS stuff, then it I
assume it can format a message and tell the KPC-3 to send it....no
problem.

On 01 Aug 2003 02:55:36 GMT, vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme (VHFRadioBuff)
wrote:

>Hello all. Me again. I'm still looking into the whole APRS thing and I have
>another question. If I do go with APRS, I think I will be getting a Handspring
>Visor Prism with the Magellan GPS Companion. Since the GPS will be directly
>conntected to the palm, I will only need to connect the TNC to the palm. Will
>the KPC-3 be ok for this? From what I've read, it will work, but I believe that
>the KPC-3 is only capable of working at 1200 baud. Is this a major deal, not
>being able to communicate at 9600 baud? Should I just spring for the KPC-3+?
>=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
>73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
>Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
>http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
>Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net
>National "Do Not Call" Registry: http://donotcall.gov

Spammers, please send email to:
listme@listme.dsbl.org
and get your SMTP server blacklisted!

1046. Re: FA: APRS stuff (PDA/GPS/Mount)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-19 04:02:37 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030819000237.05504.00000275@mb-m16.aol.com>
Source record: 3443

>You don't say.
>Why are you posting the same sales message (with different Subject
>lines) many times, then?

Once a day is spam? The subject line changes because I don't remember the exact subject that I entered the previous time.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net
National "Do Not Call" Registry: http://donotcall.gov

1047. FA: Handspring PDA - Great for APRS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-19 04:05:52 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20030819000552.05504.00000277@mb-m16.aol.com>
Source record: 3444

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=38331&item=3041171181

This is a great Handspring Visor Prism PDA with a bunch of accessories, great for APRS! I bought it to use with PocketAPRS, but I've decided to pursue other aspects.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net
National "Do Not Call" Registry: http://donotcall.gov

1048. DSP 2232

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-19 14:30:48 UTC
From: "Dr. Michael Hunter" <drmike@infohiwy.net>
Message-ID: <vk4cuqouqp7v08@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 3445

Wonder what the going price for a DSP 2232 is ?

Mike K5HDU

1049. Re: pactor anyone ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-19 16:44:36 UTC
From: haynes@alumni.uark.edu
Message-ID: <Uts0b.68$lw4.5@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 3446

In article <bhr8g5$2em6j$1@ID-181316.news.uni-berlin.de>,
P�r C <flitt@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>So my question is: is there anyone trying pactor-1 or
>perhaps gtor for anything besides automated message
>handling and forwarding ?
>
Sure doesn't seem like it. It's been a long time since I had a
Pactor QSO.
--

jhaynes at alumni dot uark dot edu

1050. Re: pactor anyone ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-19 17:42:51 UTC
From: Pär C <flitt@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <bhtnir$3286d$1@ID-181316.news.uni-berlin.de>
Source record: 3447

Jim Haynes wrote:
> In article <bhr8g5$2em6j$1@ID-181316.news.uni-berlin.de>,
> Pï¿½r C <flitt@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>>So my question is: is there anyone trying pactor-1 or
>>perhaps gtor for anything besides automated message
>>handling and forwarding ?
>>
>
> Sure doesn't seem like it. It's been a long time since I had a
> Pactor QSO.

No, it's a bit sad really. I had a number of nice pactor qso's
but now pactor seems to be killed by winlink&pactor-2.

Wait, as I type I hear a CQ on 40 m. Connected, I guess it isn't
quite dead yet ! Bad conds but a QSO !

/Per

1051. Re: Question about digital over phonepatch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-20 21:57:58 UTC
From: mikehaack@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030820175758.25228.00000368@mb-m15.aol.com>
Source record: 3448

Doesn't really matter if it would or wouldn't work.
The fact remains that your using the Amateur Radio Service to facilitate the "commercial" interests of Direcway.

Let Direcway figure out the answer, I'll bet they find one, Esp if it means their $$.

73 de MIKE, WB9B

1052. Re: APRS Linked Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-21 00:52:17 UTC
From: Drink <drink@gnt.net>
Message-ID: <vk8987bgiolg3d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 3449

I've been thinking about this recently to try and bridge two activities.
With a decent setup, I can do APRS or I can work a repeater. I don't have all the gear so I haven't tried real APRS yet. My thought is that I'm not concerned with a VHF station in California when I'm local in Florida. All I'm really interested in is the local picture. So, would it work to move a digital picture over a local repeater and remain capable to speak? If that's true, why wouldn't we do it locally? Pros? Cons?
This might even spark more repeater traffic since a) you'd see folks on the display or b) you'd hear signs of life even if you don't have APRS.
o_o_o_o
Best Regards, /| ,[_____],
Jim, WP3JQ |���L --O|||||||O-
()_)�()_) ������)_)
EM60qk 30.447439N 086.628959W

1053. wtd. pinout for PacComm handi packet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-21 06:57:24 UTC
From: ve7ihs@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <2a0bf0a3.0308202257.53876575@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3450

Inherited a PacComm handipacket TNC and have no info on
pinout/polarity voltage or possible software upgrades HELP. VA7SSB.

1054. FS>> SignaLink soundcard interface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-21 15:48:06 UTC
From: John Schneider <thecop@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <WQ51b.142725$_R5.54350515@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 3301

I have a SignaLink SL-1 for sale that has only been used to decode, never transmitt. It is in excellent condition and comes with an un-terminated connector (RJ45 on one end, open on the other), jumper wires, the original manual and original box.

Asking $30.00, you ship.

Please contact me off list if interested.
--
John, KB2COP
thecop@optonline.net

--

www.geocities.com/theoldcop

1055. Re: Is a KPC-3 ok for APRS?(must be at least V6)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-21 16:51:43 UTC
From: Spud <nospam.davidaanderson@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <zM61b.795120$ro6.15918093@news2.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 3302

Open the KPC3 and look at the Eprom it will have the version number (V5)
(V6) (V8.2), you need V6 or better to run GPS NMEA interface capability.
You should be able to find someone with an Eprom burner and upgrade the
Eprom to the latest version (from the Kantronics website) if yours is not V6
or better.

"DxxxxxBxx" <abuse@abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz.com> wrote in message
news:3b73kvkrlqkcmgu5dhk5ook3u51da4u9qe@4ax.com...
> I've used a KPC-3 (no plus) with APRS...no problem. I believe the
> extra firmware is required for use with no PC. I don't know what type
> of setup you're using, but if your palm does the APRS stuff, then it I
> assume it can format a message and tell the KPC-3 to send it....no
> problem.
>
> On 01 Aug 2003 02:55:36 GMT, vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme (VHFRadioBuff)
> wrote:
>
> >Hello all. Me again. I'm still looking into the whole APRS thing and I
have
> >another question. If I do go with APRS, I think I will be getting a
Handspring
> >Visor Prism with the Magellan GPS Companion. Since the GPS will be
directly
> >conntected to the palm, I will only need to connect the TNC to the palm.
Will
> >the KPC-3 be ok for this? From what I've read, it will work, but I
believe that
> >the KPC-3 is only capable of working at 1200 baud. Is this a major deal,
not
> >being able to communicate at 9600 baud? Should I just spring for the
KPC-3+?
> >=-
> >73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
> >Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
> >http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
> >Fight Spam! http://spamcop.net
> >National "Do Not Call" Registry: http://donotcall.gov
>
> Spammers, please send email to:
> listme@listme.dsbl.org
> and get your SMTP server blacklisted!

1056. Re: help me on the mx614 modem!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-21 19:54:13 UTC
From: horseshoe7@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5f4fe4c2.0308211154.374cbd8c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3303

wuyistar@sohu.com (wuyi) wrote in message
news:<eb1a3cfc.0308092356.5d6ddd68@posting.google.com>...
> i've just two mx614 modem to do some tests
> i used the FX614 chip and KENWOOD TH25A to do it,the PTT part and the
> transmiting part is OK,but the receiving part can't work steadily,
> i've a lot of improving but still cannot find the reason,so could
> someone help me!

You ARE using two radios, right? (You only mention ONE in your post)

What type of antennas are you using, and how far apart are they?

Have you looked at the signal getting into the MX614 from the
receiving radio on an oscilloscope? If so, what does it look like?
If not, then I suggest you start there, and tell us what the signal
looks like (as compared to the transmitted signal).

- Stewart
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/MURS-OPEN
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/murslink

1057. How Do I Use Radio As A Internet Connection

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-21 22:50:14 UTC
From: MRQuickBoard <none@thistime.com>
Message-ID: <40c1b.151$wX5.25333@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 3304

How Do I Use Radio As A Internet Connection, i am wanting to know how i can use a mobile ham radio and hook it up to a laptop to be able to connect to the internet so i can use irc chat dont need fast connection speed. would like to be able to chat on IRC while camping/fishing ware no cell service is avaiable.

1058. Re: How Do I Use Radio As A Internet Connection

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-22 02:07:25 UTC
From: Rob Judd <judd@ob-wan.com>
Message-ID: <3F457ADD.F657D3A5@ob-wan.com>
Source record: 3305

You can't. If you were a licensed ham you'd know that.

Rob

MRQuickBoard wrote:
>
> How Do I Use Radio As A Internet Connection, i am wanting to know how i can
> use a mobile ham radio and hook it up to a laptop to be able to connect to
> the internet so i can use irc chat dont need fast connection speed. would
> like to be able to chat on IRC while camping/fishing ware no cell service is
> avaiable.

1059. Re: Is a KPC-3 ok for APRS?(must be at least V6)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-22 08:47:43 UTC
From: Markus Baertschi <markus@markus.org>
Message-ID: <MPG.19aff71a7ad947f19896e8@News.CIS.DFN.DE>
Source record: 3306

In article <zM61b.795120$ro6.15918093@news2.calgary.shaw.ca>,
nospam.davidaanderson@shaw.ca says...
> Open the KPC3 and look at the Eprom it will have the version number (V5)
> (V6) (V8.2), you need V6 or better to run GPS NMEA interface capability.
> You should be able to find someone with an Eprom burner and upgrade the
> Eprom to the latest version (from the Kantronics website) if yours is not V6
> or better.
>

According to the Kantronics website the laters firmware for the KPC3+ is
version 9.0. I was unable to locate the Eprom data to burn one myself.
It looks like the only option is to buy the Eprom from Kantronics
($59.95).
As fas as I know you need at least V8.2 if you want to run the KPC3 as
autonomous APRS station (without PC).

Markus
--
Markus Baertschi Phone: ++41 (21) 807 1677
Bas du Ross&#65533; 14b Fax : ++41 (21) 807 1678
CH-1163, Etoy Email: markus@markus.org
Switzerland Homepage: www.markus.org

1060. SkySweeper 3.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-22 12:24:42 UTC
From: Tapio Salonen <tapios@estla.pp.fi>
Message-ID: <bi522f$7kr$1@phys-news1.kolumbus.fi>
Source record: 3307
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
New version of SkySweeper software seems to be released.
It can be downloaded from: www.skysweep.com
-Tapio
```

1061. Re: SkySweeper 3.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-22 16:29:17 UTC
From: Warpcore <mky008@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <xxr1b.2988$Ej6.1020@newsread4.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 3308
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

This sounds interesting. I assume you run the output of a receiver into the line in of a sound card on a pc and the software produces modified output thrpough the pc's speakers ?

"Tapio Salonen" <tapios@estla.pp.fi> wrote in message news:bi522f$7kr$1@phys-news1.kolumbus.fi...
> New version of SkySweeper software seems to be released.
> It can be downloaded from: www.skysweep.com
> -Tapio
>
>

1062. Re: How Do I Use Radio As A Internet Connection

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-22 19:25:38 UTC
From: MRQuickBoard <none@thistime.com>
Message-ID: <V4u1b.47$E33.53672@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 3309

Ok Thank You.

"Keith" <kilowattradio_spam@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:gTk1b.3159$lw4.2267@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> On Thu, 21 Aug 2003 15:50:14 -0700,
> MRQuickBoard in <40c1b.151$wX5.25333@news.uswest.net> wrote:
> > How Do I Use Radio As A Internet Connection, i am wanting to know how i
can
> > use a mobile ham radio and hook it up to a laptop to be able to connect
to
> > the internet so i can use irc chat dont need fast connection speed.
would
> > like to be able to chat on IRC while camping/fishing ware no cell
service is
> > avaiable.
> >
> >
>
> Your best bet is to use 802.11 to do this for local
> use. In hjam radio you just need to set up a box with
> the approarate software and connection. You can use
> Linux to do this with and a packet controller. You will
> need a clear frequency and a minimum speed of 9600 for
> best results.
>
>
> --
> Best Regards, Keith AOL IM:kilowattradio
> NW Oregon Radio http://kilowatt-radio.org/
> _Give SCO $699 for using Linux or the Penguin gets it._
> Torvalds: _They are smoking crack._

1063. Re: How Do I Use Radio As A Internet Connection

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-22 19:28:40 UTC
From: MRQuickBoard <none@thistime.com>
Message-ID: <K7u1b.48$E33.54370@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 3310

That is why i am asking if it can be done if it cannot be done then i wont do it and stay away from ham radio. don't want to waste my money getting a license or the time to study if it cannot be done.

"Rob Judd" <judd@ob-wan.com> wrote in message news:3F457ADD.F657D3A5@ob-wan.com...
> You can't. If you were a licensed ham you'd know that.
>
> Rob
>
>
> MRQuickBoard wrote:
> >
> > How Do I Use Radio As A Internet Connection, i am wanting to know how i can
> > use a mobile ham radio and hook it up to a laptop to be able to connect to
> > the internet so i can use irc chat dont need fast connection speed. would
> > like to be able to chat on IRC while camping/fishing ware no cell service is
> > avaiable.

1064. Re: How Do I Use Radio As A Internet Connection

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-22 21:46:18 UTC
From: xpyttl <xpyttl_nospam@earthling.net>
Message-ID: <vkd3pq9muubs35@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 3311

If your interest is strictly IRC, it's technically pretty simple to do what you want, although there is some work involved.

Someone indicated "at least" 9600 baud, as you are probably aware, this is overkill if your interest is IRC. 1200 is plenty, and you probably could get by with 300. Obviously, this is way too slow if you want to surf the web.

Here's the deal, though. Let's say you have a laptop running something like MixW, which would allow you to connect the laptop's sound card to your transceiver and do packet. This is simple and portable and a lot of people do it regularly.

Back home (and the assumption here is that you are operating within radio range of home), you have similar software or a TNC connecting your home computer to a radio at home, and then, you have some sort of software interface to connect the packet client to your IRC client.

The "some sort of software interface" is the catch. If you have a TNC at home ($$), then this could be relatively simple, providing you are reasonably adept at PC programming. But if you want to run a software TNC, this could get to be a real pain.

The other issue to keep in mind is the range. Packet is clean and simple on VHF FM, but these bands have basically line of sight range. Now, that's not quite as bad as it sounds, 25 miles is pretty reasonable with a decent antenna at one end, and depending on your geography and how much you want to invest in antennas, even 100 miles is not out of the question for reliable communications.

HF is anther can of worms entirely. HF packet is basically worldwide, but it's really messy business and anything but reliable. It's pretty interesting that if you are camping relatively close to home, say 50 to 150 miles, it can be very difficult in the summer, just when you are likely to be camping. In any case, it doesn't seem like an unattended base would be very easy to make reliable, and the antennas could pose a challenge on the camping end.

If your interest isn't exactly a particular IRC channel, you could consider PSK-31. This is a mode a lot of folks operate portable using a PC and a transceiver. it is very IRC like in operation, although instead of named channels there are simply places on the screen (!) and it tends to be more one on one rather than groups. Still, the flavor is much like IRC.

PSK-31 tends to be used on HF more than VHF, which you may realize requires a somewhat harder license.

While I didn't like Rob's "you can't" answer, since it seemed like he never really read the question, his answer may be pretty close to correct for practical purposes.

Keith implied a somewhat different approach, probably easiest with 2 machines running Linux. Basically, you have an 802 connection between your laptop and your home PC which is presumably connected to the Internet. By running routing software at home, the laptop becomes joined over the radio to your home network and has all the capabilities of a connected computer.

Because ham radio is non-commercial, however, and because so much stuff on the Internet is commercial, you would be challenged to keep the oepration of this sort of connection within the law. That problem isn't nerely as severe if your target is purely IRC.

..

"MRQuickBoard" <none@thistime.com> wrote in message
news:V4u1b.47$E33.53672@news.uswest.net...
> Ok Thank You.
>
>
> "Keith" <kilowattradio_spam@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:gTk1b.3159$lw4.2267@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> > On Thu, 21 Aug 2003 15:50:14 -0700,
> > MRQuickBoard in <40c1b.151$wX5.25333@news.uswest.net> wrote:
> > > How Do I Use Radio As A Internet Connection, i am wanting to know how
i
> can
> > > use a mobile ham radio and hook it up to a laptop to be able to
connect
> to
> > > the internet so i can use irc chat dont need fast connection speed.
> would
> > > like to be able to chat on IRC while camping/fishing ware no cell
> service is
> > > avaiable.
> > >
> > >
> >
> > Your best bet is to use 802.11 to do this for local
> > use. In hjam radio you just need to set up a box with
> > the approarate software and connection. You can use
> > Linux to do this with and a packet controller. You will
> > need a clear frequency and a minimum speed of 9600 for
> > best results.
> >
> >
> > --
> > Best Regards, Keith AOL IM:kilowattradio
> > NW Oregon Radio http://kilowatt-radio.org/
> > _Give SCO $699 for using Linux or the Penguin gets it._

> > Torvalds: _They are smoking crack._
>
>

1065. Re: How Do I Use Radio As A Internet Connection

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-22 22:37:04 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <kWw1b.3403$Tt7.2714@newssvr29.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3312

"MRQuickBoard" <none@thistime.com> wrote in message
news:K7u1b.48$E33.54370@news.uswest.net...
> That is why i am asking if it can be done if it cannot be done then i wont
> do it and stay away from ham radio. don't want to waste my money getting a
> license or the time to study if it cannot be done.

Don't waste your time or money. The Ham Radio hobby is for people who have a genuine interest in radio. It is not a communications carrier or a back door to getting freebee services. Generally, the "freebee" services ham radio provide require a substantial investment in both personal time and money in order to exist or to be utilized. If you don't have a particular interest in radio, you will find that ham radio is ultimately unsatisfying. You have to learn a bunch of stuff, work cooperatively with other people, follow and respect not only the FCC rules but ham radio's "gentlemen's agreements" as well. Many people will expect you to show an interest in community service.

You have made it plain that your interest in ham radio only goes as far as what kind of "freebee" it might give you... That's not really a good enough reason to go through the effort. You'd be better off without ham radio, and ham radio would be better off without you.

I'd say your best bet is to go with plan "B"; something that does not involve ham radio.

Charles, N5PVL

1066. Re: How Do I Use Radio As A Internet Connection

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-23 00:34:23 UTC
From: "Dr. Anton Squeegee" <SpammersAreVermin@dev.null>
Message-ID: <MPG.19b0566d7aa075de9899ec@192.168.42.131>
Source record: 3313

In article <K7u1b.48$E33.54370@news.uswest.net>, MRQuickBoard says...

> That is why i am asking if it can be done if it cannot be done then i wont
> do it and stay away from ham radio. don't want to waste my money getting a
> license or the time to study if it cannot be done.

It's not a question of whether it CAN be done. In the technical
sense, of course it can. The question is whether what you want to do is
applicable to the real purposes of the amateur radio service.

I will add that getting wireless access to the Internet is not why
the service exists. You would know this if you had taken the time to do
some basic research with Part 97 of the FCC regs, or visited ARRL.org
and read 'The Amateur's Code.'

If you don't like the thought of helping to serve the real
purposes of amateur radio (helping with disaster and other emergency
communications, teaching a ham radio class, developing the skills and
acquiring the tools necessary to tinker with radio and related
electronic hardware, etc.), then you would be well advised to steer
clear of the amateur service.

In short: If all you really want is wireless Internet access, pop
down to your local CompUSA or whoever, and pick up the hardware to do
it. Anyone who wants to can buy it, no license required.

--
Dr. Anton Squeegee, Director, Dutch Surrealist Plumbing Institute
(Known to some as Bruce Lane, KC7GR)
kyrrin a/t bluefeathertech d-o=t c&o&m
"Quando Omni Flunkus Moritati" (Red Green)

1067. Re: How Do I Use Radio As A Internet Connection

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-23 04:04:17 UTC
From: Carl Walthall <motorola1@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <5JB1b.231821$Ho3.29875@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 3314

There is a new radio by ICOM called D-Star that suppost to let you do this. I'm not sure of the FCC rules but ICOM's website is a good place to start. I understand it cost about $1400.00 and works at 1.2G so it's costly for what yo are looking for.

Carl

"MRQuickBoard" <none@thistime.com> wrote in message
news:K7u1b.48$E33.54370@news.uswest.net...
> That is why i am asking if it can be done if it cannot be done then i wont
> do it and stay away from ham radio. don't want to waste my money getting a
> license or the time to study if it cannot be done.
>
>
> "Rob Judd" <judd@ob-wan.com> wrote in message
> news:3F457ADD.F657D3A5@ob-wan.com...
> > You can't. If you were a licensed ham you'd know that.
> >
> > Rob
> >
> >
> > MRQuickBoard wrote:
> > >
> > > How Do I Use Radio As A Internet Connection, i am wanting to know how
i
> can
> > > use a mobile ham radio and hook it up to a laptop to be able to
connect
> to
> > > the internet so i can use irc chat dont need fast connection speed.
> would
> > > like to be able to chat on IRC while camping/fishing ware no cell
> service is
> > > avaiable.
>
>

1068. Re: How Do I Use Radio As A Internet Connection

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-23 04:15:18 UTC
From: Carl Walthall <motorola1@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <qTB1b.230928$o%2.105766@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 3315

The guy just ask a question! We should point him in the right direction to get the info he needs and not post crap without knowing what he is trying to-do!!!

"Rob Judd" <judd@ob-wan.com> wrote in message news:3F457ADD.F657D3A5@ob-wan.com...
> You can't. If you were a licensed ham you'd know that.
>
> Rob
>
>
> MRQuickBoard wrote:
> >
> > How Do I Use Radio As A Internet Connection, i am wanting to know how i can
> > use a mobile ham radio and hook it up to a laptop to be able to connect to
> > the internet so i can use irc chat dont need fast connection speed. would
> > like to be able to chat on IRC while camping/fishing ware no cell service is
> > avaiable.

1069. Re: How Do I Use Radio As A Internet Connection

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-23 04:45:02 UTC
From: Bill Vodall <wa7nwp@jnos.org>
Message-ID: <krrdkvkmq363cvnbkdipkllbo1du49gjrv@4ax.com>
Source record: 3316

>You can't. If you were a licensed ham you'd know that.

Sure you can. (With a Tech or better Amateur License of course.) I use Jabber, FreeAgent and POP for Email from a Windows 98 systerm, through a Linux router to a Linux gateway. All are relatively low traffic systems and work adequately even at a mere 1200 baud. A couple other postings here had some good details on how to do it.

73,
Bill - WA7NWP

1070. Re: How Do I Use Radio As A Internet Connection

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-23 13:37:15 UTC
From: Drink <drink@gnt.net>
Message-ID: <vkfg42m6bjbr71@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 3317

Very good point about commercial content in web pages.
To the original question: Does it have to be Internet chat or can't you simply use the digital communication modes that already abound? That would be simpler, provide more options and probably much more reliable.
For the sake of conversation, how much would the option to view a webpage in text-only filter commercial content (i.e. pop ups, banners, etc.)? The topic is fairly common among folks interested in ham radio. Or, is the only true solution to filter, edit and make available certain html pages from a stand alone server via a club station's ham radio?
o_o_o_o
Best Regards, /| ,[_____],
Jim, WP3JQ |���L --O|||||||O-
()_)�()_) ������)_)
EM60qk 30.447439N 086.628959W

1071. Re: How Do I Use Radio As A Internet Connection

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-23 21:45:08 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <EfR1b.774$Al6.21302509@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3318

"Drink" <drink@gnt.net> wrote in message
news:vkfg42m6bjbr71@corp.supernews.com...
> Very good point about commercial content in web pages.
> To the original question: Does it have to be Internet chat or can't you
> simply use the digital communication modes that already abound? That
would
> be simpler, provide more options and probably much more reliable.
> For the sake of conversation, how much would the option to view a
webpage
> in text-only filter commercial content (i.e. pop ups, banners, etc.)? The
> topic is fairly common among folks interested in ham radio. Or, is the
only
> true solution to filter, edit and make available certain html pages from a
> stand alone server via a club station's ham radio?

Its an individual decision, I suppose. Personally, I would not trust my liscense to a web-page I did not personally control, and I would be uncertain of the security of your average web-server. One that I work with was hit twice this year by "terrorist" hackers, one instance affecting over 1000 domains.

So I would go with your last option, html from a stand alone server via a club station's ham radio. - But that's just me! I'm not telling anybody else what to do.

HTML and packet radio are naturals that go together well. If each user has a store of standard graphics on their hard-drives in a special cache, you can do some pretty attractive HTML without ever having to transmit graphic files, just HTML text. This makes it go reasonably fast, even over 1200 baud links. In the pre-Internet days, the Prodigy network used to do something like that in order to speed up the service and still have nice graphics. There's no reason hams can't do the same thing.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

1072. HTML Discussion [Was: How Do I Use Radio As A Internet Connection]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-23 21:57:55 UTC
From: Drink <drink@gnt.net>
Message-ID: <vkfs4esujjvq8c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 3319

Charles,
Of course the idea of filtering anything off the web was just
brainstorming and I'd never put my callsign behind anything like it either.
Thanks for not lighting a match at the utterance of the E-word on a ham NG.
I'm just rambling outside the box.
For the Group: Is it plausible to pass data through a repeater above or
below voice just like the subaudible tones?
o_o_o_o
Best Regards, /| ,[_____],
Jim, WP3JQ |���L --O|||||||O-
()_)�()_) ������)_)
EM60qk 30.447439N 086.628959W

1073. Re: How Do I Use Radio As A Internet Connection

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-25 00:51:08 UTC
From: Rob Judd <judd@ob-wan.com>
Message-ID: <3F495D7C.D4581423@ob-wan.com>
Source record: 3323

Hi,

Well, getting a ham license isn't exactly a waste of time, but it does
carry some responsibilities. Unfortunately for us, one of them is
abiding by communications law.

Currently, governments like to be able to trace directly where
communications on their system originate. It has to do with law
enforcement mainly. Hams in the US have been able to do email via the
internet for a while, and it is regarded here in Australia as
"allowable" for licensed hams providing they don't have direct access to
the 'net.

I think such services, if allowed, would be swamped fairly quickly with
illegal operators anyhow (remember how CB got going?). And someone still
has to pay for the fixed station bandwidth, both on air and cable. If it
ever comes to pass, be assured that the government will charge heavily
for the right to monopolise a static frequency.

Rob

MRQuickBoard wrote:
>
> That is why i am asking if it can be done if it cannot be done then i wont
> do it and stay away from ham radio. don't want to waste my money getting a
> license or the time to study if it cannot be done.
>
> "Rob Judd" <judd@ob-wan.com> wrote in message
> news:3F457ADD.F657D3A5@ob-wan.com...
> > You can't. If you were a licensed ham you'd know that.
> >
> > Rob
> >
> >
> > MRQuickBoard wrote:
> > >
> > > How Do I Use Radio As A Internet Connection, i am wanting to know how i
> can
> > > use a mobile ham radio and hook it up to a laptop to be able to connect
> to
> > > the internet so i can use irc chat dont need fast connection speed.
> would
> > > like to be able to chat on IRC while camping/fishing ware no cell
> service is
> > > avaiable.

1074. Re: How Do I Use Radio As A Internet Connection

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-25 00:52:48 UTC
From: Rob Judd <judd@ob-wan.com>
Message-ID: <3F495DE0.82F984B8@ob-wan.com>
Source record: 3320

Bill Vodall wrote:
>
> >You can't. If you were a licensed ham you'd know that.
>
> Sure you can. (With a Tech or better Amateur License of course.) I
> use Jabber, FreeAgent and POP for Email from a Windows 98 systerm,
> through a Linux router to a Linux gateway. All are relatively low
> traffic systems and work adequately even at a mere 1200 baud. A
> couple other postings here had some good details on how to do it.

Bill,

He specifically asked about Internet Relay Chat, which afaik isn't
available.

Rob

1075. Re: How Do I Use Radio As A Internet Connection

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-25 03:45:07 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <7Df2b.112970$3o3.7944874@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 3321

"Rob Judd" <judd@ob-wan.com> wrote in message
news:3F495DE0.82F984B8@ob-wan.com...
> Bill Vodall wrote:
> >
> > >You can't. If you were a licensed ham you'd know that.
> >
> > Sure you can. (With a Tech or better Amateur License of course.) I
> > use Jabber, FreeAgent and POP for Email from a Windows 98 systerm,
> > through a Linux router to a Linux gateway. All are relatively low
> > traffic systems and work adequately even at a mere 1200 baud. A
> > couple other postings here had some good details on how to do it.
>
> Bill,
>
> He specifically asked about Internet Relay Chat, which afaik isn't
> available.
>
> Rob

Dunno ... works from here ... over Ham Radio tcp/ip links,
were one to actually want to do that :-) Choice of several
Ham Radio to internet links ... but who would bother?

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

1076. Re: How Do I Use Radio As A Internet Connection

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-25 20:31:57 UTC
From: marsgal42@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <d42b5a72.0308251231.2392d609@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3322

"MRQuickBoard" <none@thistime.com> wrote in message
news:<40c1b.151$wX5.25333@news.uswest.net>...
> How Do I Use Radio As A Internet Connection, i am wanting to know how i can
> use a mobile ham radio and hook it up to a laptop to be able to connect to
> the internet so i can use irc chat dont need fast connection speed. would
> like to be able to chat on IRC while camping/fishing ware no cell service is
> avaiable.

I thought people went camping/fishing/etc. to get
away from it all. I guess I'm mistaken...

Laura Halliday VE7LDH "Que les nuages soient notre
Grid: CN89mg pied a terre..."
ICBM: 49 16.05 N 122 56.92 W - Hospital/Shafte

1077. Re: wtd. pinout for PacComm handi packet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-26 05:30:05 UTC
From: Spud <nospam.davidaanderson@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <xfC2b.849465$3C2.19050537@news3.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 3324

VE7SSB:
Did you find a pinout yet?
de ve7ifd

"Zerobias" <ve7ihs@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:2a0bf0a3.0308202257.53876575@posting.google.com...
> Inherited a PacComm handipacket TNC and have no info on
> pinout/polarity voltage or possible software upgrades HELP. VA7SSB.

1078. Re: How Do I Use Radio As A Internet Connection

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-26 05:43:28 UTC
From: Bill Vodall <wa7nwp@jnos.org>
Message-ID: <0jplkvocg1ptm46fq8jfde6gbqti347aau@4ax.com>
Source record: 3325

On Mon, 25 Aug 2003 10:52:48 +1000, Rob Judd <judd@ob-wan.com> wrote:

>Bill Vodall wrote:
>> use Jabber, FreeAgent and POP for Email from a Windows 98 systerm,
>> through a Linux router to a Linux gateway. All are relatively low
>> traffic systems and work adequately even at a mere 1200 baud. A
>> couple other postings here had some good details on how to do it.
>
>He specifically asked about Internet Relay Chat, which afaik isn't
>available.

Sure it is. It's just another Internet application. That's part of
the magic. It's a relatively low bandwidth application very much
like Jabber. Any TCP/IP application will work as long as it doesn't
exceed the bandwidth available.

73,
Bill

1079. Re: How Do I Use Radio As A Internet Connection

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-26 12:02:26 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <m%H2b.7241$Kw6.3301@newssvr25.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3326

"Rob Judd" <judd@ob-wan.com> wrote in message
news:3F495D7C.D4581423@ob-wan.com...
> Hi,
>
> Well, getting a ham license isn't exactly a waste of time, but it does
> carry some responsibilities. Unfortunately for us, one of them is
> abiding by communications law.

I do not consider our obligation as hams to abide by communications law to be "unfortunate"... It strikes me as being essential and beneficial, in no way unfortunate because without that obligation we would quickly go the way of CB, as you say.

People who work to undermine these rules and our obligation to follow them as hams are directly attacking the hobby, attempting to undercut the basis of our legitimacy. Most often, these attacks are based on a stated desire to obtain a "freebee" at other's expense.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

1080. Re: How Do I Use Radio As A Internet Connection

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-26 19:28:33 UTC
From: horseshoe7@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5f4fe4c2.0308261128.47616a92@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3327

"MRQuickBoard" <none@thistime.com> wrote in message
news:<40c1b.151$wX5.25333@news.uswest.net>...
> How Do I Use Radio As A Internet Connection, i am wanting to know how i can
> use a mobile ham radio and hook it up to a laptop to be able to connect to
> the internet so i can use irc chat dont need fast connection speed. would
> like to be able to chat on IRC while camping/fishing ware no cell service is
> avaiable.

Don't let these folks discourage you. If you don't want to put up
with a bunch of naysaying hams, look into going the licenseless route
with MURS. MURS allows digital communications, up to 2W transmitter
power, and best of all, gain antennas (so you can construct
communications links having fairly decent comm range).

For more information on MURS:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/MURS-OPEN

Again, I respect you interest in radios, and your application, and
depending on your application you may be able to get by without
directly messing with ham radio... although, if you catch the radio
bug, you may find you want to get a ham license after all.

Again, don't let these folks discourage you.

- Stewart

1081. Re: Passing data using subaudible tones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-26 19:43:24 UTC
From: horseshoe7@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5f4fe4c2.0308261143.762e50da@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3328

"Drink" <drink@gnt.net> wrote in message news:<vkfs4esujjvq8c@corp.supernews.com>...
> For the Group: Is it plausible to pass data through a repeater above or
> below voice just like the subaudible tones?

It is possible, but you asked the right question - is it PLAUSIBLE?
In other words, does it make useful or economic sense for your
application?

What useful information would you be sending while you were talking?

Are you willing to come up with your own modem design? (as I doubt if
there are any "off-the-shelf" designs you are going to be able to use)

- Stewart

1082. Smallest APRS Tx?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-26 21:16:36 UTC
From: Pbreed@NetBurner.com
Message-ID: <pfjnkvktgkebjcs4u60aqccin5k8efr062@4ax.com>
Source record: 3329

What is the smallest commerically availible APRS Tx?
I want to use it in an RC plane.

Has anyone homebrewed a smaller one?

Paul (Kl7JG)

1083. Re: Passing data using subaudible tones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-26 22:45:52 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <AqR2b.6357$xb5.4104@newssvr29.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3330

"stewart" <horseshoe7@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:5f4fe4c2.0308261143.762e50da@posting.google.com...
> "Drink" <drink@gnt.net> wrote in message
news:<vkfs4esujjvq8c@corp.supernews.com>...
>
> What useful information would you be sending while you were talking?

Stuff that's faster, easier, and more accurate to type/read rather than it is to speak/hear! Lots of stuff like that. Get briefed on the basic situation while the detailed data uploads.

If somebody comes up with a subaudible tone data system, even at a low data rate, I'd like to hear about it.

Charles, N5PVL

1084. Digital over Voice Repeaters: Was "subaudible tones"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-27 01:45:04 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <A2U2b.6722$4F4.95@newssvr27.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3331

The question about sending data using subaudible tones reminded me of a quick 'n dirty soundcard digital setup I found for use over voice repeaters. You can't talk while its working, but it does allow you to go back 'n forth between voice and data. There are probably times when that would be useful.

I was playing around with FlexNet's Flex32 version of Q15x25 mode, called "newqpsk". This mode is intended for HF, and uses fifteen 88.3 baud PSK streams to give you an effective 2500 baud. I decided to try it out on VHF, then decided to try bumping the TXDELAY up around 500-600 MS and see if it would work over the local voice repeater, connecting to another VHF newqpsk station in town on that frequency.

It worked very well! I was at home, and my friend had a laptop in his truck across town. We were able to do all the regular digital stuff. Newqpsk is a lot like packet to use, but of course sounds very different. You can send binary files with it, because it has error correction like packet.

The easiest way to check out newqpsk in Flex32 is to see the "Soundcard Packet" tutorial at USPN, that describes the setup of Flex32 for 1200 baud afsk packet. On the part where you choose afsk for the soundmodem from a dropdown menu, just go down to the bottom of the list and choose newqpsk instead. That's the main difference between setting Flex32 up for newqpsk instead of packet. When reading the tutorial, don't miss the part at the last where it recommends the PAXON terminal program. You'll be glad you did, PAXON is really nice.

This is not bad; A 2500 baud digital setup that can be used anywhere there is a voice repeater. The high TXDELAY introduces some slowup, but overall it's fairly snappy. - Good enough to get some lengthy or involved text sent across, or perhaps a small binary file like a JPEG. Since it's a soundcard mode, it's very portable and the price is right too. (free)

Note: The MixW Q15x25 dll package sets you up with an interleave of 16. To make the Flex32 newqpsk version compatible on the air with MixW stations, bump its interleave up to 16 as well.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL
http://www.uspacket.net
n5pvl@uspacket.net

1085. Re: How Do I Use Radio As A Internet Connection

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-27 08:13:00 UTC
From: Michael Hart <mixstat-donotspam@bigpond.net.au>
Message-ID: <gKZ2b.66192$bo1.6085@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 3332

I'm not sure if think this is quite right as far as Australian law is concerned. There is no limitations on connections to the internet so long as the ham at the internet connection passes that information on as third party traffic (similar to phone patches) and that the information is not of a commercial or political nature.

We wanted to set such a thing up last year for JOTA and the ACA does not seem to have a policy on passing third party internet traffic so we assumed it would be the same as passing other third party (voice) traffic so would be allowable for things like JOTA - particularly in our case where the "back channel" was to be through an amateur AX-25 network back to the ISP and the download was to be via a satellite connection.

"Rob Judd" <judd@ob-wan.com> wrote in message
news:3F495D7C.D4581423@ob-wan.com...
> Hi,
>
> Well, getting a ham license isn't exactly a waste of time, but it does
> carry some responsibilities. Unfortunately for us, one of them is
> abiding by communications law.
>
> Currently, governments like to be able to trace directly where
> communications on their system originate. It has to do with law
> enforcement mainly. Hams in the US have been able to do email via the
> internet for a while, and it is regarded here in Australia as
> "allowable" for licensed hams providing they don't have direct access to
> the 'net.
>
> I think such services, if allowed, would be swamped fairly quickly with
> illegal operators anyhow (remember how CB got going?). And someone still
> has to pay for the fixed station bandwidth, both on air and cable. If it
> ever comes to pass, be assured that the government will charge heavily
> for the right to monopolise a static frequency.
>
> Rob

1086. Re: Passing data using subaudible tones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-27 13:59:41 UTC
From: Dana Myers K6JQ <k6jq@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <0eb04cc0c4a002772970cdde4583fd80@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 3333

charlesb wrote:

> If somebody comes up with a subaudible tone data system, even at a low data
> rate, I'd like to hear about it.

Motorola land mobile radios in the pre-trunking days
had an option for something they trademarked as
"Digital Private Line". It was basically 100 baud
data, IIRC, sent as base-band data with the voice
(in other words, 100baud data stream directly
mixed with audio). The radios obviously needed
good DC-response. The sequence sent was something
like a 23-bit pattern was FEC-expanded from a 16-bit
or somesuch digital code. I think there was also
the equivalent of traditional "reverse-burst", a
sequence sent to mute the receiver when the transmitter
unkeyed, to avoid a burst of unsquelched noise.

100 baud data has a base-band bandwidth well-inside
traditional PL if well-filtered or sent use a
raised-cosine/DDS arrangement. Alternating
1s and 0s produce a 200Hz sine wave. Existing
PL filters in receivers and repeaters would filter
DPL out as if it was regular PL. In this sense,
it was quite compatible with existing PL.

Certainly DPL provides a wealth of interesting
background; you can find examples of the hardware
in service manuals for MCX100s and other 1980s
vintage Motorola land-mobile. I'm sure other
OEMs had similar technology.

They key item to successfully sending low-baud
data over an FM transmitter is low distortion,
which means a transmitter with near-DC response;
this is achieved by modulating both the VCO and
reference oscillator in a PLL system. Most ham
radios I've looked at are unsuitable since they
only modulate the VCO and the PLL will suppress
modulation below 50Hz or so.

The other key lesson here is adopting a heavy
FEC approach since you're not in a packet mode,
you're bussing data along with voice. Send short
chunks of data which are robustly FEC-encoded.
It may limit what you can actually send during a

normal voice contact.

Dana

1087. Re: How Do I Use Radio As A Internet Connection

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-27 15:22:54 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <i143b.115807$0v4.8297797@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 3334

"stewart" <horseshoe7@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:5f4fe4c2.0308261128.47616a92@posting.google.com...
> "MRQuickBoard" <none@thistime.com> wrote in message
news:<40c1b.151$wX5.25333@news.uswest.net>...
> > How Do I Use Radio As A Internet Connection, i am wanting to know how i can
> > use a mobile ham radio and hook it up to a laptop to be able to connect to
> > the internet so i can use irc chat dont need fast connection speed. would
> > like to be able to chat on IRC while camping/fishing ware no cell service
is
> > avaiable.
>
> Don't let these folks discourage you. If you don't want to put up
> with a bunch of naysaying hams, look into going the licenseless route
> with MURS. MURS allows digital communications, up to 2W transmitter
> power, and best of all, gain antennas (so you can construct
> communications links having fairly decent comm range).

And where would one purchase such equipment?
Let me guess!

> For more information on MURS:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/MURS-OPEN
>
> Again, I respect you interest in radios, and your application, and
> depending on your application you may be able to get by without
> directly messing with ham radio... although, if you catch the radio
> bug, you may find you want to get a ham license after all.
>
> Again, don't let these folks discourage you.

You just did exactly what you complained about Stew.
You discouraged the use of ham radio.
Please take your MURS trolls to the appropriate newsgroup.
Seems like every time someone suggests using ham radio
you pop right up again and advertise the MURS solution.

Why is that?

> - Stewart

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

1088. What software for soundcard?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-28 11:37:31 UTC
From: Roger Conroy <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <bikpln$m9q$1@ctb-nnrp2.saix.net>
Source record: 3335

Hi all

What is the best/easiest, freely available software to drive a soundcard for various digital modes - AX25, WSJT, APRS, etc? (how about weather sat images, or even sstv?)
I'm using a Windows PC and Alinco DR610 2m/70cm radio.

73
Roger ZR3RC

1089. Re: What software for soundcard?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-28 13:27:29 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@ctc.net>
Message-ID: <2MacnTwSt7hnntOiXTWJhw@ctc.net>
Source record: 3336

>
> What is the best/easiest, freely available software to drive a soundcard
for
> various digital modes - AX25, WSJT, APRS, etc? (how about weather sat
> images, or even sstv?)
> I'm using a Windows PC and Alinco DR610 2m/70cm radio.
>

I won't try to tell you the best but here is a place to go and get many
programs for the sound card.

http://www.muenster.de/~welp/sb.htm

1090. Reception in a tin can

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-28 16:24:50 UTC
From: elmalo@priest.com
Message-ID: <2e000ad4.0308280824.386c900f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3337
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

My office is located in a metal warehouse type structure which effectively shields us from most outside signals. If I want to listen to the radio or use my cell phone inside the building I have to open a bay door. Is there a way I can get all those radio waves inside the building without opening the doors. Is it possible to place an antenna outside and have everything rebroadcast inside? The main reason for this approach is the cell phone. It would be kind of impractical to run an antenna from my cell phone to the outdoors.

Barring the rebroadcasting idea, I am still interested in what the best way is to get better reception on the radio. Do I need to run a wire outside or somehow ground the radio. Go easy on me, I've heard some stuff about 7mhz being 23 feet long at a quarter wave. If your gonna spring some of that stuff on me I need a little more detailed information. I'm not up on radio lingo but I am technically capable.

TIA
The Bad Priest

1091. Re: Reception in a tin can

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-28 16:42:15 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@ctc.net>
Message-ID: <FticnahGB4IjrNOiXTWJkQ@ctc.net>
Source record: 3338
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

> My office is located in a metal warehouse type structure which
> effectively shields us from most outside signals. If I want to listen
> to the radio or use my cell phone inside the building I have to open a
> bay door. Is there a way I can get all those radio waves inside the
> building without opening the doors. Is it possible to place an
> antenna outside and have everything rebroadcast inside? The main
> reason for this approach is the cell phone. It would be kind of
> impractical to run an antenna from my cell phone to the outdoors.
>
> Barring the rebroadcasting idea, I am still interested in what the
> best way is to get better reception on the radio. Do I need to run a
> wire outside or somehow ground the radio. Go easy on me, I've heard
> some stuff about 7mhz being 23 feet long at a quarter wave. If your
> gonna spring some of that stuff on me I need a little more detailed
> information. I'm not up on radio lingo but I am technically capable.

While it may not work for you , look up "passive repeater" This is an antenna aimed at the desired station (on the outside of the building in your case) and a feedline inside the building hooked to another antenna.

For receiving other frequencies you may have to run a wire outside the building and either hook it to the receiver or wrap it around the receiver.

I have not seen it but have heard that in long tunnels they have an outside antenna and an amplifier and some inside antennas for the FM radio stations.

1092. Re: Reception in a tin can

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-28 18:48:14 UTC
From: "WB3FUP (Mike Hall)" <wb3fup@msn.com>
Message-ID: <biliph$aispo$1@ID-204390.news.uni-berlin.de>
Source record: 3339
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

The passive repeater is an excellent idea. I have done that extensively at Radio Shack. We had a TV antenna outside for the TV signals. We would be sure to run a feed-line to the inside TV demo antenna, and to a automobile antenna in the "car sound" display. We could then give relatively accurate demonstrations of the small transistor radios and auto stereos. You wouldn't believe it, or won't believe it until you try it. Two antennas connected by as sort a feed-line as possible.

--
73 es cul

wb3fup
a Salty Bear

"Ralph Mowery" <rmowery@ctc.net> wrote in message
news:FticnahGB4IjrNOiXTWJkQ@ctc.net...
> > My office is located in a metal warehouse type structure which
> > effectively shields us from most outside signals. If I want to listen
> > to the radio or use my cell phone inside the building I have to open a
> > bay door. Is there a way I can get all those radio waves inside the
> > building without opening the doors. Is it possible to place an
> > antenna outside and have everything rebroadcast inside? The main
> > reason for this approach is the cell phone. It would be kind of
> > impractical to run an antenna from my cell phone to the outdoors.
> >
> > Barring the rebroadcasting idea, I am still interested in what the
> > best way is to get better reception on the radio. Do I need to run a
> > wire outside or somehow ground the radio. Go easy on me, I've heard
> > some stuff about 7mhz being 23 feet long at a quarter wave. If your
> > gonna spring some of that stuff on me I need a little more detailed
> > information. I'm not up on radio lingo but I am technically capable.
>
> While it may not work for you , look up "passive repeater" This is an
> antenna aimed at the desired station (on the outside of the building in
your
> case) and a feedline inside the building hooked to another antenna.
>
> For receiving other frequencies you may have to run a wire outside the
> building and either hook it to the receiver or wrap it around the
receiver.
>
> I have not seen it but have heard that in long tunnels they have an
outside
> antenna and an amplifier and some inside antennas for the FM radio

stations.
>
>

1093. Re: Reception in a tin can

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-28 23:08:24 UTC
From: Paul Keinanen <keinanen@sci.fi>
Message-ID: <4luskvse7t3sikurvqbitakv7or45033o8@4ax.com>
Source record: 3340
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

On Thu, 28 Aug 2003 12:42:15 -0400, "Ralph Mowery" <rmowery@ctc.net>
wrote:

>While it may not work for you , look up "passive repeater" This is an
>antenna aimed at the desired station (on the outside of the building in your
>case) and a feedline inside the building hooked to another antenna.

In most cases you have to be quite close to the indoor antenna (within
a few meters), since the signal reradiated from the indoor antenna is
suffering an additional inverse square attenuation.

The worst situation for a passive repeater system is when the repeater
is in the mid path with the end stations A and B. The path losses
(expressed in decibels) from A to the repeater and from the repeater
to B are _added_. Compare this to the free space (line of sight
situation) in which the total path loss from A and B is only 6 dB more
than compared to the path loss for A to the mid point repeater
location.

In a passive repeater system, moving the repeater closer to the other
station, the sum of the path losses from A to the repeater and from
the repeater to B (expressed in decibels) will be smaller than with a
repeater in the mid path.

>I have not seen it but have heard that in long tunnels they have an outside
>antenna and an amplifier and some inside antennas for the FM radio stations.

Leaky coaxial lines are used as "antennas" in tunnels.

Paul OH3LWR

1094. Re: Reception in a tin can

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-29 00:39:49 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <phx3b.7271$em5.3342@newssvr27.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3341
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

I've never had any luck using just one can. You could tie the string to a doorknob and use just one can - but what are you going to hear on it?

To make it work, have another can on the far end of the string, with someone speaking clearly into the can. Remember to keep the audio transmission line taut for best reception.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

1095. AX25 asterix???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-29 00:51:16 UTC
From: "Finn S. Mikkelsen" <stampe@city.dk>
Message-ID: <3f4ea380$0$5145$edfadb0f@dread11.news.tele.dk>
Source record: 3342

Dr Om's

I have a protocoll question...

The asterix, added in the path list, what meaning does that have???

Am i right in the assumption, that it identifies the transmitting digipeater
like this:

oz1aaa>oz2bbb,oz3bbb*,oz4ccc

This packet is transmitted originally from oz1aaa and is destined to end at
oz2bbb, but the actual heard packet, is transmitted (relayed) by oz3bbb,
since the asterix is added to it's call....

Vy 73 de OZ5Z/Finn
++++

1096. Re: AX25 asterix???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-29 01:22:04 UTC
From: Dem <fun.gas@lycos.com>
Message-ID: <0Vx3b.304789$B%1.170816@news-lhr.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 3343

it's an alias, isn't it?

1097. Re: AX25 asterix???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-29 01:45:03 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen <nielsen@remove_this.oz.net>
Message-ID: <bimb6vihl0@216.39.144.81>
Source record: 3344

That is correct, the asterisk signifies the digipeating station which
you heard.

73,
Bob, N7XY

On Fri, 29 Aug 2003 02:51:16 +0200, Finn S. Mikkelsen wrote:
> Dr Om's
>
> I have a protocoll question...
>
> The asterix, added in the path list, what meaning does that have???
>
> Am i right in the assumption, that it identifies the transmitting digipeater
> like this:
>
> oz1aaa>oz2bbb,oz3bbb*,oz4ccc
>
> This packet is transmitted originally from oz1aaa and is destined to end at
> oz2bbb, but the actual heard packet, is transmitted (relayed) by oz3bbb,
> since the asterix is added to it's call....
>
> Vy 73 de OZ5Z/Finn
> ++++
>

1098. Swan 250C w/ac supply

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-29 04:39:03 UTC
From: Carl Domanico <carl.domanico@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <3F4ED8E9.2050001@verizon.net>
Source record: 3345

Hi...I've been looking around for one of the older Swan Transcevers...It is The Model 250C
6 Meter Unit, with if possible the Matching AC Supply...I'm not looking for a basket case, however. the radio does not have to be Mint either ! Also the price has to be reasonable...or else I might as well go out and but one of these new Icom mobile radios with multi-mode VHF-UHF-6 meters-and HF to boot !!...for some $ 725.00
Anyway if someone out there has one of these radios ...please drop me a line and we can go from there...OK

Thanks a Lot
Carl
N2MFW

1099. PSK ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-29 11:20:46 UTC
From: Torbj&#65533;rn Morka <mailuser@cuteyman.no>
Message-ID: <jGG3b.18251$os2.252700@news2.e.nsc.no>
Source record: 3346

I am currently trying out PSK31 on 7035.15 Khz, anyone for a QSO ??

73'de
LA6WLA

1100. Re: Reception in a tin can

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-29 13:24:36 UTC
From: elmalo@priest.com
Message-ID: <2e000ad4.0308290524.463d7abb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3347
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

Your tin can will work much better if you add a piece of tissue a coil of wire and a magnet. I don't know why no one ever mentions that when talking about the finer points of tin can technology.

Well I guess a passive repeater was exactly what I was thinking of and it sounds like it works about as well as one would expect. Is there such a thing as an active repeater? Is there some way that I can amplify all of those signals. Can I broadcast all frequencies all the time?

Also, I'm still interested in grounding. I've been noticing the effects of grounding more and more lately. I just realized that my house isn't really grounded (as far as I can tell anyway). I've got PVC plumbing and the electric box is just wired neutral to common. Ever since I put a ball on my truck and wired up the trailer light connecter I've gone from great reception on the radio to average or poor. I think the trailer ground wire is acting like a maverick antenna. We got a new forklift that has a fiber strap that drags along the floor. I think the wheels are polyethelene or polypropoline or something and without the strap the forklift can generate enough static electricity to knock you on your ass. I lived in Okinawa when I was a kid and a lot of the cars there had a rubber strap with a little reflector that drug along the ground. The explanation at the time was that the Japanese liked lights. I never did understand but could it be to ground the vehicle and get better radio reception? Should I drag a chain behind my truck for better reception? Also, the radio at work; how should I set the antenna up. It's just two pieces of wire tucked up into the ceiling tile. There is a copper compressed air line running nearby that is not grounded directly (It is hung from the structual steel but there is no direct copper to ground). I've noticed that sometimes reception is a lot better if one or both ends of the wire are touching this copper line. Is the antenna being grounded or is it acquiring capacitance? WHat is the proper way to ground it. Do you ever ground an antenna or do you just ground the receiver?

Well that's probably too many questions already. I'm posting via google so i have to wait 3 to 6 hours to see it.

Thanks,
El Malo

and not that anyone is going to tell me but what is 73?

1101. Re: Reception in a tin can

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-29 15:49:16 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3F4F75FC.3BD32A90@cwis.net>
Source record: 3348
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

El Malo,
The 'static' strap draggin on the ground is a terrible
idea. In most cases, it generates more static than it will
ever 'cure'. It's also dangerous, just like the fork lift.
The DOT prohibits static straps on commercial vehicles that
carry flamable/explosive materials (fuel trucks) because they
act as part of a "VanDegraff"(sp) generator. I'm sure a nice
fat spark is exactly what you want to see when connecting a
fuel line to a tank. (Also why fuel hoses at gas pumps are
grounded through the nozzle. And why fuel trucks at an air-
port always connect a ground line to the plane before fueling.)
'Doc

1102. Re: Reception in a tin can

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-29 21:07:46 UTC
From: Drink <drink@gnt.net>
Message-ID: <vkvjdtk9ivrhc1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 3350
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

Para el Hombre Malo,
I don't think there's any panacea solution to your problem but the passive antenna should help with your cell phone. Just have the inside antenna close to where you'll usually talk and the outside antenna high and in the clear. For your other questions, I'm sorry but I chuckled a little. I couldn't tell if you were serious at first but then figured that you're not a licensed ham radio operator.
Active repeaters: yes, often very expensive, Code of Federal Regulation legal issues and FCC licensure.
"All frequencies all the time": not realistically, I think it's called a transponder used on a communications satellite but on steroids.
Building codes require that your house be grounded. It's grounded unless you built it yourself and forgot to do it:)
Alot of things can affect your radio reception and to pursue all of them for a radio station is to joust windmills. Just make sure your connections are good. I wouldn't drag chains behind your truck because they attract bicycles and electric wheelchairs. Your truck is all the "ground plane" for your antenna. As for a radio at work, just pick up a simple antenna from radio shack if it's AM/FM. They're made for your application so it doesn't get much better or simpler.
You might consider looking up a ham radio club in your local area. They might have licensing classes where could learn about all of these issues and much more. If you're interested, a lot of "Old Men" (respectful term of address between hams) are willing to help you learn and improve radio communication skills. When it's said and done, you've earned your license for free. Give it a try if the idea strikes you.
"73" comes from old radio operator brevity codes that were used to send a common question or statement as short and easy as possible. I believe it meant, "Warmest Regards" or something to that effect since it's understood outside of language barriers. So, that's the way we commonly sign off.

73's y un saludo muy cordial pa' ti y los suyos,
--
o_o_o_o
Best Regards, /| ,[_____],
Jim, WP3JQ |���L --O||||||O-
()_)�()_) �����)_)
EM60qk 30.447439N 086.628959W

1103. PK-232 DSP upgrade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-29 21:15:17 UTC
From: Pär C <flitt@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <biofp6$bnqjl$1@ID-181316.news.uni-berlin.de>
Source record: 3349

Has anyone actually tried Timewaves DSP- or PSK-upgrades ?
I've looked "everywhere" but I can't find a review or even
an impression of these.

73's de Per / SM0RWO

1104. TrueTTY 2.19 beta is published

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-30 18:32:23 UTC
From: Sergei Podstrigailo <amx3@dxsoft.com>
Message-ID: <biqqjo$c4b14$1@ID-52759.news.uni-berlin.de>
Source record: 3351

Hello All,

I have uploaded TrueTTY 2.19c beta to the main site and mirror:

http://www.dxsoft.com/truettyb.zip
http://www.truetty.com/truettyb.zip

RTTY and ASCII decoder was fully rewritten to improve decoding quality.

You feedbacks are very welcome!

Best regards,
Sergei

Windows HAM radio software at http://www.dxsoft.com

1105. HOST MODE for KAM XL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-31 12:50:54 UTC
From: KB0IRV <rgauthier@socket.net>
Message-ID: <vl3rpk9s2oj81d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 3352

I am looking for the HOST MODE guide for KAM XL. Does anyone know how to obtain a copy. Kantronics is giving me the COLD SHOULDER. They sent me one several years ago on the KAM 5.0, but they must have changed company policy.

Ray

1106. Re: HOST MODE for KAM XL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-08-31 16:41:22 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <Syp4b.122557$3o3.8609777@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 3353

I emailed you a copy of the document, hope it helps.

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

"KB0IRV" <rgauthier@socket.net> wrote in message
news:vl3rpk9s2oj81d@corp.supernews.com...
> I am looking for the HOST MODE guide for KAM XL. Does anyone know how to
> obtain a copy. Kantronics is giving me the COLD SHOULDER. They sent me one
> several years ago on the KAM 5.0, but they must have changed company policy.
>
> Ray
>
>

1107. Re: HOST MODE for KAM XL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-01 00:54:48 UTC
From: KB0IRV <rgauthier@socket.net>
Message-ID: <vl567c3nobr308@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 3354

Thanks again Hank, yes I did get it and ... well unfortunately I have this copy. Copyright 1995. I am specifically looking for a newer copy of the document.
They may not have published one. I am sure they have updated some of their commands ... especially for APRS and other newer modes.

I talked to Matt May who was going to forward my request to the CEO, but that is as far as it got. There is some 'agreement' he had mentioned, and I am more than willing to look at it, provided they allow me that 'honor'.

It seems more and more companies are closing their doors to programmers.

Ray

"Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
news:Syp4b.122557$3o3.8609777@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> I emailed you a copy of the document, hope it helps.
>
> --
>
> ... Hank
>
> Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
>
> "KB0IRV" <rgauthier@socket.net> wrote in message
> news:vl3rpk9s2oj81d@corp.supernews.com...
> > I am looking for the HOST MODE guide for KAM XL. Does anyone know how to
> > obtain a copy. Kantronics is giving me the COLD SHOULDER. They sent me
one
> > several years ago on the KAM 5.0, but they must have changed company
policy.
> >
> > Ray
> >
> >
>
>

1108. Re: HOST MODE for KAM XL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-01 03:59:22 UTC
From: "Dave, AA6YQ" <aa6yq@ambersoft.com>
Message-ID: <uuz4b.321566$uu5.66215@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 3355

If they won't send you the documentation, there is the time-honored technique of reverse engineering: monitor the serial port while running a host-mode application. I'll be happy to send you a copy of PortMon should you need it.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

"KB0IRV" <rgauthier@socket.net> wrote in message news:vl567c3nobr308@corp.supernews.com...
> Thanks again Hank, yes I did get it and ... well unfortunately I have this
> copy. Copyright 1995. I am specifically looking for a newer copy of the
> document.
> They may not have published one. I am sure they have updated some of their
> commands ... especially for APRS and other newer modes.
>
> I talked to Matt May who was going to forward my request to the CEO, but
> that is as far as it got. There is some 'agreement' he had mentioned, and
I
> am more than willing to look at it, provided they allow me that 'honor'.
>
> It seems more and more companies are closing their doors to programmers.
>
> Ray
>
>
>
> "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
> news:Syp4b.122557$3o3.8609777@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > I emailed you a copy of the document, hope it helps.
> >
> > --
> >
> > ... Hank
> >
> > Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> > W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
> >
> > "KB0IRV" <rgauthier@socket.net> wrote in message
> > news:vl3rpk9s2oj81d@corp.supernews.com...
> > > I am looking for the HOST MODE guide for KAM XL. Does anyone know how
to
> > > obtain a copy. Kantronics is giving me the COLD SHOULDER. They sent
me

> one
> > > several years ago on the KAM 5.0, but they must have changed company
> policy.
> > >
> > > Ray
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1109. Re: HOST MODE for KAM XL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-01 19:55:08 UTC
From: KB0IRV <rgauthier@socket.net>
Message-ID: <vl791893u4j688@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 3356

OOOOH AAAAAH ... I might be interested in it . You know I really don't know what the big deal is. It isn't like I am stealing software. It would be just like the electric company refusing to tell you the voltage of your house.

I can't think of a good comparison. I am needing to write some routines to update the repeater and I KNOW they don't have software written specifically to update a RLC CLUB controller.

I would also like to use it to find out what the stuff is between the computer and my Oregon Scientific WMR-968. They TOO are like Kantronics. But this isn't such a big deal ... they did have the foresight to output the data into a csv file. I can deal with that.

Ray

"Dave, AA6YQ" <aa6yq@ambersoft.com> wrote in message
news:uuz4b.321566$uu5.66215@sccrnsc04...
> If they won't send you the documentation, there is the time-honored
> technique of reverse engineering: monitor the serial port while running a
> host-mode application. I'll be happy to send you a copy of PortMon should
> you need it.
>
> 73,
>
> Dave, AA6YQ
>
> "KB0IRV" <rgauthier@socket.net> wrote in message
> news:vl567c3nobr308@corp.supernews.com...
> > Thanks again Hank, yes I did get it and ... well unfortunately I have
this
> > copy. Copyright 1995. I am specifically looking for a newer copy of the
> > document.
> > They may not have published one. I am sure they have updated some of
their
> > commands ... especially for APRS and other newer modes.
> >
> > I talked to Matt May who was going to forward my request to the CEO, but
> > that is as far as it got. There is some 'agreement' he had mentioned,
and
> I
> > am more than willing to look at it, provided they allow me that 'honor'.
> >
> > It seems more and more companies are closing their doors to programmers.
> >

> > Ray
> >
> >
> >
> > "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
> > news:Syp4b.122557$3o3.8609777@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > I emailed you a copy of the document, hope it helps.
> > >
> > > --
> > >
> > > ... Hank
> > >
> > > Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> > > W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
> > >
> > > "KB0IRV" <rgauthier@socket.net> wrote in message
> > > news:vl3rpk9s2oj81d@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > I am looking for the HOST MODE guide for KAM XL. Does anyone know
how
> to
> > > > obtain a copy. Kantronics is giving me the COLD SHOULDER. They
sent
> me
> > one
> > > > several years ago on the KAM 5.0, but they must have changed company
> > policy.
> > > >
> > > > Ray
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1110. Message from the ARRL on the BPL Fight.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-03 01:30:42 UTC
From: laborkei <laborkeiNOSPAM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Sub5b.62$b6.6@bignews4.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 3357
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap

August 2003

Dear Amateur Radio Club Members,

As summer fades and we anticipate a return to the rigors of fall, you will be reinvigorating Amateur Radio club operations in your communities. But before you club launches its activities, I hope you can take a moment at your next meeting and consider a disturbing and critical topic - Broadband over Power Lines (BPL).

BPL is a devastating threat to the Amateur Radio spectrum - especially the HF bands. There has been a lot of publicity on this issue - articles on the ARRL website (www.arrl.org/bpl <http://www.arrl.org/bpl>) and other ham radio sites. Your ARRL is at the forefront of the campaign to defeat BPL and will continue to work tirelessly to protect your Amateur Radio bands. And you can help.

This summer individual hams - and clubs - have responded generously to fund ARRL's efforts to fight BPL, and have filed comments to the FCC. But we still need to raise an additional $55,000 to fund the field measurements and document filings necessary to defeat this threat. We're all in this effort together, so I hope your club will jump on the bandwagon and support the campaign financially. We've received club contributions ranging from $50 to $2000. If your organization has already made a donation, thank you.

And if your club is considering a contribution, I hope you'll do so right away. With a contribution of $100, $250, $500 or more, your club can join the roster of clubs that are joining the effort. Your commitment will make a tremendous difference. And we'll put a list of contributing clubs on the ARRL website this fall as our way of saying thank you.

If you need more information on BPL for your club, go to the ARRL website (www.arrl.org/BPL <http://www.arrl.org/BPL>) for the full story, including copies of the two FCC filings and a video that graphically demonstrates the interference radio amateurs would experience from BPL. The video can be downloaded and played at your next club meeting, and there's a PowerPoint presentation you can use. Just follow the link above to an "Understanding the NOI" page and a resource page where you'll find the presentation.

ARRL President Jim Haynie calls BPL the biggest threat to Amateur Radio in decades - and hams have lent their voices to the cause. If BPL becomes a reality, many Amateur Radio activities, like Field Day, will be meaningless. Your club's support with a generous financial contribution now will help fund this effort.

Thank you for considering this request. Contributions can be made on-line at www.arrl.org <http://www.arrl.org>, or by mail to BPL Special Spectrum Defense Campaign, ARRL, 225 Main Street, Newington CT 06111.

73,
Mary M. Hobart, K1MMH
Chief Development Officer

1111. TrueTTY 2.20 is released

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-03 11:29:56 UTC
From: Sergei Podstrigailo <amx3@dxsoft.com>
Message-ID: <bj4jbj$ec3be$1@ID-52759.news.uni-berlin.de>
Source record: 3358

Hello All,

I have uploaded TrueTTY 2.20 to the main site and mirror:

http://www.dxsoft.com/truetty.zip
http://www.truetty.com/truetty.zip

Best regards,
Sergei
http://www.dxsoft.com

==========
TrueTTY Versions History

V2.20
User can select optimal or wide filters via "Filters" menu in RTTY and
ASCII
modes now. Wide filters can be useful to decoding signals corrupted by
polar
flutter. Use optimal filters in all another cases.
V2.19
RTTY and ASCII decoder was rewritten to improve decoding quality.
V2.10
The documentation was updated.
Auto-recognizing of callsigns and control numbers was improved
in contest mode.
Some minor bugs were fixed.
[...]

1112. FS> Complete Yaesu FT-847 Package

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-03 12:02:37 UTC
From: John Schneider <thecop@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <xLk5b.144912$yg.40212327@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 3359

I have a Yaesu FT-847 for sale. The rig is in as new condition and I have
the original box and manual. In addition, I have replaced the stock SSB
filter with an Inrad 2.1 SSB filter. The package also includes the
following items:
- LDG AT-11MP Autotuner (as new)
- Buxcomm digital interface (HF and VHF cables)
- Yaesu FP-1023 power supply (as new)

I can only sell the complete package at this time and the price is $1450.00
firm.

John, KB2COP
thecop@optonline.net

--

www.geocities.com/theoldcop

1113. Re: HOST MODE for KAM XL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-03 16:05:32 UTC
From: Rick Ruhl <ricker@cssincorp.com>
Message-ID: <iio5b.2$7F.1@bignews5.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 3360

Ray,

I dont know if Host Mode would do you any good using the TNC to do repeater control. Most of the command you'd send would only be sent through the data stream on one Packet stream. You could use terminal mode for that.

As you may not know, Pacterm for Windows is the only 32-bit program that supports the KAM XL (as far as I know). For the most part, the host mode in the XL is the same as the 1995 docs, with some minor changes for PSK-31 mode for the XL.

The APRS commands are sent through the command channel and APRS data is through the monitor channel.

Rick - W4PC

"KB0IRV" <rgauthier@socket.net> wrote in message
news:vl791893u4j688@corp.supernews.com...
> OOOOH AAAAAH ... I might be interested in it . You know I really don't
> know what the big deal is. It isn't like I am stealing software. It would
> be just like the electric company refusing to tell you the voltage of your
> house.
>
> I can't think of a good comparison. I am needing to write some routines
to
> update the repeater and I KNOW they don't have software written
specifically
> to update a RLC CLUB controller.
>
> I would also like to use it to find out what the stuff is between the
> computer and my Oregon Scientific WMR-968. They TOO are like Kantronics.
> But this isn't such a big deal ... they did have the foresight to output
the
> data into a csv file. I can deal with that.
>
> Ray
>
>
> "Dave, AA6YQ" <aa6yq@ambersoft.com> wrote in message
> news:uuz4b.321566$uu5.66215@sccrnsc04...
> > If they won't send you the documentation, there is the time-honored
> > technique of reverse engineering: monitor the serial port while running
a
> > host-mode application. I'll be happy to send you a copy of PortMon
should
> > you need it.

> >
> > 73,
> >
> > Dave, AA6YQ
> >
> > "KB0IRV" <rgauthier@socket.net> wrote in message
> > news:vl567c3nobr308@corp.supernews.com...
> > > Thanks again Hank, yes I did get it and ... well unfortunately I have
> this
> > > copy. Copyright 1995. I am specifically looking for a newer copy of
the
> > > document.
> > > They may not have published one. I am sure they have updated some of
> their
> > > commands ... especially for APRS and other newer modes.
> > >
> > > I talked to Matt May who was going to forward my request to the CEO,
but
> > > that is as far as it got. There is some 'agreement' he had mentioned,
> and
> > I
> > > am more than willing to look at it, provided they allow me that
'honor'.
> > >
> > > It seems more and more companies are closing their doors to
programmers.
> > >
> > > Ray
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
> > > news:Syp4b.122557$3o3.8609777@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > I emailed you a copy of the document, hope it helps.
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > >
> > > > ... Hank
> > > >
> > > > Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> > > > W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
> > > >
> > > > "KB0IRV" <rgauthier@socket.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:vl3rpk9s2oj81d@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > > I am looking for the HOST MODE guide for KAM XL. Does anyone know
> how
> > to
> > > > > obtain a copy. Kantronics is giving me the COLD SHOULDER. They
> sent
> > me

> > > one
> > > > > several years ago on the KAM 5.0, but they must have changed
company
> > > policy.
> > > > >
> > > > > Ray
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1114. Re: Hotmail Hacking Made Simple

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-03 20:19:37 UTC
From: qwertyman66@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <656b1659.0309031219.6ea466ca@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3361
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

"Adrian Bailey" <dadge@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<GD55b.18439$io2.6464@news-binary.blueyonder.co.uk>...
> <donjones@optonline.net> wrote in message
> news:ue55b.122227$yg.34886355@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> > Check this out. I didnt know hotmail was so easy to break into
> >
> > http://www.iwars.net/dmxdvc.html
>
> Clicking on that link gives you:
>
> -----
> YOU HAVE JUST BEEN RECRUITED AS DMXDVC'S THUG!
>
> By visiting this page, you have just recruited 1 thug for dmxdvc, increasing
> their chance of winning the prizes! dmxdvc now has 5853 thugs and is getting
> stronger every day, it is upto you to beat them.
> Good Luck!
> ------
>
> So don't click on it.
> Adrian

You have got to be kidding if you think that there are many people wh
are dumb enough to click on that link without some kind of protection
against losers like you who have nothing better to do with their time
than try to hack a free email address. And how many groups did you
waste your time cross posting to?

1115. olympus stylus 300/400 basic operation questions on digital camera

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-03 21:52:27 UTC
From: inetquestion <inetquestion@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <fkoclvs4ldimgm6r3apkoerk38d1ccc51b@4ax.com>
Source record: 3362

Got a few questions about my new olympus stylus 400 (same as olympus 300).

1. When usb cable is connected to camera and computer, is the battery
recharging during this time?

2. With the optional ac adapter connected to the camera,
does this recharge the battery?

3. How do you take panaramic photos? When I select this option I see no difference
when viewing the photos on the camera, they look just like a normal photo...
Is there some additional step I'm missing here?

4. Does anyone make a charger that can charge multiple batteries at one time?
the only one I've seen thus far is the single battery charger which comes with the
camera

alt.comp.periphs.dcameras
rec.photo.digital
rec.photo.marketplace.digital
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

Thanks,

mailto:inetquestion@hotmail.com

1116. Re: HOST MODE for KAM XL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-04 00:09:10 UTC
From: KB0IRV <rgauthier@socket.net>
Message-ID: <vld0oc1o26567a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 3363

Hey Rick,

Up until April 28th (the day lightning took out the KAM and the Peet Bros. 2000, I was using a program I had written and was doing just that. I had a KPC-3 PLUS on repeater end and was using the KAM on the computer end. I had some interesting problems to over come namely getting rid of all the STAMP and CSTAMP stuff. I had to put it in and keep it in TRANS mode. I had to disable all of the ... ... damn ... well packet status thingy bobs.

You see anything that would cause either packet tnc to return a EH? ... HA HA HA I put those two TNC's thorough so much hell ... they would go in to these black hole loops ... repeating EH? EH?

Host mode is so much easier to work with than Terminal mode. The software was already written .. just adapting it to the repeater.

Thanks again Rick,

Ray

"Rick Ruhl" <ricker@cssincorp.com> wrote in message
news:iio5b.2$7F.1@bignews5.bellsouth.net...
> Ray,
>
> I dont know if Host Mode would do you any good using the TNC to do
repeater
> control. Most of the command you'd send would only be sent through the
data
> stream on one Packet stream. You could use terminal mode for that.
>
> As you may not know, Pacterm for Windows is the only 32-bit program that
> supports the KAM XL (as far as I know). For the most part, the host mode
in
> the XL is the same as the 1995 docs, with some minor changes for PSK-31
mode
> for the XL.
>
> The APRS commands are sent through the command channel and APRS data is
> through the monitor channel.
>
> Rick - W4PC
>
>
>
> "KB0IRV" <rgauthier@socket.net> wrote in message
> news:vl791893u4j688@corp.supernews.com...

> > OOOOH AAAAAH ... I might be interested in it . You know I really don't
> > know what the big deal is. It isn't like I am stealing software. It
would
> > be just like the electric company refusing to tell you the voltage of
your
> > house.
> >
> > I can't think of a good comparison. I am needing to write some
routines
> to
> > update the repeater and I KNOW they don't have software written
> specifically
> > to update a RLC CLUB controller.
> >
> > I would also like to use it to find out what the stuff is between the
> > computer and my Oregon Scientific WMR-968. They TOO are like
Kantronics.
> > But this isn't such a big deal ... they did have the foresight to output
> the
> > data into a csv file. I can deal with that.
> >
> > Ray
> >
> >
> > "Dave, AA6YQ" <aa6yq@ambersoft.com> wrote in message
> > news:uuz4b.321566$uu5.66215@sccrnsc04...
> > > If they won't send you the documentation, there is the time-honored
> > > technique of reverse engineering: monitor the serial port while
running
> a
> > > host-mode application. I'll be happy to send you a copy of PortMon
> should
> > > you need it.
> > >
> > > 73,
> > >
> > > Dave, AA6YQ
> > >
> > > "KB0IRV" <rgauthier@socket.net> wrote in message
> > > news:vl567c3nobr308@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > Thanks again Hank, yes I did get it and ... well unfortunately I
have
> > this
> > > > copy. Copyright 1995. I am specifically looking for a newer copy of
> the
> > > > document.
> > > > They may not have published one. I am sure they have updated some of
> > their
> > > > commands ... especially for APRS and other newer modes.
> > > >

> > > > I talked to Matt May who was going to forward my request to the CEO,
> but
> > > > that is as far as it got. There is some 'agreement' he had
mentioned,
> > and
> > > I
> > > > am more than willing to look at it, provided they allow me that
> 'honor'.
> > > >
> > > > It seems more and more companies are closing their doors to
> programmers.
> > > >
> > > > Ray
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:Syp4b.122557$3o3.8609777@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > I emailed you a copy of the document, hope it helps.
> > > > >
> > > > > --
> > > > >
> > > > > ... Hank
> > > > >
> > > > > Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> > > > > W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
> > > > >
> > > > > "KB0IRV" <rgauthier@socket.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:vl3rpk9s2oj81d@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > > > I am looking for the HOST MODE guide for KAM XL. Does anyone
know
> > how
> > > to
> > > > > > obtain a copy. Kantronics is giving me the COLD SHOULDER. They
> > sent
> > > me
> > > > one
> > > > > > several years ago on the KAM 5.0, but they must have changed
> company
> > > > policy.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Ray
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >

> >
> >
>
>

1117. Re: HOST MODE for KAM XL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-04 00:10:49 UTC
From: KB0IRV <rgauthier@socket.net>
Message-ID: <vld0oddbu5867b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 3364

Hey Rick,

Up until April 28th (the day lightning took out the KAM and the Peet Bros. 2000, I was using a program I had written and was doing just that. I had a KPC-3 PLUS on repeater end and was using the KAM on the computer end. I had some interesting problems to over come namely getting rid of all the STAMP and CSTAMP stuff. I had to put it in and keep it in TRANS mode. I had to disable all of the ... ... damn ... well packet status thingy bobs.

You see anything that would cause either packet tnc to return a EH? ... HA HA HA I put those two TNC's thorough so much hell ... they would go in to these black hole loops ... repeating EH? EH?

Host mode is so much easier to work with than Terminal mode. The software was already written .. just adapting it to the repeater.

Thanks again Rick,

Ray

"Rick Ruhl" <ricker@cssincorp.com> wrote in message
news:iio5b.2$7F.1@bignews5.bellsouth.net...
> Ray,
>
> I dont know if Host Mode would do you any good using the TNC to do
repeater
> control. Most of the command you'd send would only be sent through the
data
> stream on one Packet stream. You could use terminal mode for that.
>
> As you may not know, Pacterm for Windows is the only 32-bit program that
> supports the KAM XL (as far as I know). For the most part, the host mode
in
> the XL is the same as the 1995 docs, with some minor changes for PSK-31
mode
> for the XL.
>
> The APRS commands are sent through the command channel and APRS data is
> through the monitor channel.
>
> Rick - W4PC
>
>
>
> "KB0IRV" <rgauthier@socket.net> wrote in message
> news:vl791893u4j688@corp.supernews.com...

> > OOOOH AAAAAH ... I might be interested in it . You know I really don't
> > know what the big deal is. It isn't like I am stealing software. It
would
> > be just like the electric company refusing to tell you the voltage of
your
> > house.
> >
> > I can't think of a good comparison. I am needing to write some
routines
> to
> > update the repeater and I KNOW they don't have software written
> specifically
> > to update a RLC CLUB controller.
> >
> > I would also like to use it to find out what the stuff is between the
> > computer and my Oregon Scientific WMR-968. They TOO are like
Kantronics.
> > But this isn't such a big deal ... they did have the foresight to output
> the
> > data into a csv file. I can deal with that.
> >
> > Ray
> >
> >
> > "Dave, AA6YQ" <aa6yq@ambersoft.com> wrote in message
> > news:uuz4b.321566$uu5.66215@sccrnsc04...
> > > If they won't send you the documentation, there is the time-honored
> > > technique of reverse engineering: monitor the serial port while
running
> a
> > > host-mode application. I'll be happy to send you a copy of PortMon
> should
> > > you need it.
> > >
> > > 73,
> > >
> > > Dave, AA6YQ
> > >
> > > "KB0IRV" <rgauthier@socket.net> wrote in message
> > > news:vl567c3nobr308@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > Thanks again Hank, yes I did get it and ... well unfortunately I
have
> > this
> > > > copy. Copyright 1995. I am specifically looking for a newer copy of
> the
> > > > document.
> > > > They may not have published one. I am sure they have updated some of
> > their
> > > > commands ... especially for APRS and other newer modes.
> > > >

> > > > I talked to Matt May who was going to forward my request to the CEO,
> but
> > > > that is as far as it got. There is some 'agreement' he had
mentioned,
> > and
> > > I
> > > > am more than willing to look at it, provided they allow me that
> 'honor'.
> > > >
> > > > It seems more and more companies are closing their doors to
> programmers.
> > > >
> > > > Ray
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:Syp4b.122557$3o3.8609777@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > > I emailed you a copy of the document, hope it helps.
> > > > >
> > > > > --
> > > > >
> > > > > ... Hank
> > > > >
> > > > > Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> > > > > W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
> > > > >
> > > > > "KB0IRV" <rgauthier@socket.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:vl3rpk9s2oj81d@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > > > I am looking for the HOST MODE guide for KAM XL. Does anyone
know
> > how
> > > to
> > > > > > obtain a copy. Kantronics is giving me the COLD SHOULDER. They
> > sent
> > > me
> > > > one
> > > > > > several years ago on the KAM 5.0, but they must have changed
> company
> > > > policy.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Ray
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >

> >
> >
>
>

1118. Repost: WTD connector pinout & voltage/polarity for HandiPacket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-04 23:44:20 UTC
From: Spud <nospam.davidaanderson@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <o7Q5b.898475$ro6.18149425@news2.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 3365
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.packet,ampr.packet.aprs,rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.packet

A good friend inherited a PacCom HandiPacket TNC without manual. Need plug pinout and power plug polarity & voltage please.

--
Spud: ve7ifd nospam at rac.ca (remove nospam)

1119. Re: Repost: WTD connector pinout & voltage/polarity for HandiPacket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-05 04:01:12 UTC
From: Spud <nospam.davidaanderson@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <cUT5b.898959$ro6.18167666@news2.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 3366
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.packet,ampr.packet.aprs,rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.packet

Thanks to N4ELM for the much needed information
de VE7IFD

"Spud" <nospam.davidaanderson@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:o7Q5b.898475$ro6.18149425@news2.calgary.shaw.ca...
> A good friend inherited a PacCom HandiPacket TNC without manual. Need plug
> pinout and power plug polarity & voltage please.
>
> --
> Spud: ve7ifd nospam at rac.ca (remove nospam)
>
>

1120. Western CT Hamfest Sunday Sept 14

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-05 04:59:04 UTC
From: IGotYerNameRightHere <jimkd1yv@youthinkimatsnet.net>
Message-ID: <3F581833.A9A7CC48@youthinkimatsnet.net>
Source record: 3367

WESTERN CONNECTICUT HAMFEST
Sponsored by: Candlewood Amateur Radio Association - CARA
http://www.danbury.org/cara/

Sunday, September 14, 2002 Open to the public 8:30 AM to 12:30 PM

Table Set-up at 7:00 AM.
Commercial Amateur Radio Vendors, Tables, Tailgating
Edmond Town Hall, Route 25, Newtown, CT Exit 10 off of I-84

Admission $5.00, Kids Under 12 FREE.
Tables $12.50 Tailgating $8.00. (Both INCLUDE 1 admission).

ARRL Sanctioned. Talk-in on 147.3 (+) PL 100.0. Handicapped
Access. Ham Radio Equipment. Computers. Door Prizes.
Refreshments. Ample Parking. Rain or shine.

Only 12 minutes from Brewster, NY, 15 minutes from Waterbury, CT.

For information
call: (203) 438 6781 or (203) 798 2831

---------- Table Reservation ----------
Mail to: Candlewood Amateur Radio Association (CARA) Hamfest
c/o John Ahle, W1JMA
120 Firehill Rd.
Ridgefield, CT 06877

Name:_____
Address:_____
City/State:_____

Please reserve _____ tables at $12.50 each.
Yes / No : I need electricity

Make checks payable to CARA

1121. Price Reduction FT-847 Package

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-05 16:25:09 UTC
From: John Schneider <thecop@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <FN26b.33245$Ay2.6476914@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 3368

I have a Yaesu FT-847 for sale. The rig is in as new condition and I have the original power cord, hand mic., box and manual. In addition, I have replaced the stock SSB filter with an Inrad 2.1 SSB filter (although the stock filter will be shipped to the purchaser as well). The package also includes the following items:

- LDG AT-11MP Autotuner (as new)
- Yaesu FP-1023 power supply (as new)

I can only sell the complete package at this time and I am asking $1300.00 (facilitate purchase of a new radio).

John, KB2COP
thecop@optonline.net

--

www.geocities.com/theoldcop

1122. 300KHz digital signal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-05 19:20:17 UTC
From: John P <jpalatella@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <RI56b.36062$Nc.8373557@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 3369
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

I monitor VLF and have discovered a data signal at 300.0 KHz. It seems to be on continuously. I have searched the FCC databases and searched the web, but can not find out what this signal is. It is S9+10 in Maryland.

Can anyone tell me what this is or where I can search for info to try to identify it?

Thanks,

John

1123. Re: 300KHz digital signal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-05 21:51:22 UTC
From: Bud Rogers <budr@netride.net>
Message-ID: <3f59055b_2@nntp2.nac.net>
Source record: 3370
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

John P wrote:

> I monitor VLF and have discovered a data signal at 300.0 KHz. It seems to
> be on continuously. I have searched the FCC databases and searched the
> web,
> but can not find out what this signal is. It is S9+10 in Maryland.
>
> Can anyone tell me what this is or where I can search for info to try to
> identify it?

Are you near any high voltage transmission lines? Power companies use power line carrier to pass low bandwidth telemetry and relaying signals on transmission lines. They typically operate from about 300 kHz down.

--
budr at netride dot net

1124. PSK31 with DOS and sound card?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-06 12:40:17 UTC
From: Ken <cprstn54zz@att.net>
Message-ID: <valjlvo9oq5ed95ob4m8i05928klp8knjp@4ax.com>
Source record: 3371

Any programs out there that do PSK31 RX/TX under DOS, using a
soundblaster sound card?

Ken KC2JDY

Ken
(to reply via email
remove "zz" from address)

1125. Re: Win FBB and TELNET

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-06 13:40:01 UTC
From: gervais <gfillio1@globetrotter.net>
Message-ID: <Rsl6b.1544$C92.305@charlie.risq.qc.ca>
Source record: 3372

michael,
contact jean,ve2pkt it may help
http://ve2pkt.dyndns.org/

gervais,ve2ckn

"Michael Talkington" <kc8fwd@verizon.net> a crit dans le message de news:
2vdYa.22228$td7.19852@nwrddc01.gnilink.net...
> Hello,
> I need a forwarding partner on the internet via telnet and someone who can
> help me set it up for telnet also.I have a dsl connection Thanks Mike
KC8FWD
>
>

1126. Re: PSK31 with DOS and sound card?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-06 14:03:00 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@ctc.net>
Message-ID: <iJCcnbA5ULtKdMSiU-KYuQ@ctc.net>
Source record: 3373

"Ken" <cprstn54zz@att.net> wrote in message
news:valjlvo9oq5ed95ob4m8i05928klp8knjp@4ax.com...
> Any programs out there that do PSK31 RX/TX under DOS, using a
> soundblaster sound card?
>
> Ken KC2JDY
>
You may try this one.

http://home.uwnet.nl/~pa3byz/download.htm

Also I think that the origional psk31 program will work with windows 3.1
which is really a dos type program.

1127. Re: 300KHz digital signal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-06 18:53:09 UTC
From: "Clifton T. Sharp Jr." <clifto@clifto.com>
Message-ID: <3F5A2D15.2FD11836@clifto.com>
Source record: 3374
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

John P wrote:
> I monitor VLF and have discovered a data signal at 300.0 KHz. It seems to
> be on continuously. I have searched the FCC databases and searched the web,
> but can not find out what this signal is. It is S9+10 in Maryland.

There's a USCG DGPS station at Annapolis, but it's on 301.0 KHz.

--
"Here, Outlook Express, run this program." "Okay, stranger."

1128. Re: 300KHz digital signal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-07 00:56:35 UTC
From: John P <jpalatella@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <7nv6b.37055$Nc.8760061@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 3375
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

All,

Thanks for the replies. I think the mystery is solved. I believe I am hearing the DGPS station in Annapolis on 301kHz. I hear the same type (but weaker) signal at 307kHz, which is the DGPS station in Hagerstown, MD.

Thanks all!

John

"Clifton T. Sharp Jr." <clifto@clifto.com> wrote in message news:3F5A2D15.2FD11836@clifto.com...
> John P wrote:
> > I monitor VLF and have discovered a data signal at 300.0 KHz. It seems to
> > be on continuously. I have searched the FCC databases and searched the web,
> > but can not find out what this signal is. It is S9+10 in Maryland.
>
> There's a USCG DGPS station at Annapolis, but it's on 301.0 KHz.
>
> --
> "Here, Outlook Express, run this program." "Okay, stranger."

1129. Re: Price Reduction FT-847 Package

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-07 13:28:03 UTC
From: bball@green.home.org
Message-ID: <slrnblmdoq.ivm.bball@green.home.org>
Source record: 3376

- i'd say that your sale is suspect as you're cross-posting instead of
just staying in *swap

On Fri, 05 Sep 2003 16:25:09 GMT, John Schneider <thecop@optonline.net> wrote:
> I have a Yaesu FT-847 for sale. The rig is in as new condition and I have
> the original power cord, hand mic., box and manual. In addition, I have
> replaced the stock SSB filter with an Inrad 2.1 SSB filter (although the
> stock filter will be shipped to the purchaser as well). The package also
> includes the following items:
>
> - LDG AT-11MP Autotuner (as new)
> - Yaesu FP-1023 power supply (as new)
>
> I can only sell the complete package at this time and I am asking $1300.00
> (facilitate purchase of a new radio).
>
> John, KB2COP
> thecop@optonline.net
>
>
> --
>
>
> www.geocities.com/theoldcop
>
>

1130. AMT-3 terminal unit?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-08 07:30:28 UTC
From: Roger Basford <Basford@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <OtqNzeJUADX$EwO3@btinternet.com>
Source record: 3377

Hi,

Looking for info on the AMT-3 AMTOR/RTTY terminal made by ICS in the UK
during the 1980's. A copy of the manual would be great or just the
control codes and D connector pinouts.

73,
--
Roger Basford
(G3VKM)

1131. ROBOT 400SSTV unit like new

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-08 13:58:43 UTC
From: ljl160@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030908095843.10815.00000578@mb-m29.aol.com>
Source record: 3378

I have in like new condition the Robot 400 sstv unit. Asking $75 for it. See it at http://www.officeto-go.com/radiolist.html
Thanks and 73s Lew K1NDV

1132. newbie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-10 17:59:18 UTC
From: crosby@uta.edu
Message-ID: <513a7154.0309100959.4417710b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3379

I am new to Ham Radio. I am very interested in APRS and Packet radio. As I start to build my ham shack and complete my vehicle for RACES what is some good advice for me? I work mainly with Mac computers in the design and graphics area so I am currently shopping around for cheap PCs to install in my Ham shack and an old laptop for the vehicle to do APRS. I listened to some interesting conversations on VHF concerning the above subjects but as you would know it is not the complete picture. So if you were starting out in too this two fields of Ham radio what advice would you give if you are an Elmer to a newbie. I am interested in buying used equipment when possible.

regards,
Robert
KD5YHY

1133. Re: newbie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-10 18:08:04 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <8MJ7b.136403$3o3.9697993@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 3380

"Robert" <crosby@uta.edu> wrote in message
news:513a7154.0309100959.4417710b@posting.google.com...
> I am new to Ham Radio. I am very interested in APRS and Packet radio.
> As I start to build my ham shack and complete my vehicle for RACES
> what is some good advice for me? I work mainly with Mac computers in
> the design and graphics area so I am currently shopping around for
> cheap PCs to install in my Ham shack and an old laptop for the vehicle
> to do APRS. I listened to some interesting conversations on VHF
> concerning the above subjects but as you would know it is not the
> complete picture. So if you were starting out in too this two fields
> of Ham radio what advice would you give if you are an Elmer to a
> newbie. I am interested in buying used equipment when possible.
>
> regards,
> Robert
> KD5YHY

For most ham radio use, junker Pentium machines work well.
I have a couple of them in the shack, picked them up from
friends or relatives who had upgraded and were going to
toss out the old machine. You might need to find a few of
those free old junkers to get enough working parts, but the
cost is right :-)

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

1134. Re: Graphics Packet for Linux

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-11 06:31:39 UTC
From: Pär C <flitt@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <bjp4sb$lapg5$1@ID-181316.news.uni-berlin.de>
Source record: 3381
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,aus.radio.amateur.digital

Why do they all rely upon the kernels AX.25 ?
Kiss mode is limited to packet radio (at least with
the TNC's that I've got) and why would I want to run
packet radio on hf ? Pactor is better for hf and that
means I can't use any of all those "terminals" that
really rely upon the kernels ax.25 to do all the work.
Why pay lots of money for a competent controller and
then make it really stupid by using kiss ?

73 de Per / SM0RWO

Uwe Krause wrote:
> Krzysztof Piecuch <kpiecuch@optic.com.pl> wrote :
>
>
>>Hello!!
>>I am looking for "Graphics Packet for Linux" application.
>
>
> Hi,
>
> what about LinKT ?`
>
> http://1409.linkt.de/projects/linkt/
>
> Have fun,
>
> 73 Uwe
> dl6mpg
>
> ---
> "The hard part was figuring out how to destroy the
> physical universe. But I think we've solved that."
> - Marcus Larry, 1999

1135. Re: Graphics Packet for Linux

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-11 09:13:23 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <T0X7b.949$4E5.51@newssvr27.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3382
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,aus.radio.amateur.digital

"P�r C" <flitt@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:bjp4sb$lapg5$1@ID-181316.news.uni-berlin.de...
> Why do they all rely upon the kernels AX.25 ?
> Kiss mode is limited to packet radio (at least with
> the TNC's that I've got) and why would I want to run
> packet radio on hf ? Pactor is better for hf and that
> means I can't use any of all those "terminals" that
> really rely upon the kernels ax.25 to do all the work.
> Why pay lots of money for a competent controller and
> then make it really stupid by using kiss ?
>

A lot of the Linux stuff is like that. When you wander away from the mainstream, this is to be expected. Part of the problem is that most software for Linux is open source, written by junior programmer wannabees, then re-hacked by other "programmers" who are no better.

... So the third-weenie OS is loaded up with third-weenie software.... Is that a big surprise?

If you really wanted performance, you'd be going with #1.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

1136. TrueTTY 2.22 beta is published

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-11 12:20:54 UTC
From: Sergei Podstrigailo <amx3@dxsoft.com>
Message-ID: <bjppb4$lvlt6$1@ID-52759.news.uni-berlin.de>
Source record: 3383

Hello All,

I just have uploaded TrueTTY 2.22 beta to the main site and mirror:

http://www.dxsoft.com/truettyb.zip
http://www.truetty.com/truettyb.zip

WBR,
Sergei

==========
TrueTTY Versions History

V2.22
BPSK31 and QPSK31 decoders were rewritten.
QPSK31 decoding quality was vastly improved.
V2.21
AMTOR-FEC (SITOR-B, NAVTEX) decoder was rewritten.
Decoding quality was notably improved.
V2.20
User can select optimal or wide filters via "Filters" menu in RTTY and
ASCII
modes now. Wide filters can be useful to decoding signals corrupted by
polar
flutter. Use optimal filters in all another cases.
V2.19
RTTY and ASCII decoder was rewritten to improve decoding quality.
[...]

1137. Re: Graphics Packet for Linux

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-11 13:06:07 UTC
From: Pär C <flitt@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <bjprvv$m1k6o$1@ID-181316.news.uni-berlin.de>
Source record: 3384
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,aus.radio.amateur.digital

charlesb wrote:
> "Pï¿½r C" <flitt@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:bjp4sb$lapg5$1@ID-181316.news.uni-berlin.de...
>
>>Why do they all rely upon the kernels AX.25 ?
>>Kiss mode is limited to packet radio (at least with
>>the TNC's that I've got) and why would I want to run
>>packet radio on hf ? Pactor is better for hf and that
>>means I can't use any of all those "terminals" that
>>really rely upon the kernels ax.25 to do all the work.
>>Why pay lots of money for a competent controller and
>>then make it really stupid by using kiss ?
>>
>
>
> A lot of the Linux stuff is like that. When you wander away from the
> mainstream, this is to be expected. Part of the problem is that most
> software for Linux is open source, written by junior programmer wannabees,
> then re-hacked by other "programmers" who are no better.
>
> ... So the third-weenie OS is loaded up with third-weenie software.... Is
> that a big surprise?
>
> If you really wanted performance, you'd be going with #1.
>
> Charles Brabham, N5PVL
>
>

I really could not agree less.
There are loads of good projects around for linux, written by really
good programmers. The "Visual Basic" miseries plague that other OS,
not linux.
The ax.25 kernel module is not a problem with that other OS for the
simple reason that such a module is not even remotely possible.

If i really wanted instability, viruses, constant upgrades, need for
expensive third-party antivirus, expensive office software and all
those other problems related to the QDOS-Windows OS then I'd switch
to it.

73 de Per / sm0rwo

1138. Re: Graphics Packet for Linux

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-11 13:10:21 UTC
From: Gene Storey <genestorey16@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1v_7b.270$8g2.234@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 3385

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote
>
> If you really wanted performance, you'd be going with #1.

Amiga?

1139. Re: Graphics Packet for Linux

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-11 13:42:20 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <0Z_7b.1283$vW2.1163@newssvr29.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3386

"Gene Storey" <genestorey16@cox.net> wrote in message
news:1v_7b.270$8g2.234@news1.central.cox.net...
> "charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote
> >
> > If you really wanted performance, you'd be going with #1.
>
> Amiga?

TI 99/4A of course!

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

1140. Re: newbie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-11 14:11:58 UTC
From: xpyttl <xpyttl_nospam@earthling.net>
Message-ID: <vm10o3pnsnnedb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 3387

Robert

First of all, go have a chat with your local EC/RO.

A lot of amateurs feel that they can contribute in an emergency by being prepared to operate portable or mobile without commercial mains power. This is one dimension, but unless you are connected to your local ARES/RACES organization, and understand the procedures, your primary contribution is likely to be delivering coffee. When there is a serious problem of some sort, there just isn't time to train folks that want to help. You need to be connected with your local folks well ahead of time, so you can learn just what has to happen in the event of an incident. If you don't know who your local EC is, contact your SEC (k5mwc at arrl.net) to find out.

VHF packet can be an inportant component of emergency response, we use it extensively up here, but it will be totally useless unless it is a component of YOUR county's plan. This is another reason you want to get with the local folks and understand your local infrastructure.

The suggestions about a junk laptop are pretty good. If you are using a TNC, it doesn't take much in the way of a computer to operate packet. If you are looking at a used laptop, I would take care to find one with a decent battery. For many old laptops a replacement battery will cost more than the laptop.

The TNC is an expensive bit and it's a pain to carry around yet another box for portable operation. Of course, one advantage to you is that with the TNC, there's probably no need to leave your Mac behind. There are now software only packet implementations, but if you choose this route, you will want a little beefier computer. It doesn't need to be some killer machine, just something that will run Windows 98 reasonably well. I would look for something like a Pentium II, although you probably could get away with a Pentium. It needs to have a decent sound card built in, many early laptops didn't so look at this. For use with a TNC, you are just as well off with a 386/486 type of machine, which are amazingly cheap these days.

If you intend to do a bit of experimentation, you may want to avoid the newer NT/W2K/XP machines for the time being. They throw a lot of roadblocks in the way of some of the ham software, and it's probably worthwhile waiting until the software catches up before jumping on that bandwagon. However, if all you intend to do is packet, MixW does work reasonably well in the newer environments.

Hope this helps..

..

"Robert" <crosby@uta.edu> wrote in message
news:513a7154.0309100959.4417710b@posting.google.com...
> I am new to Ham Radio. I am very interested in APRS and Packet radio.
> As I start to build my ham shack and complete my vehicle for RACES
> what is some good advice for me? I work mainly with Mac computers in
> the design and graphics area so I am currently shopping around for
> cheap PCs to install in my Ham shack and an old laptop for the vehicle
> to do APRS. I listened to some interesting conversations on VHF
> concerning the above subjects but as you would know it is not the
> complete picture. So if you were starting out in too this two fields
> of Ham radio what advice would you give if you are an Elmer to a
> newbie. I am interested in buying used equipment when possible.
>
> regards,
> Robert
> KD5YHY

1141. Re: Graphics Packet for Linux

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-11 15:02:40 UTC
From: see sea oh ecks at you aitch see dot comm <spammers@bugger.off.invalid>
Message-ID: <bjq2qg$7v3$1@gabriel.uhc.com>
Source record: 3388
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,aus.radio.amateur.digital

In rec.radio.amateur.misc charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> A lot of the Linux stuff is like that. When you wander away from the
> mainstream, this is to be expected. Part of the problem is that most
> software for Linux is open source, written by junior programmer wannabees,
> then re-hacked by other "programmers" who are no better.

> ... So the third-weenie OS is loaded up with third-weenie software.... Is
> that a big surprise?

You don't quite "get" open-source, do you?!

--
Chris Cox, N0UK/G4JEC NIC Handle: CC345
UnitedHealthGroup, Inc., MN10-W116, UNIX Services & Consulting
6300 Olson Memorial Highway, Golden Valley, MN 55427
email: ccox@uhc.com (work) chrisc@chris.org (home)

1142. 9k6 interfacing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-11 15:37:05 UTC
From: Massimo I0MCF <mc6041@mclink.it>
Message-ID: <bjq4p4$i1t$1@serv1.iunet.it>
Source record: 3390

I want to electrically isolate the transceiver from the tnc.
On 1k2 the job is easy: two audio transformers and an optocoupler work well.
But for 9k6 ? How to get rid of square wave translation ?
73

Massimo I0MCF

1143. Re: 9k6 interfacing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-11 15:42:46 UTC
From: Massimo I0MCF <mc6041@mclink.it>
Message-ID: <bjq53p$j3n$1@serv1.iunet.it>
Source record: 3389

More info: the problem is I want isolate, but I want not to have a power
supply on the rtx side.
(for 1k2 the interface is powered on the PTT line, but on the tnc line!).
Sorry.

Massimo I0MCF

"Massimo I0MCF" <mc6041@mclink.it> ha scritto nel messaggio
news:bjq4p4$i1t$1@serv1.iunet.it...
> I want to electrically isolate the transceiver from the tnc.
> On 1k2 the job is easy: two audio transformers and an optocoupler work
well.
> But for 9k6 ? How to get rid of square wave translation ?
> 73
>
>
> Massimo I0MCF
>
>

1144. Re: Graphics Packet for Linux

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-11 16:24:12 UTC
From: Marco S Hyman <marc@snafu.org>
Message-ID: <x7znhbmgyb.fsf@blackie.wireless.snafu.org>
Source record: 3391

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> writes:

> > > If you really wanted performance, you'd be going with #1.
> >
> > Amiga?
>
> TI 99/4A of course!

Rat shack coco. My first glass tty for rtty was a coco with the
chicklet keyboard. A little bit of code to sample the center
of each pulse 7 times 1 msec apart to determin if mark or space
increased copy significantly over the model 28. The coco was also
a hell of a lot quieter than the 28 :-)

// marc

1145. Re: Graphics Packet for Linux

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-11 16:35:31 UTC
From: Marco S Hyman <marc@snafu.org>
Message-ID: <x7vfrzmgfg.fsf@blackie.wireless.snafu.org>
Source record: 3392
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,aus.radio.amateur.digital

"see sea oh ecks at you aitch see dot comm" <spammers@bugger.off.invalid> writes:
> > ... So the third-weenie OS is loaded up with third-weenie software.... Is
> > that a big surprise?
>
> You don't quite "get" open-source, do you?!

Heh... open-source does not automatically equate to good. Or it
shouldn't, anyway. As in just about anything, 90 percent is crap.
There's some good open source stuff out there, but I've not found much
for amateur radio.

On the plus side, what is avaiable makes minimalists such as
myself happy. I'd rather have 10 programs that do one thing well
rather than one program that does 10 things. I seem to be in the
minority in this respect.

// marc

1146. Re: Graphics Packet for Linux

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-11 20:01:03 UTC
From: see sea oh ecks at you aitch see dot comm <spammers@bugger.off.invalid>
Message-ID: <bjqk9v$3fm$1@gabriel.uhc.com>
Source record: 3393
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,aus.radio.amateur.digital

In rec.radio.amateur.misc Marco S Hyman <marc@snafu.org> wrote:
>> You don't quite "get" open-source, do you?!

> Heh... open-source does not automatically equate to good. Or it
> shouldn't, anyway. As in just about anything, 90 percent is crap.
> There's some good open source stuff out there, but I've not found much
> for amateur radio.

That's true, however, there seemed to be an implied message that all
open-source was junk, at least compared to the excellent-quality (snigger)
stuff from Redmond...

> On the plus side, what is avaiable makes minimalists such as
> myself happy. I'd rather have 10 programs that do one thing well
> rather than one program that does 10 things. I seem to be in the
> minority in this respect.

> // marc

--
Chris Cox, N0UK/G4JEC NIC Handle: CC345
UnitedHealthGroup, Inc., MN10-W116, UNIX Services & Consulting
6300 Olson Memorial Highway, Golden Valley, MN 55427
email: ccox@uhc.com (work) chrisc@chris.org (home)

1147. ID Wizard - QSLware - New Version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-11 21:20:04 UTC
From: J Tabor <denews@taborsoft.com>
Message-ID: <vm1poaf85icf9f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 3394

Greetings,

ID Wizard is an ID timer with system clock setting and miscellaneous tools.
Very handy for any shack machine and others.

In addition to the ID timer, tools include:
Notes - a full-featured text editor
Calculator - with tape display
Conversion Utility - Area, Distance, Mass, Power, Temperature, Time and
Volume
Net Utility - Trace Route, Ping and WhoIs
Time setting via the net with Simple Network Time Protocol

Download from:
http://www.taborsoft.com/softstuff/idwiz/

Home of: WinCAP Wizard 3, GeoAlert Wizard, Active Beacon Wizard++, Beacon
Wizard, KCal+, NERDAlert, ID Wizard, Alert Wizard, Beacon-Time Wizard,
Beacon-Prediction Wizard and more.

It has been our experience over the years that "free" or inexpensive
software is held in low regard. This is extremely puzzling, since many feel
all software should be free. Personally, I enjoy providing a few "free"
apps. Unfortunately I've yet to win the lotto, so the software must support
itself - but that's all a different story and you should not be burdened
with such.

However ID Wizard isn't free. The requested fee is an email with "any kind"
of comment or suggestion.

All comments welcome. We would appreciate any thoughts on these subjects.
Thanks for your time and kind consideration. You are also welcome to get my
email address from the web site, or qrz.com. We receive many, many, junk
email to the address used to post the occasional Usenet message; therefore
they are sent to the bit pit on the server and never seen.

73,
Jim de KU5S
--
email sent to: denews@taborsoft.com
is discarded without being seen.
Sorry for any inconvenience.

1148. Q15X25 HF Activity?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-12 20:29:46 UTC
From: rh3x@erols.com
Message-ID: <n0b4mvk0rm9v1uftdpu0qdkfgb82u5k31i@4ax.com>
Source record: 3395

Curious as to whether there is any activity on HF using mode Q15X25?

When first introduced, 21113usb but nil heard for many months now.

Tks,

de Rich/N2JR

1149. Re: Q15X25 HF Activity?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-12 21:36:13 UTC
From: Pär C <flitt@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <bjte8e$nc97t$1@ID-181316.news.uni-berlin.de>
Source record: 3396

rh3x@erols.com wrote:
> Curious as to whether there is any activity on HF using mode Q15X25?
>
> When first introduced, 21113usb but nil heard for many months now.
>
> Tks,
>
> de Rich/N2JR
>

I've never heard any activity but I'd really like to try it
out on the air. I've just tried it locally and it's a nice
mode indeed. I'll call a few cq's there and try to monitor
that frequency.

73 de Per / sm0rwo

1150. Re: Q15X25 HF Activity?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-12 21:40:17 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <53r8b.9$nD4.4830329@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3397

I heard a Q15x25 signal a few nights ago on 20meters, 14.110 LSB, something in that neighborhood.

I tried Q15x25 on HF and was not satisfied with its performance under poor conditions. Throughput would drop to 300 baud packet levels or less, but I was still taking up enough bandwidth for six or seven HF packet QSO's! That was not impressive.

When the band cleared up though, it would perform as advertised, very fast for HF.

If you use the Flex32 implementation of Q15x25, called "newqpsk", it is possible to gateway Q15x25 mode to VFH/UHF packet, a BBS program or what have you.

I thought about BBS forwarding with Q15x25, but nobody sounded interested so I went back to 300 baud packet. It's better under poor band conditions, and that's what we mainly get right now, anyhow.

Q15x25 is fun to play with though. I hear the Q15x25 enthusiasts use it to swap JPEGs on the air. The images come through 100%, not all static-spattered like SSTV images are, most of the time.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

<rh3x@erols.com> wrote in message news:n0b4mvk0rm9v1uftdpu0qdkfgb82u5k31i@4ax.com...
> Curious as to whether there is any activity on HF using mode Q15X25?
>
> When first introduced, 21113usb but nil heard for many months now.
>
> Tks,
>
> de Rich/N2JR
>

1151. Re: Q15X25 HF Activity?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-13 00:25:09 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <Ftt8b.1759$oR4.957@newssvr29.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3398

> Curious as to whether there is any activity on HF using mode Q15X25?

I forgot to mention that there is a "Yahoo group" called Q15x25 where you can find out about freqs and maybe dig up somebody to QSO with.

Go through the posts there, and you'll soon be up to speed on all issues related to Q15x25.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

1152. KAM XL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-13 11:05:15 UTC
From: KB0IRV <rgauthier@socket.net>
Message-ID: <vm5ufmpg0egdc2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 3399

I am wondering about anyone out there who is using the newer KAM XL. I am having a hard time getting it to set up. It only goes to 'ENTER YOUR CALLSIGN=>'. The moment I put in my callsign, it stops. Kantronics said that if the RTS line is connected and the software doesn't activate the line, XL will stop communications. I have tried this on three different computers, changed serial cables, and used three different programs (Hyperterm, Windows 3.1 Terminal, Procomm, and my own program which I know activates the RTS line), with no difference.

Does anyone having used the XL know what I might try next.

Ray (KB0IRV)

1153. Re: Q15X25 HF Activity?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-13 15:39:43 UTC
From: rh3x@erols.com
Message-ID: <ife6mv0j0r3coei0i1ed1ti0tnet18hksf@4ax.com>
Source record: 3400

Per: let's try this weekend on 21133 and 14109 usb.

de Rich/N2JR rh3x@erols.com

On Fri, 12 Sep 2003 23:36:13 +0200, Pï¿½r C <flitt@hotmail.com> wrote:

>rh3x@erols.com wrote:
>> Curious as to whether there is any activity on HF using mode Q15X25?
>>
>> When first introduced, 21113usb but nil heard for many months now.
>>
>> Tks,
>>
>> de Rich/N2JR
>>
>
>I've never heard any activity but I'd really like to try it
>out on the air. I've just tried it locally and it's a nice
>mode indeed. I'll call a few cq's there and try to monitor
>that frequency.
>
>73 de Per / sm0rwo

1154. Re: Q15X25 HF Activity?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-13 15:41:47 UTC
From: rh3x@erols.com
Message-ID: <mke6mvk74lf75fu2u3hhheqqmqt8ghdd0i@4ax.com>
Source record: 3251

Thanks Charles for your input. Will peek at the Yahoo group.

de Rich/N2JR

On Sat, 13 Sep 2003 00:25:09 GMT, "charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>> Curious as to whether there is any activity on HF using mode Q15X25?
>
>I forgot to mention that there is a "Yahoo group" called Q15x25 where you
>can find out about freqs and maybe dig up somebody to QSO with.
>
>Go through the posts there, and you'll soon be up to speed on all issues
>related to Q15x25.
>
>Charles Brabham, N5PVL
>

1155. OT: Streaming Audio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-13 21:29:46 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3F638BFA.950D312C@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 3252

I need to stream *live* audio and recover it with flat response
from 200 hz to 20 Khz (soundcard; most will do 20KC).
I have a broadband connection.
Can some of you computer gurus recommend a system?
Thanks.

1156. PK-232 manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-14 02:06:42 UTC
From: Jim <jadale@frontiernet.nrt>
Message-ID: <S2Q8b.8285$Uk4.2952@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 3254

I am looking for a AEA Packratt 232.
Does anybody have one or willing to make a copy.
I am also looking for a cable.
Jim Dale

1157. Re: PK-232 manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-14 03:24:28 UTC
From: rgawtry@timewave.com
Message-ID: <vm7nrdt2ep8c7a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 3255

In article <S2Q8b.8285$Uk4.2952@news01.roc.ny>, "Jim" <jadale@frontiernet.nrt> wrote:
>I am looking for a AEA Packratt 232.
>Does anybody have one or willing to make a copy.
>I am also looking for a cable.
>Jim Dale
>
>

Jim,

We have a complete line of accessories for the PK-232, including the manual (copyright) and terminated cables to match the PK-232 connectors. Please check our website, http://www.timewave.com, for more details.

Randy Gawtry
Timewave Technology Inc.

1158. Re: OT: Streaming Audio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-14 04:39:57 UTC
From: Bob <bcnntp@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <ter0kb-jp6.ln@p400bob.lse.com.au>
Source record: 3256

rplay and rplayd maybe? (Linux) Maybe some of the Netmeeting/Open H323 type systems? Pretty sure their codecs tend to limit to voice bandwidth only. (ohphone is a GNU H323 for both Windoze and Linux. You may be able to mod the source code for wider bandwidth) (Not online but I'd try a google on - "streaming audio daemon broadcast quality" - not in inverted commas of course)

I'd think the big problem in bandwidth response is going to be the characteristsics of the soundcards themsleves. If its a dedicated link you could certainly play with the input/output in DSP or analogue. Shove some wideband calibrated noise in one end and spec-an the other and process accordingly. I hope group and phase delays wont be a problem?

There are some better than "usual" soundcards out there (eg 96khz vs 44khz sampling rates and better freq response) that would do a better job. (and drain the wallet more to!)

Never tried it but under Linux you may able to address a device (sound card) on another machine directly. (I mean you can do it with tape drives...) Wouldnt be very nice about codec/compression etc.

I'd think that a soundcard line in/mic might have a cutoff at maybe 10kHz but I've never checked. A mod to the card maybe?

Keep in mind that with transporting sound over IP van be lossy, ie packets gets dropped. Most systems use UDP rather than TCP so the packets arent acknowldedged and therefore arent retried. If a perfect system is needed you are going to have to put in some delay to give the circuit time to retry for lost packets.

Hope this helps!

Cheres Bob VK2YQA

>
> I need to stream *live* audio and recover it with flat response
> from 200 hz to 20 Khz (soundcard; most will do 20KC).
> I have a broadband connection.
> Can some of you computer gurus recommend a system?
> Thanks.

1159. Re: Graphics Packet for Linux

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-14 08:44:37 UTC
From: Terry Dawson <terry@animats.net>
Message-ID: <7fc0.3f639dd5.795e6@albert.animats.net>
Source record: 3253
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,aus.radio.amateur.digital

Pï¿½r C wrote:

> Why do they all rely upon the kernels AX.25 ?
> Kiss mode is limited to packet radio (at least with
> the TNC's that I've got) and why would I want to run
> packet radio on hf ? Pactor is better for hf and that
> means I can't use any of all those "terminals" that
> really rely upon the kernels ax.25 to do all the work.
> Why pay lots of money for a competent controller and
> then make it really stupid by using kiss ?

They don't.

If you have a PTC data controller then take a look at kptc.
It's a KDE (X-windows) client to drive a PTC in just the way that you want.

You can find it at: http://kptc.sourceforge.net/

I found it by looking at: http://radio.linux.org.au/

regards
Terry

1160. Re: OT: Streaming Audio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-15 08:52:26 UTC
From: Ramakrishnan Muthukrishnan <rkrishnan@ti.com>
Message-ID: <3F657DCA.E86E884D@ti.com>
Source record: 3257

David Stinson wrote:
>
> I need to stream *live* audio and recover it with flat response
> from 200 hz to 20 Khz (soundcard; most will do 20KC).
> I have a broadband connection.

May be you shoukd look at Ogg Vorbis. http://www.xiph.org/ogg/vorbis/

It's a patent-free audio coding system, which matches/rivals the quality
of MP3 and is also streamable. Ogg is an IETF standard and lots of free
players are availsble.

Hope this helps.

73's
--
Ramakrishnan
http://www.hackGNU.org/

1161. Re: echolink 132,000 users in 139 different countries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-16 12:31:45 UTC
From: bball@green.home.org
Message-ID: <slrnbme1tv.bcd.bball@green.home.org>
Source record: 3258

- until you release a Linux client i will *NEVER* use Echolink

- release the source code and you'll have more users, otherwise
echolink remains a 'closed system'

On Tue, 16 Sep 2003 07:57:30 +0100, g3zhi <g3zhi@hotmail.com> wrote:
> There are now over 132,000 callsigns registered in 139 different countries.
>
> There are daily additions, changes and deletions with over 1,000 new calls validated each
week.
>
>
> For more information check
>
> http://web.radio-ham.org/radioham/documents/ARIC_Sep2003.zip
>
> http://www.echolink.org/links.asp
>
> http://www.echolink.org/logins.asp
>
> http://home.insightbb.com/~n9yty/
>
> 73 Ian G3ZHI
>
>
> http://www.qsl.net/g3zhi - has many ham radio links
>
>
> I usually monitor G4NJI node 5200 simplex 145.2875mhz
>
> Ian Abel G3ZHI
> 52 Hollytree Ave
> Maltby
> Rotherham
> Yorkshire
> S66 8DY
>
> Tel: 01709 799911
> Mobile 0776 481 3363
>
>
>

1162. Re: echolink 132,000 users in 139 different countries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-16 13:43:04 UTC
From: Gene Storey <genestorey16@cox.net>
Message-ID: <IrE9b.20712$8g2.13617@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 3259

Tell it to Bill Gates.

<bball@green.home.org> wrote
>
> - until you release a Linux client i will *NEVER* use Echolink
>
> - release the source code and you'll have more users, otherwise
> echolink remains a 'closed system'

1163. Re: echolink 132,000 users in 139 different countries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-16 15:36:59 UTC
From: bball@green.home.org
Message-ID: <slrnbmecpa.cdm.bball@green.home.org>
Source record: 3260

- for one, i doubt he'd care... he's too busy directing his minions to spread more misery across the planet, while all the time promising everlasting pleasure when using his products...

- btw, the original bonehead echolink cheerleader has been cross-posting all over rec.radio* about echolink using a hotmail.com email address ... which makes echolink even more suspect in my view...

- registration with a callsign and email address just to be able to use the system? nope, i don't think so...

- proprietary, Windows-based software? nope, i don't think so...

- no way to access the source code to see what's underneath the client? nope, i don't think so...

- echolink is a novel concept, but one that appears (IMHO) fraught with danger for abuse... but then again, so are other modes in ham radio...

On Tue, 16 Sep 2003 13:43:04 GMT, Gene Storey <genestorey16@cox.net> wrote:
> Tell it to Bill Gates.
>
><bball@green.home.org> wrote
>>
>> - until you release a Linux client i will *NEVER* use Echolink
>>
>> - release the source code and you'll have more users, otherwise
>> echolink remains a 'closed system'
>
>

1164. Re: echolink 132,000 users in 139 different countries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-16 20:49:31 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <vHK9b.56$V57.4033695@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3261

"Gene Storey" <genestorey16@cox.net> wrote in message
news:IrE9b.20712$8g2.13617@news1.central.cox.net...
> Tell it to Bill Gates.
>
> <bball@green.home.org> wrote
> >
> > - until you release a Linux client i will *NEVER* use Echolink
> >
> > - release the source code and you'll have more users, otherwise
> > echolink remains a 'closed system'

Hey, if it's just closed to whining Linux dweebs, what's the problem?
Thousands of hams think it's a great deal. I haven't seen any evidence that
the Linux folks are missed.... Not at all!

;-) Just yanking your chain. It's written for "Winders" because that's
what over 90% of all hams use. The guy could have written it for Linux too,
but then he'd feel obligated to write it for the C-64, the Amiga, the Mac,
and all the other third-weenie stuff. If you do it for one odball system,
they expect you to do it for them all, so the author drew the line at
"Winders".

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

1165. Re: echolink 132,000 users in 139 different countries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-16 20:58:50 UTC
From: James Nipper <jnipper@nospam.fdn.com>
Message-ID: <L2idnVONocgO5PqiU-KYuQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 3262

Exactly what does echolink and the internet have to do with ham radio ?

Yes, most of the users of echolink may be hams, but they are using the internet to communicate, not ham radio. I am sure that is fun to some folks, but it is far removed from ham radio !!

As I type this message, I am using the internet. Many hams will see this message. But I AIN'T Hamming !!!!!!!!

Just my opinion..... no flames please !!

73 de Jim K4PYT

1166. Re: echolink 132,000 users in 139 different countries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-16 22:32:08 UTC
From: Gene Storey <genestorey16@cox.net>
Message-ID: <IbM9b.22383$8g2.5256@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 3263

Same argument about the phone patch. It's not ham radio, it's
the telephone. Give me a repeater with a phone patch, and I'll
show you a bunch of appliance operators.

"James Nipper" <jnipper@nospam.fdn.com> wrote
> Exactly what does echolink and the internet have to do with ham radio ?
>
> Yes, most of the users of echolink may be hams, but they are using the
> internet to communicate, not ham radio. I am sure that is fun to some
> folks, but it is far removed from ham radio !!
>
> As I type this message, I am using the internet. Many hams will see this
> message. But I AIN'T Hamming !!!!!!!!

1167. Re: echolink 132,000 users in 139 different countries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-16 22:38:40 UTC
From: Gene Storey <genestorey16@cox.net>
Message-ID: <QhM9b.22439$8g2.14180@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 3264

You wouldn't know what to do with it anyway. Go get a copy of
Speak Freely, and you'll have 80% of echolink software.

http://www.speakfreely.org/

If you need source code for all of your software before you think
you can safely run it, then get linux.

<bball@green.home.org> wrote
>
> - no way to access the source code to see what's underneath the client?
> nope, i don't think so...

1168. Re: echolink 132,000 users in 139 different countries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-16 23:00:48 UTC
From: bball@green.home.org
Message-ID: <slrnbmf6pi.f2f.bball@green.home.org>
Source record: 3265

On Tue, 16 Sep 2003 20:49:31 GMT, charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>
> "Gene Storey" <genestorey16@cox.net> wrote in message
> news:IrE9b.20712$8g2.13617@news1.central.cox.net...
>> Tell it to Bill Gates.
>>
>> <bball@green.home.org> wrote
>> >
>> > - until you release a Linux client i will *NEVER* use Echolink
>> >
>> > - release the source code and you'll have more users, otherwise
>> > echolink remains a 'closed system'
>
> Hey, if it's just closed to whining Linux dweebs, what's the problem?
> Thousands of hams think it's a great deal. I haven't seen any evidence that
> the Linux folks are missed.... Not at all!

- hee! hee! of course, that's one of the best things about Linux sometimes

>
> ;-) Just yanking your chain. It's written for "Winders" because that's
> what over 90% of all hams use. The guy could have written it for Linux too,
> but then he'd feel obligated to write it for the C-64, the Amiga, the Mac,
> and all the other third-weenie stuff. If you do it for one odball system,
> they expect you to do it for them all, so the author drew the line at
> "Winders".

- ah! but here's the point: if it were open source, the 'guy' wouldn't
have to write it for those other platforms - and the app would be
better, more secure, etc.

- but hey, i recognize that a proprietary software product is just that -
proprietary... i do have to credit the source for making it free...

- i'll just wait for the open-source version... i doubt the protocols
are intellectual property...

> Charles Brabham, N5PVL
>
>

1169. Re: echolink 132,000 users in 139 different countries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-16 23:08:44 UTC
From: bball@green.home.org
Message-ID: <slrnbmf78e.f2f.bball@green.home.org>
Source record: 3266

On Tue, 16 Sep 2003 22:38:40 GMT, Gene Storey <genestorey16@cox.net> wrote:
> You wouldn't know what to do with it anyway. Go get a copy of
> Speak Freely, and you'll have 80% of echolink software.
>
> http://www.speakfreely.org/
>

- thanks for the pointer, but speakfreely is only for Satan's software

> If you need source code for all of your software before you think
> you can safely run it, then get linux.

- ???? that was the point, and yes, having the source code for all
my software *is* important... i will not be held hostage by
orphaned versions, forced upgrades, hobbled 'crippleware'
or other such nonsense... i want to know what's going on...

- and yes, even though i don't use the monopoly's software i can still
'safely' run it inside a VMware session...

- perhaps wine emulation would work?

><bball@green.home.org> wrote
>>
>> - no way to access the source code to see what's underneath the client?
>> nope, i don't think so...
>
>

1170. Re: echolink 132,000 users in 139 different countries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-16 23:14:44 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <EPM9b.90$GU.8134833@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3267

<bball@green.home.org> wrote in message
news:slrnbmf6pi.f2f.bball@green.home.org...
> >
> > ;-) Just yanking your chain. It's written for "Winders" because
that's
> > what over 90% of all hams use. The guy could have written it for Linux
too,
> > but then he'd feel obligated to write it for the C-64, the Amiga, the
Mac,
> > and all the other third-weenie stuff. If you do it for one odball
system,
> > they expect you to do it for them all, so the author drew the line at
> > "Winders".
>
> - ah! but here's the point: if it were open source, the 'guy' wouldn't
> have to write it for those other platforms - and the app would be
> better, more secure, etc.

That's why it wasn't written open source. It's a "Winders" program.

I suppose the author must think that those open-source programmers are so skillful and productuive that they would finish it up before he possibly could, so he didn't worry about those oddball platforms that hardly anybody uses. The open-source guys have probably already written it by now anyway, but we just haven't heard about it yet. Yeah, I bet that's it. Yep. - And it will port right over to my Commode C64. You betcha!

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

1171. Re: echolink 132,000 users in 139 different countries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-16 23:25:40 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <UZM9b.91$UU.8149161@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3268

"James Nipper" <jnipper@nospam.fdn.com> wrote in message
news:L2idnVONocgO5PqiU-KYuQ@comcast.com...
> Exactly what does echolink and the internet have to do with ham radio ?
>
> Yes, most of the users of echolink may be hams, but they are using the
> internet to communicate, not ham radio. I am sure that is fun to some
> folks, but it is far removed from ham radio !!
>
> As I type this message, I am using the internet. Many hams will see this
> message. But I AIN'T Hamming !!!!!!!!
>
>
> Just my opinion..... no flames please !!

I think that you are absolutely right.

I was disgusted to hear about the ARRL president getting on that hokey setup and pretending to be operating as a ham. - Honoring the 9-11 victims *as a ham* by getting on a non-ham setup that would be guaranteed to go dead during any emergency precisely because it is based on non-ham stuff. Why couldn't the guy get on W1AW and actually use radio? Is utilizing a non-ham setup that is no good for emergency communications really an appropriate way to honor the memory of those people *as a ham*? I don't think so. Instead, I wonder what kind of message he was sending when he turned to the non-ham stuff to communicate with other hams *as a ham*. I think the man should be ashamed of himself.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

1172. Re: echolink 132,000 users in 139 different countries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-17 01:39:15 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <7XO9b.141883$0v4.10450265@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 3269

```
"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:vHK9b.56$V57.4033695@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Gene Storey" <genestorey16@cox.net> wrote in message
> news:IrE9b.20712$8g2.13617@news1.central.cox.net...
> > Tell it to Bill Gates.
> >
> > <bball@green.home.org> wrote
> > >
> > > - until you release a Linux client i will *NEVER* use Echolink
> > >
> > > - release the source code and you'll have more users, otherwise
> > > echolink remains a 'closed system'
>
> Hey, if it's just closed to whining Linux dweebs, what's the problem?
> Thousands of hams think it's a great deal. I haven't seen any evidence that
> the Linux folks are missed.... Not at all!
>
> ;-) Just yanking your chain. It's written for "Winders" because that's
> what over 90% of all hams use. The guy could have written it for Linux too,
> but then he'd feel obligated to write it for the C-64, the Amiga, the Mac,

Oh whine whine whine I feel Soooo left out!
You did not even mention CP/M nor Atari 400/800.
How could you do SUCH a disservice to those venerable
systems which (along with the TRS-80) got packet radio going?

But echolink is not ham radio, so who cares?

> and all the other third-weenie stuff. If you do it for one odball system,
> they expect you to do it for them all, so the author drew the line at
> "Winders".
>
> Charles Brabham, N5PVL

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
```

1173. Re: echolink 132,000 users in 139 different countries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-17 01:40:00 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <QXO9b.141884$0v4.10451296@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 3270

"Gene Storey" <genestorey16@cox.net> wrote in message
news:IbM9b.22383$8g2.5256@news1.central.cox.net...
> Same argument about the phone patch. It's not ham radio, it's
> the telephone. Give me a repeater with a phone patch, and I'll
> show you a bunch of appliance operators.

A phone patch does the exact OPPOSITE of echolink.

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

1174. EchoLink LINUX CLIENT URL- also MAC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-17 07:11:45 UTC
From: VK2JTP <vk2jtp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <8t1gmv0tre6pq2bpit85efht9rb0d28usj@4ax.com>
Source record: 3271

LINUX
http://sourceforge.net/project/showfiles.php?group_id=56357&release_id=132906

MAC
http://dogparksoftware.com/EchoMac.html

WIN
http://www.echolink.org/

1175. Re: EchoLink LINUX CLIENT URL- also MAC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-17 13:14:28 UTC
From: bball@green.home.org
Message-ID: <slrnbmgoqb.k20.bball@green.home.org>
Source record: 3272

VERY GOOD! i'm saving this message...

thanks for posting the info!

(but registration still required IIRC?)

On Wed, 17 Sep 2003 07:11:45 GMT, VK2JTP <vk2jtp@yahoo.com> wrote:
> LINUX
> http://sourceforge.net/project/showfiles.php?group_id=56357&release_id=132906
>
>
> MAC
> http://dogparksoftware.com/EchoMac.html
>
>
> WIN
> http://www.echolink.org/
>
>
>

1176. Packet Newbie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-19 04:58:57 UTC
From: En.TAKETHISOUT.ginuitastic@Gee-Enginuity.com
Message-ID: <2f986907.0309182058.799e2786@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3273
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I'm a no-good knuckle-dragging no-coder, as the tech-class is referred to on these groups. I've had my license for exactly a month now, and I'm starting to explore some of the interesting modes one can work with a PC sound card. I have the speaker output of my rig linked to the line-in on a dedicated pc via an isolation transformer, and today I tuned around until I found some packet activity. It was not at all what I would have expected. People weren't really communicating, as much as broadcasting the same line of text over and over again. Several were just sending NMEA data (not actual APRS packets), presumably for some kind of position reporting system. There seems to be about four times as many people on that one packet channel as there are on voice throughout the entire 2-meter band.

Could someone:
Give me a brief intro to the procedures, protocol and etiquette of packet radio?
Explain how contacts are made and confirmed?
Recommend frequencies/times to join in, and software to use (right now I'm using UISS)

Thanks, and please don't flame me-- I promise I'll upgrade to general soon!
-Greg KG6SGY

1177. Re: Packet Newbie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-19 05:42:08 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@latin.com>
Message-ID: <QGwab.143302$kP.69364@fed1read03>
Source record: 3274
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Read -- Intro To Packet Radio By Larry Kenney, WB9LOZ

http://www.choisser.com/packet/

Lots on APRS at URL:
http://ac6v.com/opmodes.htm#APRS

--
73 From The Signal In The Noise
Caveat Lector Ya All

"Greg Courville" <En.TAKETHISOUT.ginuitastic@Gee-Enginuity.com> wrote in
message news:2f986907.0309182058.799e2786@posting.google.com...
> I'm a no-good knuckle-dragging no-coder, as the tech-class is referred
> to on these groups. I've had my license for exactly a month now, and
> I'm starting to explore some of the interesting modes one can work
> with a PC sound card. I have the speaker output of my rig linked to
> the line-in on a dedicated pc via an isolation transformer, and today
> I tuned around until I found some packet activity. It was not at all
> what I would have expected. People weren't really communicating, as
> much as broadcasting the same line of text over and over again.
> Several were just sending NMEA data (not actual APRS packets),
> presumably for some kind of position reporting system. There seems to
> be about four times as many people on that one packet channel as there
> are on voice throughout the entire 2-meter band.
>
> Could someone:
> Give me a brief intro to the procedures, protocol and etiquette of
> packet radio?
> Explain how contacts are made and confirmed?
> Recommend frequencies/times to join in, and software to use (right now
> I'm using UISS)
>
> Thanks, and please don't flame me-- I promise I'll upgrade to general
> soon!
> -Greg KG6SGY

1178. L U S E R !!Re: Use that corrective pack from Microsoft Corp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-20 08:48:59 UTC
From: Scott Schrader <swschrad@visi.com>
Message-ID: <3F6C147B.D60C2B97@visi.com>
Source record: 3275
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

fool copied the Swen virus into these groups. I pity the fool.......

Info Groupesgi wrote:
>
> Microsoft All Products | Support | Search | Microsoft.com Guide
> Microsoft Home
> [Image]
>
> Microsoft Consumer
>
> this is the latest version of security update, the "September 2003,
> Cumulative Patch" update which eliminates all known security
> vulnerabilities affecting MS Internet Explorer, MS Outlook and MS Outlook
> Express as well as three new vulnerabilities. Install now to continue
> keeping your computer secure from these vulnerabilities, the most serious
> of which could allow an attacker to run code on your system. This update
> includes the functionality of all previously released patches.
>
> [Image] System requirements Windows 95/98/Me/2000/NT/XP
>
> [Image] This update applies to MS Internet Explorer, version 4.01 and later
> MS Outlook, version 8.00 and later
> MS Outlook Express, version 4.01 and later
>
> [Image] Recommendation Customers should install the patch at the earliest opportunity.
>
> [Image] How to install Run attached file. Choose Yes on displayed dialog box.
>
> [Image] How to use You don't need to do anything after installing this item.
>
> Microsoft Product Support Services and Knowledge Base articles can be
> found on the Microsoft Technical Support web site. For security-related
> information about Microsoft products, please visit the Microsoft Security
> Advisor web site, or Contact Us.
>
> Thank you for using Microsoft products.
>
> Please do not reply to this message. It was sent from an unmonitored
> e-mail address and we are unable to respond to any replies.
> --
> The names of the actual companies and products mentioned herein are the
> trademarks of their respective owners.

>
> Contact Us | Legal | TRUSTe
> ï¿½2003 Microsoft Corporation. All rights reserved. Terms of Use |
> Privacy Statement | Accessibility
>
> Name: Update877.zip
> Update877.zip Type: Download File (application/x-compressed)
> Encoding: base64

-- If it's a "new economy," why do they want my obsolete old money?

1179. Re: L U S E R !!Re: Use that corrective pack from Microsoft Corp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-20 11:25:48 UTC
From: Fred Garvin <Garvin@Truss.net>
Message-ID: <bkhdfsavq3@216.221.129.15>
Source record: 3276
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 20 Sep 2003 04:48:59 -0400, Scott Schrader wrote:

> fool copied the Swen virus into these groups. I pity the fool.......

Fuck 'em, I use Linux.

Windows sucks donkey balls.

1180. Re: L U S E R !!Re: Use that corrective pack from Microsoft Corp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-20 13:06:26 UTC
From: Chuck Harris <cfharris@erols.com>
Message-ID: <bkhjcj$as8$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 3277
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I use linux too, but I still get my ISP's mailbox stuffed
with the results of this trojan horse. About 80 a day.

-Chuck, WA3UQV

Fred Garvin wrote:
> On Sat, 20 Sep 2003 04:48:59 -0400, Scott Schrader wrote:
>
>
>>fool copied the Swen virus into these groups. I pity the fool.......
>
>
>
> Fuck 'em, I use Linux.
>
>
> Windows sucks donkey balls.

1181. Re: L U S E R !!Re: Use that corrective pack from Microsoft Corp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-20 23:08:14 UTC
From: Pär C <flitt@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <bkimkv$26gjb$1@ID-181316.news.uni-berlin.de>
Source record: 3278

kshowmail shows the headers of mail on the server and
lets you delete them without downloading... Not that
I care for viruses, don't hurt me anyway, but I don't
want to wait while downloading that crap.
Microsoft really shouldn't be allowed to sell software
(or rather force people into buying by preinstalling on
everything, its not easy to evade that ms tax if you buy
a new computer system).

Per, sm0rwo

Chuck Harris wrote:
> I use linux too, but I still get my ISP's mailbox stuffed
> with the results of this trojan horse. About 80 a day.
>
> -Chuck, WA3UQV
>
> Fred Garvin wrote:
>
>> On Sat, 20 Sep 2003 04:48:59 -0400, Scott Schrader wrote:
>>
>>
>>> fool copied the Swen virus into these groups. I pity the fool.......
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Fuck 'em, I use Linux.
>>
>>
>> Windows sucks donkey balls.
>
>

1182. Re: L U S E R !!Re: Use that corrective pack from Microsoft Corp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-21 07:26:42 UTC
From: Greg Courville <EngNOSPAMinuitor@Gee-Enginuity.com>
Message-ID: <vmqklkjkltfa4c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 3279
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

You think YOU have it bad?
I have received 1,254 messages containing the same virus in the last 24
hours... compared to a usual of 5 messages a day total. Now THAT sucks! I am
going to have to remove this e-mail address from my domain if it doesn't
stop soon.

73
-Greg KG6SGY

"Chuck Harris" <cfharris@erols.com> wrote in message
news:bkhjcj$as8$1@bob.news.rcn.net...
> I use linux too, but I still get my ISP's mailbox stuffed
> with the results of this trojan horse. About 80 a day.
>
> -Chuck, WA3UQV
>
> Fred Garvin wrote:
> > On Sat, 20 Sep 2003 04:48:59 -0400, Scott Schrader wrote:
> >
> >
> >>fool copied the Swen virus into these groups. I pity the fool.......
> >
> >
> >
> > Fuck 'em, I use Linux.
> >
> >
> > Windows sucks donkey balls.
>

1183. Re: L U S E R !!Re: Use that corrective pack from Microsoft Corp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-21 09:03:32 UTC
From: Barney <DonKnotts@NoSlpam.comz>
Message-ID: <bkjph6$6eg$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 3280
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Greg Courville" <EngNOSPAMinuitor@Gee-Enginuity.com> wrote in message news:vmqklkjkltfa4c@corp.supernews.com...
You think YOU have it bad?
I have received 1,254 messages containing the same virus in the last 24 hours... compared to a usual of 5 messages a day total. Now THAT sucks! I am going to have to remove this e-mail address from my domain if it doesn't stop soon.

73
-Greg KG6S

You didn't piss AMP off, did you?
Flooding email boxes is something he is good at.

Other than that he is a coward..

1184. Re: L U S E R !!Re: Use that corrective pack from Microsoft Corp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-21 09:43:53 UTC
From: peter@strg-alt-entf.org
Message-ID: <bkjrsp$2fkjq$8@ID-31.news.uni-berlin.de>
Source record: 3281
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In rec.radio.amateur.dx Barney <DonKnotts@noslpam.comz> wrote:

> You think YOU have it bad?
> I have received 1,254 messages containing the same virus in the last 24
> hours...

> X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2800.1158

Hope there's more waiting for you.

Peter Lemken
DF5JT
Berlin

--
Wer behauptet, den "Playboy" nur der Artikel wegen zu lesen, der schaut sich
auch dreistï¿œndige Pornofilme an, um zu erfahren wie sie ausgehen.

1185. Re: L U S E R !!Re: Use that corrective pack from Microsoft Corp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-21 09:45:32 UTC
From: peter@strg-alt-entf.org
Message-ID: <bkjrvs$2fkjq$9@ID-31.news.uni-berlin.de>
Source record: 3282
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In rec.radio.amateur.dx Greg Courville <EngNOSPAMinuitor@gee-enginuity.com> wrote:
> You think YOU have it bad?
> I have received 1,254 messages containing the same virus in the last 24
> hours...

Obviously that was not enough to finally get rid of

> X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2800.1158

Hope there's Gigabytes more waiting for you.

Peter Lemken
DF5JT
Berlin

--
Wer behauptet, den "Playboy" nur der Artikel wegen zu lesen, der schaut sich
auch dreisti¿œndige Pornofilme an, um zu erfahren wie sie ausgehen.

1186. AOR Fast Radio Modem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-21 19:47:34 UTC
From: "S. Sampson" <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <qfnbb.2221$KJ4.1273@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 3283

Any thoughts on the AOR digital voice modem?

Sounds pretty interesting. Haven't seen one cheaper than $499 though.

http://www.aorusa.com/ard9800.html

1187. Re: L U S E R !!Re: Use that corrective pack from Microsoft Corp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-22 05:46:36 UTC
From: Scott Schrader <swschrad@visi.com>
Message-ID: <3F6E8CBC.EB19B1FF@visi.com>
Source record: 3284
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

4382 virii currently quarantined in Postini for my benefit... 20 have
sneaked through and been gutshot by Snortin' Futilities Antivirus...
have already deleted 1500 virii friday and saturday and gave up to see
what the count rises to. so we're around 5600 for the weakend.

and there were folks at my home ISP who had 4800 by noon saturday.

clearly these script kiddies are not very clever... they could have
directed all this excitement to, say, whitehouse.gov or verisign.com,
and done something useful. or to microsoft.com, where by rights they
really belong :(

Greg Courville wrote:
>
> You think YOU have it bad?
> I have received 1,254 messages containing the same virus in the last 24
> hours... compared to a usual of 5 messages a day total. Now THAT sucks! I am
> going to have to remove this e-mail address from my domain if it doesn't
> stop soon.
>
> 73
> -Greg KG6SGY
>
> "Chuck Harris" <cfharris@erols.com> wrote in message
> news:bkhjcj$as8$1@bob.news.rcn.net...
> > I use linux too, but I still get my ISP's mailbox stuffed
> > with the results of this trojan horse. About 80 a day.
> >
> > -Chuck, WA3UQV
> >
> > Fred Garvin wrote:
> > > On Sat, 20 Sep 2003 04:48:59 -0400, Scott Schrader wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > >>fool copied the Swen virus into these groups. I pity the fool.......
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Fuck 'em, I use Linux.
> > >
> > >
> > > Windows sucks donkey balls.

> >

-- If it's a "new economy," why do they want my obsolete old money?

1188. Re: L U S E R !!Re: Use that corrective pack from Microsoft Corp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-22 06:00:22 UTC
From: --exray-- <no@no.no>
Message-ID: <vmt3sp9hr22oe8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 3285
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Scott Schrader wrote:
> 4382 virii currently quarantined in Postini for my benefit... 20 have
> sneaked through and been gutshot by Snortin' Futilities Antivirus...

My Unix gSnot devaluator doesn't recognize those terms and they all came
up in Chinese characters on my mailreader after it enountered the fact
that you actually COUNTED 4382 virii.
Might you have a set of Dymo labels in this language that I can tape
onto my computer box? Red would look best.

-GEeK

1189. Re: L U S E R !!Re: Use that corrective pack from Microsoft Corp.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-22 18:45:50 UTC
From: Scott Schrader <swschrad@visi.com>
Message-ID: <3F6F435E.C674CED2@visi.com>
Source record: 3286
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

since we're dealing with virii and such, clip letters out of headlines
and magazines and glue them onto the screen :-D

--exray-- wrote:
>
> Scott Schrader wrote:
> > 4382 virii currently quarantined in Postini for my benefit... 20 have
> > sneaked through and been gutshot by Snortin' Futilities Antivirus...
>
> My Unix gSnot devaluator doesn't recognize those terms and they all came
> up in Chinese characters on my mailreader after it enountered the fact
> that you actually COUNTED 4382 virii.
> Might you have a set of Dymo labels in this language that I can tape
> onto my computer box? Red would look best.
>
> -GEeK

-- If it's a "new economy," why do they want my obsolete old money?

1190. Re: Streaming Audio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-22 22:38:40 UTC
From: Jeff Kelly <jkelly@bellatlantic.net>
Message-ID: <QRKbb.7451$hL1.1397@nwrdny01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 3287

Tough to beat the windows media encoder.

Jeff

"David Stinson" <arc5@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3F638BFA.950D312C@ix.netcom.com...
>
> I need to stream *live* audio and recover it with flat response
> from 200 hz to 20 Khz (soundcard; most will do 20KC).
> I have a broadband connection.
> Can some of you computer gurus recommend a system?
> Thanks.

1191. *Urgent* APRS and the Garmin Rino / PLC BPL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-23 18:21:50 UTC
From: Ralph Aichinger <ralph@dolphy.pangea.at>
Message-ID: <1064340027.256432@news.liwest.at>
Source record: 3288
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

First I want to explain why this is urgent:

I want to file a comment on PLC/BPL to the EU Commission
(see http://tinyurl.com/lmm3 and http://tinyurl/nkt0 , if
you live in the EU, you should file one too) and the deadline
is on 1st of October. So I really would like to have this
information as quick as possible!

What I am wondering is if the Garmin Rino

http://www.garmin.com/products/rino/

has directly or indirectly been influenced by APRS, or
if otherwise it could be said, that amateur radio has
led to or enabled the creation of this product.

Background: I want to argue that amateur radio not only
carries out purely technical experimentation, but also
experimentation into usage models and patterns, which can
lead to commercial products and business models. IMHO
this is a good argument for ham radio, especially in
europe, where wireless companies are hurting from dot.com-
style bidding-sprees for 3G frequencies/licenses, and no business
models or uses for these services are to be seen.

If you've got similar examples in recent times, I would
be interested in these too.

Thanks in advance! I will post a pointer to my text after filing.

/ralph oe5arp -- Followups directed to rrap

1192. Re: Streaming Audio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-24 02:18:41 UTC
From: John McHarry <jmcharry@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <697cb.15982$jO.10659@bignews3.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 3289

Jeff Kelly wrote:

> Tough to beat the windows media encoder.
>
> Jeff
>
> "David Stinson" <arc5@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:3F638BFA.950D312C@ix.netcom.com...
>>
>> I need to stream *live* audio and recover it with flat response
>> from 200 hz to 20 Khz (soundcard; most will do 20KC).
>> I have a broadband connection.
>> Can some of you computer gurus recommend a system?
>> Thanks.

Depends on what you are trying to accomplish. At higher bit rates, Ogg Vorbis seems quite good. At all bit rates, MP3 is the most compatible with different hw/os. There was a recent review of encoding schemes referenced on Slashdot.

1193. Re: PK-232 manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-25 02:34:39 UTC
From: Butch <lynyrd@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <vn4l24nckil1d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 3290

Jim, Please contact me at this return address I have all that you need
in excellent condition.

Butch Magee KF5DE
6462 Kome Drive
Diamondhead, MS 39525-3816

Randall R. Gawtry wrote:
> In article <S2Q8b.8285$Uk4.2952@news01.roc.ny>, "Jim" <jadale@frontiernet.nrt> wrote:
>
>>I am looking for a AEA Packratt 232.
>>Does anybody have one or willing to make a copy.
>>I am also looking for a cable.
>>Jim Dale
>>
>>
>
>
> Jim,
>
> We have a complete line of accessories for the PK-232, including the manual
> (copyright) and terminated cables to match the PK-232 connectors. Please
> check our website, http://www.timewave.com, for more details.
>
>
> Randy Gawtry
> Timewave Technology Inc.

1194. Windows prgrams for KAM Plus?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-28 01:38:05 UTC
From: Dave <davew@cruzio.com>
Message-ID: <bl5e1m05i0@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 3291

Anyone know where I might find this kind of software? Looking for a well supported shareware program but will take all suggestions. I am bailing out of KaGold. Thanks.

--
Dave K6DLW

1195. Re: Windows prgrams for KAM Plus?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-28 06:18:21 UTC
From: Hans Remeeus <hans@remeeus.nl>
Message-ID: <bl5ufc$83fn5$1@ID-169386.news.uni-berlin.de>
Source record: 3292

PacTerm for Windows for Kantronics TNCs:

http://www.cssincorp.com/pacterm/

--

73, Hans Remeeus.
Ham Radio: PA1HR
www.remeeus.nl

Communication is about people, the rest is technology.

"Dave" <davew@cruzio.com> schreef in bericht
news:bl5e1m05i0@enews3.newsguy.com...
> Anyone know where I might find this kind of software? Looking for a well
> supported shareware program but will take all suggestions. I am bailing
out
> of KaGold. Thanks.
>
> --
> Dave K6DLW
>
>

1196. Paxon terminal software Tutorial at USPN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-28 12:21:07 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <TmAdb.6884$Cm3.4049@newssvr27.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3293

This is the long awaited followup to the USPN Flex32 tutorial, and guides you through the setup of the excellent Paxon terminal software that works so well with Flex32.

Sorry I was so slow!

The web-site, installer program and help files for Paxon are all in German, but the program comes up in English. This new tutorial will guide you through the web-site and installation process, leaving you after your first packet connection with Flex32 and Paxon.

Flex32 and Paxon are great for many varieties of packet, including regular old AX25 at 1200 baud of course, but many hams are not aware of the fact that Flex32 and Paxon will get you on HF too, in the new Q15x25 mode, called "Newqpsk" in the Flex32 control panel's drivers list.

Q15x25 mode uses 15 psk streams at 88 baud each for an effective 2500 baud on HF. It is a wide-mode, similar to PACTOR III in this respect. It acts a lot like packet, but with more throughput of course. Q15x25 enthusiasts often swap JPEG pictures, since Q15x25 has excellent error-correction.

The new Paxon tutorial can be found in the "Tutorials" page at USPN:
http://www.uspacket.net

Please let me know about any mistakes, ambiguities or omissions; I just hacked it out this morning!

Charles Brabham, N5PVL
Director: USPN
n5pvl@uspacket.net
http://www.uspacket.net

1197. Radio on MAC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-29 01:19:38 UTC
From: sheellah@aol.com
Message-ID: <20030928211938.10417.00000370@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 3294

Can any radio station be picked up using a computer, or does the station have to actually stream the audio for use with RealAudio etc. I'm trying to access an AM station. Thanks!

1198. Re: Radio on MAC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-29 01:25:08 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <URLdb.1105$K9.637@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 3295

"Sheellah" <sheellah@aol.com> wrote
>
> Can any radio station be picked up using a computer, or does the station have
> to actually stream the audio for use with RealAudio etc. I'm trying to access
> an AM station. Thanks!

Any station can be picked up, even if they don't have a computer!

If you send $50.00 to my email address via paypal, I'll even show you
how to do it!

1199. Re: Radio on MAC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-09-29 05:16:22 UTC
From: "Dr. Anton Squeegee" <SpammersAreVermin@dev.null>
Message-ID: <MPG.19e16001e0194e8f989a26@192.168.42.131>
Source record: 3296

In article <20030928211938.10417.00000370@mb-m06.aol.com>,
sheellah@aol.com says...

> Can any radio station be picked up using a computer, or does the station have
> to actually stream the audio for use with RealAudio etc. I'm trying to access
> an AM station. Thanks!

In response to your first question: Yes, IF the computer in
question has the appropriate hardware (a receiver card, or similar
computer-driven radio) and software (drivers for said card or receiver)
installed. In short, you need to have a special radio receiver designed
to be installed in, or controlled by, a computer.

In response to your second question: If the station in question is
streaming its audio to the Internet, you need only use the appropriate
media player software installed on your system.

--
Dr. Anton Squeegee, Director, Dutch Surrealist Plumbing Institute
(Known to some as Bruce Lane, KC7GR)
kyrrin a/t bluefeathertech d-o=t c&o&m
"Quando Omni Flunkus Moritati" (Red Green)

1200. SeaTTY 1.23 is published (RTTY/NAVTEX/HF-FAX decoder)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-01 16:16:45 UTC
From: Sergei Podstrigailo <amx99@dxsoft.com>
Message-ID: <fdb612ac6fda5d54c93a2c5a2e09ff37@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 3297

Hello, All!

I have uploaded SeaTTY 1.23 beta to the main site and mirror:

http://www.dxsoft.com/seattyb.zip
http://www.hamport.com/seattyb.zip

On this week you can purchase SeaTTY reg-code with 20% discount there:

http://secure.emetrix.com/order/product.asp?PID=23181337&DC=sea28

==========
SeaTTY versions history

V1.23
AFC has two parameters now.
The first parameter is local deviation in hertzs, i.e. maximal deviation
from current frequency. Second parameter is global deviation in hertzs,
i.e. maximal deviation from last user-selected frequency. User-selected
frequencies are shown at spectrogram by short red lines .
V1.22
A problem with FAX synchronization losing while image scrolling was fixed.
If start tone is found during image receiving, SeaTTY saves image and
startsnew image receiving now.
V1.21
RTTY and NAVTEX decoders were rewritten.
Decoding quality was vastly improved.
[...]

WBR,
Sergei

Windows HAM radio software at http://www.dxsoft.com

1201. SOUNDCARD SOFTWARES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-01 19:33:53 UTC
From: Dave <g3vfp@blueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <h3Geb.31$wG.8@news-binary.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 3298

Hi just an update to say that I have added more softwares to my pages for those who are interested in any data mode using a PC soundcard.

www.g3vfp.org/download.html

Call in and enjoy :-)

Cheers,

Dave G3VFP

1202. For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-02 12:01:40 UTC
From: John Schneider <thecop@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <EsUeb.2459$E95.793758@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 3299

I have a PK232MBX for sale. This unit has been sitting in storage for a couple of years. I am the original owner and I have added the DSP daughterboard. I will ship with the original manual (in grey plastic binder), DSP addendum, cable to connect to PC and original box.

Note: There are some scratches on the top of the unit and it was working the last time it was powered up approx. 18 mos. ago.

Asking $100.00 or best offer.

Please email off list if interested, and thank you for your time.

John, KB2COP
thecop@optonline.net

--

www.geocities.com/theoldcop

1203. How can I connect to the Internet via shortwave?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-06 21:30:13 UTC
From: trp950@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <ae650e79.0310061330.57e8803d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3300

I am thinking about moving abroad and would like to set up an internet connection from a VERY remote location. Is it possible to do it via shortwave radio?

Thom Parkison
trp950@hotmail.com

1204. Re: How can I connect to the Internet via shortwave?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-06 23:00:18 UTC
From: "Dr. Anton Squeegee" <SpammersAreVermin@dev.null>
Message-ID: <MPG.19eb93e0727264f4989a41@192.168.42.131>
Source record: 3201

In article <ae650e79.0310061330.57e8803d@posting.google.com>, trp950
@hotmail.com says...

> I am thinking about moving abroad and would like to set up an internet
> connection from a VERY remote location. Is it possible to do it via
> shortwave radio?

Possible how?

In the technical sense, of course it's possible. The technology to
do so (via satellite) exists now, and is available to those who know
where to look and who to pay subscription fees to.

In the logistic and licensing sense, it's a much more complicated
issue. You'd probably be best off investigating a satellite feed with
existing technology. A google search for "satellite internet" (include
the quotes) turns up a whole raft of hits, including such names as
Starband and DirecPC.

Good hunting.

--
Dr. Anton Squeegee, Director, Dutch Surrealist Plumbing Institute
(Known to some as Bruce Lane, KC7GR)
kyrrin a/t bluefeathertech d-o=t c&o&m
"Quando Omni Flunkus Moritati" (Red Green)

1205. AC978 Tower for Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-07 02:23:10 UTC
From: Me <j.dauphinais@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <ispgb.171512$3o3.12585839@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 3202
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

I have for sale a steel Tower totaling 25'. This is in 3 sections 1 10'st8 section, 1 5' st8 section and 10' top section. I do NOT have a rotator. $50.00 pickup only from Westford, MA. I am selling this because it is not suitable for my current QTH. 73"s Jeff AA1GR

1206. AC978 TOWER 4-SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-07 02:50:50 UTC
From: Me <j.dauphinais@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <eSpgb.171541$3o3.12586480@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 3203
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.space

I have for sale a steel Tower totaling 25'. This is in 3 sections 1 10'st8 section, 1 5' st8 section and 10' top section. I do NOT have a rotator. $50.00 pickup only from Westford, MA. I am selling this because it is not suitable for my current QTH. 73"s Jeff AA1GR

1207. Re: How can I connect to the Internet via shortwave?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-07 09:24:09 UTC
From: Markus L <m867142@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3f828639$0$248$4d4ebb8e@read-nat.news.nl.uu.net>
Source record: 3204

Thomas Parkison wrote:
> I am thinking about moving abroad and would like to set up an internet
> connection from a VERY remote location. Is it possible to do it via
> shortwave radio?

Depends what you mean by internet connection.
Mail access is possible using one of these systems:
http://www.winlink.org/ (ham radio operators only)
http://www.sailmail.com/
http://www.kielradio.de/

Markus

1208. Re: How can I connect to the Internet via shortwave?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-07 13:31:53 UTC
From: Android Cat <androidcat99@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <iozgb.7746$oO4.492237@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 3205

Markus L wrote:
> Thomas Parkison wrote:
>> I am thinking about moving abroad and would like to set up an
>> internet connection from a VERY remote location. Is it possible to
>> do it via shortwave radio?
>
> Depends what you mean by internet connection.
> Mail access is possible using one of these systems:
> http://www.winlink.org/ (ham radio operators only)
> http://www.sailmail.com/
> http://www.kielradio.de/

To expand on that, Internet connection via HF amateur radio is possible, but not for any kind of web surfing or high bandwidth activity. Email and some Usenet would be about the limit. And even for email, you'd better have good spam filters. One 155k "Microsoft Security Update" would ruin your whole week.

Text web access with Lynx _might_ work, except that many pages don't support text-only any more. Imagine surfing at 300 bps, then add packet overhead. No encryption, so you might have some security problems too.

How remote is "VERY"? Using a higher frequency will allow higher bps rates, but with shorter range. *IF* there are digipeaters anywhere close, you might be able to get a faster connection, but with more hops.

Heh, I remember when VHF packet was four times faster than normal landline modems.

--
Ron Sharp.
I just booted a 23 year old friend:
EXPLORER-85 VER 1.4
COPYRIGHT 1979
NETRONICS R&D
NEW MILFORD, CT.

1209. Looking for PacSat Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-07 18:27:13 UTC
From: jeannette <jeanne8@No_Junk_eblw.com>
Message-ID: <v216ovotfgi20mc495usbsiumbaarnou0m@4ax.com>
Source record: 3206

Hello group,

I am a cruising sailboat owner. I have been using the Pactor Winlink network for email but I was wondering how difficult it would be to setup a station to use the packet forwarding capabilities of the Ham satellites. There are limitations on a sailboat of course. Big dishes are out and because of the rocking and rolling motion, directional antennas are probably out too.
Anyway, does anyone know where I can find some info on how to setup such a system.

73,

Jeannette
kc6jet
Jeannette Bristol 32, San Francisco
http://www.eblw.com/contepartiro/contepartiro.html

1210. OTTAWA PI2 CARD FOR SELL $ 150

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-10 01:01:30 UTC
From: hseisdedos@terra.es
Message-ID: <fcff10a9.0310091701.47337dcb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3207

OTTAWA PI2 CARD FOR SELL $ 150 DOLLAR

WORK FINE

IKOBO, PAYPAL, MONEYBOOKERS

1211. Need Help: Audio Channel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-10 14:05:17 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3F86AE8C.78B56B8F@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 3208
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.space

Thanks for reading this.

I need a Windows-compatable program or TSR
(can be DOS, as long as it will run on a Windows machine)
that will give me an open audio channel, sound card to sound card,
from one internet-connected computer to another.
Needs to have good bandwidth for broadband connections,
scalable down to narrower bandwidth for dial-up.
Need to pass at least 15-20 KCs of bandwidth for broadband,
4-6 KCs for dial-up.
Don't need any bells or whistles. Does need to maintain the
channel once opened. I can rig some kind of hardware "transmit,"
but that would complicate the application.
Can anyone help?
Thanks again and 73,
Dave AB5S

1212. packet internet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-10 20:37:44 UTC
From: nospam <pegasis@wt.net>
Message-ID: <3f87184f@sys13.hou.wt.net>
Source record: 3209

anyone know anything about packet internet?

--

May we save our great country from despots?
Do some reading

Savage Nation,
http://www.amazon.com/exec/obidos/ASIN/B0000C7BN4/qid=1065484323/sr=2-1/ref=
sr_2_1/102-3500865-1234545
Pigs @ the trough,
http://www.amazon.com/exec/obidos/tg/detail/-/1400047714/qid=1065484375/sr=1
-1/ref=sr_1_1/102-3500865-1234545?v=glance&s=books
Slander,
http://www.amazon.com/exec/obidos/ASIN/1400049520/qid=1065484425/sr=2-1/ref=
sr_2_1/102-3500865-1234545
Federalist papers,
http://www.amazon.com/exec/obidos/ASIN/0451528816/qid=1065484456/sr=2-1/ref=
sr_2_1/102-3500865-1234545

1213. Re: Internet access using Amateur Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-10 20:41:55 UTC
From: nospam <pegasis@wt.net>
Message-ID: <3f87192b@sys13.hou.wt.net>
Source record: 3210

any feedback on this?
How is it done, etc?

"S. Sampson" <nospam@cox.net> wrote in message
news:J0kJa.484607$vU3.354387@news1.central.cox.net...
> "Dan Martin" <danmar9@earthlink.net> wrote
> >
> > I see a lot about gateways, but nothing on using Ham frequencies for
> > internet access. Is this still illegal? Is the FCC considering allowing
it ?
>
> The difference between a commercial entity, and an amateur one, is that
> a commercial entity is not restricted in content (other than state and
> federal prohibitions/contraband).
>
>

1214. Re: sound card modem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-10 20:42:53 UTC
From: nospam <pegasis@wt.net>
Message-ID: <3f87197e@sys13.hou.wt.net>
Source record: 3211

what is it?

"S. Sampson" <nospam@cox.net> wrote in message
news:0ewCa.340673$vU3.282304@news1.central.cox.net...
> "Andrewjj20" <andrewjj20@yahoo.com> wrote
> > could someone recommend a good program for operating packet with a sound
> > card, if possible
> >
> > VA7JAJ
>
> Go to:
>
> http://www.elcom.gr/sv2agw/inst.htm
>
> Click on the AGWPE.zip download. I use this all the time, and it works
> just fine. I keep the input audio as low as possible, and it decodes real
> nice. I use the Rascal Interface by K4ABT:
>
> http://www.packetradio.com/psk31.html
>
> The AGWPE programmer interface is pretty simple as well, as I was playing
> with a MySQL web interface program to throw APRS data into a database.
> I haven't messed with that in awhile though, as I pretty much just got
tired
> of looking at the same old icons year after year :-)
>
>
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/SV2AGW/files/Programming/Linux%20AGW%20to%20My
SQL/
>
> Steve
> https://chevy.kicks-ass.net
>
>

1215. Re: packet internet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-11 02:31:04 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <IXJhb.3501$_f.1899@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 3212

"nospam" <pegasis@wt.net> wrote
>
> anyone know anything about packet internet?

It was big 20 years ago, now people just use DSL or Cable modems.

1216. Re: sound card modem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-11 02:32:01 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <BYJhb.3512$_f.3401@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 3213

"nospam" <pegasis@wt.net> wrote
>
> what is it?

A sound card modem in software.

1217. Looking for help identifying a mode

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-12 12:02:39 UTC
From: msgri1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20031012080239.02820.00000207@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 3214

I was wondering if someone could help me identify the mode of a signal I hear on 6429.2 LSB ? My decoder says it is SITOR-A, but it won't decode it. I would appreciate any help.

Thanks,
Mike G.
Newport, RI

1218. Re: Looking for help identifying a mode

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-12 15:35:40 UTC
From: KeyBoard In The Wilderness <Keyboard73@zilcha.com>
Message-ID: <gxeib.68250$Ms2.43299@fed1read03>
Source record: 3215

TRY URL:
http://www.wunclub.com/sounds/
Has sounds on line

Also URL:
http://rover.wiesbaden.netsurf.de/~signals/DIG_intro.htm

--
73 From Anon

"Msgri1" <msgri1@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20031012080239.02820.00000207@mb-m06.aol.com...
> I was wondering if someone could help me identify the mode of a signal I
hear
> on 6429.2 LSB ? My decoder says it is SITOR-A, but it won't decode it. I
would
> appreciate any help.
>
> Thanks,
> Mike G.
> Newport, RI

1219. FS Items

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-12 23:11:55 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3F89DFCF.7050107@optonline.net>
Source record: 3216
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio

Sorry for the xpost. My buddy John WB2ISI recently passed away and his widow w/ 3 children under 18 asked me to dispose of his equipment as follows:
Yaesu YS200 Watt/SWR meter
Kenwood 2 meter transceiver TR-7850 w/ mic. slight wear, missing rt side panel.
Icom IC751
AIM 20 amp power supply
National NC-33 Receiver, fair appearance, no dents/dings, power cord needs work.
Tubes:
62011/12AT7WA 1 piece
6AL5 1 piece
6L6 G 1 piece
866 A 2 pieces
2A3 4 pieces
5881 1 piece
811 A 1 piece
5V4 qa 1 piece
811 2 pieces
6J5 1 piece
12AX7 1 piece
6083/AX 9909 (Amperex) 1 piece
6083 (Amperex)

Again I am sorry for the xpost. All money goes to his family. I dont realize anything from this. 73, Jim.

1220. Re: Need Help: Audio Channel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-13 06:48:21 UTC
From: farhan@hotfoon.com
Message-ID: <8331b126.0310122248.3bd7f879@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3217
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.space

David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:<3F86AE8C.78B56B8F@ix.netcom.com>...

> I need a Windows-compatable program or TSR
> (can be DOS, as long as it will run on a Windows machine)
> that will give me an open audio channel, sound card to sound card,
> from one internet-connected computer to another.

http://www.speakfreely.org/
it is free, comes with source code and is GPL-ed.
the voice quality is really excellent and
it has choice of codecs to choose from.

- farhan

1221. Mac Ham Software List

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-13 13:23:26 UTC
From: JS <wd1v@removethisamsat.org>
Message-ID: <1310200309232564 31%wd1v@removethisamsat.org>
Source record: 3218

http://www.qsl.net/wd1v/Page7.html

updated with Links to many new sites

73,

John - WD1V

1222. A New Concept: Virtual Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-14 01:27:32 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3F8B4D6D.31D19695@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 3219
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

Thanks for reading this.
I have today submitted an article to QST magazine entitled
"Virtual Spectrum: Beating BPL at its own game,"
detailing a concept that can greatly impact our radio hobby.
I wanted to "put down a marker" to date the introduction
of a new ham-radio innovation, and to officially place
the idea in the public domain, so the maximum amount of
"tinkering" with it can happen.

This concept uses the bandwidth available on the Internet
and some simple circuitry to create a replica of a segment of
the radio spectrum, stream it live or prerecorded to another
internet-connected computer and reconstruct that radio spectrum
at your location. *Any* radio equipment you wish to use
(given an Internet connection with sufficent bandwidth)-
from modern PSK-31 rigs, SSB nets, WW-II modulated oscillators
all the way back to ancient spark transmitters
with crystal receivers; all can legally communicate just as though
you were on-the-air. The system is bi-directional, allowing
QSOs between stations that normally could not communicate.
If you can't hear weak signals because of noise in your area,
the concept allows you to build a "remote front end" and
transport the radio spectrum in a quiet area right to your rig,
bypassing the problems in your location.

I believe this concept can be the answer to BPL, "noise holes,"
antenna restrictions, HF "jammers" and many other obstacles to
using our radios. There is great potential for many uses,
and a possible niche market for someone with the resources
to develop the equipment.
I have already built prototype equipment to prove the concept
and it works splendidly. The article includes construction
details. The circuits are simple and you can get
95% of the parts at Radio Shack.
By the time QST publishes (assume they accept the work),
we should have completed the first Virtual Spectrum QSO:
two World War 2 BC-611 handie-talkies (output: about 60 milliwatts)
will communicate over a path of about 2000 miles.

If QST does not publish the concept,
I will publish it myself on the web
immediatly after hearing from them.
I am indebted to Mike Hanz, aafradio@cox.net

for invaluable suggestions and encouragement.

TNX ES 73 DE Dave Stinson AB5S

1223. Re: A New Concept: Virtual Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-14 01:53:21 UTC
From: James Nipper <jnipper@nospam.fdn.com>
Message-ID: <fdudnXmvW_aOyhaiU-KYkA@comcast.com>
Source record: 3220
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

Are you talking about a souped up version of Echo Link?

Why would any of this be needed, if you can just chat with each other via
AIM or some other chat program ?

73 de Jim K4PYT

1224. Re: A New Concept: Virtual Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-14 01:58:06 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3F8B5494.C3948C82@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 3221
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

James Nipper wrote:

> Why would any of this be needed, if you can just chat with each other via
> AIM or some other chat program ?

I didn't buy my radios and build my stations so I could
let them gather dust while I "chat" on the internet.
I want *use* them.

1225. Re: A New Concept: Virtual Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-14 02:16:29 UTC
From: --exray-- <no@no.no>
Message-ID: <vomn3f9ut0r826@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 3222
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

David Stinson wrote:
> James Nipper wrote:
>
>
>>Why would any of this be needed, if you can just chat with each other via
>>AIM or some other chat program ?
>
>
> I didn't buy my radios and build my stations so I could
> let them gather dust while I "chat" on the internet.
> I want *use* them.

I recall back in the early 70s how excited I was about the future of ham radio via satellite and how I expected to see a flood of activity (that never materilaized) to take us through the bad sunspot years.
Dave, maybe hooking up all those BAs thru transverters will be a better answer.
:-)
-Bill WX4A

1226. Re: A New Concept: Virtual Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-14 02:23:33 UTC
From: Roy Lewallen <w7el@eznec.com>
Message-ID: <vomnhgh0cbkg9d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 3223
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

I apologize for being a little vague about this. Are you proposing replacing wireless communication with wired communication (via the Internet) as a way to communicate with inadequate radio equipment?

Roy Lewallen, W7EL

1227. Re: A New Concept: Virtual Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-14 02:27:19 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3F8B5B6B.8E5123D@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 3224
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

Roy Lewallen wrote:
>
> I apologize for being a little vague about this. Are you proposing
> replacing wireless communication with wired communication (via the
> Internet) as a way to communicate with inadequate radio equipment?

"Wireless" doesn't always work, if you're in a "noise hole,"
or if the mode or radio you want to use isn't "legit" anymore.
So that's one use, yes.
The idea is to use our own radios as source and sink,
bypassing the vagaries of Mother Nature's propagation
and local noise sources. Or, if you're in a "noise hole,"
to bring spectrum to you from a "quiet" location.
It also allows the use of heretofore prohibitied modes.
For instance:
In the article I detail how an old longwave maritime
rig on 415 KC A2 can carry on a real-time CW QSO with
someone using a modern rig on 40 meters.
It will be a real boon for the "boatanchor" community.
I do hope QST will consider it.

TNX ES 73 DE Dave AB5S

1228. Re: A New Concept: Virtual Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-14 02:40:06 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <amJib.112$ZE1.42@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 3225
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

"--exray--" <no@no.no> wrote
>
> I recall back in the early 70s how excited I was about the future of ham
> radio via satellite and how I expected to see a flood of activity (that
> never materilaized) to take us through the bad sunspot years.
> Dave, maybe hooking up all those BAs thru transverters will be a better
> answer. :-)
> -Bill WX4A

Right!

I was excited about the microsats. Then they built this monster satellite
and spent millions on it. I don't know anyone in a 100 miles who uses it.

1229. Re: A New Concept: Virtual Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-14 03:07:30 UTC
From: James Nipper <jnipper@nospam.fdn.com>
Message-ID: <AI2dnV5oibhI9RaiXTWJig@comcast.com>
Source record: 3226
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

Just what would this internet communicating have to do with Ham Radio ?

Again, why would you need the ham equipment , considering you can do all the communicating to begin with just by being on the internet?

Not to be personally offensive (I mean it), but this sounds rather silly to me.

Ham Radio is communication over the airwaves using ham gear. Websurfing is connecting to other pc's and servers etc using the telephone line or cable, and the internet.

They both are FUN but they ain't the SAME !!

73 de Jim K4PYT

1230. Re: A New Concept: Virtual Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-14 03:23:38 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <__Jib.137$ZE1.100@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 3227
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

you didn't read any part of his message (after the word Internet) did you?

"James Nipper" <jnipper@fdn.com> wrote
>
>
>
> Just what would this internet communicating have to do with Ham Radio ?
>
> Again, why would you need the ham equipment , considering you can do all the
> communicating to begin with just by being on the internet?
>
> Not to be personally offensive (I mean it), but this sounds rather silly to
> me.
>
> Ham Radio is communication over the airwaves using ham gear. Websurfing is
> connecting to other pc's and servers etc using the telephone line or cable,
> and the internet.
>
> They both are FUN but they ain't the SAME !!
>
>
> 73 de Jim K4PYT
>

1231. Re: A New Concept: Virtual Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-14 03:26:50 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@NOSPAM.townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <bmfqdq$jq0$0@208.206.142.110>
Source record: 3228
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

David Stinson wrote:

> Thanks for reading this.
> I have today submitted an article to QST magazine entitled
> "Virtual Spectrum: Beating BPL at its own game,"
> detailing a concept that can greatly impact our radio hobby.
> I wanted to "put down a marker" to date the introduction
> of a new ham-radio innovation, and to officially place
> the idea in the public domain, so the maximum amount of
> "tinkering" with it can happen.
>
> This concept uses the bandwidth available on the Internet
> and some simple circuitry to create a replica of a segment of
> the radio spectrum, stream it live or prerecorded to another
> internet-connected computer and reconstruct that radio spectrum
> at your location. *Any* radio equipment you wish to use
> (given an Internet connection with sufficent bandwidth)-
> from modern PSK-31 rigs, SSB nets, WW-II modulated oscillators
> all the way back to ancient spark transmitters
> with crystal receivers; all can legally communicate just as though
> you were on-the-air. The system is bi-directional, allowing
> QSOs between stations that normally could not communicate.
> If you can't hear weak signals because of noise in your area,
> the concept allows you to build a "remote front end" and
> transport the radio spectrum in a quiet area right to your rig,
> bypassing the problems in your location.
>
> I believe this concept can be the answer to BPL, "noise holes,"
> antenna restrictions, HF "jammers" and many other obstacles to
> using our radios. There is great potential for many uses,
> and a possible niche market for someone with the resources
> to develop the equipment.
> I have already built prototype equipment to prove the concept
> and it works splendidly. The article includes construction
> details. The circuits are simple and you can get
> 95% of the parts at Radio Shack.
> By the time QST publishes (assume they accept the work),
> we should have completed the first Virtual Spectrum QSO:
> two World War 2 BC-611 handie-talkies (output: about 60 milliwatts)
> will communicate over a path of about 2000 miles.
>
> If QST does not publish the concept,
> I will publish it myself on the web

> immediatly after hearing from them.
> I am indebted to Mike Hanz, aafradio@cox.net
> for invaluable suggestions and encouragement.
>
> TNX ES 73 DE Dave Stinson AB5S

Dave, have fun. I'll check back to see how it went when I get back from the lake from fishing with my grandsons. And I'll be puttng my gear up for sale. If it doesn't sell, I'll take it fishing next time along with a good rope to tether the boat.

1232. Re: ISS project ET Shadow needs VHF amateurs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-14 03:45:07 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3F8B6D9A.4FEA2F27@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 3230

Dorothy Forbes wrote:
> http://www.qsl.net/vk3ukf/etshoadowozindexqsl.html

404 File Not Found

1233. Re: A New Concept: Virtual Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-14 08:22:30 UTC
From: Roger Barker <roger@peaksys.co.uk>
Message-ID: <+WXcrpAGJ7i$EwCX@peaksys.co.uk>
Source record: 3231
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

In article <3F8B4D6D.31D19695@ix.netcom.com>, David Stinson
<arc5@ix.netcom.com> writes
>Thanks for reading this.
>I have today submitted an article to QST magazine entitled
>"Virtual Spectrum: Beating BPL at its own game,"
>detailing a concept that can greatly impact our radio hobby.
>I wanted to "put down a marker" to date the introduction
>of a new ham-radio innovation, and to officially place
>the idea in the public domain, so the maximum amount of
>"tinkering" with it can happen.
>
>This concept uses the bandwidth available on the Internet
>and some simple circuitry to create a replica of a segment of
>the radio spectrum, stream it live or prerecorded to another
>internet-connected computer and reconstruct that radio spectrum
>at your location.
[snip]

Dave, this is a fantastic idea. It opens up great possibilities for international co-operation between radio hams. When your spectrum in the USA is wiped out by BPL, we hams in the UK could lend you some of ours. I'm sure the ARRL and RSGB could negotiate an agreement, and sort out the reciprocal licensing issues.

Also, by the use of spectrum transformation, which you touched on in your follow-up posting, it would allow hams with restricted licences to experience propagation on bands on which they are not allowed to operate.

--
Roger Barker, G4IDE - roger@peaksys.co.uk
For UI-View go to - http://www.UI-View.com
For WinPack go to - http://www.peaksys.co.uk

1234. Re: A New Concept: Virtual Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-14 08:40:32 UTC
From: "No Spam"
Message-ID: <ifgU75G3LLdo-pn2-3Q4I7YXIxdNd@localhost>
Source record: 3232
Cross-posted to: rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

On Tue, 14 Oct 2003 03:07:30 UTC, "James Nipper"
<jnipper@nospam.fdn.com> wrote:

>
>
>
> Just what would this internet communicating have to do with Ham Radio ?
>
> Again, why would you need the ham equipment , considering you can do all the
> communicating to begin with just by being on the internet?
>
> Not to be personally offensive (I mean it), but this sounds rather silly to
> me.
>
> Ham Radio is communication over the airwaves using ham gear. Websurfing is
> connecting to other pc's and servers etc using the telephone line or cable,
> and the internet.
>
> They both are FUN but they ain't the SAME !!
>
>
> 73 de Jim K4PYT
>

Yeah, I don't see the point either. There's a fellow near me (I'm
in Northern Virginia) who operates a remoted HF rig via the
Internet.

He calls it "Internet Radio". I honestly don't see the point of it.

de ah6gi/4

1235. Re: A New Concept: Virtual Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-14 09:43:07 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <LyPib.419$Re3.43@newssvr25.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3233
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

"James Nipper" <jnipper@nospam.fdn.com> wrote in message
news:Al2dnV5oibhI9RaiXTWJig@comcast.com...
>
> Just what would this internet communicating have to do with Ham Radio ?
>

It's another way for Internet junkies to undermine the hobby by attempting to blur the line between amateur radio and Internet communications.

The idea is to talk hams into being content to *pretend* that they are using radio when in fact they are stuck off in an elaborate Internet chat. These people get a big "charge" every time they hear that they have succeeded in talking a ham into going off the air, this way.

To these "Amateur Telephone" devotees, the hobby would be meaningless without the non-ham stuff. Packet/Internet gateways, EchoLink, you name it: As long as it takes hams off the air and puts them on the Internet instead, they are all for it, 100%.

Many of the most outspoken advocates of "Amateur Telephone" just happen to work for corporations that stand to gain financially if Hams lose spectrum. Isn't that special? An amazing coincidence, isn't it?

So it it really a bit early to tell, but all the signs point toward good old Dave Stinson AB5S being another "Amateur Telephone" idiot, out to denigrate and undercut the hobby with an offer to "help out" amateur radio with the Internet.

I guess it will be best to wait and see... And if good old Dave manages to denigrate and undercut the hobby with his new proposal, maybe we will get an opportunity to see if he can pick a telephone out of his ass.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

1236. Re: A New Concept: Virtual Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-14 10:01:01 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3F8BC59D.503D7C65@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 3234
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

charlesb wrote:

> I guess it will be best to wait and see... And if good old Dave manages to
> denigrate and undercut the hobby with his new proposal, maybe we will get an
> opportunity to see if he can pick a telephone out of his ass.
>
> Charles Brabham, N5PVL

Thanks for the paranoid hillbilly rant.
When BPL gets cranking, there won't *BE*
any hobby if we don't have a "work-around."
As for "picking things out of my ass,"
I'd like to start with your nose.
D.S.

1237. Re: A New Concept: Virtual Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-14 10:53:00 UTC
From: Paul Keinanen <keinanen@sci.fi>
Message-ID: <8pinovogjd9h709al9pq9tcckgss2mciui@4ax.com>
Source record: 3235
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

On Tue, 14 Oct 2003 01:27:32 GMT, David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
wrote:

>This concept uses the bandwidth available on the Internet
>and some simple circuitry to create a replica of a segment of
>the radio spectrum, stream it live or prerecorded to another
>internet-connected computer and reconstruct that radio spectrum
>at your location. *Any* radio equipment you wish to use
>(given an Internet connection with sufficent bandwidth)-
>from modern PSK-31 rigs, SSB nets, WW-II modulated oscillators
>all the way back to ancient spark transmitters
>with crystal receivers; all can legally communicate just as though
>you were on-the-air.

Assuming that the intention is to allow multiple simultaneous users to independently tune around the band, the problem is the dynamic range (both in the analog RF front end and in the ADC) and high sample frequency required to transfer e.g. the whole 80 m or 2 m band over the internet. For the 2 m band, 5-10 MHz sampling rate is required, depending on region, and at least 16 bits/sample, so we are talking of data rates 160 Mbit/s, so even the 100baseT Ethernet is not sufficient to carry the signal, not to mention any ADSL connections.

And before anyone asks, no, you can not use (lossy) compression, since this would prevent decoding some of the signals at the user site.

However, a linear satellite transponder has a quite limited dynamic range and a modest bandwidth, so the requirement are far less demanding.

>The system is bi-directional, allowing
>QSOs between stations that normally could not communicate.

It does not make much sense to transfer the whole frequency band to the transmitter. It is much simpler to use a remotely controlled transmitter for each user at some separate location (far away from the receiving site to avoid blocking) and only transfer the base band over the internet.

>If you can't hear weak signals because of noise in your area,
>the concept allows you to build a "remote front end" and
>transport the radio spectrum in a quiet area right to your rig,
>bypassing the problems in your location.
>

>I believe this concept can be the answer to BPL,

If the reason is simply to avoid the local noise problems due to BPL/PLT/PLC and other electric interference when receiving, the transmitter is not affected by the power lines (carrying BPL) running parallel with your transmitter antenna, so why not simply run the transmitter manually from home and monitoring the band using a remote receiver at a quiet rural site.

However, it might not be a good idea to use the BPL to carry the signal from the remote receiver, since the BPL might be blocked during your transmissions and you could not run QSK :-(, which otherwise would be possible using a remote receiver site.

Paul OH3LWR

1238. Re: A New Concept: Virtual Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-14 11:13:08 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <8TQib.228$ZE1.108@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 3236
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

"David Stinson" <arc5@ix.netcom.com> wrote
> charlesb wrote:
>
> > I guess it will be best to wait and see... And if good old Dave manages to
> > denigrate and undercut the hobby with his new proposal, maybe we will get an
> > opportunity to see if he can pick a telephone out of his ass.
> >
> > Charles Brabham, N5PVL
>
> Thanks for the paranoid hillbilly rant.
> When BPL gets cranking, there won't *BE*
> any hobby if we don't have a "work-around."
> As for "picking things out of my ass,"
> I'd like to start with your nose.
> D.S.

Don't worry about BPL. The ARRL, as with Morse Code, is making
a mountain out of a mole hill. If the military isn't worried about its HF
assets, why should Hams be worried?

1239. Re: A New Concept: Virtual Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-14 14:04:34 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3F8BFEA6.1D89C994@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 3237
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

Paul Keinanen wrote:
> the problem is the dynamic range
> (both in the analog RF front end and in the ADC) and high sample
> frequency required to transfer e.g. the whole 80 m or 2 m band over
> the internet.
I never said anything about transferring the entire band.
At this early stage, I'm only talking about streaming
about 25 KC of any band at any one time.
I'm limiting it so people can use the hardware they already have.
But the concept is "scalable" to larger bandwidths.

> If the reason is simply to avoid the local noise problems due to
> BPL/PLT/PLC...why not simply run the
> transmitter manually from home and monitoring the band using a remote
> receiver at a quiet rural site...
Avoiding BPL is only one aspect of very many.

I guess I wasn't clear-
For those who like Echo Link and what the rude Mr. Blabham
calls "Amateur Telephone," please have at it. Enjoy.
But frankly, I don't give two cents for using an
Internet chat room to talk over *someone else's* radio.

I restore ancient and military radios to original condition
and I want to talk on *them.* Some of them aren't even
capable of being used on ham bands. You'd be surprised;
there's a lot of us out here. With this system, like minded
people can use these radios to QSO completely legally.
If we wanted, we could have a real spark-gap to spark-gap QSO
with crystal detector receivers- in fact,
a whole bunch of such stations in a net-
because we are literally on our own, private ether.

Here's another:
I live in an apartment; I can't have an antenna.
My neighbors have strict restrictions; they can't have outside antennas.
If I use this system, I don't NEED an antenna.
Nor do I need a big, power-hungry amplifier.

Here's another (For Peter's sake):
I love listening to shortwave radio. The power
company installs BPL, and now all my carefully-tended radio
will say to me is: "BSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRPPPPPP!!"
Do I give up, put my favorite radio on the shelf and listen

to them on the Internet "phone?" Hell, no.
I log into a server that's streaming the 31 meter band in chunks
of 25 KC from a quiet location in Itchyarmpit, Idaho.
I pipe that into my V.S. transverter and into the antenna
connection of my radio. Once again I have evenings of
enjoyment listening to Radio Gabbabootoo.
If I want to hear Radio Binga Boinga
and the five other stations around that frequency,
I select the correct stream and enjoy.

I'm not an electronics engineer, guys- just a tinkerer like most of you.
I don't know "47th Order IMD products" from beans,
nor do I know all your wonderful engineering reasons why it won't work.
All I know is I built the simple circuits and it DOES work.
The fact that it's still crude is actually a big plus,
since it opens a new field in which the average,
none-genius amateur can do some meaningful work,
and that's something we haven't had in awhile.

The point is we are using our radios, not a blasted telephone.
And I'll say it again: When BPL is activated, if we don't have
a "work-around," there won't BE a hobby.

There's a quote out there somewhere that says any
new idea will always be met with nay-sayers and
hecklers. That's fine- comes with the territory.

73 DE Dave AB5S

1240. Re: A New Concept: Virtual Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-14 16:01:54 UTC
From: et472@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
Message-ID: <bmh6li$7e$1@freenet9.carleton.ca>
Source record: 3239
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

W7TI (noway@nohow.com) writes:
> On Tue, 14 Oct 2003 01:27:32 GMT, David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
> wrote:
>
>>I have today submitted an article to QST magazine entitled
>>"Virtual Spectrum: Beating BPL at its own game,"
>
> ____
>
> Is it April already? Dang, how time flies.
>
>
> Bill, W7TI
>

I wondered that myself. Take note that it's already been done.

Back in the sixties, there were a number of pseudo-academic articles
that appeared in CQ in the April issue, I think under the same pseudonym.
There was one about using phone lines for amateur radio, complete with
"DXpeditions" to exotic countries. It was voice, but it had an odd
similarity to the internet. I can't remember, though, if this was in
the early sixties, my guess 1964, or the late sixties, likely 1968.
It's not like therre were a lot of April issues in that decade, so someone
with a complete set (and in order) could check. They threw in some archive
photos, and plenty of official sounding titles and committee names, so
it came across really well (unless you got suckered).

Michael VE2BVW

1241. Re: A New Concept: Virtual Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-14 16:07:45 UTC
From: "Dr. Anton Squeegee" <SpammersAreVermin@dev.null>
Message-ID: <MPG.19f5bf29e723b829989a65@192.168.42.131>
Source record: 3238

In article <8TQib.228$ZE1.108@news1.central.cox.net>, nospam@cox.net says...

<big snip>

> Don't worry about BPL. The ARRL, as with Morse Code, is making
> a mountain out of a mole hill. If the military isn't worried about its HF
> assets, why should Hams be worried?

And how, exactly, do we know the military ISN'T worried about this?

Common sense alone would dictate that no military source in its right mind would come out openly opposed to BPL. That'd be no different from putting up a big billboard saying "To Jam US Military Communications, Simply Switch On BPL" or something similar.

There are already some heavy hitters (ARINC for one -- or didn't you know that commercial aircraft flying overseas routes make broad use of HF?) fighting BPL deployment because it does indeed interfere with their equipment. That creates more than an annoyance, especially in ARINC's case -- it becomes a safety issue.

I'm waiting and watching on the whole affair. I don't think anyone will know for certain how bad things are going to get until BPL starts to go into deployment. Once the military, and other commercial HF/VHF-using services, see how much noise they get from power grids so equipped, I predict it'll get shut down quicker than you can say 'Marconi.'

--
Dr. Anton Squeegee, Director, Dutch Surrealist Plumbing Institute
(Known to some as Bruce Lane, KC7GR)
kyrrin a/t bluefeathertech d-o=t c&o&m
"Quando Omni Flunkus Moritati" (Red Green)

1242. Re: A New Concept: Virtual Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-14 17:20:44 UTC
From: K7JEB <k7jeb@qsl.remove.net>
Message-ID: <TmWib.25929$Rd4.16160@fed1read07>
Source record: 3240
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

David Stinson, AB5S, posted:

(about piping narrow-band RF from legacy (and other) radios
over the Internet).

> I restore ancient and military radios to original condition
> and I want to talk on *them.* Some of them aren't even
> capable of being used on ham bands. You'd be surprised;
> there's a lot of us out here. With this system, like minded
> people can use these radios to QSO completely legally.
> If we wanted, we could have a real spark-gap to spark-gap QSO
> with crystal detector receivers- in fact,
> a whole bunch of such stations in a net-
> because we are literally on our own, private ether.

Actually, Dave, something like this idea was proposed by
George Gilder back when "TV over the Internet" was a hot
topic.

Gilder proposed that the Internet providers simply bring
a fiber-optic cable to one's doorstep and stop there. The
fiber would be "dark". But any light you launched into it
would come out the ends of all the other "dark" fibers -
all over the world. In effect, the Internet would become
an extremely wideband virtual ionosphere for optical waves.
And, of course, at optical wavelengths, there are huge
frequecy bandwidths available, so everybody could have
their own TV channel, or ham band, or whatever. The trick
would be that you had to provide your own optical terminal
equipment to interface to the fiber.

The point is, your scheme isn't as far-fetched as it at
first sounds. I still like the "old-fashioned" iononsphere
for radio propagation. But your observation about the
increasing number of restrictions and interference sources
amateur operators are encountering in pursuit of their
avocation is quite relevant to the current state of affairs.

Jim, K7JEB

1243. Re: A New Concept: Virtual Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-14 20:14:43 UTC
From: Ed Wilson <rattlehead@computron.net>
Message-ID: <9kioov04f7a26leftvutjd3tedbd0sgnpa@4ax.com>
Source record: 3241
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

David,

Your idea is certainly technically feasible, and would provide a way for folks to continue to 'use' obsolete radio equipment in a post-BPL era. Similar in many ways to the micro AM transmitter that I use to broadcast old-time radio programs to my antique radios, for demonstration purposes.

Somehow, though, it wouldn't be the same. DX-ing and shortwave listening are, for me, pursuits which are made interesting by the sheer randomness of long distance radio communication. Things like building a better antenna or tuner, or waiting for the window to open to that country in order to catch an elusive transmission - that's where the fun is!

Internet communications, however, are carried over the same high-reliability global networks as telephone calls. From my keyboard, I can DXCC in probably under half an hour - which would be a very unfulfilling task, given the certainty of the medium. And that's why I have no interest in some of the existing Internet/Amateur Radio interfaces, such as IRLP - sure, I can talk to some guy over in England on my 5 watt 2-meter HT using a local repeater and dialling an access code to his local repeater - but it isn't DX, its more like a telephone call to a random party at the destination over the Internet. More like using VOIP to call a pay phone in England, then talking to whomever answers. To me, that ain't radio. It's a phone call. Nothing more.

Your proposed interface would provide a way to simulate real QSOs on the old equipment - but it would not be 'real'. Not much different, really, than building a Telco interface for the radio, dialling up the far end, and pretending to have a radio QSO using the mike and speaker instead of the handset. A radio simulator of sorts, with a real boatanchor configured like a speakerphone at each end - but just a simulation nevertheless. Not a true radio QSO. I could wire up my electric pencil sharpener with a mike and speaker, and establish a QSO over the Net with somebody on an ARC-5. That don't make it a radio, though!.....but it would look kinda cool ;)

Some will find this interface quite acceptable - if conversation with others is what the hobby is about for them, this will provide a nostalgic way to continue to QSO with familiar radio equipment. 'Whatever floats their boat'.

For those of us who chase DX and foreign broadcasts through the ether or thrive on overcoming technical obstacles, however, I suspect that our interest would not be held for long by such an interface. Just like piping 1941 Jack Benny programs to my AK-55 - cute, but it doesn't make it seem like it's 1941. Great for demonstrating the set to guests, though - after half a minute or so, their interest is dissipating and the AK is switched back off.

If BPL becomes a reality, my HF gear will be going up on the shelf with the other old radio equipment - to make room for the satellite and moonbounce equipment that will take its place.

YMMV, of course...

73, Leo

On Tue, 14 Oct 2003 14:04:34 GMT, David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

>snip

>Here's another (For Peter's sake):
>I love listening to shortwave radio. The power
>company installs BPL, and now all my carefully-tended radio
>will say to me is: "BSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRPPPPPP!!"
>Do I give up, put my favorite radio on the shelf and listen
>to them on the Internet "phone?" Hell, no.
>I log into a server that's streaming the 31 meter band in chunks
>of 25 KC from a quiet location in Itchyarmpit, Idaho.
>I pipe that into my V.S. transverter and into the antenna
>connection of my radio. Once again I have evenings of
>enjoyment listening to Radio Gabbabootoo.
>If I want to hear Radio Binga Boinga
>and the five other stations around that frequency,
>I select the correct stream and enjoy.

1244. ISS project ET Shadow needs VHF amateurs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-14 20:26:56 UTC
From: Dorothy Forbes <dorothyforbes@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <3f8b6cf5$0$10617$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 3229

Greetings to all, please have a look, if it interests you to take part in an experiment with Electric Thruster Ion Plasma Engine tests onboard the Space Station.
The engine causes a VHF radio blackout on Earth and your help is need as part of ground receiving network to map the extent of the effect.
Please go to
http://www.qsl.net/vk3ukf/etshoadowozindexqsl.html

There are links to more information at the Russian site.
You don't have to be an amateur radio operator, schools and S.W.L.'s are also welcome.
Cheers, Kevin VK3UKF
vk3ukf@hotmail.com

1245. Re: A New Concept: Virtual Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-14 22:24:25 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3F8C73B1.55886523@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 3242
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

Ed Wilson wrote:
>
> David,
>
> Your idea is certainly technically feasible, and would provide a way
> for folks to continue to 'use' obsolete radio equipment in a post-BPL
> era. Similar in many ways to the micro AM transmitter that I use to
> broadcast old-time radio programs to my antique radios, for
> demonstration purposes.
>
> Somehow, though, it wouldn't be the same. DX-ing and shortwave
> listening are, for me, pursuits which are made interesting by the
> sheer randomness of long distance radio communication. Things like
> building a better antenna or tuner, or waiting for the window to open
> to that country in order to catch an elusive transmission - that's
> where the fun is!
>
> Internet communications, however, are carried over the same
> high-reliability global networks as telephone calls. From my
> keyboard, I can DXCC in probably under half an hour - which would be a
> very unfulfilling task, given the certainty of the medium. And that's
> why I have no interest in some of the existing Internet/Amateur Radio
> interfaces, such as IRLP - sure, I can talk to some guy over in
> England on my 5 watt 2-meter HT using a local repeater and dialling an
> access code to his local repeater - but it isn't DX, its more like a
> telephone call to a random party at the destination over the Internet.
> More like using VOIP to call a pay phone in England, then talking to
> whomever answers. To me, that ain't radio. It's a phone call.
> Nothing more.
>
> Your proposed interface would provide a way to simulate real QSOs on
> the old equipment - but it would not be 'real'. Not much different,
> really, than building a Telco interface for the radio, dialling up the
> far end, and pretending to have a radio QSO using the mike and speaker
> instead of the handset. A radio simulator of sorts, with a real
> boatanchor configured like a speakerphone at each end - but just a
> simulation nevertheless. Not a true radio QSO. I could wire up my
> electric pencil sharpener with a mike and speaker, and establish a QSO
> over the Net with somebody on an ARC-5. That don't make it a radio,
> though!.....but it would look kinda cool ;)
>
> Some will find this interface quite acceptable - if conversation with

> others is what the hobby is about for them, this will provide a
> nostalgic way to continue to QSO with familiar radio equipment.
> 'Whatever floats their boat'.
>
> For those of us who chase DX and foreign broadcasts through the ether
> or thrive on overcoming technical obstacles, however, I suspect that
> our interest would not be held for long by such an interface. Just
> like piping 1941 Jack Benny programs to my AK-55 - cute, but it
> doesn't make it seem like it's 1941. Great for demonstrating the set
> to guests, though - after half a minute or so, their interest is
> dissipating and the AK is switched back off.
>
> If BPL becomes a reality, my HF gear will be going up on the shelf
> with the other old radio equipment - to make room for the satellite
> and moonbounce equipment that will take its place.

Not mine. Not if I can possibly help it.
I can't stop BPL, but I *can* get around it.
And what I'm proposing does not preclude what you want.
Let's say BPL does come to your area, and
all HF sounds like a buzz saw.
In your case, you still have your transmitter there at your house.
You use a remote front end, and stream the portion of the DX band
you want to work from a friend's house out in the quiet country.
The only difference is that your receiver is effectively offset in
location
by a few miles. That isn't going to make finding that weak
DXpedition any less challenging, but it *will* make it possible.
Otherwise, it's give up the ship entirely. There's no need
to give up your enjoyment; You just have to be a little flexible
to work around the problems these numbskulls throw at us.
Afterall- haven't we hams been doing that for a century?
73 Dave S.

1246. Re: A New Concept: Virtual Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-14 22:47:36 UTC
From: Robert Murrell <murrellr-dummy@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <c2%ib.1567$P%1.1217140@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3243
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

Its already been done. Its called 'telephone'.

"David Stinson" <arc5@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3F8B4D6D.31D19695@ix.netcom.com...
> Thanks for reading this.
> I have today submitted an article to QST magazine entitled
> "Virtual Spectrum: Beating BPL at its own game,"
> detailing a concept that can greatly impact our radio hobby.
> I wanted to "put down a marker" to date the introduction
> of a new ham-radio innovation, and to officially place
> the idea in the public domain, so the maximum amount of
> "tinkering" with it can happen.
>
> This concept uses the bandwidth available on the Internet
> and some simple circuitry to create a replica of a segment of
> the radio spectrum, stream it live or prerecorded to another
> internet-connected computer and reconstruct that radio spectrum
> at your location. *Any* radio equipment you wish to use
> (given an Internet connection with sufficent bandwidth)-
> from modern PSK-31 rigs, SSB nets, WW-II modulated oscillators
> all the way back to ancient spark transmitters
> with crystal receivers; all can legally communicate just as though
> you were on-the-air. The system is bi-directional, allowing
> QSOs between stations that normally could not communicate.
> If you can't hear weak signals because of noise in your area,
> the concept allows you to build a "remote front end" and
> transport the radio spectrum in a quiet area right to your rig,
> bypassing the problems in your location.
>
> I believe this concept can be the answer to BPL, "noise holes,"
> antenna restrictions, HF "jammers" and many other obstacles to
> using our radios. There is great potential for many uses,
> and a possible niche market for someone with the resources
> to develop the equipment.
> I have already built prototype equipment to prove the concept
> and it works splendidly. The article includes construction
> details. The circuits are simple and you can get
> 95% of the parts at Radio Shack.
> By the time QST publishes (assume they accept the work),
> we should have completed the first Virtual Spectrum QSO:
> two World War 2 BC-611 handie-talkies (output: about 60 milliwatts)
> will communicate over a path of about 2000 miles.
>

> If QST does not publish the concept,
> I will publish it myself on the web
> immediatly after hearing from them.
> I am indebted to Mike Hanz, aafradio@cox.net
> for invaluable suggestions and encouragement.
>
> TNX ES 73 DE Dave Stinson AB5S

1247. Re: A New Concept: Virtual Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-15 01:13:29 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com>
Message-ID: <Za1jb.1091$_i.100686@nnrp1.ptd.net>
Source record: 3244
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

"David Stinson" <arc5@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3F8B5B6B.8E5123D@ix.netcom.com...
> Roy Lewallen wrote:
> >
> > I apologize for being a little vague about this. Are you proposing
> > replacing wireless communication with wired communication (via the
> > Internet) as a way to communicate with inadequate radio equipment?
>
> "Wireless" doesn't always work, if you're in a "noise hole,"
> or if the mode or radio you want to use isn't "legit" anymore.
> So that's one use, yes.
> The idea is to use our own radios as source and sink,
> bypassing the vagaries of Mother Nature's propagation
> and local noise sources. Or, if you're in a "noise hole,"
> to bring spectrum to you from a "quiet" location.
> It also allows the use of heretofore prohibitied modes.
> For instance:
> In the article I detail how an old longwave maritime
> rig on 415 KC A2 can carry on a real-time CW QSO with
> someone using a modern rig on 40 meters.
> It will be a real boon for the "boatanchor" community.
> I do hope QST will consider it.
>
> TNX ES 73 DE Dave AB5S

Sounds like a perfect article for this coming April's edition of QST
to me :-)

Seriously - you can't be seriously suggesting that vulnerable infrastructure
be considered as a viable replacement for infrastructureless HF
communications?

Again ... perhaps in the April (fools) edition of QST ...

Carl - wk3c

1248. Re: ISS project ET Shadow needs VHF amateurs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-15 03:07:39 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <%R2jb.1965$Ec1.149376@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 3245

"David Stinson" <arc5@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3F8B6D9A.4FEA2F27@ix.netcom.com...
> Dorothy Forbes wrote:
> > http://www.qsl.net/vk3ukf/etshoadowozindexqsl.html
>
>
> 404 File Not Found

Yes, but it was a very nice 404!

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

1249. Re: A New Concept: Virtual Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-15 05:40:35 UTC
From: Paul Keinanen <keinanen@sci.fi>
Message-ID: <4rhpovgdp6bm17l8vnla8321s7u3hp9k76@4ax.com>
Source record: 3246
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

On Tue, 14 Oct 2003 01:27:32 GMT, David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
wrote:

>I have today submitted an article to QST magazine entitled
>"Virtual Spectrum: Beating BPL at its own game,"
>detailing a concept that can greatly impact our radio hobby.
>I wanted to "put down a marker" to date the introduction
>of a new ham-radio innovation, and to officially place
>the idea in the public domain, so the maximum amount of
>"tinkering" with it can happen.

With a less pompous start, you might have gotten some more favourable
comments :-).

The problems with high noise levels is of course real for many radio
amateurs and shortwave listeners living in urban areas.

For a few years there have been remotely connected receivers connected
to the internet which can be remotely tuned to any desired frequency
and the audio is transferred over the net. The problem is that only
one user at a time can control the receiver.

However, in order to create a shared receiving station in some quiet
location without huge hardware duplication for each user, would
require that entire bands are digitised (with dynamic range problems)
and the whole band is then transferred to each user. Some DSP software
running in each end user computer would then do the filtering and
detection. If some kind of multicasting is used from the receiving
site, this would give an unlimited number of shared user for each
band.

The other approach would be to digitise the whole band and run
multiple virtual receivers in software, individually controlled by the
remote user, producing a baseband signal, which is then transferred to
the user. The number of simultaneous users would be limited by the
number of virtual receivers (limited by the hardware computational
power) and the throughput of the shared receiving station internet
connection, requiring 10-100 kbit/s for each active user.

A shared remote receiving station is not very compatible with
transmitters on the same site, so the users would have to use their
transmitters at home or pool a number of transmitters on a separate
transmitter site, at least a few kilometers from the receiving site.

Of course the simplest, but not always the most cost effective solution is to have a summer cottage with a broadband connection in some quiet rural location. Move your station to the cottage and when not at the cottage, connect a remotely controllable transceiver to a computer (audio in and out, serial data and PTT) and control the station from your urban home. A remotely controlled antenna rotator also helps a lot. In this way you do not have to suffer from noise, antenna restrictions and neighbours complaining about interference:-). Using some watchdog timers is a good idea, in case the computer or internet connection crashes.

Paul OH3LWR

1250. Re: A New Concept: Virtual Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-15 14:23:58 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3F8D5876.42B57C45@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 3247
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

Paul Keinanen wrote:

> With a less pompous start, you might have gotten some more favourable
> comments :-).

I certainly don't mean to be pompous, at least on this matter.
I've tried to make clear in the body of the post that
I'm not the brightest candle on the cake, that I
want to get the idea out so people with more talent
than me can work with it.
As for the unkind comments of a few:
Hecklers, cynics and naysayers greet anyone who proposes something new.
It comes with the territory.

> For a few years there have been remotely connected receivers connected
> to the internet which can be remotely tuned to any desired frequency..

Yes, Yes... Am I just not being clear, or are people not
closely reading that to which they reply? Probably a little of both.

We don't WANT to talk over an Internet telephone line
to some remote base station.

That's not the point.
Please read it again:
That's NOT the point. < (repeat as necessary)

We want to *use our own equipment, right here.*
Noise, antenna bans and LEGAL restrictions
have kept us from the work we want to do.
This system gets around the obstacles by creating
a second "ether" (ionosphere, etc.; pick the term you like)
which you control and *you* regulate.
The two main points are:

1. To make possible radio operations of any kind that
were once impossible, for any number of reasons.

2. To "harvest" clean spectrum in a quiet location and
bring it to your receiver, so you can continue
your radio hobby regardless of what BPL or any
other interferance source does to your local radio environment.

The system is intentionally simple and limited,
so lots of people can tinker with it in short order.

I have faith that those many people
who are sharper than me will expand the concept.

I've had a heck of a lot of fun working on this.
Especially enjoy the ability to record radio spectrum.
I have one hour-long segment on CD centered on 3932 KC.
It contains seven separate, distinct and interesting SSB QSOs
that I can listen to at my leisure, plus many other stations.
And a lot of us military radio people think having a
nation-wide net of WWII BC-611 handie-talkies,
all able to talk to each other in real time,
would be an absolute gas.

Here's something cool: I've long been involved in longwave
work on the 1750 meter band (160-190 KC). This winter,
I plan to take a laptop and a V.S. remote front-end
to several locations and record an hour or so of the band
from 170 to 190 KC. I can then take them home, run
the recordings through a V.S. transverter, and hunt for
all those weak LOWFER signals in the comfort of my own home.
It's still just as challenging to find them, but I get some
major advantages. First, I'm not off on frequency "A" and
missing an opening to station "B;" I can "rewind" and go
look for him, too. Second, I'm not sitting in the dark,
freezing and trying to tune my audio filters with numb hands.

Anyway- it's a tool people can use if they want.
73 DE Dave AB5S

1251. Re: A New Concept: Virtual Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-15 19:40:13 UTC
From: jeannette <jeanne8@No_Junk_eblw.com>
Message-ID: <b98rovkjjpnou6ou8hmccgtu0gm5i49r8c@4ax.com>
Source record: 3248

On Wed, 15 Oct 2003 14:23:58 GMT, David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
wrote:

>
>2. To "harvest" clean spectrum in a quiet location and
> bring it to your receiver, so you can continue
> your radio hobby regardless of what BPL or any
> other interferance source does to your local radio environment.
>

Just because you can hear a station at a quiet remote location doesn't
mean that they are going to hear you when you transmit from your
location. Shouldn't the transmitter and receiver be at the same
place?. It still doesn't guaranty that you will be heard but it gives
you a chance. Propagation is dependant on geography and geology too, I
think, no?

Jeannette kc6jet
Jeannette Bristol 32, San Francisco
http://www.eblw.com/contepartiro/contepartiro.html

1252. Re: A New Concept: Virtual Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-15 20:26:44 UTC
From: James Nipper <jnipper@nospam.fdn.com>
Message-ID: <d4ydnT2vI-wDMBCiRVn-vQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 3249
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

Wouldn't it be more simple just to hook up all of your ham gear to a telephone line, and pretend that you are hamming via the telephone ?

Seems a much more simple approach to me, and the same outcome-- you would be **using** (hee hee hee) ham equipment, while talking on the phone !!!

73 de Jim K4PYT

1253. MixW version 2.10 now available for downloads

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-15 22:28:34 UTC
From: Jim <jaffejim@erols.com>
Message-ID: <bmkhmj$l0f$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 3250

Hi folks happy to announce that MixW version 2.10 now is posted on our websites.. for downloading this version will replace version 2.09. The bug in 2.09 has been fixed and this version also has hundreds of Flag Icons from all the nations of the world including the islands of the carribean.. The website for downloads is: www.nvbb.net/~jaffejim/mixwpage.htm good luck and Happy Warbling.. Jim, WA2VOS MixW Software USA

1254. Re: A New Concept: Virtual Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-15 22:48:59 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3F8DCEB7.23B6424D@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 3151
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

When they install BPL in your neighborhood, Jim,
drop me a line. I'll explain it all to you.... again...
D.S.

James Nipper wrote:
>
> Wouldn't it be more simple just to hook up all of your ham gear to a
> telephone line, and pretend that you are hamming via the telephone ?
>
> Seems a much more simple approach to me, and the same outcome-- you would be
> **using** (hee hee hee) ham equipment, while talking on the phone !!!
>
> 73 de Jim K4PYT

1255. Re: A New Concept: Virtual Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-16 02:32:46 UTC
From: Chuck Harris <cfharris@erols.com>
Message-ID: <bml00g$1i0$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 3152
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

David Stinson wrote:

>
> Here's something cool: I've long been involved in longwave
> work on the 1750 meter band (160-190 KC). This winter,
> I plan to take a laptop and a V.S. remote front-end
> to several locations and record an hour or so of the band
> from 170 to 190 KC. I can then take them home, run
> the recordings through a V.S. transverter, and hunt for
> all those weak LOWFER signals in the comfort of my own home.

This is in essence what NSA does with as much of the RF spectrum as they can, all over the globe. They have no idea what they have, but if you can tell them the time, the general location, and the frequency, they will hook up a receiver onto their data log, and look around for the signal. This is just what they did when that KAL plane was shot down, and NSA came up with the com signals of the fighters that did the deed.

-Chuck

1256. kam xl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-16 02:48:13 UTC
From: kb2sdrjay@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <2bdpovgkqst1r7dnaddcniuel5m1iuiscj@4ax.com>
Source record: 3153

hello,
test

1257. kam xl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-16 02:49:34 UTC
From: kb2sdrjay@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <fcdpovc7evv0odishn15h7h9dmc9oftimf@4ax.com>
Source record: 3154

Hello,

I have a kenwood thf6a in good condition that I would like to trade
for a kam xl. If you are interested in it, email me at
kb2sdrjay@yahoo.com

73
Jason
KB2SDR

1258. Kam XL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-16 02:53:29 UTC
From: kb2sdrjay@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <v6dpov8kanb1r6okoga86m46otfgoosljh@4ax.com>
Source record: 3155

Hello,

I am looking for a used Kam XL, If you would like to get rid of it let
me know, I also have a kenwood thf6a that I can trade.

73
Jason
KB2SDR

email me at kb2sdrjay@yahoo.com

1259. Re: A New Concept: Virtual Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-16 04:25:33 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <15pjb.409$t65.145@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 3156
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

"Chuck Harris" <cfharris@erols.com> wrote
>
> This is in essence what NSA does with as much of the RF spectrum
> as they can, all over the globe. They have no idea what they have,
> but if you can tell them the time, the general location, and the
> frequency, they will hook up a receiver onto their data log, and
> look around for the signal. This is just what they did when that
> KAL plane was shot down, and NSA came up with the com signals of
> the fighters that did the deed.

Not only that, they decrypted the secure voice! If you listen to the audio
you can tell it was originally narrow-band secure voice, which was common
in the Soviet Air Defense forces and interceptors.

1260. Re: A New Concept: Virtual Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-16 17:10:06 UTC
From: k7itm@aol.com
Message-ID: <3200347.0310160910.7d48a015@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3157
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

Hmmmmm... It's interesting to speculate that you could get all
excited about doing this, build the required equipment, use your old
spark transmitter and coherer, and be talking with someone who is
using just the same equipment at the other end, except that his
equipment is "virtual". That is, he just uses processing power to
emulate a spark transmitter's emissions...

;-)

Thanks, but no thanks. I'd rather enjoy the challenge of
communicating through all the degradations that happen to waves
propagated through the air. It doesn't bother me one way or the other
if others adopt the technique, though.

Cheers,
Tom

David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:<3F8B5B6B.8E5123D@ix.netcom.com>...
> Roy Lewallen wrote:
> >
> > I apologize for being a little vague about this. Are you proposing
> > replacing wireless communication with wired communication (via the
> > Internet) as a way to communicate with inadequate radio equipment?
>
> "Wireless" doesn't always work, if you're in a "noise hole,"
> or if the mode or radio you want to use isn't "legit" anymore.
> So that's one use, yes.
> The idea is to use our own radios as source and sink,
> bypassing the vagaries of Mother Nature's propagation
> and local noise sources. Or, if you're in a "noise hole,"
> to bring spectrum to you from a "quiet" location.
> It also allows the use of heretofore prohibitied modes.
> For instance:
> In the article I detail how an old longwave maritime
> rig on 415 KC A2 can carry on a real-time CW QSO with
> someone using a modern rig on 40 meters.
> It will be a real boon for the "boatanchor" community.
> I do hope QST will consider it.

1261. Re: A New Concept: Virtual Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-17 00:50:39 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <z1Hjb.1544$dZ4.196@newssvr27.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3158
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

"Tom Bruhns" <k7itm@aol.com> wrote in message
news:3200347.0310160910.7d48a015@posting.google.com...
>
>snip<
>
> Thanks, but no thanks. I'd rather enjoy the challenge of
> communicating through all the degradations that happen to waves
> propagated through the air. It doesn't bother me one way or the other
> if others adopt the technique, though.
>

It should bother you, Tom. This guy's response to the BPL problem was to give us software that simulates being on the air. Not only is it contrary to the spirit and purpose of the hobby, but worst of all, it encourages us all to be discouraged. It gives the weakesat among us an easy out, at the cost of adding still more to the hobby's difficulties.

So far, the idea of using non-ham links in order to "improve" the performance of amateur radio has been 100% consistent. In every case where it has been applied, it has managed to set back and denigrate the hobby, to some extent or another.

I am sorry to hear that this invasion of non-ham stuff into the hobby does not bother you. It sure should.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

1262. Re: A New Concept: Virtual Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-17 00:57:13 UTC
From: --exray-- <no@no.no>
Message-ID: <voufif2unsndaf@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 3159
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

charlesb wrote:

>
>
> It should bother you, Tom. This guy's response to the BPL problem was to
> give us software that simulates being on the air. Not only is it contrary to
> the spirit and purpose of the hobby, but worst of all, it encourages us all
> to be discouraged. It gives the weakesat among us an easy out, at the cost
> of adding still more to the hobby's difficulties.
>
> So far, the idea of using non-ham links in order to "improve" the
> performance of amateur radio has been 100% consistent. In every case where
> it has been applied, it has managed to set back and denigrate the hobby, to
> some extent or another.
>
> I am sorry to hear that this invasion of non-ham stuff into the hobby does
> not bother you. It sure should.
>
> Charles Brabham, N5PVL

Thats exactly what the guys were saying on the repeater the other day.
-Bill

1263. Re: A New Concept: Virtual Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-17 03:47:28 UTC
From: Tom Nelson <nelsonca@stormnet.com>
Message-ID: <3F8F664F.8030207@stormnet.com>
Source record: 3161
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

Am I to understand that we are all going belly-up and cave in to BPL? Perhaps Mr. Stinson is trolling for the power companies. I, for one, have not given up the fight against BPL. Perhaps all the good Republican HAMS and SWLrs should write their congressmen and the President who has appointed the majority of the FCC and suggest that their right to the spectrum is on a par with that to bear arms. The FCC is effectively limiting our free speech by jamming our frequencies.

I view BPL as a means of restricting my access to shortwave freqs in much the same way the Chinese jam freqs from Nepal. But, of course, the present administration would never do anything to restrict our access to global views which may call their agenda into question.

I propose that we start sending electricity through our TV cables as a backup to our outdated electrical system. I am "putting down the marker" for PCTV, Power through Cable TV! We would only need one connection for our TVs, and VCRs.

Sorry Dave, your idea lacks the purity derived from the radio - ether - radio connection. Let's not divert our energy away from stopping BPL before it gets out of control. BTW, you wouldn't be a BPL advocate, would you?

Tom
KD6EVM

David Stinson wrote:

>Thanks for reading this.
>I have today submitted an article to QST magazine entitled
>"Virtual Spectrum: Beating BPL at its own game,"
>detailing a concept that can greatly impact our radio hobby.
>I wanted to "put down a marker" to date the introduction
>of a new ham-radio innovation, and to officially place
>the idea in the public domain, so the maximum amount of
>"tinkering" with it can happen.
>
>This concept uses the bandwidth available on the Internet
>and some simple circuitry to create a replica of a segment of
>the radio spectrum, stream it live or prerecorded to another
>internet-connected computer and reconstruct that radio spectrum
>at your location. *Any* radio equipment you wish to use
>(given an Internet connection with sufficent bandwidth)-
>from modern PSK-31 rigs, SSB nets, WW-II modulated oscillators

>all the way back to ancient spark transmitters
>with crystal receivers; all can legally communicate just as though
>you were on-the-air. The system is bi-directional, allowing
>QSOs between stations that normally could not communicate.
>If you can't hear weak signals because of noise in your area,
>the concept allows you to build a "remote front end" and
>transport the radio spectrum in a quiet area right to your rig,
>bypassing the problems in your location.
>
>I believe this concept can be the answer to BPL, "noise holes,"
>antenna restrictions, HF "jammers" and many other obstacles to
>using our radios. There is great potential for many uses,
>and a possible niche market for someone with the resources
>to develop the equipment.
>I have already built prototype equipment to prove the concept
>and it works splendidly. The article includes construction
>details. The circuits are simple and you can get
>95% of the parts at Radio Shack.
>By the time QST publishes (assume they accept the work),
>we should have completed the first Virtual Spectrum QSO:
>two World War 2 BC-611 handie-talkies (output: about 60 milliwatts)
>will communicate over a path of about 2000 miles.
>
>If QST does not publish the concept,
>I will publish it myself on the web
>immediatly after hearing from them.
>I am indebted to Mike Hanz, aafradio@cox.net
>for invaluable suggestions and encouragement.
>
>TNX ES 73 DE Dave Stinson AB5S
>
>

1264. Re: A New Concept: Virtual Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-17 03:57:57 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@NOSPAM.townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <bmnpc5$9h6$1@208.206.142.114>
Source record: 3160
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

charlesb wrote:

> "Tom Bruhns" <k7itm@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:3200347.0310160910.7d48a015@posting.google.com...
>
>>snip<
>>
>>Thanks, but no thanks. I'd rather enjoy the challenge of
>>communicating through all the degradations that happen to waves
>>propagated through the air. It doesn't bother me one way or the other
>>if others adopt the technique, though.
>>
>
>
> It should bother you, Tom. This guy's response to the BPL problem was to
> give us software that simulates being on the air. Not only is it contrary to
> the spirit and purpose of the hobby, but worst of all, it encourages us all
> to be discouraged.

I'm not discouraged. If BPL eats up the HF spectrum I'll be one of
those still able to communicate by listening to the CW signals change
the noise and copy the changes as code.
Don't laugh, I've done it before.
>

1265. Re: A New Concept: Virtual Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-17 05:26:43 UTC
From: --exray-- <no@no.no>
Message-ID: <vouvbom4i9cp03@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 3162
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

Tom Nelson wrote:
>
> I view BPL as a means of restricting my access to shortwave freqs in
> much the same way the Chinese jam freqs from Nepal. But, of course, the
> present administration would never do anything to restrict our access to
> global views which may call their agenda into question.

I don't know if you are joking or if something that bizarre has really
crossed your mind. I love a good sense of humour.
>
> I propose that we start sending electricity through our TV cables as a
> backup to our outdated electrical system. I am "putting down the marker"
> for PCTV, Power through Cable TV! We would only need one connection for
> our TVs, and VCRs.

Maybe you weren't joking....
>
>
> Tom
> KD6EVM

-Bill WX4A

1266. Re: packet internet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-17 16:03:10 UTC
From: TonyWard <tonester1@cbuk.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <bmp406$q86$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 3163

http://cbpg.red-heat.com/

these guys run a world wide system of packet
internet.................central Europe and Australia are the main
hubs....matbe this will be of interest.

"nospam" <pegasis@wt.net> wrote in message news:3f87184f@sys13.hou.wt.net...
> anyone know anything about packet internet?
>
> --
>
>
>
> May we save our great country from despots?
> Do some reading
>
> Savage Nation,
>
http://www.amazon.com/exec/obidos/ASIN/B0000C7BN4/qid=1065484323/sr=2-1/ref=
> sr_2_1/102-3500865-1234545
> Pigs @ the trough,
>
http://www.amazon.com/exec/obidos/tg/detail/-/1400047714/qid=1065484375/sr=1
> -1/ref=sr_1_1/102-3500865-1234545?v=glance&s=books
> Slander,
>
http://www.amazon.com/exec/obidos/ASIN/1400049520/qid=1065484425/sr=2-1/ref=
> sr_2_1/102-3500865-1234545
> Federalist papers,
>
http://www.amazon.com/exec/obidos/ASIN/0451528816/qid=1065484456/sr=2-1/ref=
> sr_2_1/102-3500865-1234545
>
>
>

1267. Re: A New Concept: Virtual Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-18 19:20:22 UTC
From: Frank Dinger <gm0csz.kn6wh@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <i9tkb.9479$pz5.5826562@newsfep2-win.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 3167
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

> Of course the simplest, but not always the most cost effective
> solution is to have a summer cottage with a broadband connection in
> some quiet rural location. Move your station to the cottage and when
> not at the cottage, connect a remotely controllable transceiver to a
> computer (audio in and out, serial data and PTT) and control the
> station from your urban home. A remotely controlled antenna rotator
> also helps a lot. In this way you do not have to suffer from noise,
> antenna restrictions and neighbours complaining about interference:-).
> Using some watchdog timers is a good idea, in case the computer or
> internet connection crashes.
==========
Perhaps not from a summer cottage ,but remote operation of HF stations has
been done in California and Hawaii
since the mid 90s .Access and operation is through a hand-held VHF radio.
Even a rotator can be operated remotely.
The brainchild of a aviator/engineer living in the Brea (California) area
it was/is called a Super-Station .
However in this scheme rx and tx are co-located.
The system was elaborately explained by its designer/implementor in 1995 at
a meeting of the Fullerton Amateur Radio Club.

I can understand that with antenna restrictions/ prohibition in urbun areas
and a possible emergence of BPL / PLC / PLT ,people are looking into
alternative ways to communicate on the HF bands.
Fortunately in a number of countries BPL et al has already been rejected by
the authorities , Japan and the Netherlands come to mind. I believe also in
the UK ,it is highly unlikely that powerline comms will be accepted.

ARRL's drive to block the introduction of power line comms in the USA ,by
means
of 'competent (engineering) reasoning' ,must be applauded.

Frank GM0CSZ / KN6WH

1268. NRZI coding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-18 23:15:43 UTC
From: Mariusz SP3FXC <sp3fxc@linuxfocus.org_NO_SPAM>
Message-ID: <bmshi4$n70$2@absinth.dialog.net.pl>
Source record: 3164

Hi,

I'm looking for some info on NRZI coding. google gives me useless links... nothing special. I am about to write someting that uses NRZI but i can not find any specification.

I appreciate any input on this.

Regards,

Mariusz SP3FXC

1269. JNOS message question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-19 02:39:33 UTC
From: Jeff Camp <jcamp2@cox.net>
Message-ID: <VSmkb.16356$iq3.13665@okepread01>
Source record: 3165
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.packet

I'm in the process of installing JNOS 111f for Linux and have run into a problem. When a user sends a message to another local user, the system responds with a "Msg queued" message, but the message is never delivered. If I log in as Sysop, I can't see any messages. If I look in the /spool/mqueue I can see all the messages sitting there.

Anyone have any suggestions on where to start?

Thanks,
Jeff
N0WJP

1270. Re: JNOS message question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-19 03:19:40 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen <nielsen@removethis.oz.net>
Message-ID: <bmsvsc$3kf$0@216.39.144.81>
Source record: 3166
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.packet

On Sat, 18 Oct 2003 21:39:33 -0500, Jeff Camp wrote:
> I'm in the process of installing JNOS 111f for Linux and have run into a
> problem. When a user sends a message to another local user, the system
> responds with a "Msg queued" message, but the message is never delivered.
> If I log in as Sysop, I can't see any messages. If I look in the
> /spool/mqueue I can see all the messages sitting there.
>
> Anyone have any suggestions on where to start?

I haven't run JNOS in several years, but you might try the
following--as sysop, issue the command 'smtp list' and you should see
the list of messages. 'smtp kick' should try to run the queue. At
that point 'tcp status' should give a hint of what is/isn't happening.

Bob, N7XY

1271. Re: JNOS message question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-19 11:56:54 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <a_ukb.1981$UJ6.811@newssvr29.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3168
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.packet

"Jeff Camp" <jcamp2@cox.net> wrote in message
news:VSmkb.16356$iq3.13665@okepread01...
> I'm in the process of installing JNOS 111f for Linux and have run into a
> problem. When a user sends a message to another local user, the system
> responds with a "Msg queued" message, but the message is never delivered.
> If I log in as Sysop, I can't see any messages. If I look in the
> /spool/mqueue I can see all the messages sitting there.
>
> Anyone have any suggestions on where to start?

1. Toss "JNOS" into the bit-bucket.

Get an old 386 or 486, install DOS and FBB BBS. This combination will be more reliable and trouble-free than the LINUX/JNOS combo. It requires less than 20% of the setup time that your present system does, have a better human interface, and in a general sense it will just plain work better. Part of the reason for this is that the author of FBB BBS is a much, much better programmer than the author of JNOS, not to mention all the anonymous junior programmer wanna-bees that have peed on JNOS, over the years. That code is a real mess, now. - The inevitable result of "open sewers" programming technique.

You will find that operating genuine packet BBS software running over DOS to be a real pleasure, after struggling with Linux/JNOS. It must be remembered that JNOS' "BBS" functions are the result of a poorly-done hack of legitimate BBS software, by a "programmer" who obviously did not have the concept of packet BBS operation very clear in his mind. Even when it works, nobody likes it because the interface sucks so hard. All that "area" crap, etc... It's just a waste of time.

After years of bad-mouthing packet BBS's, the truth finally came out when amateur tcpip enthusiasts discovered that hardly ANYBODY wanted to operate NOS. The ham tcpip community responded to this fact by inexpertly hacking basic BBS functions onto various flavors of "NOS", in a desperate bid to get some significant number of hams to tolerate the weird NOS software. This effort failed to make NOS popular, but at least it did lay to rest all those years of bad-mouthing BBS stations. It made it plain that amateur tcpip enthusiasts know that packet BBS systems are the best way to go. They had to pretend to be packet BBS's, in order to get anyone to use thier software at all.

If you install DOS, FBB, and FlexNet, your station will preform better on the air than any packet system available for Linux. The Linux stuff depends upon the obsolete KISS protocol, nice stuff in the 1980's but definately third-rate in this day and age. If you want the latest packet technology

from the 1980's, stick with JNOS/Linux. If on-the-air performance is important to you, then you want FlexNet.

Of course it may be that you are running Linux/JNOS because you hope to run a packet/internet gateway... In that case, the DOS/FBB/FlexNet setup would not work for you, and the best thing you can do is to send your ham liscense back to the FCC, sell your ham equipment and buy yourself some FRS and CB gear.

Hope this helps!

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

1272. Re: JNOS message question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-19 14:11:05 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <5Xwkb.1932$5c2.310@okepread03>
Source record: 3169
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.packet

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote
>
> Hope this helps!

It doesn't. Your argument is much that of a Mac user when asked
a PC question. They say buy a Mac, and then list a bunch of
generalities while bashing the PC.

1273. Re: AX.25

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-19 14:57:28 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <sDxkb.184606$0v4.14279612@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 3170

"Ian" <ian.bari@att.net> wrote in message
news:3f310dde.0310182148.59d901a4@posting.google.com...
> Greetings,
>
> I'm part of a student team working on developing AX.25 code
> for an amature satellite application. We have been considering
> both versions 2.0 and 2.2 but are not clear on the differences
> between the two, if any. Does anyone have a good enough
> understanding to explain them to me? Thank you.

That would be a good homework project, but not really all
that useful, just implement using the 2.2 specification.

Consider adding the PE1CHL enhancements, per the ARRL
networking conference procedings, Volume 14 I think.

Might as well design for these from the start, the protocol
works much better if you include them:

Extended sequence numbers via SABME.
No fixed maximum frame size.
Adaptive FRACK via measured RTT.
Slow start a la TCP.
Selective reject and out-of-sequuece receive frame queueing.

Good research projects:

Adaptive frame size.
Is FRMR really useful?

There is a good deal of source code available, some good,
some very bad. Google will turn it up for you.

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

1274. Re: JNOS message question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-19 17:02:10 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <mszkb.1510$lj2.663@newssvr25.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3171
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.packet

"Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net> wrote in message
news:5Xwkb.1932$5c2.310@okepread03...
> "charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote
> >
> > Hope this helps!
>
> It doesn't. Your argument is much that of a Mac user when asked
> a PC question. They say buy a Mac, and then list a bunch of
> generalities while bashing the PC.
>

Not really. The guy wanted to know how to get the JNOS software to behave and I gave an honest answer as to how I would solve the problem. - I would round-file the JNOS software, and go from there.

I used to test and review packet software, and found JNOS to be the very lousiest excuse for packet radio software I had ever seen. Despite that, I did manage to get it working, at least good enough so that I could test its functionality for a fair review, so I am familiar with the unnecessary head-aches and poor on-the-air performance this guy is putting up with.

I gave the guy a little historical info about the software he was using, and pointed the way to more modern software that will do a better job with a lot less trouble. I was working on the assumption that the guy was not a masochist, but just wanted a reliable packet radio BBS that would work without constant massaging and tinkering, or embarassing malfunctions that lose people's messages.

I suppose that you are free to run me down for trying to help the guy out, but notice that I won't say a single word about you being an obvious "protocol warrior", still stuck back in the 1980's.

Charles Brabhan, N5PVL

1275. Re: Need Help: Audio Channel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-19 22:06:48 UTC
From: Rob Judd <judd@ob-wan.com>
Message-ID: <3F930AF8.916E9ABA@ob-wan.com>
Source record: 3172
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.space

Ashhar Farhan wrote:
>
> David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:<3F86AE8C.78B56B8F@ix.netcom.com>...
>
> > I need a Windows-compatable program or TSR
> > (can be DOS, as long as it will run on a Windows machine)
> > that will give me an open audio channel, sound card to sound card,
> > from one internet-connected computer to another.
>
> http://www.speakfreely.org/
> it is free, comes with source code and is GPL-ed.
> the voice quality is really excellent and
> it has choice of codecs to choose from.

Try Freetel as well.

Rob

1276. Re: NRZI coding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-20 01:39:14 UTC
From: nobodyhome@imaginary-isp.not
Message-ID: <1g33cmy.1n5ryjj10wbzk0N%nobodyhome@imaginary-isp.not>
Source record: 3173

Mariusz SP3FXC <sp3fxc@linuxfocus.org_NO_SPAM> wrote:
> I'm looking for some info on NRZI coding. google gives me useless links...
> nothing special. I am about to write someting that uses NRZI but i can not
> find any specification.

If you look at this with a monospaced font (Courier for example) it
should make sense:

```
__ ________ _____ __
__| |__| |__| |________|
0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 1 1 0
```

Non-Return Zero Inverting means (1) the signal does not return
to a resting state after a bit, and (2) a zero is indicated by
a change of state, and a one by no change. Clock is extracted
from the data, which means there must be a limit to the number
of consecutive 1's, and the "zero stuffing" technique leads to
a bigger discussion.

HTH

1277. Re: A New Concept: Virtual Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-20 01:55:09 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0310191755.640729a6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3174
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

"Frank Dinger" <gm0csz.kn6wh@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
news:<i9tkb.9479$pz5.5826562@newsfep2-win.server.ntli.net>...
> > Of course the simplest, but not always the most cost effective
> > solution is to have a summer cottage with a broadband connection in
> > some quiet rural location. Move your station to the cottage and when
> > not at the cottage, connect a remotely controllable transceiver to a
> > computer (audio in and out, serial data and PTT) and control the
> > station from your urban home. A remotely controlled antenna rotator
> > also helps a lot. In this way you do not have to suffer from noise,
> > antenna restrictions and neighbours complaining about interference:-).
> > Using some watchdog timers is a good idea, in case the computer or
> > internet connection crashes.
> ==========
> Perhaps not from a summer cottage ,but remote operation of HF stations has
> been done in California and Hawaii
> since the mid 90s .Access and operation is through a hand-held VHF radio.
> Even a rotator can be operated remotely.
> The brainchild of a aviator/engineer living in the Brea (California) area
> it was/is called a Super-Station .
> However in this scheme rx and tx are co-located.
> The system was elaborately explained by its designer/implementor in 1995 at
> a meeting of the Fullerton Amateur Radio Club.
>
> I can understand that with antenna restrictions/ prohibition in urbun areas
> and a possible emergence of BPL / PLC / PLT ,people are looking into
> alternative ways to communicate on the HF bands.
> Fortunately in a number of countries BPL et al has already been rejected by
> the authorities , Japan and the Netherlands come to mind. I believe also in
> the UK ,it is highly unlikely that powerline comms will be accepted.
>
> ARRL's drive to block the introduction of power line comms in the USA ,by
> means
> of 'competent (engineering) reasoning' ,must be applauded.

Good post Frank, right on the money!

>
> Frank GM0CSZ / KN6WH

w3rv

1278. Re: NRZI coding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-20 02:06:18 UTC
From: TOM <noname@noprovider.nodomain>
Message-ID: <uqHkb.7834$Y1.5568@nwrddc03.gnilink.net>
Source record: 3175

NRZI encoder:

Invert the NRZ data, then apply to both the J and K inputs of a J-K
flipflop; clock to the clk input of the FF. Q output of the FF is the
encoded NRZI data.

NRZI decoder:

Encoded NRZI data to both the input of a D flipflop and one input of an
XOR gate. Q* (Q-not) output of the D-FF to the other input of the XOR gate.
Clk to the clk input of the FF. XOR output is the decoded NRZ data.

-- Tom, N5EG

"Mariusz SP3FXC" <sp3fxc@linuxfocus.org_NO_SPAM> wrote in message
news:bmshi4$n70$2@absinth.dialog.net.pl...
> Hi,
>
> I'm looking for some info on NRZI coding. google gives me useless
links...
> nothing special. I am about to write someting that uses NRZI but i can not
> find any specification.
>
> I appreciate any input on this.
>
> Regards,
>
> Mariusz SP3FXC
>

1279. Re: Need Help: Audio Channel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-20 03:54:17 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3F935C68.E98F8A38@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 3176
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.space

Rob Judd wrote:

> Try Freetel as well.

Looks like Freetel is no longer available.
Homepage is gone (search holder there).
Download links on other sites inop.

1280. Re: JNOS message question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-20 04:44:32 UTC
From: Jeff Camp <jcamp2@cox.net>
Message-ID: <5OJkb.21408$iq3.18773@okepread01>
Source record: 3177
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.packet

Thanks for the suggestions, Charles, but FBB is the whole reason I'm looking at JNOS. I ran it for several years and found it to be very unreliable and unable to integrate with gateway software. I used FBB to run the local packet BBS here in Omaha and to forward on VHF, UHF, HF, and the internet. FBB is great for talking to other FBB systems, but not too good at talking to anything else.

And yes, I plan to gateway this system through the internet. I'll hold on to my ham license, though. I need it for my 10-15 hours I spend each week on HF either on 7.261 or working cw on the low end of 40.

And speaking of obsolete systems, where would I buy a copy of DOS if I wanted to run FBB? I haven't seen one for almost 10 years.

73,
Jeff
N0WJP

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:mszkb.1510$Ij2.663@newssvr25.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net> wrote in message
> news:5Xwkb.1932$5c2.310@okepread03...
> > "charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote
> > >
> > > Hope this helps!
> >
> > It doesn't. Your argument is much that of a Mac user when asked
> > a PC question. They say buy a Mac, and then list a bunch of
> > generalities while bashing the PC.
> >
>
> Not really. The guy wanted to know how to get the JNOS software to behave
> and I gave an honest answer as to how I would solve the problem. - I would
> round-file the JNOS software, and go from there.
>
> I used to test and review packet software, and found JNOS to be the very
> lousiest excuse for packet radio software I had ever seen. Despite that, I
> did manage to get it working, at least good enough so that I could test
its
> functionality for a fair review, so I am familiar with the unnecessary
> head-aches and poor on-the-air performance this guy is putting up with.
>
> I gave the guy a little historical info about the software he was using,

and
> pointed the way to more modern software that will do a better job with a
lot
> less trouble. I was working on the assumption that the guy was not a
> masochist, but just wanted a reliable packet radio BBS that would work
> without constant massaging and tinkering, or embarassing malfunctions that
> lose people's messages.
>
> I suppose that you are free to run me down for trying to help the guy out,
> but notice that I won't say a single word about you being an obvious
> "protocol warrior", still stuck back in the 1980's.
>
> Charles Brabhan, N5PVL
>
>
>
>

1281. Re: JNOS message question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-20 11:26:09 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <IDPkb.1634$En1.594@newssvr25.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3178
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.packet

"Jeff Camp" <jcamp2@cox.net> wrote in message
news:5OJkb.21408$iq3.18773@okepread01...
> Thanks for the suggestions, Charles, but FBB is the whole reason I'm
looking
> at JNOS. I ran it for several years and found it to be very unreliable
and
> unable to integrate with gateway software. I used FBB to run the local
> packet BBS here in Omaha and to forward on VHF, UHF, HF, and the internet.
> FBB is great for talking to other FBB systems, but not too good at talking
> to anything else.

Well, to tell the truth, Jeff, you are the second person I've ever heard talk about FBB BBS software being "unreliable". The other complainer was a LandLine Lid, too, so I'll assume it's the non-ham stuff that you are having trouble with.

You can imagine how broke up I must be, over you experiencing difficulties while trying to screw up.

> And yes, I plan to gateway this system through the internet. I'll hold on
> to my ham license, though. I need it for my 10-15 hours I spend each week
> on HF either on 7.261 or working cw on the low end of 40.

Don't count on your messages being delivered to or by the genuine amateur radio stations in the BBS forwarding net. Gateway stations have caused so much damage to the fowarding network that many BBS forwarders are now refusing to handle traffic either coming from or going into any LandLine Lid system. Several of the more destructive LansdLine Lid stations have been isolated this way, to reduce the amount of damage that they can do.

There are now large sections of the country where non-ham stuff is not allowed to participate in the Ham Radio network at all. Your messages will not be delivered there. LandLine Lid stations there can chat with each other on the Internet, as usual, but are not allowed to disrupt the activities of genuine amateur radio packet network. You will probably be "forwarding" (internet chatting) with some of these lonely, out of place LandLine Lid stations, keeping a miserable trickle of messages going for them.

Remember that the packet radio HF forwarding network is an association of amateur radio operators who work to implement HF digital links. Your non-ham links are not welcome amongst these hams who are trying to use radio, and your operation within that group with your non-ham links will- to the extent that it is tolerated - cause disruption within the network, making it harder for hams to use radio as intended for this purpose.

- But you already know all that, Jeff, and don't care. That's the kind of "ham" that you are, aren't you?

You know, this kind of destructive, disruptive LandLine Lid "protocol war" behavior was bad enough back in the 1980's... Back then, it decimated the existing HF forwarding network and disrupted packet communications across the USA, and it was all chalked up to "protocol wars", as if that was any justification for deliberately disrupting amateur radio communications. These days, with the ARRL affiliated with Homeland Security, your disruptive, anti-ham activities may have a little bit more serious consequences that they might have had ten years ago.

I sincerely hope that it does. You are an insect, Jeff, that really deserves to be squashed. I consider anybody who deliberately denigrates and undercuts the ham digital network as you intend to do, during this time of war against terrorism to be beneath contempt. What used to be despicable enough as "protocol warrior" behavior back in the 1980's can now end up costing people's lives and property, disrupting our ability to as hams to provide emergency communications in the event of a terrorist attack or disaster.

There is literally no excuse for the disruptive, destructive activity you propose.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL
Director: USPacket.Net
http://www.uspacket.net
n5pvl@uspacket.net

1282. Re: JNOS message question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-20 11:26:10 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <mDPkb.1635$En1.859@newssvr25.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3179
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.packet

"Jeff Camp" <jcamp2@cox.net> wrote in message
news:5OJkb.21408$iq3.18773@okepread01...
> >
> And speaking of obsolete systems, where would I buy a copy of DOS if I
> wanted to run FBB? I haven't seen one for almost 10 years.
>

I didn't say it was newer, I said it was more reliable and did not require
so much tinkering. You can get copiies of DOS all over the place. Try an
interenet search, or get somebody to show you how to do one.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

1283. Re: JNOS message question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-20 11:33:18 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <aJPkb.3435$5c2.2479@okepread03>
Source record: 3180
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.packet

Oh shit! You made the "Director" mad...

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote
>
> Charles Brabham, N5PVL
> Director: USPacket.Net

1284. Re: JNOS message question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-20 11:37:18 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <ONPkb.1636$to1.778@newssvr25.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3181
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.packet

I'm kind of disgusted, but not really "mad". The guy is an insect, annoying
but nothing to get upset about.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

"Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net> wrote in message
news:aJPkb.3435$5c2.2479@okepread03...
> Oh shit! You made the "Director" mad...
>
> "charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote
> >
> > Charles Brabham, N5PVL
> > Director: USPacket.Net
>
>

1285. Re: JNOS message question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-20 12:41:51 UTC
From: Jeff Camp <jcamp2@cox.net>
Message-ID: <zNQkb.21429$iq3.15134@okepread01>
Source record: 3182
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.packet

Yeah, I'm in real trouble now.

Charles, why don't you join us in the 21st century? It's attitudes like yours that have made ham radio just a "curiosity" rather than a community of dedicated experimenters who develop new communications technology before the commercial interests can do it. If I'm an insect, then you're an antique.

As for HF forwarding I guess we'll wait and see. I'll attempt to get back into HF forwarding once my VHF, UHF and microwave links are back up.

73,
Jeff
N0WJP

"Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net> wrote in message
news:aJPkb.3435$5c2.2479@okepread03...
> Oh shit! You made the "Director" mad...
>
> "charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote
> >
> > Charles Brabham, N5PVL
> > Director: USPacket.Net
>
>

1286. Excercising a serial port

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-20 14:07:07 UTC
From: "Alan P. Biddle" <APBIDDLE@ATT.NET>
Message-ID: <suq7pvcv20nv7v3eqvg9hgi7nb5eu0d5sa@4ax.com>
Source record: 3183

Hi,

Has anybody come across a program which allows you to raise and lower the individual lines on a serial port? I am looking for something to check out some lines that are being used for discrete outputs. Not that hard to write, but before I reinvent the wheel, I wanted to see if it had already been done.

Alan
WA4SCA

1287. Re: JNOS message question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-20 14:15:40 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <g6Skb.186556$0v4.14404711@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 3184
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.packet

"Jeff Camp" <jcamp2@cox.net> wrote in message
news:zNQkb.21429$iq3.15134@okepread01...
> Yeah, I'm in real trouble now.
>
> Charles, why don't you join us in the 21st century? It's attitudes like
> yours that have made ham radio just a "curiosity" rather than a community of
> dedicated experimenters who develop new communications technology before the
> commercial interests can do it. If I'm an insect, then you're an antique.

Ah yes! The dedicated Land Line Lid!
Spouting the same drivel and lies they all do.

"Why not advance ham radio by using the internet instead of radio!"
Sir, you are an idiot.

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

1288. Re: Excercising a serial port

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-20 15:00:38 UTC
From: xpyttl <xpyttl_nospam@earthling.net>
Message-ID: <vp7u4pdbuo2mb7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 3185

Davit Tait's FPP, a PIC programmer driver, has a test mode that allows you
to wiggle the individual lines. Funny you should ask, I was just doing
that.

http://people.man.ac.uk/~mbhstdj/piclinks.html

Keep in mind if you are using NT/W2K/XP you need to get some driver software
like DIRECTIO since the operating system won't allow you to twiddle the
ports directly.

..

"Alan P. Biddle" <APBIDDLE@ATT.NET> wrote in message
news:suq7pvcv20nv7v3eqvg9hgi7nb5eu0d5sa@4ax.com...
> Hi,
>
> Has anybody come across a program which allows you to raise and lower
> the individual lines on a serial port? I am looking for something to
> check out some lines that are being used for discrete outputs. Not
> that hard to write, but before I reinvent the wheel, I wanted to see
> if it had already been done.
>
>
> Alan
> WA4SCA
>

1289. Re: Excercising a serial port

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-20 15:16:28 UTC
From: "Alan P. Biddle" <APBIDDLE@ATT.NET>
Message-ID: <71v7pvgu124ava9idl7ll4r4onm7um5pog@4ax.com>
Source record: 3186

Thanks! That looks like it should do it. I didn't think to look at
PIC stuff.

Alan

1290. Re: JNOS message question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-20 17:42:21 UTC
From: tim gorman <tgorman2@cox.net>
Message-ID: <qk61nb.dsh.ln@tg6124.gorman.com>
Source record: 3187
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.packet

Jeff,

I'm not familar with JNOS but this sounds like a routing problem.

Does each local user have their own mail queue? Under linux, each user has a file under /var/spool/mail. Mail coming into the box is transferred into a file under /var/spool/mail by the sendmail program. Sendmail looks up each destination address in an 'aliases' file (/etc/aliases or /etc/mail/aliases), if it isn't found there it is written into a file in the /var/spool/mail directory. The file is given the name of the destination address (i.e. the local user). If it is found in the aliases file, the real name stored in the aliases file is substituted for the destination address and then the message is written into the correct file under the /var/spool/mail directory.

It sounds like you either have no program like sendmail looking at the incoming mail queue in order to deliver it locally or your local users are not defined to the linux system.

Does JNOS have a mail transport agent process that perhaps isn't getting started? Are all local users defined properly?

I hope this gives you a place to start.

Tim ab0wr

Jeff Camp wrote:

>
>
> I'm in the process of installing JNOS 111f for Linux and have run into a
> problem. When a user sends a message to another local user, the system
> responds with a "Msg queued" message, but the message is never delivered.
> If I log in as Sysop, I can't see any messages. If I look in the
> /spool/mqueue I can see all the messages sitting there.
>
> Anyone have any suggestions on where to start?
>
> Thanks,
> Jeff
> N0WJP

1291. Re: NRZI coding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-20 19:51:49 UTC
From: Mariusz SP3FXC <sp3fxc@linuxfocus.org_NO_SPAM>
Message-ID: <bn1ebm$8hl$1@absinth.dialog.net.pl>
Source record: 3188

Moebius Velcro wrote:

> Mariusz SP3FXC <sp3fxc@linuxfocus.org_NO_SPAM> wrote:
>> I'm looking for some info on NRZI coding. google gives me useless
>> links... nothing special. I am about to write someting that uses NRZI but
>> i can not find any specification.
>
> If you look at this with a monospaced font (Courier for example) it
> should make sense:
>
> __ ________ _____ __
> __| |__| |__| |________|
> 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 1 1 0
>
> Non-Return Zero Inverting means (1) the signal does not return
> to a resting state after a bit, and (2) a zero is indicated by
> a change of state, and a one by no change. Clock is extracted
> from the data, which means there must be a limit to the number
> of consecutive 1's, and the "zero stuffing" technique leads to
> a bigger discussion.
>
> HTH

Very good :) One more question. Is it "level-sensitive" coding? I mean if we change the phase (by 180deg.) of NRZI coded signal would it be still readable when it hits decoder? I need to verify this because it is essential for my project.

Mariusz

1292. Re: JNOS message question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-20 20:47:42 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <NRXkb.3064$Qh7.39275001@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3189
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.packet

"Jeff Camp" <jcamp2@cox.net> wrote in message
news:zNQkb.21429$iq3.15134@okepread01...

>
> If I'm an insect, then you're an antique.

Hey Bub, you're the one pushing the latest packet radio technology from the 1980's... Your "protocol warrior" attitude is 1980's vintage as well. You're the antique, OM... Your bogus attitude is as obsolete as the bogus software you are struggling with.

Insect.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

1293. Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-20 20:51:48 UTC
From: victor Boeri <vicboz@vtr.net>
Message-ID: <bn1ht5$vh0$1@news1.nivel5.cl>
Source record: 3190

Hola Amigos:
Necesito colaboraci&#65533;n de uds., tengo una Tnc Kam plus version 7.0, pero al no usarlo mucho se me olvid&#65533; que informaci&#65533;n ingresar en el software de comunicaciones(winpack) para pasar de modo vhf a Hf y trasmitir. Algun comando que exista etc...
agradecido 73, V&#65533;ctor

1294. Re: OTTAWA PI2 CARD FOR SELL http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3054453879

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-20 22:16:08 UTC
From: hseisdedos@terra.es
Message-ID: <fcff10a9.0310201416.2fc7b62c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3191

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3054453879

1295. Re: JNOS message question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-20 22:20:31 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <XbZkb.4232$5c2.1533@okepread03>
Source record: 3192
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.packet

I run around with some Drag racing guys (Top Fuel, Funny Cars, Gassers, etc), and just like Hams, they are super-critical about what a "true hot rodder" should have on his car. I know for a fact that these guys blow shit up even if it was gold plated, but yet they keep buying the same shit because everyone else will label them a traitor or a poster-boy for some manufacturer. There's some people who can't operate without negative peer pressure. They wrap their positive messages in negative slants about one thing or another.

You can't change them, and they are too stupid to change themselves.

"Jeff Camp" <jcamp2@cox.net> wrote
> Yeah, I'm in real trouble now.
>
> Charles, why don't you join us in the 21st century? It's attitudes like
> yours that have made ham radio just a "curiosity" rather than a community of
> dedicated experimenters who develop new communications technology before the
> commercial interests can do it. If I'm an insect, then you're an antique.
>
> As for HF forwarding I guess we'll wait and see. I'll attempt to get back
> into HF forwarding once my VHF, UHF and microwave links are back up.
>
> 73,
> Jeff
> N0WJP
>
>
> "Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net> wrote in message
> >
> > Oh shit! You made the "Director" mad...
> >
> > "charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote
> > >
> > > Charles Brabham, N5PVL
> > > Director: USPacket.Net

1296. Re: JNOS message question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-20 22:23:51 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <2fZkb.4244$5c2.997@okepread03>
Source record: 3193
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.packet

And now the "Directors" Amen corner has sung his spiritual...

"Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote
>
> Ah yes! The dedicated Land Line Lid!
> Spouting the same drivel and lies they all do.

1297. Re: OTTAWA PI2 CARD FOR SELL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-20 22:36:10 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <BqZkb.4283$5c2.4117@okepread03>
Source record: 3194

I'll go $5, you pay shipping.

"Horaxio-lu5aep" <hseisdedos@terra.es> wrote
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=305445387

1298. Re: JNOS message question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-20 23:03:58 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <yRZkb.2096$lY4.367@newssvr25.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3195
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.packet

"Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net> wrote in message
news:XbZkb.4232$5c2.1533@okepread03...
> I run around with some Drag racing guys (Top Fuel, Funny Cars, Gassers,
etc),
> and just like Hams, they are super-critical about what a "true hot rodder"
should
> have on his car. I know for a fact that these guys blow shit up even if
it was gold
> plated, but yet they keep buying the same shit because everyone else will
label
> them a traitor or a poster-boy for some manufacturer. There's some people
who
> can't operate without negative peer pressure. They wrap their positive
messages in
> negative slants about one thing or another.
>
> You can't change them, and they are too stupid to change themselves.
>

Yes, you are right, Gene... In this case, Internet junkies think it's cool to disrupt the amateur radio hobby because ham radio is not "IP", or it's not "fast enough", or - whatever. (Insert anti-ham sentiment or whiney excuse here.) You can't change them, and they are too stupid to change themselves.

Kind of like a guy who insists upon sneaking a little nitro to his tank in a gas competition so that he can "win", LandLine Lids undermine the entire concept of Amateur Radio (Using Radio, Duh!) by using Internet links as part of the packet radio network and so are not really "winners"... They are just disruptive jerk-offs. - You can't change them, and they are too stupid to change themselves.

Insects.

Ham Radio is a much more serious hobby than hotrodding, in that a central part of our reason for being is to provide independent, alternative emergency communications during the course of a disaster or emergency. The activities of amateur radio operators save lives and property. We do this by developing and maintaining day to day ham radio communications so that we will be there in time of need. This has become even more important since the 9/11 attack, as the subsequent affilliation of the ARRL with the Dept. of Homeland Security would indicate to anybody who is not completely brain-dead.

Non-ham communication links have no place whatsoever within an amateur radio network, as they are the very type of communications that we are supposed to be backing up. - Hopefully a few of us here are intelligent enough to understand the fact that you cannot "back up" a communications system that you are utterly dependent upon. - It would be like having a special telephone number to call, in case the phones go dead. - Useless in your hour of need.

So when insectoid types disrupt the ham radio hobby by imposing unwanted, inappropriate non-ham links within our amateur packet radio network, they are not just being jerkoffs, they are directly endangering human lives and property by interfering with the ability of amateur radio operators to fulfill one of our primary reasons for being - emergency communications.

Tell you what, Gene... I'll send an note to the Dept of Homeland Security and see how they feel about people deliberately interfering with hams trying to provide alternative, independent emergency communications capability. They may have some suggestions for dealing with persons who insist upon undercutting and interfering with amateur radio operators engaged in activities related to our affiliation with Homeland Defense, or may know who to talk to in the FCC about this matter. There's really no reason for hams to have to tolerate this kind of disruptive, irresponsible behavior any more.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

1299. Re: Excercising a serial port

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-20 23:25:48 UTC
From: "Alan P. Biddle" <APBIDDLE@ATT.NET>
Message-ID: <i2m8pvge4aqfai3f2iuad08eohifqcu1o8@4ax.com>
Source record: 3196

That turned out to be just what I needed. Found the problem in 5 minutes, and 4 of that was figuring out how to run the program. The help file is a little short. :)

Alan

1300. Re: JNOS message question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-20 23:33:12 UTC
From: Jeff Camp <jcamp2@cox.net>
Message-ID: <ni_kb.21532$iq3.2530@okepread01>
Source record: 3197
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.packet

Charles --

Put your money where your mouth is. If you're interested in really seeing what the Department of Homeland Security thinks about the issue, please drop them a note about me. I'd be happy to be your "test case" on internet forwarding. My contact info is good in the callbook and QRZ.COM.

I'll make you a deal. If they agree with you that internet forwarding should not be allowed for the purposes you have listed, then I'll gladly disconnect my system from the internet and unconditionally apologize to you here or in any forum you choose. If they decide that internet forwarding poses no threat from their perspective, you can apologize to me here and stop harassing those of us who would like to use the internet for forwarding in addition to RF. Do you have the nerve to back up what you say?

Also, if you're able to work HF, then I hang out on 7261 or thereabouts after 10:00PM central time (usually 7281 before 10) and would love to have you check into the 1721 group. They don't have anything to do with packet or forwarding, but they're a great group of people and everyone's welcome.

73,
Jeff
N0WJP

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:yRZkb.2096$lY4.367@newssvr25.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net> wrote in message
> news:XbZkb.4232$5c2.1533@okepread03...
> > I run around with some Drag racing guys (Top Fuel, Funny Cars, Gassers,
> etc),
> > and just like Hams, they are super-critical about what a "true hot
rodder"
> should
> > have on his car. I know for a fact that these guys blow shit up even if
> it was gold
> > plated, but yet they keep buying the same shit because everyone else
will
> label
> > them a traitor or a poster-boy for some manufacturer. There's some
people
> who
> > can't operate without negative peer pressure. They wrap their positive
> messages in
> > negative slants about one thing or another.

> >
> > You can't change them, and they are too stupid to change themselves.
> >
>
> Yes, you are right, Gene... In this case, Internet junkies think it's cool
> to disrupt the amateur radio hobby because ham radio is not "IP", or it's
> not "fast enough", or - whatever. (Insert anti-ham sentiment or whiney
> excuse here.) You can't change them, and they are too stupid to change
> themselves.
>
> Kind of like a guy who insists upon sneaking a little nitro to his tank in
a
> gas competition so that he can "win", LandLine Lids undermine the entire
> concept of Amateur Radio (Using Radio, Duh!) by using Internet links as
> part of the packet radio network and so are not really "winners"... They
are
> just disruptive jerk-offs. - You can't change them, and they are too
stupid
> to change themselves.
>
> Insects.
>
> Ham Radio is a much more serious hobby than hotrodding, in that a central
> part of our reason for being is to provide independent, alternative
> emergency communications during the course of a disaster or emergency. The
> activities of amateur radio operators save lives and property. We do this
by
> developing and maintaining day to day ham radio communications so that we
> will be there in time of need. This has become even more important since
the
> 9/11 attack, as the subsequent affilliation of the ARRL with the Dept. of
> Homeland Security would indicate to anybody who is not completely
> brain-dead.
>
> Non-ham communication links have no place whatsoever within an amateur
radio
> network, as they are the very type of communications that we are supposed
to
> be backing up. - Hopefully a few of us here are intelligent enough to
> understand the fact that you cannot "back up" a communications system that
> you are utterly dependent upon. - It would be like having a special
> telephone number to call, in case the phones go dead. - Useless in your
hour
> of need.
>
> So when insectoid types disrupt the ham radio hobby by imposing unwanted,
> inappropriate non-ham links within our amateur packet radio network, they
> are not just being jerkoffs, they are directly endangering human lives and
> property by interfering with the ability of amateur radio operators to
> fulfill one of our primary reasons for being - emergency communications.

>
> Tell you what, Gene... I'll send an note to the Dept of Homeland Security
> and see how they feel about people deliberately interfering with hams
trying
> to provide alternative, independent emergency communications capability.
> They may have some suggestions for dealing with persons who insist upon
> undercutting and interfering with amateur radio operators engaged in
> activities related to our affiliation with Homeland Defense, or may know
who
> to talk to in the FCC about this matter. There's really no reason for hams
> to have to tolerate this kind of disruptive, irresponsible behavior any
> more.
>
> Charles Brabham, N5PVL
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1301. Re: JNOS message question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-20 23:40:07 UTC
From: Jeff Camp <jcamp2@cox.net>
Message-ID: <Ro_kb.21543$iq3.19437@okepread01>
Source record: 3198
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.packet

Hank, I'm glad to see you have the same closed mind and "in the box" thinking that you did a couple of years ago. My friend, I spend most of my time in this hobby working different modes on HF, VHF, UHF and microwave and almost no time on packet.

If you feel better calling me a land line lid, then have at it. Also, take a look at my earlier reply to Charles. You can feel free to put your money where your mouth is, too.

73,
Jeff
N0WJP

"Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
news:g6Skb.186556$0v4.14404711@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "Jeff Camp" <jcamp2@cox.net> wrote in message
> news:zNQkb.21429$iq3.15134@okepread01...
> > Yeah, I'm in real trouble now.
> >
> > Charles, why don't you join us in the 21st century? It's attitudes like
> > yours that have made ham radio just a "curiosity" rather than a
community of
> > dedicated experimenters who develop new communications technology before
the
> > commercial interests can do it. If I'm an insect, then you're an
antique.
>
>
> Ah yes! The dedicated Land Line Lid!
> Spouting the same drivel and lies they all do.
>
> "Why not advance ham radio by using the internet instead of radio!"
> Sir, you are an idiot.
>
> --
>
> ... Hank
>
> Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
>
>

1302. Re: NRZI coding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-21 00:55:49 UTC
From: Marco S Hyman <marc@snafu.org>
Message-ID: <x74qy38l4a.fsf@hana.snafu.org>
Source record: 3101

Mariusz SP3FXC <sp3fxc@linuxfocus.org_NO_SPAM> writes:

```
> > __ ________ _____ __
> > __| |__| |__| |________|
> > 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 1 1 0
```

> Very good :) One more question. Is it "level-sensitive" coding? I mean if we
> change the phase (by 180deg.) of NRZI coded signal would it be still
> readable when it hits decoder? I need to verify this because it is
> essential for my project.

Look at the diagram. A zero is a change in state; doesn't matter
in which direction it changes. If you invert the input signal the
output is still the same:

```
> > __ ________ _____ __
> > __| |__| |__| |________|

-- -- -- --------
|__| |________| |_____| |__
```

> > 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 1 1 0

Same output.

// marc

1303. Re: A New Concept: Virtual Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-21 01:06:01 UTC
From: Deos <lineoffiretoday@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <bn210k$1ce$1@nic.grnet.gr>
Source record: 3200
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

ok lets burn our rig's
use our certificates as toilet paper
and go to the chat rooms.

--
http://www.qsl.net/sv1hao
"David Stinson" <arc5@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3F8B4D6D.31D19695@ix.netcom.com...
> Thanks for reading this.
> I have today submitted an article to QST magazine entitled
> "Virtual Spectrum: Beating BPL at its own game,"
> detailing a concept that can greatly impact our radio hobby.
> I wanted to "put down a marker" to date the introduction
> of a new ham-radio innovation, and to officially place
> the idea in the public domain, so the maximum amount of
> "tinkering" with it can happen.
>
> This concept uses the bandwidth available on the Internet
> and some simple circuitry to create a replica of a segment of
> the radio spectrum, stream it live or prerecorded to another
> internet-connected computer and reconstruct that radio spectrum
> at your location. *Any* radio equipment you wish to use
> (given an Internet connection with sufficent bandwidth)-
> from modern PSK-31 rigs, SSB nets, WW-II modulated oscillators
> all the way back to ancient spark transmitters
> with crystal receivers; all can legally communicate just as though
> you were on-the-air. The system is bi-directional, allowing
> QSOs between stations that normally could not communicate.
> If you can't hear weak signals because of noise in your area,
> the concept allows you to build a "remote front end" and
> transport the radio spectrum in a quiet area right to your rig,
> bypassing the problems in your location.
>
> I believe this concept can be the answer to BPL, "noise holes,"
> antenna restrictions, HF "jammers" and many other obstacles to
> using our radios. There is great potential for many uses,
> and a possible niche market for someone with the resources
> to develop the equipment.
> I have already built prototype equipment to prove the concept
> and it works splendidly. The article includes construction
> details. The circuits are simple and you can get
> 95% of the parts at Radio Shack.
> By the time QST publishes (assume they accept the work),

> we should have completed the first Virtual Spectrum QSO:
> two World War 2 BC-611 handie-talkies (output: about 60 milliwatts)
> will communicate over a path of about 2000 miles.
>
> If QST does not publish the concept,
> I will publish it myself on the web
> immediatly after hearing from them.
> I am indebted to Mike Hanz, aafradio@cox.net
> for invaluable suggestions and encouragement.
>
> TNX ES 73 DE Dave Stinson AB5S

1304. Re: JNOS message question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-21 01:08:12 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <0G%kb.2537$E33.741@newssvr27.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3199
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.packet

"Jeff Camp" <jcamp2@cox.net> wrote in message
news:ni_kb.21532$iq3.2530@okepread01...
> Charles --
>
> Put your money where your mouth is. If you're interested in really seeing
> what the Department of Homeland Security thinks about the issue, please
drop
> them a note about me. I'd be happy to be your "test case" on internet
> forwarding. My contact info is good in the callbook and QRZ.COM.

You'll have to settle for being "generic" unless that kind of detail is actually called for. There are dozens of gateway stations. What I will be doing is demonstrating how the imposition of non-ham links into the amateur radio digital network has undercut and interfered with that network, a result of packet/internet gateways being unregulated in the United States.

I'm in a good position to do that, as I was a participant in the global HF digital network that existed prior to the introduction of non-ham links, and am aware of the mechanism by which those unwanted non-ham links destroyed the network within just a few years. It's fairly easy to demonstrate, and most packet enthusiasts who were around at the time are familiar with how it worked. There was a two-pronged approach, where some HF forwarders were starved for traffic to send, while others were grossly overloaded, way beyond capacity. Prior to the introduction of the non-ham links, the global HF net had operated smoothly and reliably. It was and still is the most significant example of global cooperation among ham radio operators - ever. Unregulated gateways destroyed that.

I was also intimately associated with an advanced, large-scale VHF/UHF packet radio network (TexNet) that started replacing it's existing 9.6kb backbone links with Internet connections, and totally self-destructed within a few years of that move. When the Internet became widely available, all of the large-scale VHF/UHF packet networks naturally took a hit. - But all of them survived and are now growing again except TexNet, which is the only big packet net to completely disappear from the face of the Earth. At one time it had over 100 nodes, covering three states. All gone, now. Non-ham links are the kiss of death.

I can demonstrate packet networks located overseas that operated under regulations that did not allow the imposition of non-ham links. Without exception, those networks continued to advance and grow the entire time the US network, and the global HF net were disrupted and lost coherency. Those folks use 9.6kb - 19.2kb for access these days, with a lot of the backbone being 19.2 fulldup to 72.8 fulldup. All ham radio, with voice over packet

and neat stuff like that. This network has a footprint of close to 600-800 miles, maybe better. Nice what you can accomplish, when you stay on-mission and concentrate on doing things with radio. I do not think it will be hard to demonstrate that we should be doing that in the US, too. All we need is a reg that forbids the insertion of non-ham links into a ham radio network, so we can get back on-mission again.

Did you know that prior to the introduction of non-ham links into the US packet network, we provided leadership to the world in this area with the most widespread, sophisticated packet network on the planet?

I can also demonstrate that the only rapidly growing packet network in the US today (140+ nodes in a few years) specifically does not allow non-ham links. They simply shut offenders out of the system, and stick with radio. They have the fastest growing, most advanced packet network in the US to show for it.

The thing about this is: There is no recorded case of a large-scale VHF/UHF network surviving the wide-spread imposition of non-ham links. The global HF network was killed by non-ham links as soon as the Internet made them available. By way of contrast, there is no record of a packet network that banned non-ham links which has not steadily advanced and grown, the whole time. If you graph it, you can show a direct relationship between the imposition of non-ham links and the decline of a packet radio network's perf ormance and utility. It has the same end effect as malicious interference, and should be regulated as such, for that reason.

Note: The idea is ban non-ham links within the ham network, not the use of gateways. Gateways are important because they provide a way for the two networks to communicate with each other, so don't look for me to propose throwing tossing the baby out with the bath water, as some have suggested. The idea is to be able to provide effective, alternate emergency communications, and gateways are essential for that task, among others. ANY tool can hurt you if you use it improperly, and can also be of great benefit if you don't grab the wrong end of it. All we need is a reg that prohibits improper use of gateways.

>
> I'll make you a deal. If they agree with you that internet forwarding
> should not be allowed for the purposes you have listed, then I'll gladly
> disconnect my system from the internet and unconditionally apologize to
you
> here or in any forum you choose. If they decide that internet forwarding
> poses no threat from their perspective, you can apologize to me here and
> stop harassing those of us who would like to use the internet for
forwarding
> in addition to RF. Do you have the nerve to back up what you say?

I have the nerve to back up anything I say, but that does not mean that I will accept a juvenile challenge as you have put forward here. What I will do is send a note to the Homeland Security folks, as I said.

>
> Also, if you're able to work HF, then I hang out on 7261 or thereabouts
> after 10:00PM central time (usually 7281 before 10) and would love to have
> you check into the 1721 group. They don't have anything to do with packet
> or forwarding, but they're a great group of people and everyone's welcome.
>

Thanks, but I hardly ever get lower than 30 meters, or use a mike on HF
these days. I live at the extreme southern tip of Texas, so its doubful that
we could hear each other on 40 meters in any case!

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

1305. Totaly Off topic question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-21 01:47:58 UTC
From: jeannette <jeanne8@No_Junk_eblw.com>
Message-ID: <n049pvg58r8k8ggvi1ev718hui0c90hkj7@4ax.com>
Source record: 3102

Hi all,

Just passed my extra yesterday. Anyone knows what kind of calls they
give out in CA at the moment?

Jeannette Bristol 32, San Francisco
http://www.eblw.com/contepartiro/contepartiro.html

1306. Re: JNOS message question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-21 01:49:20 UTC
From: Jeff Camp <jcamp2@cox.net>
Message-ID: <_h0lb.21760$iq3.493@okepread01>
Source record: 3103
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.packet

I'm not sure what you mean by "generic." In order to effect change, you need to be specific. In order to initiate legislation or court directives, you have to be precise in what you're asking for. I'm volunteering to help you in your cause if you are serious.

By "non-ham links" do you mean message forwarding with non-ham systems or do you mean forwarding with other licensed amateurs over the internet? I have no intention of forwarding with anyone without an amateur radio license and have always actively blocked those messages in the past.

I'm aware of the TexNet situation. Replacing 9.6k links with high performance redundant messaging paths could also be called evolution. Look, I'm not advocating replacing RF links with the internet. I'm advocating using the internet to enhance an already existing messaging system.

As for my "juvenile challenge," I'm just saying that if you believe so strongly in your cause, show it with action instead of endless whining and reminiscing about the way ham radio used to be 20 years ago. I'm volunteering to help you put an end to the same debate that's been going on here for years. I'd be happy with a decision in your favor or mine.

Try 40 meters late at night. The band goes long starting about 10PM and I talk to people in Texas, Mexico, and Cuba, and even into South America almost every night.

73,
Jeff
N0WJP

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:0G%kb.2537$E33.741@newssvr27.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Jeff Camp" <jcamp2@cox.net> wrote in message
> news:ni_kb.21532$iq3.2530@okepread01...
> > Charles --
> >
> > Put your money where your mouth is. If you're interested in really
seeing
> > what the Department of Homeland Security thinks about the issue, please
> drop
> > them a note about me. I'd be happy to be your "test case" on internet
> > forwarding. My contact info is good in the callbook and QRZ.COM.
>
> You'll have to settle for being "generic" unless that kind of detail is
> actually called for. There are dozens of gateway stations. What I will be

> doing is demonstrating how the imposition of non-ham links into the
amateur
> radio digital network has undercut and interfered with that network, a
> result of packet/internet gateways being unregulated in the United States.
>
> I'm in a good position to do that, as I was a participant in the global HF
> digital network that existed prior to the introduction of non-ham links,
and
> am aware of the mechanism by which those unwanted non-ham links destroyed
> the network within just a few years. It's fairly easy to demonstrate, and
> most packet enthusiasts who were around at the time are familiar with how
it
> worked. There was a two-pronged approach, where some HF forwarders were
> starved for traffic to send, while others were grossly overloaded, way
> beyond capacity. Prior to the introduction of the non-ham links, the
global
> HF net had operated smoothly and reliably. It was and still is the most
> significant example of global cooperation among ham radio operators -
ever.
> Unregulated gateways destroyed that.
>
> I was also intimately associated with an advanced, large-scale VHF/UHF
> packet radio network (TexNet) that started replacing it's existing 9.6kb
> backbone links with Internet connections, and totally self-destructed
within
> a few years of that move. When the Internet became widely available, all
of
> the large-scale VHF/UHF packet networks naturally took a hit. - But all of
> them survived and are now growing again except TexNet, which is the only
big
> packet net to completely disappear from the face of the Earth. At one time
> it had over 100 nodes, covering three states. All gone, now. Non-ham links
> are the kiss of death.
>
> I can demonstrate packet networks located overseas that operated under
> regulations that did not allow the imposition of non-ham links. Without
> exception, those networks continued to advance and grow the entire time
the
> US network, and the global HF net were disrupted and lost coherency. Those

> folks use 9.6kb - 19.2kb for access these days, with a lot of the backbone
> being 19.2 fulldup to 72.8 fulldup. All ham radio, with voice over packet
> and neat stuff like that. This network has a footprint of close to 600-800
> miles, maybe better. Nice what you can accomplish, when you stay
on-mission
> and concentrate on doing things with radio. I do not think it will be hard
> to demonstrate that we should be doing that in the US, too. All we need is
a
> reg that forbids the insertion of non-ham links into a ham radio network,
so

> we can get back on-mission again.
>
> Did you know that prior to the introduction of non-ham links into the US
> packet network, we provided leadership to the world in this area with the
> most widespread, sophisticated packet network on the planet?
>
> I can also demonstrate that the only rapidly growing packet network in the
> US today (140+ nodes in a few years) specifically does not allow non-ham
> links. They simply shut offenders out of the system, and stick with radio.
> They have the fastest growing, most advanced packet network in the US to
> show for it.
>
> The thing about this is: There is no recorded case of a large-scale
VHF/UHF
> network surviving the wide-spread imposition of non-ham links. The global
HF
> network was killed by non-ham links as soon as the Internet made them
> available. By way of contrast, there is no record of a packet network that
> banned non-ham links which has not steadily advanced and grown, the whole
> time. If you graph it, you can show a direct relationship between the
> imposition of non-ham links and the decline of a packet radio network's
perf
> ormance and utility. It has the same end effect as malicious interference,
> and should be regulated as such, for that reason.
>
> Note: The idea is ban non-ham links within the ham network, not the use of
> gateways. Gateways are important because they provide a way for the two
> networks to communicate with each other, so don't look for me to propose
> throwing tossing the baby out with the bath water, as some have suggested.
> The idea is to be able to provide effective, alternate emergency
> communications, and gateways are essential for that task, among others.
ANY
> tool can hurt you if you use it improperly, and can also be of great
benefit
> if you don't grab the wrong end of it. All we need is a reg that prohibits
> improper use of gateways.
>
> >
> > I'll make you a deal. If they agree with you that internet forwarding
> > should not be allowed for the purposes you have listed, then I'll gladly
> > disconnect my system from the internet and unconditionally apologize to
> you
> > here or in any forum you choose. If they decide that internet
forwarding
> > poses no threat from their perspective, you can apologize to me here and
> > stop harassing those of us who would like to use the internet for
> forwarding
> > in addition to RF. Do you have the nerve to back up what you say?
>
> I have the nerve to back up anything I say, but that does not mean that I

> will accept a juvenile challenge as you have put forward here. What I will
> do is send a note to the Homeland Security folks, as I said.
>
> >
> > Also, if you're able to work HF, then I hang out on 7261 or thereabouts
> > after 10:00PM central time (usually 7281 before 10) and would love to
have
> > you check into the 1721 group. They don't have anything to do with
packet
> > or forwarding, but they're a great group of people and everyone's
welcome.
> >
>
> Thanks, but I hardly ever get lower than 30 meters, or use a mike on HF
> these days. I live at the extreme southern tip of Texas, so its doubful
that
> we could hear each other on 40 meters in any case!
>
> Charles Brabham, N5PVL
>
>
>

1307. Re: JNOS message question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-21 01:55:30 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <mm0lb.8718$Ec1.797488@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 3104
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.packet

"Jeff Camp" <jcamp2@cox.net> wrote in message
news:Ro_kb.21543$iq3.19437@okepread01...
> Hank, I'm glad to see you have the same closed mind and "in the box"
> thinking that you did a couple of years ago. My friend, I spend most of my
> time in this hobby working different modes on HF, VHF, UHF and microwave and
> almost no time on packet.

That is quite clear!

> If you feel better calling me a land line lid, then have at it. Also, take
> a look at my earlier reply to Charles. You can feel free to put your money
> where your mouth is, too.

Just what band and mode are you operating when
you play pretend radio over the internet?

> 73,
> Jeff
> N0WJP

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

1308. Re: JNOS message question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-21 01:57:25 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <9o0lb.8723$Ec1.798506@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 3105
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.packet

"Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net> wrote in message
news:XbZkb.4232$5c2.1533@okepread03...
> I run around with some Drag racing guys (Top Fuel, Funny Cars, Gassers, etc),
> and just like Hams, they are super-critical about what a "true hot rodder"
should
> have on his car.

Yup, that's the key. "... on his car ...".

What do you think about the guys who bring jet airplanes
to the meet and then tell you your racers are boring, slow,
and the wave of the future is airplanes. Then he gets all over
the strip and won't go away and you don't get to race anymore.

Does that help you locate a clue?

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

1309. Re: JNOS message question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-21 02:01:11 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <Hr0lb.8739$Ec1.798531@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 3107
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.packet

"Jeff Camp" <jcamp2@cox.net> wrote in message
news:ni_kb.21532$iq3.2530@okepread01...
> Charles --
>
> Put your money where your mouth is. If you're interested in really seeing
> what the Department of Homeland Security thinks about the issue, please drop
> them a note about me. I'd be happy to be your "test case" on internet
> forwarding. My contact info is good in the callbook and QRZ.COM.

"Ha ha ha, I'm going to screw up your network and you can't stop me!"

> I'll make you a deal.

Sorry, no deals with lids.
Not with pirates or jammers either.

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

1310. Re: JNOS message question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-21 02:01:19 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <Xq0lb.4905$5c2.3392@okepread03>
Source record: 3106
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.packet

I think you need to start taking your medications again. Your head
must be about ready to explode with so much blood out of circulation.

Anyone who thinks FEMA needs Hams to do its job is severely
crippled in the brain department. They laugh when a bunch of old
men show up with their talkies to help in an emergency, and to get
them off their back, they assign them to the chuck wagons, or water-boy
stations. FEMA is equipped to drive into any town and blow away
any problem. That one rig they have can instantly hook-up to the national
infrastructure outside the disaster area, that Hams are left scratching their
asses.

Hams missed the boat on microsats. They were the first in space with that
concept, and then they threw it away, and spent all their money (millions)
on the Phase-III pig that is mostly off on any pass over the US.

I've asked at our club how many are going to buy the AOR digital
voice modem (designed by a UK Ham), and they all just laughed and
asked what could it do that our repeater or BBS can't! After telling
them how it would improve long distance communications, and voice
quality in the same space as SSB, they just shrugged. Who cares!
SSB is good enough they said. Hoo-rah said the amen corner...

Sorry, Mr. Director, it's a dead hobby. Made up of dead people in line
to get their SK award from the ARRL (who hasn't changed leadership
in 20+ years).

Gene, ex-Ham

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote
>
> Tell you what, Gene... I'll send an note to the Dept of Homeland Security
> and see how they feel about people deliberately interfering with hams trying
> to provide alternative, independent emergency communications capability.

1311. Re: JNOS message question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-21 02:02:41 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <5t0lb.8741$Ec1.799230@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 3108
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.packet

"Jeff Camp" <jcamp2@cox.net> wrote in message
news:_h0lb.21760$iq3.493@okepread01...
> I'm not sure what you mean by "generic." In order to effect change, you
> need to be specific. In order to initiate legislation or court directives,
> you have to be precise in what you're asking for. I'm volunteering to help
> you in your cause if you are serious.

Oh my!
A PEDANTIC and LEGALISTIC Land Line Lid at that!
"I'm going to screw up your network and you can't stop me, nyah nyah!"

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

1312. Re: NRZI coding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-21 02:10:12 UTC
From: nobodyhome@imaginary-isp.not
Message-ID: <1g35963.1i518xxltdrluN%nobodyhome@imaginary-isp.not>
Source record: 3109

Mariusz SP3FXC <sp3fxc@linuxfocus.org_NO_SPAM> wrote:

> Moebius Velcro wrote:
>
> > Mariusz SP3FXC <sp3fxc@linuxfocus.org_NO_SPAM> wrote:
> >> I'm looking for some info on NRZI coding. google gives me useless
> >> links... nothing special. I am about to write someting that uses NRZI but
> >> i can not find any specification.
> >
> > If you look at this with a monospaced font (Courier for example) it
> > should make sense:
> >
> > __ ________ _____ __
> > __| |__| |__| |________|
> > 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 1 1 0
> >
> > Non-Return Zero Inverting means (1) the signal does not return
> > to a resting state after a bit, and (2) a zero is indicated by
> > a change of state, and a one by no change. Clock is extracted
> > from the data, which means there must be a limit to the number
> > of consecutive 1's, and the "zero stuffing" technique leads to
> > a bigger discussion.
> >
> > HTH
>
> Very good :) One more question. Is it "level-sensitive" coding? I mean if we
> change the phase (by 180deg.) of NRZI coded signal would it be still
> readable when it hits decoder? I need to verify this because it is
> essential for my project.

No.

```
__ ________ _____ __
__| |__| |__| |________|
```

means the same as

```
__ __ __ ________
|__| |________| |_____| |__
```

in that a zero is represented by a change, in either direction,
and a one is no change.

;B

1313. Re: JNOS message question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-21 02:12:07 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <3B0lb.4906$5c2.4667@okepread03>
Source record: 3110
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.packet

I don't think you've ever been to a drag strip old man, so I'll
pass on trying to figure out what the hell you're whining about now.

"Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote
>
> What do you think about the guys who bring jet airplanes
> to the meet and then tell you your racers are boring, slow,
> and the wave of the future is airplanes. Then he gets all over
> the strip and won't go away and you don't get to race anymore.

1314. Re: JNOS message question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-21 02:28:20 UTC
From: Jeff Camp <jcamp2@cox.net>
Message-ID: <xS0lb.21852$iq3.776@okepread01>
Source record: 3111
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.packet

No, Hank. I just know that if you want to win the game, you have to do more than stand on the side and take pot shots at the other team. You probably don't have the mental horsepower to remember, but the last time you and I had this discussion was on packet. My messages to you were going out the hf port on my fbb system to W8AKF and your replies were going through about a dozen internet hops to get back to my system through WB0TAX. Looks like I had a better rf path than you did.

If you don't like something, do something about it. Don't just sit around and whine.

73,
Jeff
N0WJP

"Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
news:5t0lb.8741$Ec1.799230@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "Jeff Camp" <jcamp2@cox.net> wrote in message
> news:_h0lb.21760$iq3.493@okepread01...
> > I'm not sure what you mean by "generic." In order to effect change, you
> > need to be specific. In order to initiate legislation or court
directives,
> > you have to be precise in what you're asking for. I'm volunteering to
help
> > you in your cause if you are serious.
>
>
> Oh my!
> A PEDANTIC and LEGALISTIC Land Line Lid at that!
> "I'm going to screw up your network and you can't stop me, nyah nyah!"
>
> --
>
> ... Hank
>
> Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
>
>

1315. Re: JNOS message question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-21 03:21:26 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <WC1lb.187215$0v4.14473495@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 3112
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.packet

"Jeff Camp" <jcamp2@cox.net> wrote in message
news:xS0lb.21852$iq3.776@okepread01...
> No, Hank. I just know that if you want to win the game,

What game? Why would I want to "win"?

> you have to do more

I don't "have to" do anything.

> than stand on the side and take pot shots at the other team. You probably
> don't have the mental horsepower to remember, but the last time you and I
> had this discussion was on packet. My messages to you were going out the hf
> port on my fbb system to W8AKF and your replies were going through about a
> dozen internet hops to get back to my system through WB0TAX. Looks like I
> had a better rf path than you did.

My replies went directly to N0RVX. Right near you.
Why wouldn't he forward to you? Guess ...
You know all that, but you lie about it.

> If you don't like something, do something about it. Don't just sit around
> and whine.

Why? Seems you have not paid attention to what I've been doing
the past 5 years or so. Sharp stick. Poke in eye of Land Line Lid

Ya killed the RF network, good for you. Why should I care?

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

1316. Re: JNOS message question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-21 03:22:13 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <FD1lb.187219$0v4.14474419@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 3113
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.packet

You are wrong. Try thinking harder.

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

"Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net> wrote in message
news:3B0lb.4906$5c2.4667@okepread03...
> I don't think you've ever been to a drag strip old man, so I'll
> pass on trying to figure out what the hell you're whining about now.
>
> "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote
> >
> > What do you think about the guys who bring jet airplanes
> > to the meet and then tell you your racers are boring, slow,
> > and the wave of the future is airplanes. Then he gets all over
> > the strip and won't go away and you don't get to race anymore.
>
>

1317. Re: JNOS message question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-21 03:32:38 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <qN1lb.2561$zQ3.2219@newssvr27.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3114
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.packet

"Jeff Camp" <jcamp2@cox.net> wrote in message
news:xS0lb.21852$iq3.776@okepread01...
>
> If you don't like something, do something about it. Don't just sit around
> and whine.
>

Yes, that is precisely the attitude of packet networkers who simply refuse to allow non-ham links within their network. It works every time, too! The LandLine Lids get shut out - and all of a sudden, they are not such a problem anymore. Things start working better.

This has demonstrated that as hams, we can self-police this problem in the US very effectively. Still, a federal prohibition on inteference by persons hooking up unwanted non-ham links within an amateur radio network would still be a good idea.

Only the packet networks that ban non-ham links are currently growing and advancing, so it's just a matter of time, as they say... ;-) Still, we should not let this lead us to a complacent, tolerant attitude about what amounts to malicious interference, especially where our ability to provide emergency communications is being undermined.

Most of the progress so far has been made with VHF/UHF networks, but HF still needs work and is vital to re-establishing a global all-ham radio digital network. Hams who would like to be part of the solution in this area should contact me at n5pvl@uspacket.net , or post at the new USPN Forum.

Right now, both the FCC and several manufacturers of amateur radio equipment have unfavorable opinions about EchoLink and IRLP, from what I gather. Did you read this month's Op-Ed in QST? With digital voice coming up soon, this would be an excellent time to cut the Internet umbilical entirely so that ham radio can resume its job of providing alternative, independent communications. This will go far in getting the hobby back on track, while protecting it from vandalism.

Internet forwarders are insects, parasites, blood-suckers who feed off of and infect something several orders of magnitude greater than themselves. Being parasites, they naturally are big proponents of the parasitic lifestyle, frequently expressing disdain for their host and calling others to join them in their mindless insect gluttony.

The thing they have to watch out for is Ham Radio getting irritated, and deciding to scratch it's ass. We can do that by promoting a "No non-ham links within a ham radio network" rule, either among ourselves through

self-policing, or more definatively through involving the federal government. Everywhere it has been tried, it has resulted in a re-energized, faster growing, more advanced packet radio network. You can't beat a deal like that.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

1318. Re: AX.25 version differences

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-21 03:42:11 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <nW1lb.187257$0v4.14477182@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 3115

"Ian" <ian.bari@att.net> wrote in message
news:3f310dde.0310201906.6c5b8270@posting.google.com...
> Hey gang,
>
> I'm sorry to revisit the subject, but I'll try once more.
> What is the difference between versions 2.0 and 2.2 of
> AX.25? So far, I haven't encountered much in my reading
> of the two spec's. The only thing seems to be related
> to the number of digipeaters you can use. Obviously, I'm
> a novice packeteer and this subject is probably not worth
> considering for most but if somone knows the answer I'd
> be much obliged.
>
> P.S. Hank, thank you for your response. Why do you think
> I'd be better off using version 2.2?

Because it is the latest (and last) "official" specification.
Been a really long time since I looked at 2.0 vs. 2.2 and all
the other suggestions that were floating around at the time.

Work done since then has improved the protocol quite a bit,
but has not been submitted to the ARRL other than via the
conference procedings. So there is no "AX.25 L2V2.3"
or whatever. Many current implementations do the additions,
and those additions have proved to be quite useful.

For a very simple implementation you can just ignore digipeating :-)
i.e. if an incoming frame has digipeaters, ignore it.

You mentioned "students" working on this project, and I assumed
"Computer Science 101" students. If that assumption is wrong then
my suggestions would be along the lines of "find existing code, and
modify or use it." Perhaps if you give some more ideas on what
you are up to, others will post some suggestions.

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

1319. Re: JNOS message question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-21 04:01:40 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <Mb2lb.4923$5c2.2461@okepread03>
Source record: 3116
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.packet

Yea.

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote
>
> The thing they have to watch out for is Ham Radio getting irritated, and
> deciding to scratch it's ass.

1320. Re: JNOS message question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-21 04:39:51 UTC
From: Jeff Camp <jcamp2@cox.net>
Message-ID: <RN2lb.22148$iq3.20783@okepread01>
Source record: 3117
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.packet

Actually, there wasn't an RF path on UHF or VHF between Omaha and Des Moines. I'm not sure what hf frequency RVX was on, but I was on 14.098 and he wasn't there. I'm not lying about anything. RVX never told me he didn't want to forward.

As for what you've done for the last five years, I think that you've spent a lot of effort spewing out negative opinions on everything rather than mentoring hams who want to learn more about packet networks or maybe showing them how to forward using HF. The guy who helped me set up my HF port spent many hours teaching me how things worked, why they worked, and how HF forwarding is different from VHF. It was a great learning experience and I'm looking forward to getting back on an HF network.

Here's a question for you. I have a good friend who's a ham. He has a microwave path from Omaha to Des Moines on a frequency that is shared between ham radio and other services. Ham frequency, ham license. If I forward over that link would you call that acceptable? By the way, his company is an ISP and the link is his backbone connection to Des Moines, but he's a licensed ham and he's running over frequencies allocated to both amateir radio and other services.

73,
Jeff
N0WJP

"Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
news:WC1lb.187215$0v4.14473495@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "Jeff Camp" <jcamp2@cox.net> wrote in message
> news:xS0lb.21852$iq3.776@okepread01...
> > No, Hank. I just know that if you want to win the game,
>
> What game? Why would I want to "win"?
>
> > you have to do more
>
> I don't "have to" do anything.
>
> > than stand on the side and take pot shots at the other team. You
probably
> > don't have the mental horsepower to remember, but the last time you and
I
> > had this discussion was on packet. My messages to you were going out
the hf

> > port on my fbb system to W8AKF and your replies were going through about
a
> > dozen internet hops to get back to my system through WB0TAX. Looks like
I
> > had a better rf path than you did.
>
> My replies went directly to N0RVX. Right near you.
> Why wouldn't he forward to you? Guess ...
> You know all that, but you lie about it.
>
> > If you don't like something, do something about it. Don't just sit
around
> > and whine.
>
> Why? Seems you have not paid attention to what I've been doing
> the past 5 years or so. Sharp stick. Poke in eye of Land Line Lid
>
> Ya killed the RF network, good for you. Why should I care?
>
> --
>
> ... Hank
>
> Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
>
>

1321. Re: JNOS message question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-21 04:58:30 UTC
From: Jeff Camp <jcamp2@cox.net>
Message-ID: <k33lb.22151$iq3.6509@okepread01>
Source record: 3118
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.packet

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:qN1lb.2561$zQ3.2219@newssvr27.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Jeff Camp" <jcamp2@cox.net> wrote in message
> news:xS0lb.21852$iq3.776@okepread01...
> >
> > If you don't like something, do something about it. Don't just sit
around
> > and whine.
> >
>
> Yes, that is precisely the attitude of packet networkers who simply refuse
> to allow non-ham links within their network. It works every time, too! The
> LandLine Lids get shut out - and all of a sudden, they are not such a
> problem anymore. Things start working better.

Great. I'm glad it's working for you. What does that have to do with my
gateway? What would you say if internet forwarders decided not to allow any
stations that forward via RF links because they're so slow compared to
internet links?

>
> This has demonstrated that as hams, we can self-police this problem in the
> US very effectively. Still, a federal prohibition on inteference by
persons
> hooking up unwanted non-ham links within an amateur radio network would
> still be a good idea.

I think you'd have a hard time claiming interference. You still haven't
answered my question. By non-ham links do you mean forwarding with non-ham
systems or do you mean travelling over links that are not amateur radio
(such as the internet)?

>
> Only the packet networks that ban non-ham links are currently growing and
> advancing, so it's just a matter of time, as they say... ;-) Still,
> we should not let this lead us to a complacent, tolerant attitude about
what
> amounts to malicious interference, especially where our ability to provide
> emergency communications is being undermined.
>
That's great. Eventually they might even upgrade to something faster than
1200 baud. Put things in perspective. Manufacturers stopped shipping 1200
baud modems in 1988 because they were obsolete technology. Why would you

build a new network on something like that?

> Most of the progress so far has been made with VHF/UHF networks, but HF
> still needs work and is vital to re-establishing a global all-ham radio
> digital network. Hams who would like to be part of the solution in this
area
> should contact me at n5pvl@uspacket.net , or post at the new USPN Forum.
>

I looked at your forum. Doesn't look like you have any takers.

> Right now, both the FCC and several manufacturers of amateur radio
equipment
> have unfavorable opinions about EchoLink and IRLP, from what I gather. Did
> you read this month's Op-Ed in QST? With digital voice coming up soon,
this
> would be an excellent time to cut the Internet umbilical entirely so that
> ham radio can resume its job of providing alternative, independent
> communications. This will go far in getting the hobby back on track, while
> protecting it from vandalism.
>

I didn't see QST, but the AES catalog I got about a week ago has some radios from Icom that are digital and even have ethernet ports. Looks like manufacturers are promoting digital voice, not abandoning it. I think Alinco has had an HT with digital capability for a couple of years. Yaesu is selling it's WIRES interface. Do you think you can get one of those things passing digitized voice over a 1200 baud packet link?

> Internet forwarders are insects, parasites, blood-suckers who feed off of
> and infect something several orders of magnitude greater than themselves.
> Being parasites, they naturally are big proponents of the parasitic
> lifestyle, frequently expressing disdain for their host and calling others
> to join them in their mindless insect gluttony.
>

You're a real poet.

> The thing they have to watch out for is Ham Radio getting irritated, and
> deciding to scratch it's ass. We can do that by promoting a "No non-ham
> links within a ham radio network" rule, either among ourselves through
> self-policing, or more definatively through involving the federal
> government. Everywhere it has been tried, it has resulted in a
re-energized,
> faster growing, more advanced packet radio network. You can't beat a deal
> like that.
>
> Charles Brabham, N5PVL
>

Go for it. Re-energize America's packet radio infrastructure. I'm glad you have a cause to keep you busy.

73,
Jeff
N0WJP

1322. Re: NRZI coding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-21 10:26:14 UTC
From: Mariusz SP3FXC <sp3fxc@linuxfocus.org_NO_SPAM>
Message-ID: <bn31j4$e7q$2@absinth.dialog.net.pl>
Source record: 3119

Ok.
Thank You ALL very much for helping me. The input from You was very
helpfull.

Best regards from Poland, 73's,

Mariusz SP3FXC

1323. Re: Latest CA Callsigns (was Totaly Off topic question)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-21 15:58:01 UTC
From: KeyBoard In The Wilderness <Keyboard73@zilcha.com>
Message-ID: <clclb.71847$vj2.22787@fed1read06>
Source record: 3120

Just a guess -- but I think they are at about AE6OQ or there abouts
See QRZ as this was issued on 10 October, 2003

You can also use WM7D site for "yesterday's issues" URL:
http://www.wm7d.net/fcc_uls/call_report1.shtml

or choose the archives for each day.

Congrads on the Extra ticket

--
73 From AC6V -- The KeyBoard In The Wilderness
==========
"jeannette" <jeanne8@No_Junk_eblw.com> wrote in message
news:n049pvg58r8k8ggvi1ev718hui0c90hkj7@4ax.com...
> Hi all,
>
> Just passed my extra yesterday. Anyone knows what kind of calls they
> give out in CA at the moment?
>
>
> Jeannette Bristol 32, San Francisco
> http://www.eblw.com/contepartiro/contepartiro.html

1324. Re: Latest CA Callsigns (was Totaly Off topic question)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-21 16:11:26 UTC
From: KeyBoard In The Wilderness <Keyboard73@zilcha.com>
Message-ID: <OUclb.71848$vj2.17067@fed1read06>
Source record: 3121

Also World Radio Magazine gives the lasest series of callsigns
Ham Radio Outlet carries the magazine
Perhaps a subscriber can look up the latest issue.

--
73 From The KeyBoard In The Wilderness
"KeyBoard In The Wilderness" <Keyboard73@zilcha.com> wrote in message
news:cIclb.71847$vj2.22787@fed1read06...
> Just a guess -- but I think they are at about AE6OQ or there abouts
> See QRZ as this was issued on 10 October, 2003
>
> You can also use WM7D site for "yesterday's issues" URL:
> http://www.wm7d.net/fcc_uls/call_report1.shtml
>
> or choose the archives for each day.
>
> Congrads on the Extra ticket
>
> --
> 73 The KeyBoard In The Wilderness
> ================
> "jeannette" <jeanne8@No_Junk_eblw.com> wrote in message
> news:n049pvg58r8k8ggvi1ev718hui0c90hkj7@4ax.com...
> > Hi all,
> >
> > Just passed my extra yesterday. Anyone knows what kind of calls they
> > give out in CA at the moment?
> >
> >
> > Jeannette Bristol 32, San Francisco
> > http://www.eblw.com/contepartiro/contepartiro.html
>
>

1325. Mixw and packet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-22 07:35:51 UTC
From: n9jgq@netins.net
Message-ID: <3f9632c2.715636@localhost>
Source record: 3122

How come it only attemps to connect to the called station once. Retry parameter set to 15 and still only one connect request sent?? Any ideas??

1326. Re: Mixw and packet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-22 12:54:22 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <26vlb.5605$cE1.4433@newssvr29.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3123

"SBM" <n9jgq@netins.net> wrote in message news:3f9632c2.715636@localhost...
> How come it only attemps to connect to the called station once. Retry
> parameter set to 15 and still only one connect request sent?? Any
> ideas??

Try it with the retry parameter set for ten. Just a thot!

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

1327. Pactor Software for soundcard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-23 05:46:43 UTC
From: Rick Disney <rgdisney@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <1066888007.430902@cache1>
Source record: 3124

Need to find software that will transmit and receive pactor via
soundcard..any takers!

--
Email protected by Symantec Anti-Virus 2003

1328. Re: Pactor Software for soundcard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-23 07:35:56 UTC
From: Hans Otto Nielsen <hogit@nielsen.tdcadsl.dk>
Message-ID: <Usenet.mgkeaton@localhost>
Source record: 3125

Rick Disney wrote:
>
> Need to find software that will transmit and receive pactor via
> soundcard..any takers!
>

Hello Rick

Try MixW2..
--
--
73 gs de OZ2HNS / Hans Otto
hogit@nielsen.tdcadsl.dk
http://oz2hns.qrz.dk/
Medl. af EDR,ARRL og DARC

1329. Re: How can I connect to the Internet via shortwave?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-23 08:32:50 UTC
From: brainiac@visi.com
Message-ID: <3f979232$0$41291$a1866201@newsreader.visi.com>
Source record: 3126

In article <ae650e79.0310061330.57e8803d@posting.google.com>,
Thomas Parkison <trp950@hotmail.com> wrote:
>I am thinking about moving abroad and would like to set up an internet
>connection from a VERY remote location. Is it possible to do it via
>shortwave radio?
>
>Thom Parkison
>trp950@hotmail.com

It is possible. Long ago LX0HST in Luxembourg used to allow shell
access. The system was running Linux. I am in Minneapolis, Minnesota.
LX0HST wasn't on HF, but could be accessed from other stations. I used
to access LX0HST by connecting to DJ0OW on 20 meters, then telling DJ0OW
to connect to LX0HST. There were other paths besides DJ0OW too, I seem
to remember doing it via ON0BEL (?) many times. There were other
gateways in Germany as well. This was all done with PACTOR-II.

I am not sure if LX0HST was connected to the internet, but if it was, I
could have easily accessed anything from the shell.

1330. Re: Pactor Software for soundcard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-23 10:00:02 UTC
From: Markus L <uo9oew@lnubb.pbz>
Message-ID: <3f97a6a2$0$265$4d4ebb8e@read-nat.news.nl.uu.net>
Source record: 3127

Hans Otto Nielsen wrote:
> Rick Disney wrote:
>> Need to find software that will transmit and receive pactor via
>> soundcard..any takers!
> Try MixW2..

MixW2 will receive Pactor level 1, but won't transmit. This is not a technical, but rather a licensing issue. Seems that www.scs-ptc.com and the MixW authors did not come to an agreement.

73, Markus
HB9BRJ / AE6MG

1331. Space Weather - disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-23 18:28:09 UTC
From: J Tabor <denews@taborsoft.com>
Message-ID: <vpg7dsknlujh26@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 3128
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Greetings,

Since the current larger sunspot group is making national news, it seemed like a good time to press this subject. In his ARLP040 Propagation de K7RA of Oct 3 Tad Cook said it far better than I could so will share his thoughts.

"
Grim news emerged recently concerning the NOAA Space Environment Center in Colorado, where most of the data for these bulletins originates. I suspect due to some misunderstanding about their work, the Senate Appropriations Committee wants to eliminate the Space Environment Center's budget for fiscal year 2004, which officially began October 1. The Senate Appropriations Committee said in a report that NOAA's work should only be terrestrial and not extend to space, so it's proposing to cut all funding for the SEC. The House appropriations bill proposes cutting funding by 40 percent, even in the wake of severe cuts during the fiscal year just ended.

The funny thing about the Senate report is that all of the effects of solar flares and sunspots that concern us are here on Earth. Claiming that NOAA should ignore the sun because it is in space seems silly, since the same standard doesn't apply to NOAA's conventional weather forecasting. The SEC is a small part of NOAA, with an annual budget of just 8 million dollars.

There's a story on this subject on the ARRL Web site (see ''Space Environment Center Funding in Jeopardy'' http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2003/10/03/3/). The Seattle Post-Intelligencer ran a story in its October 2 editions http://seattlepi.nwsource.com/national/142217_space02.html, and there's an item on the September 20 SpaceWeather.com Web page, available in the archive for that date http://spaceweather.com/archive.php .

I've contacted my representatives in the Senate and House and found that, as expected, staff members really had no idea what the obscure sounding Space Environment Center does. The only hope is that when the House and Senate committees work out that portion of the budget, funding for the SEC will somehow be preserved. You might contact your representatives to inquire about this. Members of the Senate Appropriations Committee are listed on the committee's Web site http://appropriations.senate.gov/ .

"

I sincerely apologize for posting to 5 groups, but this subject is very
important and has not been seen, or I missed such, in any.

Regards,
Jim - KU5S
--
email sent to: denews@taborsoft.com
is discarded without being seen.
Sorry for any inconvenience.

1332. Re: Space Weather - disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-23 22:34:29 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <VHYlb.14782$W16.8723@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 3129
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"J Tabor" <denews@taborsoft.com> wrote in message
news:vpg7dsknlujh26@corp.supernews.com...
> Greetings,
>
> Since the current larger sunspot group is making national news, it seemed
> like a good time to press this subject. In his ARLP040 Propagation de K7RA
> of Oct 3 Tad Cook said it far better than I could so will share his
> thoughts.
>
> "
> Grim news emerged recently concerning the NOAA Space Environment
> Center in Colorado, where most of the data for these bulletins
> originates. I suspect due to some misunderstanding about their
> work, the Senate Appropriations Committee wants to eliminate the
> Space Environment Center's budget for fiscal year 2004, which
> officially began October 1. The Senate Appropriations Committee
> said in a report that NOAA's work should only be terrestrial and not
> extend to space, so it's proposing to cut all funding for the SEC.
> The House appropriations bill proposes cutting funding by 40
> percent, even in the wake of severe cuts during the fiscal year just
> ended.
>
> The funny thing about the Senate report is that all of the effects
> of solar flares and sunspots that concern us are here on Earth.
> Claiming that NOAA should ignore the sun because it is in space
> seems silly, since the same standard doesn't apply to NOAA's
> conventional weather forecasting. The SEC is a small part of NOAA,
> with an annual budget of just 8 million dollars.
>
> There's a story on this subject on the ARRL Web site (see ''Space
> Environment Center Funding in Jeopardy''
> http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2003/10/03/3/). The Seattle
> Post-Intelligencer ran a story in its October 2 editions
> http://seattlepi.nwsource.com/national/142217_space02.html, and
> there's an item on the September 20 SpaceWeather.com Web page,
> available in the archive for that date
> http://spaceweather.com/archive.php .
>
> I've contacted my representatives in the Senate and House and found
> that, as expected, staff members really had no idea what the obscure
> sounding Space Environment Center does. The only hope is that when
> the House and Senate committees work out that portion of the budget,

> funding for the SEC will somehow be preserved. You might contact
> your representatives to inquire about this. Members of the Senate
> Appropriations Committee are listed on the committee's Web site
> http://appropriations.senate.gov/ .
> "
>
> I sincerely apologize for posting to 5 groups, but this subject is very
> important and has not been seen, or I missed such, in any.
>
> Regards,
> Jim - KU5S
> --
> email sent to: denews@taborsoft.com
> is discarded without being seen.
> Sorry for any inconvenience.
>
>

Why does this surprise you? Look at the way gobernment does bizness. Look at the FCC jumping up and down about PLC/BPL and too ignorant to understand the problem. Nuff said.

Dan/W4NTI

1333. Re: Space Weather - disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-23 23:38:16 UTC
From: J Tabor <denews@taborsoft.com>
Message-ID: <vpgpjbahqjtod5@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 3130
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:VHYlb.14782$W16.8723@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> Why does this surprise you? Look at the way gobernment does bizness.
Look
> at the FCC jumping up and down about PLC/BPL and too ignorant to
understand
> the problem. Nuff said.
>

Totally unhelpful and irrelevant response. And you of course had to quoted
the entire message and also place your remarks at the bottom. :)

Jim
--
email sent to: denews@taborsoft.com
is discarded without being seen.
Sorry for any inconvenience.

1334. Re: Pactor Software for soundcard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-24 13:37:22 UTC
From: Pär C <flitt@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <bnb9u9$v2tqm$1@ID-181316.news.uni-berlin.de>
Source record: 3131

Rick Disney wrote:
> Need to find software that will transmit and receive pactor via
> soundcard..any takers!
>

I see that you are using windows, if you throw that out and install
linux instead then there's a solution:

http://sourceforge.net/projects/hfterm/

The project description says:
hfterm - linux ham radio soundcard digimode program, can transmit
PACTOR I (unique !), also AMTOR, GTOR, RTTY

73 de Per / sm0rwo

1335. Re: Space Weather - disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-24 14:31:19 UTC
From: James <capjim300sa@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1067006696.376220@newshost03.voicenet.com>
Source record: 3132
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:VHYlb.14782$W16.8723@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> Why does this surprise you? Look at the way gobernment does bizness.
Look
> at the FCC jumping up and down about PLC/BPL and too ignorant to
understand
> the problem. Nuff said.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Correct Dan. Bush has 87 BILLION to dump into
the re-building of Iraq (a country who's people would
stab us in the back the minute it's turned..) and yet cuts
the budgets of the VA Hospital, Medicare, Roads and
Public Works and other domestic programs.

No....He want's to give those oil rich sandniggers 87 Billion
at NO LOAN. (more like a bribe for them to behave)

Watch and see as Bush "Act II popcorn man" becomes
a one-term President. He's making the same mistakes
as his daddy did in 92 and the Democrats will eat him
and the GOP alive in 04. Clinton may have been a
pot smoking womanizer, but the checks still came thru
every Friday and more people were working when he
was running the show in the O. Office.

In the end Iraq will go the way of Iran, watch it in 5 Years.

More info: www.smirkingchimp.com

1336. Re: Pactor Software for soundcard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-24 15:34:43 UTC
From: Adam 9A4QV <alicajic.adam@pu.hinet.hr>
Message-ID: <bnbgnpdua1@ls219.htnet.hr>
Source record: 3133

Very good idea, this can run probably with Knoppix3.2?

"P&#65533;r C" <flitt@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:bnb9u9$v2tqm$1@ID-181316.news.uni-berlin.de...
> Rick Disney wrote:
> > Need to find software that will transmit and receive pactor via
> > soundcard..any takers!
> >
>
> I see that you are using windows, if you throw that out and install
> linux instead then there's a solution:
>
> http://sourceforge.net/projects/hfterm/
>
> The project description says:
> hfterm - linux ham radio soundcard digimode program, can transmit
> PACTOR I (unique !), also AMTOR, GTOR, RTTY
>
> 73 de Per / sm0rwo
>
>

1337. Re: Space Weather - disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-24 17:33:55 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <7odmb.485$X22.248@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 3134
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"J Tabor" <denews@taborsoft.com> wrote in message
news:vpgpjbahqjtod5@corp.supernews.com...
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:VHYlb.14782$W16.8723@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> > Why does this surprise you? Look at the way gobernment does bizness.
> Look
> > at the FCC jumping up and down about PLC/BPL and too ignorant to
> understand
> > the problem. Nuff said.
> >
>
> Totally unhelpful and irrelevant response. And you of course had to quoted
> the entire message and also place your remarks at the bottom. :)
>
> Jim
> --
> email sent to: denews@taborsoft.com
> is discarded without being seen.
> Sorry for any inconvenience.
>
>
I know...did it tick you off? Good. You don't want to read what I say.
Killfile it. I could care less.

Dan/W4NTI

1338. Re: Space Weather - disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-24 17:37:12 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <crdmb.487$X22.248@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 3135
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"James" <capjim300sa@nospam.yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1067006696.376220@newshost03.voicenet.com...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:VHYlb.14782$W16.8723@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> >
> > Why does this surprise you? Look at the way gobernment does bizness.
> Look
> > at the FCC jumping up and down about PLC/BPL and too ignorant to
> understand
> > the problem. Nuff said.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
>
> Correct Dan. Bush has 87 BILLION to dump into
> the re-building of Iraq (a country who's people would
> stab us in the back the minute it's turned..) and yet cuts
> the budgets of the VA Hospital, Medicare, Roads and
> Public Works and other domestic programs.
>
> No....He want's to give those oil rich sandniggers 87 Billion
> at NO LOAN. (more like a bribe for them to behave)
>
> Watch and see as Bush "Act II popcorn man" becomes
> a one-term President. He's making the same mistakes
> as his daddy did in 92 and the Democrats will eat him
> and the GOP alive in 04. Clinton may have been a
> pot smoking womanizer, but the checks still came thru
> every Friday and more people were working when he
> was running the show in the O. Office.
>
> In the end Iraq will go the way of Iran, watch it in 5 Years.
>
> More info: www.smirkingchimp.com
>
>

Wouldn't surprise me a bit. I thought from the git-go that Iraq would turn
into a quagmire. Unfortunatly for those fighting the fight, it is serving a
purpose. It is keeping the ragheads 'occupied'. And its not in Boise
Idaho.

As for your political commentary. As far as I'm concerned the Democratic party left me when they turned it over to NOW and Phyliss.

That does NOT mean I support Baby Bush 100%. However lets see how things go.

Dan/W4NTI

1339. Software !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-25 01:58:26 UTC
From: CA <jck54@mcmedia.com.au>
Message-ID: <3f99d93a$1@news.leadingedgeinternet.net.au>
Source record: 3136

Hello all. I just happned to find this site
http://voronuk.boom.ru/projects.html and thought all fellow decoders would
be interested in the programs.

John

1340. Re: Space Weather - disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-25 12:31:19 UTC
From: "Dr. Artaud" <NoSuchThing@Invalid.com>
Message-ID: <Xns941F56B07D9DAnosuchthing@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 3137
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"J Tabor" <denews@taborsoft.com> wrote in
news:vpgpjbahqjtod5@corp.supernews.com:

How's this for irrelevant? You make a post urging a response from the readers, and then endeavor to alienate them by grading their posts. I can see you holding little cardboard signs, and with marker in hand, you write your score on the card then hold it up for the judges.

You wouldn't happen to be an Amateur Astronomer, would you? When I used to be into the hobby, I noticed that many of the Amateurs were quite dysfunctional personality wise. (I know that in my case it's kind of like the kettle calling the pot black, figuratively, of course).

Dr. Artaud

> Totally unhelpful and irrelevant response. And you of course had to
> quoted the entire message and also place your remarks at the bottom.
> :)
>
> Jim

> Totally unhelpful and irrelevant response. And you of course had to
> quoted the entire message and also place your remarks at the bottom.
> :)
>
> Jim

> Totally unhelpful and irrelevant response. And you of course had to
> quoted the entire message and also place your remarks at the bottom.
> :)
>
> Jim

> Totally unhelpful and irrelevant response. And you of course had to
> quoted the entire message and also place your remarks at the bottom.
> :)
>
> Jim

> Totally unhelpful and irrelevant response. And you of course had to
> quoted the entire message and also place your remarks at the bottom.
> :)
>
> Jim

> Totally unhelpful and irrelevant response. And you of course had to
> quoted the entire message and also place your remarks at the bottom.
> :)
>
> Jim

> Totally unhelpful and irrelevant response. And you of course had to
> quoted the entire message and also place your remarks at the bottom.
> :)
>
> Jim

> Totally unhelpful and irrelevant response. And you of course had to
> quoted the entire message and also place your remarks at the bottom.
> :)
>
> Jim

> Totally unhelpful and irrelevant response. And you of course had to
> quoted the entire message and also place your remarks at the bottom.
> :)
>
> Jim

> Totally unhelpful and irrelevant response. And you of course had to
> quoted the entire message and also place your remarks at the bottom.
> :)
>
> Jim

> Totally unhelpful and irrelevant response. And you of course had to
> quoted the entire message and also place your remarks at the bottom.
> :)
>
> Jim

> Totally unhelpful and irrelevant response. And you of course had to
> quoted the entire message and also place your remarks at the bottom.
> :)
>
> Jim

> Totally unhelpful and irrelevant response. And you of course had to
> quoted the entire message and also place your remarks at the bottom.
> :)
>
> Jim

> Totally unhelpful and irrelevant response. And you of course had to
> quoted the entire message and also place your remarks at the bottom.
> :)
>
> Jim

> Totally unhelpful and irrelevant response. And you of course had to
> quoted the entire message and also place your remarks at the bottom.
> :)
>
> Jim

> Totally unhelpful and irrelevant response. And you of course had to
> quoted the entire message and also place your remarks at the bottom.
> :)
>
> Jim

"

I sincerely apologize for posting to 5 groups, but this subject is very
important and has not been seen, or I missed such, in any.

Regards,
Jim - KU5S
--
email sent to: denews@taborsoft.com
is discarded without being seen.
Sorry for any inconvenience.

--
To know and to be, this is not even a question, there is no alternative.
You see it clearly in the loneliest little avenues between particles and
waves, shunned even by the gregarious quark and unknown by the various
strands of time, so big it cannot be seen, yet so little it is immovable,
lies the fabric of the ultimate reality gripped in the fist of the all or
nothing."

1341. Re: Space Weather - disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-26 02:39:12 UTC
From: w4udx@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <MsGmb.47736$W77.14381@bignews6.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 3139
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

And what does all this have to do with amateur antennas?

1342. Re: Space Weather - disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-26 03:02:15 UTC
From: Wayne <wfaris@inebraska.com>
Message-ID: <3F9B3937.20BC4641@inebraska.com>
Source record: 3140
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

w4udx@bellsouth.net wrote:

> And what does all this have to do with amateur antennas?

It means that this will screw up propagation forcasting which many of us
depend on for dxing.

Wayne
KEï¿½BZ

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 100,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! =-----

1343. Re: Pactor Software for soundcard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-26 04:08:33 UTC
From: Pär C <flitt@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <bnel7u$10luk2$1@ID-181316.news.uni-berlin.de>
Source record: 3138

Adam 9A4QV wrote:
> Very good idea, this can run probably with Knoppix3.2?
>

Probably, but I've never tried.
I've compiled it on a Mandrake 9.2 and I'm going to
test it on the air.

1344. Re: Space Weather - Dan L. Jeswald (W4NTI) is a racist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-26 06:11:07 UTC
From: shortwave@telus.net
Message-ID: <6719551d.0310252211.5c3cbdba@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3141
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

I cannot believe the racism and lack of intelligence shown by Dan L.
Jeswald and James whoever he is. Using words like ragheads &
sandniggers? Shame on you both!!

Grow-up, you giving all Americans a bad name. I am posting your
address below so the "ragheads" you are talking about can pay you a
visit....

Daniel L. Jeswald
89 Wildman Road
Anniston AL
36201

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<crdmb.487$X22.248@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> "James" <capjim300sa@nospam.yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1067006696.376220@newshost03.voicenet.com...
> >
> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> > news:VHYlb.14782$W16.8723@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> > >
> > > Why does this surprise you? Look at the way gobernment does bizness.
> Look
> > > at the FCC jumping up and down about PLC/BPL and too ignorant to
> understand
> > > the problem. Nuff said.
> > >
> > > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > Correct Dan. Bush has 87 BILLION to dump into
> > the re-building of Iraq (a country who's people would
> > stab us in the back the minute it's turned..) and yet cuts
> > the budgets of the VA Hospital, Medicare, Roads and
> > Public Works and other domestic programs.
> >
> > No....He want's to give those oil rich sandniggers 87 Billion
> > at NO LOAN. (more like a bribe for them to behave)
> >
> > Watch and see as Bush "Act II popcorn man" becomes
> > a one-term President. He's making the same mistakes
> > as his daddy did in 92 and the Democrats will eat him
> > and the GOP alive in 04. Clinton may have been a
> > pot smoking womanizer, but the checks still came thru

> > every Friday and more people were working when he
> > was running the show in the O. Office.
> >
> > In the end Iraq will go the way of Iran, watch it in 5 Years.
> >
> > More info: www.smirkingchimp.com
> >
> >
>
> Wouldn't surprise me a bit. I thought from the git-go that Iraq would turn
> into a quagmire. Unfortunatly for those fighting the fight, it is serving a
> purpose. It is keeping the ragheads 'occupied'. And its not in Boise
> Idaho.
>
> As for your political commentary. As far as I'm concerned the Democratic
> party left me when they turned it over to NOW and Phyliss.
>
> That does NOT mean I support Baby Bush 100%. However lets see how things
> go.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

1345. Re: Space Weather - Dan L. Jeswald (W4NTI) is a racist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-26 08:58:16 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <I0Mmb.12434$%62.5271@newssvr29.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3142
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Private" <shortwave@telus.net> wrote in message
news:6719551d.0310252211.5c3cbdba@posting.google.com...
> I cannot believe the racism and lack of intelligence shown by Dan L.
> Jeswald and James whoever he is. Using words like ragheads &
> sandniggers? Shame on you both!!

Those names worked OK for me... I immediately knew exactly who he was
talking about. So did you.

You should be ashamed for being a PC pick-a-ninny.

> Grow-up, you giving all Americans a bad name.
>I am posting your
> address below so the "ragheads" you are talking about can pay you a
> visit....

Oh, he's gotta be shaking in his boots NOW! Haw Haw Hawr! :D

That reminds me of an interview that occurred back in 1967, right after the
Israeli 6-day war. Israel had been attacked on all sides by a number of
raghead states, who were determined to murder every Israeli man, woman, and
child in Israel. (According to the raghead newspapers of the time.)

Of course we all know what happened. Despite having almost no outside
support, and with only a few obsolete old French aircraft, the Israelis
thoroughly kicked every one of those ragheads countries' asses, taking some
of their land from them instead, just to teach the uncivilized scum a little
lesson.

Asked about his astounding success in mowing down thousands of screaming
raghead fanatics with very few casualties (sound familiar?) , the Israeli
general agreed to give the reporter the exclusive secret to his
unprecedented military success...

"Fight Arabs." he said.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

1346. Re: Space Weather - Dan L. Jeswald (W4NTI) is a racist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-26 08:58:16 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <I0Mmb.12433$%62.6996@newssvr29.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3143
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Private" <shortwave@telus.net> wrote in message
news:6719551d.0310252211.5c3cbdba@posting.google.com...
> I cannot believe the racism and lack of intelligence shown by Dan L.
> Jeswald and James whoever he is. Using words like ragheads &
> sandniggers? Shame on you both!!
>
> Grow-up, you giving all Americans a bad name. I am posting your
> address below so the "ragheads" you are talking about can pay you a
> visit....
>
> Daniel L. Jeswald
> 89 Wildman Road
> Anniston AL
> 36201

1347. Re: Space Weather - Dan L. Jeswald (W4NTI) is a racist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-26 11:39:20 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <FoOmb.15281$5c2.4785@okepread03>
Source record: 3144
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Being from Alabama, his mother was probably a negress, so he has
an inbred right to use such words.

"Private" <shortwave@telus.net> wrote
>
> I cannot believe the racism and lack of intelligence shown by Dan L.
> Jeswald and James whoever he is. Using words like ragheads &
> sandniggers? Shame on you both!!
>
> Grow-up, you giving all Americans a bad name. I am posting your
> address below so the "ragheads" you are talking about can pay you a
> visit....
>
> Daniel L. Jeswald
> 89 Wildman Road
> Anniston AL
> 36201

1348. Re: Space Weather - Dan L. Jeswald (W4NTI) is a racist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-26 14:47:08 UTC
From: "Dr. Artaud" <NoSuchThing@Invalid.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9420638AED9F0nosuchthing@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 3145
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in
news:I0Mmb.12434$%62.5271@newssvr29.news.prodigy.com:

Oh, was that the very same year that Israel ruthlessly and without provocation attacked the U.S.S Liberty? This was done by the same people that still chase phantasms of Holocaust perpetrators nearly 55 years after it ended, while claiming that the U.S.S. Liberty issue has been "resolved" or "closed".

http://ussliberty.org/ excerpts follow:

"During the Six Day War between Israel and the Arab States, the American intelligence ship USS Liberty was attacked for 75 minutes in international waters by Israeli aircraft and motor torpedo boats. Thirty-four men died and 172 were wounded."

"Israel claims they mistook our ship for the out-of-service Egyptian horse carrier El Quseir and that we brought the attack upon ourselves by operating in a war zone without displaying a flag. Not so. We were in international waters, far from any fighting, and flew a bright, clean, new American flag. The flag we flew is on display at the National Cryptologic Museum, Fort Meade, Maryland and can be seen there, or in the USS Liberty Images Archive."

http://home.cfl.rr.com/gidusko/liberty/

http://www.washington-report.org/backissues/0693/9306019.htm

http://www.logogo.net/liberty.htm

http://www.yellowtimes.org/article.php?sid=399 excerpts follow:

"(YellowTimes.org) • June 8 marked 35 years since Israel attacked the USS Liberty without warning in international waters, killing 34 Americans and wounding 172 more. The Israelis lied about it and persuaded our Congress to look the other way, and they are still lying about it today. But the Liberty will not go away. Every attempt to hide this story seems to bring more attention.

This past year brought a 60-minute documentary produced by CBS News Productions that was broadcast by The History Channel on Aug. 9 - much to the dismay and over the heated objections of the Israeli Embassy and various spokesmen for Israel who did all in their power to block it."

http://www.lewrockwell.com/orig/margolis12.html excerpts follow:

"At 0800 hrs, 8 June, 1967, eight Israeli recon flights flew over 'Liberty,' which was flying a large American flag. At 1400 hrs, waves of low-flying Israeli Mystere and Mirage-III fighter-bombers repeatedly attacked the American vessel with rockets, napalm, and cannon. The air attacks lasted 20 minutes, concentrating on the ship's electronic antennas and dishes. The 'Liberty' was left afire, listing sharply. Eight of her crew lay dead, a hundred seriously wounded, including the captain, Commander William McGonagle.

At 1424 hrs, three Israeli torpedo boats attacked, raking the burning 'Liberty' with 20mm and 40mm shells. At 1431hrs an Israeli torpedo hit the 'Liberty' midship, precisely where the signals intelligence systems were located. Twenty-five more Americans died.

Israeli gunboats circled the wounded 'Liberty,' firing at crewmen trying to fight the fires. At 1515, the crew were ordered to abandon ship. The Israeli warships closed and poured machine gun fire into the crowded life rafts, sinking two. As American sailors were being massacred in cold blood, a rescue mission by US Sixth Fleet carrier aircraft was mysteriously aborted on orders from the White House."

http://zog.to/3/liberty/lib-ind.htm excerpt follows:

"In June 1967 jet aircraft and motor torpedo boats of Israel brutally assaulted the American naval intelligence gathering ship USS Liberty. The attack was preceded by more than six hours of intense low-level surveillance. The attack lasted more than two hours, killing 34 Americans and wounding 171 others, and inflicted over 821 rocket, cannon and machine-gun holes in the ship..."

http://www.counterpunch.org/stclair1126.html

"After more than two hours of unremitting assault, the Israelis finally halted their attack. One of the torpedo boats approached the Liberty. An officer asked in English over a bullhorn: "Do you need any help?"

The wounded commander of the Liberty, Lt. William McGonagle, instructed the quartermaster to respond emphatically: "Fuck you."

I believe that evidence of Israel's "at times" inhuman treatment of others in the pursuit of their own safety comes from the increasing numbers of individuals in their own military that refuse specific missions. Few would fault an officer of the law or soldier in the military that takes positive and at times fatal actions against individuals or groups actively seeking to kill others. But in missions designed for revenge purposes against the Palestinians for terror perpetrated by some of them, Israeli military personnel are now, and have been over the years, experiencing soldiers refusing to do as ordered.

Dr. Artaud.

> That reminds me of an interview that occurred back in 1967, right
> after the Israeli 6-day war. Israel had been attacked on all sides by

> a number of raghead states, who were determined to murder every
> Israeli man, woman, and child in Israel. (According to the raghead
> newspapers of the time.)
>
> Of course we all know what happened. Despite having almost no outside
> support, and with only a few obsolete old French aircraft, the
> Israelis thoroughly kicked every one of those ragheads countries'
> asses, taking some of their land from them instead, just to teach the
> uncivilized scum a little lesson.
>
> Asked about his astounding success in mowing down thousands of
> screaming raghead fanatics with very few casualties (sound familiar?
>) , the Israeli general agreed to give the reporter the exclusive
> secret to his unprecedented military success...
>
> "Fight Arabs." he said.
>
> Charles Brabham, N5PVL
>

--
To know and to be, this is not even a question, there is no alternative.
You see it clearly in the loneliest little avenues between particles and
waves, shunned even by the gregarious quark and unknown by the various
strands of time, so big it cannot be seen, yet so little it is
immovable, lies the fabric of the ultimate reality gripped in the fist
of the all or nothing."

1349. Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-26 15:39:05 UTC
From: groundwave@telus.net
Message-ID: <eb867ae8.0310260739.20e91357@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3146
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

I cannot believe these two posts below. Charles D. Braham, you are
worse then Dan L. Jeswald who started the thread making racist
remarks. You guys just don't get it do you, where does it stop?
Arabs? Black people? Both of you are discusting....

Did you know your racist remarks are illegal? How do you think a
Black or Arab person feels about your remarks? Maybe one will visit
you and explain how they feel.....

Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)
Rt 2 Box 46
Lyford TX
78569

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:<I0Mmb.12434$%62.5271@newssvr29.news.prodigy.com>...

> Oh, he's gotta be shaking in his boots NOW! Haw Haw Hawr! :D
>
> That reminds me of an interview that occurred back in 1967, right after the
> Israeli 6-day war. Israel had been attacked on all sides by a number of
> raghead states, who were determined to murder every Israeli man, woman, and
> child in Israel. (According to the raghead newspapers of the time.)
>
> Of course we all know what happened. Despite having almost no outside
> support, and with only a few obsolete old French aircraft, the Israelis
> thoroughly kicked every one of those ragheads countries' asses, taking some
> of their land from them instead, just to teach the uncivilized scum a little
> lesson.
>
> Asked about his astounding success in mowing down thousands of screaming
> raghead fanatics with very few casualties (sound familiar?) , the Israeli
> general agreed to give the reporter the exclusive secret to his
> unprecedented military success...
>
> "Fight Arabs." he said.
>
> Charles Brabham, N5PVL

****
Here the the quote from Dan L. Jeswald, again disgusting.....

Daniel L. Jeswald
89 Wildman Road
Anniston AL
36201

> Wouldn't surprise me a bit. I thought from the git-go that Iraq would turn
> into a quagmire. Unfortunatly for those fighting the fight, it is serving a
> purpose. It is keeping the ragheads 'occupied'. And its not in Boise
> Idaho.
>
> As for your political commentary. As far as I'm concerned the Democratic
> party left me when they turned it over to NOW and Phyliss.
>
> That does NOT mean I support Baby Bush 100%. However lets see how things
> go.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

1350. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-26 16:07:48 UTC
From: w4jle <W4JLE@pbtcomm.net>
Message-ID: <bngrgi$8289$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 3147
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

If your so proud of your politically correct stance, why the heck are you posting behind a screen name?

You are a low life bastard posting addresses of those you disagree with , while hiding in the dark.

"Private" <groundwave@telus.net> wrote in message news:eb867ae8.0310260739.20e91357@posting.google.com...
> I cannot believe these two posts below. Charles D. Braham, you are
> worse then Dan L. Jeswald who started the thread making racist
> remarks. You guys just don't get it do you, where does it stop?
> Arabs? Black people? Both of you are discusting....

1351. Re: Space Weather - disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-26 16:22:17 UTC
From: w4udx@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <xwSmb.49230$h47.38242@bignews4.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 3148
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Like I said, what does it have to do with antennas?

"Wayne" <wfaris@inebraska.com> wrote in message
news:3F9B3937.20BC4641@inebraska.com...
> w4udx@bellsouth.net wrote:
>
> > And what does all this have to do with amateur antennas?
>
> It means that this will screw up propagation forcasting which many of us
> depend on for dxing.
>
> Wayne
> KEBZ
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 100,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! =-----

1352. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-26 16:49:24 UTC
From: Clint <"rattlehead at computron dot net">
Message-ID: <vpnuq4c6f04gb9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 3149
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Most politically correct types are. They are a gutless, spineless
breed that hide behind everything possible and when it's safe
to come out of thier holes, they snipe and take pot shots at
everything that is good and decent, if not just anything
that doesn't fit thier sleazy, terrible, hate-filled agenda.

N.O.W., Earth First!, the ACLU and other yellow bellied
organizations like that are now quickly losing all clout and
political strength they have because they have gone to
the well of jealousy & race conflict just one time to many
to drink from, and now thier paying the price.

Good riddance to bad rubbish.

Clint

--

"w4jle" <W4JLE(remove this to reply)@pbtcomm.net> wrote in message
news:bngrgi$8289$1@news3.infoave.net...
> If your so proud of your politically correct stance, why the heck are you
> posting behind a screen name?
>
> You are a low life bastard posting addresses of those you disagree with ,
> while hiding in the dark.
>
>
> "Private" <groundwave@telus.net> wrote in message
> news:eb867ae8.0310260739.20e91357@posting.google.com...
> > I cannot believe these two posts below. Charles D. Braham, you are
> > worse then Dan L. Jeswald who started the thread making racist
> > remarks. You guys just don't get it do you, where does it stop?
> > Arabs? Black people? Both of you are discusting....
>
>

1353. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-26 17:27:44 UTC
From: Clete <clete88@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <vpo0vpgjimo568@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 3150
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Homeland Uber Alles!!!!!!!!!!

Salute! my friend!

Private wrote in message ...
>I cannot believe these two posts below. Charles D. Braham, you are
>worse then Dan L. Jeswald who started the thread making racist
>remarks. You guys just don't get it do you, where does it stop?
>Arabs? Black people? Both of you are discusting....
>
>Did you know your racist remarks are illegal? How do you think a
>Black or Arab person feels about your remarks? Maybe one will visit
>you and explain how they feel.....
>
>Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)
>Rt 2 Box 46
>Lyford TX
>78569
>
>"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:<I0Mmb.12434$%62.5271@newssvr29.news.prodigy.com>...
>
>> Oh, he's gotta be shaking in his boots NOW! Haw Haw Hawr! :D
>>
>> That reminds me of an interview that occurred back in 1967, right after
the
>> Israeli 6-day war. Israel had been attacked on all sides by a number of
>> raghead states, who were determined to murder every Israeli man, woman,
and
>> child in Israel. (According to the raghead newspapers of the time.)
>>
>> Of course we all know what happened. Despite having almost no outside
>> support, and with only a few obsolete old French aircraft, the Israelis
>> thoroughly kicked every one of those ragheads countries' asses, taking
some
>> of their land from them instead, just to teach the uncivilized scum a
little
>> lesson.
>>
>> Asked about his astounding success in mowing down thousands of screaming
>> raghead fanatics with very few casualties (sound familiar?) , the
Israeli

>> general agreed to give the reporter the exclusive secret to his
>> unprecedented military success...
>>
>> "Fight Arabs." he said.
>>
>> Charles Brabham, N5PVL
>
>**************************************
>Here the the quote from Dan L. Jeswald, again disgusting.....
>
>Daniel L. Jeswald
>89 Wildman Road
>Anniston AL
>36201
>
>> Wouldn't surprise me a bit. I thought from the git-go that Iraq would
turn
>> into a quagmire. Unfortunatly for those fighting the fight, it is
serving a
>> purpose. It is keeping the ragheads 'occupied'. And its not in Boise
>> Idaho.
>>
>> As for your political commentary. As far as I'm concerned the Democratic
>> party left me when they turned it over to NOW and Phyliss.
>>
>> That does NOT mean I support Baby Bush 100%. However lets see how things
>> go.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI

1354. Re: Space Weather - Dan L. Jeswald (W4NTI) is a racist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-26 18:16:44 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <gcUmb.5632$FI2.1517@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 3051
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Thanks....just remember I don't provide the body bags. I haven't shot at
anyone since 1968.

Dan/W4NTI

"Private" <shortwave@telus.net> wrote in message
news:6719551d.0310252211.5c3cbdba@posting.google.com...
> I cannot believe the racism and lack of intelligence shown by Dan L.
> Jeswald and James whoever he is. Using words like ragheads &
> sandniggers? Shame on you both!!
>
> Grow-up, you giving all Americans a bad name. I am posting your
> address below so the "ragheads" you are talking about can pay you a
> visit....
>
> Daniel L. Jeswald
> 89 Wildman Road
> Anniston AL
> 36201
>
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<crdmb.487$X22.248@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> > "James" <capjim300sa@nospam.yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:1067006696.376220@newshost03.voicenet.com...
> > >
> > > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> > > news:VHYlb.14782$W16.8723@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> > > >
> > > > Why does this surprise you? Look at the way gobernment does
bizness.
> > Look
> > > > at the FCC jumping up and down about PLC/BPL and too ignorant to
> > understand
> > > > the problem. Nuff said.
> > > >
> > > > Dan/W4NTI
> > >
> > > Correct Dan. Bush has 87 BILLION to dump into
> > > the re-building of Iraq (a country who's people would
> > > stab us in the back the minute it's turned..) and yet cuts
> > > the budgets of the VA Hospital, Medicare, Roads and
> > > Public Works and other domestic programs.

> > >
> > > No....He want's to give those oil rich sandniggers 87 Billion
> > > at NO LOAN. (more like a bribe for them to behave)
> > >
> > > Watch and see as Bush "Act II popcorn man" becomes
> > > a one-term President. He's making the same mistakes
> > > as his daddy did in 92 and the Democrats will eat him
> > > and the GOP alive in 04. Clinton may have been a
> > > pot smoking womanizer, but the checks still came thru
> > > every Friday and more people were working when he
> > > was running the show in the O. Office.
> > >
> > > In the end Iraq will go the way of Iran, watch it in 5 Years.
> > >
> > > More info: www.smirkingchimp.com
> > >
> > >
> >
> > Wouldn't surprise me a bit. I thought from the git-go that Iraq would
turn
> > into a quagmire. Unfortunatly for those fighting the fight, it is
serving a
> > purpose. It is keeping the ragheads 'occupied'. And its not in Boise
> > Idaho.
> >
> > As for your political commentary. As far as I'm concerned the
Democratic
> > party left me when they turned it over to NOW and Phyliss.
> >
> > That does NOT mean I support Baby Bush 100%. However lets see how
things
> > go.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI

1355. Re: Space Weather - Dan L. Jeswald (W4NTI) is a racist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-26 18:18:15 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <HdUmb.5634$FI2.454@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 3052
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Thats what I like about people that assume things. The first part of assume
says it all.

Dan/W4NTI

"Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net> wrote in message
news:FoOmb.15281$5c2.4785@okepread03...
> Being from Alabama, his mother was probably a negress, so he has
> an inbred right to use such words.
>
> "Private" <shortwave@telus.net> wrote
> >
> > I cannot believe the racism and lack of intelligence shown by Dan L.
> > Jeswald and James whoever he is. Using words like ragheads &
> > sandniggers? Shame on you both!!
> >
> > Grow-up, you giving all Americans a bad name. I am posting your
> > address below so the "ragheads" you are talking about can pay you a
> > visit....
> >
> > Daniel L. Jeswald
> > 89 Wildman Road
> > Anniston AL
> > 36201
>
>

1356. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-26 18:20:09 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <tfUmb.5636$FI2.4978@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 3053
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Well gutless "Private". Is that like 'Private Puke'. Oh Im sorry...was
that insulting? Good. You haven't heard anything yet. Take your sorry
troll and shove it. Don't know where? Sure you do.

Dan/W4NTI

"Private" <groundwave@telus.net> wrote in message
news:eb867ae8.0310260739.20e91357@posting.google.com...
> I cannot believe these two posts below. Charles D. Braham, you are
> worse then Dan L. Jeswald who started the thread making racist
> remarks. You guys just don't get it do you, where does it stop?
> Arabs? Black people? Both of you are discusting....
>
> Did you know your racist remarks are illegal? How do you think a
> Black or Arab person feels about your remarks? Maybe one will visit
> you and explain how they feel.....
>
> Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)
> Rt 2 Box 46
> Lyford TX
> 78569
>
> "charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:<I0Mmb.12434$%62.5271@newssvr29.news.prodigy.com>...
>
> > Oh, he's gotta be shaking in his boots NOW! Haw Haw Hawr! :D
> >
> > That reminds me of an interview that occurred back in 1967, right after
the
> > Israeli 6-day war. Israel had been attacked on all sides by a number of
> > raghead states, who were determined to murder every Israeli man, woman,
and
> > child in Israel. (According to the raghead newspapers of the time.)
> >
> > Of course we all know what happened. Despite having almost no outside
> > support, and with only a few obsolete old French aircraft, the Israelis
> > thoroughly kicked every one of those ragheads countries' asses, taking
some
> > of their land from them instead, just to teach the uncivilized scum a
little
> > lesson.
> >

> > Asked about his astounding success in mowing down thousands of screaming
> > raghead fanatics with very few casualties (sound familiar?) , the
Israeli
> > general agreed to give the reporter the exclusive secret to his
> > unprecedented military success...
> >
> > "Fight Arabs." he said.
> >
> > Charles Brabham, N5PVL
>
> **
> Here the the quote from Dan L. Jeswald, again disgusting.....
>
> Daniel L. Jeswald
> 89 Wildman Road
> Anniston AL
> 36201
>
> > Wouldn't surprise me a bit. I thought from the git-go that Iraq would
turn
> > into a quagmire. Unfortunatly for those fighting the fight, it is
serving a
> > purpose. It is keeping the ragheads 'occupied'. And its not in Boise
> > Idaho.
> >
> > As for your political commentary. As far as I'm concerned the
Democratic
> > party left me when they turned it over to NOW and Phyliss.
> >
> > That does NOT mean I support Baby Bush 100%. However lets see how
things
> > go.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI

1357. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-26 18:22:27 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <DhUmb.5641$FI2.3249@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 3054
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

No surprise here. Thats how they all are. On the other hand, I don't hide. And I stand by what I said. And I could care less if that puke likes it or not.

Just in case you don't get it...Try this one. I have advocated from the git-go, before 9/11 which re-inforced my belief, that all the worlds ragheads need to be given a free ticket to Mecca, where we can test our newest tactical nuke.

Like that? Too bad.

Dan/W4NTI

"w4jle" <W4JLE(remove this to reply)@pbtcomm.net> wrote in message news:bngrgi$8289$1@news3.infoave.net...
> If your so proud of your politically correct stance, why the heck are you
> posting behind a screen name?
>
> You are a low life bastard posting addresses of those you disagree with ,
> while hiding in the dark.
>
>
> "Private" <groundwave@telus.net> wrote in message
> news:eb867ae8.0310260739.20e91357@posting.google.com...
> > I cannot believe these two posts below. Charles D. Braham, you are
> > worse then Dan L. Jeswald who started the thread making racist
> > remarks. You guys just don't get it do you, where does it stop?
> > Arabs? Black people? Both of you are discusting....
>
>

1358. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-26 18:23:29 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <BiUmb.5642$FI2.887@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 3055
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Dang Clint....Im amazed...We actually agree on the important things.

Dan/W4NTI

"Clint" <rattlehead at computron dot net> wrote in message
news:vpnuq4c6f04gb9@corp.supernews.com...
> Most politically correct types are. They are a gutless, spineless
> breed that hide behind everything possible and when it's safe
> to come out of thier holes, they snipe and take pot shots at
> everything that is good and decent, if not just anything
> that doesn't fit thier sleazy, terrible, hate-filled agenda.
>
> N.O.W., Earth First!, the ACLU and other yellow bellied
> organizations like that are now quickly losing all clout and
> political strength they have because they have gone to
> the well of jealousy & race conflict just one time to many
> to drink from, and now thier paying the price.
>
> Good riddance to bad rubbish.
>
> Clint
>
> --
>
>
> "w4jle" <W4JLE(remove this to reply)@pbtcomm.net> wrote in message
> news:bngrgi$8289$1@news3.infoave.net...
> > If your so proud of your politically correct stance, why the heck are
you
> > posting behind a screen name?
> >
> > You are a low life bastard posting addresses of those you disagree with
,
> > while hiding in the dark.
> >
> >
> > "Private" <groundwave@telus.net> wrote in message
> > news:eb867ae8.0310260739.20e91357@posting.google.com...
> > > I cannot believe these two posts below. Charles D. Braham, you are
> > > worse then Dan L. Jeswald who started the thread making racist
> > > remarks. You guys just don't get it do you, where does it stop?
> > > Arabs? Black people? Both of you are discusting....

> >
> >
>
>

1359. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-26 18:46:32 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.ONEDOT.org>
Message-ID: <3f9c172c_8@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 3056
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Private wrote:
> Did you know your racist remarks are illegal? How do you think a
> Black or Arab person feels about your remarks? Maybe one will visit
> you and explain how they feel.....

And whoever it is, odds are good that both people may be carrying
the same mitochondrial DNA (adjusted for mutations) i.e. long lost
cousins. :-) 'Course, blood didn't stop Cain from killing Abel.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 100,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! =-----

1360. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-26 18:50:41 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.ONEDOT.org>
Message-ID: <3f9c1825_8@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 3057
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> Just in case you don't get it...Try this one. I have advocated from the
> git-go, before 9/11 which re-inforced my belief, that all the worlds
> ragheads need to be given a free ticket to Mecca, where we can test our
> newest tactical nuke.

Does that include the "ragheads" in your family tree?
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 100,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! =-----

1361. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-26 19:15:34 UTC
From: uce@ftc.gov
Message-ID: <cf6dnf2EZJXKgAGiRVn-hA@comcast.com>
Source record: 3058
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <eb867ae8.0310260739.20e91357@posting.google.com>,
groundwave@telus.net (Private) wrote:

Racist remarks are illegal? When did they veto the First Amendment? Racists
don't like certain people for their ethnicity, and apparently you don't like
certain people for their ideas. I fail to see the difference.

My main question, though, is how is this going to help me work more DX?

>I cannot believe these two posts below. Charles D. Braham, you are
>worse then Dan L. Jeswald who started the thread making racist
>remarks. You guys just don't get it do you, where does it stop?
>Arabs? Black people? Both of you are discusting....
>
>Did you know your racist remarks are illegal? How do you think a
>Black or Arab person feels about your remarks? Maybe one will visit
>you and explain how they feel.....
>
>Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)
>Rt 2 Box 46
>Lyford TX
>78569
>
>"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> news:<I0Mmb.12434$%62.5271@newssvr29.news.prodigy.com>...
>
>> Oh, he's gotta be shaking in his boots NOW! Haw Haw Hawr! :D
>>
>> That reminds me of an interview that occurred back in 1967, right after the
>> Israeli 6-day war. Israel had been attacked on all sides by a number of
>> raghead states, who were determined to murder every Israeli man, woman, and
>> child in Israel. (According to the raghead newspapers of the time.)
>>
>> Of course we all know what happened. Despite having almost no outside
>> support, and with only a few obsolete old French aircraft, the Israelis
>> thoroughly kicked every one of those ragheads countries' asses, taking some
>> of their land from them instead, just to teach the uncivilized scum a little
>> lesson.
>>
>> Asked about his astounding success in mowing down thousands of screaming
>> raghead fanatics with very few casualties (sound familiar?) , the Israeli
>> general agreed to give the reporter the exclusive secret to his

>> unprecedented military success...
>>
>> "Fight Arabs." he said.
>>
>> Charles Brabham, N5PVL
>
>**
>Here the the quote from Dan L. Jeswald, again disgusting.....
>
>Daniel L. Jeswald
>89 Wildman Road
>Anniston AL
>36201
>
>> Wouldn't surprise me a bit. I thought from the git-go that Iraq would turn
>> into a quagmire. Unfortunatly for those fighting the fight, it is serving a
>> purpose. It is keeping the ragheads 'occupied'. And its not in Boise
>> Idaho.
>>
>> As for your political commentary. As far as I'm concerned the Democratic
>> party left me when they turned it over to NOW and Phyliss.
>>
>> That does NOT mean I support Baby Bush 100%. However lets see how things
>> go.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI

Spammers - reply freely and often to my e-mail address
Everyone else - look me up on qrz.com

Peace - Those rare moments in history when everyone is rearming....

1362. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-26 22:02:38 UTC
From: groundwave@telus.net
Message-ID: <eb867ae8.0310261402.381d75c1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3059
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Sorry folks, making racist remarks is never cool or justified. I feel
sorry for people like you. Anyway, what does it have to do with
radio?

uce@ftc.gov (Phil - N1KI) wrote in message news:<cf6dnf2EZJXKgAGiRVn-hA@comcast.com>...
> In article <eb867ae8.0310260739.20e91357@posting.google.com>,
> groundwave@telus.net (Private) wrote:
>
> Racist remarks are illegal? When did they veto the First Amendment? Racists
> don't like certain people for their ethnicity, and apparently you don't like
> certain people for their ideas. I fail to see the difference.
>
> My main question, though, is how is this going to help me work more DX?
>
>
> >I cannot believe these two posts below. Charles D. Braham, you are
> >worse then Dan L. Jeswald who started the thread making racist
> >remarks. You guys just don't get it do you, where does it stop?
> >Arabs? Black people? Both of you are discusting....
> >
> >Did you know your racist remarks are illegal? How do you think a
> >Black or Arab person feels about your remarks? Maybe one will visit
> >you and explain how they feel.....
> >
> >Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)
> >Rt 2 Box 46
> >Lyford TX
> >78569
> >
> >"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> > news:<I0Mmb.12434$%62.5271@newssvr29.news.prodigy.com>...
> >
> >> Oh, he's gotta be shaking in his boots NOW! Haw Haw Hawr! :D
> >>
> >> That reminds me of an interview that occurred back in 1967, right after the
> >> Israeli 6-day war. Israel had been attacked on all sides by a number of
> >> raghead states, who were determined to murder every Israeli man, woman, and
> >> child in Israel. (According to the raghead newspapers of the time.)
> >>
> >> Of course we all know what happened. Despite having almost no outside
> >> support, and with only a few obsolete old French aircraft, the Israelis

> >> thoroughly kicked every one of those ragheads countries' asses, taking some
> >> of their land from them instead, just to teach the uncivilized scum a little
> >> lesson.
> >>
> >> Asked about his astounding success in mowing down thousands of screaming
> >> raghead fanatics with very few casualties (sound familiar?) , the Israeli
> >> general agreed to give the reporter the exclusive secret to his
> >> unprecedented military success...
> >>
> >> "Fight Arabs." he said.
> >>
> >> Charles Brabham, N5PVL
> >
> >**************************************
> >Here the the quote from Dan L. Jeswald, again disgusting.....
> >
> >Daniel L. Jeswald
> >89 Wildman Road
> >Anniston AL
> >36201
> >
> >> Wouldn't surprise me a bit. I thought from the git-go that Iraq would turn
> >> into a quagmire. Unfortunatly for those fighting the fight, it is serving a
> >> purpose. It is keeping the ragheads 'occupied'. And its not in Boise
> >> Idaho.
> >>
> >> As for your political commentary. As far as I'm concerned the Democratic
> >> party left me when they turned it over to NOW and Phyliss.
> >>
> >> That does NOT mean I support Baby Bush 100%. However lets see how things
> >> go.
> >>
> >> Dan/W4NTI
>
> Spammers - reply freely and often to my e-mail address
> Everyone else - look me up on qrz.com
>
> Peace - Those rare moments in history when everyone is rearming....

1363. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-27 00:25:13 UTC
From: "Dr. Artaud" <NoSuchThing@Invalid.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9420C58F029E9nosuchthing@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 3060
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Clint" <rattlehead at computron dot net> wrote in news:vpnuq4c6f04gb9
@corp.supernews.com:

The truly ironic thing is that these very same groups and individuals are
the ones most likely to publicize the names and addresses of their
opponents. But they wish to have anonymity for themselves.

Dr. Artaud

> Most politically correct types are. They are a gutless, spineless
> breed that hide behind everything possible and when it's safe
> to come out of thier holes, they snipe and take pot shots at
> everything that is good and decent, if not just anything
> that doesn't fit thier sleazy, terrible, hate-filled agenda.
>
> N.O.W., Earth First!, the ACLU and other yellow bellied
> organizations like that are now quickly losing all clout and
> political strength they have because they have gone to
> the well of jealousy & race conflict just one time to many
> to drink from, and now thier paying the price.
>
> Good riddance to bad rubbish.
>
> Clint
>

1364. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-27 02:27:37 UTC
From: groundwave@telus.net
Message-ID: <eb867ae8.0310261827.17f61111@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3061
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Again, I feel sorry for people like you. I am sure some day you will
see the error of your ways.

Hearing people like you it is no wonder so much of the world hates the
USA.

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20031026153030.26503.00000172@mb-m17.aol.com>...
> >You guys just don't get it do you, where does it stop?
> >Arabs? Black people? Both of you are discusting....
>
> Get real you wimp ASS LIEBERAL
>
> >How do you think a
> >Black or Arab person feels about your remarks?
>
> Who cares
>
> > Maybe one will visit
> >you and explain how they feel.....
> >
> >Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)
>
> If there stupid enough to do that, they may end up dead

1365. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-27 02:42:40 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <AC%mb.195499$0v4.15154054@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 3062
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

Of course.
They are cowards.

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

"Dr. Artaud" <NoSuchThing@Invalid.com> wrote in message
news:Xns9420C58F029E9nosuchthing@216.168.3.44...
> "Clint" <rattlehead at computron dot net> wrote in news:vpnuq4c6f04gb9
> @corp.supernews.com:
>
>
> The truly ironic thing is that these very same groups and individuals are
> the ones most likely to publicize the names and addresses of their
> opponents. But they wish to have anonymity for themselves.
>
> Dr. Artaud
>
> > Most politically correct types are. They are a gutless, spineless
> > breed that hide behind everything possible and when it's safe
> > to come out of thier holes, they snipe and take pot shots at
> > everything that is good and decent, if not just anything
> > that doesn't fit thier sleazy, terrible, hate-filled agenda.
> >
> > N.O.W., Earth First!, the ACLU and other yellow bellied
> > organizations like that are now quickly losing all clout and
> > political strength they have because they have gone to
> > the well of jealousy & race conflict just one time to many
> > to drink from, and now thier paying the price.
> >
> > Good riddance to bad rubbish.
> >
> > Clint
> >
>
>
>

1366. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-27 02:49:02 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <yI%mb.4442$X22.1003@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 3063
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Cecil Moore" <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.ONEDOT.org> wrote in message
news:3f9c1825_8@corp.newsgroups.com...
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > Just in case you don't get it...Try this one. I have advocated from
the
> > git-go, before 9/11 which re-inforced my belief, that all the worlds
> > ragheads need to be given a free ticket to Mecca, where we can test our
> > newest tactical nuke.
>
> Does that include the "ragheads" in your family tree?
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 100,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! =-----

Yep....if they believe killing thousands of innocents on 9/11/01 was a good
thing, they need to be gone. Any other stupid questions?

Oh BTW.....I don't think folks from Italy, France and the Cheerokee nation
are ragheads.

Dan/W4NTI

1367. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-27 02:50:54 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <iK%mb.4444$X22.3629@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 3064
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Who knows what dumb law applies in Canada. And come to think of it....who
cares.

Dan/W4NTI

"Phil - N1KI" <uce@ftc.gov> wrote in message
news:cf6dnf2EZJXKgAGiRVn-hA@comcast.com...
> In article <eb867ae8.0310260739.20e91357@posting.google.com>,
> groundwave@telus.net (Private) wrote:
>
> Racist remarks are illegal? When did they veto the First Amendment?
Racists
> don't like certain people for their ethnicity, and apparently you don't
like
> certain people for their ideas. I fail to see the difference.
>
> My main question, though, is how is this going to help me work more DX?
>
>
> >I cannot believe these two posts below. Charles D. Braham, you are
> >worse then Dan L. Jeswald who started the thread making racist
> >remarks. You guys just don't get it do you, where does it stop?
> >Arabs? Black people? Both of you are discusting....
> >
> >Did you know your racist remarks are illegal? How do you think a
> >Black or Arab person feels about your remarks? Maybe one will visit
> >you and explain how they feel.....
> >
> >Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)
> >Rt 2 Box 46
> >Lyford TX
> >78569
> >
> >"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> > news:<I0Mmb.12434$%62.5271@newssvr29.news.prodigy.com>...
> >
> >> Oh, he's gotta be shaking in his boots NOW! Haw Haw Hawr! :D
> >>
> >> That reminds me of an interview that occurred back in 1967, right after
the
> >> Israeli 6-day war. Israel had been attacked on all sides by a number of
> >> raghead states, who were determined to murder every Israeli man, woman,

and
> >> child in Israel. (According to the raghead newspapers of the time.)
> >>
> >> Of course we all know what happened. Despite having almost no outside
> >> support, and with only a few obsolete old French aircraft, the Israelis
> >> thoroughly kicked every one of those ragheads countries' asses, taking
some
> >> of their land from them instead, just to teach the uncivilized scum a
little
> >> lesson.
> >>
> >> Asked about his astounding success in mowing down thousands of
screaming
> >> raghead fanatics with very few casualties (sound familiar?) , the
Israeli
> >> general agreed to give the reporter the exclusive secret to his
> >> unprecedented military success...
> >>
> >> "Fight Arabs." he said.
> >>
> >> Charles Brabham, N5PVL
> >
> >**
> >Here the the quote from Dan L. Jeswald, again disgusting.....
> >
> >Daniel L. Jeswald
> >89 Wildman Road
> >Anniston AL
> >36201
> >
> >> Wouldn't surprise me a bit. I thought from the git-go that Iraq would
turn
> >> into a quagmire. Unfortunatly for those fighting the fight, it is
serving a
> >> purpose. It is keeping the ragheads 'occupied'. And its not in Boise
> >> Idaho.
> >>
> >> As for your political commentary. As far as I'm concerned the
Democratic
> >> party left me when they turned it over to NOW and Phyliss.
> >>
> >> That does NOT mean I support Baby Bush 100%. However lets see how
things
> >> go.
> >>
> >> Dan/W4NTI
>
> Spammers - reply freely and often to my e-mail address
> Everyone else - look me up on qrz.com
>

> Peace - Those rare moments in history when everyone is rearming....

1368. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-27 02:51:45 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <5L%mb.4445$X22.4111@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 3065
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Why did you bring it up in the first place? Now that you have had your tail
wipped you can slink away.

Dan/W4NTI

"Private" <groundwave@telus.net> wrote in message
news:eb867ae8.0310261402.381d75c1@posting.google.com...
> Sorry folks, making racist remarks is never cool or justified. I feel
> sorry for people like you. Anyway, what does it have to do with
> radio?
>
> uce@ftc.gov (Phil - N1KI) wrote in message
news:<cf6dnf2EZJXKgAGiRVn-hA@comcast.com>...
> > In article <eb867ae8.0310260739.20e91357@posting.google.com>,
> > groundwave@telus.net (Private) wrote:
> >
> > Racist remarks are illegal? When did they veto the First Amendment?
Racists
> > don't like certain people for their ethnicity, and apparently you don't
like
> > certain people for their ideas. I fail to see the difference.
> >
> > My main question, though, is how is this going to help me work more DX?
> >
> >
> > >I cannot believe these two posts below. Charles D. Braham, you are
> > >worse then Dan L. Jeswald who started the thread making racist
> > >remarks. You guys just don't get it do you, where does it stop?
> > >Arabs? Black people? Both of you are discusting....
> > >
> > >Did you know your racist remarks are illegal? How do you think a
> > >Black or Arab person feels about your remarks? Maybe one will visit
> > >you and explain how they feel.....
> > >
> > >Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)
> > >Rt 2 Box 46
> > >Lyford TX
> > >78569
> > >
> > >"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> > > news:<I0Mmb.12434$%62.5271@newssvr29.news.prodigy.com>...
> > >

> > >> Oh, he's gotta be shaking in his boots NOW! Haw Haw Hawr! :D
> > >>
> > >> That reminds me of an interview that occurred back in 1967, right
after the
> > >> Israeli 6-day war. Israel had been attacked on all sides by a number
of
> > >> raghead states, who were determined to murder every Israeli man,
woman, and
> > >> child in Israel. (According to the raghead newspapers of the time.)
> > >>
> > >> Of course we all know what happened. Despite having almost no outside
> > >> support, and with only a few obsolete old French aircraft, the
Israelis
> > >> thoroughly kicked every one of those ragheads countries' asses,
taking some
> > >> of their land from them instead, just to teach the uncivilized scum a
little
> > >> lesson.
> > >>
> > >> Asked about his astounding success in mowing down thousands of
screaming
> > >> raghead fanatics with very few casualties (sound familiar?) , the
Israeli
> > >> general agreed to give the reporter the exclusive secret to his
> > >> unprecedented military success...
> > >>
> > >> "Fight Arabs." he said.
> > >>
> > >> Charles Brabham, N5PVL
> > >
> > >**
> > >Here the the quote from Dan L. Jeswald, again disgusting.....
> > >
> > >Daniel L. Jeswald
> > >89 Wildman Road
> > >Anniston AL
> > >36201
> > >
> > >> Wouldn't surprise me a bit. I thought from the git-go that Iraq
would turn
> > >> into a quagmire. Unfortunatly for those fighting the fight, it is
serving a
> > >> purpose. It is keeping the ragheads 'occupied'. And its not in
Boise
> > >> Idaho.
> > >>
> > >> As for your political commentary. As far as I'm concerned the
Democratic
> > >> party left me when they turned it over to NOW and Phyliss.
> > >>

> > >> That does NOT mean I support Baby Bush 100%. However lets see how
things
> > >> go.
> > >>
> > >> Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > Spammers - reply freely and often to my e-mail address
> > Everyone else - look me up on qrz.com
> >
> > Peace - Those rare moments in history when everyone is rearming....

1369. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-27 02:55:02 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <aO%mb.4448$X22.3530@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 3066
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

No socialist Canadian....the folks don't actually hate us. They just want what we have, and not pay for it. Your 'kind' showed its true colors recently when we asked for assistance in Iraq. If you think that won't have unhappy consequences for your nation, you are mistaken.

Think about it.....asshole liberal puke. Oh there I go again calling you names.

Tell ya what 'Private' call your local mountie and tell him to come get me.....looooooossssseeeerrrr.

Dan/W4NTI

"Private" <groundwave@telus.net> wrote in message news:eb867ae8.0310261827.17f61111@posting.google.com...
> Again, I feel sorry for people like you. I am sure some day you will
> see the error of your ways.
>
> Hearing people like you it is no wonder so much of the world hates the
> USA.
>
> wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message news:<20031026153030.26503.00000172@mb-m17.aol.com>...
> > >You guys just don't get it do you, where does it stop?
> > >Arabs? Black people? Both of you are discusting....
> >
> > Get real you wimp ASS LIEBERAL
> >
> > >How do you think a
> > >Black or Arab person feels about your remarks?
> >
> > Who cares
> >
> > > Maybe one will visit
> > >you and explain how they feel.....
> > >
> > >Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)
> >
> > If there stupid enough to do that, they may end up dead

1370. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-27 04:31:52 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.ONEDOT.org>
Message-ID: <3f9ca05d_8@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 3067
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Somebody Somewhere wrote:
> My White
> ancestors didn't create this nation of free men so some fudgepacking
> liberal pervert could pass legislation to cancel our Constitutionally
> guaranteed right of free speech.

And, of course, you can prove that no "raghead" genes sneaked into
your White family tree during The Crusades.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 100,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! =-----

1371. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-27 04:53:14 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.ONEDOT.org>
Message-ID: <3f9ca560$1_8@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 3068
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> Oh BTW.....I don't think folks from Italy, France and the Cheerokee nation
> are ragheads.

Of course, the "ragheads" who raped and pillaged Italy and France
during The Crusades couldn't possibly be part of your family tree,
could they?

http://ccat.sas.upenn.edu/~rs143/mexpan.jpg
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 100,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! =-----

1372. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-27 05:23:32 UTC
From: Roger Halstead <newsgroup@rogerhalstead.com>
Message-ID: <1pappv0933h2vvru3a0ebgk9arpl4scah6@4ax.com>
Source record: 3069
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 26 Oct 2003 19:15:34 GMT, uce@ftc.gov (Phil - N1KI) wrote:

>In article <eb867ae8.0310260739.20e91357@posting.google.com>,
>groundwave@telus.net (Private) wrote:
>
>Racist remarks are illegal? When did they veto the First Amendment? Racists
>don't like certain people for their ethnicity, and apparently you don't like
>certain people for their ideas. I fail to see the difference.
>
>My main question, though, is how is this going to help me work more DX?
>
>
<snip>

Think of it this way...This thread helps you set the kill
files/filters so it cleans up the group and you are more likely to see
something worth while.

Roger Halstead (K8RI EN73 & ARRL Life Member)
www.rogerhalstead.com
N833R World's oldest Debonair? (S# CD-2)

1373. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-27 05:39:43 UTC
From: Zoran Brlecic <...WA7AA...@get.lost>
Message-ID: <3F9CAF9F.9060907@get.lost>
Source record: 3070
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> No socialist Canadian....the folks don't actually hate us. They just want
> what we have, and not pay for it. Your 'kind' showed its true colors
> recently when we asked for assistance in Iraq. If you think that won't have
> unhappy consequences for your nation, you are mistaken.
>
> Think about it.....asshole liberal puke. Oh there I go again calling you
> names.
>
> Tell ya what 'Private' call your local mountie and tell him to come get
> me.....looooooosssssseeeerrrr.

This is beyond stupid.

WA7AA

--

Anti-spam measure: look me up on qrz.com if you need to reply directly

1374. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-27 05:57:54 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <bni9n2$t03$1@news1.kornet.net>
Source record: 3071
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Zoran Brlecic" <...WA7AA...@get.lost> wrote in message
news:3F9CAF9F.9060907@get.lost...
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > No socialist Canadian....the folks don't actually hate us. They just
want
> > what we have, and not pay for it. Your 'kind' showed its true colors
> > recently when we asked for assistance in Iraq. If you think that won't
have
> > unhappy consequences for your nation, you are mistaken.
> >
> > Think about it.....asshole liberal puke. Oh there I go again calling
you
> > names.
> >
> > Tell ya what 'Private' call your local mountie and tell him to come get
> > me.....looooooosssssseeeerrrr.
>
>
>
> This is beyond stupid.
>
> WA7AA

Personally, I thought most of the regs here were a little more adult than
all this...

IMHO, all human beings deserve respect, unless they personally have done
something to negate that right. However, I do believe also that anyone who
has an opinion on any subject should have the intestinal fortitude to make
themselves known. This, too, is part of respect.

Where I do not condone Dan's attitude toward some ethnic groups, he does
have a right to voice it, and he does make known not only whom, but where he
is by use of his ham call. I didn't read the complete thread, so I don't
know what these others said, but it would seem that they, too, allowed it
known to whom to attribute what was said. For this, despite their political
views, they have my respect.

Please, though.. name calling is so juvenile, and unnecessary. This isn't
PC, it's good, old fashioned, good manners.. something we could use a lot
more of nowadays. (Funny thing, isn't it, how PC gets pushed, but things
never get any better?)

1375. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-27 09:38:00 UTC
From: uce@ftc.gov
Message-ID: <No-dnUBqb5blegGiRVn-iw@comcast.com>
Source record: 3072
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Oh, well. One more jerk to ad to my twit filter. Problem solved....

In article <iK%mb.4444$X22.3629@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote:
>Who knows what dumb law applies in Canada. And come to think of it....who
>cares.
>
>Dan/W4NTI
>
>"Phil - N1KI" <uce@ftc.gov> wrote in message
>news:cf6dnf2EZJXKgAGiRVn-hA@comcast.com...
>> In article <eb867ae8.0310260739.20e91357@posting.google.com>,
>> groundwave@telus.net (Private) wrote:
>>
>> Racist remarks are illegal? When did they veto the First Amendment?
>Racists
>> don't like certain people for their ethnicity, and apparently you don't
>like
>> certain people for their ideas. I fail to see the difference.
>>
>> My main question, though, is how is this going to help me work more DX?
>>
>>
>> >I cannot believe these two posts below. Charles D. Braham, you are
>> >worse then Dan L. Jeswald who started the thread making racist
>> >remarks. You guys just don't get it do you, where does it stop?
>> >Arabs? Black people? Both of you are discusting....
>> >
>> >Did you know your racist remarks are illegal? How do you think a
>> >Black or Arab person feels about your remarks? Maybe one will visit
>> >you and explain how they feel.....
>> >
>> >Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)
>> >Rt 2 Box 46
>> >Lyford TX
>> >78569
>> >
>> >"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
>> > news:<I0Mmb.12434$%62.5271@newssvr29.news.prodigy.com>...
>> >
>> >> Oh, he's gotta be shaking in his boots NOW! Haw Haw Hawr! :D

>> >>
>> >> That reminds me of an interview that occurred back in 1967, right after
>the
>> >> Israeli 6-day war. Israel had been attacked on all sides by a number of
>> >> raghead states, who were determined to murder every Israeli man, woman,
>and
>> >> child in Israel. (According to the raghead newspapers of the time.)
>> >>
>> >> Of course we all know what happened. Despite having almost no outside
>> >> support, and with only a few obsolete old French aircraft, the Israelis
>> >> thoroughly kicked every one of those ragheads countries' asses, taking
>some
>> >> of their land from them instead, just to teach the uncivilized scum a
>little
>> >> lesson.
>> >>
>> >> Asked about his astounding success in mowing down thousands of
>screaming
>> >> raghead fanatics with very few casualties (sound familiar?) , the
>Israeli
>> >> general agreed to give the reporter the exclusive secret to his
>> >> unprecedented military success...
>> >>
>> >> "Fight Arabs." he said.
>> >>
>> >> Charles Brabham, N5PVL
>> >
>> >**
>> >Here the the quote from Dan L. Jeswald, again disgusting.....
>> >
>> >Daniel L. Jeswald
>> >89 Wildman Road
>> >Anniston AL
>> >36201
>> >
>> >> Wouldn't surprise me a bit. I thought from the git-go that Iraq would
>turn
>> >> into a quagmire. Unfortunatly for those fighting the fight, it is
>serving a
>> >> purpose. It is keeping the ragheads 'occupied'. And its not in Boise
>> >> Idaho.
>> >>
>> >> As for your political commentary. As far as I'm concerned the
>Democratic
>> >> party left me when they turned it over to NOW and Phyliss.
>> >>
>> >> That does NOT mean I support Baby Bush 100%. However lets see how
>things
>> >> go.
>> >>

>> >> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>> Spammers - reply freely and often to my e-mail address
>> Everyone else - look me up on qrz.com
>>
>> Peace - Those rare moments in history when everyone is rearming....
>
>

Spammers - reply freely and often to my e-mail address
Everyone else - look me up on qrz.com

Peace - Those rare moments in history when everyone is rearming....

1376. Re: TNC 's / AX.25

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-27 13:18:11 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <jX8nb.16721$5c2.11431@okepread03>
Source record: 3073

Take a look at this java implementation:

http://www.qsl.net/n6lyt/

"Ian" <ian.bari@att.net> wrote
> Greetings,
>
> I am lead on an amateur satellite effort at San Jose
> State University. Our subgroup is responsible for
> developing an AX.25 implementation.

1377. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-27 13:22:44 UTC
From: Ed Price <edprice@cox.net>
Message-ID: <D_8nb.94447$La.1500@fed1read02>
Source record: 3074
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:DhUmb.5641$FI2.3249@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> No surprise here. Thats how they all are. On the other hand, I don't
> hide. And I stand by what I said. And I could care less if that puke
likes
> it or not.
>
> Just in case you don't get it...Try this one. I have advocated from the
> git-go, before 9/11 which re-inforced my belief, that all the worlds
> ragheads need to be given a free ticket to Mecca, where we can test our
> newest tactical nuke.
>
> Like that? Too bad.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Saw a great bumper sticker the other day:

How Did All Our Oil Get Under Their Sand?

Ed
wb6wsn

1378. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-27 19:04:41 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <d%dnb.6358$X22.3465@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 3075
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:bni9n2$t03$1@news1.kornet.net...
>
> "Zoran Brlecic" <...WA7AA...@get.lost> wrote in message
> news:3F9CAF9F.9060907@get.lost...
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > > No socialist Canadian....the folks don't actually hate us. They just
> want
> > > what we have, and not pay for it. Your 'kind' showed its true colors
> > > recently when we asked for assistance in Iraq. If you think that
won't
> have
> > > unhappy consequences for your nation, you are mistaken.
> > >
> > > Think about it.....asshole liberal puke. Oh there I go again calling
> you
> > > names.
> > >
> > > Tell ya what 'Private' call your local mountie and tell him to come
get
> > > me.....looooooossssseeeerrrr.
> >
> >
> >
> > This is beyond stupid.
> >
> > WA7AA
>
> Personally, I thought most of the regs here were a little more adult than
> all this...
>
> IMHO, all human beings deserve respect, unless they personally have done
> something to negate that right. However, I do believe also that anyone
who
> has an opinion on any subject should have the intestinal fortitude to make
> themselves known. This, too, is part of respect.
>
> Where I do not condone Dan's attitude toward some ethnic groups, he does
> have a right to voice it, and he does make known not only whom, but where
he
> is by use of his ham call. I didn't read the complete thread, so I don't

> know what these others said, but it would seem that they, too, allowed it
> known to whom to attribute what was said. For this, despite their
political
> views, they have my respect.
>
> Please, though.. name calling is so juvenile, and unnecessary. This isn't
> PC, it's good, old fashioned, good manners.. something we could use a lot
> more of nowadays. (Funny thing, isn't it, how PC gets pushed, but things
> never get any better?)
>
>
>

Well, Brenda Ann, and all the rest that can't stand it when I say what I think. Why don't you jump on 'Private' ??? The jerk that called me a racist and put my address on the internet, soliciting 'ragheads' to come here and attack me.

Is that allright? Does that fit well with your American standards?

Tell ya what , I'll make it real simple. When attacked...I attack back.

Dan/W4NTI

1379. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-27 19:07:13 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <B1enb.6361$X22.1983@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 3076
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Ed Price" <edprice@cox.net> wrote in message
news:D_8nb.94447$La.1500@fed1read02...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:DhUmb.5641$FI2.3249@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> > No surprise here. Thats how they all are. On the other hand, I don't
> > hide. And I stand by what I said. And I could care less if that puke
> likes
> > it or not.
> >
> > Just in case you don't get it...Try this one. I have advocated from
the
> > git-go, before 9/11 which re-inforced my belief, that all the worlds
> > ragheads need to be given a free ticket to Mecca, where we can test our
> > newest tactical nuke.
> >
> > Like that? Too bad.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
>
>
> Saw a great bumper sticker the other day:
>
> How Did All Our Oil Get Under Their Sand?
>
>
> Ed
> wb6wsn
>

He he he he he.......Talk loudly and carry a big stick. Bout time the USA
started kicking some ass.

Dan/W4NTI

1380. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-27 20:19:37 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <bnjs6pmci1@news1.kornet.net>
Source record: 3077
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

```
"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:d%dnb.6358$X22.3465@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> news:bni9n2$t03$1@news1.kornet.net...
> >
> > "Zoran Brlecic" <...WA7AA...@get.lost> wrote in message
> > news:3F9CAF9F.9060907@get.lost...
> > > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > > > No socialist Canadian....the folks don't actually hate us. They
just
> > want
> > > > what we have, and not pay for it. Your 'kind' showed its true
colors
> > > > recently when we asked for assistance in Iraq. If you think that
> won't
> > have
> > > > unhappy consequences for your nation, you are mistaken.
> > > >
> > > > Think about it.....asshole liberal puke. Oh there I go again
calling
> > you
> > > > names.
> > > >
> > > > Tell ya what 'Private' call your local mountie and tell him to come
> get
> > > > me.....looooooossssseeeerrrr.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > This is beyond stupid.
> > >
> > > WA7AA
> >
> > Personally, I thought most of the regs here were a little more adult
than
> > all this...
> >
> > IMHO, all human beings deserve respect, unless they personally have done
> > something to negate that right. However, I do believe also that anyone
> who
```

> > has an opinion on any subject should have the intestinal fortitude to
make
> > themselves known. This, too, is part of respect.
> >
> > Where I do not condone Dan's attitude toward some ethnic groups, he does
> > have a right to voice it, and he does make known not only whom, but
where
> he
> > is by use of his ham call. I didn't read the complete thread, so I
don't
> > know what these others said, but it would seem that they, too, allowed
it
> > known to whom to attribute what was said. For this, despite their
> political
> > views, they have my respect.
> >
> > Please, though.. name calling is so juvenile, and unnecessary. This
isn't
> > PC, it's good, old fashioned, good manners.. something we could use a
lot
> > more of nowadays. (Funny thing, isn't it, how PC gets pushed, but
things
> > never get any better?)
> >
> >
> >
>
> Well, Brenda Ann, and all the rest that can't stand it when I say what I
> think. Why don't you jump on 'Private' ??? The jerk that called me a
racist
> and put my address on the internet, soliciting 'ragheads' to come here
and
> attack me.
>
> Is that allright? Does that fit well with your American standards?
>
> Tell ya what , I'll make it real simple. When attacked...I attack back.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>

Ummm... Dan.... actually, I was much more 'jumping on' "Private" than you.

Try re-reading my post, and then make your comment (or apology?). What I very succintly said was that though I do not agree with your politics, I support your right to voice them, and respect the fact that you do so without anonymity...

1381. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-27 20:50:06 UTC
From: Ken Fowler <ko6no@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <zuOdnf_Apq5jGQCiRVn-gQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 3078
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

On 27-Oct-2003, "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote:

> >
>
> Well, Brenda Ann, and all the rest that can't stand it when I say what I
> think. Why don't you jump on 'Private' ??? The jerk that called me a racist
> and put my address on the internet, soliciting 'ragheads' to come here and
> attack me.
>
> Is that allright? Does that fit well with your American standards?
>
> Tell ya what , I'll make it real simple. When attacked...I attack back.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

+1 point for speaking your mind.
-10 points for being off-topic.

<plonk>

1382. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-27 22:47:36 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <cghnb.104$NI6.17774790@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3079
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:d%dnb.6358$X22.3465@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
The jerk that called me a racist and put my address on the internet,
soliciting 'ragheads' to come here and attack me.
>
> Is that allright?

I thought it was cool when he "did it" to me. I sign all my posts with my call, and there are callbooks everywhere, so the only thing he really allegedly "did" was to threaten to send some angry raghead my way. Gee, I'm scared about that... (Not!)

As for being called a "bigot" by an apologist for ragheads, I couldn't work up too much concern over that.

Historical note: Almost every african who was ever captured and sold in the americas to slavery was captured by arab slavers who had been infesting the congo basin for more than five hundred years. They were there centuries before the brief opening of the market for slaves in america, and were there long after it closed.

The arab slavers would typically take an entire village at a time, murdering anybody who was either strong enough to resist, or too weak to make the long walk on a long, heavy chain to market. Pregnant women and small children were typically murdered because they did not travel well. There is documented evidence of arab slave-raids in the congo basin going on as late as the mid-1950's. The great majority of the african natives captured over those centuries were of course used by the arabs themselves.

The progeny of the africans who were sent to the americas are living free lives in a free country today. As far as can be seen, there are no surviving progeny from the much greater number of africans who did not go to the americas but instead were used by the arabs. Perhaps this is so because castration of slaves has always been a common practice among the arabs.

... So when an apologist for this uncivilized, backward and violent tribal culture calls me a "bigot", I can't help but smile at the irony there.

Overall, I guess this doesn't bother me because I expect anybody who sticks up for the raghead set to be some kind of an uncivilized jerk anyway, so I wasn't surprised by his behavior. He didn't say or do anything interesting or unusual. He acted pretty much as you would expect an uncilized jerk to

act.

(Yawn)

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

1383. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-28 00:38:52 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <wUinb.8674$FI2.959@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 3080
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

```
"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:bnjs6p$mci$1@news1.kornet.net...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:d%dnb.6358$X22.3465@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> >
> > "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> > news:bni9n2$t03$1@news1.kornet.net...
> > >
> > > "Zoran Brlecic" <...WA7AA...@get.lost> wrote in message
> > > news:3F9CAF9F.9060907@get.lost...
> > > > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > > > > No socialist Canadian....the folks don't actually hate us. They
> just
> > > want
> > > > > what we have, and not pay for it. Your 'kind' showed its true
> colors
> > > > > recently when we asked for assistance in Iraq. If you think that
> > won't
> > > have
> > > > > unhappy consequences for your nation, you are mistaken.
> > > > >
> > > > > Think about it.....asshole liberal puke. Oh there I go again
> calling
> > > you
> > > > > names.
> > > > >
> > > > > Tell ya what 'Private' call your local mountie and tell him to
come
> > get
> > > > > me.....looooooossssseeeerrrr.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > This is beyond stupid.
> > > > >
> > > > WA7AA
> > > >
> > > Personally, I thought most of the regs here were a little more adult
> than
> > > all this...
```

> > >
> > > IMHO, all human beings deserve respect, unless they personally have
done
> > > something to negate that right. However, I do believe also that
anyone
> > who
> > > has an opinion on any subject should have the intestinal fortitude to
> make
> > > themselves known. This, too, is part of respect.
> > >
> > > Where I do not condone Dan's attitude toward some ethnic groups, he
does
> > > have a right to voice it, and he does make known not only whom, but
> where
> > he
> > > is by use of his ham call. I didn't read the complete thread, so I
> don't
> > > know what these others said, but it would seem that they, too, allowed
> it
> > > known to whom to attribute what was said. For this, despite their
> > political
> > > views, they have my respect.
> > >
> > > Please, though.. name calling is so juvenile, and unnecessary. This
> isn't
> > > PC, it's good, old fashioned, good manners.. something we could use a
> lot
> > > more of nowadays. (Funny thing, isn't it, how PC gets pushed, but
> things
> > > never get any better?)
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> > Well, Brenda Ann, and all the rest that can't stand it when I say what
I
> > think. Why don't you jump on 'Private' ??? The jerk that called me a
> racist
> > and put my address on the internet, soliciting 'ragheads' to come here
> and
> > attack me.
> >
> > Is that allright? Does that fit well with your American standards?
> >
> > Tell ya what , I'll make it real simple. When attacked...I attack
back.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
>

> Ummm... Dan.... actually, I was much more 'jumping on' "Private" than you.
>
> Try re-reading my post, and then make your comment (or apology?). What I
> very succintly said was that though I do not agree with your politics, I
> support your right to voice them, and respect the fact that you do so
> without anonymity...
>
>

Yes indeed. I was in a rush. Having re-read YOUR post I tender my apology.
For the rest.....I stand by my statements. And I don't hide from anyone
later....eh "Private" ???

Dan/W4NTI

1384. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-28 00:42:13 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <FXinb.8678$FI2.2171@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 3081
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:cghnb.104$NI6.17774790@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:d%dnb.6358$X22.3465@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> >
> The jerk that called me a racist and put my address on the internet,
> soliciting 'ragheads' to come here and attack me.
> >
> > Is that allright?
>
> I thought it was cool when he "did it" to me. I sign all my posts with my
> call, and there are callbooks everywhere, so the only thing he really
> allegedly "did" was to threaten to send some angry raghead my way. Gee,
I'm
> scared about that... (Not!)
>
> As for being called a "bigot" by an apologist for ragheads, I couldn't
work
> up too much concern over that.
>
> Historical note: Almost every african who was ever captured and sold in
the
> americas to slavery was captured by arab slavers who had been infesting
the
> congo basin for more than five hundred years. They were there centuries
> before the brief opening of the market for slaves in america, and were
there
> long after it closed.
>
> The arab slavers would typically take an entire village at a time,
murdering
> anybody who was either strong enough to resist, or too weak to make the
long
> walk on a long, heavy chain to market. Pregnant women and small children
> were typically murdered because they did not travel well. There is
> documented evidence of arab slave-raids in the congo basin going on as
late
> as the mid-1950's. The great majority of the african natives captured over
> those centuries were of course used by the arabs themselves.
>

> The progeny of the africans who were sent to the americas are living free
> lives in a free country today. As far as can be seen, there are no
surviving
> progeny from the much greater number of africans who did not go to the
> americas but instead were used by the arabs. Perhaps this is so because
> castration of slaves has always been a common practice among the arabs.
>
> ... So when an apologist for this uncivilized, backward and violent tribal
> culture calls me a "bigot", I can't help but smile at the irony there.
>
> Overall, I guess this doesn't bother me because I expect anybody who
sticks
> up for the raghead set to be some kind of an uncivilized jerk anyway, so I
> wasn't surprised by his behavior. He didn't say or do anything interesting
> or unusual. He acted pretty much as you would expect an uncilized jerk to
> act.
>
> (Yawn)
>
> Charles Brabham, N5PVL
>
>
>
>
>
>

Well put.....I notice 'Private' has quit responding.

Dan/W4NTI

1385. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-28 00:58:52 UTC
From: Zoran Brlecic <...WA7AA...@get.lost>
Message-ID: <3F9DBF4E.5050305@get.lost>
Source record: 3082
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

charlesb wrote:

[snip by far the stupidest shit I've seen in a while]

> Overall, I guess this doesn't bother me because I expect anybody who sticks
> up for the raghead set to be some kind of an uncivilized jerk anyway

Our coalition is helping the Iraqi people to build a secure, hopeful and
self-governing nation which will stand as an example of freedom to all
the Middle East,'' George W.Bush said [in his radio address].

G.W.Bush's father also sent the army to Kuwait to liberate the Kuwaitis
from the same dictator they had helped prop up against Iran. Then there
was a man called Oliver North...

Reagan's administration was heavily involved in helping the Afghani
mujahedeen against the Soviets. Yes, that same Bin Laden.

Holy shit, you're right! They are uncivilized jerks!

--

Anti-spam measure: look me up on qrz.com if you need to reply directly

1386. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-28 01:12:51 UTC
From: aunwin@insightbb.com
Message-ID: <85ed38d.0310271712.1dc25fbc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3083
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

What ever happened to the American ideal
Freedom of Religeon?
IF you are American and rebel against what we stand for
what religeon is it that you advocate that all must adhere to
so that they are not to be killed ?
Are there any other citizens of this country that you disagree
which you advocate their demise?
Art Unwin

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<B1enb.6361$X22.1983@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> "Ed Price" <edprice@cox.net> wrote in message
> news:D_8nb.94447$La.1500@fed1read02...
> >
> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> > news:DhUmb.5641$FI2.3249@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> > > No surprise here. Thats how they all are. On the other hand, I don't
> > > hide. And I stand by what I said. And I could care less if that puke
> likes
> > > it or not.
> > >
> > > Just in case you don't get it...Try this one. I have advocated from
> the
> > > git-go, before 9/11 which re-inforced my belief, that all the worlds
> > > ragheads need to be given a free ticket to Mecca, where we can test our
> > > newest tactical nuke.
> > >
> > > Like that? Too bad.
> > >
> > > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> >
> > Saw a great bumper sticker the other day:
> >
> > How Did All Our Oil Get Under Their Sand?
> >
> >
> > Ed
> > wb6wsn
> >
>

> He he he he he.......Talk loudly and carry a big stick. Bout time the USA
> started kicking some ass.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

1387. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-28 01:41:08 UTC
From: groundwave@telus.net
Message-ID: <eb867ae8.0310271741.4e9399c9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3084
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Looks like Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) and Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)
will think twice before making racist remarks on these groups. I
still can't believe they wrote it and may actually think that way.

In any case, DANIEL! you are in your late fifties for crying out loud.
Grow up! What would your 4 children and 5 grandchildren think.
There must be more than a couple black or arab people in Anniston
Alabama, think of how they would feel if they knew what you wrote.
You have done some nice work in Anniston, don't pee it all away over
some racist remarks. Applogize or at the very least keep it to
yourself.

Bob Miller <bxmiller@texas.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
news:<bosrpv4mf43g1avgi3bfp0i5cl38aqorhq@4ax.com>...
> On Sun, 26 Oct 2003 19:58:27 -0500 (EST), "Somebody Somewhere"
> <Me@My.Computer> wrote:
>
> > My White
> >ancestors didn't create this nation of free men so some fudgepacking
> >liberal pervert could pass legislation to cancel our Constitutionally
> >guaranteed right of free speech.
>
> I guess you've never read the constitution. It does not in any way
> guarantee you free speech. It only says, "The Congress shall enact no
> laws abridging a citizen's freedom of speech." There's nothing in
> there that says fellow-citizens cannot criticize you, and even punish
> you, for what you say. (Try telling your boss to go jump, and see how
> much your constitution protects you.)
>
> Bob
> k5qwg

1388. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-28 01:44:22 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <bnkf7j$2tr$1@news1.kornet.net>
Source record: 3085
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:wUinb.8674$FI2.959@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> Yes indeed. I was in a rush. Having re-read YOUR post I tender my
apology.
> For the rest.....I stand by my statements. And I don't hide from anyone
> later....eh "Private" ???
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Thank you. Apology graciously accepted.

Take care, and stay well.

Lighten up, life is short. :)

1389. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-28 02:22:08 UTC
From: Reg Edwards <g4fgq.regp@ZZZbtinternet.com>
Message-ID: <bnkjsg$e8l$1@titan.btinternet.com>
Source record: 3086
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

```
> Lighten up, life is short. :)
>
==========================

Specially if you're a suicide bomber! ;o)
```

1390. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-28 02:39:54 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <bnkifn$793$1@news1.kornet.net>
Source record: 3087
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Reg Edwards" <g4fgq.regp@ZZZbtinternet.com> wrote in message
news:bnkjsg$e8l$1@titan.btinternet.com...
> > Lighten up, life is short. :)
> >
> ==========
>
> Specially if you're a suicide bomber! ;o)
>
>

Now THOSE GUYS really need to lighten up.. :)

1391. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-28 02:45:53 UTC
From: Reg Edwards <g4fgq.regp@ZZZbtinternet.com>
Message-ID: <bnkl90$f6f$1@hercules.btinternet.com>
Source record: 3088
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Without the slightest doubt, the remainder of the world's population views USA citizens as being the most God-fearing, law-abiding, civilised, generous, democratised citizens sharing this tiny, over-populated Globe of ours.

1392. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-28 03:08:12 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <bnkk4o$979$1@news1.kornet.net>
Source record: 3089
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Reg Edwards" <g4fgq.regp@ZZZbtinternet.com> wrote in message
news:bnkl90$f6f$1@hercules.btinternet.com...
> Without the slightest doubt, the remainder of the world's population views
> USA citizens as being the most God-fearing, law-abiding, civilised,
> generous, democratised citizens sharing this tiny, over-populated Globe of
> ours.
>

That statement IS tongue-in-cheek, right? By far the largest part of the world's population no doubt doesn't view us at all, doesn't even (or barely does) know we exist (billions in India and China). Of those that do know we exist, most see us as decadent, Godless, and hedonistic. And, thanks to the press at large, that we live in fear of criminals taking our lives and property (and that our guns only make this worse).

Some (those that are allowed to know from whence comes their aid) see us as generous. Those that know our basic political system (without the embelishment of their local government propaganda) see us as democratized, though most poor countries see us otherwise.

Just my observation of the world press.. YMMV

1393. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-28 03:51:32 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <8JInb.144$qL1.29557896@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3090
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Private" <groundwave@telus.net> wrote in message
news:eb867ae8.0310271741.4e9399c9@posting.google.com...
> Looks like Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) and Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)
> will think twice before making racist remarks on these groups.

I haven't made any racist remarks. What I have done is to characterize arabs as "rag-heads"... That is not a racial slur and could not be, as there is no "arab race". There are a number of arab tribes though, characterized by the habit of wearing a rag on their heads. You can call it anything you like, but it's still a rag to me. Get the picture?

You are right about one thing. If I were ever tempted to make a racist remark, I would most certainly think twice. The situation never comes up though, so I guess its a moot point, isn't it?

If arabs wish to be respected, they'll just have to do like everybody else and start acting respectably. The best, most accurate description I have heard of the various arab "governments" today characterizes them as "crapulent kleptocracies".... Between that, the insistence upon anti-american propaganda in the arabs schools (where they exist), the mistreatment of arab and enslaved foriegn women by cowardly, vile arab men, and the refusal to stop supporting civilization's terrorist enemies, there's just not a lot to respect in arab culture. It stinks from top to bottom.

That's my opinion. If you don't like it, come up with one of your own. But please - stop whining and snapping like a cur when confronted with opinions that you do not like to hear. Try to pick up a little manhood, somewhere.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

1394. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-28 04:59:10 UTC
From: "Ryan, KC8PMX" <REMOVETHISkc8pmx@diamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <bnlq3k$12jjnj$2@ID-189073.news.uni-berlin.de>
Source record: 3092
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Scary, isn't it???

Ryan KC8PMX

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:BiUmb.5642$FI2.887@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> Dang Clint....Im amazed...We actually agree on the important things.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Clint" <rattlehead at computron dot net> wrote in message
> news:vpnuq4c6f04gb9@corp.supernews.com...
> > Most politically correct types are. They are a gutless, spineless
> > breed that hide behind everything possible and when it's safe
> > to come out of thier holes, they snipe and take pot shots at
> > everything that is good and decent, if not just anything
> > that doesn't fit thier sleazy, terrible, hate-filled agenda.
> >
> > N.O.W., Earth First!, the ACLU and other yellow bellied
> > organizations like that are now quickly losing all clout and
> > political strength they have because they have gone to
> > the well of jealousy & race conflict just one time to many
> > to drink from, and now thier paying the price.
> >
> > Good riddance to bad rubbish.
> >
> > Clint
> >
> > --
> >
> >
> > "w4jle" <W4JLE(remove this to reply)@pbtcomm.net> wrote in message
> > news:bngrgi$8289$1@news3.infoave.net...
> > > If your so proud of your politically correct stance, why the heck are
> you
> > > posting behind a screen name?
> > >
> > > You are a low life bastard posting addresses of those you disagree
with
> ,
> > > while hiding in the dark.
> > >

> > >
> > > "Private" <groundwave@telus.net> wrote in message
> > > news:eb867ae8.0310260739.20e91357@posting.google.com...
> > > > I cannot believe these two posts below. Charles D. Braham, you are
> > > > worse then Dan L. Jeswald who started the thread making racist
> > > > remarks. You guys just don't get it do you, where does it stop?
> > > > Arabs? Black people? Both of you are discusting....
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1395. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-28 06:15:03 UTC
From: rhf-mail@pacbell.net
Message-ID: <e5e13af8.0310272215.1dbf91ef@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3091
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Reg Edwards" <g4fgq.regp@ZZZbtinternet.com> wrote in message
news:<bnkl90$f6f$1@hercules.btinternet.com>...
> Without the slightest doubt, the remainder of the world's population views
> USA citizens as being the most God-fearing, law-abiding, civilised,
> generous, democratised citizens sharing this tiny, over-populated Globe of
> ours.

RE,

In 'reality' TROTW's view is much simpler.
- - - They (USofA) Got It.
- - - We Want It !

~ RHF

1396. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-28 17:32:18 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <CKxnb.9902$FI2.4894@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 3093
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

It ended when the Muslims of this world decided to attack and kill
Westerners, just because they were Westerners.

It was further solidified by 9/11.

Mr. Bush is not correct on this issue. It is a war against Muslims. And,
guess what? we will win it. They will not be allowed to infiltrate this
country and destroy it from within. It was tried by the Communists, and
they failed.

The Muslims started it. We will end it.

Have a nice day

Dan/W4NTI

"Art Unwin KB9MZ" <aunwin@insightbb.com> wrote in message
news:85ed38d.0310271712.1dc25fbc@posting.google.com...
> What ever happened to the American ideal
> Freedom of Religeon?
> IF you are American and rebel against what we stand for
> what religeon is it that you advocate that all must adhere to
> so that they are not to be killed ?
> Are there any other citizens of this country that you disagree
> which you advocate their demise?
> Art Unwin
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<B1enb.6361$X22.1983@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> > "Ed Price" <edprice@cox.net> wrote in message
> > news:D_8nb.94447$La.1500@fed1read02...
> > >
> > > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> > > news:DhUmb.5641$FI2.3249@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> > > > No surprise here. Thats how they all are. On the other hand, I
don't
> > > > hide. And I stand by what I said. And I could care less if that
puke
> > likes
> > > > it or not.
> > > >
> > > Just in case you don't get it...Try this one. I have advocated
from

> > the
> > > > git-go, before 9/11 which re-inforced my belief, that all the
worlds
> > > > ragheads need to be given a free ticket to Mecca, where we can test
our
> > > > newest tactical nuke.
> > > >
> > > > Like that? Too bad.
> > > >
> > > > Dan/W4NTI
> > >
> > >
> > > Saw a great bumper sticker the other day:
> > >
> > > How Did All Our Oil Get Under Their Sand?
> > >
> > >
> > > Ed
> > > wb6wsn
> > >
> >
> > He he he he he.......Talk loudly and carry a big stick. Bout time the
USA
> > started kicking some ass.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI

1397. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-28 17:33:34 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <OLxnb.9903$FI2.7361@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 3094
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

NO ONE tells me what to think, and damn sure don't tell me what to say. I stand by what I said, and will say.

Dan/W4NTI

"Private" <groundwave@telus.net> wrote in message news:eb867ae8.0310271741.4e9399c9@posting.google.com...
> Looks like Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) and Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)
> will think twice before making racist remarks on these groups. I
> still can't believe they wrote it and may actually think that way.
>
> In any case, DANIEL! you are in your late fifties for crying out loud.
> Grow up! What would your 4 children and 5 grandchildren think.
> There must be more than a couple black or arab people in Anniston
> Alabama, think of how they would feel if they knew what you wrote.
> You have done some nice work in Anniston, don't pee it all away over
> some racist remarks. Applogize or at the very least keep it to
> yourself.
>
> Bob Miller <bxmiller@texas.netNOSPAM> wrote in message news:<bosrpv4mf43g1avgi3bfp0i5cl38aqorhq@4ax.com>...
> > On Sun, 26 Oct 2003 19:58:27 -0500 (EST), "Somebody Somewhere"
> > <Me@My.Computer> wrote:
> >
> > > My White
> > >ancestors didn't create this nation of free men so some fudgepacking
> > >liberal pervert could pass legislation to cancel our Constitutionally
> > >guaranteed right of free speech.
> >
> > I guess you've never read the constitution. It does not in any way
> > guarantee you free speech. It only says, "The Congress shall enact no
> > laws abridging a citizen's freedom of speech." There's nothing in
> > there that says fellow-citizens cannot criticize you, and even punish
> > you, for what you say. (Try telling your boss to go jump, and see how
> > much your constitution protects you.)
> >
> > Bob
> > k5qwg

1398. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-28 17:35:40 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <MNxnb.9906$FI2.7127@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 3095
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:8Jlnb.144$qL1.29557896@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Private" <groundwave@telus.net> wrote in message
> news:eb867ae8.0310271741.4e9399c9@posting.google.com...
> > Looks like Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) and Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)
> > will think twice before making racist remarks on these groups.
>
> I haven't made any racist remarks. What I have done is to characterize
arabs
> as "rag-heads"... That is not a racial slur and could not be, as there is
no
> "arab race". There are a number of arab tribes though, characterized by
the
> habit of wearing a rag on their heads. You can call it anything you like,
> but it's still a rag to me. Get the picture?
>
> You are right about one thing. If I were ever tempted to make a racist
> remark, I would most certainly think twice. The situation never comes up
> though, so I guess its a moot point, isn't it?
>
> If arabs wish to be respected, they'll just have to do like everybody else
> and start acting respectably. The best, most accurate description I have
> heard of the various arab "governments" today characterizes them as
> "crapulent kleptocracies".... Between that, the insistence upon
> anti-american propaganda in the arabs schools (where they exist), the
> mistreatment of arab and enslaved foriegn women by cowardly, vile arab
men,
> and the refusal to stop supporting civilization's terrorist enemies,
there's
> just not a lot to respect in arab culture. It stinks from top to bottom.
>
> That's my opinion. If you don't like it, come up with one of your own. But
> please - stop whining and snapping like a cur when confronted with
opinions
> that you do not like to hear. Try to pick up a little manhood, somewhere.
>
> Charles Brabham, N5PVL
>
>

Very good Charles, I am proud of you.

Dan/W4NTI

1399. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-28 17:38:24 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <kQxnb.9908$FI2.1929@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 3096
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Yes it is Ryan....I'm still discombodulated.

Dan/W4NTI

"Ryan, KC8PMX" <REMOVETHISkc8pmx@diamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:bnlq3k$12jjnj$2@ID-189073.news.uni-berlin.de...
> Scary, isn't it???
>
> Ryan KC8PMX
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:BiUmb.5642$FI2.887@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> > Dang Clint....Im amazed...We actually agree on the important things.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > "Clint" <rattlehead at computron dot net> wrote in message
> > news:vpnuq4c6f04gb9@corp.supernews.com...
> > > Most politically correct types are. They are a gutless, spineless
> > > breed that hide behind everything possible and when it's safe
> > > to come out of thier holes, they snipe and take pot shots at
> > > everything that is good and decent, if not just anything
> > > that doesn't fit thier sleazy, terrible, hate-filled agenda.
> > >
> > > N.O.W., Earth First!, the ACLU and other yellow bellied
> > > organizations like that are now quickly losing all clout and
> > > political strength they have because they have gone to
> > > the well of jealousy & race conflict just one time to many
> > > to drink from, and now thier paying the price.
> > >
> > > Good riddance to bad rubbish.
> > >
> > > Clint
> > >
> > > --
> > >
> > >
> > > "w4jle" <W4JLE(remove this to reply)@pbtcomm.net> wrote in message
> > > news:bngrgi$8289$1@news3.infoave.net...
> > > > If your so proud of your politically correct stance, why the heck
are
> > you
```

> > > > posting behind a screen name?
> > > >
> > > > You are a low life bastard posting addresses of those you disagree
> with
> > ,
> > > > while hiding in the dark.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > "Private" <groundwave@telus.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:eb867ae8.0310260739.20e91357@posting.google.com...
> > > > > I cannot believe these two posts below. Charles D. Braham, you
are
> > > > > worse then Dan L. Jeswald who started the thread making racist
> > > > > remarks. You guys just don't get it do you, where does it stop?
> > > > > Arabs? Black people? Both of you are discusting....
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1400. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-28 17:45:16 UTC
From: Alan <alannc44@{nospam}ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <MWxnb.29876$IA2.1088977@twister.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 3097
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Too bad that, by attacking Iraq, he attacked one of the most secular of
Arabic countries. There are now more Al Qaida in Iraq than before.

What Iraq had to do with 9/11 I'll never understand.

Alan
WN4HOG

--
Windsurfing Club: http://www.ibscc.org

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:CKxnb.9902$FI2.4894@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> It ended when the Muslims of this world decided to attack and kill
> Westerners, just because they were Westerners.
>
> It was further solidified by 9/11.
>
> Mr. Bush is not correct on this issue. It is a war against Muslims. And,
> guess what? we will win it. They will not be allowed to infiltrate this
> country and destroy it from within. It was tried by the Communists, and
> they failed.
>
> The Muslims started it. We will end it.
>
> Have a nice day
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Art Unwin KB9MZ" <aunwin@insightbb.com> wrote in message
> news:85ed38d.0310271712.1dc25fbc@posting.google.com...
> > What ever happened to the American ideal
> > Freedom of Religeon?
> > IF you are American and rebel against what we stand for
> > what religeon is it that you advocate that all must adhere to
> > so that they are not to be killed ?
> > Are there any other citizens of this country that you disagree
> > which you advocate their demise?
> > Art Unwin
> >
> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:<B1enb.6361$X22.1983@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>...

> > > "Ed Price" <edprice@cox.net> wrote in message
> > > news:D_8nb.94447$La.1500@fed1read02...
> > > >
> > > > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:DhUmb.5641$FI2.3249@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> > > > > No surprise here. Thats how they all are. On the other hand, I
> don't
> > > > > hide. And I stand by what I said. And I could care less if that
> puke
> > > likes
> > > > > it or not.
> > > > >
> > > > > Just in case you don't get it...Try this one. I have advocated
> from
> > > the
> > > > > git-go, before 9/11 which re-inforced my belief, that all the
> worlds
> > > > > ragheads need to be given a free ticket to Mecca, where we can
test
> our
> > > > > newest tactical nuke.
> > > > >
> > > > > Like that? Too bad.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dan/W4NTI
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > Saw a great bumper sticker the other day:
> > > > >
> > > > How Did All Our Oil Get Under Their Sand?
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > Ed
> > > > wb6wsn
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > He he he he he.......Talk loudly and carry a big stick. Bout time
the
> USA
> > > started kicking some ass.
> > >
> > > Dan/W4NTI
> >
>

1401. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-28 18:19:01 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <pqynb.107$Qa4.87@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3098
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Alan" <alannc44@{nospam}ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:MWxnb.29876$IA2.1088977@twister.southeast.rr.com...
> Too bad that, by attacking Iraq, he attacked one of the most secular of
> Arabic countries. There are now more Al Qaida in Iraq than before.
>
> What Iraq had to do with 9/11 I'll never understand.
>

Having gone through one 9-11, we went took steps designed to forestall us having to go through another. Of course it is much more complicated than that, but you'll find that this basic motivation is always there.

If you are having trouble understanding these issues, then you are probably reading the wrong news sources. Shop around and look for better sources of information. Round-file the ones you are using now. They're not doing the job.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

1402. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-28 18:28:27 UTC
From: Alan <alannc44@{nospam}ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <fzynb.19587$v82.1324862@twister.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 3099
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Just think, had we focused on Bin Laden, the one actually responsibly for
9/11, instead of running off on some wild war based on a perceived threat,
we might already have the true culprit.

I swore to myself I wouldn't get involved in this thread, but, being
attracted to Ham Radio because of the supposed international handshakes, I'm
a sucker for trying to get along with the rest of the world.

Alan
WN4HOG
--
Windsurfing Club: http://www.ibscc.org

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:pqynb.107$Qa4.87@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Alan" <alannc44@{nospam}ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:MWxnb.29876$IA2.1088977@twister.southeast.rr.com...
> > Too bad that, by attacking Iraq, he attacked one of the most secular of
> > Arabic countries. There are now more Al Qaida in Iraq than before.
> >
> > What Iraq had to do with 9/11 I'll never understand.
> >
>
> Having gone through one 9-11, we went took steps designed to forestall us
> having to go through another. Of course it is much more complicated than
> that, but you'll find that this basic motivation is always there.
>
> If you are having trouble understanding these issues, then you are
probably
> reading the wrong news sources. Shop around and look for better sources of
> information. Round-file the ones you are using now. They're not doing the
> job.
>
> Charles Brabham, N5PVL
>
>

1403. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-28 23:05:43 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <bDCnb.7913$X22.1515@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 3100
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

You could search the entire world and not find a better place to fight terrorists. A major sandbox surrounded by ragheads that need their ass kicked.

Bush is a genius. Rummy is a genius. You will see.

Let me ask you this; Would you rather have these ragheads blowing up Boston?

Dan/W4NTI

"Alan" <alannc44@{nospam}ix.netcom.com> wrote in message news:MWxnb.29876$IA2.1088977@twister.southeast.rr.com...
> Too bad that, by attacking Iraq, he attacked one of the most secular of
> Arabic countries. There are now more Al Qaida in Iraq than before.
>
> What Iraq had to do with 9/11 I'll never understand.
>
> Alan
> WN4HOG
>
> --
> Windsurfing Club: http://www.ibscc.org
>
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:CKxnb.9902$FI2.4894@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> > It ended when the Muslims of this world decided to attack and kill
> > Westerners, just because they were Westerners.
> >
> > It was further solidified by 9/11.
> >
> > Mr. Bush is not correct on this issue. It is a war against Muslims. And,
> > guess what? we will win it. They will not be allowed to infiltrate this
> > country and destroy it from within. It was tried by the Communists, and
> > they failed.
> >
> > The Muslims started it. We will end it.
> >
> > Have a nice day

> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > "Art Unwin KB9MZ" <aunwin@insightbb.com> wrote in message
> > news:85ed38d.0310271712.1dc25fbc@posting.google.com...
> > > What ever happened to the American ideal
> > > Freedom of Religeon?
> > > IF you are American and rebel against what we stand for
> > > what religeon is it that you advocate that all must adhere to
> > > so that they are not to be killed ?
> > > Are there any other citizens of this country that you disagree
> > > which you advocate their demise?
> > > Art Unwin
> > >
> > > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> > news:<B1enb.6361$X22.1983@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> > > > "Ed Price" <edprice@cox.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:D_8nb.94447$La.1500@fed1read02...
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in
message
> > > > > news:DhUmb.5641$FI2.3249@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> > > > > > No surprise here. Thats how they all are. On the other hand,
I
> > don't
> > > > > > hide. And I stand by what I said. And I could care less if
that
> > puke
> > > > likes
> > > > > > it or not.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Just in case you don't get it...Try this one. I have advocated
> > from
> > > > the
> > > > > > git-go, before 9/11 which re-inforced my belief, that all the
> > worlds
> > > > > > ragheads need to be given a free ticket to Mecca, where we can
> test
> > our
> > > > > > newest tactical nuke.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Like that? Too bad.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dan/W4NTI
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Saw a great bumper sticker the other day:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > How Did All Our Oil Get Under Their Sand?
> > > > > >

> > > > >
> > > > > Ed
> > > > > wb6wsn
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > He he he he he.......Talk loudly and carry a big stick. Bout time
> the
> > USA
> > > > started kicking some ass.
> > > >
> > > > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> >
>
>

1404. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-28 23:07:27 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <PECnb.7915$X22.172@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 3001
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

In todays climate many countries are not worth getting too friendly with.
We are at war. Govern yourself accordingly. Know your enemy.

Dan/W4NTI

"Alan" <alannc44@{nospam}ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:fzynb.19587$v82.1324862@twister.southeast.rr.com...
> Just think, had we focused on Bin Laden, the one actually responsibly for
> 9/11, instead of running off on some wild war based on a perceived threat,
> we might already have the true culprit.
>
> I swore to myself I wouldn't get involved in this thread, but, being
> attracted to Ham Radio because of the supposed international handshakes,
I'm
> a sucker for trying to get along with the rest of the world.
>
> Alan
> WN4HOG
> --
> Windsurfing Club: http://www.ibscc.org
>
>
> "charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> news:pqynb.107$Qa4.87@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "Alan" <alannc44@{nospam}ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> > news:MWxnb.29876$IA2.1088977@twister.southeast.rr.com...
> > > Too bad that, by attacking Iraq, he attacked one of the most secular
of
> > > Arabic countries. There are now more Al Qaida in Iraq than before.
> > >
> > > What Iraq had to do with 9/11 I'll never understand.
> > >
> >
> > Having gone through one 9-11, we went took steps designed to forestall
us
> > having to go through another. Of course it is much more complicated than
> > that, but you'll find that this basic motivation is always there.
> >
> > If you are having trouble understanding these issues, then you are
> probably
> > reading the wrong news sources. Shop around and look for better sources

of
> > information. Round-file the ones you are using now. They're not doing
the
> > job.
> >
> > Charles Brabham, N5PVL
> >
> >
>
>

1405. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-28 23:24:24 UTC
From: aunwin@insightbb.com
Message-ID: <85ed38d.0310281524.2ae0958c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3002
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<yI%mb.4442$X22.1003@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> "Cecil Moore" <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.ONEDOT.org> wrote in message
> news:3f9c1825_8@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > > Just in case you don't get it...Try this one. I have advocated from
> the
> > > git-go, before 9/11 which re-inforced my belief, that all the worlds
> > > ragheads need to be given a free ticket to Mecca, where we can test our
> > > newest tactical nuke.
> >
> > Does that include the "ragheads" in your family tree?
> > --
> > 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
> >
> >
> >
> > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > -----== Over 100,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! =-----
>
> Yep....if they believe killing thousands of innocents on 9/11/01 was a good
> thing, they need to be gone. Any other stupid questions?
>
> Oh BTW.....I don't think folks from Italy, France and the Cheerokee nation
> are ragheads.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Interesting that you mentioned the Indian Nations
The tactics that America is supporting against Islam is the same
tactics that we used to take Indian land away and killing all
"savages" I.E. the only good Indian is a dead Indian and delay
retribution by smoking so called peace pipes.
This is exactly what we are doing in the MidEast. We are supplying
missles and weapons of death as we erase villages from the maps, herd
what is left into refugee camps formerly reservations, build on their
land under cover of peace talks (we have doubled the houses and
population in the West Bank in the last ten years) and we condone the
killing of all those who object to the land stealing or the
confiscation of the holy places. These so called savages pray three

times a day where we are schooled to pray once per week and thus are superior.
The one thing that we havent thought thru (The rest of the world has but we have easily prevented any interference in our plans by virtue of a U.N. veto.)
We in America has still not recognised that we are outnumbered with respect to Islam and yet ask for assistance from those who we continualy push aside.
We will continue to see non conventianal fighting by those we tread on and continue to take the stance that they must stop resisting take over of their posessions so that then and only then we can talk about things not withstanding that we will continue to steal while we engage in stalling talks.
Since these people refuse to lie down stay still and be quiet while we do our thing some of our christian brothers advocate the use of nuclear weapons to finish off all that show resistance. We have done it before while we said we are a christian country so if the foundations of christianity have not changed
we have the same excuse to do it again. Bush has stated " bring them on " and he is more than willing to hold on to the coats of those are willing to fight
for him instead of our Country. How many years will it take for us to realise
that we cannot kill off all those that disagree with us without receiving retribution of some sort that will destroy our own way of life and thus kill off our own beginnings.

Remember we have many who follow the faith of Islam thru out our country.
To prove that they are loyal Americans can we ask them to give up their faith
without retribution ? What will the modern christian do if the tables are eventualy reversed ?
How sad it is to see the return of body bags because of our crushing of other religeons for our own material gain.
Art Unwin KB9MZ...XG

An American by choice which is quite different to the loudmouths who prefer violence and yet are only Americans by the accident of birth with no allegiance to true Americas ideals

to

1406. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-29 05:34:54 UTC
From: groundwave@telus.net
Message-ID: <eb867ae8.0310282134.47e3015d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3003
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

I cannot believe these two clowns are still at it. I found some of their original comments and sure enough they are as racist as they come. People have a right not be discriminated against or abused because of color, race and religion etc....

If they don't stop making racist posts on this "RADIO" newsgroup I am sure people in their own town will find out about them. They will be very embarassed very soon. Keep it up Charlie and Danny... Keep it up....

> Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)
> Rt 2 Box 46
> Lyford TX
> 78569
> >
> > Asked about his astounding success in mowing down thousands of screaming
> > raghead fanatics with very few casualties (sound familiar?) , the Israeli
> > general agreed to give the reporter the exclusive secret to his
> > unprecedented military success...
> >
> > "Fight Arabs." he said.
> >
> > Charles Brabham, N5PVL

> Daniel L. Jeswald
> 89 Wildman Road
> Anniston AL
> 36201
>
> > Wouldn't surprise me a bit. I thought from the git-go that Iraq would turn
> > into a quagmire. Unfortunatly for those fighting the fight, it is serving a
> > purpose. It is keeping the ragheads 'occupied'. And its not in Boise
> > Idaho.
> >
> > As for your political commentary. As far as I'm concerned the Democratic
> > party left me when they turned it over to NOW and Phyliss.
> >
> > That does NOT mean I support Baby Bush 100%. However lets see how things
> > go.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI

1407. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-29 09:01:32 UTC
From: "Ryan, KC8PMX" <REMOVETHISkc8pmx@diamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <bnodb2$13u4j4$3@ID-189073.news.uni-berlin.de>
Source record: 3005
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Just like you and I.... I am quite sure there are things that we will fight to death disagreeing about, and I am sure there are some things that we will agree on too....

My way of thinking is "Don't hate the man... hate the thoughts driving that man....." As Cecil stated, for the most part I am also closely aligned to the Libertarian party, which is even more "right wing" than the Republicrats, but I do believe in the basic human rights issues. I don't hate all Arabs.... just the fundamental/orthodox ones like Osama, and the others responsible for 9/11 and other related events.

--
Ryan KC8PMX

Some people are like Slinkies . . . not really good for anything, but you still can't help but smile when you see one tumble down the stairs.

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message news:kQxnb.9908$FI2.1929@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> Yes it is Ryan....I'm still discombodulated.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Ryan, KC8PMX" <REMOVETHISkc8pmx@diamondcs.net> wrote in message
> news:bnlq3k$12jjnj$2@ID-189073.news.uni-berlin.de...
> > Scary, isn't it???
> >
> > Ryan KC8PMX
> >
> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> > news:BiUmb.5642$FI2.887@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> > > Dang Clint....Im amazed...We actually agree on the important things.
> > >
> > > Dan/W4NTI
> > >
> > > "Clint" <rattlehead at computron dot net> wrote in message
> > > news:vpnuq4c6f04gb9@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > Most politically correct types are. They are a gutless, spineless
> > > > breed that hide behind everything possible and when it's safe
> > > > to come out of thier holes, they snipe and take pot shots at
> > > > everything that is good and decent, if not just anything
> > > > that doesn't fit thier sleazy, terrible, hate-filled agenda.

> > > >
> > > > N.O.W., Earth First!, the ACLU and other yellow bellied
> > > > organizations like that are now quickly losing all clout and
> > > > political strength they have because they have gone to
> > > > the well of jealousy & race conflict just one time to many
> > > > to drink from, and now thier paying the price.
> > > >
> > > > Good riddance to bad rubbish.
> > > >
> > > > Clint
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > "w4jle" <W4JLE(remove this to reply)@pbtcomm.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:bngrgi$8289$1@news3.infoave.net...
> > > > > If your so proud of your politically correct stance, why the heck
> are
> > > you
> > > > > posting behind a screen name?
> > > > >
> > > > > You are a low life bastard posting addresses of those you disagree
> > with
> > > ,
> > > > > while hiding in the dark.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > "Private" <groundwave@telus.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:eb867ae8.0310260739.20e91357@posting.google.com...
> > > > > > I cannot believe these two posts below. Charles D. Braham, you
> are
> > > > > > worse then Dan L. Jeswald who started the thread making racist
> > > > > > remarks. You guys just don't get it do you, where does it stop?
> > > > > > Arabs? Black people? Both of you are discusting....
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1408. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-29 12:53:41 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <pLOnb.6648$q65.2231@newssvr27.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3004
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Private" <groundwave@telus.net> wrote in message
news:eb867ae8.0310282134.47e3015d@posting.google.com...
> I cannot believe these two clowns are still at it. I found some of
> their original comments and sure enough they are as racist as they
> come. People have a right not be discriminated against or abused
> because of color, race and religion etc....

Here he goes again, whining -----

>
> If they don't stop making racist posts on this "RADIO" newsgroup I am
> sure people in their own town will find out about them. They will be
> very embarassed very soon. Keep it up Charlie and Danny... Keep it
> up....

---- and snapping like the mangy, coy dog that he is.

Bow Wow!

Good luck on attempting to "embarass" me, Fido! One of local hams here joined the Army, and was recently stationed in Baghdad. After being flown into Quwait, he was put in a convoy headed toward Bagdhad airport. Within hours of moving into Iraq, my friend's convoy was attacked and he had to mow down a couple of screaming raghead morons so that they could continue their rudely interrupted cruise down the road to Baghdad. Iraq is infested with hundreds imported raghead terrorists from Saudi Arabia and Syria these days, all anxious to die. Like all of the local hams, The hams in my area are proud that my buddy was able to expedite the way to hell for a few of those worthless arab scum.

Again: Good luck with "embarassing" me, dog-boy! :-P

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

1409. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-29 13:21:44 UTC
From: Alan <alannc44@{nospam}ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <I9Pnb.35524$IA2.1252122@twister.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 3006
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

I guess Bush is a genius. Maybe that's what it takes to invent new words.
Yesterday it was, "suiciders". It's one thing though to invent words,
another to invent enemies behind every tree.

Here's a nice shot of your "genius" hero Rumsfield shaking hands with Saddam
a few years ago: http://www.mydd.com/archives/000427.html

Ah, who to believe in this mixed up world. Politicians are politicians. None
of which are geniuses. Calling politicians geniuses is a slap in the face to
Marconi, Maxwell, and Tesla.

Alan

--
Windsurfing Club: http://www.ibscc.org

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:bDCnb.7913$X22.1515@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> You could search the entire world and not find a better place to fight
> terrorists. A major sandbox surrounded by ragheads that need their ass
> kicked.
>
> Bush is a genius. Rummy is a genius. You will see.
>
> Let me ask you this; Would you rather have these ragheads blowing up
> Boston?
>
> Dan/W4NTI

1410. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-29 13:28:46 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <igPnb.6658$hd5.5003@newssvr27.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3007
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Ryan, KC8PMX" <REMOVETHISkc8pmx@diamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:bnodb2$13u4j4$3@ID-189073.news.uni-berlin.de...
>
> My way of thinking is "Don't hate the man... hate the thoughts driving
that
> man....."

That's a good policy, right up until the point where innocent people are murdered or enslaved by "men" who happen to be thinking those thoughts you hate.

Do not confuse the map with the territory. The thousands of innocent victims of 9-11 were not killed by "thoughts"... They were killed by "men", if you want to be generous and classify arab terrorist scum as "men".

My feeling is that when they step beyond the pale of civilized behavior to become terrorists, then they no longer qualify as "men", but instead must be handled like as you would handle a rabid dog. - You put them out of their misery before any innocent people can end up being hurt by them, and to prevent them from infecting other dogs.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

1411. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-29 17:13:39 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <7zSnb.9052$X22.8274@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 3008
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Guess what "Private"...two can play that game. I just sicked my buddy on
you. He works for the Feds. They track down pedofiles and such. He is
making you a 'special' case.

See you in the funny papers.....payback is a mo fo...bro.

Dan/W4NTI

"Private" <groundwave@telus.net> wrote in message
news:eb867ae8.0310282134.47e3015d@posting.google.com...
> I cannot believe these two clowns are still at it. I found some of
> their original comments and sure enough they are as racist as they
> come. People have a right not be discriminated against or abused
> because of color, race and religion etc....
>
> If they don't stop making racist posts on this "RADIO" newsgroup I am
> sure people in their own town will find out about them. They will be
> very embarassed very soon. Keep it up Charlie and Danny... Keep it
> up....
>
>
> > Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)
> > Rt 2 Box 46
> > Lyford TX
> > 78569
> > >
> > > Asked about his astounding success in mowing down thousands of
screaming
> > > raghead fanatics with very few casualties (sound familiar?) , the
Israeli
> > > general agreed to give the reporter the exclusive secret to his
> > > unprecedented military success...
> > >
> > > "Fight Arabs." he said.
> > >
> > > Charles Brabham, N5PVL
>
>
> > Daniel L. Jeswald
> > 89 Wildman Road
> > Anniston AL
> > 36201

> >
> > > Wouldn't surprise me a bit. I thought from the git-go that Iraq would
turn
> > > into a quagmire. Unfortunatly for those fighting the fight, it is
serving a
> > > purpose. It is keeping the ragheads 'occupied'. And its not in Boise
> > > Idaho.
> > >
> > > As for your political commentary. As far as I'm concerned the
Democratic
> > > party left me when they turned it over to NOW and Phyliss.
> > >
> > > That does NOT mean I support Baby Bush 100%. However lets see how
things
> > > go.
> > >
> > > Dan/W4NTI

1412. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-29 17:16:04 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <oBSnb.9053$X22.3106@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 3009
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

I used to hate the Vietnamese. But now 30 plus years later I can tolerate them. That makes me a racist? OK Im a racist. So what?

I reckon that after we nuke the ragheads and let god sort them out I'll be able to tolerate them also.

Dan/W4NTI

"Ryan, KC8PMX" <REMOVETHISkc8pmx@diamondcs.net> wrote in message news:bnodb2$13u4j4$3@ID-189073.news.uni-berlin.de...
> Just like you and I.... I am quite sure there are things that we will fight
> to death disagreeing about, and I am sure there are some things that we will
> agree on too....
>
> My way of thinking is "Don't hate the man... hate the thoughts driving that
> man....." As Cecil stated, for the most part I am also closely aligned to
> the Libertarian party, which is even more "right wing" than the
> Republicrats, but I do believe in the basic human rights issues. I don't
> hate all Arabs.... just the fundamental/orthodox ones like Osama, and the
> others responsible for 9/11 and other related events.
>
>
>
> --
> Ryan KC8PMX
>
> Some people are like Slinkies . . . not really good for anything, but you
> still can't help but smile when you see one tumble down the stairs.
>
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:kQxnb.9908$FI2.1929@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> > Yes it is Ryan....I'm still discombodulated.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > "Ryan, KC8PMX" <REMOVETHISkc8pmx@diamondcs.net> wrote in message
> > news:bnlq3k$12jjnj$2@ID-189073.news.uni-berlin.de...
> > > Scary, isn't it???

> > >
> > > Ryan KC8PMX
> > >
> > > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> > > news:BiUmb.5642$FI2.887@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> > > > Dang Clint....Im amazed...We actually agree on the important things.
> > > >
> > > > Dan/W4NTI
> > > >
> > > > "Clint" <rattlehead at computron dot net> wrote in message
> > > > news:vpnuq4c6f04gb9@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > > Most politically correct types are. They are a gutless, spineless
> > > > > breed that hide behind everything possible and when it's safe
> > > > > to come out of thier holes, they snipe and take pot shots at
> > > > > everything that is good and decent, if not just anything
> > > > > that doesn't fit thier sleazy, terrible, hate-filled agenda.
> > > > >
> > > > > N.O.W., Earth First!, the ACLU and other yellow bellied
> > > > > organizations like that are now quickly losing all clout and
> > > > > political strength they have because they have gone to
> > > > > the well of jealousy & race conflict just one time to many
> > > > > to drink from, and now thier paying the price.
> > > > >
> > > > > Good riddance to bad rubbish.
> > > > >
> > > > > Clint
> > > > >
> > > > > --
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > "w4jle" <W4JLE(remove this to reply)@pbtcomm.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:bngrgi$8289$1@news3.infoave.net...
> > > > > > If your so proud of your politically correct stance, why the
heck
> > are
> > > > > you
> > > > > > posting behind a screen name?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You are a low life bastard posting addresses of those you
disagree
> > > with
> > > > > ,
> > > > > > while hiding in the dark.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Private" <groundwave@telus.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:eb867ae8.0310260739.20e91357@posting.google.com...
> > > > > > > I cannot believe these two posts below. Charles D. Braham,
you
> > are

> > > > > > > worse then Dan L. Jeswald who started the thread making racist
> > > > > > > remarks. You guys just don't get it do you, where does it
stop?
> > > > > > > Arabs? Black people? Both of you are discusting....
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > >
> > >
>
>

1413. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-29 17:17:38 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <SCSnb.9055$X22.448@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 3010
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:igPnb.6658$hd5.5003@newssvr27.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Ryan, KC8PMX" <REMOVETHISkc8pmx@diamondcs.net> wrote in message
> news:bnodb2$13u4j4$3@ID-189073.news.uni-berlin.de...
> >
> > My way of thinking is "Don't hate the man... hate the thoughts driving
> that
> > man....."
>
> That's a good policy, right up until the point where innocent people are
> murdered or enslaved by "men" who happen to be thinking those thoughts you
> hate.
>
> Do not confuse the map with the territory. The thousands of innocent
victims
> of 9-11 were not killed by "thoughts"... They were killed by "men", if you
> want to be generous and classify arab terrorist scum as "men".
>
> My feeling is that when they step beyond the pale of civilized behavior to
> become terrorists, then they no longer qualify as "men", but instead must
be
> handled like as you would handle a rabid dog. - You put them out of their
> misery before any innocent people can end up being hurt by them, and to
> prevent them from infecting other dogs.
>
> Charles Brabham, N5PVL
>
>
>

OH NO!!!!!! Poor "Private" he is flippin all over the cubicle.

Dan/W4NTI

1414. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-29 17:24:47 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <zJSnb.9061$X22.1965@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 3011
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Can you imagine, liberal puke, how things would have gone if Klintoon was
still president on 9/11 ?

Uh.....I.....didn't have sex with Sadam....uh we just shook
hands.....uh.....wait a minute Monica.....uh as for Osama....uh....he is
just a bit confused...uh....ok I gotta go....uh uh..

Dan/W4NTI

"Alan" <alannc44@{nospam}ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:I9Pnb.35524$IA2.1252122@twister.southeast.rr.com...
> I guess Bush is a genius. Maybe that's what it takes to invent new words.
> Yesterday it was, "suiciders". It's one thing though to invent words,
> another to invent enemies behind every tree.
>
> Here's a nice shot of your "genius" hero Rumsfield shaking hands with
Saddam
> a few years ago: http://www.mydd.com/archives/000427.html
>
> Ah, who to believe in this mixed up world. Politicians are politicians.
None
> of which are geniuses. Calling politicians geniuses is a slap in the face
to
> Marconi, Maxwell, and Tesla.
>
> Alan
>
> --
> Windsurfing Club: http://www.ibscc.org
>
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:bDCnb.7913$X22.1515@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> > You could search the entire world and not find a better place to fight
> > terrorists. A major sandbox surrounded by ragheads that need their ass
> > kicked.
> >
> > Bush is a genius. Rummy is a genius. You will see.
> >
> > Let me ask you this; Would you rather have these ragheads blowing up
> > Boston?
> >

> > Dan/W4NTI
>
>
>

1415. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-29 18:09:49 UTC
From: Alan <alannc44@{nospam}ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <NnTnb.38062$IA2.1285265@twister.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 3012
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

How could things have gone any worse? We still don't have Osama, and guys are still dying in Afghanistan even though the news acts like it's fallen off the earth.

As far as Sadam, what, specifically, did he have to do with 9/11? When it comes down to it, he was probably more of an ally against militant Islamic terrorists than any other.

....and, I'm probably more conservative than you..... There's nothing more liberal than "nation building", something we were told six years ago that wasn't going to happen under this presidency. My how things change.

I'll make a prediction: the 87 billion dollars going to Iraq is just the beginning.

Alan
WN4HOG

--
Windsurfing Club: http://www.ibscc.org

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message news:zJSnb.9061$X22.1965@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> Can you imagine, liberal puke, how things would have gone if Klintoon was
> still president on 9/11 ?
>
> Uh.....I.....didn't have sex with Sadam....uh we just shook
> hands.....uh.....wait a minute Monica.....uh as for Osama....uh....he is
> just a bit confused...uh....ok I gotta go....uh uh..
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Alan" <alannc44@{nospam}ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:I9Pnb.35524$IA2.1252122@twister.southeast.rr.com...
> > I guess Bush is a genius. Maybe that's what it takes to invent new words.
> > Yesterday it was, "suiciders". It's one thing though to invent words,
> > another to invent enemies behind every tree.
> >
> > Here's a nice shot of your "genius" hero Rumsfield shaking hands with
> Saddam
> > a few years ago: http://www.mydd.com/archives/000427.html
> >

> > Ah, who to believe in this mixed up world. Politicians are politicians.
> None
> > of which are geniuses. Calling politicians geniuses is a slap in the
face
> to
> > Marconi, Maxwell, and Tesla.
> >
> > Alan
> >
> > --
> > Windsurfing Club: http://www.ibscc.org
> >
> >
> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> > news:bDCnb.7913$X22.1515@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> > > You could search the entire world and not find a better place to fight
> > > terrorists. A major sandbox surrounded by ragheads that need their
ass
> > > kicked.
> > >
> > > Bush is a genius. Rummy is a genius. You will see.
> > >
> > > Let me ask you this; Would you rather have these ragheads blowing up
> > > Boston?
> > >
> > > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> >
> >
>
>

1416. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-29 18:33:32 UTC
From: rhf-mail@pacbell.net
Message-ID: <e5e13af8.0310291033.67873ed2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3013
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

```
= = = "Ryan, KC8PMX" <REMOVETHISkc8pmx@diamondcs.net>
= = = wrote in message news:<bnodb2$13u4j4$3@ID-189073.news.uni-berlin.de>...
>
> I am also closely aligned to the Libertarian party,
> which is even more "right wing" than the Republicrats,
> but I do believe in the basic human rights issues.
>
> --
> Ryan KC8PMX
>
```

Ryan,

I think that many (most) Libertarians would view themselves as
Moderate or more "middle-of-the-road'.

Not as extreme as the "Right Wing" [Ultra-Conservative Christian]
segment of the Republican Party.

Or, not as extreme as the "Left Wing" [Socialist Secularist
Anti-Religion] segment of the Democrat Party.

Even these extremes from both ends of the political spectrum to some
degree 'believe in basic human rights': Although their definition of
what those 'basic human rights' mite be, would vary on a point or two.

~ RHF

.

.

1417. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-29 21:11:19 UTC
From: gwatts <gwatts@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <3FA02CF7.3010808@frontiernet.net>
Source record: 3014
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> In todays climate many countries are not worth getting too friendly with.
> We are at war. Govern yourself accordingly. Know your enemy.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

'We have seen the enemy, and he is us!'

-Bill Mauldin

1418. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-29 22:22:12 UTC
From: luigi_fatchenelli_125@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <16218d3a.0310291422.9b8e682@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3015
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Danny boy, does your buddy at the Feds also investigate stupidity?
You and Chuck are as racist as they come. You are right about one
thing, "payback is a mo fo...bro."

These are radio newsgroups you fool.

Luigi

These two racists make me sick!!!!

Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI)
89 Wildman Road
Anniston AL
36201

Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)
Rt 2 Box 46
Lyford TX
78569

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<7zSnb.9052$X22.8274@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> Guess what "Private"...two can play that game. I just sicked my buddy on
> you. He works for the Feds. They track down pedofiles and such. He is
> making you a 'special' case.
>
> See you in the funny papers.....payback is a mo fo...bro.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Private" <groundwave@telus.net> wrote in message
> news:eb867ae8.0310282134.47e3015d@posting.google.com...
> > I cannot believe these two clowns are still at it. I found some of
> > their original comments and sure enough they are as racist as they
> > come. People have a right not be discriminated against or abused
> > because of color, race and religion etc....
> >
> > If they don't stop making racist posts on this "RADIO" newsgroup I am
> > sure people in their own town will find out about them. They will be
> > very embarassed very soon. Keep it up Charlie and Danny... Keep it
> > up....
> >
> >

> > > Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)
> > > Rt 2 Box 46
> > > Lyford TX
> > > 78569
> > > >
> > > > Asked about his astounding success in mowing down thousands of
> screaming
> > > > raghead fanatics with very few casualties (sound familiar?) , the
> Israeli
> > > > general agreed to give the reporter the exclusive secret to his
> > > > unprecedented military success...
> > > >
> > > > "Fight Arabs." he said.
> > > >
> > > > Charles Brabham, N5PVL
> >
> >
> > > Daniel L. Jeswald
> > > 89 Wildman Road
> > > Anniston AL
> > > 36201
> > >
> > > > Wouldn't surprise me a bit. I thought from the git-go that Iraq would
> turn
> > > > into a quagmire. Unfortunatly for those fighting the fight, it is
> serving a
> > > > purpose. It is keeping the ragheads 'occupied'. And its not in Boise
> > > > Idaho.
> > > >
> > > > As for your political commentary. As far as I'm concerned the
> Democratic
> > > > party left me when they turned it over to NOW and Phyliss.
> > > >
> > > > That does NOT mean I support Baby Bush 100%. However lets see how
> things
> > > > go.
> > > >
> > > > Dan/W4NTI

1419. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-29 22:54:28 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <EyXnb.12505$FI2.7115@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 3016
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Let me try to explain thing in special english.

Bush and Rummy are smart.

Most Americans are dumb.

The idea is to occupy territory in the middle of the zoo zone.

The purpose of the army is to kill enemies of the USA.

The Iraqi regime was a enemy of this country.

A. They paid money to the family of the murders that killed women and children in Israel

B. They provided safe passage for terrorists.

C. They provided logistical support to terrorists.

D. In cahoots with Syria they helped to funnel
funds to terrorist organizations, such as hezzbolah, which is guarding the Chemical weapons Sadam made in the Beka valley of Lebannon. Which is controlled by Syria.

Have you noticed how quickly Iran has become nice nice with Washington? Think maybe it might have something to do with 130,000 US Combat troops on their border?

You must understand that it is much much better to have ragheads throwing bombs and shooting off RPGs in raghead land, than some city in the USA. You do agree don't you?

So basically I am saying we are using the hell out of Iraq. What place is better to have a fight?

You are hung up on the WMD thing. Move on, history is bypassing you.

I agree, we were mislead. But what is the option at this point? Do we re-call our warriors and watch the middle east explode? We have made our bed and its time we layed in it.

In otherwords we are in the middle of the crap. So we have to take care of business.

I hope that one day you Bush haters will get your head screwed on straight and realize that you are HURTING this country.

Jane Fonda did the same thing about Nam. It hurts morale. It caused
American troops to die. It extended the war.

Stop repeating history and back this president so we can take care of
business and get the hell out of there.

Dan/W4NTI

"Alan" <alannc44@{nospam}ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:NnTnb.38062$IA2.1285265@twister.southeast.rr.com...
> How could things have gone any worse? We still don't have Osama, and guys
> are still dying in Afghanistan even though the news acts like it's fallen
> off the earth.
>
> As far as Sadam, what, specifically, did he have to do with 9/11? When
it
> comes down to it, he was probably more of an ally against militant Islamic
> terrorists than any other.
>
> ....and, I'm probably more conservative than you..... There's nothing
more
> liberal than "nation building", something we were told six years ago that
> wasn't going to happen under this presidency. My how things change.
>
> I'll make a prediction: the 87 billion dollars going to Iraq is just the
> beginning.
>
> Alan
> WN4HOG
>
> --
> Windsurfing Club: http://www.ibscc.org
>
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:zJSnb.9061$X22.1965@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> > Can you imagine, liberal puke, how things would have gone if Klintoon
was
> > still president on 9/11 ?
> >
> > Uh.....I.....didn't have sex with Sadam....uh we just shook
> > hands.....uh.....wait a minute Monica.....uh as for Osama....uh....he is
> > just a bit confused...uh....ok I gotta go....uh uh..
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > "Alan" <alannc44@{nospam}ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> > news:I9Pnb.35524$IA2.1252122@twister.southeast.rr.com...
> > > I guess Bush is a genius. Maybe that's what it takes to invent new
> words.
> > > Yesterday it was, "suiciders". It's one thing though to invent words,
> > > another to invent enemies behind every tree.

> > >
> > > Here's a nice shot of your "genius" hero Rumsfield shaking hands with
> > Saddam
> > > a few years ago: http://www.mydd.com/archives/000427.html
> > >
> > > Ah, who to believe in this mixed up world. Politicians are
politicians.
> > None
> > > of which are geniuses. Calling politicians geniuses is a slap in the
> face
> > to
> > > Marconi, Maxwell, and Tesla.
> > >
> > > Alan
> > >
> > > --
> > > Windsurfing Club: http://www.ibscc.org
> > >
> > >
> > > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> > > news:bDCnb.7913$X22.1515@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> > > > You could search the entire world and not find a better place to
fight
> > > > terrorists. A major sandbox surrounded by ragheads that need their
> ass
> > > > kicked.
> > > >
> > > > Bush is a genius. Rummy is a genius. You will see.
> > > >
> > > > Let me ask you this; Would you rather have these ragheads blowing
up
> > > > Boston?
> > > >
> > > > Dan/W4NTI
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1420. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-29 22:55:59 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <3AXnb.12511$FI2.4795@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 3017
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Hey Luigi, you a wanta meet my cousin? His name is Guido.

Dan/W4NTI

"luigi" <luigi_fatchenelli_125@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:16218d3a.0310291422.9b8e682@posting.google.com...
> Danny boy, does your buddy at the Feds also investigate stupidity?
> You and Chuck are as racist as they come. You are right about one
> thing, "payback is a mo fo...bro."
>
> These are radio newsgroups you fool.
>
> Luigi
>
>
> These two racists make me sick!!!!
>
> Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI)
> 89 Wildman Road
> Anniston AL
> 36201
>
> Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)
> Rt 2 Box 46
> Lyford TX
> 78569
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<7zSnb.9052$X22.8274@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> > Guess what "Private"...two can play that game. I just sicked my buddy
on
> > you. He works for the Feds. They track down pedofiles and such. He is
> > making you a 'special' case.
> >
> > See you in the funny papers.....payback is a mo fo...bro.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > "Private" <groundwave@telus.net> wrote in message
> > news:eb867ae8.0310282134.47e3015d@posting.google.com...
> > > I cannot believe these two clowns are still at it. I found some of
> > > their original comments and sure enough they are as racist as they

> > > come. People have a right not be discriminated against or abused
> > > because of color, race and religion etc....
> > >
> > > If they don't stop making racist posts on this "RADIO" newsgroup I am
> > > sure people in their own town will find out about them. They will be
> > > very embarassed very soon. Keep it up Charlie and Danny... Keep it
> > > up....
> > >
> > >
> > > > Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)
> > > > Rt 2 Box 46
> > > > Lyford TX
> > > > 78569
> > > > >
> > > > > Asked about his astounding success in mowing down thousands of
> > screaming
> > > > > raghead fanatics with very few casualties (sound familiar?) ,
the
> > Israeli
> > > > > general agreed to give the reporter the exclusive secret to his
> > > > > unprecedented military success...
> > > > > >
> > > > > "Fight Arabs." he said.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Charles Brabham, N5PVL
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Daniel L. Jeswald
> > > > 89 Wildman Road
> > > > Anniston AL
> > > > 36201
> > > >
> > > > > Wouldn't surprise me a bit. I thought from the git-go that Iraq
would
> > turn
> > > > > into a quagmire. Unfortunatly for those fighting the fight, it is
> > serving a
> > > > > purpose. It is keeping the ragheads 'occupied'. And its not in
Boise
> > > > > Idaho.
> > > > > >
> > > > > As for your political commentary. As far as I'm concerned the
> > Democratic
> > > > > party left me when they turned it over to NOW and Phyliss.
> > > > > >
> > > > > That does NOT mean I support Baby Bush 100%. However lets see how
> > things
> > > > > go.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Dan/W4NTI

1421. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-29 22:57:10 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <aBXnb.12513$FI2.7648@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 3018
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

How about bitching out 'Private'. He is the son of a bee that started this
line. And I'll damn sure end it.

Dan/W4NTI

"luigi" <luigi_fatchenelli_125@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:16218d3a.0310291422.9b8e682@posting.google.com...
> Danny boy, does your buddy at the Feds also investigate stupidity?
> You and Chuck are as racist as they come. You are right about one
> thing, "payback is a mo fo...bro."
>
> These are radio newsgroups you fool.
>
> Luigi
>
>
> These two racists make me sick!!!!
>
> Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI)
> 89 Wildman Road
> Anniston AL
> 36201
>
> Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)
> Rt 2 Box 46
> Lyford TX
> 78569
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<7zSnb.9052$X22.8274@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> > Guess what "Private"...two can play that game. I just sicked my buddy
on
> > you. He works for the Feds. They track down pedofiles and such. He is
> > making you a 'special' case.
> >
> > See you in the funny papers.....payback is a mo fo...bro.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > "Private" <groundwave@telus.net> wrote in message
> > news:eb867ae8.0310282134.47e3015d@posting.google.com...
> > > I cannot believe these two clowns are still at it. I found some of

> > > their original comments and sure enough they are as racist as they
> > > come. People have a right not be discriminated against or abused
> > > because of color, race and religion etc....
> > >
> > > If they don't stop making racist posts on this "RADIO" newsgroup I am
> > > sure people in their own town will find out about them. They will be
> > > very embarassed very soon. Keep it up Charlie and Danny... Keep it
> > > up....
> > >
> > >
> > > > Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)
> > > > Rt 2 Box 46
> > > > Lyford TX
> > > > 78569
> > > > >
> > > > > Asked about his astounding success in mowing down thousands of
> > screaming
> > > > > raghead fanatics with very few casualties (sound familiar?) ,
the
> > Israeli
> > > > > general agreed to give the reporter the exclusive secret to his
> > > > > unprecedented military success...
> > > > >
> > > > > "Fight Arabs." he said.
> > > > >
> > > > > Charles Brabham, N5PVL
> > >
> > >
> > > > Daniel L. Jeswald
> > > > 89 Wildman Road
> > > > Anniston AL
> > > > 36201
> > > >
> > > > > Wouldn't surprise me a bit. I thought from the git-go that Iraq
would
> > turn
> > > > > into a quagmire. Unfortunatly for those fighting the fight, it is
> > serving a
> > > > > purpose. It is keeping the ragheads 'occupied'. And its not in
Boise
> > > > > Idaho.
> > > > >
> > > > > As for your political commentary. As far as I'm concerned the
> > Democratic
> > > > > party left me when they turned it over to NOW and Phyliss.
> > > > >
> > > > > That does NOT mean I support Baby Bush 100%. However lets see how
> > things
> > > > > go.
> > > > >

> > > > > Dan/W4NTI

1422. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-29 23:01:59 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <HFXnb.1338$QB5.15929524@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3019
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"gwatts" <gwatts@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:3FA02CF7.3010808@frontiernet.net...
>
> 'We have seen the enemy, and he is us!'

That was from "Pogo", wasn't it? I have forgotten.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

1423. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-30 00:36:27 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <f2Znb.12612$FI2.7196@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 3020
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Amazing....he must have nothing to do.

Dan/W4NTI

"Jay" <jsouter@REMOVEbellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:bfYnb.63955$h47.11535@bignews4.bellsouth.net...
>
> On Wed, 29 Oct 2003 22:55:59 GMT, Dan/W4NTI
<w4nti@getridofthismindspring.com> wrote:
> > Hey Luigi, you a wanta meet my cousin? His name is Guido.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
>
> Luigi == Private
>
> He's just morphed but is trolling from the same IP address in
> Edmonton, Alberta. The boy ain't too skilled at trolling.
>
> So <plonk> him and move on. Don't feed the troll.

1424. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-30 04:28:24 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <lr0ob.505$mc1.180@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3021
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:f2Znb.12612$FI2.7196@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> Amazing....he must have nothing to do.
>

Amazing that we didn't have anything better to do than answer him, but
that's how it goes, sometimes.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

1425. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-30 05:10:12 UTC
From: luigi_fatchenelli_125@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <16218d3a.0310292110.83d8726@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3022
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Again Daniel, this is a Radio newsgroup. You and Chuck should take
your racist comments to yourselves. Everyone knows the type of people
you are.

All you have to do is read your own posts to see who started what.
You got caught, now grow up.

Luigi

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<aBXnb.12513$FI2.7648@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> How about bitching out 'Private'. He is the son of a bee that started this
> line. And I'll damn sure end it.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "luigi" <luigi_fatchenelli_125@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:16218d3a.0310291422.9b8e682@posting.google.com...
> > Danny boy, does your buddy at the Feds also investigate stupidity?
> > You and Chuck are as racist as they come. You are right about one
> > thing, "payback is a mo fo...bro."
> >
> > These are radio newsgroups you fool.
> >
> > Luigi
> >
> >
> > These two racists make me sick!!!!
> >
> > Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI)
> > 89 Wildman Road
> > Anniston AL
> > 36201
> >
> > Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)
> > Rt 2 Box 46
> > Lyford TX
> > 78569
> >
> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:<7zSnb.9052$X22.8274@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> > > Guess what "Private"...two can play that game. I just sicked my buddy

> on
> > > you. He works for the Feds. They track down pedofiles and such. He is
> > > making you a 'special' case.
> > >
> > > See you in the funny papers.....payback is a mo fo...bro.
> > >
> > > Dan/W4NTI
> > >
> > > "Private" <groundwave@telus.net> wrote in message
> > > news:eb867ae8.0310282134.47e3015d@posting.google.com...
> > > > I cannot believe these two clowns are still at it. I found some of
> > > > their original comments and sure enough they are as racist as they
> > > > come. People have a right not be discriminated against or abused
> > > > because of color, race and religion etc....
> > > >
> > > > If they don't stop making racist posts on this "RADIO" newsgroup I am
> > > > sure people in their own town will find out about them. They will be
> > > > very embarassed very soon. Keep it up Charlie and Danny... Keep it
> > > > up....
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > > Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)
> > > > > Rt 2 Box 46
> > > > > Lyford TX
> > > > > 78569
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Asked about his astounding success in mowing down thousands of
> screaming
> > > > > > raghead fanatics with very few casualties (sound familiar?) ,
> the
> Israeli
> > > > > > general agreed to give the reporter the exclusive secret to his
> > > > > > unprecedented military success...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Fight Arabs." he said.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Charles Brabham, N5PVL
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Daniel L. Jeswald
> > > > > 89 Wildman Road
> > > > > Anniston AL
> > > > > 36201
> > > > >
> > > > > > Wouldn't surprise me a bit. I thought from the git-go that Iraq
> would
> turn
> > > > > > into a quagmire. Unfortunatly for those fighting the fight, it is
> serving a
> > > > > > purpose. It is keeping the ragheads 'occupied'. And its not in

> Boise
> > > > > > Idaho.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > As for your political commentary. As far as I'm concerned the
> Democratic
> > > > > > party left me when they turned it over to NOW and Phyliss.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > That does NOT mean I support Baby Bush 100%. However lets see how
> things
> > > > > > go.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dan/W4NTI

1426. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-30 09:35:57 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <1Y4ob.18946$lu7.11572@newssvr29.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3023
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"luigi" <luigi_fatchenelli_125@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:16218d3a.0310292110.83d8726@posting.google.com...
> Again Daniel, this is a Radio newsgroup. You and Chuck should take
> your racist comments to yourselves. Everyone knows the type of people
> you are.

First it's the anti-ham trolls, now it's the anti-american trolls.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

1427. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-30 14:33:44 UTC
From: Alan <alannc44@{nospam}ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <cj9ob.31947$fl1.1439993@twister.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 3024
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

I appreciate your "special english". Very well written and easy to read.

What evades me now are some of the conclusions and logic. On one hand you say Bush and Rummy are smart, then you admit we're in the middle of crap. (Iraq) Who put us there? And, don't go saying it was the terrorists. The terrorists we were after were in Afghanistan, Yemen, and Indonesia, with help from all over the world, including our buddies the Saudis. The response to the 9/11 terrorists should've been considered separately from our policy concerning Iraq. Bush-Cheney did a splendid job of mingling and confusing the two disparate issues.

You wanted a sand box to fight in, what was wrong with Afghanistan? Why the shift? Just as we were moving in on Ladin we did this major shift to Iraq. That made no sense.

As far as Iran, we were already on speaking terms. The upcoming elections and internal moderation were already under way long before this whole mess. Both Iran, and especially Iraq, were sworn enemies of Ben Ladin. They were actually on our side as far as routing out Al Qaida. And, as far as justification for invading Iraq based on supporting Hamas, and others, what about Saudi Arabia, home of The Wahhabi sect, certainly no pals of ours. I don't know what agenda Bush, Cheney, and Rumsfield had for going into Iraq. Which theory sounds good to you: Being Israel's big brother, or getting our hands on all that oil? Perhaps it was the personal vendetta Bush Jr. had for avenging the threat made to Bush senior by Saddam? Who knows. The point is, we had the World's sympathy after 9/11 and now we've squandered it, along with 87 billion plus dollars into quick sand.

Our option? Re-hire the Iraqi military.....who did NOT fight us while we entered their country. Help them establish some sort of code and leadership, perhaps through the UN.... and leave Iraq to the Iraqis. We're now just 'occupier's, and as such are quickly drawing the ire of all moderate Arabs. People who could help us.

Yep, 87 billion is just the beginning. Real smart your heroes. Oh, and don't leave out Cheney (Halliburton) in your adoration. He's pretty cunning too.

Alan
WN4HOG

--
Windsurfing Club: http://www.ibscc.org

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:EyXnb.12505$FI2.7115@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> Let me try to explain thing in special english.
>
> Bush and Rummy are smart.
>
> Most Americans are dumb.
>
> The idea is to occupy territory in the middle of the zoo zone.
>
> The purpose of the army is to kill enemies of the USA.
>
> The Iraqi regime was a enemy of this country.
>
> A. They paid money to the family of the murders that killed women and
> children in Israel
>
> B. They provided safe passage for terrorists.
>
> C. They provided logistical support to terrorists.
>
> D. In cahoots with Syria they helped to funnel
> funds to terrorist organizations, such as hezzbolah, which is guarding
the
> Chemical weapons Sadam made in the Beka valley of Lebannon. Which is
> controlled by Syria.
>
> Have you noticed how quickly Iran has become nice nice with Washington?
> Think maybe it might have something to do with 130,000 US Combat troops on
> their border?
>
> You must understand that it is much much better to have ragheads throwing
> bombs and shooting off RPGs in raghead land, than some city in the USA.
You
> do agree don't you?
>
> So basically I am saying we are using the hell out of Iraq. What place is
> better to have a fight?
>
> You are hung up on the WMD thing. Move on, history is bypassing you.
>
> I agree, we were mislead. But what is the option at this point? Do we
> re-call our warriors and watch the middle east explode? We have made our
> bed and its time we layed in it.
>
> In otherwords we are in the middle of the crap. So we have to take care
of
> business.
>
> I hope that one day you Bush haters will get your head screwed on straight
> and realize that you are HURTING this country.

>
> Jane Fonda did the same thing about Nam. It hurts morale. It caused
> American troops to die. It extended the war.
>
> Stop repeating history and back this president so we can take care of
> business and get the hell out of there.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Alan" <alannc44@{nospam}ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:NnTnb.38062$IA2.1285265@twister.southeast.rr.com...
> > How could things have gone any worse? We still don't have Osama, and
guys
> > are still dying in Afghanistan even though the news acts like it's
fallen
> > off the earth.
> >
> > As far as Sadam, what, specifically, did he have to do with 9/11? When
> it
> > comes down to it, he was probably more of an ally against militant
Islamic
> > terrorists than any other.
> >
> > ....and, I'm probably more conservative than you..... There's nothing
> more
> > liberal than "nation building", something we were told six years ago
that
> > wasn't going to happen under this presidency. My how things change.
> >
> > I'll make a prediction: the 87 billion dollars going to Iraq is just the
> > beginning.
> >
> > Alan
> > WN4HOG
> >
> > --
> > Windsurfing Club: http://www.ibscc.org
> >
> >
> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> > news:zJSnb.9061$X22.1965@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> > > Can you imagine, liberal puke, how things would have gone if Klintoon
> was
> > > still president on 9/11 ?
> > >
> > > Uh.....I.....didn't have sex with Sadam....uh we just shook
> > > hands.....uh.....wait a minute Monica.....uh as for Osama....uh....he
is
> > > just a bit confused...uh....ok I gotta go....uh uh..
> > >

> > > Dan/W4NTI
> > >
> > > "Alan" <alannc44@{nospam}ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> > > news:I9Pnb.35524$IA2.1252122@twister.southeast.rr.com...
> > > > I guess Bush is a genius. Maybe that's what it takes to invent new
> > words.
> > > > Yesterday it was, "suiciders". It's one thing though to invent
words,
> > > > another to invent enemies behind every tree.
> > > >
> > > > Here's a nice shot of your "genius" hero Rumsfield shaking hands
with
> > > Saddam
> > > > a few years ago: http://www.mydd.com/archives/000427.html
> > > >
> > > > Ah, who to believe in this mixed up world. Politicians are
> politicians.
> > > None
> > > > of which are geniuses. Calling politicians geniuses is a slap in the
> > face
> > > to
> > > > Marconi, Maxwell, and Tesla.
> > > >
> > > > Alan
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > Windsurfing Club: http://www.ibscc.org
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:bDCnb.7913$X22.1515@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> > > > > You could search the entire world and not find a better place to
> fight
> > > > > terrorists. A major sandbox surrounded by ragheads that need
their
> > ass
> > > > > kicked.
> > > > >
> > > > > Bush is a genius. Rummy is a genius. You will see.
> > > > >
> > > > > Let me ask you this; Would you rather have these ragheads blowing
> up
> > > > > Boston?
> > > > >
> > > > > Dan/W4NTI
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >

> >
> >
>
>

1428. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-30 15:59:08 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <gzaob.13900$FI2.13118@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 3025
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:1Y4ob.18946$lu7.11572@newssvr29.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "luigi" <luigi_fatchenelli_125@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:16218d3a.0310292110.83d8726@posting.google.com...
> > Again Daniel, this is a Radio newsgroup. You and Chuck should take
> > your racist comments to yourselves. Everyone knows the type of people
> > you are.
>
> First it's the anti-ham trolls, now it's the anti-american trolls.
>
> Charles Brabham, N5PVL
>
>
>

I never realized how some Canadians were. I always thought Canada and the
USA were really like brothers. I no longer think that way.

Dan/W4NTI

1429. Re: Check out this pendant!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-30 22:06:42 UTC
From: Guyz-N-Flyz <goobersmoocher@waydownsouth.net>
Message-ID: <s_fob.4781$SV2.316@bignews3.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 3026
Cross-posted to:
rec.video,rec.sport.softball,rec.outdoors.fishing.fly,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,comp.databases.postgresql.ports.cygwin

"Gal Shop" <introducing@newstore.com> wrote in message
news:FT3ob.78$AO3.5244@nnrp1.ozemail.com.au...
> "Ladybug" opens her wings to reveal a watch! 27 1/2" chain.
> mother-of-pearl face. Quartz.
>
> Our Price: $12.95
>
> www.GalShop.com

WOW! That was real nice. NOW GO FUCK YOURSELF!!!!!!!!

HTH

Op

1430. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-31 01:33:43 UTC
From: luigi_fatchenelli_125@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <16218d3a.0310301733.2b405e5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3027
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Are you feeling OK Chuck?

No one is being anti-american. We are all just tired of your and
Daniel's racist remarks on a radio newsgroup.

Stop posting on here already and leave us all alone!

Luigi

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<gzaob.13900$FI2.13118@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> "charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> news:1Y4ob.18946$lu7.11572@newssvr29.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "luigi" <luigi_fatchenelli_125@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:16218d3a.0310292110.83d8726@posting.google.com...
> > > Again Daniel, this is a Radio newsgroup. You and Chuck should take
> > > your racist comments to yourselves. Everyone knows the type of people
> > > you are.
> >
> > First it's the anti-ham trolls, now it's the anti-american trolls.
> >
> > Charles Brabham, N5PVL
> >
> >
> >
>
> I never realized how some Canadians were. I always thought Canada and the
> USA were really like brothers. I no longer think that way.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

1431. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-31 11:57:42 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <W6sob.9165$r63.66685022@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3028
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"luigi" <luigi_fatchenelli_125@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:16218d3a.0310301733.2b405e5@posting.google.com...
> Are you feeling OK Chuck?
>
> No one is being anti-american. We are all just tired of your and
> Daniel's racist remarks on a radio newsgroup.

Who is this "we" supposed to be? You and Osama Ben Laden?

Again, you can call my remarks "racist" all you like, but that will not
change the facts. My posts all stand on their own merit.

>
> Stop posting on here already and leave us all alone!

I've been posting here for close to ten years, so far. Do us all a favor,
and hold your breath until I stop posting here.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

1432. Re: Check out this pendant!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-31 13:36:24 UTC
From: Gabba Gabba Hey <zippy@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <a0o4qv4s65e9acta8rmflalsn1ggr6iv05@4ax.com>
Source record: 3029
Cross-posted to:
rec.video,rec.sport.softball,rec.outdoors.fishing.fly,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,comp.databases.postgresql.ports.cygwin

On Thu, 30 Oct 2003 17:06:42 -0500, "Guyz-N-Flyz"
<goobersmoocher@waydownsouth.net> wrote:

>
>"Gal Shop" <introducing@newstore.com> wrote in message
>news:FT3ob.78$AO3.5244@nnrp1.ozemail.com.au...
>> "Ladybug" opens her wings to reveal a watch! 27 1/2" chain.
>> mother-of-pearl face. Quartz.
>>
>> Our Price: $12.95
>>
>> www.GalShop.com
>
>WOW! That was real nice. NOW GO FUCK YOURSELF!!!!!!!!
>
>HTH
>
>Op
>

My only objection is that they do not list a toll free number to
call...

1433. Re: Check out this pendant!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-31 14:46:59 UTC
From: georgecleveland@email.msn.com
Message-ID: <3fa27562.43647504@news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 3030
Cross-posted to:
rec.video,rec.sport.softball,rec.outdoors.fishing.fly,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,comp.databases.postgresql.ports.cygwin

On Thu, 30 Oct 2003 17:06:42 -0500, "Guyz-N-Flyz"
<goobersmoocher@waydownsouth.net> wrote:

>
>"Gal Shop" <introducing@newstore.com> wrote in message
>news:FT3ob.78$AO3.5244@nnrp1.ozemail.com.au...
>> "Ladybug" opens her wings to reveal a watch! 27 1/2" chain.
>> mother-of-pearl face. Quartz.
>>
>> Our Price: $12.95
>>
>> www.GalShop.com
>
>WOW! That was real nice. NOW GO FUCK YOURSELF!!!!!!!!
>
>HTH
>
>Op
>
>
Jeez when I saw the thread title I assumed it would be a link to the guy on eBay
who sells those little tiny fly reel pendants. In the pictures he always has
them nestled in the cleavage of some amply endowed female. At least I hope its a
female. :^0

g.c.

1434. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-31 18:44:11 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <%3yob.15857$FI2.3002@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 3031
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:W6sob.9165$r63.66685022@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "luigi" <luigi_fatchenelli_125@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:16218d3a.0310301733.2b405e5@posting.google.com...
> > Are you feeling OK Chuck?
> >
> > No one is being anti-american. We are all just tired of your and
> > Daniel's racist remarks on a radio newsgroup.
>
> Who is this "we" supposed to be? You and Osama Ben Laden?
>
> Again, you can call my remarks "racist" all you like, but that will not
> change the facts. My posts all stand on their own merit.
>
> >
> > Stop posting on here already and leave us all alone!
>
> I've been posting here for close to ten years, so far. Do us all a favor,
> and hold your breath until I stop posting here.
>
> Charles Brabham, N5PVL
>
>

I too could care less what he calls it. I don't consider calling someone a
raghead racist. If he does, thats HIS PROBLEM.

I too have been on here a LONG time. It will take a whole lot more that
that Canadian Commie has to run me off.

Take your best shot....raghead.

Dan/W4NTI

1435. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-31 19:51:30 UTC
From: Ed Price <edprice@cox.net>
Message-ID: <Z2zob.115322$La.36881@fed1read02>
Source record: 3032
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"gwatts" <gwatts@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:3FA02CF7.3010808@frontiernet.net...
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > In todays climate many countries are not worth getting too friendly
with.
> > We are at war. Govern yourself accordingly. Know your enemy.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
>
> 'We have seen the enemy, and he is us!'
>
> -Bill Mauldin
>

Not quite. That was Walt Kelly, via Pogo.

Bill Mauldin gave us such gems as:

Is there a view like this for the enlisted men?
I got a target for ya, but ya gotta be patient!
A movin' foxhole attracts the eye!
Don't startle the Kraut; he's got a full bottle!
I'm an expert on European dirt!
The Benevolent & Protective Association of Them What Has Been Shot At.

Ed
wb6wsn

1436. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-31 20:16:41 UTC
From: Ed Price <edprice@cox.net>
Message-ID: <Aqzob.115324$La.18304@fed1read02>
Source record: 3033
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Alan" <alannc44@{nospam}ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:NnTnb.38062$IA2.1285265@twister.southeast.rr.com...
> How could things have gone any worse? We still don't have Osama, and guys
> are still dying in Afghanistan even though the news acts like it's fallen
> off the earth.
>
> As far as Sadam, what, specifically, did he have to do with 9/11? When
it
> comes down to it, he was probably more of an ally against militant Islamic
> terrorists than any other.

The only unfortunate thing about knocking off Sadaam was that he was hated by Al Queda, and, in return, did his best to exterminate them. The enemy of my enemy isn't always my friend. It's quite possible to have two differing enemies. And sometimes, it's wisest to let you and him fight. I think it will be enormously ironic if we ultimately find that Sadaam sealed his destiny by being a great bluffer.

Sadaam earned his fate by attacking our economic lifeline, and being a generally de-stabilizing force in a region quite important to the USA. Yes, it is about the oil! Just how many Grannys in Schenectady have to freeze to death because they can't afford heat until we recognize that our economy is totally dependent on oil availability & pricing?

Temporarily, we work with despicable foreigners who despise us, because we are dependent on them in some way. The industrial world's dependence on oil has caused the worst economic imbalance we could imagine, giving economic power to many who are unfit to govern a bucket of shit.

The best thing we could do for America would be to greatly reduce our economy's dependence on foreign oil. OTOH, I don't expect this to happen in my lifetime.

Ed
wb6wsn

1437. Re: Check out this pendant!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-31 22:36:02 UTC
From: Guyz-N-Flyz <goobersmoocher@waydownsouth.net>
Message-ID: <CxBob.6446$un.1477@bignews6.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 3034
Cross-posted to:
rec.video,rec.sport.softball,rec.outdoors.fishing.fly,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,comp.databases.postgresql.ports.cygwin

"Greg Pavlov" <x117usenet@fastmail.fm> wrote in message
news:3fa67021.1320188@news.cis.dfn.de...
> My objection is that you guys repeated the ad twice,
> together with the url, and thus gave it three times the
> circulation it would have had otherwise. If you're
> going to complain about a spam post, please at
> least cut down the quote to the point that you're
> not doing the spammer's' work for them.

My only problem was not posting it in HTML and providing the pic again.

Op --my bad--

1438. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-31 23:17:01 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <N3Cob.16134$FI2.2173@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 3035
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Ed Price" <edprice@cox.net> wrote in message
news:Aqzob.115324$La.18304@fed1read02...
>
> "Alan" <alannc44@{nospam}ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:NnTnb.38062$IA2.1285265@twister.southeast.rr.com...
> > How could things have gone any worse? We still don't have Osama, and
guys
> > are still dying in Afghanistan even though the news acts like it's
fallen
> > off the earth.
> >
> > As far as Sadam, what, specifically, did he have to do with 9/11? When
> it
> > comes down to it, he was probably more of an ally against militant
Islamic
> > terrorists than any other.
>
> The only unfortunate thing about knocking off Sadaam was that he was hated
> by Al Queda, and, in return, did his best to exterminate them. The enemy
of
> my enemy isn't always my friend. It's quite possible to have two differing
> enemies. And sometimes, it's wisest to let you and him fight. I think it
> will be enormously ironic if we ultimately find that Sadaam sealed his
> destiny by being a great bluffer.
>
> Sadaam earned his fate by attacking our economic lifeline, and being a
> generally de-stabilizing force in a region quite important to the USA.
Yes,
> it is about the oil! Just how many Grannys in Schenectady have to freeze
to
> death because they can't afford heat until we recognize that our economy
is
> totally dependent on oil availability & pricing?
>
> Temporarily, we work with despicable foreigners who despise us, because we
> are dependent on them in some way. The industrial world's dependence on
oil
> has caused the worst economic imbalance we could imagine, giving economic
> power to many who are unfit to govern a bucket of shit.
>
> The best thing we could do for America would be to greatly reduce our

> economy's dependence on foreign oil. OTOH, I don't expect this to happen
in
> my lifetime.
>
> Ed
> wb6wsn
>
>
Good idea Ed. How is this to be accomplished?

Everytime a 'plan' comes up the left wing invironemental waco's jump up and down. Maybe we can start burning trees that are not eaten by the pine beetle. Oh...that wont work either will it?

See my point?

Dan/W4NTI

1439. Q15X25 Activity?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-31 23:46:50 UTC
From: rh3x@erols.com
Message-ID: <7gs5qvkld5nkg0m0ibftma1tooe388bvjt@4ax.com>
Source record: 3036

Had one QSO on 21113KHz several month ago. Any activity on that freq
or any other HF freq?

Tks
de Rich/N2JR

1440. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-10-31 23:58:22 UTC
From: luigi_fatchenelli_125@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <16218d3a.0310311558.5a5f66d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3037
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Both of you racists keep posting this crap on a Radio newsgroup!

You two clowns need some serious help.

http://www.joebly.com/5c-p_sad-clown.jpg

Luigi

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<%3yob.15857$FI2.3002@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> "charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> news:W6sob.9165$r63.66685022@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "luigi" <luigi_fatchenelli_125@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:16218d3a.0310301733.2b405e5@posting.google.com...
> > > Are you feeling OK Chuck?
> > >
> > > No one is being anti-american. We are all just tired of your and
> > > Daniel's racist remarks on a radio newsgroup.
> >
> > Who is this "we" supposed to be? You and Osama Ben Laden?
> >
> > Again, you can call my remarks "racist" all you like, but that will not
> > change the facts. My posts all stand on their own merit.
> >
> > >
> > > Stop posting on here already and leave us all alone!
> >
> > I've been posting here for close to ten years, so far. Do us all a favor,
> > and hold your breath until I stop posting here.
> >
> > Charles Brabham, N5PVL
> >
> >
>
> I too could care less what he calls it. I don't consider calling someone a
> raghead racist. If he does, thats HIS PROBLEM.
>
> I too have been on here a LONG time. It will take a whole lot more that
> that Canadian Commie has to run me off.
>
> Take your best shot....raghead.

>
> Dan/W4NTI

1441. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-01 00:21:26 UTC
From: jimmy_b909@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3b7f049c.0310311621.276cb11b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3038
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Luigi don't bother with these two fools. They are both probably
married to their sisters anyway. I also saw their posts and we all
know how un-American and racist they are.

Jimmy Baker

"Even a stopped clock gives the right time twice a day"

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<%3yob.15857$FI2.3002@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> "charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> news:W6sob.9165$r63.66685022@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "luigi" <luigi_fatchenelli_125@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:16218d3a.0310301733.2b405e5@posting.google.com...
> > > Are you feeling OK Chuck?
> > >
> > > No one is being anti-american. We are all just tired of your and
> > > Daniel's racist remarks on a radio newsgroup.
> >
> > Who is this "we" supposed to be? You and Osama Ben Laden?
> >
> > Again, you can call my remarks "racist" all you like, but that will not
> > change the facts. My posts all stand on their own merit.
> >
> > >
> > > Stop posting on here already and leave us all alone!
> >
> > I've been posting here for close to ten years, so far. Do us all a favor,
> > and hold your breath until I stop posting here.
> >
> > Charles Brabham, N5PVL
> >
> >
>
> I too could care less what he calls it. I don't consider calling someone a
> raghead racist. If he does, thats HIS PROBLEM.
>
> I too have been on here a LONG time. It will take a whole lot more that
> that Canadian Commie has to run me off.
>
> Take your best shot....raghead.

>
> Dan/W4NTI

1442. Re: Q15X25 Activity?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-01 00:27:46 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <66Dob.892$YN7.259@newssvr27.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3039

There is an "e-group" at Yahoo.com called Q15x25 which is the best
source of info on this mode that I have found.

Hope this helps!

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

<rh3x@erols.com> wrote in message
news:7gs5qvkld5nkg0m0ibftma1tooe388bvjt@4ax.com...
> Had one QSO on 21113KHz several month ago. Any activity on that freq
> or any other HF freq?
>
> Tks
> de Rich/N2JR
>

1443. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-01 00:46:22 UTC
From: rhf-mail@pacbell.net
Message-ID: <e5e13af8.0310311646.92fce0e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3040
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

```
= = = "Ed Price" <edprice@cox.net>
= = = wrote in message news:<Z2zob.115322$La.36881@fed1read02>...
> "gwatts" <gwatts@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:3FA02CF7.3010808@frontiernet.net...
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > > In todays climate many countries are not worth getting too friendly
> with.
> > > We are at war. Govern yourself accordingly. Know your enemy.
> > >
> > > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > 'We have seen the enemy, and he is us!'
> >
> > -Bill Mauldin
> >
>

> Not quite. That was Walt Kelly, via Pogo.

Hey, some of us remember Pogo and Albert :o)

>
> Bill Mauldin gave us such gems as:
>
> Is there a view like this for the enlisted men?
> I got a target for ya, but ya gotta be patient!
> A movin' foxhole attracts the eye!
> Don't startle the Kraut; he's got a full bottle!
> I'm an expert on European dirt!
> The Benevolent & Protective Association of Them What Has Been Shot At.
>
> Ed
> wb6wsn
```

1444. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-01 02:21:48 UTC
From: Ross Archer <archer@topnow.com>
Message-ID: <3FA318BC.55C2DBB5@topnow.com>
Source record: 3041
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Ed Price wrote:
[snip]
>
> The only unfortunate thing about knocking off Sadaam was that he was hated
> by Al Queda, and, in return, did his best to exterminate them. The enemy of
> my enemy isn't always my friend. It's quite possible to have two differing
> enemies. And sometimes, it's wisest to let you and him fight. I think it
> will be enormously ironic if we ultimately find that Sadaam sealed his
> destiny by being a great bluffer.

Far from ironic, it was probably part of a deliberate and relatively clever strategy that went awry for this tyrant.

I intend to show (again) why the argument "Saddam Hussein wouldn't thwart the inspections unless he was hiding large amounts of WMDs" is profoundly ignorant. He would thwart the inspections regardless. Let me explain why.

Say, for the sake of argument, that Saddam had MASSIVE stockpiles of WMDs. It would stand to reason he would thwart the inspection process in every way possible, to postpone or avoid their discovery. This much is obvious.

Now say, for the sake of argument, that Saddam's WMD arsenal was decimated in 1991, and he could NOT overcome the inspection regime, the surveillance, and the no-fly zone enforcement to rebuild his arsenal significantly. What would he do? He would thwart the inspection process in every way possible. Why? Because once it came out, that Saddam couldn't outfox the West, it would make Saddam look weak and reduce his intimidation power drastically. Megalomaniacs simply don't do that.

As background, it's perfectly obvious that Saddam Hussein is/was a demagogue with intentions of expanding his influence to rule the Arab world. Does anyone seriously doubt his megalomania? Just look at the cult of personality he's created, if you remain doubtful. He loves (loved?) worship. Now, if you're a megalomaniac dictator, with aspirations to power and influence, who personally gains pleasure from the worship and fear of others, how would YOU act?

First, by "standing up" to the inspections, Israel, or whatever rabidy anti-Western Arabs hate, his popularity among rabidly anti-Western Arabs (of which I hear there are a few) skyrockets. He looks strong and therefore an attractive candidate for leading them. Perhaps even better than Osama bin Laden, his chief competitor to that aspiration. This feeds right into his megalomania. He wants power and followers, and by "standing up to" the allies, and Israel by proxy, he gains major political capital that might have been useful once Saddam had successfully rebuilt his conventional military. A weak nation could be conquered and "Saddamized" rather easily with nothing more than his strong conventional military and an indigenous population of committed sympathetic radicals.

Second, by seeming to have WMDs, he intimidates us more. He uses our stupidity, namely "Why else would he thwart the inspection process at every turn if he wasn't hiding weapons?", against us. Saddam figured the allied forces would think twice before striking at him given the potential for mayhem our soliders would face from these (assumed whether real or not) WMDs. So bluffing at having WMDs was a free deterrent he thought would protect his power. A classic poker bluff.

So it's not very hard to see why he would pretend to have weapons that were blasted to dust in 1991 that he couldn't rebuild.

Where it went wrong for Saddam was he underestimated the single-mindedness of the US Administration to remove him from power. This was something Wolfowitz, Perle, Rumsfeldt, and others had wanted to do for years (cf. PNAC).

With the mounting failures to find any evidence of WMDs in Iraq, the only thing to do for an Administration committed to war was to cook the junk evidence and rush to war at maximum possible speed. And that's precisely what they did.

We achieved the result of pissing off our allies, who understood that removing Saddam was a US political objective that had nothing to do with security of the USA or much of anyone (except Israel, which gains obvious benefits). They openly criticized us and the quality of our evidence. It was junk evidence. They knew it. Everybody knew it except the American and British people, evidently, because they both were the targets of a thinly-disguised sales job.

To bolster the case for going to war, they even went to Iraqi dissidents for threat assessments. The Iraqi dissidents had every reason to co-operate in any scheme to unseat Saddam, and CIA internal documents show they did not consider this source to be reliable at all. Yet their

known-unreliable statements were often repeated
as a justification for war against Iraq. They also
selectively grabbed the minority of evidence bolstering the
position that Saddam had stockpiles of WMDs we just couldn't
find, and ignored the rest, in their making a case for war.

What went wrong for the Bush Administration, is more and
more of the American people (and even more of the British)
are seeing that we were sold a bill of goods by a cabal of
explicitly dishonest manipulators and their dupes.

What went wrong for the American people is we're losing
soldiers virtually every day in a country that posed no
significant threat to our security interests, prior to
invasion.

I said two things before, and history proved me right on
both. First, Saddam didn't have significant WMDs. They
will never be found, because they aren't there. Second, the
Bush Administration is one of the most incompetent and
dishonest we've seen in our history. As the facts emerge
(and it will take quite some time given this is by far the
most secretive Administration in our history), eventually
Bush's Administration will win the mendacity crown from
Richard Milhous Nixon.

>
> Sadaam earned his fate by attacking our economic lifeline, and being a
> generally de-stabilizing force in a region quite important to the USA. Yes,
> it is about the oil! Just how many Grannys in Schenectady have to freeze to
> death because they can't afford heat until we recognize that our economy is
> totally dependent on oil availability & pricing?

Jimmy Carter tried very hard to get alternative energy
programs underway. As you can imagine, it was primarily oil
and gas interests that lobbied -- and eventually succeeded
-- in killing subsidies to their competitors. Though some
falsely claim it was environmentalists who were to blame,
this appears only to be true in the nuclear energy case,
where in fact environmentalists did great harm by forcing
the use of dirty coal instead of at-least-cleaner nuclear
energy.

But show me where environmentalists engaged in a concerted
successful effort to block government subsidies for wind and
solar research in a significant way, and I'll buy you lunch.

>
> Temporarily, we work with despicable foreigners who despise us, because we
> are dependent on them in some way. The industrial world's dependence on oil
> has caused the worst economic imbalance we could imagine, giving economic
> power to many who are unfit to govern a bucket of shit.

Saddam wasn't fit to rule the viruses in the bucket of shit.
I'm glad he's gone, but the US economy will suffer for
decades due to the foolish idiocy and dishonesty of this
horrible and corrupt secretive Administration.
>
> The best thing we could do for America would be to greatly reduce our
> economy's dependence on foreign oil. OTOH, I don't expect this to happen in
> my lifetime.

Probably not. Until the entrenched energy interests figure
out a way to get government money for research, or how to
make a profit, or when reserves finally push gasoline up to
$5 a gallon or so. Then the tune will change by necessity.
Market forces will eventually compel the use of alternatives
to oil and gas. Whether it's in 10 years or 200, I can't
honestly guess. Could just as easily be either.

-- Ross

>
> Ed
> wb6wsn

1445. Re: Luigi talks to himself as Jimmy Baker (formerly "Private")

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-01 10:58:11 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <7IMob.11738$Ib7.5963@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 3042
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Jay" <jsouter@REMOVEbellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:1tDob.6075$BX.3844@bignews5.bellsouth.net...
>
> On 31 Oct 2003 16:21:26 -0800, Jimmy Baker <jimmy_b909@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > Luigi don't bother with these two fools. They are both probably
> > married to their sisters anyway. I also saw their posts and we all
> > know how un-American and racist they are.
> >
> > Jimmy Baker
>
> Edmonton is full of towel-heads, isn't it?

No, this person is just a lone sicko. It was fun yanking his chain for a
while but now I'm getting bored with him. It's sad but I've noticed that
these sicko types are inevitably very bored, very boring people. I suppose
that's why it doesn't bother them to repeat themselves. After all, they have
no real expectation of ever saying anything interesting or relevant. - or
on topic, for that matter.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

1446. SkySweeper new version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-01 15:36:55 UTC
From: Tapio Salonen <tapios@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <bo0jv2jhf1@phys-news1.kolumbus.fi>
Source record: 3043
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

New version of Skysweeper seems to be in their web site (www.skysweep.com)

-Tapsa

1447. SkySweeper new version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-01 15:38:42 UTC
From: Tapio Salonen <tapios@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <bo0k2cjld1@phys-news1.kolumbus.fi>
Source record: 3044
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

New version of Skysweeper seems to be in their web site (www.skysweep.com)

-Tapsa

1448. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-01 17:50:12 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <onSob.329$Oo4.274@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 3045
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

And just what are you, a Canadian pussy, going to do about it? Bring your
arse down here and we can find out.

You got my address Private.

Dan/W4NTI

"luigi" <luigi_fatchenelli_125@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:16218d3a.0310311558.5a5f66d@posting.google.com...
> Both of you racists keep posting this crap on a Radio newsgroup!
>
> You two clowns need some serious help.
>
> http://www.joebly.com/5c-p_sad-clown.jpg
>
> Luigi
>
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<%3yob.15857$FI2.3002@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> > "charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> > news:W6sob.9165$r63.66685022@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
> > >
> > > "luigi" <luigi_fatchenelli_125@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > news:16218d3a.0310301733.2b405e5@posting.google.com...
> > > > Are you feeling OK Chuck?
> > > >
> > > > No one is being anti-american. We are all just tired of your and
> > > > Daniel's racist remarks on a radio newsgroup.
> > >
> > > Who is this "we" supposed to be? You and Osama Ben Laden?
> > >
> > > Again, you can call my remarks "racist" all you like, but that will
not
> > > change the facts. My posts all stand on their own merit.
> > >
> > > >
> > > > Stop posting on here already and leave us all alone!
> > >
> > > I've been posting here for close to ten years, so far. Do us all a
favor,
> > > and hold your breath until I stop posting here.

> > >
> > > Charles Brabham, N5PVL
> > >
> > >
> >
> > I too could care less what he calls it. I don't consider calling
someone a
> > raghead racist. If he does, thats HIS PROBLEM.
> >
> > I too have been on here a LONG time. It will take a whole lot more that
> > that Canadian Commie has to run me off.
> >
> > Take your best shot....raghead.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI

1449. Re: Luigi talks to himself as Jimmy Baker (formerly "Private")

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-01 17:52:24 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <spSob.330$Oo4.306@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 3046
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:7lMob.11738$Ib7.5963@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Jay" <jsouter@REMOVEbellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:1tDob.6075$BX.3844@bignews5.bellsouth.net...
> >
> > On 31 Oct 2003 16:21:26 -0800, Jimmy Baker <jimmy_b909@hotmail.com>
wrote:
> > > Luigi don't bother with these two fools. They are both probably
> > > married to their sisters anyway. I also saw their posts and we all
> > > know how un-American and racist they are.
> > >
> > > Jimmy Baker
> >
> > Edmonton is full of towel-heads, isn't it?
>
> No, this person is just a lone sicko. It was fun yanking his chain for a
> while but now I'm getting bored with him. It's sad but I've noticed that
> these sicko types are inevitably very bored, very boring people. I suppose
> that's why it doesn't bother them to repeat themselves. After all, they
have
> no real expectation of ever saying anything interesting or relevant. - or
> *on topic*, for that matter.
>
> Charles Brabham, N5PVL
>
>

Good point Charles.

Dan/W4NTI

1450. Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Brabham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-01 19:13:15 UTC
From: larry_jefferies@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <61ed6067.0311011113.764a2a12@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3047
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

I cannot belive this is actually not moderated out of this group
somehow. Is there anyway we can kick these two losers off of this
group?

If anyone knows let me know.

Larry

> These two racists make me sick!!!!
>
> Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI)
> 89 Wildman Road
> Anniston AL
> 36201
>
> Charles D. Brabham (N5PVL)
> Rt 2 Box 46
> Lyford TX
> 78569
> Phone: 956-347-3612
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<7zSnb.9052$X22.8274@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> > Guess what "Private"...two can play that game. I just sicked my buddy on
> > you. He works for the Feds. They track down pedofiles and such. He is
> > making you a 'special' case.
> >
> > See you in the funny papers.....payback is a mo fo...bro.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > > I cannot believe these two clowns are still at it. I found some of
> > > their original comments and sure enough they are as racist as they
> > > come. People have a right not be discriminated against or abused
> > > because of color, race and religion etc....
> > >
> > > If they don't stop making racist posts on this "RADIO" newsgroup I am
> > > sure people in their own town will find out about them. They will be
> > > very embarassed very soon. Keep it up Charlie and Danny... Keep it
> > > up....
> > >
> > >

> > > > Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)
> > > > Rt 2 Box 46
> > > > Lyford TX
> > > > 78569
> > > > >
> > > > > Asked about his astounding success in mowing down thousands of
> screaming
> > > > > raghead fanatics with very few casualties (sound familiar?) , the
> Israeli
> > > > > general agreed to give the reporter the exclusive secret to his
> > > > > unprecedented military success...
> > > > > >
> > > > > "Fight Arabs." he said.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Charles Brabham, N5PVL
> > > >
> > >
> > > Daniel L. Jeswald
> > > 89 Wildman Road
> > > Anniston AL
> > > 36201
> > > >
> > > > Wouldn't surprise me a bit. I thought from the git-go that Iraq would
> turn
> > > > into a quagmire. Unfortunatly for those fighting the fight, it is
> serving a
> > > > purpose. It is keeping the ragheads 'occupied'. And its not in Boise
> > > > Idaho.
> > > > >
> > > > As for your political commentary. As far as I'm concerned the
> Democratic
> > > > party left me when they turned it over to NOW and Phyliss.
> > > > >
> > > > That does NOT mean I support Baby Bush 100%. However lets see how
> things
> > > > go.
> > > > >
> > > > Dan/W4NTI

1451. Re: Check out this pendant!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-01 19:22:43 UTC
From: Exiled <sixyearsandcounting@fromlife.com>
Message-ID: <7KTob.89411$Go5.1681410@twister.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 3049
Cross-posted to:
rec.video,rec.sport.softball,rec.outdoors.fishing.fly,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,comp.databases.postgresql.ports.cygwin

> >"Gal Shop" <introducing@newstore.com> wrote in message
> >news:FT3ob.78$AO3.5244@nnrp1.ozemail.com.au...
> >> "Ladybug" opens her wings to reveal a watch! 27 1/2" chain.
> >> mother-of-pearl face. Quartz.
> >>
> >> Our Price: $12.95
> >>
> >> www.GalShop.com
> >
> >WOW! That was real nice. NOW GO FUCK YOURSELF!!!!!!!!
> >

Great language to use in a forum that is visited by people involved in kids sports.

1452. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-01 19:27:33 UTC
From: mwelch@madbbs.com
Message-ID: <39385c80.0311011127.f45dc3d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 3048
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Hi Ross, I entirely agree with your assessment of the pathogenesis of the current episode of war fever. This war is the neoconservatives' war. Soon to be the American people's war if it can be conflated, after the fact, with the so-called War On Terror. If the occupation can be made to draw in Al Quaeda and other insurgents and the witting or unwitting participation of other states in the region, then presto, we have the perfect post hoc justification for the invasion in the first place and the perfect pretext for toppling the next domino, so the neocons desire.

But in their overweening certainty and their impetuous rush to war they overlooked the need for international troops to man the occupation and the innate hostility and justifiable suspicion of the Iraqi people. If coalition forces do not find themselves in a quagmire, at the very least they find themselves taking first steps in a long hard slog (an uncharacteristic moment of honest introspection by Rumsfeld or a deliberate leak to better position himself in the internecine blame game now playing out within the administration?). Bogged down as they are in a police action which is dispiriting and not their brief, our troops cannot be cycled out to go onto bigger and better things. If the neocons in charge had played their hand more adroitly, had awaited a season of inspections and won over full international cooperation as did Bush the Elder, then perhaps even now they would be spurring their destriers into Damascas or even into Tehran. The trick now is to bite off more than we can chew, get into grave difficulty, and rally the American people around the troops. Then take another bite. The neocons have repeatedly claimed that the WMD's are buried in the sands of the Bekaa valley, that is why they are nowhere to be found in Iraq. Perhaps this is the next bite of the apple gleaming in their eye. Events, mostly of their own making, have overtaken their resources, not their ambition. If regional war can be achieved, look for a call to resurrect the Draft.

I have read that Rummy and crew established their own little intelligence agency within the civilian side of the Pentagon which examined the raw data collected by the traditional spooks and culled out only the bits which gave credence to a pro-war assessment, even, as you say, going so far as to rely upon the unsupportable evidence presented by unreliable characters such as Chalabi (sic), a member of the diaspora for forty years, and others. Having made their own assessment in their own way, they injected it high up into the national security brief presented to Bush without having it vetted

through the Intelligence chain of command. This is how all the dubious claims about Saddam's WMD's and hostile intentions came to be included in the President's speeches, and how the Niger uranium claim, based on crudely forged documents and widely discredited within the CIA and the State Department, seemed to take on a half-life of its own. The neocon chefs cooked the intelligence books. I have also read that Sharon has his own little rump intelligence agency which is mistrusted by the Mossad.

I wouldn't give Bush too much credit for deviousness. Nixon he is not. I think what happened after 9/11 is that the neocons played to Bush's exchatological leanings. I think they duped him and they duped the American people. Maybe now, with the continuing difficulties we face in subduing Iraq, he is wising up and reevaluating how we got into this mess in the first place.

Regards,

Grumpus

Ross Archer <archer@topnow.com> wrote in message news:<3FA318BC.55C2DBB5@topnow.com>...
> Ed Price wrote:
> [snip]
> >
> > The only unfortunate thing about knocking off Sadaam was that he was hated
> > by Al Queda, and, in return, did his best to exterminate them. The enemy of
> > my enemy isn't always my friend. It's quite possible to have two differing
> > enemies. And sometimes, it's wisest to let you and him fight. I think it
> > will be enormously ironic if we ultimately find that Sadaam sealed his
> > destiny by being a great bluffer.
>
> Far from ironic, it was probably part of a deliberate and
> relatively clever strategy that went awry for this tyrant.
>
> I intend to show (again) why the argument "Saddam Hussein
> wouldn't thwart the inspections unless he was hiding large
> amounts of WMDs" is profoundly ignorant. He would thwart the
> inspections regardless. Let me explain why.
>
> Say, for the sake of argument, that Saddam had MASSIVE
> stockpiles of WMDs. It would stand to reason he would
> thwart the inspection process in every way possible, to
> postpone or avoid their discovery. This much is obvious.
>
> Now say, for the sake of argument, that Saddam's WMD arsenal
> was decimated in 1991, and he could NOT overcome the
> inspection regime, the surveillance, and the no-fly zone
> enforcement to rebuild his arsenal significantly. What
> would he do? He would thwart the inspection process in
> every way possible. Why? Because once it came out, that
> Saddam couldn't outfox the West, it would make Saddam look

> weak and reduce his intimidation power drastically.
> Megalomaniacs simply don't do that.
>
> As background, it's perfectly obvious that Saddam Hussein
> is/was a demagogue with intentions of expanding his
> influence to rule the Arab world. Does anyone seriously
> doubt his megalomania? Just look at the cult of personality
> he's created, if you remain doubtful. He loves (loved?)
> worship. Now, if you're a megalomaniac dictator, with
> aspirations to power and influence, who personally gains
> pleasure from the worship and fear of others, how would YOU
> act?
>
> First, by "standing up" to the inspections, Israel, or
> whatever rabidy anti-Western Arabs hate, his popularity
> among rabidly anti-Western Arabs (of which I hear there are
> a few) skyrockets. He looks strong and therefore an
> attractive candidate for leading them. Perhaps even better
> than Osama bin Laden, his chief competitor to that
> aspiration. This feeds right into his megalomania. He
> wants power and followers, and by "standing up to" the
> allies, and Israel by proxy, he gains major political
> capital that might have been useful once Saddam had
> successfully rebuilt his conventional military. A weak
> nation could be conquered and "Saddamized" rather easily
> with nothing more than his strong conventional military and
> an indigenous population of committed sympathetic radicals.
>
> Second, by seeming to have WMDs, he intimidates us more. He
> uses our stupidity, namely "Why else would he thwart the
> inspection process at every turn if he wasn't hiding
> weapons?", against us. Saddam figured the allied forces
> would think twice before striking at him given the potential
> for mayhem our soliders would face from these (assumed
> whether real or not) WMDs. So bluffing at having WMDs was a
> free deterrent he thought would protect his power. A
> classic poker bluff.
>
> So it's not very hard to see why he would pretend to have
> weapons that were blasted to dust in 1991 that he couldn't
> rebuild.
>
> Where it went wrong for Saddam was he underestimated the
> single-mindedness of the US Administration to remove him
> from power. This was something Wolfowitz, Perle, Rumsfeldt,
> and others had wanted to do for years (cf. PNAC).
>
> With the mounting failures to find any evidence of WMDs in
> Iraq, the only thing to do for an Administration committed
> to war was to cook the junk evidence and rush to war at

> maximum possible speed. And that's precisely what they did.
>
> We achieved the result of pissing off our allies, who
> understood that removing Saddam was a US political objective
> that had nothing to do with security of the USA or much of
> anyone (except Israel, which gains obvious benefits). They
> openly criticized us and the quality of our evidence. It was
> junk evidence. They knew it. Everybody knew it except the
> American and British people, evidently, because they both
> were the targets of a thinly-disguised sales job.
>
> To bolster the case for going to war, they even went to
> Iraqi dissidents for threat assessments. The Iraqi
> dissidents had every reason to co-operate in any scheme to
> unseat Saddam, and CIA internal documents show they did not
> consider this source to be reliable at all. Yet their
> known-unreliable statements were often repeated
> as a justification for war against Iraq. They also
> selectively grabbed the minority of evidence bolstering the
> position that Saddam had stockpiles of WMDs we just couldn't
> find, and ignored the rest, in their making a case for war.
>
> What went wrong for the Bush Administration, is more and
> more of the American people (and even more of the British)
> are seeing that we were sold a bill of goods by a cabal of
> explicitly dishonest manipulators and their dupes.
>
> What went wrong for the American people is we're losing
> soldiers virtually every day in a country that posed no
> significant threat to our security interests, prior to
> invasion.
>
> I said two things before, and history proved me right on
> both. First, Saddam didn't have significant WMDs. They
> will never be found, because they aren't there. Second, the
> Bush Administration is one of the most incompetent and
> dishonest we've seen in our history. As the facts emerge
> (and it will take quite some time given this is by far the
> most secretive Administration in our history), eventually
> Bush's Administration will win the mendacity crown from
> Richard Milhous Nixon.
>
> >
> > Sadaam earned his fate by attacking our economic lifeline, and being a
> > generally de-stabilizing force in a region quite important to the USA. Yes,
> > it is about the oil! Just how many Grannys in Schenectady have to freeze to
> > death because they can't afford heat until we recognize that our economy is
> > totally dependent on oil availability & pricing?
>
> Jimmy Carter tried very hard to get alternative energy

> programs underway. As you can imagine, it was primarily oil
> and gas interests that lobbied -- and eventually succeeded
> -- in killing subsidies to their competitors. Though some
> falsely claim it was environmentalists who were to blame,
> this appears only to be true in the nuclear energy case,
> where in fact environmentalists did great harm by forcing
> the use of dirty coal instead of at-least-cleaner nuclear
> energy.
>
> But show me where environmentalists engaged in a concerted
> successful effort to block government subsidies for wind and
> solar research in a significant way, and I'll buy you lunch.
>
> >
> > Temporarily, we work with despicable foreigners who despise us, because we
> > are dependent on them in some way. The industrial world's dependence on oil
> > has caused the worst economic imbalance we could imagine, giving economic
> > power to many who are unfit to govern a bucket of shit.
>
> Saddam wasn't fit to rule the viruses in the bucket of shit.
> I'm glad he's gone, but the US economy will suffer for
> decades due to the foolish idiocy and dishonesty of this
> horrible and corrupt secretive Administration.
> >
> > The best thing we could do for America would be to greatly reduce our
> > economy's dependence on foreign oil. OTOH, I don't expect this to happen in
> > my lifetime.
>
> Probably not. Until the entrenched energy interests figure
> out a way to get government money for research, or how to
> make a profit, or when reserves finally push gasoline up to
> $5 a gallon or so. Then the tune will change by necessity.
> Market forces will eventually compel the use of alternatives
> to oil and gas. Whether it's in 10 years or 200, I can't
> honestly guess. Could just as easily be either.
>
> -- Ross
>
> >
> > Ed
> > wb6wsn

1453. Re: Check out this pendant!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-01 19:47:11 UTC
From: "Tim J." <NOkpos3wtSPAM@comcast.net.invalid>
Message-ID: <35Uob.59538$275.146499@attbi_s53>
Source record: 3050
Cross-posted to:
rec.video,rec.sport.softball,rec.outdoors.fishing.fly,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,comp.databases.postgresql.ports.cygwin

"Exiled" wrote...
> > >"Gal Shop" <introducing@newstore.com> wrote in message
> > >WOW! That was real nice. NOW GO FUCK YOURSELF!!!!!!!!
> > >
>
> Great language to use in a forum that is visited by people involved in kids
> sports.

Then why would you repeat it? - DUH! - And another DUH if you let your kids
anywhere near Usenet.

1454. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Brabham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-01 21:00:42 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.ONEDOT.org>
Message-ID: <3fa41fb0$1_5@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 2951
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Larry wrote:
> I cannot belive this is actually not moderated out of this group
> somehow. Is there anyway we can kick these two losers off of this
> group?

The powers that be do kick binaries off this newsgroup but I
assume that is an automated process.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 100,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! =-----

1455. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Brabham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-01 21:08:12 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <0hVob.588$Fy4.260@newssvr25.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 2952
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Larry" <larry_jefferies@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:61ed6067.0311011113.764a2a12@posting.google.com...
> I cannot belive this is actually not moderated out of this group
> somehow. Is there anyway we can kick these two losers off of this
> group?

No, but you can always go away, if you can't handle it.

Charlers Brabham, N5PVL

1456. Racist Troll "Larry", or "Private" or whatever....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-01 21:38:32 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <sJVob.595$aL4.375@newssvr25.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 2953
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Don't you just hate it when some racist commie thinks your ham radio newsgroup is his soap-box?

Trolls are insects, best ignored.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

1457. Re: Check out this pendant!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-01 22:43:48 UTC
From: Guyz-N-Flyz <goobersmoocher@waydownsouth.net>
Message-ID: <fJWob.10432$un.945@bignews6.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 2954
Cross-posted to:
rec.video,rec.sport.softball,rec.outdoors.fishing.fly,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,comp.databases.postgresql.ports.cygwin

"Exiled" <sixyearsandcounting@fromlife.com> wrote in message
news:7KTob.89411$Go5.1681410@twister.tampabay.rr.com...
> > >WOW! That was real nice. NOW GO FUCK YOURSELF!!!!!!!!
> > >
>
> Great language to use in a forum that is visited by people involved in
kids
> sports.

Thanks! I do my best to please all involved!

Op --who is so fuckin' sorry--

1458. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-01 23:51:30 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <6GXob.730$Oo4.467@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 2955
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

See what happens when you read Witch Hillary's book.....you get all gooey
inside....bring on that VAST RIGHTWING CONSPIRACY.

In a word ... balderdash.

Dan/W4NTI

"grumpus" <mwelch@madbbs.com> wrote in message
news:39385c80.0311011127.f45dc3d@posting.google.com...
> Hi Ross, I entirely agree with your assessment of the pathogenesis of
> the current episode of war fever. This war is the neoconservatives'
> war. Soon to be the American people's war if it can be conflated,
> after the fact, with the so-called War On Terror. If the occupation
> can be made to draw in Al Quaeda and other insurgents and the witting
> or unwitting participation of other states in the region, then presto,
> we have the perfect post hoc justification for the invasion in the
> first place and the perfect pretext for toppling the next domino, so
> the neocons desire.
>
> But in their overweening certainty and their impetuous rush to war
> they overlooked the need for international troops to man the
> occupation and the innate hostility and justifiable suspicion of the
> Iraqi people. If coalition forces do not find themselves in a
> quagmire, at the very least they find themselves taking first steps in
> a long hard slog (an uncharacteristic moment of honest introspection
> by Rumsfeld or a deliberate leak to better position himself in the
> internecine blame game now playing out within the administration?).
> Bogged down as they are in a police action which is dispiriting and
> not their brief, our troops cannot be cycled out to go onto bigger and
> better things. If the neocons in charge had played their hand more
> adroitly, had awaited a season of inspections and won over full
> international cooperation as did Bush the Elder, then perhaps even now
> they would be spurring their destriers into Damascas or even into
> Tehran. The trick now is to bite off more than we can chew, get into
> grave difficulty, and rally the American people around the troops.
> Then take another bite. The neocons have repeatedly claimed that the
> WMD's are buried in the sands of the Bekaa valley, that is why they
> are nowhere to be found in Iraq. Perhaps this is the next bite of the
> apple gleaming in their eye. Events, mostly of their own making, have
> overtaken their resources, not their ambition. If regional war can be
> achieved, look for a call to resurrect the Draft.
>

> I have read that Rummy and crew established their own little
> intelligence agency within the civilian side of the Pentagon which
> examined the raw data collected by the traditional spooks and culled
> out only the bits which gave credence to a pro-war assessment, even,
> as you say, going so far as to rely upon the unsupportable evidence
> presented by unreliable characters such as Chalabi (sic), a member of
> the diaspora for forty years, and others. Having made their own
> assessment in their own way, they injected it high up into the
> national security brief presented to Bush without having it vetted
> through the Intelligence chain of command. This is how all the
> dubious claims about Saddam's WMD's and hostile intentions came to be
> included in the President's speeches, and how the Niger uranium claim,
> based on crudely forged documents and widely discredited within the
> CIA and the State Department, seemed to take on a half-life of its
> own. The neocon chefs cooked the intelligence books. I have also
> read that Sharon has his own little rump intelligence agency which is
> mistrusted by the Mossad.
>
> I wouldn't give Bush too much credit for deviousness. Nixon he is
> not. I think what happened after 9/11 is that the neocons played to
> Bush's exchatological leanings. I think they duped him and they duped
> the American people. Maybe now, with the continuing difficulties we
> face in subduing Iraq, he is wising up and reevaluating how we got
> into this mess in the first place.
>
> Regards,
>
> Grumpus
>
>
>
> Ross Archer <archer@topnow.com> wrote in message
news:<3FA318BC.55C2DBB5@topnow.com>...
> > Ed Price wrote:
> > [snip]
> > >
> > > The only unfortunate thing about knocking off Sadaam was that he was
hated
> > > by Al Queda, and, in return, did his best to exterminate them. The
enemy of
> > > my enemy isn't always my friend. It's quite possible to have two
differing
> > > enemies. And sometimes, it's wisest to let you and him fight. I think
it
> > > will be enormously ironic if we ultimately find that Sadaam sealed his
> > > destiny by being a great bluffer.
> >
> > Far from ironic, it was probably part of a deliberate and
> > relatively clever strategy that went awry for this tyrant.
> >

> > I intend to show (again) why the argument "Saddam Hussein
> > wouldn't thwart the inspections unless he was hiding large
> > amounts of WMDs" is profoundly ignorant. He would thwart the
> > inspections regardless. Let me explain why.
> >
> > Say, for the sake of argument, that Saddam had MASSIVE
> > stockpiles of WMDs. It would stand to reason he would
> > thwart the inspection process in every way possible, to
> > postpone or avoid their discovery. This much is obvious.
> >
> > Now say, for the sake of argument, that Saddam's WMD arsenal
> > was decimated in 1991, and he could NOT overcome the
> > inspection regime, the surveillance, and the no-fly zone
> > enforcement to rebuild his arsenal significantly. What
> > would he do? He would thwart the inspection process in
> > every way possible. Why? Because once it came out, that
> > Saddam couldn't outfox the West, it would make Saddam look
> > weak and reduce his intimidation power drastically.
> > Megalomaniacs simply don't do that.
> >
> > As background, it's perfectly obvious that Saddam Hussein
> > is/was a demagogue with intentions of expanding his
> > influence to rule the Arab world. Does anyone seriously
> > doubt his megalomania? Just look at the cult of personality
> > he's created, if you remain doubtful. He loves (loved?)
> > worship. Now, if you're a megalomaniac dictator, with
> > aspirations to power and influence, who personally gains
> > pleasure from the worship and fear of others, how would YOU
> > act?
> >
> > First, by "standing up" to the inspections, Israel, or
> > whatever rabidy anti-Western Arabs hate, his popularity
> > among rabidly anti-Western Arabs (of which I hear there are
> > a few) skyrockets. He looks strong and therefore an
> > attractive candidate for leading them. Perhaps even better
> > than Osama bin Laden, his chief competitor to that
> > aspiration. This feeds right into his megalomania. He
> > wants power and followers, and by "standing up to" the
> > allies, and Israel by proxy, he gains major political
> > capital that might have been useful once Saddam had
> > successfully rebuilt his conventional military. A weak
> > nation could be conquered and "Saddamized" rather easily
> > with nothing more than his strong conventional military and
> > an indigenous population of committed sympathetic radicals.
> >
> > Second, by seeming to have WMDs, he intimidates us more. He
> > uses our stupidity, namely "Why else would he thwart the
> > inspection process at every turn if he wasn't hiding
> > weapons?", against us. Saddam figured the allied forces
> > would think twice before striking at him given the potential

> > for mayhem our soliders would face from these (assumed
> > whether real or not) WMDs. So bluffing at having WMDs was a
> > free deterrent he thought would protect his power. A
> > classic poker bluff.
> >
> > So it's not very hard to see why he would pretend to have
> > weapons that were blasted to dust in 1991 that he couldn't
> > rebuild.
> >
> > Where it went wrong for Saddam was he underestimated the
> > single-mindedness of the US Administration to remove him
> > from power. This was something Wolfowitz, Perle, Rumsfeldt,
> > and others had wanted to do for years (cf. PNAC).
> >
> > With the mounting failures to find any evidence of WMDs in
> > Iraq, the only thing to do for an Administration committed
> > to war was to cook the junk evidence and rush to war at
> > maximum possible speed. And that's precisely what they did.
> >
> > We achieved the result of pissing off our allies, who
> > understood that removing Saddam was a US political objective
> > that had nothing to do with security of the USA or much of
> > anyone (except Israel, which gains obvious benefits). They
> > openly criticized us and the quality of our evidence. It was
> > junk evidence. They knew it. Everybody knew it except the
> > American and British people, evidently, because they both
> > were the targets of a thinly-disguised sales job.
> >
> > To bolster the case for going to war, they even went to
> > Iraqi dissidents for threat assessments. The Iraqi
> > dissidents had every reason to co-operate in any scheme to
> > unseat Saddam, and CIA internal documents show they did not
> > consider this source to be reliable at all. Yet their
> > known-unreliable statements were often repeated
> > as a justification for war against Iraq. They also
> > selectively grabbed the minority of evidence bolstering the
> > position that Saddam had stockpiles of WMDs we just couldn't
> > find, and ignored the rest, in their making a case for war.
> >
> > What went wrong for the Bush Administration, is more and
> > more of the American people (and even more of the British)
> > are seeing that we were sold a bill of goods by a cabal of
> > explicitly dishonest manipulators and their dupes.
> >
> > What went wrong for the American people is we're losing
> > soldiers virtually every day in a country that posed no
> > significant threat to our security interests, prior to
> > invasion.
> >
> > I said two things before, and history proved me right on

> > both. First, Saddam didn't have significant WMDs. They
> > will never be found, because they aren't there. Second, the
> > Bush Administration is one of the most incompetent and
> > dishonest we've seen in our history. As the facts emerge
> > (and it will take quite some time given this is by far the
> > most secretive Administration in our history), eventually
> > Bush's Administration will win the mendacity crown from
> > Richard Milhous Nixon.
> >
> > >
> > > Sadaam earned his fate by attacking our economic lifeline, and being a
> > > generally de-stabilizing force in a region quite important to the USA.
Yes,
> > > it is about the oil! Just how many Grannys in Schenectady have to
freeze to
> > > death because they can't afford heat until we recognize that our
economy is
> > > totally dependent on oil availability & pricing?
> >
> > Jimmy Carter tried very hard to get alternative energy
> > programs underway. As you can imagine, it was primarily oil
> > and gas interests that lobbied -- and eventually succeeded
> > -- in killing subsidies to their competitors. Though some
> > falsely claim it was environmentalists who were to blame,
> > this appears only to be true in the nuclear energy case,
> > where in fact environmentalists did great harm by forcing
> > the use of dirty coal instead of at-least-cleaner nuclear
> > energy.
> >
> > But show me where environmentalists engaged in a concerted
> > successful effort to block government subsidies for wind and
> > solar research in a significant way, and I'll buy you lunch.
> >
> > >
> > > Temporarily, we work with despicable foreigners who despise us,
because we
> > > are dependent on them in some way. The industrial world's dependence
on oil
> > > has caused the worst economic imbalance we could imagine, giving
economic
> > > power to many who are unfit to govern a bucket of shit.
> >
> > Saddam wasn't fit to rule the viruses in the bucket of shit.
> > I'm glad he's gone, but the US economy will suffer for
> > decades due to the foolish idiocy and dishonesty of this
> > horrible and corrupt secretive Administration.
> > >
> > > The best thing we could do for America would be to greatly reduce our
> > > economy's dependence on foreign oil. OTOH, I don't expect this to
happen in

> > > my lifetime.
> >
> > Probably not. Until the entrenched energy interests figure
> > out a way to get government money for research, or how to
> > make a profit, or when reserves finally push gasoline up to
> > $5 a gallon or so. Then the tune will change by necessity.
> > Market forces will eventually compel the use of alternatives
> > to oil and gas. Whether it's in 10 years or 200, I can't
> > honestly guess. Could just as easily be either.
> >
> > -- Ross
> >
> > >
> > > Ed
> > > wb6wsn

1459. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Brabham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-01 23:55:33 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <VJXob.743$Oo4.620@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 2956
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Go ahead Larry, make my day.

Take your best shot.

Put your best foot forward.

All it proves is you can dish it out, but you can't take it.

For your INFORMATION, if you care. Neither myself or Charles started this.
I recommend you throw off the Canadian goof, you know the one...your buddy
'Private', Luigi or whatever dumb name he uses.

You see I use my real name and standby what I say. If you think it's
racist, I call you a dumbass.

See how it works?

I would love to drop this subject. Tell you what...tell your buddy to shut
the hell up and I bet that works.

Dan/W4NTI

"Larry" <larry_jefferies@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:61ed6067.0311011113.764a2a12@posting.google.com...
> I cannot belive this is actually not moderated out of this group
> somehow. Is there anyway we can kick these two losers off of this
> group?
>
> If anyone knows let me know.
>
> Larry
>
> > These two racists make me sick!!!!
> >
> > Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI)
> > 89 Wildman Road
> > Anniston AL
> > 36201
> >
> > Charles D. Brabham (N5PVL)
> > Rt 2 Box 46
> > Lyford TX
> > 78569
> > Phone: 956-347-3612

> >
> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<7zSnb.9052$X22.8274@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> > > Guess what "Private"...two can play that game. I just sicked my buddy
on
> > > you. He works for the Feds. They track down pedofiles and such. He
is
> > > making you a 'special' case.
> > >
> > > See you in the funny papers.....payback is a mo fo...bro.
> > >
> > > Dan/W4NTI
> > >
> > > > I cannot believe these two clowns are still at it. I found some of
> > > > their original comments and sure enough they are as racist as they
> > > > come. People have a right not be discriminated against or abused
> > > > because of color, race and religion etc....
> > > >
> > > > If they don't stop making racist posts on this "RADIO" newsgroup I
am
> > > > sure people in their own town will find out about them. They will b
e
> > > > very embarassed very soon. Keep it up Charlie and Danny... Keep it
> > > > up....
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > > Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)
> > > > > Rt 2 Box 46
> > > > > Lyford TX
> > > > > 78569
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Asked about his astounding success in mowing down thousands of
> > screaming
> > > > > > raghead fanatics with very few casualties (sound familiar?) ,
the
> > Israeli
> > > > > > general agreed to give the reporter the exclusive secret to his
> > > > > > unprecedented military success...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Fight Arabs." he said.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Charles Brabham, N5PVL
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Daniel L. Jeswald
> > > > > 89 Wildman Road
> > > > > Anniston AL
> > > > > 36201
> > > > >
> > > > > > Wouldn't surprise me a bit. I thought from the git-go that Iraq

would
> > turn
> > > > > > into a quagmire. Unfortunatly for those fighting the fight, it
is
> > serving a
> > > > > > purpose. It is keeping the ragheads 'occupied'. And its not in
Boise
> > > > > > Idaho.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > As for your political commentary. As far as I'm concerned the
> > Democratic
> > > > > > party left me when they turned it over to NOW and Phyliss.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > That does NOT mean I support Baby Bush 100%. However lets see
how
> > things
> > > > > > go.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dan/W4NTI

1460. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-02 02:02:44 UTC
From: mwelch@madbbs.com
Message-ID: <39385c80.0311011802.1787b4ee@posting.google.com>
Source record: 2957
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

You must have me confused with somebody else. Just today, two more soldiers of ours KIA, and our forces involved in a firefight which left ten Iraqi noncombatants dead. Tell me, how long will they love us at this rate? How long before the patience of the average Iraqi runs out? We are up against the clock friend. We didn't get into this predicament by accident, we deliberately stepped in it.

Regards,

Grumpus

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message news:<6GXob.730$Oo4.467@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> See what happens when you read Witch Hillary's book.....you get all gooey
> inside....bring on that VAST RIGHTWING CONSPIRACY.
>
> In a word ... balderdash.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "grumpus" <mwelch@madbbs.com> wrote in message
> news:39385c80.0311011127.f45dc3d@posting.google.com...
> > Hi Ross, I entirely agree with your assessment of the pathogenesis of
> > the current episode of war fever. This war is the neoconservatives'
> > war. Soon to be the American people's war if it can be conflated,
> > after the fact, with the so-called War On Terror. If the occupation
> > can be made to draw in Al Quaeda and other insurgents and the witting
> > or unwitting participation of other states in the region, then presto,
> > we have the perfect post hoc justification for the invasion in the
> > first place and the perfect pretext for toppling the next domino, so
> > the neocons desire.
> >
> > But in their overweening certainty and their impetuous rush to war
> > they overlooked the need for international troops to man the
> > occupation and the innate hostility and justifiable suspicion of the
> > Iraqi people. If coalition forces do not find themselves in a
> > quagmire, at the very least they find themselves taking first steps in
> > a long hard slog (an uncharacteristic moment of honest introspection
> > by Rumsfeld or a deliberate leak to better position himself in the
> > internecine blame game now playing out within the administration?).
> > Bogged down as they are in a police action which is dispiriting and
> > not their brief, our troops cannot be cycled out to go onto bigger and

> > better things. If the neocons in charge had played their hand more
> > adroitly, had awaited a season of inspections and won over full
> > international cooperation as did Bush the Elder, then perhaps even now
> > they would be spurring their destriers into Damascas or even into
> > Tehran. The trick now is to bite off more than we can chew, get into
> > grave difficulty, and rally the American people around the troops.
> > Then take another bite. The neocons have repeatedly claimed that the
> > WMD's are buried in the sands of the Bekaa valley, that is why they
> > are nowhere to be found in Iraq. Perhaps this is the next bite of the
> > apple gleaming in their eye. Events, mostly of their own making, have
> > overtaken their resources, not their ambition. If regional war can be
> > achieved, look for a call to resurrect the Draft.
> >
> > I have read that Rummy and crew established their own little
> > intelligence agency within the civilian side of the Pentagon which
> > examined the raw data collected by the traditional spooks and culled
> > out only the bits which gave credence to a pro-war assessment, even,
> > as you say, going so far as to rely upon the unsupportable evidence
> > presented by unreliable characters such as Chalabi (sic), a member of
> > the diaspora for forty years, and others. Having made their own
> > assessment in their own way, they injected it high up into the
> > national security brief presented to Bush without having it vetted
> > through the Intelligence chain of command. This is how all the
> > dubious claims about Saddam's WMD's and hostile intentions came to be
> > included in the President's speeches, and how the Niger uranium claim,
> > based on crudely forged documents and widely discredited within the
> > CIA and the State Department, seemed to take on a half-life of its
> > own. The neocon chefs cooked the intelligence books. I have also
> > read that Sharon has his own little rump intelligence agency which is
> > mistrusted by the Mossad.
> >
> > I wouldn't give Bush too much credit for deviousness. Nixon he is
> > not. I think what happened after 9/11 is that the neocons played to
> > Bush's exchatological leanings. I think they duped him and they duped
> > the American people. Maybe now, with the continuing difficulties we
> > face in subduing Iraq, he is wising up and reevaluating how we got
> > into this mess in the first place.
> >
> > Regards,
> >
> > Grumpus
> >
> >
> >
> > Ross Archer <archer@topnow.com> wrote in message
> news:<3FA318BC.55C2DBB5@topnow.com>...
> > > Ed Price wrote:
> > > [snip]
> > > >
> > > > The only unfortunate thing about knocking off Sadaam was that he was

> hated
> > > > by Al Queda, and, in return, did his best to exterminate them. The
> enemy of
> > > > my enemy isn't always my friend. It's quite possible to have two
> differing
> > > > enemies. And sometimes, it's wisest to let you and him fight. I think
> it
> > > > will be enormously ironic if we ultimately find that Sadaam sealed his
> > > > destiny by being a great bluffer.
> > >
> > > Far from ironic, it was probably part of a deliberate and
> > > relatively clever strategy that went awry for this tyrant.
> > >
> > > I intend to show (again) why the argument "Saddam Hussein
> > > wouldn't thwart the inspections unless he was hiding large
> > > amounts of WMDs" is profoundly ignorant. He would thwart the
> > > inspections regardless. Let me explain why.
> > >
> > > Say, for the sake of argument, that Saddam had MASSIVE
> > > stockpiles of WMDs. It would stand to reason he would
> > > thwart the inspection process in every way possible, to
> > > postpone or avoid their discovery. This much is obvious.
> > >
> > > Now say, for the sake of argument, that Saddam's WMD arsenal
> > > was decimated in 1991, and he could NOT overcome the
> > > inspection regime, the surveillance, and the no-fly zone
> > > enforcement to rebuild his arsenal significantly. What
> > > would he do? He would thwart the inspection process in
> > > every way possible. Why? Because once it came out, that
> > > Saddam couldn't outfox the West, it would make Saddam look
> > > weak and reduce his intimidation power drastically.
> > > Megalomaniacs simply don't do that.
> > >
> > > As background, it's perfectly obvious that Saddam Hussein
> > > is/was a demagogue with intentions of expanding his
> > > influence to rule the Arab world. Does anyone seriously
> > > doubt his megalomania? Just look at the cult of personality
> > > he's created, if you remain doubtful. He loves (loved?)
> > > worship. Now, if you're a megalomaniac dictator, with
> > > aspirations to power and influence, who personally gains
> > > pleasure from the worship and fear of others, how would YOU
> > > act?
> > >
> > > First, by "standing up" to the inspections, Israel, or
> > > whatever rabidy anti-Western Arabs hate, his popularity
> > > among rabidly anti-Western Arabs (of which I hear there are
> > > a few) skyrockets. He looks strong and therefore an
> > > attractive candidate for leading them. Perhaps even better
> > > than Osama bin Laden, his chief competitor to that
> > > aspiration. This feeds right into his megalomania. He

> > > wants power and followers, and by "standing up to" the
> > > allies, and Israel by proxy, he gains major political
> > > capital that might have been useful once Saddam had
> > > successfully rebuilt his conventional military. A weak
> > > nation could be conquered and "Saddamized" rather easily
> > > with nothing more than his strong conventional military and
> > > an indigenous population of committed sympathetic radicals.
> > >
> > > Second, by seeming to have WMDs, he intimidates us more. He
> > > uses our stupidity, namely "Why else would he thwart the
> > > inspection process at every turn if he wasn't hiding
> > > weapons?", against us. Saddam figured the allied forces
> > > would think twice before striking at him given the potential
> > > for mayhem our soliders would face from these (assumed
> > > whether real or not) WMDs. So bluffing at having WMDs was a
> > > free deterrent he thought would protect his power. A
> > > classic poker bluff.
> > >
> > > So it's not very hard to see why he would pretend to have
> > > weapons that were blasted to dust in 1991 that he couldn't
> > > rebuild.
> > >
> > > Where it went wrong for Saddam was he underestimated the
> > > single-mindedness of the US Administration to remove him
> > > from power. This was something Wolfowitz, Perle, Rumsfeldt,
> > > and others had wanted to do for years (cf. PNAC).
> > >
> > > With the mounting failures to find any evidence of WMDs in
> > > Iraq, the only thing to do for an Administration committed
> > > to war was to cook the junk evidence and rush to war at
> > > maximum possible speed. And that's precisely what they did.
> > >
> > > We achieved the result of pissing off our allies, who
> > > understood that removing Saddam was a US political objective
> > > that had nothing to do with security of the USA or much of
> > > anyone (except Israel, which gains obvious benefits). They
> > > openly criticized us and the quality of our evidence. It was
> > > junk evidence. They knew it. Everybody knew it except the
> > > American and British people, evidently, because they both
> > > were the targets of a thinly-disguised sales job.
> > >
> > > To bolster the case for going to war, they even went to
> > > Iraqi dissidents for threat assessments. The Iraqi
> > > dissidents had every reason to co-operate in any scheme to
> > > unseat Saddam, and CIA internal documents show they did not
> > > consider this source to be reliable at all. Yet their
> > > known-unreliable statements were often repeated
> > > as a justification for war against Iraq. They also
> > > selectively grabbed the minority of evidence bolstering the
> > > position that Saddam had stockpiles of WMDs we just couldn't

> > > find, and ignored the rest, in their making a case for war.
> > >
> > > What went wrong for the Bush Administration, is more and
> > > more of the American people (and even more of the British)
> > > are seeing that we were sold a bill of goods by a cabal of
> > > explicitly dishonest manipulators and their dupes.
> > >
> > > What went wrong for the American people is we're losing
> > > soldiers virtually every day in a country that posed no
> > > significant threat to our security interests, prior to
> > > invasion.
> > >
> > > I said two things before, and history proved me right on
> > > both. First, Saddam didn't have significant WMDs. They
> > > will never be found, because they aren't there. Second, the
> > > Bush Administration is one of the most incompetent and
> > > dishonest we've seen in our history. As the facts emerge
> > > (and it will take quite some time given this is by far the
> > > most secretive Administration in our history), eventually
> > > Bush's Administration will win the mendacity crown from
> > > Richard Milhous Nixon.
> > >
> > > >
> > > > Sadaam earned his fate by attacking our economic lifeline, and being a
> > > > generally de-stabilizing force in a region quite important to the USA.
> Yes,
> > > > it is about the oil! Just how many Grannys in Schenectady have to
> freeze to
> > > > death because they can't afford heat until we recognize that our
> economy is
> > > > totally dependent on oil availability & pricing?
> > >
> > > Jimmy Carter tried very hard to get alternative energy
> > > programs underway. As you can imagine, it was primarily oil
> > > and gas interests that lobbied -- and eventually succeeded
> > > -- in killing subsidies to their competitors. Though some
> > > falsely claim it was environmentalists who were to blame,
> > > this appears only to be true in the nuclear energy case,
> > > where in fact environmentalists did great harm by forcing
> > > the use of dirty coal instead of at-least-cleaner nuclear
> > > energy.
> > >
> > > But show me where environmentalists engaged in a concerted
> > > successful effort to block government subsidies for wind and
> > > solar research in a significant way, and I'll buy you lunch.
> > >
> > > >
> > > > Temporarily, we work with despicable foreigners who despise us,
> because we
> > > > are dependent on them in some way. The industrial world's dependence

> on oil
> > > > has caused the worst economic imbalance we could imagine, giving
> economic
> > > > power to many who are unfit to govern a bucket of shit.
> > >
> > > Saddam wasn't fit to rule the viruses in the bucket of shit.
> > > I'm glad he's gone, but the US economy will suffer for
> > > decades due to the foolish idiocy and dishonesty of this
> > > horrible and corrupt secretive Administration.
> > > >
> > > > The best thing we could do for America would be to greatly reduce our
> > > > economy's dependence on foreign oil. OTOH, I don't expect this to
> happen in
> > > > my lifetime.
> > >
> > > Probably not. Until the entrenched energy interests figure
> > > out a way to get government money for research, or how to
> > > make a profit, or when reserves finally push gasoline up to
> > > $5 a gallon or so. Then the tune will change by necessity.
> > > Market forces will eventually compel the use of alternatives
> > > to oil and gas. Whether it's in 10 years or 200, I can't
> > > honestly guess. Could just as easily be either.
> > >
> > > -- Ross
> > >
> > > >
> > > > Ed
> > > > wb6wsn

1461. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Brabham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-02 02:20:11 UTC
From: larry_jefferies@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <61ed6067.0311011820.c8b041f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 2958
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

No wonder everyone is complaining about you two! I have been to
Canada lots of times and have never met anyone half as stupid as you
two.

Posting racial slurs and including your call signs?, what the heck did
you think would happen. Capital "L" loser....

Larry

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<VJXob.743$Oo4.620@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> Go ahead Larry, make my day.
>
> Take your best shot.
>
> Put your best foot forward.
>
> All it proves is you can dish it out, but you can't take it.
>
> For your INFORMATION, if you care. Neither myself or Charles started this.
> I recommend you throw off the Canadian goof, you know the one...your buddy
> 'Private', Luigi or whatever dumb name he uses.
>
> You see I use my real name and standby what I say. If you think it's
> racist, I call you a dumbass.
>
> See how it works?
>
> I would love to drop this subject. Tell you what...tell your buddy to shut
> the hell up and I bet that works.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>
>
> "Larry" <larry_jefferies@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:61ed6067.0311011113.764a2a12@posting.google.com...
> > I cannot belive this is actually not moderated out of this group
> > somehow. Is there anyway we can kick these two losers off of this
> > group?
> >
> > If anyone knows let me know.

> >
> > Larry
> >
> > > These two racists make me sick!!!!
> > >
> > > Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI)
> > > 89 Wildman Road
> > > Anniston AL
> > > 36201
> > >
> > > Charles D. Brabham (N5PVL)
> > > Rt 2 Box 46
> > > Lyford TX
> > > 78569
> > > Phone: 956-347-3612
> > >
> > > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:<7zSnb.9052$X22.8274@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> > > > Guess what "Private"...two can play that game. I just sicked my buddy
> on
> > > > you. He works for the Feds. They track down pedofiles and such. He
> is
> > > > making you a 'special' case.
> > > >
> > > > See you in the funny papers.....payback is a mo fo...bro.
> > > >
> > > > Dan/W4NTI
> > > >
> > > > > I cannot believe these two clowns are still at it. I found some of
> > > > > their original comments and sure enough they are as racist as they
> > > > > come. People have a right not be discriminated against or abused
> > > > > because of color, race and religion etc....
> > > > >
> > > > > If they don't stop making racist posts on this "RADIO" newsgroup I
> am
> > > > > sure people in their own town will find out about them. They will b
> e
> > > > > very embarassed very soon. Keep it up Charlie and Danny... Keep it
> > > > > up....
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > > Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)
> > > > > > Rt 2 Box 46
> > > > > > Lyford TX
> > > > > > 78569
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Asked about his astounding success in mowing down thousands of
> screaming
> > > > > > > raghead fanatics with very few casualties (sound familiar?) ,
> the

> Israeli
> > > > > > > general agreed to give the reporter the exclusive secret to his
> > > > > > > unprecedented military success...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Fight Arabs." he said.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Charles Brabham, N5PVL
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Daniel L. Jeswald
> > > > > > 89 Wildman Road
> > > > > > Anniston AL
> > > > > > 36201
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Wouldn't surprise me a bit. I thought from the git-go that Iraq
> would
> turn
> > > > > > into a quagmire. Unfortunatly for those fighting the fight, it
> is
> serving a
> > > > > > purpose. It is keeping the ragheads 'occupied'. And its not in
> Boise
> > > > > > Idaho.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > As for your political commentary. As far as I'm concerned the
> Democratic
> > > > > > party left me when they turned it over to NOW and Phyliss.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > That does NOT mean I support Baby Bush 100%. However lets see
> how
> things
> > > > > > go.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dan/W4NTI

1462. Re: AX.25

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-02 04:41:17 UTC
From: tjs@garnet.tc.umn.edu
Message-ID: <bo21tdmnr1@garnet.tc.umn.edu>
Source record: 2959

In article <3f310dde.0310182148.59d901a4@posting.google.com>,
Ian <ian.bari@att.net> wrote:
>I'm part of a student team working on developing AX.25 code
>for an amature satellite application. We have been considering
>both versions 2.0 and 2.2 but are not clear on the differences
>between the two, if any. Does anyone have a good enough
>understanding to explain them to me? Thank you.

One answer is that 2.0 was implemented and used, while 2.2 by and
large was neither implemented or used.

In this day and age, you might consider simply using IP for
satellite communications. A good place to start might be:

http://ipinspace.gsfc.nasa.gov/

-tjs

1463. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Brabham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-02 07:02:27 UTC
From: robert_king@usa.com
Message-ID: <66dbd28a.0311012302.82cd9c2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 2960
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

You said it brother. Myself and a couple of the regulars are checking into a way to remove all their racist posts. I will keep you all posted.

Bob

> > > > Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI)
> > > > 89 Wildman Road
> > > > Anniston AL
> > > > 36201
> > > >
> > > > Charles D. Brabham (N5PVL)
> > > > Rt 2 Box 46
> > > > Lyford TX
> > > > 78569
> > > > Phone: 956-347-3612

larry_jefferies@yahoo.com (Larry) wrote in message news:<61ed6067.0311011820.c8b041f@posting.google.com>...
> No wonder everyone is complaining about you two! I have been to
> Canada lots of times and have never met anyone half as stupid as you
> two.
>
> Posting racial slurs and including your call signs?, what the heck did
> you think would happen. Capital "L" loser....
>
> Larry
>
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message news:<VJXob.743$Oo4.620@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> > Go ahead Larry, make my day.
> >
> > Take your best shot.
> >
> > Put your best foot forward.
> >
> > All it proves is you can dish it out, but you can't take it.
> >
> > For your INFORMATION, if you care. Neither myself or Charles started this.
> > I recommend you throw off the Canadian goof, you know the one...your buddy
> > 'Private', Luigi or whatever dumb name he uses.

> >
> > You see I use my real name and standby what I say. If you think it's
> > racist, I call you a dumbass.
> >
> > See how it works?
> >
> > I would love to drop this subject. Tell you what...tell your buddy to shut
> > the hell up and I bet that works.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> >
> >
> > "Larry" <larry_jefferies@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:61ed6067.0311011113.764a2a12@posting.google.com...
> > > I cannot belive this is actually not moderated out of this group
> > > somehow. Is there anyway we can kick these two losers off of this
> > > group?
> > >
> > > If anyone knows let me know.
> > >
> > > Larry
> > >
> > > > These two racists make me sick!!!!
> > > >
> > > > Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI)
> > > > 89 Wildman Road
> > > > Anniston AL
> > > > 36201
> > > >
> > > > Charles D. Brabham (N5PVL)
> > > > Rt 2 Box 46
> > > > Lyford TX
> > > > 78569
> > > > Phone: 956-347-3612
> > > >
> > > > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:<7zSnb.9052$X22.8274@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> > > > > Guess what "Private"...two can play that game. I just sicked my buddy
> on
> > > > > you. He works for the Feds. They track down pedofiles and such. He
> is
> > > > > making you a 'special' case.
> > > > >
> > > > > See you in the funny papers.....payback is a mo fo...bro.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dan/W4NTI
> > > > >
> > > > > > I cannot believe these two clowns are still at it. I found some of
> > > > > > their original comments and sure enough they are as racist as they

> > > > > > come. People have a right not be discriminated against or abused
> > > > > > because of color, race and religion etc....
> > > > > >
> > > > > > If they don't stop making racist posts on this "RADIO" newsgroup I
> am
> > > > > > sure people in their own town will find out about them. They will b
> e
> > > > > > very embarassed very soon. Keep it up Charlie and Danny... Keep it
> > > > > > up....
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)
> > > > > > > > Rt 2 Box 46
> > > > > > > > Lyford TX
> > > > > > > > 78569
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Asked about his astounding success in mowing down thousands of
> screaming
> > > > > > > > > raghead fanatics with very few casualties (sound familiar?) ,
> > the
> > Israeli
> > > > > > > > > general agreed to give the reporter the exclusive secret to his
> > > > > > > > > unprecedented military success...
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > "Fight Arabs." he said.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Charles Brabham, N5PVL
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Daniel L. Jeswald
> > > > > > > > > 89 Wildman Road
> > > > > > > > > Anniston AL
> > > > > > > > > 36201
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Wouldn't surprise me a bit. I thought from the git-go that Iraq
> > would
> > turn
> > > > > > > > > into a quagmire. Unfortunatly for those fighting the fight, it
> > is
> > serving a
> > > > > > > > > purpose. It is keeping the ragheads 'occupied'. And its not in
> Boise
> > > > > > > > > Idaho.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > As for your political commentary. As far as I'm concerned the
> Democratic
> > > > > > > > > party left me when they turned it over to NOW and Phyliss.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > That does NOT mean I support Baby Bush 100%. However lets see
> > how

> > things
> > > > > > > > > go.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Dan/W4NTI

1464. Re: AX.25

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-02 08:31:42 UTC
From: Clive Wallis <clivew@zetnet.co.uk>
Message-ID: <2003110208314270399@zetnet.co.uk>
Source record: 2963

> In article <3f310dde.0310182148.59d901a4@posting.google.com>,
> Ian <ian.bari@att.net> wrote:

> >I'm part of a student team working on developing AX.25 code
> >for an amature satellite application. We have been considering
> >both versions 2.0 and 2.2 but are not clear on the differences
> >between the two, if any. Does anyone have a good enough
> >understanding to explain them to me? Thank you.

For any amateur satellite application it's very important to get advice
and help from the amateur satellite community, to ensure that your
project uses acceptable protocols. This will smooth the way to a
successful mission.

I suggest that you post your requirements to the AMSAT-BB mailing list.
This will enable you to contact many experts in this field.

The join AMSAT-BB, send an e-mail to majordomo@amsat.org, subject ... help
content ... help. The reply will tell you how to join.

For further details look on www.amsat.org

Let me know if you need any more help.

73

Clive G3CWV AMSAT-UK 2221

Hitchin, North Hertfordshire, UK.

1465. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Brabham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-02 11:09:45 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <ZB5pb.9913$iQ7.109622406@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 2961
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Robert King" <robert_king@usa.com> wrote in message
news:66dbd28a.0311012302.82cd9c2@posting.google.com...
> You said it brother. Myself and a couple of the regulars are checking
> into a way to remove all their racist posts. I will keep you all
> posted.

There is no way to remove racist posts by "Private" or "Larry". Sorry!

The "regulars" here have been using usenet for years, and do not have to speculate as to whether posts can be "removed" from usenet. They already know better.

Amazing how consistently ignorant the little "racist" group that has invaded this newsgroup is.

Sooner or later they will all take a hike, and we can get back to discussing amateur radio.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

1466. Re: Racist Troll "Larry", or "Private" or whatever....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-02 11:57:57 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <9j6pb.12413$zr.9745@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 2962
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Oh, we gotta be shaking in our boots NOW! Haw Haw Hawr! :D

A bity of history on: Fighting Arabs

That reminds me of an interview that occurred back in 1967, right after the Israeli 6-day war. Israel had been attacked on all sides by a number of raghead states, who were determined to murder every Israeli man, woman, and child in Israel. (According to the raghead newspapers of the time.)

Of course we all know what happened. Despite having almost no outside support, and with only a few obsolete old French aircraft, the Israelis thoroughly kicked every one of those ragheads countries' asses, taking some of their land from them instead, just to teach the uncivilized scum a little lesson.

Asked about his astounding success in mowing down thousands of screaming raghead fanatics with very few casualties (sound familiar?) , the Israeli general agreed to give the reporter the exclusive secret to his unprecedented military success...

"Fight Arabs." he said.

As for being called a "bigot" by an apologist for ragheads, I couldn't work up too much concern over that.

Historical note: Almost every african who was ever captured and sold in the americas to slavery was captured by arab slavers who had been infesting the congo basin for more than five hundred years. They were there centuries before the brief opening of the market for slaves in america, and were there long after it closed.

The arab slavers would typically take an entire village at a time, murdering anybody who was either strong enough to resist, or too weak to make the long walk on a long, heavy chain to market. Pregnant women and small children were typically murdered because they did not travel well. There is documented evidence of arab slave-raids in the congo basin going on as late as the mid-1950's. The great majority of the african natives captured over those centuries were of course used by the arabs themselves.

The progeny of the africans who were sent to the americas are living free lives in a free country today. As far as can be seen, there are no surviving progeny from the much greater number of africans who did not go to the americas but instead were used by the arabs. Perhaps this is so because

castration of slaves has always been a common practice among the arabs.

... So when an apologist for this uncivilized, backward and violent tribal culture calls me a "bigot", I can't help but smile at the irony there.

So the anonymous anti-american Trolls alternately whine and threaten, just like a coy dog.

(Yawn)

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

1467. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Brabham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-02 16:25:54 UTC
From: robert_king@usa.com
Message-ID: <66dbd28a.0311020825.a5dc994@posting.google.com>
Source record: 2964
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Nice try Charles Brabham, we all know who the racists are around here.
In fact anyone who has been on this newsgroup over the past month
knows about the crap you and Daniel have been spreading.

Captial "L" loser, the noose is closing..... Enjoy....

Bob

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:<I0Mmb.12434$%62.5271@newssvr29.news.prodigy.com>...

> Asked about his astounding success in mowing down thousands of screaming
> raghead fanatics with very few casualties (sound familiar?) , the Israeli
> general agreed to give the reporter the exclusive secret to his
> unprecedented military success...
>
> "Fight Arabs." he said.
>
> Charles Brabham, N5PVL

> > > > Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI)
> > > > 89 Wildman Road
> > > > Anniston AL
> > > > 36201
> > > >
> > > > Charles D. Brabham (N5PVL)
> > > > Rt 2 Box 46
> > > > Lyford TX
> > > > 78569
> > > > Phone: 956-347-3612

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:<ZB5pb.9913$iQ7.109622406@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>...
> "Robert King" <robert_king@usa.com> wrote in message
> news:66dbd28a.0311012302.82cd9c2@posting.google.com...
> > You said it brother. Myself and a couple of the regulars are checking
> > into a way to remove all their racist posts. I will keep you all
> > posted.
>
> There is no way to remove racist posts by "Private" or "Larry". Sorry!
>
> The "regulars" here have been using usenet for years, and do not have to
> speculate as to whether posts can be "removed" from usenet. They already

> know better.
>
> Amazing how consistently ignorant the little "racist" group that has invaded
> this newsgroup is.
>
> Sooner or later they will all take a hike, and we can get back to discussing
> amateur radio.
>
> Charles Brabham, N5PVL

1468. Re: Racist Troll "Larry", or "Private" or whatever....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-02 18:14:57 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <BQbpb.2409$Oo4.848@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 2965
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:9j6pb.12413$zr.9745@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> Oh, we gotta be shaking in our boots NOW! Haw Haw Hawr! :D
>
> A bity of history on: Fighting Arabs
>
> That reminds me of an interview that occurred back in 1967, right after
the
> Israeli 6-day war. Israel had been attacked on all sides by a number of
> raghead states, who were determined to murder every Israeli man, woman,
and
> child in Israel. (According to the raghead newspapers of the time.)
>
> Of course we all know what happened. Despite having almost no outside
> support, and with only a few obsolete old French aircraft, the Israelis
> thoroughly kicked every one of those ragheads countries' asses, taking
some
> of their land from them instead, just to teach the uncivilized scum a
little
> lesson.
>
> Asked about his astounding success in mowing down thousands of screaming
> raghead fanatics with very few casualties (sound familiar?) , the
Israeli
> general agreed to give the reporter the exclusive secret to his
> unprecedented military success...
>
> "Fight Arabs." he said.
>
> --
>
> As for being called a "bigot" by an apologist for ragheads, I couldn't
work
> up too much concern over that.
>
> Historical note: Almost every african who was ever captured and sold in
the
> americas to slavery was captured by arab slavers who had been infesting
the
> congo basin for more than five hundred years. They were there centuries
> before the brief opening of the market for slaves in america, and were
there

> long after it closed.
>
> The arab slavers would typically take an entire village at a time,
murdering
> anybody who was either strong enough to resist, or too weak to make the
long
> walk on a long, heavy chain to market. Pregnant women and small children
> were typically murdered because they did not travel well. There is
> documented evidence of arab slave-raids in the congo basin going on as
late
> as the mid-1950's. The great majority of the african natives captured over
> those centuries were of course used by the arabs themselves.
>
> The progeny of the africans who were sent to the americas are living free
> lives in a free country today. As far as can be seen, there are no
surviving
> progeny from the much greater number of africans who did not go to the
> americas but instead were used by the arabs. Perhaps this is so because
> castration of slaves has always been a common practice among the arabs.
>
> ... So when an apologist for this uncivilized, backward and violent tribal
> culture calls me a "bigot", I can't help but smile at the irony there.
>
> So the anonymous anti-american Trolls alternately whine and threaten, just
> like a coy dog.
>
> (Yawn)
>
> Charles Brabham, N5PVL
>
>
>

Yeah buddy....I'm all lathered up by the same guy, using different names.

Dan/W4NTI

1469. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Brabham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-03 01:59:22 UTC
From: jackiew1@37.com
Message-ID: <12329882.0311021759.4eb43015@posting.google.com>
Source record: 2966
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Too funny. I hope you can get those two racist pigs off. Charles and
Daniel have been jacking around for months now.

Now that their addresses are being posted I am sure someone will blow
the whistle on them.

Jackie

robert_king@usa.com (Robert King) wrote in message
news:<66dbd28a.0311020825.a5dc994@posting.google.com>...
> Nice try Charles Brabham, we all know who the racists are around here.
> In fact anyone who has been on this newsgroup over the past month
> knows about the crap you and Daniel have been spreading.
>
> Captial "L" loser, the noose is closing..... Enjoy....
>
> Bob
>
> "charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:<I0Mmb.12434$%62.5271@newssvr29.news.prodigy.com>...
>
> > Asked about his astounding success in mowing down thousands of screaming
> > raghead fanatics with very few casualties (sound familiar?) , the Israeli
> > general agreed to give the reporter the exclusive secret to his
> > unprecedented military success...
> >
> > "Fight Arabs." he said.
> >
> > Charles Brabham, N5PVL
>
> > > > > > Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI)
> > > > > > 89 Wildman Road
> > > > > > Anniston AL
> > > > > > 36201
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Charles D. Brabham (N5PVL)
> > > > > > Rt 2 Box 46
> > > > > > Lyford TX
> > > > > > 78569
> > > > > > Phone: 956-347-3612
>
> "charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message

news:<ZB5pb.9913$iQ7.109622406@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>...
> > "Robert King" <robert_king@usa.com> wrote in message
> > news:66dbd28a.0311012302.82cd9c2@posting.google.com...
> > > You said it brother. Myself and a couple of the regulars are checking
> > > into a way to remove all their racist posts. I will keep you all
> > > posted.
> >
> > There is no way to remove racist posts by "Private" or "Larry". Sorry!
> >
> > The "regulars" here have been using usenet for years, and do not have to
> > speculate as to whether posts can be "removed" from usenet. They already
> > know better.
> >
> > Amazing how consistently ignorant the little "racist" group that has invaded
> > this newsgroup is.
> >
> > Sooner or later they will all take a hike, and we can get back to discussing
> > amateur radio.
> >
> > Charles Brabham, N5PVL

1470. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-03 14:16:21 UTC
From: Alan <alannc44@{nospam}ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <Vqtpb.52160$v82.2540947@twister.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 2967
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Zogby.com has compiled some polls on this issue. Here's an interesting
article: http://www.zogby.com/Soundbites/ReadClips.dbm?ID=6164

Regards,
Alan
WN4HOG

--
Windsurfing Club: http://www.ibscc.org

"grumpus" <mwelch@madbbs.com> wrote in message
news:39385c80.0311011802.1787b4ee@posting.google.com...
> You must have me confused with somebody else. Just today, two more
> soldiers of ours KIA, and our forces involved in a firefight which
> left ten Iraqi noncombatants dead. Tell me, how long will they love
> us at this rate? How long before the patience of the average Iraqi
> runs out? We are up against the clock friend. We didn't get into
> this predicament by accident, we deliberately stepped in it.
>
> Regards,
>
> Grumpus
>
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<6GXob.730$Oo4.467@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> > See what happens when you read Witch Hillary's book.....you get all
gooey
> > inside....bring on that VAST RIGHTWING CONSPIRACY.
> >
> > In a word ... balderdash.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > "grumpus" <mwelch@madbbs.com> wrote in message
> > news:39385c80.0311011127.f45dc3d@posting.google.com...
> > > Hi Ross, I entirely agree with your assessment of the pathogenesis of
> > > the current episode of war fever. This war is the neoconservatives'
> > > war. Soon to be the American people's war if it can be conflated,
> > > after the fact, with the so-called War On Terror. If the occupation
> > > can be made to draw in Al Quaeda and other insurgents and the witting
> > > or unwitting participation of other states in the region, then presto,

> > > we have the perfect post hoc justification for the invasion in the
> > > first place and the perfect pretext for toppling the next domino, so
> > > the neocons desire.
> > >
> > > But in their overweening certainty and their impetuous rush to war
> > > they overlooked the need for international troops to man the
> > > occupation and the innate hostility and justifiable suspicion of the
> > > Iraqi people. If coalition forces do not find themselves in a
> > > quagmire, at the very least they find themselves taking first steps in
> > > a long hard slog (an uncharacteristic moment of honest introspection
> > > by Rumsfeld or a deliberate leak to better position himself in the
> > > internecine blame game now playing out within the administration?).
> > > Bogged down as they are in a police action which is dispiriting and
> > > not their brief, our troops cannot be cycled out to go onto bigger and
> > > better things. If the neocons in charge had played their hand more
> > > adroitly, had awaited a season of inspections and won over full
> > > international cooperation as did Bush the Elder, then perhaps even now
> > > they would be spurring their destriers into Damascas or even into
> > > Tehran. The trick now is to bite off more than we can chew, get into
> > > grave difficulty, and rally the American people around the troops.
> > > Then take another bite. The neocons have repeatedly claimed that the
> > > WMD's are buried in the sands of the Bekaa valley, that is why they
> > > are nowhere to be found in Iraq. Perhaps this is the next bite of the
> > > apple gleaming in their eye. Events, mostly of their own making, have
> > > overtaken their resources, not their ambition. If regional war can be
> > > achieved, look for a call to resurrect the Draft.
> > >
> > > I have read that Rummy and crew established their own little
> > > intelligence agency within the civilian side of the Pentagon which
> > > examined the raw data collected by the traditional spooks and culled
> > > out only the bits which gave credence to a pro-war assessment, even,
> > > as you say, going so far as to rely upon the unsupportable evidence
> > > presented by unreliable characters such as Chalabi (sic), a member of
> > > the diaspora for forty years, and others. Having made their own
> > > assessment in their own way, they injected it high up into the
> > > national security brief presented to Bush without having it vetted
> > > through the Intelligence chain of command. This is how all the
> > > dubious claims about Saddam's WMD's and hostile intentions came to be
> > > included in the President's speeches, and how the Niger uranium claim,
> > > based on crudely forged documents and widely discredited within the
> > > CIA and the State Department, seemed to take on a half-life of its
> > > own. The neocon chefs cooked the intelligence books. I have also
> > > read that Sharon has his own little rump intelligence agency which is
> > > mistrusted by the Mossad.
> > >
> > > I wouldn't give Bush too much credit for deviousness. Nixon he is
> > > not. I think what happened after 9/11 is that the neocons played to
> > > Bush's exchatological leanings. I think they duped him and they duped
> > > the American people. Maybe now, with the continuing difficulties we
> > > face in subduing Iraq, he is wising up and reevaluating how we got

> > > into this mess in the first place.
> > >
> > > Regards,
> > >
> > > Grumpus
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Ross Archer <archer@topnow.com> wrote in message
> > news:<3FA318BC.55C2DBB5@topnow.com>...
> > > > Ed Price wrote:
> > > > [snip]
> > > > >
> > > > > The only unfortunate thing about knocking off Sadaam was that he
was
> > hated
> > > > > by Al Queda, and, in return, did his best to exterminate them. The
> > enemy of
> > > > > my enemy isn't always my friend. It's quite possible to have two
> > differing
> > > > > enemies. And sometimes, it's wisest to let you and him fight. I
think
> > it
> > > > > will be enormously ironic if we ultimately find that Sadaam sealed
his
> > > > > destiny by being a great bluffer.
> > > >
> > > > Far from ironic, it was probably part of a deliberate and
> > > > relatively clever strategy that went awry for this tyrant.
> > > >
> > > > I intend to show (again) why the argument "Saddam Hussein
> > > > wouldn't thwart the inspections unless he was hiding large
> > > > amounts of WMDs" is profoundly ignorant. He would thwart the
> > > > inspections regardless. Let me explain why.
> > > >
> > > > Say, for the sake of argument, that Saddam had MASSIVE
> > > > stockpiles of WMDs. It would stand to reason he would
> > > > thwart the inspection process in every way possible, to
> > > > postpone or avoid their discovery. This much is obvious.
> > > >
> > > > Now say, for the sake of argument, that Saddam's WMD arsenal
> > > > was decimated in 1991, and he could NOT overcome the
> > > > inspection regime, the surveillance, and the no-fly zone
> > > > enforcement to rebuild his arsenal significantly. What
> > > > would he do? He would thwart the inspection process in
> > > > every way possible. Why? Because once it came out, that
> > > > Saddam couldn't outfox the West, it would make Saddam look
> > > > weak and reduce his intimidation power drastically.
> > > > Megalomaniacs simply don't do that.
> > > >

> > > > As background, it's perfectly obvious that Saddam Hussein
> > > > is/was a demagogue with intentions of expanding his
> > > > influence to rule the Arab world. Does anyone seriously
> > > > doubt his megalomania? Just look at the cult of personality
> > > > he's created, if you remain doubtful. He loves (loved?)
> > > > worship. Now, if you're a megalomaniac dictator, with
> > > > aspirations to power and influence, who personally gains
> > > > pleasure from the worship and fear of others, how would YOU
> > > > act?
> > > >
> > > > First, by "standing up" to the inspections, Israel, or
> > > > whatever rabidy anti-Western Arabs hate, his popularity
> > > > among rabidly anti-Western Arabs (of which I hear there are
> > > > a few) skyrockets. He looks strong and therefore an
> > > > attractive candidate for leading them. Perhaps even better
> > > > than Osama bin Laden, his chief competitor to that
> > > > aspiration. This feeds right into his megalomania. He
> > > > wants power and followers, and by "standing up to" the
> > > > allies, and Israel by proxy, he gains major political
> > > > capital that might have been useful once Saddam had
> > > > successfully rebuilt his conventional military. A weak
> > > > nation could be conquered and "Saddamized" rather easily
> > > > with nothing more than his strong conventional military and
> > > > an indigenous population of committed sympathetic radicals.
> > > >
> > > > Second, by seeming to have WMDs, he intimidates us more. He
> > > > uses our stupidity, namely "Why else would he thwart the
> > > > inspection process at every turn if he wasn't hiding
> > > > weapons?", against us. Saddam figured the allied forces
> > > > would think twice before striking at him given the potential
> > > > for mayhem our soliders would face from these (assumed
> > > > whether real or not) WMDs. So bluffing at having WMDs was a
> > > > free deterrent he thought would protect his power. A
> > > > classic poker bluff.
> > > >
> > > > So it's not very hard to see why he would pretend to have
> > > > weapons that were blasted to dust in 1991 that he couldn't
> > > > rebuild.
> > > >
> > > > Where it went wrong for Saddam was he underestimated the
> > > > single-mindedness of the US Administration to remove him
> > > > from power. This was something Wolfowitz, Perle, Rumsfeldt,
> > > > and others had wanted to do for years (cf. PNAC).
> > > >
> > > > With the mounting failures to find any evidence of WMDs in
> > > > Iraq, the only thing to do for an Administration committed
> > > > to war was to cook the junk evidence and rush to war at
> > > > maximum possible speed. And that's precisely what they did.
> > > >
> > > > We achieved the result of pissing off our allies, who

> > > > understood that removing Saddam was a US political objective
> > > > that had nothing to do with security of the USA or much of
> > > > anyone (except Israel, which gains obvious benefits). They
> > > > openly criticized us and the quality of our evidence. It was
> > > > junk evidence. They knew it. Everybody knew it except the
> > > > American and British people, evidently, because they both
> > > > were the targets of a thinly-disguised sales job.
> > > >
> > > > To bolster the case for going to war, they even went to
> > > > Iraqi dissidents for threat assessments. The Iraqi
> > > > dissidents had every reason to co-operate in any scheme to
> > > > unseat Saddam, and CIA internal documents show they did not
> > > > consider this source to be reliable at all. Yet their
> > > > known-unreliable statements were often repeated
> > > > as a justification for war against Iraq. They also
> > > > selectively grabbed the minority of evidence bolstering the
> > > > position that Saddam had stockpiles of WMDs we just couldn't
> > > > find, and ignored the rest, in their making a case for war.
> > > >
> > > > What went wrong for the Bush Administration, is more and
> > > > more of the American people (and even more of the British)
> > > > are seeing that we were sold a bill of goods by a cabal of
> > > > explicitly dishonest manipulators and their dupes.
> > > >
> > > > What went wrong for the American people is we're losing
> > > > soldiers virtually every day in a country that posed no
> > > > significant threat to our security interests, prior to
> > > > invasion.
> > > >
> > > > I said two things before, and history proved me right on
> > > > both. First, Saddam didn't have significant WMDs. They
> > > > will never be found, because they aren't there. Second, the
> > > > Bush Administration is one of the most incompetent and
> > > > dishonest we've seen in our history. As the facts emerge
> > > > (and it will take quite some time given this is by far the
> > > > most secretive Administration in our history), eventually
> > > > Bush's Administration will win the mendacity crown from
> > > > Richard Milhous Nixon.
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Sadaam earned his fate by attacking our economic lifeline, and
being a
> > > > > generally de-stabilizing force in a region quite important to the
USA.
> > Yes,
> > > > > it is about the oil! Just how many Grannys in Schenectady have to
> > freeze to
> > > > > death because they can't afford heat until we recognize that our
> > economy is
> > > > > totally dependent on oil availability & pricing?

> > > >
> > > > Jimmy Carter tried very hard to get alternative energy
> > > > programs underway. As you can imagine, it was primarily oil
> > > > and gas interests that lobbied -- and eventually succeeded
> > > > -- in killing subsidies to their competitors. Though some
> > > > falsely claim it was environmentalists who were to blame,
> > > > this appears only to be true in the nuclear energy case,
> > > > where in fact environmentalists did great harm by forcing
> > > > the use of dirty coal instead of at-least-cleaner nuclear
> > > > energy.
> > > >
> > > > But show me where environmentalists engaged in a concerted
> > > > successful effort to block government subsidies for wind and
> > > > solar research in a significant way, and I'll buy you lunch.
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Temporarily, we work with despicable foreigners who despise us,
> > because we
> > > > > are dependent on them in some way. The industrial world's
dependence
> > on oil
> > > > > has caused the worst economic imbalance we could imagine, giving
> > economic
> > > > > power to many who are unfit to govern a bucket of shit.
> > > > >
> > > > Saddam wasn't fit to rule the viruses in the bucket of shit.
> > > > I'm glad he's gone, but the US economy will suffer for
> > > > decades due to the foolish idiocy and dishonesty of this
> > > > horrible and corrupt secretive Administration.
> > > > >
> > > > > The best thing we could do for America would be to greatly reduce
our
> > > > > economy's dependence on foreign oil. OTOH, I don't expect this to
> > happen in
> > > > > my lifetime.
> > > > >
> > > > Probably not. Until the entrenched energy interests figure
> > > > out a way to get government money for research, or how to
> > > > make a profit, or when reserves finally push gasoline up to
> > > > $5 a gallon or so. Then the tune will change by necessity.
> > > > Market forces will eventually compel the use of alternatives
> > > > to oil and gas. Whether it's in 10 years or 200, I can't
> > > > honestly guess. Could just as easily be either.
> > > >
> > > > -- Ross
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Ed
> > > > > wb6wsn

1471. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-03 22:18:40 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1uApb.29$643.10@okepread03>
Source record: 2968
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

It's a live-fire exercise. People die, big deal, move on.
Dead soldiers are like dead child molestors... Who really gives a shit?

1472. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-04 02:30:40 UTC
From: Zoran Brlecic <...WA7AA...@get.lost>
Message-ID: <3FA70F5E.9080404@get.lost>
Source record: 2969
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Gene Storey wrote:
> It's a live-fire exercise. People die, big deal, move on.
> Dead soldiers are like dead child molestors... Who really gives a shit?

Mr. Rumsfeld? Is that you?

--

Anti-spam measure: look me up on qrz.com if you need to reply directly

1473. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-04 02:46:41 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <bo71fs$ii8$1@news1.kornet.net>
Source record: 2970
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Zoran Brlecic" <...WA7AA...@get.lost> wrote in message
news:3FA70F5E.9080404@get.lost...
> Gene Storey wrote:
> > It's a live-fire exercise. People die, big deal, move on.
> > Dead soldiers are like dead child molestors... Who really gives a shit?
>
>
> Mr. Rumsfeld? Is that you?
>

Does sound a bit like him, doesn't it? No big deal, it's not HIS kids
getting killed...

1474. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-04 02:57:47 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <LAEpb.1348$Ce6.671@newssvr29.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 2971
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:bo71fs$ii8$1@news1.kornet.net...
>
> >
> > Mr. Rumsfeld? Is that you?
> >
>
> Does sound a bit like him, doesn't it? No big deal, it's not HIS kids
> getting killed...

Another person who is nostalgic about the 9-11 attack, who wants to see the "action" moved back here in the U.S., instead of remaining over there in Iraq.

The children and other innocent people who were vaporised in the twin towers do not count, I suppose. Brenda Ann wants us to pull our troops out so that we can have more of that action here in the U.S...

I'll have to disagree with that kind of thinking. Sorry, Brenda Ann!

Charlers Brabham, N5PVL

1475. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-04 03:22:38 UTC
From: mwelch@madbbs.com
Message-ID: <39385c80.0311031922.1ddce54@posting.google.com>
Source record: 2972
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Thanks for the link Alan. I was aware of Zogby's poll, but I hadn't seen his summary of the findings. Not too much cause for comfort if you ask me, and these results were from a poll conducted in August before the dire events of recent months. I sure hope Zogby follows up with more current data.

I think what the Iraqi people are telling Zogby is that the coalition should leave Iraq to the Iraqis. They do not want Saddam's jackboot replaced with the yolk of occupation, however benevolent our intentions may seem to be. They don't want a theocracy, nor a secular democracy. Least of all do they wish a government imposed upon them by a governing council handpicked by overlords. What they want most of all is the departure of foreign troops.

The choices confronting us are not attractive. If we leave prematurely Iraq almost certainly will descend into chaos and civil war. The likely outcome of this eventuality is the de facto partition of Iraq into three parts, a Kurdish state in the north, a Sunni entity in the middle, and a Shiaah state in the south with close ties to Iran. This outcome will immeasurably heighten tensions in the region if civil war doesn't lead to regionalized conflict first. Saddam, brutal and evil though he was, at least kept the lid on this pot.

On the other hand, if we stay, our troubles likely will increase. As it would be political suicide for Bush to increase our presence on the ground, something the Democrats just now are trying to goad him to do, we will have to make do with current force levels, and they are insufficient. There is no possibility to send more American troops until the election is over. And I will bet that whichever party takes power in 2004, no matter what they say to get elected, that party will send more troops, not bring them home.

The wild card is how much longer the American public will tolerate 300 soldiers KIA and 2000 wounded (read maimed) every six months, not to mention the exorbitant financial costs of occupation. I suspect that patience is beginning to run out, but that it is not yet exhausted. That may not happen until another administration has tried and failed to manage the occupation. I do believe that by then we will have a mandate to do the right thing and bring the troops home.

Regards,

Grumpus

"Alan" <alannc44@{nospam}ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:<Vqtpb.52160$v82.2540947@twister.southeast.rr.com>...
> Zogby.com has compiled some polls on this issue. Here's an interesting
> article: http://www.zogby.com/Soundbites/ReadClips.dbm?ID=6164
>
> Regards,
> Alan
> WN4HOG
>
> --
> Windsurfing Club: http://www.ibscc.org
>
>
> "grumpus" <mwelch@madbbs.com> wrote in message
> news:39385c80.0311011802.1787b4ee@posting.google.com...
> > You must have me confused with somebody else. Just today, two more
> > soldiers of ours KIA, and our forces involved in a firefight which
> > left ten Iraqi noncombatants dead. Tell me, how long will they love
> > us at this rate? How long before the patience of the average Iraqi
> > runs out? We are up against the clock friend. We didn't get into
> > this predicament by accident, we deliberately stepped in it.
> >
> > Regards,
> >
> > Grumpus
> >
> >
> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:<6GXob.730$Oo4.467@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> > > See what happens when you read Witch Hillary's book.....you get all
> gooey
> > > inside....bring on that VAST RIGHTWING CONSPIRACY.
> > >
> > > In a word ... balderdash.
> > >
> > > Dan/W4NTI
> > >
> > > "grumpus" <mwelch@madbbs.com> wrote in message
> > > news:39385c80.0311011127.f45dc3d@posting.google.com...
> > > > Hi Ross, I entirely agree with your assessment of the pathogenesis of
> > > > the current episode of war fever. This war is the neoconservatives'
> > > > war. Soon to be the American people's war if it can be conflated,
> > > > after the fact, with the so-called War On Terror. If the occupation
> > > > can be made to draw in Al Quaeda and other insurgents and the witting
> > > > or unwitting participation of other states in the region, then presto,
> > > > we have the perfect post hoc justification for the invasion in the
> > > > first place and the perfect pretext for toppling the next domino, so
> > > > the neocons desire.
> > > >
> > > > But in their overweening certainty and their impetuous rush to war
> > > > they overlooked the need for international troops to man the

> > > > occupation and the innate hostility and justifiable suspicion of the
> > > > Iraqi people. If coalition forces do not find themselves in a
> > > > quagmire, at the very least they find themselves taking first steps in
> > > > a long hard slog (an uncharacteristic moment of honest introspection
> > > > by Rumsfeld or a deliberate leak to better position himself in the
> > > > internecine blame game now playing out within the administration?).
> > > > Bogged down as they are in a police action which is dispiriting and
> > > > not their brief, our troops cannot be cycled out to go onto bigger and
> > > > better things. If the neocons in charge had played their hand more
> > > > adroitly, had awaited a season of inspections and won over full
> > > > international cooperation as did Bush the Elder, then perhaps even now
> > > > they would be spurring their destriers into Damascas or even into
> > > > Tehran. The trick now is to bite off more than we can chew, get into
> > > > grave difficulty, and rally the American people around the troops.
> > > > Then take another bite. The neocons have repeatedly claimed that the
> > > > WMD's are buried in the sands of the Bekaa valley, that is why they
> > > > are nowhere to be found in Iraq. Perhaps this is the next bite of the
> > > > apple gleaming in their eye. Events, mostly of their own making, have
> > > > overtaken their resources, not their ambition. If regional war can be
> > > > achieved, look for a call to resurrect the Draft.
> > > >
> > > > I have read that Rummy and crew established their own little
> > > > intelligence agency within the civilian side of the Pentagon which
> > > > examined the raw data collected by the traditional spooks and culled
> > > > out only the bits which gave credence to a pro-war assessment, even,
> > > > as you say, going so far as to rely upon the unsupportable evidence
> > > > presented by unreliable characters such as Chalabi (sic), a member of
> > > > the diaspora for forty years, and others. Having made their own
> > > > assessment in their own way, they injected it high up into the
> > > > national security brief presented to Bush without having it vetted
> > > > through the Intelligence chain of command. This is how all the
> > > > dubious claims about Saddam's WMD's and hostile intentions came to be
> > > > included in the President's speeches, and how the Niger uranium claim,
> > > > based on crudely forged documents and widely discredited within the
> > > > CIA and the State Department, seemed to take on a half-life of its
> > > > own. The neocon chefs cooked the intelligence books. I have also
> > > > read that Sharon has his own little rump intelligence agency which is
> > > > mistrusted by the Mossad.
> > > >
> > > > I wouldn't give Bush too much credit for deviousness. Nixon he is
> > > > not. I think what happened after 9/11 is that the neocons played to
> > > > Bush's exchatological leanings. I think they duped him and they duped
> > > > the American people. Maybe now, with the continuing difficulties we
> > > > face in subduing Iraq, he is wising up and reevaluating how we got
> > > > into this mess in the first place.
> > > >
> > > > Regards,
> > > >
> > > > Grumpus
> > > >

> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Ross Archer <archer@topnow.com> wrote in message
> news:<3FA318BC.55C2DBB5@topnow.com>...
> > > > > Ed Price wrote:
> > > > > [snip]
> > > > > >
> > > > > > The only unfortunate thing about knocking off Sadaam was that he
> was
> hated
> > > > > > by Al Queda, and, in return, did his best to exterminate them. The
> enemy of
> > > > > > my enemy isn't always my friend. It's quite possible to have two
> differing
> > > > > > enemies. And sometimes, it's wisest to let you and him fight. I
> think
> it
> > > > > > will be enormously ironic if we ultimately find that Sadaam sealed
> his
> > > > > > destiny by being a great bluffer.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Far from ironic, it was probably part of a deliberate and
> > > > > relatively clever strategy that went awry for this tyrant.
> > > > > >
> > > > > I intend to show (again) why the argument "Saddam Hussein
> > > > > wouldn't thwart the inspections unless he was hiding large
> > > > > amounts of WMDs" is profoundly ignorant. He would thwart the
> > > > > inspections regardless. Let me explain why.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Say, for the sake of argument, that Saddam had MASSIVE
> > > > > stockpiles of WMDs. It would stand to reason he would
> > > > > thwart the inspection process in every way possible, to
> > > > > postpone or avoid their discovery. This much is obvious.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Now say, for the sake of argument, that Saddam's WMD arsenal
> > > > > was decimated in 1991, and he could NOT overcome the
> > > > > inspection regime, the surveillance, and the no-fly zone
> > > > > enforcement to rebuild his arsenal significantly. What
> > > > > would he do? He would thwart the inspection process in
> > > > > every way possible. Why? Because once it came out, that
> > > > > Saddam couldn't outfox the West, it would make Saddam look
> > > > > weak and reduce his intimidation power drastically.
> > > > > Megalomaniacs simply don't do that.
> > > > > >
> > > > > As background, it's perfectly obvious that Saddam Hussein
> > > > > is/was a demagogue with intentions of expanding his
> > > > > influence to rule the Arab world. Does anyone seriously
> > > > > doubt his megalomania? Just look at the cult of personality
> > > > > he's created, if you remain doubtful. He loves (loved?)
> > > > > worship. Now, if you're a megalomaniac dictator, with

> > > > > aspirations to power and influence, who personally gains
> > > > > pleasure from the worship and fear of others, how would YOU
> > > > > act?
> > > > >
> > > > > First, by "standing up" to the inspections, Israel, or
> > > > > whatever rabidy anti-Western Arabs hate, his popularity
> > > > > among rabidly anti-Western Arabs (of which I hear there are
> > > > > a few) skyrockets. He looks strong and therefore an
> > > > > attractive candidate for leading them. Perhaps even better
> > > > > than Osama bin Laden, his chief competitor to that
> > > > > aspiration. This feeds right into his megalomania. He
> > > > > wants power and followers, and by "standing up to" the
> > > > > allies, and Israel by proxy, he gains major political
> > > > > capital that might have been useful once Saddam had
> > > > > successfully rebuilt his conventional military. A weak
> > > > > nation could be conquered and "Saddamized" rather easily
> > > > > with nothing more than his strong conventional military and
> > > > > an indigenous population of committed sympathetic radicals.
> > > > >
> > > > > Second, by seeming to have WMDs, he intimidates us more. He
> > > > > uses our stupidity, namely "Why else would he thwart the
> > > > > inspection process at every turn if he wasn't hiding
> > > > > weapons?", against us. Saddam figured the allied forces
> > > > > would think twice before striking at him given the potential
> > > > > for mayhem our soliders would face from these (assumed
> > > > > whether real or not) WMDs. So bluffing at having WMDs was a
> > > > > free deterrent he thought would protect his power. A
> > > > > classic poker bluff.
> > > > >
> > > > > So it's not very hard to see why he would pretend to have
> > > > > weapons that were blasted to dust in 1991 that he couldn't
> > > > > rebuild.
> > > > >
> > > > > Where it went wrong for Saddam was he underestimated the
> > > > > single-mindedness of the US Administration to remove him
> > > > > from power. This was something Wolfowitz, Perle, Rumsfeldt,
> > > > > and others had wanted to do for years (cf. PNAC).
> > > > >
> > > > > With the mounting failures to find any evidence of WMDs in
> > > > > Iraq, the only thing to do for an Administration committed
> > > > > to war was to cook the junk evidence and rush to war at
> > > > > maximum possible speed. And that's precisely what they did.
> > > > >
> > > > > We achieved the result of pissing off our allies, who
> > > > > understood that removing Saddam was a US political objective
> > > > > that had nothing to do with security of the USA or much of
> > > > > anyone (except Israel, which gains obvious benefits). They
> > > > > openly criticized us and the quality of our evidence. It was
> > > > > junk evidence. They knew it. Everybody knew it except the
> > > > > American and British people, evidently, because they both

> > > > > were the targets of a thinly-disguised sales job.
> > > > >
> > > > > To bolster the case for going to war, they even went to
> > > > > Iraqi dissidents for threat assessments. The Iraqi
> > > > > dissidents had every reason to co-operate in any scheme to
> > > > > unseat Saddam, and CIA internal documents show they did not
> > > > > consider this source to be reliable at all. Yet their
> > > > > known-unreliable statements were often repeated
> > > > > as a justification for war against Iraq. They also
> > > > > selectively grabbed the minority of evidence bolstering the
> > > > > position that Saddam had stockpiles of WMDs we just couldn't
> > > > > find, and ignored the rest, in their making a case for war.
> > > > >
> > > > > What went wrong for the Bush Administration, is more and
> > > > > more of the American people (and even more of the British)
> > > > > are seeing that we were sold a bill of goods by a cabal of
> > > > > explicitly dishonest manipulators and their dupes.
> > > > >
> > > > > What went wrong for the American people is we're losing
> > > > > soldiers virtually every day in a country that posed no
> > > > > significant threat to our security interests, prior to
> > > > > invasion.
> > > > >
> > > > > I said two things before, and history proved me right on
> > > > > both. First, Saddam didn't have significant WMDs. They
> > > > > will never be found, because they aren't there. Second, the
> > > > > Bush Administration is one of the most incompetent and
> > > > > dishonest we've seen in our history. As the facts emerge
> > > > > (and it will take quite some time given this is by far the
> > > > > most secretive Administration in our history), eventually
> > > > > Bush's Administration will win the mendacity crown from
> > > > > Richard Milhous Nixon.
> > > > >
> > > > > > > Sadaam earned his fate by attacking our economic lifeline, and
> being a
> > > > > > generally de-stabilizing force in a region quite important to the
> USA.
> Yes,
> > > > > > it is about the oil! Just how many Grannys in Schenectady have to
> freeze to
> > > > > > death because they can't afford heat until we recognize that our
> economy is
> > > > > > totally dependent on oil availability & pricing?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Jimmy Carter tried very hard to get alternative energy
> > > > > > programs underway. As you can imagine, it was primarily oil
> > > > > > and gas interests that lobbied -- and eventually succeeded
> > > > > > -- in killing subsidies to their competitors. Though some
> > > > > > falsely claim it was environmentalists who were to blame,

> > > > > this appears only to be true in the nuclear energy case,
> > > > > where in fact environmentalists did great harm by forcing
> > > > > the use of dirty coal instead of at-least-cleaner nuclear
> > > > > energy.
> > > > >
> > > > > But show me where environmentalists engaged in a concerted
> > > > > successful effort to block government subsidies for wind and
> > > > > solar research in a significant way, and I'll buy you lunch.
> > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Temporarily, we work with despicable foreigners who despise us,
> because we
> > > > > > are dependent on them in some way. The industrial world's
> dependence
> on oil
> > > > > > has caused the worst economic imbalance we could imagine, giving
> economic
> > > > > > power to many who are unfit to govern a bucket of shit.
> > > > >
> > > > > Saddam wasn't fit to rule the viruses in the bucket of shit.
> > > > > I'm glad he's gone, but the US economy will suffer for
> > > > > decades due to the foolish idiocy and dishonesty of this
> > > > > horrible and corrupt secretive Administration.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > The best thing we could do for America would be to greatly reduce
> our
> > > > > > economy's dependence on foreign oil. OTOH, I don't expect this to
> happen in
> > > > > > my lifetime.
> > > > >
> > > > > Probably not. Until the entrenched energy interests figure
> > > > > out a way to get government money for research, or how to
> > > > > make a profit, or when reserves finally push gasoline up to
> > > > > $5 a gallon or so. Then the tune will change by necessity.
> > > > > Market forces will eventually compel the use of alternatives
> > > > > to oil and gas. Whether it's in 10 years or 200, I can't
> > > > > honestly guess. Could just as easily be either.
> > > > >
> > > > > -- Ross
> > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Ed
> > > > > > wb6wsn

1476. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-04 03:45:25 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <bo74u0kgu1@news1.kornet.net>
Source record: 2973
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:LAEpb.1348$Ce6.671@newssvr29.news.prodigy.com...
> Another person who is nostalgic about the 9-11 attack, who wants to see
the
> "action" moved back here in the U.S., instead of remaining over there in
> Iraq.
>
> The children and other innocent people who were vaporised in the twin
towers
> do not count, I suppose. Brenda Ann wants us to pull our troops out so
that
> we can have more of that action here in the U.S...
>
> I'll have to disagree with that kind of thinking. Sorry, Brenda Ann!

You're putting words into my mouth, Charles. I said nothing of the sort.
It's (unfortunately) far too late to pull our troops out of Iraq, the course
must be stayed... what I have a problem with is Mr. Rumsfelds lassaiz-faire
attitude that our troops must die...

And, for the last and final time, Iraq and Saddam Hussein had nothing to do
with 9/11.. even Bush has admitted to this now.. get over it.

1477. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-04 09:10:47 UTC
From: "Ryan, KC8PMX" <REMOVETHISkc8pmx@diamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <bo8cof$1aok9h$8@ID-189073.news.uni-berlin.de>
Source record: 2978
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"RHF" <rhf-mail@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:e5e13af8.0310291033.67873ed2@posting.google.com...
> = = = "Ryan, KC8PMX" <REMOVETHISkc8pmx@diamondcs.net>
> = = = wrote in message
news:<bnodb2$13u4j4$3@ID-189073.news.uni-berlin.de>...
> >
> > I am also closely aligned to the Libertarian party,
> > which is even more "right wing" than the Republicrats,
> > but I do believe in the basic human rights issues.
> >
> > --
> > Ryan KC8PMX
> >
>
> Ryan,
>
> I think that many (most) Libertarians would view themselves as
> Moderate or more "middle-of-the-road'.

Last I knew, unless the Republican oriented college that I went to was
wrong, that on the political spectrum, the Libertarian party was more to the
right than republicans.....

>
> Not as extreme as the "Right Wing" [Ultra-Conservative Christian]
> segment of the Republican Party.

Religious affiliation does not necessarily mean right wing.... I am sure
there are some Jews and people of other religions that think "more to the
right" as well.

>
> Or, not as extreme as the "Left Wing" [Socialist Secularist
> Anti-Religion] segment of the Democrat Party.

Not to defend them, but I believe that some of them at least believe that
just because one is of, say for instance, the christian faith, that thier
own religion is not wromg, even if it does not align with the christian
faith.

>
> Even these extremes from both ends of the political spectrum to some
> degree 'believe in basic human rights': Although their definition of

> what those 'basic human rights' mite be, would vary on a point or two.

Probably..... And I am quite sure that alot of people would fit in a few different places on the political spectrum, assuming and thinking the spectrum is a flat straight line going left and right..... (for linear thinkers)

--
Ryan KC8PMX

Health is merely the slowest possible rate at which one can die.

1478. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-04 09:19:00 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <8aKpb.2123$2B1.1097@newssvr29.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 2974
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:bo74u0kgu1@news1.kornet.net...
>
>
> You're putting words into my mouth, Charles. I said nothing of the sort.

Yes, I think we are all aware of what you said. My post was in response to what you said.

(Duh!)

> It's (unfortunately) far too late to pull our troops out of Iraq, the course
> must be stayed... what I have a problem with is Mr. Rumsfelds lassaiz-faire
> attitude that our troops must die...

Mr. Rumsfelds' attitude is the correct one, Brenda Ann. Obviously you have no clue about why a senior commander would speak that way and blame your failure to understand on poor Mr. Rumsfeld. This is unfair, because most adults are very clear on why there will be casualties when our troops deal with dangerous situations.

Remember that if Mr. Rumsfeld talked down to the lowest common denominator, defense department briefs would sound like you had accidently tuned into "Sesame Street". Mr. Rumsfeld speaks out as an adult, to adults. To understand him, you'll have to grow up and be a big girl.

>
> And, for the last and final time, Iraq and Saddam Hussein had nothing to do
> with 9/11.. even Bush has admitted to this now.. get over it.

Get over what? The 9-11/Iraq "connection" was brought to us by a liberal political commentator who works for the NY Times a year or so ago, as a straw-man to attack when he had nothing legitimate to complain about. On the other hand, the Iraq/terrorist connection, including correspondence and regular meetings with with Bin Laden have been proven multiple times. I suppose that you can hang onto your bogus view by sticking your head into the sand if you want to, but after all of this time, it would probably be best if you just get over it.

Fortunately, your opinions have no effect whatsoever on anything that will be done in connection with planning the war in Iraq, so if you are most

comfortable believing that it's all just the "vast right-wing conspiracy" causing all this trouble for oil money, and that the vile, underhanded raghead terrorists are just innocent "freedom fighters", you are welcome to be just as ridiculous as you want to be.

When you talk anti-american bullcrap that directly endangers our troops by encouraging and giving comfort to the people who are trying to kill them, guys like me will slap you down for being the kind of fool who gets people killed with thier ignorant comments.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

1479. Digital voice for HF - Bandplan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-04 14:05:33 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <NmOpb.14237$I22.8541@newssvr27.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 2975

The digital voice/data modem for HF looks interesting, but I immediately wondered where the digital voice activity would fit in the bandplan.

The bands are divided into "Voice" and "Digital" segments... Hmmmmm!

That's not very much help on figuring out where the digital voice transmissions should go.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

1480. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-04 14:15:58 UTC
From: "S. Hanrahan" <aa7ya@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <tucfqvsera354ej5cl32is4ciki06qunjs@4ax.com>
Source record: 2976
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 28 Oct 2003 18:28:27 GMT, "Alan"
<alannc44@{nospam}ix.netcom.com> wrote:

>Just think, had we focused on Bin Laden, the one actually responsibly for
>9/11, instead of running off on some wild war based on a perceived threat,
>we might already have the true culprit.

I think you will find each terrorist cell or organization is connected
in more ways than just one. They all have one goal in mind, either
the distruction of Western Civilization, or pushing Israel into the
Sea.

>I swore to myself I wouldn't get involved in this thread, but, being
>attracted to Ham Radio because of the supposed international handshakes, I'm
>a sucker for trying to get along with the rest of the world.

You can't negotiate or shake hands with a terrorist who's only goal in
life is to see you and your neighbor dead.

Stacey/AA7YA

1481. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-04 14:22:00 UTC
From: "S. Hanrahan" <aa7ya@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1adfqv4q7tcv1r8lcj20ll3euetom5c9dr@4ax.com>
Source record: 2977
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 29 Oct 2003 18:09:49 GMT, "Alan"
<alannc44@{nospam}ix.netcom.com> wrote:

>How could things have gone any worse? We still don't have Osama, and guys
>are still dying in Afghanistan even though the news acts like it's fallen
>off the earth.
>
>As far as Sadam, what, specifically, did he have to do with 9/11? When it
>comes down to it, he was probably more of an ally against militant Islamic
>terrorists than any other.
>
>....and, I'm probably more conservative than you..... There's nothing more
>liberal than "nation building", something we were told six years ago that
>wasn't going to happen under this presidency. My how things change.
>
>I'll make a prediction: the 87 billion dollars going to Iraq is just the
>beginning.
>
>Alan
>WN4HOG

Saddam and OBL just didn't become threats to civilization the moment
9/11 happend. Liberals in this country and around the world, and the
United Nations were too busy going ga-ga over their respective Liberal
socialist leaders each of which didn't give a damn for 12 years.

What Bush is doing is taking care of all the foreign policy cans
Klintoon kicked down the road while he was President of these The
United States of America. I know this tears at Liberals, and the 9
Democrat Presidential wannabe's. They had their chances, and they
ignored the threats.

1482. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-04 14:30:09 UTC
From: "S. Hanrahan" <aa7ya@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7sdfqvoplh3rjp74svi8k6g3nbmhbdc2q1@4ax.com>
Source record: 2979
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 04 Nov 2003 02:57:47 GMT, "charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>
>"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
>news:bo71fs$ii8$1@news1.kornet.net...
>>
>> >
>> > Mr. Rumsfeld? Is that you?
>> >
>>
>> Does sound a bit like him, doesn't it? No big deal, it's not HIS kids
>> getting killed...
>
>Another person who is nostalgic about the 9-11 attack, who wants to see the
>"action" moved back here in the U.S., instead of remaining over there in
>Iraq.
>
>The children and other innocent people who were vaporised in the twin towers
>do not count, I suppose. Brenda Ann wants us to pull our troops out so that
>we can have more of that action here in the U.S...
>
>I'll have to disagree with that kind of thinking. Sorry, Brenda Ann!
>
>Charlers Brabham, N5PVL
>

There is a growing mindset in this country, most particularly the
pacifist left, that we should become an isolationist nation. LOL

Good grief. Like that will ever stop terrorists in their tracks.

Stacey/AA7YA

1483. Timewave HamHub?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-04 14:31:42 UTC
From: "Alan P. Biddle" <APBIDDLE@ATT.NET>
Message-ID: <4sdfqvgt344qq2grh1na7iphf7g4uk2ivh@4ax.com>
Source record: 2980

The Timewave site has a brief mention, and AEA has it listed in their catalog. Looks very interesting. Any idea when it will be available?

Alan
WA4SCA

1484. Re: Space Weather - disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-04 15:23:53 UTC
From: "S. Hanrahan" <aa7ya@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <bvgfqvoqbpq17ukujk94e483299rq44r1b@4ax.com>
Source record: 2981
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 24 Oct 2003 10:31:19 -0400, "James"
<capjim300sa@nospam.yahoo.com> wrote:

>Watch and see as Bush "Act II popcorn man" becomes
>a one-term President. He's making the same mistakes
>as his daddy did in 92 and the Democrats will eat him
>and the GOP alive in 04. Clinton may have been a
>pot smoking womanizer, but the checks still came thru
>every Friday and more people were working when he
>was running the show in the O. Office.
>

Considering that the Democrats have said time and time again through
stump speeches and Non-Debates, they want to cut and run, I don't see
how they will succeed in unseating Bush. Their job just got harder
now that positive economic numbers have been coming out lately.

1485. Re: Timewave HamHub?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-04 15:53:43 UTC
From: "paulfriedman@comcast.net" <p.paulfriedman@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <bYPpb.75064$mZ5.477303@attbi_s54>
Source record: 2982

It's late. Timewave was hoping to have it out in the market a few months ago. The lat guess I heard was the beginning of 2004.

Paul AB0SI

"Alan P. Biddle" <APBIDDLE@ATT.NET> wrote in message news:4sdfqvgt344qq2grh1na7iphf7g4uk2ivh@4ax.com...
> The Timewave site has a brief mention, and AEA has it listed in their
> catalog. Looks very interesting. Any idea when it will be available?
>
> Alan
> WA4SCA
>

1486. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-04 15:59:25 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.ONEDOT.org>
Message-ID: <3fa7cd9f_7@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 2983
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Ryan, KC8PMX wrote:
> Last I knew, unless the Republican oriented college that I went to was
> wrong, that on the political spectrum, the Libertarian party was more to the
> right than republicans.....

Extremely to the left of republicans on social issues like drug policies.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 100,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! =-----

1487. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-04 16:58:31 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <XUQpb.8559$Oo4.3129@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 2984
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Sounded like Osama or his buddy, So Damn Insane.

Dan/W4NTI

"Zoran Brlecic" <...WA7AA...@get.lost> wrote in message
news:3FA70F5E.9080404@get.lost...
> Gene Storey wrote:
> > It's a live-fire exercise. People die, big deal, move on.
> > Dead soldiers are like dead child molestors... Who really gives a shit?
>
>
> Mr. Rumsfeld? Is that you?
>
>
>
>
> --
>
> Anti-spam measure: look me up on qrz.com if you need to reply directly
>

1488. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-04 17:06:44 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <E0Rpb.8573$Oo4.4918@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 2985
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:LAEpb.1348$Ce6.671@newssvr29.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
> news:bo71fs$ii8$1@news1.kornet.net...
> >
> > >
> > > Mr. Rumsfeld? Is that you?
> > >
> >
> > Does sound a bit like him, doesn't it? No big deal, it's not HIS kids
> > getting killed...
>
> Another person who is nostalgic about the 9-11 attack, who wants to see
the
> "action" moved back here in the U.S., instead of remaining over there in
> Iraq.
>
> The children and other innocent people who were vaporised in the twin
towers
> do not count, I suppose. Brenda Ann wants us to pull our troops out so
that
> we can have more of that action here in the U.S...
>
> I'll have to disagree with that kind of thinking. Sorry, Brenda Ann!
>
> Charlers Brabham, N5PVL

IMHO, that is what the plan has been all along. Kick Iraqs ass, get rid
of So Damn Insane.(Still working on that one). And suck the ragheads into
the hell storm.

Of course the powers that be could never admit that. Because if they did
the Democrats would be all over them. But in the meantime we are getting
rid of the enemy....And guess what? It ain't in Boston, or New York, etc.

Dan/W4NTI

>
>

1489. Re: Digital voice for HF - Bandplan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-04 17:30:13 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20031104123013.19657.00000153@mb-m24.aol.com>
Source record: 2986

A simple Yahoo! search for "us amateur radio band plan" would have given you numerous results.

According to Kenwood's chart:

Digital is used on the following:
28100 - 28200
21025 - 21200 (Also CW)
18068 - 18110 (Also CW)
14025 - 14150 (also CW)
7025 - 7150 (also CW)
3525 - 3750 (also CW)

Hope that helps. Also, try that search I mentioned.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB - WPYI880 (GMRS)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com

1490. Re: Digital voice for HF - Bandplan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-04 17:39:20 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20031104123920.23938.00000174@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 2987

>A simple Yahoo! search for "us amateur radio band plan" would have given you
>numerous results.
>
>According to Kenwood's chart:
>
>Digital is used on the following:
>28100 - 28200
>21025 - 21200 (Also CW)
>18068 - 18110 (Also CW)
>14025 - 14150 (also CW)
>7025 - 7150 (also CW)
>3525 - 3750 (also CW)
>
>Hope that helps. Also, try that search I mentioned.
>=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
>73! de Andy KC2SSB - WPYI880 (GMRS)
>Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
>http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
>
>

WHAT A LOOSER

1491. DigiPan 1.8 now ready for downloading

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-04 23:37:59 UTC
From: Jim <jaffejim@erols.com>
Message-ID: <bo9d8p$4o4$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 2988

Hi Fellow Warblers and fnger talkers..happy to announce that DigiPan version 1.8 has now been posted on our US Site for downloading.. This version has the support for the new RigExpert Hardware that you'll be soon learning about.. The ONLY Site in the US that has DigiPan 1.8 is www.nvbb.net/~jaffejim/mixwpage.htm when you get to the site go to the menu and select DigiPan and you'll be able to download the new version. Best 73 to you and yours Jim, WA2VOS

1492. Re: Space Weather - disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-05 00:41:31 UTC
From: Clint <"rattlehead at computron dot net">
Message-ID: <vqghr0t28ktv53@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 2989
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

I'll go one step further; not only do the democrats show
typical lack of spine in the war on terror, but they would
have this country set back the clock on everything we've
done and do crawling to the United Nations in apology.

I don't think there are many voters that feel this reflects
how they feel. Furthermore, more and more republicans
are taking seats on various levels of government through
the states... I don't see any grass-roots growth of
yellow-bellied liberalism at all.

Clint

--

45 Communist Goals for America

http://www.uhuh.com/nwo/communism/comgoals.htm

--

"S. Hanrahan" <aa7ya@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:bvgfqvoqbpq17ukujk94e483299rq44r1b@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 24 Oct 2003 10:31:19 -0400, "James"
> <capjim300sa@nospam.yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
> >Watch and see as Bush "Act II popcorn man" becomes
> >a one-term President. He's making the same mistakes
> >as his daddy did in 92 and the Democrats will eat him
> >and the GOP alive in 04. Clinton may have been a
> >pot smoking womanizer, but the checks still came thru
> >every Friday and more people were working when he
> >was running the show in the O. Office.
> >
>
> Considering that the Democrats have said time and time again through
> stump speeches and Non-Debates, they want to cut and run, I don't see
> how they will succeed in unseating Bush. Their job just got harder
> now that positive economic numbers have been coming out lately.

1493. Re: Digital voice for HF - Bandplan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-05 01:37:28 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <svYpb.27463$Ec1.2516794@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 2990

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20031104123920.23938.00000174@mb-m26.aol.com...
> >A simple Yahoo! search for "us amateur radio band plan" would have given you
> >numerous results.
> >
> >According to Kenwood's chart:
> >
> >Digital is used on the following:
> >28100 - 28200
> >21025 - 21200 (Also CW)
> >18068 - 18110 (Also CW)
> >14025 - 14150 (also CW)
> >7025 - 7150 (also CW)
> >3525 - 3750 (also CW)
> >
> >Hope that helps. Also, try that search I mentioned.
> >=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
> >73! de Andy KC2SSB - WPYI880 (GMRS)
> >Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
> >http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
> >
> >
>
> WHAT A LOOSER

Looser than what?

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

1494. Mode for Best Throughput?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-05 02:56:11 UTC
From: Andy Knitt <aaknitt@mtu.edu>
Message-ID: <vqgpjnrpcqija2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 2991

Hello Everyone,

I'm very new to packet radio/digital communications and am trying to climb up the learning curve too fast. It hurts :-) My question is: What protocol/mode will give me the best data throughput using 9600 baud packet on VHF or UHF? Using standard AX.25 protocol in connected mode I'm able to achieve about 1000 baud throughput. Unconnected I can get about 4000 baud, but of course that is without any error checking or correction. I'd like to get maximum data transfer between two stations...network operations are secondary. I'm guessing using KISS mode would allow me to get better throughput because of the lack of AX.25 overhead, but I'm pretty clueless on how to actually do anything with KISS mode. How do I implement something like TCP/IP over radio? Is that any better than AX.25? Less overhead? I've downloaded JNOS, but I'm not sure what exactly it is or what to do with it. Any suggestions or help would be appreciated. I've searched the web for info on running different protocols in KISS mode, but everything I find seems like it was written for computer scientists in the 1980s.

Thanks!

Andy KB9JOZ

1495. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-05 03:38:54 UTC
From: Stinger <contact@newsserveronly.com>
Message-ID: <bo9rch$f68$1@news.datasync.com>
Source record: 2992
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Agreed, as long as you don't interpret the "no 9/11 -- Iraq" connection to mean that Saddam's government was not allies with Al Qaeda.

From the beginning, the war has been about two things:

The widely-publicized (and amazingly enough -- true, despite the conspiracy nonsense) reason for the war was our genuine concern that Iraq had weapons of mass destruction (indicated, not just by US intelligence, but Russian and several others -- including the U.N.'s people) and that they would give them to Al Qaeda to strike covertly at civilians in America.

No sitting president could allow that to happen. Something had to be done about it right away -- our citizens were at risk. When our allies in the U.N. refused to take action, we had to go it alone.

The second reason for the fall of Iraq is that a strong democracy needed to be instituted somewhere (besides Israel) in the Middle East, if we were ever to change the horrible status quo over there. If the institution of democracy in Iraq is successful, kingdoms like Jordan, Syria, and Saudi Arabia will fall, and their people will enjoy true freedom for the first time in centuries.

I could also argue that it has also kept hordes of terrorists flocking to Iraq (instead of New York) to attempt to kill Americans, but we were basically accomplishing the same thing in Afghanistan (though I hear it was tougher for them to get there).

By the way, B.A., you have an amazing depth of knowledge on radio. I really enjoy your posts.

-- Stinger

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:bo74u0kgu1@news1.kornet.net...
>
> "charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> news:LAEpb.1348$Ce6.671@newssvr29.news.prodigy.com...
> > Another person who is nostalgic about the 9-11 attack, who wants to see
> the
> > "action" moved back here in the U.S., instead of remaining over there in
> > Iraq.
> >
> > The children and other innocent people who were vaporised in the twin
> towers

> > do not count, I suppose. Brenda Ann wants us to pull our troops out so
> that
> > we can have more of that action here in the U.S...
> >
> > I'll have to disagree with that kind of thinking. Sorry, Brenda Ann!
>
> You're putting words into my mouth, Charles. I said nothing of the sort.
> It's (unfortunately) far too late to pull our troops out of Iraq, the
course
> must be stayed... what I have a problem with is Mr. Rumsfelds
lassaiz-faire
> attitude that our troops must die...
>
> And, for the last and final time, Iraq and Saddam Hussein had nothing to
do
> with 9/11.. even Bush has admitted to this now.. get over it.
>
>
>

1496. Re: FS Items

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-05 03:43:17 UTC
From: Blue Crown <bluecrown@stud.com>
Message-ID: <g8sgqvcmdipp7h31sihaej9bo6ogtq8pum@4ax.com>
Source record: 2993
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio

How much you want for the Icom 751? Thanks...Blue CrownOn Sun, 12 Oct 2003 23:11:55 GMT, jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:

>Sorry for the xpost. My buddy John WB2ISI recently passed away and his
>widow w/ 3 children under 18 asked me to dispose of his equipment as
>follows:
>Yaesu YS200 Watt/SWR meter
>Kenwood 2 meter transceiver TR-7850 w/ mic. slight wear, missing rt side
>panel.
>Icom IC751
>AIM 20 amp power supply
>National NC-33 Receiver, fair appearance, no dents/dings, power cord
>needs work.
>Tubes:
>62011/12AT7WA 1 piece
>6AL5 1 piece
>6L6 G 1 piece
>866 A 2 pieces
>2A3 4 pieces
>5881 1 piece
>811 A 1 piece
>5V4 qa 1 piece
>811 2 pieces
>6J5 1 piece
>12AX7 1 piece
>6083/AX 9909 (Amperex) 1 piece
>6083 (Amperex)
>
>Again I am sorry for the xpost. All money goes to his family. I dont
>realize anything from this. 73, Jim.
>

1497. Re: Digital voice for HF - Bandplan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-05 03:52:03 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <ou_pb.781$643.45@okepread03>
Source record: 2994

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote
>
> The digital voice/data modem for HF looks interesting, but I immediately
> wondered where the digital voice activity would fit in the bandplan.
>
> The bands are divided into "Voice" and "Digital" segments... Hmmmmm!
>
> That's not very much help on figuring out where the digital voice
> transmissions should go.

The modem can do both voice and data. The best place to use it would be
in band where both modes are authorized. When using the voice mode you
really should be in a voice segment.

I just got my modem (from Universal Radio), and plan to set-up shop in the
20 meter band. Probably the same place where Q15 people hang out (data).

I'm a little pissed that it doesn't have a KISS mode, but actually intend to use
it for voice mostly (in my truck), with a GPS tracker hooked-up to it for
position ID.

1498. Re: Mode for Best Throughput?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-05 05:52:16 UTC
From: Joseph Fenn <jfenn@lava.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.BSI.4.58.0311041950440.28274@malasada.lava.net>
Source record: 2995

Well for one simple thing you set your "MAXFRAMES" to 7.
Set PACLEN to 255. That wont change your baud rate but providing
signals are good it will give you the max thruput on msg traffic.
Joe/ABM6JF

****
* Ham KH6JF AARS/MARS ABM6JF QCWA WW2 VET WD RADIO *
****

1499. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-05 06:46:24 UTC
From: "Ryan, KC8PMX" <REMOVETHISkc8pmx@diamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <boavue$1btrmi$1@ID-189073.news.uni-berlin.de>
Source record: 2901
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

>
> Saddam and OBL just didn't become threats to civilization the moment
> 9/11 happend. Liberals in this country and around the world, and the
> United Nations were too busy going ga-ga over their respective Liberal
> socialist leaders each of which didn't give a damn for 12 years.
>
> What Bush is doing is taking care of all the foreign policy cans
> Klintoon kicked down the road while he was President of these The
> United States of America. I know this tears at Liberals, and the 9
> Democrat Presidential wannabe's. They had their chances, and they
> ignored the threats.

Yep.... they were KEENLY aware of Osama back in the Reagan administration days..... believe it or not it goes back that far! Ole Ollie North brought it to that administrations attention and nothing was done.

--
Ryan KC8PMX

Give a person a fish and you feed them for a day; teach that
person to use The Internet and they won't bother you for weeks.

1500. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-05 06:49:07 UTC
From: "Ryan, KC8PMX" <REMOVETHISkc8pmx@diamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <boavuf$1btrmi$2@ID-189073.news.uni-berlin.de>
Source record: 2902
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Cecil Moore" <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.ONEDOT.org> wrote in message
news:3fa7cd9f_7@corp.newsgroups.com...
> Ryan, KC8PMX wrote:
> > Last I knew, unless the Republican oriented college that I went to was
> > wrong, that on the political spectrum, the Libertarian party was more to
the
> > right than republicans.....
>
> Extremely to the left of republicans on social issues like drug policies.
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

I typically agree more with the Libertarian parties' ideals, except for the drug policies..... As a former user (knock on wood, 10 years and 2 months drug-free) and also dealing with people that are users in the emergency services related fields, I have absolutely no use for any drug not prescribed by a doctor.

--
Ryan KC8PMX

All of us could take a lesson from the weather. It pays no
attention to criticism.

1501. Re: Mode for Best Throughput?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-05 07:17:18 UTC
From: Bob <bcnntp@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <v58aob-iak.ln@p400bob.lse.com.au>
Source record: 2996

Hi Andy

I started typing this and realised you said "packet" protocol. Thought I'd leave it in (below) anyway!

- Assuming you want max throughput on a dedicated channel and dont care about long TX times
- Make sure your maxframes is something huge (7 is max)
- Get your paclen as long as you can. Although 255 is the normal maximum I did some experiments at 768 some years ago (max for my TNC2 in KISS mode)
- Get your TXDelay and TXTail as small as it can go.
- Make sure your error rate from low signal/interference/over deviation etc is nil
- Compress the data stream (grin)

Kiss mode by itself doesnt give you better throughput. It simply makes the TNC a little more "dumb" and allows the host to control it more/better. TCP/IP (I think) over packet adds a little more overhead to the packet length so AX25 "connected" mode is likely to be a little faster. I use to play packet a lot but not for a while. Cant quite remember it all sorry!

I havent seen any implementations using KISS try and send raw data (ie not AX25 in some form) but I guess that you could certainly modem out a data stream and have some kind of error correction built into the boxes at each end. You might be able to use the EC in file transfer protocols like ZModem but it may get upset at not having a full duplex connection. Might be an interesting piece of code to write.

Sorry for being short on this. Ask if you want more info.

Cheers

Bob VK2YQA

==========
Have a look at Wyman1x. http://www.svs.net/wyman/examples/hdsstv

It is a one way digital code stream with redundancy/checksums built into the stream. You encode a binary file to a WAV then play it through your radio.

The page above looks like a SSTV piece of sowftare but you can send/recxeive any kind of digital data. There are some graphs on throughput etc possible

There is code for both Windoze and Linux available

> I'm very new to packet radio/digital communications and am trying to climb
> up the learning curve too fast. It hurts :-) My question is: What
> protocol/mode will give me the best data throughput using 9600 baud packet

> on VHF or UHF? Using standard AX.25 protocol in connected mode I'm able
> to
> achieve about 1000 baud throughput. Unconnected I can get about 4000
> baud,
> but of course that is without any error checking or correction. I'd like
> to get maximum data transfer between two stations...network operations are
> secondary. I'm guessing using KISS mode would allow me to get better
> throughput because of the lack of AX.25 overhead, but I'm pretty clueless
> on
> how to actually do anything with KISS mode. How do I implement something
> like TCP/IP over radio? Is that any better than AX.25? Less overhead?
> I've downloaded JNOS, but I'm not sure what exactly it is or what to do
> with
> it. Any suggestions or help would be appreciated. I've searched the web
> for info on running different protocols in KISS mode, but everything I
> find seems like it was written for computer scientists in the 1980s.
>
> Thanks!
>
> Andy KB9JOZ

--

(The reply address is broken. Put bcnoop in front of the at!)

1502. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-05 09:14:33 UTC
From: rhf-mail@pacbell.net
Message-ID: <e5e13af8.0311050114.4849e1bb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 2997
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

= = = "Stinger" <contact@newsserveronly.com>
= = = wrote in message news:<bo9rch$f68$1@news.datasync.com>...
> Agreed, as long as you don't interpret the "no 9/11 -- Iraq" connection to
> mean that Saddam's government was not allies with Al Qaeda.
>
> From the beginning, the war has been about two things:
>
> The widely-publicized (and amazingly enough -- true, despite the conspiracy
> nonsense) reason for the war was our genuine concern that Iraq had weapons
> of mass destruction (indicated, not just by US intelligence, but Russian and
> several others -- including the U.N.'s people) and that they would give them
> to Al Qaeda to strike covertly at civilians in America.
>
> No sitting president could allow that to happen. Something had to be done
> about it right away -- our citizens were at risk. When our allies in the
> U.N. refused to take action, we had to go it alone.
>
> The second reason for the fall of Iraq is that a strong democracy needed to
> be instituted somewhere (besides Israel) in the Middle East, if we were ever
> to change the horrible status quo over there. If the institution of
> democracy in Iraq is successful, kingdoms like Jordan, Syria, and Saudi
> Arabia will fall, and their people will enjoy true freedom for the first
> time in centuries.
>
> I could also argue that it has also kept hordes of terrorists flocking to
> Iraq (instead of New York) to attempt to kill Americans, but we were
> basically accomplishing the same thing in Afghanistan (though I hear it was
> tougher for them to get there).
>

Stinger,

YES - The FIRST usefulness of "IRAQ" is that it has become a Terrorist
Magnet.
IMHO: Most Americans for what ever reason or politcal leanings would
simply say. (If Asked the Question and Given the Choice.)
? Is it better to "Fight" Foreign Terrorist, Over there in Distant
Lands, or to Fight Them Here in Our Homeland the USofA ?
[ ] Fight Them Over There (IMHO would get 99.73% of the votes.)
[ ] Then Fight Them HERE! (IMHO would get 00.27% of the votes.)

The SECOND 'usefulness' of "IRAQ" is the Leason for the Rest of the World; that Peace with the USofA is better than Opposition and War with the USofA.
- The UN, the French, the Germans ETC will not help you if the USofA decides to Deal With You [.]
- - Speaking Softly and Carrying a Big Stick works better in todays real world.
- - - If there is a Terrible Example of what the Big Stick can do to others.
= = = = Iran and North Korea are both more understanding of what the USofA; Can and Will Do If Necessary.

NOTE: The Leason of the USofA in Vietnam and the USSR in Afganistan is that the USofA 'stayed-the-course' in Vietnam for Twice as Many Years and Paid Four Times (4X) the "Price in American Lives"; as the USSR was willing to do in Afganistan.
- - - The USofA will Pay the Price of using it's Big Stick.

The THIRD 'usefulness' of "IRAQ" is every Terrorist that goes to Iraq is one less Terriorst that goes to Israel to Kill and do Damage there.

The FOURTH 'usefulness' of "IRAQ" is the quite and progressive movement for modernization and change in the Governments and Political Systems of the neighboring states in the region.

bwdik ~ RHF
.
.
>
> By the way, B.A., you have an amazing depth of knowledge on radio. I really
> enjoy your posts.
>
> -- Stinger
>

1503. Re: Mode for Best Throughput?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-05 10:42:03 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <%t4qb.55$za4.48@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 2998

Best protocol for scraping up a bit more throughput at 9.6kb? That would be RadioMirror, the terrestrial multicast protocol.

At 1.2kb, RadioMirror can move better than 20 MB of data a day. At 9.6kb, you would do much better than that, of course.

Neither AX25 or TCPIP packet can keep up with RadioMirrors' throughput. Additionally, RadioMirror is an unconnected mode, so you can distribute the data to an unlimited number of receiving stations, or just one. That's up to you.

To investigate RadioMirror, see the "Tutorial" section at USPN: http://www.uspacket.net and also read the article "Emergency Digital Communications - Another Angle" in USPN's "Library" section.

These articles represent the single most comprehensive source of information on setting up and operating this advanced digital mode.

RadioMirror may or may not be right for your application, but it is hoped that you will enjoy reading about it, in any case. I have tested RadioMirror at 1.2kb and was astounded at it's ability to move a lot of data quickly.

Good luck!

Charles Brabham, N5PVL
Director: USPacket.Net
http://www.uspacket.net
n5pvl@uspacket.net

"Andy Knitt" <aaknitt@mtu.edu> wrote in message
news:vqgpjnrpcqija2@corp.supernews.com...
> Hello Everyone,
>
> I'm very new to packet radio/digital communications and am trying to climb
> up the learning curve too fast. It hurts :-) My question is: What
> protocol/mode will give me the best data throughput using 9600 baud packet
> on VHF or UHF? Using standard AX.25 protocol in connected mode I'm able
to
> achieve about 1000 baud throughput. Unconnected I can get about 4000
baud,
> but of course that is without any error checking or correction. I'd like
to
> get maximum data transfer between two stations...network operations are
> secondary. I'm guessing using KISS mode would allow me to get better
> throughput because of the lack of AX.25 overhead, but I'm pretty clueless
on
> how to actually do anything with KISS mode. How do I implement something

> like TCP/IP over radio? Is that any better than AX.25? Less overhead?
> I've downloaded JNOS, but I'm not sure what exactly it is or what to do
with
> it. Any suggestions or help would be appreciated. I've searched the web
> for info on running different protocols in KISS mode, but everything I
find
> seems like it was written for computer scientists in the 1980s.
>
> Thanks!
>
> Andy KB9JOZ
>
>

1504. Re: Mode for Best Throughput?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-05 11:27:13 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <l85qb.56$%l4.5@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 2999

"Andy Knitt" <aaknitt@mtu.edu> wrote in message
news:vqgpjnrpcqija2@corp.supernews.com...
> Hello Everyone,
>
> I'm very new to packet radio/digital communications and am trying to climb
> up the learning curve too fast. It hurts :-) My question is: What
> protocol/mode will give me the best data throughput using 9600 baud packet
> on VHF or UHF?

Earlier I responded with information about RadioMirror, the multicast protocol for terrestrial packet that offers truly outstanding throughput... - But Andy may prefer a connected protocol. In that case, FlexNet's AX25 stack will give him the best throughput, leaving traditional AX25 and IP systems in the dust.

A standard TNC has close to 100 different parameter settings that influence how well the TNC communicates with the computer over the serial link, and how it performs on the air when hooked up to a radio. Even experts are sometimes confused by some of the more esoteric link parameters, so many of these are left at factory default values that are not what you could call "optimum" but rather represent a compromise.

FlexNet takes full control of all of those link parameters, adjusting them on a dynamic, minute to minute basis for optimum throughput. With traditional systems, you painstakingly adjust the TNC parameters once (static parameters) and hope that it will not be too inefficient. With FlexNet, the parameters are watched and adjusted on a minute by minute basis (dynamic parameter settings controlled by the software's A.I.) so that at any given minute, your TNC is perfectly adjusted to the conditions it finds with a connection.

End result? Significantly better throughput than any other connected mode protocol available to hams.

You have to enter your callsign, your data rate and your TXDELAY... All other parameters are controlled by the FlexNet A.I.. (Artificial Intelligence) It's like having a little TNC expert hiding in your computer, who constantly, expertly adjusts your TNC for you as you operate. This type of software is referred to as an "Expert System", and is the only software of this type that is wrtitten for hams. (That I am aware of.)

Over a good RF path, FlexNet will send up to seven 256 PACLEN packets at a time. (Per key-up of the Xmitter) which gives it a data stream almost as continuous as RadioMirror!

That's nice, but this is even better: When the link conditions deteriorate (static, other traffic, etc.) then FlexNet will "automagically" back off on its radical TNC parameters until it no longer experiences retries, thereby giving you the maximum possible throughput under ANY operating conditions, good or bad - All the time!

When conditions improve again, FlexNet notices within a few minutes and will again open up the TNC parms "like a hose" to give you the very best throughput under whatever operating conditions the software encounters.

You can run IP over FlexNet of course, but the increased overhead will slow you down a little. If you don't have a specific need for it, you will be better off without it.

To find info about FlexNet, look under "Packet Software" in USPN's "Links" page, and see the Flex32 tutorial in our "Turorial" section.

Good Luck! RadioMirror and FlexNet are your two best options for maximum throughput at 9.6kb.

Let us know how it goes!

Charles Brabham, N5PVL
Director: USPacket.Net
http://www.uspacket.net
n5pvl@uspacket.net

1505. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-05 12:21:11 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <wW5qb.820$643.530@okepread03>
Source record: 3000
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Walt Davidson" <g3nyy@despammed.com> wrote
> On Tue, 4 Nov 2003 21:38:54 -0600, "Stinger"
>
> >The second reason for the fall of Iraq is that a strong democracy needed to
> >be instituted somewhere (besides Israel) in the Middle East
>
> Israel is a democracy?

Yes. It has three branches of government (legislature, executive, and courts).
You don't have to be Jewish to be a citizen, but you do have to be Jewish to
become the executive.

1506. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-05 14:05:07 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.ONEDOT.org>
Message-ID: <3fa90456$1_5@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 2903
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Walt Davidson wrote:

> On Tue, 4 Nov 2003 21:38:54 -0600, "Stinger"
> <contact@newsserveronly.com> wrote:
>>The second reason for the fall of Iraq is that a strong democracy needed to
>>be instituted somewhere (besides Israel) in the Middle East
>
> Israel is a democracy?

Actually a representative republic. Three year olds have no vote and
voters vote for representatives, not laws.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 100,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! =-----

1507. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-05 14:13:15 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.ONEDOT.org>
Message-ID: <3fa9063e$1_5@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 2904
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Ryan, KC8PMX wrote:
> I typically agree more with the Libertarian parties' ideals, except for the
> drug policies..... As a former user (knock on wood, 10 years and 2 months
> drug-free) and also dealing with people that are users in the emergency
> services related fields, I have absolutely no use for any drug not
> prescribed by a doctor.

And if drugs were legalized, would you feel the same way or would you
start using again? An adult has an inalienable right to determine
the contents of his or her body, for better or worse.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 100,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! =-----

1508. Debt, Vacations, Fun? MAKE MONEY NOW!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-05 15:04:40 UTC
From: Nice Person <iamnicehelpme@yahoo.co.uk>
Message-ID: <bob3i8meg1@hercules.btinternet.com>
Source record: 2905
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio

Follow the directions below and in two weeks you'll have up to
$20000.00 in your PayPal account. There is a very high rate of
participation in the program because of its low investment and high
rate of return. Just $5.00 to one person!

THAT'S ALL !!!

If you are a skeptic and don't think the program will work, I urge
you to give it a try anyway! It REALLY WORKS! Why do you
think so many people are promoting it ?

LOOK AT IT THIS WAY: If the Program is a total failure for you and you
never get even $1.00 in return, your total loss will
be the $5.00! If you are not yet a paypal member, there is no risk at
all!!! If the Program is only moderately successful for
you, your PayPal account will have several hundred dollars deposited
into it within the next few days! If you actively
participate in the Program, you could have up to $20,000.00 in your
PayPal account within two weeks!

Now let me tell you the simple details.

Getting Started!!

If you're not already a user of PayPal, the very first thing you need
to do is go to PayPal and sign up. It takes two minutes
and Pay Pal will deposit $5.00 in your account just for becoming a
member. That makes this program's total cost $0!!! Follow
this link to open your PayPal account:

https://www.paypal.com

Now log into your PayPal account, and send the PayPal account of the
person listed in Position 1 $5.00 PayPal will ask you to
select type. (Select "service" and put "$5.00 donation" for
subject.) When person in Position 1 receives notification of your
payment, you can simply copy this page and change the names in
position #1 & #2 & #3 as instructed. Remember, only the person
in Position 1 on the list gets your $5.00 donation. Send them a
donation then remove #1 PayPal account from the list. Move the
other two accounts up & add your Paypal account to #3 position. After
you have retyped the names in the new order,

IMMEDIATELY send the revised message to as many people as possible.
PROMOTE! PROMOTE! The more you promote the Program, the
more you will receive in donations!! That's all there is to it.
You are reading this message in usenet, and usenet is the best way
to spread the word about the program. Post this message to AT LEAST
200 groups in usenet(there are over 20, 000), after you send the 5
dollars to the person at #1. This will guarentee you a profit from
this program. The more groups you post it to, the more money you will
make!!!

When your name reaches Position 1 (usually in less than a week) it
will be your turn to receive the cash. $5.00 will be sent
to your PayPal account by people just like you who are willing to send
$5.00 dontation and receive up to $20,000 in less than
two weeks. Because there are only (3) names on the list you can
anticipate 80% of your cash within two weeks.

Anytime you find yourself short on cash just take out your $5.00
donation program and send it to 50 prospects. Imagine if you
sent it to 100 or even more. Most people spend more than $5 on the
lottery every week with no real hope of ever winning.

IMPORTANT!!! IN ORDER FOR THIS PROGRAM TO WORK, YOU MUST BE HONEST. DO
NOT ADD YOUR EMAIL IMMEDIATELY TO THE #1 POSITION, AND MAKE SURE TO
SEND YOUR 5 DOLLARS TO THE PERSON AT #1. IF EVERYONE WHO TRIED THIS
DIDN"T SEND THE MONEY, THEN NOBODY WOULD MAKE A DIME. 5 DOLLARS IS A
VERY SMALL INVESTMENT, ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ABOUT TO MAKE MANY MANY
MANY
TIMES THAT AMOUNT. IF WE ARE ALL HONEST, THEN WE ALL MAKE LOTS OF
MONEY!!

REMEMBER, you add your email to the #3 spot, and then move everyone
else
up 1(deleting the person who was formally in the #1 spot, and who you
should have sent your money to). DO NOT add your email to #1 when you
start this program. If everyone did this, then NO ONE would make a
cent.

THIS PROGRAM WORKS - JUST TRY IT

POSITION # 1 PAYPAL Account: lamsmo@aol.com

POSITION # 2 PAYPAL ACCOUNT: pepsiholic44@aol.com

POSITION # 3 PAYPAL ACCOUNT: bunnylovemedo@yahoo.com

Integrity and honesty make this plan work.
Participants who actively promote this program will average between
$8000
and
$12000 and receive the donations within two weeks.

This is not a chain letter. You are simply making a donation of $5.00
to another person. The Program does not violate title

18 section 1302 of the Postal and lottery code.

Remember -TIME is of the essence. YOU can choose to live Paycheck-to-Paycheck or live FREE from FINANCIAL BONDAGE. Become a part of the donation program and help people help people.

This program is about helping each other!

Success is a journey - Not a destination!

Start Your Journey TODAY!!!!

1509. Re: Debt, Vacations, Fun? MAKE MONEY NOW!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-05 15:39:29 UTC
From: --exray-- <a@b.c>
Message-ID: <vqi6c3nm3i8a78@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 2906
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio

Join me in reporting this scammer to:
news-complaints@lists.btinternet.com
Forward the message with complete headers.

-Bill M

Nice Person wrote:
> Follow the directions below and in two weeks you'll have up to

1510. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-05 20:37:22 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <bobkjg$i0j$1@news1.kornet.net>
Source record: 2907
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Cecil Moore" <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.ONEDOT.org> wrote in message
news:3fa90456$1_5@corp.newsgroups.com...
> Walt Davidson wrote:
>
> > On Tue, 4 Nov 2003 21:38:54 -0600, "Stinger"
> > <contact@newsserveronly.com> wrote:
> >>The second reason for the fall of Iraq is that a strong democracy needed
to
> >>be instituted somewhere (besides Israel) in the Middle East
> >
> > Israel is a democracy?
>
> Actually a representative republic. Three year olds have no vote and
> voters vote for representatives, not laws.

Very much like our own government..

1511. Re: Mode for Best Throughput?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-05 20:44:12 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <widqb.206539$0v4.16299781@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 2908

"Joseph Fenn" <jfenn@lava.net> wrote in message
news:Pine.BSI.4.58.0311041950440.28274@malasada.lava.net...
> Well for one simple thing you set your "MAXFRAMES" to 7.
> Set PACLEN to 255. That wont change your baud rate but providing
> signals are good it will give you the max thruput on msg traffic.
> Joe/ABM6JF

Some experiments over an obstructed path on 70 cm,
9600 baud, suggest maxframe 16 - 32 and paclen of
60 -100 give the maximum throughput. Note that this
is an implementation of AX.25 that uses slow-start
and selective reject / out-of-order frame recovery.

Across a "very good" line of sight path, maxframe of
32 and paclen of 1024 did best.

These were point-to-point links, half duplex.
About 10 MB of data were used for the tests.

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

1512. Re: Mode for Best Throughput?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-05 20:46:34 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <Kkdqb.206540$0v4.16300857@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 2909

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:l85qb.56$%14.5@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Andy Knitt" <aaknitt@mtu.edu> wrote in message
> news:vqgpjnrpcqija2@corp.supernews.com...
> > Hello Everyone,
> >
> > I'm very new to packet radio/digital communications and am trying to climb
> > up the learning curve too fast. It hurts :-) My question is: What
> > protocol/mode will give me the best data throughput using 9600 baud packet
> > on VHF or UHF?
>
> Earlier I responded with information about RadioMirror, the multicast
> protocol for terrestrial packet that offers truly outstanding
> throughput... - But Andy may prefer a connected protocol. In that case,
> FlexNet's AX25 stack will give him the best throughput, leaving traditional
> AX25 and IP systems in the dust.

Does Flexnet implement large window / slow-start / selective nak?
If not, it cannot come near the best transfer rate over a poor channel.
Over a good channel most anything will give near max transfer rate.

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

1513. Re: Mode for Best Throughput?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-06 00:07:42 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <ihgqb.2969$_56.1281@newssvr29.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 2910

"Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
news:Kkdqb.206540$0v4.16300857@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> Does Flexnet implement large window / slow-start / selective nak?
> If not, it cannot come near the best transfer rate over a poor channel.

I don't have any idea, Hank. It's a proprietary protocol, not open-source and there is not much discussion of FlexNet's internal workings that I would be privy to. If I was privy to discussion of it, there's question as to how much I would understand. It's a freebee, download a copy and see what it looks like to you. You'd know what you were seeing better than I would. Get two FlexNets talking to each other, see what they do if you are interested.

> Over a good channel most anything will give near max transfer rate.
>

Most anything *can*, but in most cases with traditional static-parameter setups, hams tend to play with the bare minimum required to get them on the air, and leave the rest at default values. Those default values are compromise settings, optimized for general use-ability under almost any operating conditions.

FlexNet's AI will optimize your link parameters for you, in fact it does so continuously, as far as I can tell. If everybody is using FlexNet, then you don't have to worry about parameters causing problems and everybody is running at or near optimum all the time, automagically. TXDELAY is really about the only link parameter that you can maladjust and mess up. There's not much left to argue about after that, except SSID's I guess.

User-settable parameters include: Mycall/SSIDs, TXDelay, Speed.

Some of the drivers have additional parms such as COM port numbers, addresses, etc..

Note: There is an option on FlexNet nodes to disallow connects from stations running too much TXD, sending them a short message about the problem and disconnecting. It detects TXD, and shuts out stations using more than 100 ms unnecessary TXD. This is not used much here in the US, but personally I like it. - If you have too little TXD, you cannot connect. If you have too much, the node tells to to take a hike. It makes it easy to sneak up on an optimum setting, and keeps anybody from operating with really bad TXD, slowing things down for everyone else.

The main thing about a packet net is that it is a social critter. You have to figure on a small number of experts, not nearly enough to go around and hold everybody's hand. By using expert system software though, appliance operators like myself can at least simulate having an expert sitting in at

our packet stations. FlexNet greatly simplifies the setup procedure and at the same time vastly improves average link performance for individuals, and by that token for entire networks.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

1514. Re: Mode for Best Throughput?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-06 04:08:50 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <mPjqb.207052$0v4.16340628@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 2911

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:ihgqb.2969$_56.1281@newssvr29.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
> news:Kkdqb.206540$0v4.16300857@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >
> > Does Flexnet implement large window / slow-start / selective nak?
> > If not, it cannot come near the best transfer rate over a poor channel.
>
> I don't have any idea, Hank. It's a proprietary protocol, not open-source
> and there is not much discussion of FlexNet's internal workings that I would
> be privy to.

Oh. Never mind.

I run only code that I write myself, based on open and documented
standards. FlexNet is therefore totally uninteresting. But in any case,
it cannot get more throughput than what I mentioned in my other reply.
Did I mention that the code we run here also has adaptive parameter
settings? This stuff is not rocket science, and one can in fact do as well
as can be done using open protocols. No need to get stuck with a
protocol that is basically unsupported and undocumented.

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

1515. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-06 05:51:33 UTC
From: "Ryan, KC8PMX" <REMOVETHISkc8pmx@diamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <bodjrc$1cdtil$2@ID-189073.news.uni-berlin.de>
Source record: 2914
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Cecil Moore" <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.ONEDOT.org> wrote in message
news:3fa9063e$1_5@corp.newsgroups.com...
> Ryan, KC8PMX wrote:
> > I typically agree more with the Libertarian parties' ideals, except for
the
> > drug policies..... As a former user (knock on wood, 10 years and 2
months
> > drug-free) and also dealing with people that are users in the emergency
> > services related fields, I have absolutely no use for any drug not
> > prescribed by a doctor.
>
> And if drugs were legalized, would you feel the same way or would you
> start using again?

Nope.... I have absolutely no desire to ever use again. In fact, the smell
of marijuana now makes me naseaus (sp.?)

An adult has an inalienable right to determine
> the contents of his or her body, for better or worse.

Yeah that is true..... to a point, unless it starts to affect me. A person
using while operating any kind of vehicle has the possibility to affect me.
I have dealt with this many times on the emergency services side. Also,
another effect is if I have to pick up slack because a person either went on
a "bender" over the weekend or the night before, I SHOULD NOT have to pick
up that slack. But unfortunately today, that is the way that things work.

I cannot find one viable reason why to use any drugs at this point in my
life unless prescribed by a physician for medicinal purposes. (The only two
drugs left I have yet to kick are caffiene and nicotine..... but working
on that.)

--
Ryan KC8PMX

Never take life seriously. Nobody gets out alive anyway.

1516. Re: Mode for Best Throughput?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-06 12:09:42 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <aSqqb.872$T07.104579112@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 2912

"Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
news:mPjqb.207052$0v4.16340628@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> I run only code that I write myself, based on open and documented
> standards. FlexNet is therefore totally uninteresting.

Well, that is your personal preference... You understand of course that with most hams, the personal preference will run to issues like ease of use, utility and performance. Most of them are unaware of programming - related "protocol war" issues. They just want good performing software, easy to set up and run.

>But in any case,
> it cannot get more throughput than what I mentioned in my other reply.

I'll have to take your word for that. You generally know what you are talking about, so it's not like it's a big stretch for me to do so, eh?

> Did I mention that the code we run here also has adaptive parameter
> settings?

No, I don't remember seeing anything about it, though I do remember reading somewhere that the adaptive parameter concept has been around for quite a while. I briefly studied expert system programming in college, many years ago so that concept can't be called "new" either... Putting the two of them together in order to make packet radio both simpler and better performing is a relatively new thing though, brought to us by the folks in the FlexNet group.

>This stuff is not rocket science, and one can in fact do as well
> as can be done using open protocols.

In theory, I'm sure it could... So far in the real world; no bananna.

>No need to get stuck with a
> protocol that is basically unsupported and undocumented.

FlexNet is supported and documented (for users) and there is a FlexNet API (for programmers)... That's all the support and documentation I have any need for, and much more than most hams would care about. They just want to get on the air and operate, and don't put in a lot of time worrying about whether they can diddle with the code.

For my part, I am glad that FlexNet cannot be diddled with. Just look at the mess with *NOS and Linux to see why. Too many junior programmers out there, all full of clever new ways to screw up. I know for sure that if I busted my hiney for months to produce some good software, I would not want a bunch of

pencil-necked geeks transmogrifying it and putting it out with my name still on it, upsetting and confusing users with dozens of bogus versions of the software that might or might not work. That is basically the position of the authors of FlexNet, and it makes very good sense.

There have been two concentrated efforts to replicate FlexNet's A.I. so far, with AGW packet engine (proprietary) being a much higher quality, popular product than X-Net (open-source), which worked out to be too buggy, disorganized and complicated to gain any popularity. Neither one of these succeeded in replicating the performance edge you get with FlexNet, though AGW comes close, from what I understand. So while it may be true that FlexNet's adaptive parameter system is not "rocket science", apparently folks have been having a harder time trying to replicate its function than you seem to expect.

The big drawback with AGW packet engine is the price tag. Why pay extra to use an imperfect knock-off of higher quality, better performing software (FlexNet) that you can get for free?

AGW gets a lot of LandLine-Lid types to use his software by making it easy to set up a gateway with AGW... The FlexNet people on the other hand do not encourage people to hook FlexNet up to non-ham stuff at all- which makes it less popular with the "non-ham" types, I suppose. You *can* of course hook FlexNet up to non-ham stuff but nobody is encouraged to, or given a helpful "how-to" tips on how to do it by the authors. There are of course LandLine-Lid FlexNet users, but generally I have found that there are very few of them. FlexNet is not "PC" among the LLL "non-ham" set so they generally avoid it, going for AGW instead. Conversely, "non-ham" stuff is not "PC" within most of the FlexNet community either, so it all works out fo r the best.

Remember that the question about throughput was put forward by someone who identified themself as a beginner. He didn't mention anything about wanting to re-write the code. Currently there are only a two packages that offer optimised performance coupled with easy, simple setup that would fill that persons' stated requirements. There's FlexNet, and it's expensive knock-off AGW... Naturally, I recommended FlexNet to my fellow ham.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

1517. Attack on the Internet !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-06 13:10:46 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <qLrqb.24587$J_1.15835@newssvr27.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 2913

http://www.emergency.com/2002/dns_srvr_attk1002.htm

This is why we build independent, all ham radio packet network. You cannot "back up" a network that you are dependent upon in order to communicate. That would be like having a special phone number to dial if the phones go dead. (Duh!)

In the event of an emergency involving the Internet, we will find that alternate, functional digital communications *at any baud rate* will be immensely valuable, wherever it exists. What is important is that the independent amateur packet radio infrastructure (network) be in place and functioning, ready to serve when needed.

If you do not have a packet network in your area, consider getting together with other hams in your area to start putting one together. Shoot for functionality first, as a functional 1200 baud system is vastly superior to a planned-for high-speed system that gets discussed and argued about, but never built or used. Modest networks can be upgraded and improved, and are basically useful from day one. That's the best way to start off, and get the maximum number of local hams involved.

USPN http://www.uspacket.net is specifically dedicated to independent amateur packet radio networking and networkers in the U.S.A.. If you are interested in starting up or upgrading a packet network in your area, give us a visit!

Charles Brabham, N5PVL
Director: USPacket.Net
http://www.uspacket.net
n5pvl@uspacket.net

1518. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-06 15:14:36 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.ONEDOT.org>
Message-ID: <3faa6624_6@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 2915
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Ryan, KC8PMX wrote:
> Yeah that is true..... to a point, unless it starts to affect me. A person
> using while operating any kind of vehicle has the possibility to affect me.

Alcohol is legal as it should be. Drinking and driving is illegal as it should be. Illegal alcohol in the 1920's was very good for organized crime just as illegal marijuana is very good for organized crime today.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 100,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! =-----

1519. Re: Mode for Best Throughput?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-06 22:40:53 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <N4Aqb.963$643.658@okepread03>
Source record: 2916

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote
>
> The big drawback with AGW packet engine is the price tag. Why pay extra to
> use an imperfect knock-off of higher quality, better performing software
> (FlexNet) that you can get for free?

AGW's price tag is on the order of a six-pack of beer. That's hardly a drawback.
The old free AGW is all anyone needs for 9600 and less speeds. I'm assuming
you're talking about the IP part, and not the new version he's selling.

> AGW gets a lot of LandLine-Lid types to use his software by making it easy
> to set up a gateway with AGW...

I used AGW to do APRS with a soundcard, and never used the IP part of it.
The IP part isn't very useful past Windows 95, and who runs that anymore? The
non-IP part was fun to program against.

> Remember that the question about throughput was put forward by someone who
> identified themself as a beginner. He didn't mention anything about wanting
> to re-write the code. Currently there are only a two packages that offer
> optimised performance coupled with easy, simple setup that would fill that
> persons' stated requirements. There's FlexNet, and it's expensive knock-off
> AGW... Naturally, I recommended FlexNet to my fellow ham.

The obvious other question, is what data do you have that needs throughput?

When everyone realized that all they were getting on the BBS was out of band
mods and people on soap boxes complaining about whatever they were
complaining about, then it all caved-in on itself, as a worthless endeavor.

Until we hear what the data is, our definition of good throughput may be morse
code at 5 WPM, or it may be a WiFi at 50 Mbps.

1520. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-06 22:45:19 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <W8Aqb.964$643.414@okepread03>
Source record: 2917
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

The best way to get off of cigarettes is to start smoking cigars.
The best way to get off of coffee and soda pop is to drink cold water in a bottle.

The object is to replace one pacifier with another. Cigars are easy to
quit.

"Ryan, KC8PMX" <REMOVETHISkc8pmx@diamondcs.net> wrote
>
> The only two drugs left I have yet to kick are caffiene and nicotine

1521. Re: Mode for Best Throughput?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-07 00:36:49 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <BOBqb.974$eV3.779@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 2918

"Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net> wrote in message
news:N4Aqb.963$643.658@okepread03...
> "charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote
>
> When everyone realized that all they were getting on the BBS was out of
band
> mods and people on soap boxes complaining about whatever they were
> complaining about, then it all caved-in on itself, as a worthless
endeavor.

That's not just a lie, but a silly, destructive lie. The content of packet messages compare very well with - this newsgroup for example - and had nothing to do with the decline of the US packet network. Some of them are much more interesting than what I generally find here.

Other parts of the world that were not infected with LandLine Lid stations did just fine while the infested US packet net steadily declined. The hams were reading and posting the same bulletins and messages in both networks. Think it through, and figure it out for yourself.

I'm sorry but this is a matter of historical fact, not opinion. If you want to smear the hobby with lies, I will slap you down for it. The last thing we need here is another anti-ham troll.

You can take your anti-ham attitude, Gene, fold it up so that it's all sharp little corners, and stick it where the sun don't shine. If you do not like the hobby, get out of it.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

1522. Re: Mode for Best Throughput?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-07 01:31:43 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <WACqb.980$643.427@okepread03>
Source record: 2919

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote
>
> You can take your anti-ham attitude, Gene, fold it up so that it's all sharp
> little corners, and stick it where the sun don't shine. If you do not like
> the hobby, get out of it.

You're the one calling everyone a LID if they don't fit into your narrow
definition of what a "ham" is. Don't try to foist that off on others.

1523. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Brabham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-07 01:51:25 UTC
From: larry_jefferies@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <61ed6067.0311061751.71066eca@posting.google.com>
Source record: 2920
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

You said it Jackie.

Larry

> > > > > Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI)
> > > > > 89 Wildman Road
> > > > > Anniston AL
> > > > > 36201
> > > > >
> > > > > Charles D. Brabham (N5PVL)
> > > > > Rt 2 Box 46
> > > > > Lyford TX
> > > > > 78569
> > > > > Phone: 956-347-3612

jackiew1@37.com (Jackie Ward) wrote in message
news:<12329882.0311021759.4eb43015@posting.google.com>...
> Too funny. I hope you can get those two racist pigs off. Charles and
> Daniel have been jacking around for months now.
>
> Now that their addresses are being posted I am sure someone will blow
> the whistle on them.
>
> Jackie
>
>
> robert_king@usa.com (Robert King) wrote in message
news:<66dbd28a.0311020825.a5dc994@posting.google.com>...
> > Nice try Charles Brabham, we all know who the racists are around here.
> > In fact anyone who has been on this newsgroup over the past month
> > knows about the crap you and Daniel have been spreading.
> >
> > Captial "L" loser, the noose is closing..... Enjoy....
> >
> > Bob
> >
> > "charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:<I0Mmb.12434$%62.5271@newssvr29.news.prodigy.com>...
> >
> > > Asked about his astounding success in mowing down thousands of screaming
> > > raghead fanatics with very few casualties (sound familiar?) , the Israeli
> > > general agreed to give the reporter the exclusive secret to his

> > > unprecedented military success...
> > >
> > > "Fight Arabs." he said.
> > >
> > > Charles Brabham, N5PVL
>
> > > > > > Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI)
> > > > > > 89 Wildman Road
> > > > > > Anniston AL
> > > > > > 36201
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Charles D. Brabham (N5PVL)
> > > > > > Rt 2 Box 46
> > > > > > Lyford TX
> > > > > > 78569
> > > > > > Phone: 956-347-3612
> >
> > "charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:<ZB5pb.9913$iQ7.109622406@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>...
> > > "Robert King" <robert_king@usa.com> wrote in message
> > > news:66dbd28a.0311012302.82cd9c2@posting.google.com...
> > > > You said it brother. Myself and a couple of the regulars are checking
> > > > into a way to remove all their racist posts. I will keep you all
> > > > posted.
> > >
> > > There is no way to remove racist posts by "Private" or "Larry". Sorry!
> > >
> > > The "regulars" here have been using usenet for years, and do not have to
> > > speculate as to whether posts can be "removed" from usenet. They already
> > > know better.
> > >
> > > Amazing how consistently ignorant the little "racist" group that has invaded
> > > this newsgroup is.
> > >
> > > Sooner or later they will all take a hike, and we can get back to discussing
> > > amateur radio.
> > >
> > > Charles Brabham, N5PVL

1524. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-07 09:05:49 UTC
From: Ed Price <edprice@cox.net>
Message-ID: <FfJqb.9188$mb5.3788@fed1read02>
Source record: 2921
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Walt Davidson" <g3nyy@despammed.com> wrote in message
news:ssrhqv0cs3repovv90rv65ms9hgve5sp5f@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 5 Nov 2003 06:21:11 -0600, "Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net>
> wrote:
>
> >> Israel is a democracy?
> >
> >Yes. It has three branches of government (legislature, executive, and
courts).
> >You don't have to be Jewish to be a citizen, but you do have to be Jewish
to
> >become the executive.
>
> That sounds like an interesting re-definition of "democracy".
>
> --
> Walt Davidson Email: g3nyy @despammed.com

Who cares? The USA isn't a democracy either. Democracy is three wolves and a
sheep voting about dinner.

Ed
wb6wsn

1525. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-07 09:07:52 UTC
From: Ed Price <edprice@cox.net>
Message-ID: <zhJqb.9198$mb5.1058@fed1read02>
Source record: 2922
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Ryan, KC8PMX" <REMOVETHISkc8pmx@diamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:boavuf$1btrmi$2@ID-189073.news.uni-berlin.de...
>
> "Cecil Moore" <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.ONEDOT.org> wrote in message
> news:3fa7cd9f_7@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > Ryan, KC8PMX wrote:
> > > Last I knew, unless the Republican oriented college that I went to was
> > > wrong, that on the political spectrum, the Libertarian party was more
to
> the
> > > right than republicans.....
> >
> > Extremely to the left of republicans on social issues like drug
policies.
> > --
> > 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>
>
> I typically agree more with the Libertarian parties' ideals, except for
the
> drug policies..... As a former user (knock on wood, 10 years and 2
months
> drug-free) and also dealing with people that are users in the emergency
> services related fields, I have absolutely no use for any drug not
> prescribed by a doctor.
>
>
> --
> Ryan KC8PMX
>
> All of us could take a lesson from the weather. It pays no
> attention to criticism.
>
>

Finally kicked that aspirin Jones, huh?

Ed
wb6wsn

1526. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-07 12:37:26 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <YmMqb.1054$643.70@okepread03>
Source record: 2923
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

The US is a democracy.

Any country is a democracy, which has a legislature, an executive, and
a supreme court.

http://usinfo.state.gov/products/pubs/whatsdem/

"Ed Price" <edprice@cox.net> wrote
>
> Who cares? The USA isn't a democracy either. Democracy is three wolves and a
> sheep voting about dinner.
>
> Ed
> wb6wsn

1527. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-07 12:41:14 UTC
From: N8KDV <n8kdv@nospam.iserv.net>
Message-ID: <3FAB92E9.BCF7E349@nospam.iserv.net>
Source record: 2924
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Gene Storey wrote:

> The US is a democracy.

Sorry, we are a Representative Republic. Get your facts straight.

>
>
> Any country is a democracy, which has a legislature, an executive, and
> a supreme court.
>
> http://usinfo.state.gov/products/pubs/whatsdem/
>
> "Ed Price" <edprice@cox.net> wrote
> >
> > Who cares? The USA isn't a democracy either. Democracy is three wolves and a
> > sheep voting about dinner.
> >
> > Ed
> > wb6wsn

1528. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-07 12:58:45 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <9GMqb.5531$vq.5475@newssvr25.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 2925
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"N8KDV" <n8kdv@nospam.iserv.net> wrote in message
news:3FAB92E9.BCF7E349@nospam.iserv.net...
>
>
> Gene Storey wrote:
>
> > The US is a democracy.
>
> Sorry, we are a Representative Republic. Get your facts straight.
>

When you guy finally figure out how many angels can dance on the head of a pin, be sure and let us all know.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

1529. SSTV: decoding Kenwood KVT-10 "Color 1" format

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-07 14:53:14 UTC
From: rrochte@gpacademy.org
Message-ID: <728f039e.0311070653.7abc1a2a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 2926

(I know that SSTV signals aren't digital, but I'm not quite sure where else to post this question!)

I have one of Kenwood's KVT-10 SSTV units (the commercial version of the VC-H1) and I'm trying to find SSTV software that can receive the various modes sent by this unit. It offers Robot 36 (easy enough), but also has two additional (apparently proprietary) color modes and two black-and-white modes, in addition to Kenwood "Fast FM".

I can *almost* receive a clear picture using the Color 1 mode if I use "MR73" mode on MMSTV and manually start the receive session. The frame size is right and a color image is received, but the image is still messed up with horizontal lines and other problems.

Does anyone know of a way to receive these other modes from the KVT-10 (short of using another KVT-10 unit)? Would it help if I posted (on the web, not here) the images that I've been able to receive so far (i.e., when using MR73 mode)?

Thanks for any help!

73,
Robert
KC8UCH

1530. AMBE voice compression framing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-07 16:31:07 UTC
From: Rick Karlquist N6RK <richard@karlquist.removethis.com>
Message-ID: <fNPqb.101667$ao4.298449@attbi_s51>
Source record: 2927

I am investigating using a DSVD modem for remote control.
I have been told that conventional DSVD's have rather poor
audio quality. The new AMBE chips promise higher fidelity
voice compression. Does anyone know of a DSVD that uses
these chips? How hard would it be to interface an AMBE chip
to a conventional (non-DSVD) modem? Apparently, the chip
requires an external circuit to do framing, so it is not serial
port ready. Anyone have info on how to do framing?

BTW, I only have a 28.8k dial up connection to work with.
I would like to avoid getting a second phone line.

Rick Karlquist N6RK

1531. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-07 17:55:00 UTC
From: Reg Edwards <g4fgq.regp@ZZZbtinternet.com>
Message-ID: <bogm9kbei1@hercules.btinternet.com>
Source record: 2928
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

> When you guy finally figure out how many angels can dance on the head of a
> pin, be sure and let us all know.
>
> Charles Brabham, N5PVL

==========

Charles, just like the other technical old wives, as usual you've got it all wrong.

May I refer you to the grand-master himself - Sir Isach Newton. The measurement standard is NOT the head of a pin - it is the point of a NEEDLE which differs by an order of magnitude in smallness.

Reg. G4FGQ

1532. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-07 18:02:43 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <77Rqb.3386$Vo5.205@newssvr29.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 2929
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Reg Edwards" <g4fgq.regp@ZZZbtinternet.com> wrote in message
news:bogm9kbei1@hercules.btinternet.com...
> > When you guy finally figure out how many angels can dance on the head of
a
> > pin, be sure and let us all know.
> >
> > Charles Brabham, N5PVL
>
> ==========
>
> Charles, just like the other technical old wives, as usual you've got it
all
> wrong.
>
> May I refer you to the grand-master himself - Sir Isach Newton. The
> measurement standard is NOT the head of a pin - it is the point of a
NEEDLE
> which differs by an order of magnitude in smallness.
> ----
> Reg. G4FGQ
>

I was referring to the American standard, of course, since American politics
were involved.

Over here, we've had them dancing on the heads of pins for quite a while,
over 150 years. Maybe it's the rich foods and leisurely life-style over here
that makes it necessary for us to have a little bit more room for our
angels.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

1533. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-07 19:49:58 UTC
From: rhf-mail@pacbell.net
Message-ID: <e5e13af8.0311071149.354638ae@posting.google.com>
Source record: 2930
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

GS,

Ah then by using your definition: NAZI Germany was a Democracy !
- The USSR was a Democracy.
- - The Peoples "Democratic" [Republic] of North Korea is a Democracy.
(Alone with many other nations that call themselves Peoples
"Democratic" [Republics]}
- - - Islamic Republic of Iran is a Democracy.

Fidel Castro should be honored as the longest living Leader of a
Democracy.
- - - The Republic of Cuba.

Why the World is Full of Democracies ~ RHF
.
.
= = = "Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net>
= = = wrote in message news:<YmMqb.1054$643.70@okepread03>...
> The US is a democracy.
>
> Any country is a democracy, which has a legislature, an executive, and
> a supreme court.
>
> http://usinfo.state.gov/products/pubs/whatsdem/
>
> "Ed Price" <edprice@cox.net> wrote
> >
> > Who cares? The USA isn't a democracy either. Democracy is three wolves and a
> > sheep voting about dinner.
> >
> > Ed
> > wb6wsn

1534. Re: Timewave HamHub?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-07 19:56:58 UTC
From: Doug Faunt N6TQS +1-510-655-8604 <faunt@panix.com>
Message-ID: <mfr1xskhrzp.fsf@panix2.panix.com>
Source record: 2931

Alan P. Biddle <APBIDDLE@ATT.NET> writes:

> The Timewave site has a brief mention, and AEA has it listed in their
> catalog. Looks very interesting. Any idea when it will be available?
>
> Alan
> WA4SCA

What is a "HamHub"?

73, doug

1535. Re: AMBE voice compression framing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-08 01:24:30 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <3CXqb.2152$643.428@okepread03>
Source record: 2932

"Rick Karlquist N6RK" <richard@karlquist.removethis.com> wrote
> I am investigating using a DSVD modem for remote control.
> I have been told that conventional DSVD's have rather poor
> audio quality.

At 8 kbps I'd say it was much more than "poor" quality, and should
be sufficient for any voice communications.

> The new AMBE chips promise higher fidelity
> voice compression. Does anyone know of a DSVD that uses
> these chips?

None. DSVD standard is written to use G.729 standard.

> How hard would it be to interface an AMBE chip
> to a conventional (non-DSVD) modem?

Hard, and expensive.

> Apparently, the chip
> requires an external circuit to do framing, so it is not serial
> port ready. Anyone have info on how to do framing?

It requires an external A/D and D/A converter, but it does all the
framing (variable speeds plus FEC).

> BTW, I only have a 28.8k dial up connection to work with.
> I would like to avoid getting a second phone line.
>
> Rick Karlquist N6RK

Get a couple of MultiTech DSVD modems.

1536. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-08 01:27:23 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <LEXqb.2155$643.2023@okepread03>
Source record: 2933
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"N8KDV" <n8kdv@nospam.iserv.net> wrote
>
>
> Gene Storey wrote:
>
> > The US is a democracy.
>
> Sorry, we are a Representative Republic. Get your facts straight.

A representative republic would probably be a democracy, depending
on whether it had separate legislative, executive, and court systems. The
United states is a republic, and it is a democracy, and it has majority rule
by representative government.

1537. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-08 01:28:35 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <TFXqb.2159$643.586@okepread03>
Source record: 2934
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote
>
> When you guy finally figure out how many angels can dance on the head of a
> pin, be sure and let us all know.

If you are standing in line for 25 cent blow-jobs, I think you're in the
wrong line there fluffy.

1538. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-08 01:35:13 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <6MXqb.2160$643.1262@okepread03>
Source record: 2935
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Not true. None of those systems are/were a democracy because they
did/do not have equality before the law, nor the right to vote.

"RHF" <rhf-mail@pacbell.net> wrote
> GS,
>
> Ah then by using your definition: NAZI Germany was a Democracy !
> - The USSR was a Democracy.
> - - The Peoples "Democratic" [Republic] of North Korea is a Democracy.
> (Alone with many other nations that call themselves Peoples
> "Democratic" [Republics]}
> - - - Islamic Republic of Iran is a Democracy.
>
> Fidel Castro should be honored as the longest living Leader of a
> Democracy.
> - - - The Republic of Cuba.
>
> Why the World is Full of Democracies ~ RHF

1539. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-08 02:03:36 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <Y9Yqb.4582$AP7.3437@newssvr29.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 2936
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net> wrote in message
news:TFXqb.2159$643.586@okepread03...
> "charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote
> >
> > When you guy finally figure out how many angels can dance on the head of
a
> > pin, be sure and let us all know.
>
> If you are standing in line for 25 cent blow-jobs, I think you're in the
> wrong line there fluffy.

Watch your mouth, asswipe.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

1540. Kam with a TS140 using Acc 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-08 03:13:04 UTC
From: Rick Disney <rgdisney@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <1068261191.673109@cache6.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 2937

Anyone had problems with 60 hz noise using the KAM HF out
and the ACC 2 13 pin port on a TS-140S?

73's de
Rick ka0diz

--
Email protected by Symantec Anti-Virus 2003

1541. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-08 04:04:55 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.ONEDOT.org>
Message-ID: <3fac6b7a$1_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 2938
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Gene Storey wrote:
> The United states is a republic, and it is a democracy, ...

It's not a democracy because some citizens are prohibited from voting.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 100,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! =-----

1542. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-08 04:51:02 UTC
From: Snidley <NoEmail@NoAddress>
Message-ID: <bohsnjdfh0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 2939
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Cecil Moore" <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.ONEDOT.org> wrote in message
news:3fac6b7a$1_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
Gene Storey wrote:
> The United states is a republic, and it is a democracy, ...

It's not a democracy because some citizens are prohibited from voting.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

Oh? Prohibited? Please 'splain, Lucy.

1543. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-08 05:17:14 UTC
From: w4jle <W4JLE@pbtcomm.net>
Message-ID: <bohua8$842c$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 2940
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

For starters convicted felons, people under the age of 18...

"Snidley" <NoEmail@NoAddress> wrote in message
news:bohsnjdfh0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Cecil Moore" <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.ONEDOT.org> wrote in message
> news:3fac6b7a$1_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
> Gene Storey wrote:
> > The United states is a republic, and it is a democracy, ...
>
> It's not a democracy because some citizens are prohibited from voting.
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>
>
> Oh? Prohibited? Please 'splain, Lucy.
>
>

1544. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-08 05:21:04 UTC
From: Jer <abuse@supernews.com>
Message-ID: <43%qb.57691$Ub4.52938@twister.socal.rr.com>
Source record: 2941
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Well, if one is to dense to figure out how to vote, then you don't deserve a vote. Its not rocket science you know...

de jer...

"Cecil Moore" <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.ONEDOT.org> wrote in message news:3fac6b7a$1_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
> Gene Storey wrote:
> > The United states is a republic, and it is a democracy, ...
>
> It's not a democracy because some citizens are prohibited from voting.
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 100,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! =-----

1545. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-08 05:26:24 UTC
From: Snidley <NoEmail@NoAddress>
Message-ID: <bohuptnhi0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 2942
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"w4jle" <W4JLE(remove this to reply)@pbtcomm.net> wrote in message
news:bohua8$842c$1@news3.infoave.net...
For starters convicted felons, people under the age of 18...

Oh. OK. That is law. Not a big deal. I thought the poster was going to moan
that some factions of the 'minority' community are being purposely
disenfranchised.
Liberal mindsets see these things differently and the rule of law has no
meaning to them.

"Snidley" <NoEmail@NoAddress> wrote in message
news:bohsnjdfh0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Cecil Moore" <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.ONEDOT.org> wrote in message
> news:3fac6b7a$1_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
> Gene Storey wrote:
> > The United states is a republic, and it is a democracy, ...
>
> It's not a democracy because some citizens are prohibited from voting.
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>
>
> Oh? Prohibited? Please 'splain, Lucy.
>
>

1546. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-08 10:45:13 UTC
From: rhf-mail@pacbell.net
Message-ID: <e5e13af8.0311080245.298adcb6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 2943
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

GS,

"The US is a democracy.
Any country is a democracy,
which has a legislature,
an executive,
and a supreme court."

I see you have ADDED to your 'original definition' of a "Democracy"
:o)

But, All of the Countries, Nations, Systems

* Had Equality Before the Law - As Defined by Their Laws.
- - - In Cuba - Fidel just place 1000s in Prison - For Thinking Wrong.
- - - In Iran - Citizens have Equality before Civil Law and "The
Faithful" may be 'judged' before Shari`ah (Islamic Religious) Law.
(What could be more Equal?)

* Had the Right To Vote - And The Responsibility to Vote.
- - - In Iraq - Saddam was recently Re-Elected by 99.46% of the Vote
and 99.73% (Nearly Everyone) Voted.
- - - The Peoples "Democratic" [Republics] always have Higher Voter
Turn-Outs than the USofA, Canada, The UK, EURO-Land, Japan and other
so called western democracies. These Peoples Democratic Republics are
evidently more democratic then the USofA an the others western
democracies.

* * Think of the USofA:
- - - Jimmy Carter has had to "Monitor" elections in the USofA.
- - - Look at the last Presidential Election in the USofA and the
Missed Votes in the State of Florida.

Proud to say: I Am American ~ RHF
Simply an Old Retired Blue Collar Union Member - Tovarisch
.
.
= = = "Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net>
= = = wrote in message news:<6MXqb.2160$643.1262@okepread03>...
> Not true. None of those systems are/were a democracy because they
> did/do not have equality before the law, nor the right to vote.
>
> "RHF" <rhf-mail@pacbell.net> wrote

> > GS,
> >
> > Ah then by using your definition: NAZI Germany was a Democracy !
> > - The USSR was a Democracy.
> > - - The Peoples "Democratic" [Republic] of North Korea is a Democracy.
> > (Alone with many other nations that call themselves Peoples
> > "Democratic" [Republics]}
> > - - - Islamic Republic of Iran is a Democracy.
> >
> > Fidel Castro should be honored as the longest living Leader of a
> > Democracy.
> > - - - The Republic of Cuba.
> >
> > Why the World is Full of Democracies ~ RHF
>

1547. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-08 15:12:29 UTC
From: Ed Price <edprice@cox.net>
Message-ID: <lJ7rb.15123$mb5.2102@fed1read02>
Source record: 2944
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net> wrote in message
news:YmMqb.1054$643.70@okepread03...
> The US is a democracy.
>
> Any country is a democracy, which has a legislature, an executive, and
> a supreme court.
>
> http://usinfo.state.gov/products/pubs/whatsdem/
>
> "Ed Price" <edprice@cox.net> wrote
> >
> > Who cares? The USA isn't a democracy either. Democracy is three wolves
and a
> > sheep voting about dinner.
> >
> > Ed
> > wb6wsn
>
>

Another top-posting wad of blather from a civics-deficient mind. Somewhat understandable, however, since you are deriving your wisdom from the US Department of State. Looking at your reference, I am amazed to read the verbal back-flips about what they think a democracy ought to be. I'm further amazed at how they do anything to avoid calling a "representative" democracy a republic. No wonder our foreign policy is a crock of shit; we officially can't understand what we are!

A democracy may or may not have morals, may or may not protect the rights of minorities, and is practically unworkable for groups beyond a certain size. And once you modify a democracy, by adding modifying conditions, it likely isn't a democracy anymore.

Ed
wb6wsn

1548. Re: Kam with a TS140 using Acc 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-08 16:21:16 UTC
From: Dem <fun.gas@lycos.com>
Message-ID: <0K8rb.30599$Ou6.5615@news-lhr.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 2945

I seem to remember having to move a jumper inside the KAM to increase the HF output.
The ACC socket on my ts-440s needs more audio than the KAM gives, even on this setting. Use a mic plug!

1549. Re: Racist Troll "Larry", or "Private" or whatever....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-08 16:54:28 UTC
From: "William H. O'Hara, III" <whoohara@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns942D78D7F7B98AX2A3DA5M1512AODKAMO@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 2946
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in
news:sJVob.595$aL4.375@newssvr25.news.prodigy.com:

> Don't you just hate it when some racist commie thinks your
> ham radio newsgroup is his soap-box?
>
> Trolls are insects, best ignored.
>
> Charles Brabham, N5PVL

You were not happy with destroying the packet radio newsgroup?
You and your toadies post to everything on almost every
mainstream newsgroup. When are you going to stop?

You are the troll, whose killfile expires on me every 30 days
or I did not killfile you for a certain newsgroup.

There use to be nothing but on topic information here until
you showed up.

Your buddy W4NTI did not refute the charges on eham with any
effectiveness. He merely attempted to attack the credibility
of the accuser. He could offer no real rebuttal to the story.
Why don't you blast him about it for awhile in email and leave
us alone?

Bill

--
William H. O'Hara KB1IUB use QRZ.com for address lookup

1550. Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-08 17:53:26 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <q4arb.16853$Oo4.16408@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 2947
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Per Websters Dictionary;

Democracy

1. Rule by the people.
2. A community so governed.
3. The quality of being democratic.

Republic;

A state in which the sovereign power is vested in representatives chosen by the people.

Constitution;

The system of fundamental laws of a nation or society.

Thus, we are indeed, a Constitutional Republic.

We vote for and elect REPRESENTITIVES. These folks are 'supposed' to vote in congress, either state or federal, what the electorate wants.

We are a representitive form of government.

A true democracy is one where everyone gets a vote, and the majority wins.

Can you imagine how much fun it would be to have to vote on every single issue, local, state, federal? There wouldn't be enough time in a lifetime to do it.

Our representitives are 'supposed' to base their lawmaking on the CONSTITUTION. Obviously this is not working.

What is happening is the judiciary of APPOINTED, not elected, judges are actually making the law.

In reality this country should stand up and revolt. We are NOT following our constitution.

So...what sort of government do we REALLY have?

Dan/W4NTI

Dan/W4NTI

Dan/W4NTI

"RHF" <rhf-mail@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:e5e13af8.0311080245.298adcb6@posting.google.com...
> GS,
>
> "The US is a democracy.
> Any country is a democracy,
> which has a legislature,
> an executive,
> and a supreme court."
>
> I see you have ADDED to your 'original definition' of a "Democracy"
> :o)
>
> But, All of the Countries, Nations, Systems
>
> * Had Equality Before the Law - As Defined by Their Laws.
> - - - In Cuba - Fidel just place 1000s in Prison - For Thinking Wrong.
> - - - In Iran - Citizens have Equality before Civil Law and "The
> Faithful" may be 'judged' before Shari`ah (Islamic Religious) Law.
> (What could be more Equal?)
>
> * Had the Right To Vote - And The Responsibility to Vote.
> - - - In Iraq - Saddam was recently Re-Elected by 99.46% of the Vote
> and 99.73% (Nearly Everyone) Voted.
> - - - The Peoples "Democratic" [Republics] always have Higher Voter
> Turn-Outs than the USofA, Canada, The UK, EURO-Land, Japan and other
> so called western democracies. These Peoples Democratic Republics are
> evidently more democratic then the USofA an the others western
> democracies.
>
> * * Think of the USofA:
> - - - Jimmy Carter has had to "Monitor" elections in the USofA.
> - - - Look at the last Presidential Election in the USofA and the
> Missed Votes in the State of Florida.
>
>
> Proud to say: I Am American ~ RHF
> Simply an Old Retired Blue Collar Union Member - Tovarisch
> .
> .
> = = = "Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net>
> = = = wrote in message news:<6MXqb.2160$643.1262@okepread03>...
> > Not true. None of those systems are/were a democracy because they
> > did/do not have equality before the law, nor the right to vote.
> >
> > "RHF" <rhf-mail@pacbell.net> wrote
> > > GS,
> > >
> > > Ah then by using your definition: NAZI Germany was a Democracy !
> > > - The USSR was a Democracy.
> > > - - The Peoples "Democratic" [Republic] of North Korea is a Democracy.

> > > (Alone with many other nations that call themselves Peoples
> > > "Democratic" [Republics]}
> > > - - - Islamic Republic of Iran is a Democracy.
> > >
> > > Fidel Castro should be honored as the longest living Leader of a
> > > Democracy.
> > > - - - The Republic of Cuba.
> > >
> > > Why the World is Full of Democracies ~ RHF
> >

1551. Re: Racist Troll "Larry", or "Private" or whatever....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-08 17:57:10 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <W7arb.16862$Oo4.12156@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 2948
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"William H. O'Hara, III" <whoohara@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns942D78D7F7B98AX2A3DA5M1512AODKAMO@216.168.3.44...

> There use to be nothing but on topic information here until
> you showed up.
>
> Your buddy W4NTI did not refute the charges on eham with any
> effectiveness. He merely attempted to attack the credibility
> of the accuser. He could offer no real rebuttal to the story.
> Why don't you blast him about it for awhile in email and leave
> us alone?
>
> Bill
>
> --
> William H. O'Hara KB1IUB use QRZ.com for address lookup

What "charges" are you speaking of William H. O'Hara? One does not refute a
outright lie.

Dan/W4NTI

1552. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-08 19:54:37 UTC
From: rhf-mail@pacbell.net
Message-ID: <e5e13af8.0311081154.315e6dfd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 2949
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Ed Price" <edprice@cox.net> wrote in message news:<lJ7rb.15123$mb5.2102@fed1read02>...
> "Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net> wrote in message
> news:YmMqb.1054$643.70@okepread03...
> > The US is a democracy.
> >
> > Any country is a democracy, which has a legislature, an executive, and
> > a supreme court.
> >
> > http://usinfo.state.gov/products/pubs/whatsdem/
> >
> > "Ed Price" <edprice@cox.net> wrote
> > >
> > > Who cares? The USA isn't a democracy either. Democracy is three wolves
> and a
> > > sheep voting about dinner.
> > >
> > > Ed
> > > wb6wsn
> >
> >
>
> Another top-posting wad of blather from a civics-deficient mind. Somewhat
> understandable, however, since you are deriving your wisdom from the US
> Department of State. Looking at your reference, I am amazed to read the
> verbal back-flips about what they think a democracy ought to be. I'm further
> amazed at how they do anything to avoid calling a "representative" democracy
> a republic. No wonder our foreign policy is a crock of shit; we officially
> can't understand what we are!
>

Most of the old Soviet styled communist nations labled themselves:
- - - The Peoples "Democratic" [Republic] of __________ (File in the Blank)

Like the Peoples "Democratic" [Republic] of California
- - - Actually the California State Flag says/reads "California Republic"

> A democracy may or may not have morals, may or may not protect the rights of
> minorities, and is practically unworkable for groups beyond a certain size.
> And once you modify a democracy, by adding modifying conditions, it likely
> isn't a democracy anymore.
>
>

> Ed
> wb6wsn

1553. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-08 21:28:21 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.ONEDOT.org>
Message-ID: <3fad600b$1_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 2950
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> So...what sort of government do we REALLY have?

Sadly, we are drifting toward fascism - governmental
control with private ownership.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 100,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! =-----

1554. Re: Racist Troll "Larry", or "Private" or whatever....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-08 22:08:59 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <%Pdrb.4735$nF5.996@newssvr29.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 2851
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"William H. O'Hara, III" <whoohara@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns942D78D7F7B98AX2A3DA5M1512AODKAMO@216.168.3.44...
> "charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in
> news:sJVob.595$aL4.375@newssvr25.news.prodigy.com:
>
> > Don't you just hate it when some racist commie thinks your
> > ham radio newsgroup is his soap-box?
> >
> > Trolls are insects, best ignored.
> >
> > Charles Brabham, N5PVL
>
> You were not happy with destroying the packet radio newsgroup?
> You and your toadies post to everything on almost every
> mainstream newsgroup. When are you going to stop?
>

Ummm, take a valium of something, man. (Thorazine might be more
approriate...)

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

1555. Re: Racist Troll "Larry", or "Private" or whatever....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-08 22:17:12 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <IXdrb.4736$rl5.2634@newssvr29.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 2852
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:W7arb.16862$Oo4.12156@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> > William H. O'Hara KB1IUB use QRZ.com for address lookup
>
> What "charges" are you speaking of William H. O'Hara? One does not refute
a
> outright lie.

Don't bother, Dan. The guy is from wig city. Having no identity or character
of his own, he leeches off of his betters. It's best to think of his wild
claims and exaggerations as symptoms of his mental state, a cry for help. -
Then ignore them.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

1556. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-08 22:19:48 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <8_drb.4738$QL5.2392@newssvr29.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 2853
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Cecil Moore" <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.ONEDOT.org> wrote in message
news:3fad600b$1_2@corp.newsgroups.com...
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > So...what sort of government do we REALLY have?
>
> Sadly, we are drifting toward fascism - governmental
> control with private ownership.

I keep hearing that it's the idiotarians who are relentlessly taking over
everything.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

1557. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-08 22:20:30 UTC
From: rhf-mail@pacbell.net
Message-ID: <e5e13af8.0311081420.7ca90ae7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 2854
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

FO&A.

This is why some many so called 'democrats'
do not like the Pledge of Allegiance.

For those simple words
"I Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag
of the United States of America,
and To The REPUBLIC For Which It Stands...

http://history.vineyard.net//pledge.htm
http://home.att.net/~poofcatt/july.html
http://www.homeofheroes.com/hallofheroes/1st_floor/flag/1bfc_pledge.html

I Proudly Stand with My Hand over My Heart and Head Held High:

"I Pledge Allegiance to the Flag
of the United States of America,
and to the REPUBLIC for which it stands:
one Nation under "GOD", Indivisible,
With Liberty and Justice for ALL."
- - - amen, Amen. AMEN !

God Bless America - Just an old Boy Scout ~ RHF
.
.
Does anyone remember the "American Creed" ?

"I believe in the United States of America as a Government of the
People, by the People, for the People; whose Just Powers are Derived
from the Consent of the Governed; A Democracy in a Republic, a
Sovereign Nation of many Sovereign States; a Perfect Union, one and
inseparable; Established upon those Principles of Freedom, Equality,
Justice, and Humanity for which American Patriots Sacrificed their
Lives and Fortunes.

I therefore believe it is My Duty to My Country to Love it; to Support
its Constitution; to Obey its Laws; to Respect its Flag; and to Defend
it Against ALL Enemies."

http://www.homeofheroes.com/hallofheroes/1st_floor/flag/1bfc_creed.html
http://www.homeofheroes.com/hallofheroes/1st_floor/flag/1bfc_creed_print.html
.
.
= = = "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com>

= = = wrote in message news:<q4arb.16853$Oo4.16408@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> Per Websters Dictionary;
>
> Democracy
>
> 1. Rule by the people.
> 2. A community so governed.
> 3. The quality of being democratic.
>
>
> Republic;
>
> A state in which the sovereign power is vested in representatives chosen by
> the people.
>
> Constitution;
>
> The system of fundamental laws of a nation or society.
>
> Thus, we are indeed, a Constitutional Republic.
>
> We vote for and elect REPRESENTITIVES. These folks are 'supposed' to vote
> in congress, either state or federal, what the electorate wants.
>
> We are a representitive form of government.
>
> A true democracy is one where everyone gets a vote, and the majority wins.
>
> Can you imagine how much fun it would be to have to vote on every single
> issue, local, state, federal? There wouldn't be enough time in a lifetime
> to do it.
>
> Our representitives are 'supposed' to base their lawmaking on the
> CONSTITUTION. Obviously this is not working.
>
> What is happening is the judiciary of APPOINTED, not elected, judges are
> actually making the law.
>
> In reality this country should stand up and revolt. We are NOT following
> our constitution.
>
> So...what sort of government do we REALLY have?
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>
> Dan/W4NTI

>
> "RHF" <rhf-mail@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> news:e5e13af8.0311080245.298adcb6@posting.google.com...
> > GS,
> >
> > "The US is a democracy.
> > Any country is a democracy,
> > which has a legislature,
> > an executive,
> > and a supreme court."
> >
> > I see you have ADDED to your 'original definition' of a "Democracy"
> > :o)
> >
> > But, All of the Countries, Nations, Systems
> >
> > * Had Equality Before the Law - As Defined by Their Laws.
> > - - - In Cuba - Fidel just place 1000s in Prison - For Thinking Wrong.
> > - - - In Iran - Citizens have Equality before Civil Law and "The
> > Faithful" may be 'judged' before Shari`ah (Islamic Religious) Law.
> > (What could be more Equal?)
> >
> > * Had the Right To Vote - And The Responsibility to Vote.
> > - - - In Iraq - Saddam was recently Re-Elected by 99.46% of the Vote
> > and 99.73% (Nearly Everyone) Voted.
> > - - - The Peoples "Democratic" [Republics] always have Higher Voter
> > Turn-Outs than the USofA, Canada, The UK, EURO-Land, Japan and other
> > so called western democracies. These Peoples Democratic Republics are
> > evidently more democratic then the USofA an the others western
> > democracies.
> >
> > * * Think of the USofA:
> > - - - Jimmy Carter has had to "Monitor" elections in the USofA.
> > - - - Look at the last Presidential Election in the USofA and the
> > Missed Votes in the State of Florida.
> >
> >
> > Proud to say: I Am American ~ RHF
> > Simply an Old Retired Blue Collar Union Member - Tovarisch
> > .
> > .
> > = = = "Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net>
> > = = = wrote in message news:<6MXqb.2160$643.1262@okepread03>...
> > > Not true. None of those systems are/were a democracy because they
> > > did/do not have equality before the law, nor the right to vote.
> > >
> > > "RHF" <rhf-mail@pacbell.net> wrote
> > > > GS,
> > > >
> > > > Ah then by using your definition: NAZI Germany was a Democracy !

> > > > - The USSR was a Democracy.
> > > > - - The Peoples "Democratic" [Republic] of North Korea is a Democracy.
> > > > (Alone with many other nations that call themselves Peoples
> > > > "Democratic" [Republics]}
> > > > - - - Islamic Republic of Iran is a Democracy.
> > > >
> > > > Fidel Castro should be honored as the longest living Leader of a
> > > > Democracy.
> > > > - - - The Republic of Cuba.
> > > >
> > > > Why the World is Full of Democracies ~ RHF
> > >

1558. Re: Racist Troll "Larry", or "Private" or whatever....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-08 22:30:14 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <W7erb.17381$Oo4.10317@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 2855
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:IXdrb.4736$rI5.2634@newssvr29.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:W7arb.16862$Oo4.12156@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> >
> > > William H. O'Hara KB1IUB use QRZ.com for address lookup
> >
> > What "charges" are you speaking of William H. O'Hara? One does not
refute
> a
> > outright lie.
>
> Don't bother, Dan. The guy is from wig city. Having no identity or
character
> of his own, he leeches off of his betters. It's best to think of his wild
> claims and exaggerations as symptoms of his mental state, a cry for
help. -
> Then ignore them.
>
> Charles Brabham, N5PVL
>
>

Yeah, I've seen his 'postings' elsewhere.

I just enjoy watching them 'squirm' when they can't validate what they say.

Dan/W4NTI

1559. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-09 01:41:49 UTC
From: Zoran Brlecic <...WA7AA...@get.lost>
Message-ID: <3FAD9B18.1070001@get.lost>
Source record: 2856
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

RHF wrote:

> I Proudly Stand with My Hand over My Heart and Head Held High:
>
> "I Pledge Allegiance [snip]

Now that we established who the biggest American patriot is, when do we move on to the dick measuring contest? The rules are the same as in the patriotism game: he who shouts the loudest, wins.

WA7AA

--

Anti-spam measure: look me up on qrz.com if you need to reply directly

1560. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-09 05:17:24 UTC
From: Holley Wholee <NoEmail@NoAddress>
Message-ID: <bokil6$s5h$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 2857
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"RHF" <rhf-mail@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:e5e13af8.0311081420.7ca90ae7@posting.google.com...
FO&A.

This is why some many so called 'democrats'
do not like the Pledge of Allegiance.

For those simple words
"I Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag
of the United States of America,
and To The REPUBLIC For Which It Stands...

http://history.vineyard.net//pledge.htm
http://home.att.net/~poofcatt/july.html
http://www.homeofheroes.com/hallofheroes/1st_floor/flag/1bfc_pledge.html

I Proudly Stand with My Hand over My Heart and Head Held High:

"I Pledge Allegiance to the Flag
of the United States of America,
and to the REPUBLIC for which it stands:
one Nation under "GOD", Indivisible,
With Liberty and Justice for ALL."
- - - amen, Amen. AMEN !

God Bless America - Just an old Boy Scout ~ RHF
.
.
Does anyone remember the "American Creed" ?

"I believe in the United States of America as a Government of the
People, by the People, for the People; whose Just Powers are Derived
from the Consent of the Governed; A Democracy in a Republic, a
Sovereign Nation of many Sovereign States; a Perfect Union, one and
inseparable; Established upon those Principles of Freedom, Equality,
Justice, and Humanity for which American Patriots Sacrificed their
Lives and Fortunes.

I therefore believe it is My Duty to My Country to Love it; to Support
its Constitution; to Obey its Laws; to Respect its Flag; and to Defend
it Against ALL Enemies."

.....

Good thoughts. Trouble is that the Socialist Democrats care not for these beliefs.
The greatest threat to our nation today is the touchy-feely political correctness movement being fomented by these hand-wringers.

--
"Throughout history, it has been the inaction of those who could have acted; the indifference of those who should have known better; the silence of the voice of justice when it mattered most; that has made it possible for evil to triumph."

1561. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Brabham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-09 07:18:17 UTC
From: robert_king@usa.com
Message-ID: <66dbd28a.0311082318.233b2d0c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 2858
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Danny and Chucky are in need of some serious help. Common knowledge....

Bob

larry_jefferies@yahoo.com (Larry) wrote in message
news:<61ed6067.0311061751.71066eca@posting.google.com>...
> You said it Jackie.
>
> Larry
>
> > > > > > Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI)
> > > > > > 89 Wildman Road
> > > > > > Anniston AL
> > > > > > 36201
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Charles D. Brabham (N5PVL)
> > > > > > Rt 2 Box 46
> > > > > > Lyford TX
> > > > > > 78569
> > > > > > Phone: 956-347-3612
>
> jackiew1@37.com (Jackie Ward) wrote in message
news:<12329882.0311021759.4eb43015@posting.google.com>...
> > Too funny. I hope you can get those two racist pigs off. Charles and
> > Daniel have been jacking around for months now.
> >
> > Now that their addresses are being posted I am sure someone will blow
> > the whistle on them.
> >
> > Jackie
> >
> >
> > robert_king@usa.com (Robert King) wrote in message
news:<66dbd28a.0311020825.a5dc994@posting.google.com>...
> > > Nice try Charles Brabham, we all know who the racists are around here.
> > > In fact anyone who has been on this newsgroup over the past month
> > > knows about the crap you and Daniel have been spreading.
> > >
> > > Captial "L" loser, the noose is closing..... Enjoy....
> > >
> > > Bob
> > >

> > > "charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:<I0Mmb.12434$%62.5271@newssvr29.news.prodigy.com>...
> > >
> > > > Asked about his astounding success in mowing down thousands of screaming
> > > > raghead fanatics with very few casualties (sound familiar?) , the Israeli
> > > > general agreed to give the reporter the exclusive secret to his
> > > > unprecedented military success...
> > > >
> > > > "Fight Arabs." he said.
> > > >
> > > > Charles Brabham, N5PVL
> >
> > > > > > > Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI)
> > > > > > > 89 Wildman Road
> > > > > > > Anniston AL
> > > > > > > 36201
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Charles D. Brabham (N5PVL)
> > > > > > > Rt 2 Box 46
> > > > > > > Lyford TX
> > > > > > > 78569
> > > > > > > Phone: 956-347-3612
> > > >
> > > "charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:<ZB5pb.9913$iQ7.109622406@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>...
> > > > "Robert King" <robert_king@usa.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:66dbd28a.0311012302.82cd9c2@posting.google.com...
> > > > > You said it brother. Myself and a couple of the regulars are checking
> > > > > into a way to remove all their racist posts. I will keep you all
> > > > > posted.
> > > >
> > > > There is no way to remove racist posts by "Private" or "Larry". Sorry!
> > > >
> > > > The "regulars" here have been using usenet for years, and do not have to
> > > > speculate as to whether posts can be "removed" from usenet. They already
> > > > know better.
> > > >
> > > > Amazing how consistently ignorant the little "racist" group that has invaded
> > > > this newsgroup is.
> > > >
> > > > Sooner or later they will all take a hike, and we can get back to discussing
> > > > amateur radio.
> > > >
> > > > Charles Brabham, N5PVL

1562. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Brabham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-09 08:23:54 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <uQmrb.4793$8X1.4195@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 2859
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Robert King" <robert_king@usa.com> wrote in message
news:66dbd28a.0311082318.233b2d0c@posting.google.com...
> Danny and Chucky are in need of some serious help. Common knowledge....
>

The moron is sending posts to himself again...

That tells the whole story about this anti-ham troll.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

1563. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Brabham (N5PVL) ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-09 08:40:33 UTC
From: CA <jck54@mcmedia.com.au>
Message-ID: <3fadfe1e$1@news.leadingedgeinternet.net.au>
Source record: 2860
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

.....enough please !
"Robert King" <robert_king@usa.com> wrote in message
news:66dbd28a.0311082318.233b2d0c@posting.google.com...
> Danny and Chucky are in need of some serious help. Common knowledge....
>
> Bob
>
> larry_jefferies@yahoo.com (Larry) wrote in message
news:<61ed6067.0311061751.71066eca@posting.google.com>...
> > You said it Jackie.
> >
> > Larry
> >
> > > > > > > > Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI)
> > > > > > > > 89 Wildman Road
> > > > > > > > Anniston AL
> > > > > > > > 36201
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Charles D. Brabham (N5PVL)
> > > > > > > > Rt 2 Box 46
> > > > > > > > Lyford TX
> > > > > > > > 78569
> > > > > > > > Phone: 956-347-3612
> > >
> > jackiew1@37.com (Jackie Ward) wrote in message
news:<12329882.0311021759.4eb43015@posting.google.com>...
> > > Too funny. I hope you can get those two racist pigs off. Charles and
> > > Daniel have been jacking around for months now.
> > >
> > > Now that their addresses are being posted I am sure someone will blow
> > > the whistle on them.
> > >
> > > Jackie
> > >
> > >
> > > robert_king@usa.com (Robert King) wrote in message
news:<66dbd28a.0311020825.a5dc994@posting.google.com>...
> > > > Nice try Charles Brabham, we all know who the racists are around
here.
> > > > In fact anyone who has been on this newsgroup over the past month
> > > > knows about the crap you and Daniel have been spreading.

> > > >
> > > > Captial "L" loser, the noose is closing..... Enjoy....
> > > >
> > > > Bob
> > > >
> > > > "charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:<I0Mmb.12434$%62.5271@newssvr29.news.prodigy.com>...
> > > >
> > > > > Asked about his astounding success in mowing down thousands of
screaming
> > > > > raghead fanatics with very few casualties (sound familiar?) ,
the Israeli
> > > > > general agreed to give the reporter the exclusive secret to his
> > > > > unprecedented military success...
> > > > >
> > > > > "Fight Arabs." he said.
> > > > >
> > > > > Charles Brabham, N5PVL
> > >
> > > > > > > > > Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI)
> > > > > > > > > 89 Wildman Road
> > > > > > > > > Anniston AL
> > > > > > > > > 36201
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Charles D. Brabham (N5PVL)
> > > > > > > > > Rt 2 Box 46
> > > > > > > > > Lyford TX
> > > > > > > > > 78569
> > > > > > > > > Phone: 956-347-3612
> > > > >
> > > > > "charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:<ZB5pb.9913$iQ7.109622406@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>...
> > > > > > "Robert King" <robert_king@usa.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:66dbd28a.0311012302.82cd9c2@posting.google.com...
> > > > > > > You said it brother. Myself and a couple of the regulars are
checking
> > > > > > > into a way to remove all their racist posts. I will keep you
all
> > > > > > > posted.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > There is no way to remove racist posts by "Private" or "Larry".
Sorry!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > The "regulars" here have been using usenet for years, and do not
have to
> > > > > > speculate as to whether posts can be "removed" from usenet. They
already
> > > > > > know better.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Amazing how consistently ignorant the little "racist" group that

has invaded
> > > > > this newsgroup is.
> > > > >
> > > > > Sooner or later they will all take a hike, and we can get back to
discussing
> > > > > amateur radio.
> > > > >
> > > > > Charles Brabham, N5PVL

1564. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Brabham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-09 08:57:13 UTC
From: 25WPM <NoSpam@NoAddress>
Message-ID: <bokvhbtbh0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 2861
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Robert King" <robert_king@usa.com> wrote in message
news:66dbd28a.0311082318.233b2d0c@posting.google.com...
Danny and Chucky are in need of some serious help. Common knowledge....

Bob

The persons in need of immediate help are the whiny-assed baby-like adults
that have a problem with Dan.
Dan did nothing wrong. He was honest.
He made a comment about "Ragheads". So what? He didn't hide behind the
cowardly Political Correctness shield.
You babies took it upon yourselves to chastise Dan for his choice of words.
What gives YOU the right?
Did Dan's choice of words cause you little politically correct babies to
fill your diapers? If so, too damned bad!
Dan is in no need of "some serious help" as you posit. The opposite is
true...it is YOU that needs to rethink your ideas.
Liberals are an odd sort...they claim to support freedom of speech...so long
as it is supportive of their ideology. They make no bones about trying to
stifle the ideas of others when it does not agree with their opinions.
Let's see...I will go for the shock value here...yes, Ragheads are a threat
to us...Muslims are involved in a religious war against us. Muslims/Ragheads
are convinced that it is their calling to kill us with any means available.
It is the duty of our Armed Forces to kill them before they visit upon us
another World Trade Center slaughter.
Dan...hats off to you. It is time we stood up to these heathens and call a
spade a spade.
During Clinton's 8 years in office 59 Americans were killed in terrorist
bombings. Clinton did nothing. He even ignored the first attack on the World
Trade Center.

I stand behind our President and our troops who are makng a stand.

Back to you, Bob. Want to wring your hands and whine?

1565. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-09 10:03:16 UTC
From: rhf-mail@pacbell.net
Message-ID: <e5e13af8.0311090203.585e2f6a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 2862
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

```
= = = Zoran Brlecic <...WA7AA...@get.lost>
= = = wrote in message news:<3FAD9B18.1070001@get.lost>...
> >
> > RHF wrote:
> >
> > I Proudly Stand with My Hand over My Heart and Head Held High:
> >
> > "I Pledge Allegiance [snip]
>
>
> Now that we established who the biggest American patriot is, when do we
> move on to the dick measuring contest? The rules are the same as in the
> patriotism game: he who shouts the loudest, wins.
>
>
> WA7AA
>
```

ZB,

The Biggest Patriots are Silent and they are Buried in Hallowed Ground.

Let Each of Us, Remember Them in Our Prayers ~ RHF

.

.

1566. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Brabham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-09 12:16:31 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <zeqrb.25595$OV7.2489@newssvr27.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 2863
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"25WPM" <NoSpam@NoAddress> wrote in message
news:bokvhbtbh0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Robert King" <robert_king@usa.com> wrote in message
> news:66dbd28a.0311082318.233b2d0c@posting.google.com...
> Danny and Chucky are in need of some serious help. Common knowledge....
>
> Bob
>
> The persons in need of immediate help are the whiny-assed baby-like adults
> that have a problem with Dan.

I don't think "they" have a problem with Dan, or myself either. I think that they are anti-ham trolls, out to disrupt these newsgroups with off-topic bullshit, any way "they" can.

That's just a theory, of course, but it fits the facts. Notice how they multi-post to ham radio newsgroups only? It's a troll, who should be reported to his ISP I suppose, since he won't take a hint and buzz off. (sigh)

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

1567. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-09 13:50:49 UTC
From: Stinger <contact@newsserveronly.com>
Message-ID: <mtsrb.54568$SV2.53603@bignews3.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 2867
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Besides -- we don't want Zoran to feel even more frustrated.

Why is it that when somebody does show a basic love for our country, the
principles it stands for, and a respect for those that have fought to
maintain our freedoms, that some feel they have to try to belittle them?

-- Stinger
"RHF" <rhf-mail@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:e5e13af8.0311090203.585e2f6a@posting.google.com...
> = = = Zoran Brlecic <...WA7AA...@get.lost>
> = = = wrote in message news:<3FAD9B18.1070001@get.lost>...
> > >
> > > RHF wrote:
> > >
> > > I Proudly Stand with My Hand over My Heart and Head Held High:
> > >
> > > "I Pledge Allegiance [snip]
> >
> >
> > Now that we established who the biggest American patriot is, when do we
> > move on to the dick measuring contest? The rules are the same as in the
> > patriotism game: he who shouts the loudest, wins.
> >
> >
> > WA7AA
> >
>
>
> ZB,
>
> The Biggest Patriots are Silent and they are Buried in Hallowed Ground.
>
> Let Each of Us, Remember Them in Our Prayers ~ RHF
>
> .
>
> .

1568. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-09 13:55:14 UTC
From: Stinger <contact@newsserveronly.com>
Message-ID: <ntsrb.54569$SV2.43429@bignews3.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 2868
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Exactly right, Holley.

Democratic Senator Zell Miller just published an excellent book that
basically says the same thing about his party. He's disgusted with it.

-- Stinger

"Holley Wholee" <NoEmail@NoAddress> wrote in message
news:bokil6$s5h$0@pita.alt.net...
> ....
>
> Good thoughts. Trouble is that the Socialist Democrats care not for these
> beliefs.
> The greatest threat to our nation today is the touchy-feely political
> correctness movement being fomented by these hand-wringers.
>
> --

1569. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-09 14:37:18 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <ijsrb.2498$643.1844@okepread03>
Source record: 2864
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

> This is why some many so called 'democrats'
> do not like the Pledge of Allegiance.

People don't like the "modified" pledge, because it brings
the Pope and the King of England into the Allegiance.

1570. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-09 14:38:33 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <sksrb.2499$643.1119@okepread03>
Source record: 2865
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Cecil Moore" <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.ONEDOT.org> wrote
> Gene Storey wrote:
> > The United states is a republic, and it is a democracy, ...
>
> It's not a democracy because some citizens are prohibited from voting.

Only because their too stupid, and 1% isn't going to change anything anyway.

1571. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-09 14:40:44 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <vmsrb.2500$643.1345@okepread03>
Source record: 2866
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

By rule of law.

"w4jle" <W4JLE(remove this to reply)@pbtcomm.net> wrote
>
> For starters convicted felons, people under the age of 18...

1572. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-09 14:53:57 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <Vysrb.2501$643.996@okepread03>
Source record: 2869
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Because most of them have never served, nor supported. Now that
they are safe (too old for military service) they raise the flag and
proclaim their allegiance.

"Stinger" <contact@newsserveronly.com> wrote
> Besides -- we don't want Zoran to feel even more frustrated.
>
> Why is it that when somebody does show a basic love for our country, the
> principles it stands for, and a respect for those that have fought to
> maintain our freedoms, that some feel they have to try to belittle them?

1573. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Brabham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-09 16:56:30 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <2lurb.18761$9M3.3307@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 2871
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:zeqrb.25595$OV7.2489@newssvr27.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "25WPM" <NoSpam@NoAddress> wrote in message
> news:bokvhbtbh0@pita.alt.net...
> >
> > "Robert King" <robert_king@usa.com> wrote in message
> > news:66dbd28a.0311082318.233b2d0c@posting.google.com...
> > Danny and Chucky are in need of some serious help. Common knowledge....
> >
> > Bob
> >
> > The persons in need of immediate help are the whiny-assed baby-like
adults
> > that have a problem with Dan.
>
> I don't think "they" have a problem with Dan, or myself either. I think
that
> they are anti-ham trolls, out to disrupt these newsgroups with off-topic
> bullshit, any way "they" can.
>
> That's just a theory, of course, but it fits the facts. Notice how they
> multi-post to ham radio newsgroups only? It's a troll, who should be
> reported to his ISP I suppose, since he won't take a hint and buzz off.
> (sigh)
>
> Charles Brabham, N5PVL
>
>

I would enjoy applying some "PC' to him. Oh, thats paddle correction btw.

The guy doing this is obviously juvenile. Probably some young punk sneaking
into daddys room to play on the computer. A result of Canadian and now
Americas own creation. What a shame.

And I will continue to speak my mind. Whether it is politically correct or
not.

Thanks for the support.

Dan/W4NTI

1574. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Brabham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-09 16:59:09 UTC
From: jackiew1@37.com
Message-ID: <12329882.0311090859.57803dbf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 2872
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Another moron to add to the pile.

How can you support Bush when he and the bin Laden family had oil
business dealings going back to the 70's?

Why did we give Osama bin Laden millions of dollars to fight the
Russians in Afganistan?

Why was Saddam Hussein awarded the keys to the City of Detroit in
1980?

Why did they blow up the World Trade Centre when there was 1000 other
buildings in hundreds of other countries to choose from?

Because of racist morons like you, thats why. Want some more apple
pie?

"25WPM" <NoSpam@NoAddress> wrote in message news:<bokvhbtbh0@pita.alt.net>...
> "Robert King" <robert_king@usa.com> wrote in message
> news:66dbd28a.0311082318.233b2d0c@posting.google.com...
> Danny and Chucky are in need of some serious help. Common knowledge....
>
> Bob
>
> The persons in need of immediate help are the whiny-assed baby-like adults
> that have a problem with Dan.
> Dan did nothing wrong. He was honest.
> He made a comment about "Ragheads". So what? He didn't hide behind the
> cowardly Political Correctness shield.
> You babies took it upon yourselves to chastise Dan for his choice of words.
> What gives YOU the right?
> Did Dan's choice of words cause you little politically correct babies to
> fill your diapers? If so, too damned bad!
> Dan is in no need of "some serious help" as you posit. The opposite is
> true...it is YOU that needs to rethink your ideas.
> Liberals are an odd sort...they claim to support freedom of speech...so long
> as it is supportive of their ideology. They make no bones about trying to
> stifle the ideas of others when it does not agree with their opinions.
> Let's see...I will go for the shock value here...yes, Ragheads are a threat
> to us...Muslims are involved in a religious war against us. Muslims/Ragheads
> are convinced that it is their calling to kill us with any means available.
> It is the duty of our Armed Forces to kill them before they visit upon us
> another World Trade Center slaughter.

> Dan...hats off to you. It is time we stood up to these heathens and call a
> spade a spade.
> During Clinton's 8 years in office 59 Americans were killed in terrorist
> bombings. Clinton did nothing. He even ignored the first attack on the World
> Trade Center.
>
> I stand behind our President and our troops who are makng a stand.
>
> Back to you, Bob. Want to wring your hands and whine?

1575. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-09 17:14:38 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <NCurb.2563$643.1518@okepread03>
Source record: 2873
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

So you're saying a republic can't be a democracy?

"Ralph Mowery" <rmowery@ctc.net> wrote
>
> It is a republic because we have the electorial college to do the actual
> voting instead of having the population as a whole to do the voting.

Well no shit Sherlock.

1576. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-09 17:15:55 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.ONEDOT.org>
Message-ID: <3fae7662$1_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 2874
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Gene Storey wrote:
> "Cecil Moore" <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.ONEDOT.org> wrote
>>It's not a democracy because some citizens are prohibited from voting.
>
> Only because their too stupid, and 1% isn't going to change anything anyway.

My 16 year old grand neice has a MENSA level IQ and is certainly not
"too stupid". She is probably more knowledgeable than 50% of Democrats. :-)
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 100,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! =-----

1577. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-09 17:17:10 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.ONEDOT.org>
Message-ID: <3fae76b0_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 2875
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Gene Storey wrote:

> By rule of law.

That law would be illegal in a democracy.

> "w4jle" <W4JLE(remove this to reply)@pbtcomm.net> wrote
>>For starters convicted felons, people under the age of 18...
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 100,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! =-----

1578. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-09 17:20:00 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@ctc.net>
Message-ID: <XsGdnVqzm57N9DOiRVn-vA@ctc.net>
Source record: 2870
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

> > > The United states is a republic, and it is a democracy, ...
> >
> > It's not a democracy because some citizens are prohibited from voting.
>
> Only because their too stupid, and 1% isn't going to change anything
anyway.
>

Less than 1% changed the president in the last election.

It is a republic because we have the electorial college to do the actual voting instead of having the population as a whole to do the voting. Even if the college did vote in porportion of the people in their state, some states have a larger percentage of the votes. This way it is possiable for a president to have the most votes of the people and still not be elected president.

Also congress does the voting on the laws. It would take forever to get anything done if a country the size of the US had the whole population voting on every Bill in congress. Sometimes this does not seem like a bad idea :-)

1579. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-09 17:37:32 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20031109123732.27043.00000156@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 2876

I'd like to answer this question WITH a question:

WHAT THE FUCK DOES THIS HAVE TO DO WITH HAM RADIO!?
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB - WPYI880 (GMRS)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com

1580. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-09 17:41:30 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.ONEDOT.org>
Message-ID: <3fae7c63_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 2877
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Gene Storey wrote:
> So you're saying a republic can't be a democracy?

Yes, a cat cannot be a dog. The two terms are mutually
exclusive by the purest of definitions. (If this was a
democracy, Gore, having won the popular vote, would be
president.)
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 100,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! =-----

1581. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-09 18:19:18 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.ONEDOT.org>
Message-ID: <3fae8541_7@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 2878
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Walt Davidson wrote:

> <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.ONEDOT.org> wrote:
>>My 16 year old grand neice has a MENSA level IQ
>
> Pity she didn't teach you spelling! ;-)

Strangely, Netscape 7.1 didn't catch that one.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 100,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! =-----

1582. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-09 18:31:05 UTC
From: No Felons Here <"Bubba Loves You"@Crooks.coms>
Message-ID: <bom15b$rj9$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 2879
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net> wrote in message
news:ijsrb.2498$643.1844@okepread03...
> This is why some many so called 'democrats'
> do not like the Pledge of Allegiance.

People don't like the "modified" pledge, because it brings
the Pope and the King of England into the Allegiance.

Meaning...YOU don't like it, not the "people" you speak of.
The Pope? My, oh my. You really ARE off the wall, aren't you?

1583. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Brabham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-09 18:44:36 UTC
From: No Felons Here <"Bubba Loves You"@Crooks.coms>
Message-ID: <bom1umtoe0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 2880
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Jackie Ward" <jackiew1@37.com> wrote in message
news:12329882.0311090859.57803dbf@posting.google.com...
Another moron to add to the pile.

How can you support Bush when he and the bin Laden family had oil
business dealings going back to the 70's?
/
The same way idiots like you can support a rapist who gave top-secret
missile technology to a nation that is sworn to go to war with us.
/

Why did we give Osama bin Laden millions of dollars to fight the
Russians in Afganistan?

/
Why did Clinton accept hundreds of thousands of dollars from the Communist
Chinese?
/

Why was Saddam Hussein awarded the keys to the City of Detroit in
1980?

/
Why did Clinton pardon convicted felons who had "donated" monies to his
libraryl?
/

Why did they blow up the World Trade Centre when there was 1000 other
buildings in hundreds of other countries to choose from?

/
Because they didn't get it right the first time they tried. And they got
away with it because Clinton was a spineless pussy.
/

Because of racist morons like you, thats why. Want some more apple
pie?

/
Sure. I like apple pie. Make it a double helping.
What is your favorite...cream puff?

1584. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-09 18:48:06 UTC
From: No Felons Here <"Bubba Loves You"@Crooks.coms>
Message-ID: <bom258$u57$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 2881
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Cecil Moore" <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.ONEDOT.org> wrote in message
news:3fae7c63_3@corp.newsgroups.com...
Gene Storey wrote:
> So you're saying a republic can't be a democracy?

Yes, a cat cannot be a dog. The two terms are mutually
exclusive by the purest of definitions. (If this was a
democracy, Gore, having won the popular vote, would be
president.)
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

That is debatable. Especially considering the huge amount of voter fraud the
Democrats were found to have instigated.

1585. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-09 20:55:32 UTC
From: rhf-mail@pacbell.net
Message-ID: <e5e13af8.0311091255.18c16014@posting.google.com>
Source record: 2882
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

```
= = = "Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net>
= = = wrote in message news:<ijsrb.2498$643.1844@okepread03>...
> > This is why some many so called 'democrats'
> > do not like the Pledge of Allegiance.
>
> People don't like the "modified" pledge, because it brings
> the Pope and the King of England into the Allegiance.
>
```

GS,

? the Pope ?

? King of England ?

How ?

! Amplify and Expand Please !

iwtk ~ RHF

.

.

1586. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-09 21:06:29 UTC
From: Stinger <contact@newsserveronly.com>
Message-ID: <ZYxrb.50450$BX.23011@bignews5.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 2883
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Actually, most people are able to grasp the concept of "representative democracy." Keep trying.

-- Stinger
"Cecil Moore" <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.ONEDOT.org> wrote in message news:3fae7c63_3@corp.newsgroups.com...
> Gene Storey wrote:
> > So you're saying a republic can't be a democracy?
>
> Yes, a cat cannot be a dog. The two terms are mutually
> exclusive by the purest of definitions. (If this was a
> democracy, Gore, having won the popular vote, would be
> president.)
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> ------== Over 100,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! =-----

1587. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-09 21:09:50 UTC
From: Stinger <contact@newsserveronly.com>
Message-ID: <60yrb.50467$BX.46426@bignews5.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 2884
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Oh, man. This is going to be funny.

Please don't keep us in suspense.

-- Stinger
"RHF" <rhf-mail@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:e5e13af8.0311091255.18c16014@posting.google.com...
> = = = "Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net>
> = = = wrote in message news:<ijsrb.2498$643.1844@okepread03>...
> > > This is why some many so called 'democrats'
> > > do not like the Pledge of Allegiance.
> > >
> > > People don't like the "modified" pledge, because it brings
> > > the Pope and the King of England into the Allegiance.
> > >
> >
> > GS,
> >
> > ? the Pope ?
> >
> > ? King of England ?
> >
> >
> > How ?
> >
> > ! Amplify and Expand Please !
> >
> >
> > iwtk ~ RHF
> >
> > .
> >
> > .

1588. Re: Timewave HamHub?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-09 22:14:56 UTC
From: "Alan P. Biddle" <APBIDDLE@ATT.NET>
Message-ID: <mpetqvsvdv4o51cetl7n1vnankqlh72lgi@4ax.com>
Source record: 2885

Doug,

The best thing to do is look at the latest AEA catalog, which is
available on their web site. Basically, it a combination sound card
interface, USB to 3 serial ports, etc.

Alan
WA4SCA

1589. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-09 22:43:27 UTC
From: uce@ftc.gov
Message-ID: <9f6dnaBidJGWXjOi4p2dnA@comcast.com>
Source record: 2886
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

To repeat my earlier question, how is this going to help me work more DX?

In article <e5e13af8.0311091255.18c16014@posting.google.com>,
rhf-mail@pacbell.net (RHF) wrote:
>= = = "Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net>
>= = = wrote in message news:<ijsrb.2498$643.1844@okepread03>...
>> > This is why some many so called 'democrats'
>> > do not like the Pledge of Allegiance.
>>
>> People don't like the "modified" pledge, because it brings
>> the Pope and the King of England into the Allegiance.
>>
>
>GS,
>
>? the Pope ?
>
>? King of England ?
>
>
>How ?
>
>! Amplify and Expand Please !
>
>
>iwtk ~ RHF
>
>..
>
>..

Spammers - reply freely and often to my e-mail address
Everyone else - look me up on qrz.com

Peace - Those rare moments in history when everyone is rearming....

1590. Re: Timewave HamHub?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-09 22:46:25 UTC
From: Doug Faunt N6TQS +1-510-655-8604 <faunt@panix.com>
Message-ID: <mfr8ympxiri.fsf@panix2.panix.com>
Source record: 2887

Alan P. Biddle <APBIDDLE@ATT.NET> writes:

> Doug,
>
> The best thing to do is look at the latest AEA catalog, which is
> available on their web site. Basically, it a combination sound card
> interface, USB to 3 serial ports, etc.
>
All I get on their website is the name of it, and "ask about it".
I can't find it anywhere.

73, doug

1591. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-09 22:49:28 UTC
From: Zoran Brlecic <...WA7AA...@get.lost>
Message-ID: <3FAEC47D.4070904@get.lost>
Source record: 2888
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Stinger wrote:

> Why is it that when somebody does show a basic love for our country, the
> principles it stands for, and a respect for those that have fought to
> maintain our freedoms, that some feel they have to try to belittle them?

Uhm... because "a basic love for our country", much like any other love,
is supposed to be shown by actions and deeds, not by words, pledges and
by worshipping flags, politicians and deities. Especially not by straw
man arguments on why "so called democrats do not like the Pledge of
Allegiance". Words mean shit and nationalism is the last refuge of a
scoundrel.
And now, back to that other game: I claim 15 inches. What do you say? It
must be true, since I claim it. Waiter, another round!

WA7AA

--

Anti-spam measure: look me up on qrz.com if you need to reply directly

1592. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-09 23:03:59 UTC
From: Stinger <contact@newsserveronly.com>
Message-ID: <BIzrb.71966$un.2812@bignews6.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 2889
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Wow, you've never heard of poetry?

BTW -- you should buy a vowel ;^)

-- Stinger

"Zoran Brlecic" <...WA7AA...@get.lost> wrote in message
news:3FAEC47D.4070904@get.lost...
> Uhm... because "a basic love for our country", much like any other love,
> is supposed to be shown by actions and deeds, not by words, pledges and
.....

1593. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-09 23:10:40 UTC
From: Zoran Brlecic <...WA7AA...@get.lost>
Message-ID: <3FAEC96F.7040707@get.lost>
Source record: 2890
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Stinger wrote:
> Wow, you've never heard of poetry?

Yeah, I have. One of the greatest American poets, Ezra Pound, was a
fascist and stood trial for treason. So much for that.

> BTW -- you should buy a vowel ;^)

As funny as the first hundred thousand times I heard it.

73 ... WA7AA

--

Anti-spam measure: look me up on qrz.com if you need to reply directly

1594. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-09 23:23:46 UTC
From: Stinger <contact@newsserveronly.com>
Message-ID: <9%zrb.72054$un.48337@bignews6.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 2891
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

So much for what?

You whined about RHF posting patriotic notes (you were wanting deeds, etc....pretty silly, since this IS a newsgroup), saying that words weren't enough to profess love (of country) -- I mentioned poetry, which is a great way to do so. In fact, I found RHF's post and some of the links therin pretty inspirational.

But you just seem to want to bitch about him posting it.

Even your call sign looks like you're crying.

-- Stinger

"Zoran Brlecic" <...WA7AA...@get.lost> wrote in message news:3FAEC96F.7040707@get.lost...
> Stinger wrote:
> > Wow, you've never heard of poetry?
>
> Yeah, I have. One of the greatest American poets, Ezra Pound, was a
> fascist and stood trial for treason. So much for that.
>
> > BTW -- you should buy a vowel ;^)
>
> As funny as the first hundred thousand times I heard it.
>
> 73 ... WA7AA
>
>
>
> --
>
> Anti-spam measure: look me up on qrz.com if you need to reply directly
>

1595. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-10 00:44:14 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <icBrb.2$6p6.1@okepread03>
Source record: 2892
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Cecil Moore" <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.ONEDOT.org> wrote
> Gene Storey wrote:
> > So you're saying a republic can't be a democracy?
>
> Yes, a cat cannot be a dog. The two terms are mutually
> exclusive by the purest of definitions. (If this was a
> democracy, Gore, having won the popular vote, would be
> president.)

and there-in lies your problem. A republic is a merely how a majority is achieved. A Democracy is how the government is formed. The existence of a legislature, an executive, and a supreme court, are the only things that identify a Democracy (each with their checks and balances). A Democracy can have a direct vote, or a representative vote.

A Republic is a tamed cat, a direct Democracy is a wild cat. The dog would be an executive who tried to manipulate the Supreme Court, or other federal courts.

1596. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-10 00:50:31 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <biBrb.3$6p6.1@okepread03>
Source record: 2893
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Cecil Moore" <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.ONEDOT.org> wrote
>
> My 16 year old grand neice has a MENSA level IQ and is certainly not
> "too stupid". She is probably more knowledgeable than 50% of Democrats. :-)

IQ has nothing to do with it, and MENSA membership would make her
a handicapped person in my book, as who would want to brag about such
a thing.

If enough people wanted to change things, I believe 16 year olds could be
free to vote, but then they must also be free to draft into the military, or
other service to the country.18 year olds got the right to vote because they
were dying for their country. Your niece would have to show cause.

I personally think that only those who are 18 and above, and are not on
Social Security should be allowed to vote.

1597. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-10 01:29:10 UTC
From: Stinger <contact@newsserveronly.com>
Message-ID: <CQBrb.72273$un.41016@bignews6.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 2894
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

I can't really agree with you about the "no Social Security" idea, Gene. My father is 76 and is still one of the sharpest, clearest thinkers that I know. Also, I could not imagine telling someone with the military service of Chuck Yeager that he couldn't vote.

I assume your idea is that if they're on an entitlement program, they shouldn't be voting -- the principle being that "any system that robs Peter to pay Paul can always count on the support of Paul." I agree, that is a problem.

We have greatly wavered from the voting system of our forefathers. You used to have to be male, a substantial landowner, a veteran, or a combination of the above to vote. Of course, now we've gone too far -- in California, any illegal immigrant that doesn't mind showing their new driver's license and lying to a voter registrar will soon be able to vote.

There should be some sort of educational requirement for voting. I've heard plenty of radio stunts where they send a reporter out to ask people on the street who (as an example) Dick Cheney is, and about one in six knows that he's the vice president of the United States. If these people are voting, that's a travesty.

I disagree with the campaigns the continually say "go vote." If you can't do it as an informed citizen, please don't.

-- Stinger

"Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net> wrote in message
news:biBrb.3$6p6.1@okepread03...
> "Cecil Moore" <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.ONEDOT.org> wrote
> >
> > My 16 year old grand neice has a MENSA level IQ and is certainly not
> > "too stupid". She is probably more knowledgeable than 50% of Democrats.
:-)
>
> IQ has nothing to do with it, and MENSA membership would make her
> a handicapped person in my book, as who would want to brag about such
> a thing.
>
> If enough people wanted to change things, I believe 16 year olds could be
> free to vote, but then they must also be free to draft into the military,
or
> other service to the country.18 year olds got the right to vote because

they
> were dying for their country. Your niece would have to show cause.
>
> I personally think that only those who are 18 and above, and are not on
> Social Security should be allowed to vote.
>
>

1598. AMPR Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-10 02:09:34 UTC
From: TC <fake@fake.fak>
Message-ID: <yrCrb.35968$1N3.11423@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 2895

Hi,
I want to get involved with Ampr Net can any one let me know how to get started what's needed and all that fun stuff.

Thanks,

TC

1599. Re: AMPR Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-10 03:14:50 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <vpDrb.17$6p6.4@okepread03>
Source record: 2896

Grab this file:

ftp://ftp.ucsd.edu/hamradio/amprnets

Look up your coordinator, and start there. If your coordinator has lost interest,
then you can elect yourself as the new coordinator :-)

"TC" <fake@fake.fak> wrote
> Hi,
> I want to get involved with Ampr Net can any one let me know how to get
> started what's needed and all that fun stuff.
>
> Thanks,
>
> TC

1600. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Brabham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-10 03:49:15 UTC
From: jackiew1@37.com
Message-ID: <12329882.0311091949.6910365e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 2897
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

I don't get your post? What does Clinton have to do with this? We
already know he was an idiot too. All American presidents are fools,
just like you.

Jackie

"No Felons Here" <Bubba Loves You@Crooks.coms> wrote in message
news:<bom1umtoe0@pita.alt.net>...
> "Jackie Ward" <jackiew1@37.com> wrote in message
> news:12329882.0311090859.57803dbf@posting.google.com...
> Another moron to add to the pile.
>
> How can you support Bush when he and the bin Laden family had oil
> business dealings going back to the 70's?
> /
> The same way idiots like you can support a rapist who gave top-secret
> missile technology to a nation that is sworn to go to war with us.
> /
>
> Why did we give Osama bin Laden millions of dollars to fight the
> Russians in Afganistan?
>
> /
> Why did Clinton accept hundreds of thousands of dollars from the Communist
> Chinese?
> /
>
>
> Why was Saddam Hussein awarded the keys to the City of Detroit in
> 1980?
>
> /
> Why did Clinton pardon convicted felons who had "donated" monies to his
> libraryl?
> /
>
> Why did they blow up the World Trade Centre when there was 1000 other
> buildings in hundreds of other countries to choose from?
>
> /
> Because they didn't get it right the first time they tried. And they got
> away with it because Clinton was a spineless pussy.

> /
>
> Because of racist morons like you, thats why. Want some more apple
> pie?
>
> /
> Sure. I like apple pie. Make it a double helping.
> What is your favorite...cream puff?

1601. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-10 04:05:44 UTC
From: Android Cat <androidcat99@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <fcErb.579$kA6.78055@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 2898
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Please play nice people.

Look at your current thread topic and then look at the list of newsgroups
you are crossposting into. Then remove any newsgroup in your reply where
this thread is completely off-topic.

If this leaves you with no groups remaining, oh well. (Pick one newsgroup
to sacrifice and converge on it.)

--
Ron Sharp.
IIWANK #31: I will attempt to stay vaguely on-topic for the
newsgroup/forum. Cats are always on-topic.

1602. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Brabham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-10 04:37:17 UTC
From: Stinger <contact@newsserveronly.com>
Message-ID: <AzErb.51897$BX.25694@bignews5.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 2899
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Jackie, the sad fact is each of the Clinton statements is (as you also indicate) true.

Unfortunately the ridiculous statements you made are not.

"<<SNIP>>
> > How can you support Bush when he and the bin Laden family had oil
> > business dealings going back to the 70's?

Should they have a crystal ball? I know of no such deals, however, because the Bush family invested in domestic oil in central and southern Texas. I do know that the Bin Laden family controls one of the largest Middle-Eastern construction companies. If they did build a refinery overseas in the 70's, it would not surprise me if they did business -- especially since Usama Bin Laden didn't activate Al Qaeda until the late 80's.

> > Why did we give Osama bin Laden millions of dollars to fight the
> > Russians in Afganistan?
> >

We didn't. However, we did supply weapons to an opposition faction of Afghanistan freedom fighters that eventually splintered into several warring factions. Years later, one of these factions became the Taliban, which eventually allied with Al Qaeda, years after the Russians had gone. The northern Afghan freedom fighters allied with our troops to help overthrow Al Qaeda and the Taliban.

> >
> > Why was Saddam Hussein awarded the keys to the City of Detroit in
> > 1980?
> >

Because Cleveland managed to outbid them?

I can find no evidence of this, even on the urban legends page at snopes.com. However, knowing something of the Democrat shenanigans in the city politics of Detroit, it wouldn't have surprised me...

> >
> > Why did they blow up the World Trade Centre when there was 1000 other
> > buildings in hundreds of other countries to choose from?
> >
Actually, I need to leave the previous, correct answer here...
> Because they didn't get it right the first time they tried. And they got

> away with it because Clinton was a spineless pussy.
> /

Now, stop reading the Weekly World News and start watching Fox News, and I won't have to embarrass you again.

-- Stinger

1603. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-10 05:24:01 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.ONEDOT.org>
Message-ID: <3faf210b_1@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 2900
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Gene Storey wrote:
> and there-in lies your problem. A republic is a merely how a
> majority is achieved. A Democracy is how the government is
> formed. The existence of a legislature, an executive, and a
> supreme court, are the only things that identify a Democracy

Find out what happened to Socrates and get back to us. I don't
remember Athens having a supreme court.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 100,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! =-----

1604. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-10 05:27:02 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.ONEDOT.org>
Message-ID: <3faf21c0_1@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 2801
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Gene Storey wrote:
> I personally think that only those who are 18 and above, and are not on
> Social Security should be allowed to vote.

Your posting is irrelevant. If *any* citizen is prohibited from voting
on each and every law, it is not a pure democracy. You are prohibited
from voting on each and every law.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 100,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! =-----

1605. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-10 06:32:13 UTC
From: John Barnard <jfjb@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <3FAF30ED.596EC178@shaw.ca>
Source record: 2802
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Maybe you Yanks can start an Operation "Vowel Storm" in certain parts of the world?

Regards

John Barnard

Stinger wrote:

> Wow, you've never heard of poetry?
>
> BTW -- you should buy a vowel ;^)
>
> -- Stinger
>
> "Zoran Brlecic" <...WA7AA...@get.lost> wrote in message
> news:3FAEC47D.4070904@get.lost...
> > Uhm... because "a basic love for our country", much like any other love,
> > is supposed to be shown by actions and deeds, not by words, pledges and
> ......

1606. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-10 07:01:56 UTC
From: Zoran Brlecic <...WA7AA...@get.lost>
Message-ID: <3FAF37E9.5030107@get.lost>
Source record: 2803
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Stinger wrote:

> You whined about RHF posting patriotic notes

No, I simply felt that he clearly won the Biggest Patriot of the Year
contest, and I offered to start another one, in the good old-fashioned
beer-swillin', cousin-marryin', Confederate flag-carryin', gun-totin',
liberal-lynchin' way.

> (you were wanting deeds,
> etc....pretty silly, since this IS a newsgroup), saying that words weren't
> enough to profess love (of country) -- I mentioned poetry, which is a great
> way to do so. In fact, I found RHF's post and some of the links therin
> pretty inspirational.

Excuse me while I go throw up.

> But you just seem to want to bitch about him posting it.
>
> Even your call sign looks like you're crying.

Ah, yes, hit me where it hurts: buy a vowel, callsign too whiny... what
else have you learned on that fat-ass junkie hypocrite Limbaugh channel?

--

Anti-spam measure: look me up on qrz.com if you need to reply directly

1607. Re: Timewave HamHub?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-10 11:14:57 UTC
From: "Alan P. Biddle" <APBIDDLE@ATT.NET>
Message-ID: <7fsuqvsbptlug1ep74v8pn5r69eql7arsg@4ax.com>
Source record: 2804

Doug,

That should have been AES, not AEA.

http://216.239.39.104/search?q=cache:badNuRJEgh0J:www.aesham.com/pdf/p147-154,%2520160-CIV.pdf+Hamhub&hl=en&ie=UTF-8

If this URL got truncated, GOOGLE for "HAMHUB" and you will get about
3 hits, including this one.

Search down the page for "HAMHUB"

Alan
WA4SCA

1608. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-10 11:39:08 UTC
From: rhf-mail@pacbell.net
Message-ID: <e5e13af8.0311100339.7c0117a2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 2805
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

FO&A,

I guess, I Owe Everyone an Apology.

It is No Longer COOL to Love My Country.
- - - Hell Cool isn't 'Cool' anymore !

Today's Definition of a Patriot seems to be:
- - Someone Who Criticizes the USofA and Disparages Our Leaders.

I 'resist' this Change because I grew up in a time when
- I was Proud to Be a Boy Scout
- - A Matter of Personal Honor.
- - - But Does Personal Honor, Matter Anymore ?

Today the Boy Scouts are Labeled as Bigots.
- - - And some go so far to call them a HATE Group.

Once Again I Apologize for Loving My Country.
- - - And having Faith in Our Leaders.

alioli ~ mcrow ~ RHF
.
.
= = = rhf-mail@pacbell.net (RHF)
= = = wrote in message news:<e5e13af8.0311081420.7ca90ae7@posting.google.com>...
> FO&A.
>
> This is why some many so called 'democrats'
> do not like the Pledge of Allegiance.
>
> For those simple words
> "I Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag
> of the United States of America,
> and To The REPUBLIC For Which It Stands...
>
>
> http://history.vineyard.net//pledge.htm
> http://home.att.net/~poofcatt/july.html
> http://www.homeofheroes.com/hallofheroes/1st_floor/flag/1bfc_pledge.html
>
>
> I Proudly Stand with My Hand over My Heart and Head Held High:
>

> "I Pledge Allegiance to the Flag
> of the United States of America,
> and to the REPUBLIC for which it stands:
> one Nation under "GOD", Indivisible,
> With Liberty and Justice for ALL."
> - - - amen, Amen. AMEN !
>
>
> God Bless America - Just an old Boy Scout ~ RHF
> .
> .
> Does anyone remember the "American Creed" ?
>
> "I believe in the United States of America as a Government of the
> People, by the People, for the People; whose Just Powers are Derived
> from the Consent of the Governed; A Democracy in a Republic, a
> Sovereign Nation of many Sovereign States; a Perfect Union, one and
> inseparable; Established upon those Principles of Freedom, Equality,
> Justice, and Humanity for which American Patriots Sacrificed their
> Lives and Fortunes.
>
> I therefore believe it is My Duty to My Country to Love it; to Support
> its Constitution; to Obey its Laws; to Respect its Flag; and to Defend
> it Against ALL Enemies."
>
> http://www.homeofheroes.com/hallofheroes/1st_floor/flag/1bfc_creed.html
> http://www.homeofheroes.com/hallofheroes/1st_floor/flag/1bfc_creed_print.html
> .
> .
> = = = "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com>
> = = = wrote in message news:<q4arb.16853$Oo4.16408@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>...
> > Per Websters Dictionary;
> >
> > Democracy
> >
> > 1. Rule by the people.
> > 2. A community so governed.
> > 3. The quality of being democratic.
> >
> >
> > Republic;
> >
> > A state in which the sovereign power is vested in representatives chosen by
> > the people.
> >
> > Constitution;
> >
> > The system of fundamental laws of a nation or society.
> >
> > Thus, we are indeed, a Constitutional Republic.

> >
> > We vote for and elect REPRESENTITIVES. These folks are 'supposed' to vote
> > in congress, either state or federal, what the electorate wants.
> >
> > We are a representitive form of government.
> >
> > A true democracy is one where everyone gets a vote, and the majority wins.
> >
> > Can you imagine how much fun it would be to have to vote on every single
> > issue, local, state, federal? There wouldn't be enough time in a lifetime
> > to do it.
> >
> > Our representitives are 'supposed' to base their lawmaking on the
> > CONSTITUTION. Obviously this is not working.
> >
> > What is happening is the judiciary of APPOINTED, not elected, judges are
> > actually making the law.
> >
> > In reality this country should stand up and revolt. We are NOT following
> > our constitution.
> >
> > So...what sort of government do we REALLY have?
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > "RHF" <rhf-mail@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> > news:e5e13af8.0311080245.298adcb6@posting.google.com...
> > > GS,
> > >
> > > "The US is a democracy.
> > > Any country is a democracy,
> > > which has a legislature,
> > > an executive,
> > > and a supreme court."
> > >
> > > I see you have ADDED to your 'original definition' of a "Democracy"
> > > :o)
> > >
> > > But, All of the Countries, Nations, Systems
> > >
> > > * Had Equality Before the Law - As Defined by Their Laws.
> > > - - - In Cuba - Fidel just place 1000s in Prison - For Thinking Wrong.
> > > - - - In Iran - Citizens have Equality before Civil Law and "The
> > > Faithful" may be 'judged' before Shari`ah (Islamic Religious) Law.

> > > (What could be more Equal?)
> > >
> > > * Had the Right To Vote - And The Responsibility to Vote.
> > > - - - In Iraq - Saddam was recently Re-Elected by 99.46% of the Vote
> > > and 99.73% (Nearly Everyone) Voted.
> > > - - - The Peoples "Democratic" [Republics] always have Higher Voter
> > > Turn-Outs than the USofA, Canada, The UK, EURO-Land, Japan and other
> > > so called western democracies. These Peoples Democratic Republics are
> > > evidently more democratic then the USofA an the others western
> > > democracies.
> > >
> > > * * Think of the USofA:
> > > - - - Jimmy Carter has had to "Monitor" elections in the USofA.
> > > - - - Look at the last Presidential Election in the USofA and the
> > > Missed Votes in the State of Florida.
> > >
> > >
> > > Proud to say: I Am American ~ RHF
> > > Simply an Old Retired Blue Collar Union Member - Tovarisch
> > > .
> > > .
> > > = = = "Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net>
> > > = = = wrote in message news:<6MXqb.2160$643.1262@okepread03>...
> > > > Not true. None of those systems are/were a democracy because they
> > > > did/do not have equality before the law, nor the right to vote.
> > > >
> > > > "RHF" <rhf-mail@pacbell.net> wrote
> > > > > GS,
> > > > >
> > > > > Ah then by using your definition: NAZI Germany was a Democracy !
> > > > > - The USSR was a Democracy.
> > > > > - - The Peoples "Democratic" [Republic] of North Korea is a Democracy.
> > > > > (Alone with many other nations that call themselves Peoples
> > > > > "Democratic" [Republics]}
> > > > > - - - Islamic Republic of Iran is a Democracy.
> > > > >
> > > > > Fidel Castro should be honored as the longest living Leader of a
> > > > > Democracy.
> > > > > - - - The Republic of Cuba.
> > > > >
> > > > > Why the World is Full of Democracies ~ RHF
> > > >

1609. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators (trimmed)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-10 13:13:51 UTC
From: Ed Price <edprice@cox.net>
Message-ID: <haMrb.21534$mb5.18922@fed1read02>
Source record: 2806
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Cecil Moore" <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.ONEDOT.org> wrote in message
news:3faf21c0_1@corp.newsgroups.com...
> Gene Storey wrote:
> > I personally think that only those who are 18 and above, and are not on
> > Social Security should be allowed to vote.
>
> Your posting is irrelevant. If *any* citizen is prohibited from voting
> on each and every law, it is not a pure democracy. You are prohibited
> from voting on each and every law.
> --
> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

But any warm body is not necessarily a citizen. The democracy can create rules, democratically approved, which limit the participation in the society. If you do not abide by the rules, you may become ineligible to continue participation within the democracy. Indeed, the simple democracy can do anything it (collectively) wants. Therein lies the reason for modifying a pure democracy with a set of fixed rules (possibly called a constitution).

Ed
wb6wsn

1610. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-10 14:10:59 UTC
From: Stinger <contact@newsserveronly.com>
Message-ID: <nZMrb.53453$BX.2628@bignews5.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 2807
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Good to see your true colors.

By the way (since you say I'm on a roll), this is an amazing coincidence,
but your name sounds exactly like someone throwing up.

I would be ashamed to have written what you have posted.

Have a nice day.

-- Stinger

"Zoran Brlecic" <...WA7AA...@get.lost> wrote in message
news:3FAF37E9.5030107@get.lost...
> Stinger wrote:
>
> > You whined about RHF posting patriotic notes
>
> No, I simply felt that he clearly won the Biggest Patriot of the Year
> contest, and I offered to start another one, in the good old-fashioned
> beer-swillin', cousin-marryin', Confederate flag-carryin', gun-totin',
> liberal-lynchin' way.
>
> > (you were wanting deeds,
> > etc....pretty silly, since this IS a newsgroup), saying that words
weren't
> > enough to profess love (of country) -- I mentioned poetry, which is a
great
> > way to do so. In fact, I found RHF's post and some of the links therin
> > pretty inspirational.
>
> Excuse me while I go throw up.
>
> > But you just seem to want to bitch about him posting it.
> >
> > Even your call sign looks like you're crying.
>
> Ah, yes, hit me where it hurts: buy a vowel, callsign too whiny... what
> else have you learned on that fat-ass junkie hypocrite Limbaugh channel?
>
>
>
>
>
>

> --
>
> Anti-spam measure: look me up on qrz.com if you need to reply directly
>

1611. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators (trimmed)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-10 15:34:05 UTC
From: Roger Halstead <newsgroup@rogerhalstead.com>
Message-ID: <7sbvqv4g6ilk9dsg62f93rt1qn3rqvgv56@4ax.com>
Source record: 2809
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 10 Nov 2003 05:13:51 -0800, "Ed Price" <edprice@cox.net>
wrote:

>
>"Cecil Moore" <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.ONEDOT.org> wrote in message
>news:3faf21c0_1@corp.newsgroups.com...
>> Gene Storey wrote:
>> > I personally think that only those who are 18 and above, and are not on
>> > Social Security should be allowed to vote.
>>
>> Your posting is irrelevant. If *any* citizen is prohibited from voting
>> on each and every law, it is not a pure democracy. You are prohibited
>> from voting on each and every law.

In the first place we are a "Republic", remember the pledge "and to
the Republic for which it stands".which is ruled by a "Representative
Democracy", not a pure democracy.

Roger Halstead (K8RI EN73 & ARRL Life Member)
www.rogerhalstead.com
N833R World's oldest Debonair? (S# CD-2)
>> --
>> 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>
>But any warm body is not necessarily a citizen. The democracy can create
>rules, democratically approved, which limit the participation in the
>society. If you do not abide by the rules, you may become ineligible to
>continue participation within the democracy. Indeed, the simple democracy
>can do anything it (collectively) wants. Therein lies the reason for
>modifying a pure democracy with a set of fixed rules (possibly called a
>constitution).
>
>Ed
>wb6wsn

1612. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-10 15:39:10 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <07cvqvcn0n1dqjmk50necevp50spo02h9g@4ax.com>
Source record: 2808
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

One entry found for plutocracy.

Main Entry: pluï¿½tocï¿½raï¿½cy
Pronunciation: plï¿½-'tï¿½-kr&-sE
Function: noun
Inflected Form(s): plural -cies
Etymology: Greek ploutokratia, from ploutos wealth; akin to Greek
plein to sail, float -- more at FLOW
Date: 1652
1 : government by the wealthy
2 : a controlling class of the wealthy
- pluï¿½toï¿½crat /'plï¿½-t&-"krat/ noun
- pluï¿½toï¿½cratï¿½ic /"plï¿½-t&-'kra-tik/ adjective
- pluï¿½toï¿½cratï¿½iï¿½caliï¿½ly /-ti-k(&-)lE/ adverb

Whoever gave corporations First Amendment rights sealed our doom.

On Sat, 08 Nov 2003 17:53:26 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this
mindspring.com> wrote:

>Per Websters Dictionary;
>
>Democracy
>
>1. Rule by the people.
>2. A community so governed.
>3. The quality of being democratic.
>
>
>Republic;
>
>A state in which the sovereign power is vested in representatives chosen by
>the people.
>
>Constitution;
>
>The system of fundamental laws of a nation or society.
>
>Thus, we are indeed, a Constitutional Republic.
>

1613. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-10 16:39:01 UTC
From: w4jle <W4JLE@pbtcomm.net>
Message-ID: <booevl$b1ob$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 2810
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

How is it that the same people who love to quote the "N", word to show how enlightened they are, figure that they have the right to denigrate Southerners with impunity?

I suspect that with your supercilious attitude, you have to look down on someone. Ergo, find a group that is not protected by politically correct speech and stereotype them.

Your sophomoric rhetoric is trite and boring, I suspect your total experience with 4 land has been what you have garnered from the liberal media via TV or movies.

I do note that the most egregious violations of civil rights occur in places other than 4 land...

Many of us lost ancestors in the war of northern aggression, the flag is a source of pride to a people that resisted the imposition of unfair tariffs, made it illegal to import Africans, and wanted to protect their homes. The Stars and Bars are a point of pride, and the strains of Dixie (written by a damyankee) played on a town square warms our heart.

"Zoran Brlecic" <...WA7AA...@get.lost> wrote in message
news:3FAF37E9.5030107@get.lost...
> Stinger wrote:
>
> > You whined about RHF posting patriotic notes
>
> No, I simply felt that he clearly won the Biggest Patriot of the Year
> contest, and I offered to start another one, in the good old-fashioned
> beer-swillin', cousin-marryin', Confederate flag-carryin', gun-totin',
> liberal-lynchin' way.
>

1614. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-10 17:43:34 UTC
From: BobS <bobs711@comcastXXX.net>
Message-ID: <09jvqvk3vjb7486bd8l04ph60f4nmcv53d@4ax.com>
Source record: 2811
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

On 10 Nov 2003 03:39:08 -0800, rhf-mail@pacbell.net (RHF) wrote:

>FO&A,
>
>I guess, I Owe Everyone an Apology.
>
>It is No Longer COOL to Love My Country.
>- - - Hell Cool isn't 'Cool' anymore !
>
>Today's Definition of a Patriot seems to be:
>- - Someone Who Criticizes the USofA and Disparages Our Leaders.
>
>I 'resist' this Change because I grew up in a time when
>- I was Proud to Be a Boy Scout
>- - A Matter of Personal Honor.
>- - - But Does Personal Honor, Matter Anymore ?
>
>Today the Boy Scouts are Labeled as Bigots.
>- - - And some go so far to call them a HATE Group.
>
>
>Once Again I Apologize for Loving My Country.
>- - - And having Faith in Our Leaders.
>
>
>alioli ~ mcrow ~ RHF
>.
Oh pleeeeeze! Take the heart off of your sleave and just DO IT
rather than talk about it.

Bob

1615. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-10 19:08:47 UTC
From: Zoran Brlecic <...WA7AA...@get.lost>
Message-ID: <3FAFE245.7060803@get.lost>
Source record: 2812
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Stinger wrote:

> I would be ashamed to have written what you have posted.

The feeling is mutual.

--

Anti-spam measure: look me up on qrz.com if you need to reply directly

1616. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-10 19:27:50 UTC
From: Zoran Brlecic <...WA7AA...@get.lost>
Message-ID: <3FAFE6BC.700@get.lost>
Source record: 2813
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

w4jle wrote:
> How is it that the same people who love to quote the "N", word to show how
> enlightened they are, figure that they have the right to denigrate
> Southerners with impunity?

Whatever happened to the free speech that was banded around like a three-dollar whore when this whole thread started by a Southerner denigrating other cultures? Or is this just another Limbaughesque fakery: free speech applies to only what we like and drug wars don't apply to white middle-age millionaire hypocrites.

> I suspect that with your supercilious attitude, you have to look down on
> someone. Ergo, find a group that is not protected by politically correct
> speech and stereotype them.

There is no such thing as a "group that is not protected by politically correct speech". It is a figment of your imagination and the result of mistaking paraliberals' bullshit for what liberals stand for. Sort of as if I assumed all conservatives were moral freaks like Ashcroft and Santorum.

> Your sophomoric rhetoric is trite and boring, I suspect your total
> experience with 4 land has been what you have garnered from the liberal
> media via TV or movies.

Yes, it must be that damn liberal media: Fox News, CNBC, every Christian channel anywhere, every Hz on AM, the Washington Post, etc.

> Many of us lost ancestors in the war of northern aggression, the flag is a
> source of pride to a people that resisted the imposition of unfair tariffs,
> made it illegal to import Africans, and wanted to protect their homes. The
> Stars and Bars are a point of pride, and the strains of Dixie (written by a
> damyankee) played on a town square warms our heart.

Wait, I'm confused... Are you complaining that you can't legally import slaves anymore or that the aggressors' flag is a point of pride?

WA7AA

--

Anti-spam measure: look me up on qrz.com if you need to reply directly

1617. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators (trimmed)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-10 19:39:26 UTC
From: rhf-mail@pacbell.net
Message-ID: <e5e13af8.0311101139.2bc0b9ff@posting.google.com>
Source record: 2814
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

EP,

In a PURE "Democracy", [The Law] is anything the Majority of Citizens
decide to Vote on at Anytime [.]

With the Words "Lets Vote" The World Changes.

An Anarchist Dream . . .
- - - Let There Be Chaos !

alioli-mcrow ~ RHF
.
.
= = = "Ed Price" <edprice@cox.net>
= = = wrote in message news:<haMrb.21534$mb5.18922@fed1read02>...
> "Cecil Moore" <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.ONEDOT.org> wrote in message
> news:3faf21c0_1@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > Gene Storey wrote:
> > > I personally think that only those who are 18 and above, and are not on
> > > Social Security should be allowed to vote.
> >
> > Your posting is irrelevant. If *any* citizen is prohibited from voting
> > on each and every law, it is not a pure democracy. You are prohibited
> > from voting on each and every law.
> > --
> > 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>
> But any warm body is not necessarily a citizen. The democracy can create
> rules, democratically approved, which limit the participation in the
> society. If you do not abide by the rules, you may become ineligible to
> continue participation within the democracy. Indeed, the simple democracy
> can do anything it (collectively) wants. Therein lies the reason for
> modifying a pure democracy with a set of fixed rules (possibly called a
> constitution).
>
> Ed
> wb6wsn

1618. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-10 19:44:06 UTC
From: Zoran Brlecic <...WA7AA...@get.lost>
Message-ID: <3FAFEA8C.9050004@get.lost>
Source record: 2815
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

RHF wrote:

> Today's Definition of a Patriot seems to be:
> - - Someone Who Criticizes the USofA and Disparages Our Leaders.

If I have any say in this, a patriot is someone who, among other things, applies critical thinking to the actions his country is taking (and let's not make a mistake of equating a group of corrupt politicians with the whole country) and has a courage to make a stand against the obvious mistakes that his "Leaders" are making, especially when the "Leaders" are waging wars in the interest of a foreign power and contrary to the interests of ours. Supporting "Leaders" at all cost leads to tyranny.

> Today the Boy Scouts are Labeled as Bigots.
> - - - And some go so far to call them a HATE Group.

You tell me:

From Webster, bigot (noun): a person obstinately or intolerantly devoted to his or her own opinions and prejudices.

Prejudice (noun): 1 injury or damage resulting from some judgment or action of another in disregard of one's rights; especially : detriment to one's legal rights or claims
2 a (1) : preconceived judgment or opinion (2) : an adverse opinion or leaning formed without just grounds or before sufficient knowledge b : an instance of such judgment or opinion c : an irrational attitude of hostility directed against an individual, a group, a race, or their supposed characteristics
---
Since the Boy Scouts specifically refuse membership to atheists and homosexuals, what does that make them?

WA7AA

--

Anti-spam measure: look me up on qrz.com if you need to reply directly

1619. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-10 20:31:05 UTC
From: Stinger <contact@newsserveronly.com>
Message-ID: <9zSrb.82940$un.50081@bignews6.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 2816
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Zoran Brlecic" <...WA7AA...@get.lost> wrote in message
news:3FAFEA8C.9050004@get.lost...
> ---
> Since the Boy Scouts specifically refuse membership to atheists and
> homosexuals, what does that make them?
>

It makes them an organization that has gained the trust of generations of
parents of teenaged males.

-- Stinger

1620. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-10 22:57:21 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <lJUrb.20479$Oo4.15235@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 2817
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

And now you will be insulted and possibly harassed. By those that know it all.

I have been a proud resident of Alabama since the mid 70s (with a detour in/out a few times). I have learned a LOT from the fine folks down this way. And what you say is true.

Dan/W4NTI

"w4jle" <W4JLE(remove this to reply)@pbtcomm.net> wrote in message news:booevl$b1ob$1@news3.infoave.net...
> How is it that the same people who love to quote the "N", word to show how
> enlightened they are, figure that they have the right to denigrate
> Southerners with impunity?
>
> I suspect that with your supercilious attitude, you have to look down on
> someone. Ergo, find a group that is not protected by politically correct
> speech and stereotype them.
>
> Your sophomoric rhetoric is trite and boring, I suspect your total
> experience with 4 land has been what you have garnered from the liberal
> media via TV or movies.
>
> I do note that the most egregious violations of civil rights occur in
places
> other than 4 land...
>
> Many of us lost ancestors in the war of northern aggression, the flag is a
> source of pride to a people that resisted the imposition of unfair
tariffs,
> made it illegal to import Africans, and wanted to protect their homes. The
> Stars and Bars are a point of pride, and the strains of Dixie (written by
a
> damyankee) played on a town square warms our heart.
>
>
>
> "Zoran Brlecic" <...WA7AA...@get.lost> wrote in message
> news:3FAF37E9.5030107@get.lost...
> > Stinger wrote:
> >
> > > You whined about RHF posting patriotic notes
> >

> > No, I simply felt that he clearly won the Biggest Patriot of the Year
> > contest, and I offered to start another one, in the good old-fashioned
> > beer-swillin', cousin-marryin', Confederate flag-carryin', gun-totin',
> > liberal-lynchin' way.
> >
>
>

1621. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-10 23:05:45 UTC
From: Stinger <contact@newsserveronly.com>
Message-ID: <ZXUrb.56069$BX.33200@bignews5.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 2819
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

They are good people. I have a very good friend from Alabama. His two favorite football teams are Alabama and whoever's playing Auburn ;^)

-- Stinger

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message news:lJUrb.20479$Oo4.15235@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> And now you will be insulted and possibly harassed. By those that know it
> all.
>
> I have been a proud resident of Alabama since the mid 70s (with a detour
> in/out a few times). I have learned a LOT from the fine folks down this
> way. And what you say is true.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "w4jle" <W4JLE(remove this to reply)@pbtcomm.net> wrote in message
> news:booevl$b1ob$1@news3.infoave.net...
> > How is it that the same people who love to quote the "N", word to show how
> > enlightened they are, figure that they have the right to denigrate
> > Southerners with impunity?
> >
> > I suspect that with your supercilious attitude, you have to look down on
> > someone. Ergo, find a group that is not protected by politically correct
> > speech and stereotype them.
> >
> > Your sophomoric rhetoric is trite and boring, I suspect your total
> > experience with 4 land has been what you have garnered from the liberal
> > media via TV or movies.
> >
> > I do note that the most egregious violations of civil rights occur in
> places
> > other than 4 land...
> >
> > Many of us lost ancestors in the war of northern aggression, the flag is a
> > source of pride to a people that resisted the imposition of unfair
> tariffs,
> > made it illegal to import Africans, and wanted to protect their homes. The
> > Stars and Bars are a point of pride, and the strains of Dixie (written

by
> a
> > damyankee) played on a town square warms our heart.
> >
> >
> >
> > "Zoran Brlecic" <...WA7AA...@get.lost> wrote in message
> > news:3FAF37E9.5030107@get.lost...
> > > Stinger wrote:
> > >
> > > > You whined about RHF posting patriotic notes
> > >
> > > No, I simply felt that he clearly won the Biggest Patriot of the Year
> > > contest, and I offered to start another one, in the good old-fashioned
> > > beer-swillin', cousin-marryin', Confederate flag-carryin', gun-totin',
> > > liberal-lynchin' way.
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1622. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-10 23:14:32 UTC
From: Stinger <contact@newsserveronly.com>
Message-ID: <ZXUrb.56070$BX.13261@bignews5.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 2818
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Wrong again, Walt.

I assume you're talking about Lord Baden-Powell, who some believe was a "repressed" homosexual.

Lord Robert Baden-Powell did not found Boy Scouts of America (or Girl Scouts), but he founded the larger scouting movement that began in England and upon which scouting programs worldwide are based.

Nice try.

-- Stinger

"Walt Davidson" <g3nyy@despammed.com> wrote in message news:6r30rvcbr3uneupjqsin8e0jeieuaa0gn5@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 10 Nov 2003 14:31:05 -0600, "Stinger"
> <contact@newsserveronly.com> wrote:
>
> >"Zoran Brlecic" <...WA7AA...@get.lost> wrote in message
> >news:3FAFEA8C.9050004@get.lost...
> >> ---
> >> Since the Boy Scouts specifically refuse membership to atheists and
> >> homosexuals, what does that make them?
> >>
> >
> >It makes them an organization that has gained the trust of generations of
> >parents of teenaged males.
>
> Strange, bearing in mind that the organization was founded by a
> homosexual who wrote a book entitled "Scouting for Boys".
>
> 73 de G3NYY
>
> --
> Walt Davidson Email: g3nyy @despammed.com

1623. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-11 00:43:14 UTC
From: Reg Edwards <g4fgq.regp@ZZZbtinternet.com>
Message-ID: <bopbb1eju1@hercules.btinternet.com>
Source record: 2820
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

I am just a curious foreigner, ie., not a USA citizen.

I've just had a quick speed-read down this thread.

Are you all about to start another civil war?

These things have a habit of spreading.

1624. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-11 00:52:38 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <qpWrb.20674$Oo4.7685@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 2821
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

No we are not. You see 'Reg' what worldwide folks don't understand about
the USA is that inbetween wars we fight with each other.

Dan/W4NTI

"Reg Edwards" <g4fgq.regp@ZZZbtinternet.com> wrote in message
news:bopbb1eju1@hercules.btinternet.com...
> I am just a curious foreigner, ie., not a USA citizen.
>
> I've just had a quick speed-read down this thread.
>
> Are you all about to start another civil war?
>
> These things have a habit of spreading.
>
>

1625. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-11 00:58:20 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <vvWrb.318$6p6.61@okepread03>
Source record: 2822
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Nope. Just the ignorant learning stuff they should have mastered at
age 10. I'm amazed at some of the invented terms for a Democracy,
but then I'm amazed that 14% of American adults can't read at the
4th grade level.

"Reg Edwards" <g4fgq.regp@ZZZbtinternet.com> wrote
> I am just a curious foreigner, ie., not a USA citizen.
>
> I've just had a quick speed-read down this thread.
>
> Are you all about to start another civil war?
>
> These things have a habit of spreading.

1626. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-11 01:10:15 UTC
From: Zoran Brlecic <...WA7AA...@get.lost>
Message-ID: <3FB036FD.5060100@get.lost>
Source record: 2823
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Stinger wrote:

>>Since the Boy Scouts specifically refuse membership to atheists and
>>homosexuals, what does that make them?
>
> It makes them an organization that has gained the trust of generations of
> parents of teenaged males.

Evading the straightforward question duly noted. It is interesting,
though, that all those parents screaming against admitting fairies into
the Boy Scouts don't think twice before sending their boys to the
Pedof... pardon me, Catholic church. Makes you think...

--

Anti-spam measure: look me up on qrz.com if you need to reply directly

1627. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-11 01:11:51 UTC
From: Stinger <contact@newsserveronly.com>
Message-ID: <JFWrb.59308$SV2.10@bignews3.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 2824
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

All too true.

And if they're at least 18, they can vote.

-- Stinger
"Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net> wrote in message
news:vvWrb.318$6p6.61@okepread03...
> Nope. Just the ignorant learning stuff they should have mastered at
> age 10. I'm amazed at some of the invented terms for a Democracy,
> but then I'm amazed that 14% of American adults can't read at the
> 4th grade level.
>
>
> "Reg Edwards" <g4fgq.regp@ZZZbtinternet.com> wrote
> > I am just a curious foreigner, ie., not a USA citizen.
> >
> > I've just had a quick speed-read down this thread.
> >
> > Are you all about to start another civil war?
> >
> > These things have a habit of spreading.
>
>

1628. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-11 01:36:22 UTC
From: Stinger <contact@newsserveronly.com>
Message-ID: <H0Xrb.59488$SV2.50177@bignews3.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 2825
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

How is that an evasion? It's the truth (and thank you for helping to illustrate my point with the Roman Catholic Church).

The Boy Scouts organization have made their wholesome policies perfectly clear and have defended them sucessfully in court.

However, the Roman Catholic Church chose to ignore their own policies, cover up transgressions, and betray many of their members (and have been very, very unsuccessful in court). If they had been true to their faith, they would never have had any trouble.

By the way, Zoran -- I'm sorry for the harsh words earlier -- I was pretty pissed off with the way you trashed RHF for expressing his love for his country. We now live in a country where in some neighborhoods displaying the American flag is considered a vulgar irritation to others. I saw your sarcasm at his post as more of the same wrongheaded thinking.

Whatcha say we talk about radios?

-- Stinger

"Zoran Brlecic" <...WA7AA...@get.lost> wrote in message
news:3FB036FD.5060100@get.lost...
> Stinger wrote:
>
> >>Since the Boy Scouts specifically refuse membership to atheists and
> >>homosexuals, what does that make them?
> >
> > It makes them an organization that has gained the trust of generations
of
> > parents of teenaged males.
>
> Evading the straightforward question duly noted. It is interesting,
> though, that all those parents screaming against admitting fairies into
> the Boy Scouts don't think twice before sending their boys to the
> Pedof... pardon me, Catholic church. Makes you think...
>
>
>
>
> --
>
> Anti-spam measure: look me up on qrz.com if you need to reply directly
>

1629. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-11 02:42:43 UTC
From: Roger <NoEmail@AnonlymousRemailer.co>
Message-ID: <bopib7hbu0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 2826
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Zoran Brlecic" <...WA7AA...@get.lost> wrote in message
news:3FAFEA8C.9050004@get.lost...
RHF wrote:

> Today's Definition of a Patriot seems to be:
> - - Someone Who Criticizes the USofA and Disparages Our Leaders.

If I have any say in this, a patriot is someone who, among other things, applies critical thinking to the actions his country is taking (and let's not make a mistake of equating a group of corrupt politicians with the whole country) and has a courage to make a stand against the obvious mistakes that his "Leaders" are making, especially when the "Leaders" are waging wars in the interest of a foreign power and contrary to the interests of ours. Supporting "Leaders" at all cost leads to tyranny.

> Today the Boy Scouts are Labeled as Bigots.
> - - - And some go so far to call them a HATE Group.

You tell me:

From Webster, bigot (noun): a person obstinately or intolerantly devoted to his or her own opinions and prejudices.

Prejudice (noun): 1 injury or damage resulting from some judgment or action of another in disregard of one's rights; especially : detriment to one's legal rights or claims
2 a (1) : preconceived judgment or opinion (2) : an adverse opinion or leaning formed without just grounds or before sufficient knowledge b : an instance of such judgment or opinion c : an irrational attitude of hostility directed against an individual, a group, a race, or their supposed characteristics
---
Since the Boy Scouts specifically refuse membership to atheists and homosexuals, what does that make them?

WA7AA

Answer: Free to make their own choices of association.

1630. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-11 02:45:42 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.ONEDOT.org>
Message-ID: <3fb04d75_7@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 2827
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Gene Storey wrote:
> I'm amazed at some of the invented terms for a Democracy,

Study the Athens system that voted to require Socrates to
drink Hemlock and die. That's pure democracy in action.
If we democratically voted for you to drink Hemlock,
would you do it? I didn't think you believed in democracy.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 100,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! =-----

1631. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-11 02:52:10 UTC
From: w4jle <W4JLE@pbtcomm.net>
Message-ID: <bopit9$bhqv$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 2828
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Reading comprehension challenged?
I will break it down for you.

See Dick with the Confederate flag.

Jane said "It was illegal to import slaves under the constitution of the confederacy."

Spot said " The war was about unfair tariffs against the South and aggression by the Northern invaders."

Dick said "Even blacks fought on the Southern side to protect their homes."

Jane said "You mean all those people that said it was about slavery are wrong?"

Spot said "You got it Jane"

I forgot you were educated in the land of fruits and nuts and humbly apologize for writing beyond your grade level.

"Zoran Brlecic" <...WA7AA...@get.lost> wrote in message news:3FAFE6BC.700@get.lost...

> > Many of us lost ancestors in the war of northern aggression, the flag is a
> > source of pride to a people that resisted the imposition of unfair tariffs,
> > made it illegal to import Africans, and wanted to protect their homes. The
> > Stars and Bars are a point of pride, and the strains of Dixie (written by a
> > damyankee) played on a town square warms our heart.
>
> Wait, I'm confused... Are you complaining that you can't legally import
> slaves anymore or that the aggressors' flag is a point of pride?
>
>
> WA7AA
>
>
> --
>
> Anti-spam measure: look me up on qrz.com if you need to reply directly
>

1632. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-11 02:55:09 UTC
From: w4jle <W4JLE@pbtcomm.net>
Message-ID: <bopj2s$bi49$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 2829
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Reg, we haven't finished the last one. The South shall rise again, and this
time we have Cape Canaveral...:>)

"Reg Edwards" <g4fgq.regp@ZZZbtinternet.com> wrote in message
news:bopbb1eju1@hercules.btinternet.com...
> I am just a curious foreigner, ie., not a USA citizen.
>
> I've just had a quick speed-read down this thread.
>
> Are you all about to start another civil war?
>
> These things have a habit of spreading.
>
>

1633. Re: AMPR Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-11 03:28:15 UTC
From: TC <fake@fake.fak>
Message-ID: <jHYrb.88455$ZC4.26664@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 2830

That leads to another question how do you elect yourself as a new
coordinator and what does a coordinator do and all that jazz?
But I'm not at that point. I'm starting from scratch I was big into
packet 10 years ago or so and got out of it when I went to university. Now
that I'm done I'd like to play with Ampr Net. What should I be doing before
I get an IP address and any thoughts on software to be running when I put my
TNC into KISS (or am I missing something?) I haven't found much on the
Internet unfortently hence the posting :)

FYI: the reply address I have here is so I don't have to deal with spam so
please post to the group.

Thank you,

TC

"Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net> wrote in message
news:vpDrb.17$6p6.4@okepread03...
> Grab this file:
>
> ftp://ftp.ucsd.edu/hamradio/amprnets
>
> Look up your coordinator, and start there. If your coordinator has lost
interest,
> then you can elect yourself as the new coordinator :-)
>
>
> "TC" <fake@fake.fak> wrote
> > Hi,
> > I want to get involved with Ampr Net can any one let me know how to
get
> > started what's needed and all that fun stuff.
> >
> > Thanks,
> >
> > TC
>
>

1634. Re: AMPR Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-11 03:58:39 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <y8Zrb.743$6p6.12@okepread03>
Source record: 2831

AMPR Net was one of those really neat projects that had software for
hardware most Hams had. A few Hams here liked the K5JB version of
Net, because it worked on the 3B2 Unix box, as well as the DOS box.
Alas, both K5JB and KB0QJ are both dead now, and God only knows
who owns (or what dumpster they were thrown into) their old Unix boxes.

Personally I liked the JNOS version, and at the end, I was running JNOS
on Linux to do my AMPR Net. You need two IP addresses from your ISP
though. One IP for the connected IP, and one IP for the tunnel. AMPR Net
is nothing more than tunneling IP in IP. That is, it tunnels the class A address
44.x.x.x via the Internet.

In order to tunnel though, you need a partner, and thus was set up this system
of Gateways, which was a list of IP addresses that you tunneled to, and was
kept up to date as people came and went.

The sad thing is, most of this is now history, and you will probably play billy-hell
finding information on this.

What I really liked about AX.25 IP, was the throughput we got at 9600 baud.
I set up a couple of links that were full-duplex for about 25 miles. Over this
network, we basically had a better system than our 28.8 modems to the
Internet. The reason being we had less overhead with our 1500 byte packets,
than the crappy 576 byte PPP crap the ISP gave us.

Good luck, but I think most of the AMPR Net stuff is outdated, and obsolete,
so you need to find a working neighbor. The ucsd.edu has a robot that you send
DNS updates to, but the last I heard was they passworded it, and I have no
clue on that anymore. Actually I find 44 net is redundant to the 10 net.

"TC" <fake@fake.fak> wrote
>
> That leads to another question how do you elect yourself as a new
> coordinator and what does a coordinator do and all that jazz?
> But I'm not at that point. I'm starting from scratch I was big into
> packet 10 years ago or so and got out of it when I went to university. Now
> that I'm done I'd like to play with Ampr Net. What should I be doing before
> I get an IP address and any thoughts on software to be running when I put my
> TNC into KISS (or am I missing something?) I haven't found much on the
> Internet unfortently hence the posting :)
>
> FYI: the reply address I have here is so I don't have to deal with spam so
> please post to the group.
>
> Thank you,
>

> TC
>
> "Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net> wrote
> > Grab this file:
> >
> > ftp://ftp.ucsd.edu/hamradio/amprnets
> >
> > Look up your coordinator, and start there. If your coordinator has lost
> interest,
> > then you can elect yourself as the new coordinator :-)
> >
> >
> > "TC" <fake@fake.fak> wrote
> > > Hi,
> > > I want to get involved with Ampr Net can any one let me know how to
> get
> > > started what's needed and all that fun stuff.
> > >
> > > Thanks,
> > >
> > > TC

1635. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-11 06:03:33 UTC
From: Zoran Brlecic <...WA7AA...@get.lost>
Message-ID: <3FB07BBB.8060405@get.lost>
Source record: 2832
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Roger wrote:

>> Since the Boy Scouts specifically refuse membership to atheists and
>> homosexuals, what does that make them?
>
> Answer: Free to make their own choices of association.

The issue being discussed is not whether they're free to make their
choices, but whether excluding people based on religion and sexual
orientation makes them bigots. Pay attention.

--

Anti-spam measure: look me up on qrz.com if you need to reply directly

1636. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-11 06:26:26 UTC
From: Zoran Brlecic <...WA7AA...@get.lost>
Message-ID: <3FB08119.40303@get.lost>
Source record: 2833
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

w4jle wrote:
> Reading comprehension challenged?

No, it's just that I don't speak Yokel very well.

> I will break it down for you.
> See Dick with the Confederate flag.
> Jane said "It was illegal to import slaves under the constitution of the
> confederacy."
> Spot said " The war was about unfair tariffs against the South and
> aggression by the Northern invaders."
> Dick said "Even blacks fought on the Southern side to protect their homes."
> Jane said "You mean all those people that said it was about slavery are
> wrong?"
> Spot said "You got it Jane"

Seems to me you have problems reading. I never said the US civil war was about freeing slaves - we'll put that excuse in the same bin from which you pulled out the "blacks fought on the Southern side to protect their homes" bullshit. Yes, that same bin that is the source of "imminent danger", "nuclear weapons program", "terrorist connection", etc.

> I forgot you were educated in the land of fruits and nuts and humbly
> apologize for writing beyond your grade level.

Wherever I was educated really makes no difference to what you wrote in your previous post:

>>>Many of us lost ancestors in the war of northern aggression, the flag is
>>>a source of pride to a people that resisted the imposition of unfair
>>>tariffs, made it illegal to import Africans, and wanted to protect their homes.
>>>The Stars and Bars are a point of pride, and the strains of Dixie (written
>>>by a damyankee) played on a town square warms our heart.

So you see my dilemma: on one hand you're stating that the North was the aggressor against the innocent Southerners who just wanted to protect their homes, and on the other you're supporting the exact same war White House is waging in Iraq. Not to mention that defending a regime that was based on slavery in this day and age is really, oh how shall I put this... idiotic. So there's my position - I don't have a problem with Southerners, only with the ones still fighting for their precious Dixie that was built on human misery. Sorry - it must be my education.

73 ... WA7AA

--

Anti-spam measure: look me up on qrz.com if you need to reply directly

1637. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Brabham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-11 06:55:59 UTC
From: "Ryan, KC8PMX" <REMOVETHISkc8pmx@diamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <borq9k$1gm7n3$5@ID-189073.news.uni-berlin.de>
Source record: 2838
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Jackie Ward" <jackiew1@37.com> wrote in message
news:12329882.0311090859.57803dbf@posting.google.com...
> Another moron to add to the pile.
>
> How can you support Bush when he and the bin Laden family had oil
> business dealings going back to the 70's?

Really.... proof of this?

>
> Why did we give Osama bin Laden millions of dollars to fight the
> Russians in Afganistan?

Why have we armed others that are now our enemies?? Unless I am wrong,
wasn't actually Iraq a friend of the USA at one point? Isn't some of the
stuff they are using now stuff we sold them?

>
> Why was Saddam Hussein awarded the keys to the City of Detroit in
> 1980?

Who the hell else would want them? :) (Hell, it seems half of Detroit is
Chaldean (sp.?) anyways.)

>
> Why did they blow up the World Trade Centre when there was 1000 other
> buildings in hundreds of other countries to choose from?
>

Dunno, but it might have something to do with all of the financial related
companies there.......

--
Ryan KC8PMX

Some people are like Slinkies . . . not really good for anything, but you
still can't help but smile when you see one tumble down the stairs.

1638. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-11 07:00:21 UTC
From: Zoran Brlecic <...WA7AA...@get.lost>
Message-ID: <3FB0890A.4040406@get.lost>
Source record: 2834
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Stinger wrote:
> How is that an evasion? It's the truth (and thank you for helping to
> illustrate my point with the Roman Catholic Church).

Well, by substituting an irrelevant answer to a clear question. For example: John X murdered 20 women - what does that make him? The red herring answer is: a perfect father because he raised five great children.

> The Boy Scouts organization have made their wholesome policies perfectly
> clear and have defended them sucessfully in court.

The issue is very gray and the only reason they've been successful (5 to 4 in the Supreme Court, btw) is that atheists and homosexuals in this country (the only one among the Western nations, btw.) are still considered abnormal by the Christian majority. Had they excluded blacks or Jews, for example, no "private organization" status would help them in the outrage they would raise. Read up on the "public accommodation" issue in this case and realize that the Boy Scouts are indirectly funded by the public.

> By the way, Zoran -- I'm sorry for the harsh words earlier -- I was pretty
> pissed off with the way you trashed RHF for expressing his love for his
> country. We now live in a country where in some neighborhoods displaying
> the American flag is considered a vulgar irritation to others. I saw your
> sarcasm at his post as more of the same wrongheaded thinking.

There's no need to apologize - it's a free country and sometimes some of us learn something new in the process. My primary reason for getting involved in this pathetic thread is that I hate hypocrisy and inconsistency. So when the political discussion inevitably turns into the bashing of liberals, ACLU, etc. for their alleged anti-Americanism, I can't keep quiet. In my opinion, the average ACLU volunteer is a bigger American Patriotï¿½ that ten thousand Limbaugh wannabes. Also, as a sort of an impartial observer, I can say that the biggest problem of the US partisan voter is that he sees the other party as a devil incarnate, and his own as an infallible moral beacon. Hence, this entire thread.

> Whatcha say we talk about radios?

Yeah, but the dx conditions suck lately.

73 ... WA7AA

--

Anti-spam measure: look me up on qrz.com if you need to reply directly

1639. Re: AMPR Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-11 11:23:32 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <UE3sb.2591$E74.35921075@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 2835

"TC" <fake@fake.fak> wrote in message
news:jHYrb.88455$ZC4.26664@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
> That leads to another question how do you elect yourself as a new
> coordinator and what does a coordinator do and all that jazz?

Make up an IP number for yourself and hey presto! You're a coordinator!

The people who used to take care of this do not care any more, so just make something up and go. Who will ever know the difference? You might get one, maybe two other hams to play with this stuff, so it's not like you have to worry about causing any trouble with your AMPR station or the addresses you make up. Just stay off of the regular packet frequencies and you won't bother anybody or interrupt anything important.

> But I'm not at that point. I'm starting from scratch I was big into
> packet 10 years ago or so and got out of it when I went to university. Now
> that I'm done I'd like to play with Ampr Net. What should I be doing
before
> I get an IP address and any thoughts on software to be running when I put
my
> TNC into KISS (or am I missing something?) I haven't found much on the
> Internet unfortently hence the posting :)

All the software you used 10 years ago is still around, and has not changed in the intervening decade. If you still have your old setup from ten years ago just fire it up. It is 100% up to date. There has been no progress in AMPR software in the last decade, hence the lack of info on the Internet. Thopugh AMPR is effectively dead, many hams enjoy nostalgia activities involved in amateur radio. If you enjoy operating AMPR and have found another ham who will participate with you, there is absolutely no reason not to go ahead and do so.

Enjoy!

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

1640. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators Daniel L. Jeswald (W4NTI) & Charles D. Braham (N5PVL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-11 13:16:13 UTC
From: Mike O'sullivan <mike.osullivan3@btopenworld.com>
Message-ID: <boqnes$g4l$1@hercules.btinternet.com>
Source record: 2836
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Cecil Moore" <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.ONEDOT.org> wrote in message
news:3faf21c0_1@corp.newsgroups.com...
> Gene Storey wrote:
> > I personally think that only those who are 18 and above, and are not on
> > Social Security should be allowed to vote.
>
> Your posting is irrelevant. If *any* citizen is prohibited from voting
> on each and every law, it is not a pure democracy. You are prohibited
> from voting on each and every law.

Correct. In a republic, voters choose a government to take decisions for
them.

1641. Re: Timewave HamHub?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-11 18:49:10 UTC
From: Doug Faunt N6TQS +1-510-655-8604 <faunt@panix.com>
Message-ID: <mfr3ccu7nbt.fsf@panix1.panix.com>
Source record: 2837

Alan P. Biddle <APBIDDLE@ATT.NET> writes:

> Doug,
>
> That should have been AES, not AEA.
>
>
> http://216.239.39.104/search?q=cache:badNuRJEgh0J:www.aesham.com/pdf/p147-154,%2520160-CIV.pdf+Hamhub&hl=en&ie=UTF-8
>
> If this URL got truncated, GOOGLE for "HAMHUB" and you will get about
> 3 hits, including this one.
>
> Search down the page for "HAMHUB"
>
Yes, thanks. it was the AEA vs AES that had me confused. It looks
interesting. It's a shame they didn't incude the "sound card" in it,
also. There's a USB Soundblaster that does that part of it.
And I wonder if it provides support for FSK.

73, doug

1642. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-12 03:52:24 UTC
From: w4jle <W4JLE@pbtcomm.net>
Message-ID: <bosaq8$cq53$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 2839
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Time for me to follow an old Southern expression, when arguing with a Jackass - make sure he is not doing the same. On that note I conclude further response to your inane rantings.

"Zoran Brlecic" <...WA7AA...@get.lost> wrote in message news:3FB08119.40303@get.lost...
> w4jle wrote:
> > Reading comprehension challenged?
>
> No, it's just that I don't speak Yokel very well.
>

1643. Re: AMPR Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-12 07:47:25 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <hAlsb.240862$0v4.17075795@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 2840

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:UE3sb.2591$E74.35921075@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "TC" <fake@fake.fak> wrote in message
> news:jHYrb.88455$ZC4.26664@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
> > That leads to another question how do you elect yourself as a new
> > coordinator and what does a coordinator do and all that jazz?
>
> Make up an IP number for yourself and hey presto! You're a coordinator!
>
> The people who used to take care of this do not care any more, so just make
> something up and go. Who will ever know the difference? You might get one,
> maybe two other hams to play with this stuff, so it's not like you have to
> worry about causing any trouble with your AMPR station or the addresses you
> make up. Just stay off of the regular packet frequencies and you won't
> bother anybody or interrupt anything important.

We have tried for six years to get the "official hosts list" at UCSD fixed.
So far no result. So we just started over and assign our own addresses
out of net 44.116. The coordinator for that net block will occasionally
answer email requests, but has not yet managed to get any updates into
the system ...except the first one just over six years ago. We now have
something like 50 hosts online, spread over 11 subnets. The official
list is useless, and I've been unable to get any response at all from Brian.
Yes, we run our own DNS, since the official one is useless.

the official list contains addresses for dead people, people who moved out
of the area 15 years ago, people who changed callsign, and about 100
people who obtained addresses long ago and never got on packet :-)

So pick addresses from the correct net block and use 'em.

Maybe someday someone else will take over the administration
of net 44 and then the official DNS might become useful again.

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

1644. Re: AMPR Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-12 08:14:16 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <a_lsb.944$6p6.732@okepread03>
Source record: 2841

"Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote
>
> We have tried for six years to get the "official hosts list" at UCSD fixed.
> So far no result. So we just started over and assign our own addresses
> out of net 44.116.

While any address will do, the only real reason for using 44 net is if you
want to route with other 44 net folks. Most of these have disbanded.

In the link we made after AMPR Net died, we just used 10 Net for WAN
addresses, and 192.168 for LAN addresses, and shared the 10 net host
file (no WAN DNS was used).

> The coordinator for that net block will occasionally
> answer email requests, but has not yet managed to get any updates into
> the system ...except the first one just over six years ago. We now have
> something like 50 hosts online, spread over 11 subnets. The official
> list is useless, and I've been unable to get any response at all from Brian.
> Yes, we run our own DNS, since the official one is useless.

Heck, he must be either dead, or old enough to be in a home somewhere.

> the official list contains addresses for dead people, people who moved out
> of the area 15 years ago, people who changed callsign, and about 100
> people who obtained addresses long ago and never got on packet :-)

Same deal across the US. The only thing running is the UCSD scripts
which the school probably doesn't even know exists.

> So pick addresses from the correct net block and use 'em.
>
> Maybe someday someone else will take over the administration
> of net 44 and then the official DNS might become useful again.

I would recommend the 10 net and 192.168 nets for just about any
endeavor today. The number of 10 Net hosts (HF and VHF ports mostly)
could be done using a shared /etc/hosts file.

I'm wondering if the new AOR Fast Radio Modem could be used for
a nice 2400 baud links on HF? Voice and data in one box!

1645. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-12 10:21:38 UTC
From: Ed Price <edprice@cox.net>
Message-ID: <LQnsb.23361$mb5.12394@fed1read02>
Source record: 2842
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"w4jle" <W4JLE(remove this to reply)@pbtcomm.net> wrote in message
news:booevl$b1ob$1@news3.infoave.net...
> How is it that the same people who love to quote the "N", word to show how
> enlightened they are, figure that they have the right to denigrate
> Southerners with impunity?
>
> I suspect that with your supercilious attitude, you have to look down on
> someone. Ergo, find a group that is not protected by politically correct
> speech and stereotype them.
>
> Your sophomoric rhetoric is trite and boring, I suspect your total
> experience with 4 land has been what you have garnered from the liberal
> media via TV or movies.
>
> I do note that the most egregious violations of civil rights occur in
places
> other than 4 land...
>
> Many of us lost ancestors in the war of northern aggression, the flag is a
> source of pride to a people that resisted the imposition of unfair
tariffs,
> made it illegal to import Africans, and wanted to protect their homes. The
> Stars and Bars are a point of pride, and the strains of Dixie (written by
a
> damyankee) played on a town square warms our heart.

Have been staying out of this, but your Leninist historical revisionism is
too much. Having lost ancestors on BOTH sides, I calls that conflict the War
of Stupid Secession. And while Dixie is a bright & lively tune, it's sound
should forever evoke memories of the dark heart of the Confederacy.

Ed
wb6wsn

1646. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-12 10:23:58 UTC
From: Ed Price <edprice@cox.net>
Message-ID: <XSnsb.23362$mb5.10743@fed1read02>
Source record: 2843
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Reg Edwards" <g4fgq.regp@ZZZbtinternet.com> wrote in message
news:bopbb1eju1@hercules.btinternet.com...
> I am just a curious foreigner, ie., not a USA citizen.
>
> I've just had a quick speed-read down this thread.
>
> Are you all about to start another civil war?
>
> These things have a habit of spreading.
>
>

Whatsamattter Reg, you still scared of Cromwell?

Ed
wb6wsn

1647. Re: Racist Amateur Radio Operators (trimmed)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-12 10:33:21 UTC
From: Ed Price <edprice@cox.net>
Message-ID: <M%nsb.23363$mb5.6205@fed1read02>
Source record: 2844
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

```
> .
> .
> = = = "Ed Price" <edprice@cox.net>
> = = = wrote in message news:<haMrb.21534$mb5.18922@fed1read02>...
> > "Cecil Moore" <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.ONEDOT.org> wrote in message
> > news:3faf21c0_1@corp.newsgroups.com...
> > > Gene Storey wrote:
> > > > I personally think that only those who are 18 and above, and are not
on
> > > > Social Security should be allowed to vote.
> > >
> > > Your posting is irrelevant. If *any* citizen is prohibited from voting
> > > on each and every law, it is not a pure democracy. You are prohibited
> > > from voting on each and every law.
> > > --
> > > 73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
> >
> > But any warm body is not necessarily a citizen. The democracy can create
> > rules, democratically approved, which limit the participation in the
> > society. If you do not abide by the rules, you may become ineligible to
> > continue participation within the democracy. Indeed, the simple
democracy
> > can do anything it (collectively) wants. Therein lies the reason for
> > modifying a pure democracy with a set of fixed rules (possibly called a
> > constitution).
> >
> > Ed
> > wb6wsn

"RHF" <rhf-mail@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:e5e13af8.0311101139.2bc0b9ff@posting.google.com...
> EP,
>
> In a PURE "Democracy", [The Law] is anything the Majority of Citizens
> decide to Vote on at Anytime [.]
>
> With the Words "Lets Vote" The World Changes.
>
> An Anarchist Dream . . .
> - - - Let There Be Chaos !
>
>
> alioli-mcrow ~ RHF
```

Why thanks, but you could have said it quicker. Next time, just say "Me too."

Ed
wb6wsn

1648. Wyman1X HDSSTV Problem with Linux tcl script

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-12 18:21:08 UTC
From: Bob <bcnntp@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <kmttob-i85.ln@p400bob.lse.com.au>
Source record: 2845

Hi All

Thought I'd post my resolution to a problem I had with v1.3.0 of this package

After making and installing everything I trid to run make-Wyman1x-wav and it returned with;

bin2sym307pm8a failed: invalid command name "system"

I simply modified the make-Wyman1x-wav script by changing the two uses of "system" to "exec" and it worked properly.

Its early days yet but a 2M FM 80km path (abt S3 each way) yielded a perfect decode at the other end (10% redund). That end was using the Windows app. Only problem was that a 2 minute file send took them 6-8min to decode (old slow P166)!

Linux OS is SuSE 8.1 Professional

Cheers Bob VK2YQA

--

(The reply address is broken. Put bcnoop in front of the at!)

1649. Re: AMPR Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-12 20:15:49 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <Vxwsb.53245$Ec1.3412461@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 2846

"Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net> wrote in message
news:a_lsb.944$6p6.732@okepread03...
> "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote
> >
> > We have tried for six years to get the "official hosts list" at UCSD fixed.
> > So far no result. So we just started over and assign our own addresses
> > out of net 44.116.
>
> While any address will do, the only real reason for using 44 net is if you
> want to route with other 44 net folks. Most of these have disbanded.

Um ... we ARE the "... other 44 net folks."
There is also activity nearby in 44.26, 44.12, 44.24.

> In the link we made after AMPR Net died, we just used 10 Net for WAN
> addresses, and 192.168 for LAN addresses, and shared the 10 net host
> file (no WAN DNS was used).

Our interests are a bit larger scale :-)

> > The coordinator for that net block will occasionally
> > answer email requests, but has not yet managed to get any updates into
> > the system ...except the first one just over six years ago. We now have
> > something like 50 hosts online, spread over 11 subnets. The official
> > list is useless, and I've been unable to get any response at all from
Brian.
> > Yes, we run our own DNS, since the official one is useless.
>
> Heck, he must be either dead, or old enough to be in a home somewhere.
>
> > the official list contains addresses for dead people, people who moved out
> > of the area 15 years ago, people who changed callsign, and about 100
> > people who obtained addresses long ago and never got on packet :-)
>
> Same deal across the US. The only thing running is the UCSD scripts
> which the school probably doesn't even know exists.
>
> > So pick addresses from the correct net block and use 'em.
> >
> > Maybe someday someone else will take over the administration
> > of net 44 and then the official DNS might become useful again.
>
> I would recommend the 10 net and 192.168 nets for just about any
> endeavor today. The number of 10 Net hosts (HF and VHF ports mostly)
> could be done using a shared /etc/hosts file.

Why not use 44? Then you can link with other tcp/ip systems nearby.

> I'm wondering if the new AOR Fast Radio Modem could be used for
> a nice 2400 baud links on HF? Voice and data in one box!

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

1650. AMPR Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-12 20:20:03 UTC
From: jimnoregon@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <98a31317.0311121220.136226d8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 2847

There's some poop in all the help:

1) The latest AMPR coordinators are at: http://noh.ucsd.edu/~brian/amprnets.txt
(which if you can't remember, it's on the www.ampr.org page)
The one in the FTP directory is obsolete (for some reason, bad script probably).

2) To make changes to the robot, the address is: ampraddr@hamradio.ucsd.edu
If you use anything else it will produce heat only.

Good luck,
Jim

1651. Re: AMPR Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-12 23:57:19 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <zNzsb.245142$0v4.17147158@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 2848

"Jim" <jimnoregon@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:98a31317.0311121220.136226d8@posting.google.com...
> There's some poop in all the help:
>
> 1) The latest AMPR coordinators are at:
http://noh.ucsd.edu/~brian/amprnets.txt
> (which if you can't remember, it's on the www.ampr.org page)
> The one in the FTP directory is obsolete (for some reason, bad script
probably).

No contact information, and in the case of the coordinators
for this area, no action when one does figure out how to contact them.

> 2) To make changes to the robot, the address is: ampraddr@hamradio.ucsd.edu
> If you use anything else it will produce heat only.

I've tried it a couple times, and it appeared to work, but the
information was reset back to the old (and incorrect) information
within a day or so. If you have some info on how one might
cause the robot to do something useful, that would be much
appreciated.

How would one go about getting a new coordinator?
We have several volunteers for that job, but to date zero
response to requests for info on how to make it happen.

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

1652. Re: AMPR Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-13 02:26:35 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen <nielsen@removethis.oz.net>
Message-ID: <bouq4r$u9t$1@216.39.144.81>
Source record: 2849

On Wed, 12 Nov 2003 07:47:25 GMT, Hank Oredson wrote:
>
> We have tried for six years to get the "official hosts list" at UCSD fixed.
> So far no result. So we just started over and assign our own addresses
> out of net 44.116. The coordinator for that net block will occasionally
> answer email requests, but has not yet managed to get any updates into
> the system ...except the first one just over six years ago. We now have
> something like 50 hosts online, spread over 11 subnets. The official
> list is useless, and I've been unable to get any response at all from Brian.
> Yes, we run our own DNS, since the official one is useless.
>
> the official list contains addresses for dead people, people who moved out
> of the area 15 years ago, people who changed callsign, and about 100
> people who obtained addresses long ago and never got on packet :-)
>
> So pick addresses from the correct net block and use 'em.
>
> Maybe someday someone else will take over the administration
> of net 44 and then the official DNS might become useful again.
>

Perhaps DHCP?

Bob, N7XY

1653. Re: AMPR Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-13 02:46:14 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <EgCsb.125$4x3.78@okepread03>
Source record: 2850

"Bob Nielsen" <nielsen@removethis.oz.net> wrote
>
> Perhaps DHCP?

I don't think so Bob. Basically you send out your MAC address and
it sends back an IP, but I think the delay would be too great for even
9600 simplex (plus separated LAN's). Just guessing.

1654. Important Research at TAPR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-13 13:24:59 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <LCLsb.734$OG.46370000@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 2751

According to the latest TAPR "Packet Status Register", thier quarterly newsletter, the folks at TAPR have discovered that they are adrift, with no purpose and direction to guide their activities. As is often the case, the "leadership" at TAPR were the last ones to acknowlege a situation that hams have been noticing and remarking upon for over a decade.

It appears the TAPR BoD put their heads together, discussed the question thoroughly, and discovered that they still had no idea as to what TAPR is supposed to be doing. At last they threw up thier hands and hired somebody to investigate - To try to determine what TAPR might be good for.

"Uh, TAPR does technical stuff." was the conclusion the investigator returned.

It is revealing that during the entire article describing the confusion and debate as to what TAPR might be good for and the "answer" thier investigator eventually turned up, the words "packet radio" were never even mentioned.

That's right; At no time did anybody in the TAPR organization or the investigator they hired mention the words "packet radio" while working to sovle the mystery as to what TAPR might be good for. Those words also are lacking in the investigator's conclusion, "Uh, TAPR does technical stuff."

- So why do some people think of TAPR as a packet radio organization? TAPR doesn't.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL
Director: USPacket.Net
http://www.uspacket.net
n5pvl@uspacket.net

1655. Re: Important Research at TAPR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-13 14:01:25 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <D9Msb.180$4x3.28@okepread03>
Source record: 2752

"Some people" will always live in the past.

Could be they (TAPR) don't want to limit themselves to a technology that is
now fully obsolete, and 90% of Hams have abandoned.

Personally, I don't care about packet (ax.25), and "digital" to me means vocoders,
PSK, and OFDM. ax.25 would be the "misc" of this "digital" forum.

I also don't need your sniveling about your most hated organization. Get over it.

Even wireless devices have abandoned Spread Spectrum, and gone for OFDM
for speed (802.11g).

AOR has an OFDM modem for HF that does 2400 baud with 1200 baud of FEC.
Why would anyone use packet anymore?

Gene

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote
>
> - So why do some people think of TAPR as a packet radio organization?
> TAPR doesn't.

1656. Re: Important Research at TAPR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-13 17:30:20 UTC
From: user <user@iwantnospam.com>
Message-ID: <slrnbr7ftc.ok4.user@localhost.localdomain>
Source record: 2753

On Thu, 13 Nov 2003 08:01:25 -0600, Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net> wrote:
> "Some people" will always live in the past.
>
> Could be they (TAPR) don't want to limit themselves to a technology that is
> now fully obsolete, and 90% of Hams have abandoned.
>
> Personally, I don't care about packet (ax.25), and "digital" to me means vocoders,
> PSK, and OFDM. ax.25 would be the "misc" of this "digital" forum.
>
> I also don't need your sniveling about your most hated organization. Get over it.
>
> Even wireless devices have abandoned Spread Spectrum, and gone for OFDM
> for speed (802.11g).
>
> AOR has an OFDM modem for HF that does 2400 baud with 1200 baud of FEC.
> Why would anyone use packet anymore?
>

TAPR has been doing a good job in the last couple years with kits.
The DSP-10 is a MAJOR undertaking, and they did great with it. The
DSPx and KDSP10 are also very nice - I've been playing around with them
the last few days. I've had a T238 for quite a while, and it's perfect.

So, I'm MORE than happy with them as a kit vendor. When it comes
to general packet radio, then, no, I don't look to them as a leader.

1657. Any AOR ARD9800 compatible kits available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-13 19:14:03 UTC
From: Mike Blake <k9jri@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <%JQsb.9499$P%1.8696670@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 2754

Has anyone produced a lower cost alternative to the AOR ARD9800? We have a small interest group here in Indianapolis but the $500 investment is too steep to allow us to get started. I have found references to some kit, prototype and/or sound card alternatives but I have not been able to locate any actual devices. suggestions for alternative solutions (protocols) would also be welcomed. We want to be able to implement the solution with both FM and SSB equipment.

Mike - K9JRI

1658. FT-920 and MixW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-13 19:24:24 UTC
From: Tom <nieuwsmeelbox@adres.nl>
Message-ID: <3fb3da65$0$128$1b62eedf@news.wanadoo.nl>
Source record: 2755
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

Hi,

I just started with MixW 2 with my Yaesu FT-920. I have connected the FT-920 with the serial cable to my computer. When trying to transmit, I can only transmit in LSB mode.
In MixW I changed the settings in "Configure" -> "TRCVR & CAT/PTT" to USB, but this doesn't help.

Does anybody know how to solve this problem?

73's, Tom

1659. Re: Important Research at TAPR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-13 22:50:43 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <7VTsb.13622$_05.9298@newssvr25.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 2756

"user" <user@iwantnospam.com> wrote in message
news:slrnbr7ftc.ok4.user@localhost.localdomain...
>
> TAPR has been doing a good job in the last couple years with kits.
> The DSP-10 is a MAJOR undertaking, and they did great with it. The
> DSPx and KDSP10 are also very nice - I've been playing around with them
> the last few days. I've had a T238 for quite a while, and it's perfect.
>
> So, I'm MORE than happy with them as a kit vendor. When it comes
> to general packet radio, then, no, I don't look to them as a leader.

Yes, TAPR has been good about the kits and technical articles for some time, but they are not really a packet radio organization as their name and web-site implies.

The popular conception that TAPR is a packet radio organization is incorrect. TAPR has no interest in packet radio and does not support it. Many of the movers 'n shakers involved in TAPR despise packet radio in fact, and several are downright rude to hams who express an interest in it. The fact that TAPR marketed the TNC2 kit long ago has nothing to do with what they are now.

I think we can safely allow Gene Story to be the TAPR poster-child with his response here, displaying the sort of attitude about packet radio that you can expect to find within TAPR.

USPacket.Net is a genuine packet radio organization, dedicated to that aspect of the hobby. We do not feel that it is necessary to run down other aspects of amateur radio, in order to promote packet. Naturally, we at USPN would not want hams to mistakenly go to TAPR for packet information, only to be discouraged or derided by TAPR folk who share many opinions with our poster-boy Gene, here.

It would be just as inappropriate for hams to go to USPN looking for kits or highly technical articles, as we do not offer any. What we do offer is support and usable, useful information for hams who are interested in amateur packet radio and most especially packet radio networking in the USA.

Gene Story accused me of "hating" TAPR in his nastygram-post, but my feelings about TAPR do not run that strongly. As a technical organization that puts out kits, TAPR does a great job. What I do "hate" though, is to see hams being confused into thinking that TAPR is a packet radio organization when they are not.

Personally, I applaud TAPR's reassessment of itself. It is accurate, and now only a name change is needed in order to reduce any remaining confusion as

to what TAPR is, and what it does for the hobby.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL
Director: USPacket.Net
http://www.uspacket.net
n5pvl@uspacket.net

1660. Re: Important Research at TAPR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-13 23:16:39 UTC
From: Dick <rhughes33@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <a048rv4clmuoopjeg2pu7ero51uedcjg9t@4ax.com>
Source record: 2757

You would never know it by looking through their website. I see
packet radio all over the place. I have always considered TAPR to be
the "father" of today's TNC's.

Dick - W6CCD

On Thu, 13 Nov 2003 22:50:43 GMT, "charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>Gene Story accused me of "hating" TAPR in his nastygram-post, but my
>feelings about TAPR do not run that strongly. As a technical organization
>that puts out kits, TAPR does a great job. What I do "hate" though, is to
>see hams being confused into thinking that TAPR is a packet radio
>organization when they are not.

1661. Digital Voice Sked?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-13 23:28:16 UTC
From: n2rll@aol.com
Message-ID: <20031113182816.19914.00000216@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 2758

Hello...I'm trying out the new AOR ARD9800 modem and wonder if there's anyone out there I could set up a sked with, perhaps on 20 meters. I understand from one web search that one digital voice calling frequency is 14.320. Regardless, if anyone is similarly equipped, please drop me an email at N2RLL@aol.com.
Thank you,
Harold

1662. Re: Important Research at TAPR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-14 01:44:11 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <LrWsb.29288$0X4.20527@newssvr31.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 2759

"Dick" <rhughes33@cableone.net> wrote in message
news:a048rv4clmuoopjeg2pu7ero51uedcjg9t@4ax.com...
> You would never know it by looking through their website. I see
> packet radio all over the place. I have always considered TAPR to be
> the "father" of today's TNC's.

TAPR kitted the TNC2, twenty years ago. Things have changed since then, and it has turned around to where hardly anybody at TAPR wants anything to do with amateur packet radio, and most especially not with packet radio networking. Packet figured large in TAPR's beginnings, but things change and it is no longer the central focus of interest for that organization that it once was.

The "packet radio" stuff in TAPR's name and on their web-site reflect an earlier era in TAPR's history that is long past. It's a millstone around their necks, contributing to their present internal confusion and lack of focus. A lot of the unseemly and un-ham-like nastiness toward other hams (packet enthusiasts) from TAPR folks springs from this dichotomy. This is not doing TAPR any good, and of course it is bad for the hobby as well.

If TAPR dropped the "packet" stuff altogether and concentrated on what they do best, both TAPR and the packet radio enthusiasts in the U.S. would be a lot better off.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL
Director: USPacket.Net
http://www.uspacket.net
n5pvl@uspacket.net

1663. Re: Important Research at TAPR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-14 02:18:23 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <wYWsb.3$Cb6.1@okepread03>
Source record: 2760

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote
>
> If TAPR dropped the "packet" stuff altogether and concentrated on what they
> do best, both TAPR and the packet radio enthusiasts in the U.S. would be a
> lot better off.

The director is right, he's always right, he's *so* right that everyone else is wrong.

1664. Re: Important Research at TAPR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-14 02:43:05 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <ZiXsb.27$r74.9@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 2761

"Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net> wrote in message
news:wYWsb.3$Cb6.1@okepread03...
> "charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote
> >
> > If TAPR dropped the "packet" stuff altogether and concentrated on what
they
> > do best, both TAPR and the packet radio enthusiasts in the U.S. would be
a
> > lot better off.
>
> The director is right, he's always right, he's *so* right that everyone
else is wrong.

You must have forgotten that time I thought I was wrong! I was wrong about
that... ;-D

Charles Brabham, N5PVL
Director: USPacket.Net
http://www.uspacket.net
n5pvl@uspacket.net

1665. Re: Important Research at TAPR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-14 05:49:09 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <p1_sb.253526$0v4.17300807@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 2762

"Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net> wrote in message
news:D9Msb.180$4x3.28@okepread03...
> "Some people" will always live in the past.
>
> Could be they (TAPR) don't want to limit themselves to a technology that is
> now fully obsolete, and 90% of Hams have abandoned.

Ah yes, that obsolete technology called ... radio.

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

1666. Re: Important Research at TAPR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-14 11:33:19 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <M43tb.551$Cb6.338@okepread03>
Source record: 2763

"Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote
> "Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net> wrote
> >
> > "Some people" will always live in the past.
> >
> > Could be they (TAPR) don't want to limit themselves to a technology that is
> > now fully obsolete, and 90% of Hams have abandoned.
>
> Ah yes, that obsolete technology called ... radio.

No. You missed the whole point of my well worded position (and at your age, we can allow for wet pants and confusion). Let me repeat, the thing that is fully obsolete is ax.25 and the forms of FSK used to carry it.

1667. Re: Important Research at TAPR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-14 12:46:55 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <394tb.917$O56.65924167@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 2764

"Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net> wrote in message
news:M43tb.551$Cb6.338@okepread03...
> "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote
> > "Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net> wrote
> > >
> > > "Some people" will always live in the past.
> > >
> > > Could be they (TAPR) don't want to limit themselves to a technology
that is
> > > now fully obsolete, and 90% of Hams have abandoned.
> >
> > Ah yes, that obsolete technology called ... radio.
>
> No. You missed the whole point of my well worded position

All that anti-ham drivel you were spouting?

>(and at your
> age, we can allow for wet pants and confusion). Let me repeat, the thing
> that is fully obsolete is ax.25 and the forms of FSK used to carry it.
>

Well now, let's just take a look at what's actually going on... There are two major AX25 networks that cover the entire east coast of the US, going from 200 miles to 1500 miles inland, owned operated and enjoyed by thousands of hams every day, who use those networks to save lives and properties every year.... And then there are the AX25 ARRL skipnets on HF that tie together different sections of the country via amateur radio, along with overseas links of course, and the hundreds of smaller VHF/UHF packet nets scattered around the country, and the new ARES packet radio nets that are popping up here and there, partially funded by the dept. of homeland security....

And then there's uh, uh.... Oh, I forgot what it was that you were saying had "obsoleted" amateur packet radio? Where is your ham radio alternative, and how many hams use it every day?

What is obsolete is your nasty anti-ham attitude. Packet Radio is doing just fine, thank you, growing and advancing every day, saving property and lives.

You have nothing to show because you are just another miserable anti-ham troll, infesting a newsgroup for ham radio operators. You are real good at running down what other people do and accomplish, but have nothing to show for yourself. Lacking any accomplishment to show, and also lacking in character, your response is to run down the accomplishments of other, better hams.

- If you are a ham at all, that is. I don't remember seeing a callsign in your post which says a lot about you, right off the bat. Your ignorant, nasty, lying attitude does not lead me to believe that you are a ham either. If you are a ham, then you shouldn't be.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL
Director: USPacket.Net
http://www.uspacket.net
n5pvl@uspacket.net

To donate to USPacket.Net, click the link below:
https://www.paypal.com/xclick/business=n5pvl%40sbcglobal.net&item_name=USPacket.Net&no_note=1&tax=0&currency_code=USD&lc=US

1668. Palm or pocket pc ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-14 15:57:44 UTC
From: TonyWard <tonester1@cbuk.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <bp2vjq$sp5$1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 2766

wonder if there are any programmes for amature radio to TX/RX
packet,SSTV,RTTY or CW....?

I know there are a few to TX APRS just thought there may be some to do other
modes.

anyone know of any sites...

thx in advance
TONY

1669. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-14 16:21:47 UTC
From: w4jle <W4JLE@pbtcomm.net>
Message-ID: <bp2vfa$fpcp$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 2765
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Please excuse me, once again I have erred in the eyes of a 6 Lander by placing truth in front of political correctness.

It might interest you to know that after the surrender of Lee, Lincoln requested the White house band to play Dixie.

I am always amazed how enlightened liberals can reduce a complex war to a single issue. If you choose to do so, make sure it is the correct single answer. The war of Northern aggression was about money, slavery did not have a damn thing to do with it.

Lincoln's emancipation proclamation had no standing in a seceded South and was made in the hopes that it would foment an uprising of the slaves, thus requiring the use of Southern troops for quelling the uprising rather than fighting the Northern troops.

But Ed, let me not confuse you with the facts.

"Ed Price" <edprice@cox.net> wrote in message
news:LQnsb.23361$mb5.12394@fed1read02...
> Have been staying out of this, but your Leninist historical revisionism is
> too much. Having lost ancestors on BOTH sides, I calls that conflict the
War
> of Stupid Secession. And while Dixie is a bright & lively tune, it's sound
> should forever evoke memories of the dark heart of the Confederacy.
>
> Ed
> wb6wsn
>

1670. Re: Important Research at TAPR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-14 18:13:42 UTC
From: jimnoregon@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <98a31317.0311141013.50e485b2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 2767

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote
> "Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net> wrote
> > "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote
> > > "Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net> wrote
> > > >
> > > > "Some people" will always live in the past.
> > > >
> > > > Could be they (TAPR) don't want to limit themselves to a technology
> that is
> > > > now fully obsolete, and 90% of Hams have abandoned.
> > >
> > > Ah yes, that obsolete technology called ... radio.
> >
> > No. You missed the whole point of my well worded position
>
> All that anti-ham drivel you were spouting?
>
> >(and at your
> > age, we can allow for wet pants and confusion). Let me repeat, the thing
> > that is fully obsolete is ax.25 and the forms of FSK used to carry it.
>
> You have nothing to show because you are just another miserable anti-ham
> troll, infesting a newsgroup for ham radio operators. You are real good at
> running down what other people do and accomplish, but have nothing to show
> for yourself. Lacking any accomplishment to show, and also lacking in
> character, your response is to run down the accomplishments of other, better
> hams.

Your technical defense of FSK is noted. Show me a map of this "network."

1671. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-14 18:15:03 UTC
From: Ed Price <edprice@cox.net>
Message-ID: <zY8tb.2647$cX1.2169@fed1read02>
Source record: 2768
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"w4jle" <W4JLE(remove this to reply)@pbtcomm.net> wrote in message
news:bp2vfa$fpcp$1@news3.infoave.net...
> Please excuse me, once again I have erred in the eyes of a 6 Lander by
> placing truth in front of political correctness.
>
> It might interest you to know that after the surrender of Lee, Lincoln
> requested the White house band to play Dixie.
>
> I am always amazed how enlightened liberals can reduce a complex war to a
> single issue. If you choose to do so, make sure it is the correct single
> answer. The war of Northern aggression was about money, slavery did not
> have a damn thing to do with it.
>
> Lincoln's emancipation proclamation had no standing in a seceded South and
> was made in the hopes that it would foment an uprising of the slaves, thus
> requiring the use of Southern troops for quelling the uprising rather than
> fighting the Northern troops.
>
> But Ed, let me not confuse you with the facts.

Oh my, tarred as a "6-Lander"! How cutting! And quaint, since the "6"
designation is a result of a Federal bureau licensing organizational plan,
and you Southern boys are always so distrustful of Federal schemes. Looks
like them Washington boys are already inside your head.

Playing "Dixie" might have been a diplomatic gesture of kindness; it also
might be viewed as the ultimate "screw you".

Slavery was the basis of the South's wealth, the ultimate cheap labor.
Claiming that money was the true basis for the US Civil War is like trying
to link the Holocaust to the cost of soap in Bavaria. And the South didn't
want to simply continue slavery, they wanted to allow it to be expanded to
new states as they joined the Union. Slavery wasn't the single issue, but it
was the ultimate trigger to a train of events that had been being avoided
for 30 years. If slavery wasn't the prime issue, then why wasn't the Civil
War fought between the Union and say, Indiana, Illinois, Wisconsin,
Minnesota and Michigan?

The Emancipation Proclamation was obviously unenforceable, as it applied
only to those states currently in rebellion to the Union. How about that,
Lincoln takes the moral high-ground, foments trouble within his adversaries
territory, and causes the adversary to waste precious manpower on internal
suppression. Impressive even after 150 years.

You divine that I'm a "liberal." You're obviously invoking the same powers of political acumen that typified the train wreck that was the Confederate Government. The Great Speeches of Jefferson Davis could be inscribed on the head of a pin with a magic marker!

Dixie is dead; get over it, or accept irrelevance.

Ed
WB6WSN

1672. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-14 18:40:11 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.ONEDOT.org>
Message-ID: <3fb52190_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 2769
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Ed Price wrote:
> Dixie is dead; get over it, or accept irrelevance.

Dixie isn't dead. I whistled it just this morning.
--
73, Cecil, W5DXP

1673. Re: Important Research at TAPR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-14 20:18:49 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <JMatb.257388$0v4.17362031@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 2770

"Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net> wrote in message
news:M43tb.551$Cb6.338@okepread03...
> "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote
> > "Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net> wrote
> > >
> > > "Some people" will always live in the past.
> > >
> > > Could be they (TAPR) don't want to limit themselves to a technology that
is
> > > now fully obsolete, and 90% of Hams have abandoned.
> >
> > Ah yes, that obsolete technology called ... radio.
>
> No. You missed the whole point of my well worded position (and at your
> age, we can allow for wet pants and confusion). Let me repeat, the thing
> that is fully obsolete is ax.25 and the forms of FSK used to carry it.

So do something better.
Others have tried ... and failed ... your turn!

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

1674. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-14 20:49:03 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <3dbtb.546$Rk5.3@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 2771
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Ed Price" <edprice@cox.net> wrote in message
news:zY8tb.2647$cX1.2169@fed1read02...
>
> "w4jle" <W4JLE(remove this to reply)@pbtcomm.net> wrote in message
> news:bp2vfa$fpcp$1@news3.infoave.net...
> > Please excuse me, once again I have erred in the eyes of a 6 Lander by
> > placing truth in front of political correctness.
> >
> > It might interest you to know that after the surrender of Lee, Lincoln
> > requested the White house band to play Dixie.
> >
> > I am always amazed how enlightened liberals can reduce a complex war to
a
> > single issue. If you choose to do so, make sure it is the correct single
> > answer. The war of Northern aggression was about money, slavery did not
> > have a damn thing to do with it.
> >
> > Lincoln's emancipation proclamation had no standing in a seceded South
and
> > was made in the hopes that it would foment an uprising of the slaves,
thus
> > requiring the use of Southern troops for quelling the uprising rather
than
> > fighting the Northern troops.
> >
> > But Ed, let me not confuse you with the facts.
>
>
> Oh my, tarred as a "6-Lander"! How cutting! And quaint, since the "6"
> designation is a result of a Federal bureau licensing organizational plan,
> and you Southern boys are always so distrustful of Federal schemes. Looks
> like them Washington boys are already inside your head.
>
> Playing "Dixie" might have been a diplomatic gesture of kindness; it also
> might be viewed as the ultimate "screw you".
>
> Slavery was the basis of the South's wealth, the ultimate cheap labor.
> Claiming that money was the true basis for the US Civil War is like trying
> to link the Holocaust to the cost of soap in Bavaria. And the South didn't
> want to simply continue slavery, they wanted to allow it to be expanded to
> new states as they joined the Union. Slavery wasn't the single issue, but
it

> was the ultimate trigger to a train of events that had been being avoided
> for 30 years. If slavery wasn't the prime issue, then why wasn't the Civil
> War fought between the Union and say, Indiana, Illinois, Wisconsin,
> Minnesota and Michigan?
>
> The Emancipation Proclamation was obviously unenforceable, as it applied
> only to those states currently in rebellion to the Union. How about that,
> Lincoln takes the moral high-ground, foments trouble within his
adversaries
> territory, and causes the adversary to waste precious manpower on internal
> suppression. Impressive even after 150 years.
>
> You divine that I'm a "liberal." You're obviously invoking the same powers
> of political acumen that typified the train wreck that was the Confederate
> Government. The Great Speeches of Jefferson Davis could be inscribed on
the
> head of a pin with a magic marker!
>
> Dixie is dead; get over it, or accept irrelevance.
>
>
> Ed
> WB6WSN
>

Edward of El Cajon California.....isn't that right on top of the fault?
Beware of things that go bump in the night.

Dan/W4NTI
The Heart of Dixie

1675. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-14 20:54:00 UTC
From: David Eduardo <radiodavid@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <lhbtb.10327$v16.2542@newssvr29.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 2772
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:3dbtb.546$Rk5.3@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
>
> Edward of El Cajon California.....isn't that right on top of the fault?

It's nowhere near the San Andreas fault, which is far to the East in the
Imperial Valley.

1676. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-14 20:59:54 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <enbtb.561$Rk5.450@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 2773
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

OH, so its West of the fault.....that means when it cracks the stuff to
the west will fall off into the Pacific ocean, right?

Beware of things that go bump in the night.

Dan/W4NTI

"David Eduardo" <radiodavid@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Ihbtb.10327$v16.2542@newssvr29.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:3dbtb.546$Rk5.3@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> >
> >
> > Edward of El Cajon California.....isn't that right on top of the fault?
>
> It's nowhere near the San Andreas fault, which is far to the East in the
> Imperial Valley.
>
>

1677. WINAPRS... Can I Use USB With It?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-14 21:09:14 UTC
From: RIWS@MSN.COM
Message-ID: <76d1bdee.0311141309.1a067042@posting.google.com>
Source record: 2774

Hello...
I am looking to purchase a Dell Inspiron 1100 notebook PC within the next day or two. I noticed that it does NOT have a serial port, but does have USB 2.0 ports (along with 56 Kbps modem port and Ethernet port). Im not sure how to configure WinAPRS (or if i can) to use a USB port rather than look for a serial port. I would buy a serial --> USB adapter to connect my radio to it. I plan on buying the radio next year, a TM-D700A mobile radio and using the internal TNC with WinAPRS for mobile APRS operation. Will this work? Possible to use USB 2.0 ports with WinAPRS? Any information greatly appreciated.

Douglas Harrington
Seekonk, MA, USA
ARS KB1JEC

1678. Re: WINAPRS... Can I Use USB With It?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-14 21:17:24 UTC
From: mikea@mikea.ath.cx
Message-ID: <bp3gp4$82k$1@puck.litech.org>
Source record: 2775

Douglas Harrington <RIWS@msn.com> wrote:
> Hello...
> I am looking to purchase a Dell Inspiron 1100 notebook PC within the
> next day or two. I noticed that it does NOT have a serial port, but
> does have USB 2.0 ports (along with 56 Kbps modem port and Ethernet
> port). Im not sure how to configure WinAPRS (or if i can) to use a
> USB port rather than look for a serial port. I would buy a serial -->
> USB adapter to connect my radio to it. I plan on buying the radio
> next year, a TM-D700A mobile radio and using the internal TNC with
> WinAPRS for mobile APRS operation. Will this work? Possible to use
> USB 2.0 ports with WinAPRS? Any information greatly appreciated.

All the USB-to-Serial adapters I've seen have come with drivers that
appear as a serial interface to the program.

--
Mike Andrews
mikea@mikea.ath.cx
Tired old sysadmin

1679. audio cards or radio cards

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-14 23:39:02 UTC
From: F*thejones <pegasis@wt.net>
Message-ID: <3fb5683a@sys13.hou.wt.net>
Source record: 2776

Is there a good audio or radio/audio card?
I want to record radio to Mp3

--

~~~~~~
Let us never forget ..... Stores don't kill economies, consumers do
Save our jobs, create US jobs and invest in the USA; (white collars jobs go
to India, Blue collar jobs go to China)
Close the Borders, and deport all illegal immigrants
Impeach Gary Locke
Reform our government, and balance the budget
Reform Banking law
Reform tort law
STOP the degradation of our way of life
~~~~~~

1680. Re: Digital Voice Sked?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-15 00:02:19 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <f2etb.46$Ms1.16@okepread01>
Source record: 2777

check out:

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ARD9800/

"N2RLL" <n2rll@aol.com> wrote
> Hello...I'm trying out the new AOR ARD9800 modem and wonder if there's anyone
> out there I could set up a sked with, perhaps on 20 meters. I understand from
> one web search that one digital voice calling frequency is 14.320. Regardless,
> if anyone is similarly equipped, please drop me an email at N2RLL@aol.com.
> Thank you,
> Harold

1681. Re: FT-920 and MixW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-15 00:08:26 UTC
From: rh3x@erols.com
Message-ID: <2grarvc9hd7j8k5o9q3mrv7v41bnlu5nk0@4ax.com>
Source record: 2778
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

Tom: I also use the Ft-920 and MixW but do not use a serial cable.
Everything works great just using the audio to control the Vox on all
modes. Try it.

de Rich/N2JR

On Thu, 13 Nov 2003 20:24:24 +0100, "Tom" <nieuwsmeelbox@adres.nl>
wrote:

>Hi,
>
>I just started with MixW 2 with my Yaesu FT-920. I have connected the FT-920
>with the serial cable to my computer. When trying to transmit, I can only
>transmit in LSB mode.
>In MixW I changed the settings in "Configure" -> "TRCVR & CAT/PTT" to USB,
>but this doesn't help.
>
>Does anybody know how to solve this problem?
>
>73's, Tom
>

1682. Re: WINAPRS... Can I Use USB With It?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-15 04:08:57 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20031114230857.19197.00000202@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 2779

I use a USB external modem. When plugged in, the computer automatically assigned the modem COM3, no special adapters needed. I would think plugging a TNC in would have a similar affect.

Then again, I've never heard of a computer without a serial port. That is a bit strange.

=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-

73! de Andy KC2SSB - WPYI880 (GMRS)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com

1683. gsm front-end

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-15 10:08:27 UTC
From: flavio.flaviano@jumpy.it
Message-ID: <18e47d0d.0311150208.7064a3a1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 2780
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

I am looking for a gsm front-end, from antenna to I/Q demodulator output.
I need schematic, pcb layout and eventual prototype behind reasonable remuneration.

flavio

1684. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-15 10:19:43 UTC
From: Ed Price <edprice@cox.net>
Message-ID: <W4ntb.4898$cX1.822@fed1read02>
Source record: 2781
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:enbtb.561$Rk5.450@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> OH, so its West of the fault.....that means when it cracks the stuff to
> the west will fall off into the Pacific ocean, right?
>
> Beware of things that go bump in the night.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

OK, this thread must be dead, as Mr. Jeswald, of Anniston, the main irrational protagonist, has resorted to looking me up on QRZ and is one step from posting my address.

The half of my family that hails from the North has handed down a legacy of the appreciation of fine killing equipment, while my Alabama heritage yields me the willingness to use them. I'm pretty sure that the carcass that goes bump isn't gonna be mine. Y'all come out now, bring Bubba too; the dogs are always hungry!

Oh yeah, re the faults. Some day, we are gonna have some mighty fine Atlantic ocean-front property out here.

Ed
wb6wsn

1685. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-15 11:22:54 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <bp52ac$q1f$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 2782
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Ed Price" <edprice@cox.net> wrote in message
news:W4ntb.4898$cX1.822@fed1read02...

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:enbtb.561$Rk5.450@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> OH, so its West of the fault.....that means when it cracks the stuff to
> the west will fall off into the Pacific ocean, right?
>
> Beware of things that go bump in the night.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

OK, this thread must be dead, as Mr. Jeswald, of Anniston, the main irrational protagonist, has resorted to looking me up on QRZ and is one step from posting my address.

The half of my family that hails from the North has handed down a legacy of the appreciation of fine killing equipment, while my Alabama heritage yields me the willingness to use them. I'm pretty sure that the carcass that goes bump isn't gonna be mine. Y'all come out now, bring Bubba too; the dogs are always hungry!

Oh yeah, re the faults. Some day, we are gonna have some mighty fine Atlantic ocean-front property out here.

Ed
wb6wsn

Ed...stop flattering yourself. Dan is not likely to look at your callsign as anything less than a passing fancy. Same here...except I will not bother to look up your call sign. Who cares?

1686. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-15 13:49:51 UTC
From: Ed Price <edprice@cox.net>
Message-ID: <W9qtb.5491$cX1.1343@fed1read02>
Source record: 2783
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Arf! Arf!" <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com> wrote in message
news:bp52ac$q1f$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Ed Price" <edprice@cox.net> wrote in message
> news:W4ntb.4898$cX1.822@fed1read02...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:enbtb.561$Rk5.450@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> > OH, so its West of the fault.....that means when it cracks the stuff
to
> > the west will fall off into the Pacific ocean, right?
> >
> > Beware of things that go bump in the night.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
>
>
> OK, this thread must be dead, as Mr. Jeswald, of Anniston, the main
> irrational protagonist, has resorted to looking me up on QRZ and is one
step
> from posting my address.
>
> The half of my family that hails from the North has handed down a legacy
of
> the appreciation of fine killing equipment, while my Alabama heritage
yields
> me the willingness to use them. I'm pretty sure that the carcass that goes
> bump isn't gonna be mine. Y'all come out now, bring Bubba too; the dogs
are
> always hungry!
>
> Oh yeah, re the faults. Some day, we are gonna have some mighty fine
> Atlantic ocean-front property out here.
>
>
> Ed
> wb6wsn
>
> Ed...stop flattering yourself. Dan is not likely to look at your callsign
as
> anything less than a passing fancy. Same here...except I will not bother
to

> look up your call sign. Who cares?

Well, I certainly don't care, especially about a chickenshit "Arf" who can't stick his name on his opinions. You're worth whatever you think of yourself, and often less.

Ed
wb6wsn

1687. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-15 14:20:08 UTC
From: bob <bob@bob.com>
Message-ID: <Zzqtb.9421$rV.363@bignews5.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 2784
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

He is the proverbial "fart in a whirlwind". When he looks in the mirror,
he sees the failure that we are all familiar with. Luckily, he cannot
keep a woman around so we can rule out another generation!

Ed Price wrote:

> "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com> wrote in message
> news:bp52ac$q1f$0@pita.alt.net...
>
>>"Ed Price" <edprice@cox.net> wrote in message
>>news:W4ntb.4898$cX1.822@fed1read02...
>>
>>"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
>>news:enbtb.561$Rk5.450@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>>>OH, so its West of the fault.....that means when it cracks the stuff
>
> to
>
>>>the west will fall off into the Pacific ocean, right?
>>>
>>>Beware of things that go bump in the night.
>>>
>>>Dan/W4NTI
>>
>>
>>OK, this thread must be dead, as Mr. Jeswald, of Anniston, the main
>>irrational protagonist, has resorted to looking me up on QRZ and is one
>
> step
>
>>from posting my address.
>>
>>The half of my family that hails from the North has handed down a legacy
>
> of
>
>>the appreciation of fine killing equipment, while my Alabama heritage
>
> yields
>
>>me the willingness to use them. I'm pretty sure that the carcass that goes
>>bump isn't gonna be mine. Y'all come out now, bring Bubba too; the dogs

>
> are
>
>>always hungry!
>>
>>Oh yeah, re the faults. Some day, we are gonna have some mighty fine
>>Atlantic ocean-front property out here.
>>
>>
>>Ed
>>wb6wsn
>>
>>Ed...stop flattering yourself. Dan is not likely to look at your callsign
>
> as
>
>>anything less than a passing fancy. Same here...except I will not bother
>
> to
>
>>look up your call sign. Who cares?
>
>
>
> Well, I certainly don't care, especially about a chickenshit "Arf" who can't
> stick his name on his opinions. You're worth whatever you think of yourself,
> and often less.
>
> Ed
> wb6wsn
>

1688. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-15 15:58:45 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <V2stb.2021$Wy4.1871@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 2785
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Arf! Arf!" <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com> wrote in message
news:bp52ac$q1f$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Ed Price" <edprice@cox.net> wrote in message
> news:W4ntb.4898$cX1.822@fed1read02...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:enbtb.561$Rk5.450@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> > OH, so its West of the fault.....that means when it cracks the stuff
to
> > the west will fall off into the Pacific ocean, right?
> >
> > Beware of things that go bump in the night.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
>
>
> OK, this thread must be dead, as Mr. Jeswald, of Anniston, the main
> irrational protagonist, has resorted to looking me up on QRZ and is one
step
> from posting my address.
>
> The half of my family that hails from the North has handed down a legacy
of
> the appreciation of fine killing equipment, while my Alabama heritage
yields
> me the willingness to use them. I'm pretty sure that the carcass that goes
> bump isn't gonna be mine. Y'all come out now, bring Bubba too; the dogs
are
> always hungry!
>
> Oh yeah, re the faults. Some day, we are gonna have some mighty fine
> Atlantic ocean-front property out here.
>
>
> Ed
> wb6wsn
>
> Ed...stop flattering yourself. Dan is not likely to look at your callsign
as
> anything less than a passing fancy. Same here...except I will not bother
to

> look up your call sign. Who cares?
>
>

Thas right....I was just wondering if he was really in the land of the fruits, you know how it is with these ham calls these days.

Dan/W4NTI

1689. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-15 16:05:07 UTC
From: w4jle <W4JLE@pbtcomm.net>
Message-ID: <bp5is1$u6t$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 2786
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

The answer is pretty simple Ed, weather conditions.

First all the slaves were brought in by Yankee traders. It was against the law in the South to import Africans.

The problem with using slaves in the North was the short growing season and a population that must be housed and fed during the unproductive months. This made slavery a net loss situation in the North. The folks up North had no problem with slavery when the cotton was shipped to mills in the North. The problem started when the South shipped the cotton to England and other countries direct. This put the Northern mill workers out of jobs and caused the North to impose unfair tariffs on Southern products.

Slavery as an issue did indeed exist as an issue, but MONEY was the real issue. Had the South continued to supply cheap materials to the North, slavery would still be in vogue.

Excuse me gotta to go, my cell phone is playing Dixie, have to answer it...
"Ed Price" <edprice@cox.net> wrote in message . If slavery wasn't the prime issue, then why wasn't the Civil
> War fought between the Union and say, Indiana, Illinois, Wisconsin,
> Minnesota and Michigan?
>

1690. Motives for AX.25, etc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-15 17:18:36 UTC
From: mark@chaos.x-philes.com
Message-ID: <bp5n55kuo1@niagara.mtbc.internal>
Source record: 2787

I am seeing if I can persuade myself that digital communications protocols run over amateur radio bands might be a good thing for me to get into. Maybe some of you guys can usefully comment.

My skills, inclinations and circumstances, which I'd probably be wasting readers' time to go into, make it fairly clear to me that if I were to get into amateur radio, mostly I'd interested in running an AX.25 node that talks IP and mostly runs unattended.

So, the obvious question is, to what extent is it legally possible, and useful to others, to do that? If both possible and useful (but how? running a local-weather-data server? better connectivity for everyone through another node being around?), maybe I could do some good by studying over coming months to get started.

-- Mark

1691. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-15 17:26:27 UTC
From: Cecil Moore <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.ONEDOT.org>
Message-ID: <3fb661e1$1_5@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 2788
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

w4jle wrote:
> First all the slaves were brought in by Yankee traders. It was against the
> law in the South to import Africans.

Their passage from Yankee ports to the Southern States was guaranteed
by federal law, i.e. it was illegal to interfere with the transfer of
slaves through the Northern States.
--
73, Cecil http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 100,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! =-----

1692. Re: Motives for AX.25, etc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-15 18:36:59 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <fnutb.31607$8i7.26890@newssvr27.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 2789

Check around with the local hams, and see if other hams share your interest. It may be that IP over AX25 is already being done in your area, that you can hook right up to. Without other stations (hams) to connect to though, packet is not much fun. Also, if you do not have a well-developed sense of community, packet will be no good for you. It is a cooperative venture, to be part of a network of independent amateur radio operators.

The most common "newbee" mistake made by hams interested in IP packet radio is the "loner" approach, where they do things without even checking with other hams in the area to see whats already being done and perhaps *join in* with the local hams in their efforts. - Participate.

Hams are generally pretty friendly. There's no guarantee of 100% acceptance no matter what you do, but if you make an effort to work "with" the other hams in your area, I think you will find that they are nice to work with.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

"Mark Carroll" <mark@chaos.x-philes.com> wrote in message news:bp5n55kuo1@niagara.mtbc.internal...
> I am seeing if I can persuade myself that digital communications
> protocols run over amateur radio bands might be a good thing for me to
> get into. Maybe some of you guys can usefully comment.
>
> My skills, inclinations and circumstances, which I'd probably be
> wasting readers' time to go into, make it fairly clear to me that if I
> were to get into amateur radio, mostly I'd interested in running an
> AX.25 node that talks IP and mostly runs unattended.
>
> So, the obvious question is, to what extent is it legally possible,
> and useful to others, to do that? If both possible and useful (but
> how? running a local-weather-data server? better connectivity for
> everyone through another node being around?), maybe I could do some
> good by studying over coming months to get started.
>
> -- Mark

1693. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-15 18:56:12 UTC
From: Ed Price <edprice@cox.net>
Message-ID: <6Futb.6197$cX1.4711@fed1read02>
Source record: 2790
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"w4jle" <W4JLE(remove this to reply)@pbtcomm.net> wrote in message
news:bp5is1$u6t$1@news3.infoave.net...
> The answer is pretty simple Ed, weather conditions.
>
> First all the slaves were brought in by Yankee traders. It was against the
> law in the South to import Africans.

Cite that source!

> The problem with using slaves in the North was the short growing season
and
> a population that must be housed and fed during the unproductive months.

If you have slaves, you can get them to do more than raise plants. Ever hear
of construction, mining or manufacture? All labor intensive, but obviously
beyond the imagination of a Southern slaver.

SNIP

> Slavery as an issue did indeed exist as an issue, but MONEY was the real
> issue. Had the South continued to supply cheap materials to the North,
> slavery would still be in vogue.

Based strictly on economics, how could you possibly claim that the North
found it cheaper to prosecute the Civil War than to accept higher-priced
cotton? And following your logic, the South would have been economically
devastated with the development of synthetic fabrics, so they could have
tried secession maybe around 1925?

Ed
wb6wsn

1694. Re: Motives for AX.25, etc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-15 20:08:49 UTC
From: mark@chaos.x-philes.com
Message-ID: <bp614b$l6d$1@niagara.mtbc.internal>
Source record: 2791

In article <fnutb.31607$8i7.26890@newssvr27.news.prodigy.com>,
charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>Check around with the local hams, and see if other hams share your interest.

There are, at least, a few other people in my state doing IP-based
stuff over AX.25, though none in my county - indeed, maybe I'll send
some e-mail around. Thanks for the suggestion!

(snip)
>The most common "newbee" mistake made by hams interested in IP packet radio
>is the "loner" approach, where they do things without even checking with
>other hams in the area to see whats already being done and perhaps *join in*
>with the local hams in their efforts. - Participate.
(snip)

Right, thanks. What would really make a difference for me is if there
was some useful community venture to which my running of a
mostly-unattended AX.25 node would valuably contribute - really,
that's the deciding factor for me, because it's not like there aren't
plenty of other non-ham technical things that offer social contact and
interesting conversation, but are easier to get into.

So, I guess that the first step really is just to ask some other AX.25
hams in my area what they're interested in. Anything from providing
servers that report local weather, to researching new routing
protocols, would interest me - I'd just be looking for enough stuff
where me providing an extra node could actually make any consequential
difference.

-- Mark

1695. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-15 21:30:16 UTC
From: bob <bob@bob.com>
Message-ID: <bp65t5$4j3$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 2792
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:V2stb.2021$Wy4.1871@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...

"Arf! Arf!" <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com> wrote in message
news:bp52ac$q1f$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Ed Price" <edprice@cox.net> wrote in message
> news:W4ntb.4898$cX1.822@fed1read02...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:enbtb.561$Rk5.450@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> > OH, so its West of the fault.....that means when it cracks the stuff
to
> > the west will fall off into the Pacific ocean, right?
> >
> > Beware of things that go bump in the night.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
>
>
> OK, this thread must be dead, as Mr. Jeswald, of Anniston, the main
> irrational protagonist, has resorted to looking me up on QRZ and is one
step
> from posting my address.
>
> The half of my family that hails from the North has handed down a legacy
of
> the appreciation of fine killing equipment, while my Alabama heritage
yields
> me the willingness to use them. I'm pretty sure that the carcass that goes
> bump isn't gonna be mine. Y'all come out now, bring Bubba too; the dogs
are
> always hungry!
>
> Oh yeah, re the faults. Some day, we are gonna have some mighty fine
> Atlantic ocean-front property out here.
>
>
> Ed
> wb6wsn
>
> Ed...stop flattering yourself. Dan is not likely to look at your callsign
as

> anything less than a passing fancy. Same here...except I will not bother
to
> look up your call sign. Who cares?
>
>

Thas right....I was just wondering if he was really in the land of the
fruits, you know how it is with these ham calls these days.

Dan/W4NTI

Ed is waaaayyyyy out there in the land of fairies and home of the liberal.

1696. Re: gsm front-end

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-15 21:39:43 UTC
From: Wim Ton <wimton@blueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <C2xtb.755$P%3.732@news-binary.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 2793
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

> I am looking for a gsm front-end, from antenna to I/Q demodulator output.
> I need schematic, pcb layout and eventual prototype behind reasonable
remuneration.

Have a look at the manufacturers of GSM chipsets like Analog Devices,
Motorola, Texas Instruments, Siemens. They often have reference designs and
evaluation boards.

Wim

1697. Re: gsm front-end

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-15 22:59:30 UTC
From: ON5MJ <"on5mj_no_more _spam_in_stock_sorry_"@skynet.be>
Message-ID: <3fb6b07b$0$14705$ba620e4c@reader1.news.skynet.be>
Source record: 2794
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Hi,

I remember a friend who bought a Siemens one for prototyping some special applications.

73 de Jacques - ON5MJ

1698. escuchar moviles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-16 02:29:38 UTC
From: Javi <marasmo5@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <bpekj1$1iai9@news2s.iddeo.es>
Source record: 2654

Quisiera saber informacion sobre como poder escuchar conversaciones de moviles, hace tiempo con la emisora de 2 mts se escuchaba los moviline, ahora creo que emiten entre 900 y 1800, ¿se pueden escuchar en esas frecuencia ¿ estan codificadas y no se escucha?

Necesito informacion....

Gracias de antemano.

1699. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-16 05:55:20 UTC
From: Ed Price <edprice@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1jEtb.7048$cX1.5061@fed1read02>
Source record: 2795
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"bob" <bob@bob.com> wrote in message news:bp65t5$4j3$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:V2stb.2021$Wy4.1871@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com> wrote in message
> news:bp52ac$q1f$0@pita.alt.net...

SNIP

> Thas right....I was just wondering if he was really in the land of the
> fruits, you know how it is with these ham calls these days.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> Ed is waaaayyyyy out there in the land of fairies and home of the liberal.
>

I'm terminating this thread now, as I find myself attempting rational
discourse with those whose cranial output is limited to dog barks and
hallucinations about how my physical location affects my judgement &
political views.

Ed
WB6WSN

1700. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-16 10:54:53 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <1IItb.1187$aR1.838@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 2796
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Ed Price" <edprice@cox.net> wrote in message
news:1jEtb.7048$cX1.5061@fed1read02...
>
>
> I'm terminating this thread now

Good idea, Ed.

It's an ignorant waste of hot air that would be better spouted off at
rec.radio.amateur.policy , where off-topic, non-ham garbage like this
belongs.

Oh, and I wanted to ask: Is it true that they call California the "cereal
bowl state" because once you get past the fruits and nuts, the only thing
left are the flakes?

Just wondering!

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

1701. PSK31 and serial port

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-16 11:05:25 UTC
From: Christian Rogne <christian@NOSPAM.rogne.ws>
Message-ID: <3fb75ac2@news.broadpark.no>
Source record: 2797

Does it exist any hardware for decoding PSK31, and SSTV who not are using the soundcard. And which windows program does fit this software.

73's de LA8QJA, Christian

1702. skysweeper lite

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-16 11:34:40 UTC
From: Tapio Salonen <tapios@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <bp7ncl$79j$1@phys-news1.kolumbus.fi>
Source record: 2798
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

There seems to be a new cheap Lite version of skysweeper software in their web site (www.skysweep.com)

tapio

1703. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-16 14:13:46 UTC
From: Clint <"rattlehead at computron dot net">
Message-ID: <vrf1h6d4cvs6a7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 2799
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"w4jle" <W4JLE(remove this to reply)@pbtcomm.net> wrote in message
news:bp2vfa$fpcp$1@news3.infoave.net...

> I am always amazed how enlightened liberals can reduce a complex war to a
> single issue.

Imagine if today's liberal press had covered WWII, when we were losing
an average of 309 people A DAY....

after several MONTHS of conflict in iraq, we JUST hit #400, and while it's
a tragedy and all war is dreadful, we already have thrown out the tyrant
that
was in *that* nation.

We would never have made it past the beaches of normandy had CNN,
MSNBC and the rest of those yellow bellied leftist news organizations had
been around then as they are now.

Clint
KB5ZHT
--

MORE bad news for liberals....

Tax refunds next year are estimated to be up 27% from this
year due to tax cuts. Yet ANOTHER reason it must suck to
be a liberal in modern America.

45 Communist Goals for America

http://www.uhuh.com/nwo/communism/comgoals.htm

--

1704. Re: skysweeper lite

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-16 15:22:33 UTC
From: drewcareyinohio@godmail.com
Message-ID: <3fb79637$0$22742$a0465688@nnrp.fuse.net>
Source record: 2800
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

The program still looks like crap, the lite version even worse.

1705. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-16 15:30:30 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@get>
Message-ID: <qKMtb.4957$Wy4.1971@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 2651
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

"Ed Price" <edprice@cox.net> wrote in message
news:1jEtb.7048$cX1.5061@fed1read02...
>
> "bob" <bob@bob.com> wrote in message news:bp65t5$4j3$0@pita.alt.net...
> >
> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@get rid of this mindspring.com> wrote in message
> > news:V2stb.2021$Wy4.1871@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> >
> > "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com> wrote in message
> > news:bp52ac$q1f$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> SNIP
>
> > Thas right....I was just wondering if he was really in the land of the
> > fruits, you know how it is with these ham calls these days.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > Ed is waaaayyyyy out there in the land of fairies and home of the
liberal.
> >
>
> I'm terminating this thread now, as I find myself attempting rational
> discourse with those whose cranial output is limited to dog barks and
> hallucinations about how my physical location affects my judgement &
> political views.
>
> Ed
> WB6WSN
>

Good , don't let the door hit you in your arse.

Say hello to the fairy princess.

Dan/W4NTI

1706. Re: Important Research at TAPR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-16 21:22:20 UTC
From: rrochte@gpacademy.org
Message-ID: <728f039e.0311161322.71b02a1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 2652

"Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net> wrote (amongst other things)...

> Even wireless devices have abandoned Spread Spectrum, and gone for OFDM
> for speed (802.11g).

The many flavours of OFDM are, by definition, spread spectrum.

(Please leave me out of the rest of the argument - all of these topics
seem to get *way* out of hand and far too personal!)

73,
Robert
KC8UCH

1707. Re: Are we a Democracy, or a Republic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-17 03:38:19 UTC
From: Zoran Brlecic <...WA7AA...@get.lost>
Message-ID: <3FB842B6.60207@get.lost>
Source record: 2653
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Clint wrote:

> MORE bad news for liberals....
>
> Tax refunds next year are estimated to be up 27% from this
> year due to tax cuts. Yet ANOTHER reason it must suck to
> be a liberal in modern America.

And the way those liberals rape the babies before eating them... disgusting!

--

Anti-spam measure: look me up on qrz.com if you need to reply directly

1708. Re: WINAPRS... Can I Use USB With It?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-17 19:59:21 UTC
From: mikea@mikea.ath.cx
Message-ID: <bpb9apfhk17@puck.litech.org>
Source record: 2655

VHFRadioBuff <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme> wrote:
> I use a USB external modem. When plugged in, the computer automatically
> assigned the modem COM3, no special adapters needed. I would think plugging a
> TNC in would have a similar affect.

> Then again, I've never heard of a computer without a serial port. That is a bit
> strange.

They're fairly common now. My wife's work laptop has no serial port,
just USB, Ethernet, monitor output, and some weird DIN-like thing
that *isn't* a mouse or KB port.

--
Mike Andrews, quondam WN5EGO, futurus W5EGO.
mikea@mikea.ath.cx
Tired old sysadmin

1709. Re: audio cards or radio cards

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-17 20:00:56 UTC
From: mikea@mikea.ath.cx
Message-ID: <bpb9dofhk18@puck.litech.org>
Source record: 2656

F*thejones <pegasis@wt.net> wrote:
> Is there a good audio or radio/audio card?
> I want to record radio to Mp3

A SoundBlaster card probably will be good enough, unless you really have to have studio-quality sound.

If you want better sound, look into the Turtle Beach audio gear for PeeCees. It's pretty high grade stuff, and you *will* pay for it.

--
Mike Andrews
mikea@mikea.ath.cx
Tired old sysadmin

1710. KAM XL TNC locks my handheld PC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-17 22:03:03 UTC
From: Ken <cprstn54zz@att.net>
Message-ID: <h2hirv8ijs27fivs32bjm2p0rdekaraudk@4ax.com>
Source record: 2657

I am trying to use a KAM XL with my MobilePro MP780 handheld PC
("HPC") running Microsoft Terminal under Windows CE 2.11. Both
devices are DCE and I am using a null modem cable.

The HPC freezes up as soon as I power up on the KAM XL and will not
unfreeze until I power the KAM XL down or disconnect the devices.

The same arrangement works OK with a KAM98.

Kantronics says both TNC's manage their RS-232 computer port the same
way. I know that this is incorrect because my breakout box shows
two different dances with the two different modems -- but nothing I
can interpret.

Anyone else encounter this lock-up situation and find a fix? Any
suggestions?

Ken KC2JDY

Ken
(to reply via email
remove "zz" from address)

1711. Re: escuchar moviles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-17 22:04:53 UTC
From: Ralf Ballis - DL2MRB <rballis@gmx.de>
Message-ID: <bpbgubocf03$1@news.t-online.com>
Source record: 2659

Javi wrote:

> Quisiera saber informacion sobre como poder escuchar conversaciones de
> moviles, hace tiempo con la emisora de 2 mts se escuchaba los moviline,
> ahora creo que emiten entre 900 y 1800, ï¿œse pueden escuchar en esas
> frecuencia ï¿œ estan codificadas y no se escucha?

Cuando hablamos sobre sistema GSM si, es codificado y la decodificaciï¿œn no
tan fï¿œcil y se necesitan un equipamiento caro parar escuchar.
Cuando las autoridades desean escuchar o rastrear un telï¿œfono mï¿œvil se hacen
en conexiï¿œn con las centrales de hardware y software.
En general una sistema ciento por ciento seguro de intercepciï¿œn no existe
solo es una cosa de esfuerza!

Saludos

Ralf
--
Vy 73 es 55 de Ralf, DL2MRB
E-Mail: dl2mrb@darc.de

1712. Re: WINAPRS... Can I Use USB With It?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-17 22:09:29 UTC
From: Jack Twilley <jmt+usenet@twilley.org>
Message-ID: <86ekw6myue.fsf@duchess.twilley.org>
Source record: 2658

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

>>>>> "Mike" == Mike Andrews <mikea@mikea.ath.cx> writes:

[...]

Mike> They're fairly common now. My wife's work laptop has no serial
Mike> port, just USB, Ethernet, monitor output, and some weird
Mike> DIN-like thing that *isn't* a mouse or KB port.

My work laptop has similar ports, but the docking station comes with a
serial port, so there's one in the footwell of my car for when I want
to use xastir in the car.

Jack.
- --
Jack Twilley
jmt at twilley dot org
http colon slash slash www dot twilley dot org slash tilde jmt slash
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.2.3 (FreeBSD)

iD8DBQE/uUcfGPFSfAB/ezgRAvJoAJ90N1gEeiA1JgrXgjeUtwq8jDkNZwCfRB9O
RvUjv0mgKClc6JX3XtSsQZY=
=N8pl
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

1713. Re: audio cards or radio cards

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-18 08:46:54 UTC
From: "Ryan, KC8PMX" <REMOVETHISkc8pmx@diamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <bpdi5l$1n9ab4$3@ID-189073.news.uni-berlin.de>
Source record: 2660

Just connect the line level output of any scanner (usually can use headphone jack as well) or shortwave reciever to the recording input of your soundcard.

You may have to wire the cable going between the radio device and the soundcard, OR use an adapter depending what radio you are recieving with.

Then, merely use some type of recording program like Soundforge, Cooledit or similar to record into a .WAV file which will allow you edit the file, getting rid of any extra junk and most decent audio programs like mentioned, will have a way to save to a MP3 file. If not, there are MP3 conversion programs like CDEX that will convert WAV files to MP3 files.

--
Ryan KC8PMX

"Some people are like Slinkies . . . not really good for anything, but you still can't help but smile when you see one tumble down the stairs."

"F*thejones" <pegasis@wt.net> wrote in message
news:3fb5683a@sys13.hou.wt.net...
> Is there a good audio or radio/audio card?
> I want to record radio to Mp3
>
> --
>
>
~~~~~~
> Let us never forget ..... Stores don't kill economies, consumers do
> Save our jobs, create US jobs and invest in the USA; (white collars jobs
go
> to India, Blue collar jobs go to China)
> Close the Borders, and deport all illegal immigrants
> Impeach Gary Locke
> Reform our government, and balance the budget
> Reform Banking law
> Reform tort law
> STOP the degradation of our way of life
> ~~~~~~
>
>
~~~~~~

1714. Re: WINAPRS... Can I Use USB With It?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-19 00:43:46 UTC
From: kashe@rahul.net
Message-ID: <n1flrvcheijj9uoa167s7gepukt8cm8j5v@4ax.com>
Source record: 2661

On Mon, 17 Nov 2003 19:59:21 +0000 (UTC), mikea@mikea.ath.cx (Mike Andrews) wrote:

>They're fairly common now. My wife's work laptop has no serial port,
>just USB, Ethernet, monitor output, and some weird DIN-like thing
>that *isn't* a mouse or KB port.

If it's a fairly new laptop, the port is most likely S-Video out so you can play DVDs through a cable to your TV.

1715. CONTEST ANNOUNCEMENT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-19 20:41:14 UTC
From: n3dqu@aol.com
Message-ID: <20031119154114.11776.00000417@mb-m22.aol.com>
Source record: 2662

THIRD ANNUAL ï¿½7ï¿½ CLUB PSKFEST
Sponsored by the Penn-Ohio DX Society (PODXS)

PURPOSE - To work as many stations as possible in the allotted time using PSK31 mode.

ENTRY CATEGORIES - QRP Single Band 5 watts, QRP Multiband 5 watts, Low Power 50 watts, Medium Power 100 watts (all powers maximum output).

DATE - 0000Z January 17, 2004 to 2400 January 17, 2004.

MODE - PSK31 only.

EXCHANGE - Call sign, signal report and state/province/country (SPC). Call "CQ PSKFEST".

BANDS - 80 thru 10 meters, no WARC bands. Work each station once/band. All contacts must be 2-way PSK31. No repeater, cross-mode or cross-band contacts allowed.

SCORING:
QSO points - Each contact counts one (1) QSO point, dupes count (0) points.
Multipliers - Each different state/province/country (SPC) worked. Use current ARRL DXCC list for country reference.

Final score = (Total QSO Points) x (Total Different SPC's).

AWARDS - Top scores from each continent receives a certificate, highest 070 Club entry gets Top Dog. All 070 Club member entries received will automatically qualify for an Attaboy. For more information on the 070 Club check out < http://www.podxs.com/html/070_club.html >.

ENTRIES - Send log data showing date, time(z), band, exchange received and claimed score. For entries with 100 contacts or more include a dupe sheet listing contacts in call sign alphanumeric order. Be sure to also include your call sign, address, e-mail address, entry class and 070 Club member number if applicable. Send your entry as a .txt file via email (best way) to < PSKFest@podxs.com > or < n9nds@yahoo.com > or via post to:

Brad Roberson
53 E. Robert Weist Ave.
Cloverdale, IN 46120 USA

All entries must be received by February 17, 2004. A listing of logs received and claimed scores will be posted on the 070 Club web site < http://hometown.aol.com/n3dqu/2004_pskfest_results.htm >. Entries with excessive dupes will be listed as check logs. All entries are subject to verification.

The Penn-Ohio DX Society (PODXS) reserves the right to disqualify entries deemed not in accordance with the above rules or contrary to the spirit of this event.

1716. New version of APRSPoint available with MapPoint 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-20 20:16:32 UTC
From: hxc98@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <aa8577c5.0311201216.51ca6737@posting.google.com>
Source record: 2663

A new version of APRSPoint is available. It incorporates a lot of new changes and enhancements and works with both MapPoint 2002 and 2004. MapPoint 2004 was just released by Microsoft and is available as part of the package. It contains lots of new additional map data for North America and Europe. You are welcome to visit http://www.aprspoint.com/ for details. Thanks.

1717. Re: Any AOR ARD9800 compatible kits available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-21 17:15:21 UTC
From: jimnoregon@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <98a31317.0311210915.39fe9e9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 2664

No.

Basically you need an OFDM modem (TAPR DSP would probably work) and
you would have to write the software for whatever DSP or FPGA you
choose. Project 1: Develop a 36 tone OFDM modem.

Then you need a vocoder. The AOR operates at 2400 bps, and the AMBE
vocoder sounds great. If you could make anything that did 2400 bps, up
to about 3600 bps in a soundcard vocoder, then you have a winner. Project
2: Develop a 2400 bps vocoder that sounds great.

"Mike Blake" <k9jri@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> Has anyone produced a lower cost alternative to the AOR ARD9800? We have a
> small interest group here in Indianapolis but the $500 investment is too
> steep to allow us to get started. I have found references to some kit,
> prototype and/or sound card alternatives but I have not been able to locate
> any actual devices. suggestions for alternative solutions (protocols) would
> also be welcomed. We want to be able to implement the solution with both FM
> and SSB equipment.
>
> Mike - K9JRI

1718. dx spider in windows

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-21 18:53:51 UTC
From: cp <cu3ft@sapo.pt>
Message-ID: <3fbe60f0$0$25686$a729d347@news.telepac.pt>
Source record: 2665
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

anyone have the dxspider working in windows?????

1719. Re: dx spider in windows

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-22 01:22:10 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen <nielsen@removethis.oz.net>
Message-ID: <bpmdo2ate0@216.39.144.81>
Source record: 2666
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

On Fri, 21 Nov 2003 17:53:51 -0100, cp wrote:
> anyone have the dxspider working in windows?????
>
>

Lots of people do (although I run it on Linux). Sign up for the
dxspider-support mailing list at

<http://www.tobit.co.uk/mailman/listinfo/dxspider-support>

or see <http://www.dxcluster.org>.

73,
Bob, N7XY

1720. On address fields in AX.25 packets

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-23 23:14:57 UTC
From: "Odd Erling N. Eriksen" <odderi@pvv.org>
Message-ID: <bprf1h$ht3$1@tyfon.itea.ntnu.no>
Source record: 2667

Hi,

I am currently implementing a TNC following the specs in the v2.2 AX.25
protocol. Platform: An ATmega32 microcontroller. Will be a neat, little
package when I'm done.

Anyway, as I am rather tired now and the AX.25 paper appears to have
no other answer than "impossible" to my problem, I have a question
for this forum:

What if I wish to put a seven character callsign in the address field?
True, seven octets are reserved for each callsign, but one of these is
for the SSID. Can it be done?

What happens if I extend the source address field to eight octets?

Dependent on the TNC at the receiving end?

Will the last character simply be discarded?

Will the frame get rejected as not correctly formatted?

This problem is not hypothetical. The target application is a picosatellite
which has been assigned (Tentatively, at least) a seven-character call.

Perhaps I'd better spend my time talking the post- and telecom authority
into giving us a six-letter call, rather than trying to work my way
around a protocol limitation... :-)

--
73 de LB1LF

Odd Erling, ARK

"Das Lied schlï¿½ft in der Maschine" -Einstï¿½rzende Neubauten

1721. Re: On address fields in AX.25 packets

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-24 00:11:15 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <F0cwb.1269$US3.1185@okepread03>
Source record: 2668

In Ham radio we can use a tactical address (LAX-1, SPACE-1), etc.
I would just use something like that, and then ID the transmission via
the payload data, such as "LB1LF Space Satellite"

1722. Re: On address fields in AX.25 packets

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-24 09:45:45 UTC
From: "Odd Erling N. Eriksen" <odderi@pvv.org>
Message-ID: <bpsk09$6m8$1@tyfon.itea.ntnu.no>
Source record: 2669

Gene Storey wrote:

> In Ham radio we can use a tactical address (LAX-1, SPACE-1), etc.
> I would just use something like that, and then ID the transmission via
> the payload data, such as "LB1LF Space Satellite"

-I was thinking of doing it like this - just curious as to if it was possible to put a longer call into an address field. Working packet abroad, for instance - RA3A/LB1LF will hardly fit into six octets... :-)

The tactical address, btw, is NCUBE-1, assigned call is LA1CUBE. (See www.rocketrange.no/ncube if interested - unfortunately, there is not much information on the amateur payload. In short, the secondary objective of the satellite will be 9k6 digipeating. Depending on how much memory is available after all the programming is done, perhaps limited store-and-forward capability will be added as well.

Thanks for your input. Hopefully AMSAT will respond to my enquiry as well. There are quite a few details to work out if one wishes to do it all by the book. :-)

--
73 de LB1LF

Odd Erling, ARK

Sleep: A completely inadequate substitute for caffeine.

1723. Re: WINAPRS... Can I Use USB With It?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-25 09:04:40 UTC
From: Steve Silverwood <kb6ojs@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.1a286b51be2c949f989694@news.west.earthlink.net>
Source record: 2670

In article <bpb9apfhk17@puck.litech.org>, mikea@mikea.ath.cx says...
> They're fairly common now. My wife's work laptop has no serial port,
> just USB, Ethernet, monitor output, and some weird DIN-like thing
> that *isn't* a mouse or KB port.

That's probably an S-Video port, for use in playing DVDs and such out to
a TV set.

--

-- //Steve//

Steve Silverwood, KB6OJS
Fountain Valley, CA
Email: kb6ojs@arrl.net
Web: http://home.earthlink.net/~kb6ojs_steve

1724. Re: WINAPRS... Can I Use USB With It?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-25 09:04:40 UTC
From: Steve Silverwood <kb6ojs@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.1a286b3146eedf29989693@news.west.earthlink.net>
Source record: 2671

In article <20031114230857.19197.00000202@mb-m18.aol.com>,
vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme says...
> I use a USB external modem. When plugged in, the computer automatically
> assigned the modem COM3, no special adapters needed. I would think plugging a
> TNC in would have a similar affect.

This is assuming you can find a TNC that supports direct connection to
the PC with a USB cable. I have yet to find one. Better to use the
USB-to-serial cables with the appropriate drivers.

> Then again, I've never heard of a computer without a serial port. That is a bit
> strange.

Forgive my bluntness, but get used to it. ;-) RS-232 and parallel ports
are considered "legacy ports" by today's standards. More and more
computers are starting to appear on the market that don't even have PS/2
ports for keyboards and mice, expecting the purchaser to use either a
port replicator for that purpose -- port reps are available that either
hard-dock to the computer or use a USB port themselves to connect to the
PC -- or to use USB-based mice and keyboards (or mice/keyboards that are
wireless and working with a USB-based IR or RF transceiver).

I understand that Windows XP is even moving away from supporting legacy
ports. The current version does not natively support parallel-port
interrupts, from what I've read -- it has to slow way down to support
printing directly through the parallel port -- but hasn't gone so far as
to dump RS-232 support (fortunately). There will be more and more
movement away from traditional serial/parallel port support and towards
USB and FireWire connections.

We just had a couple of Inspiron 5100 notebooks from Dell come in at
work to be configured before sending them out to some of our field
staff. No PS/2 port, no serial or parallel ports, just VGA and USB.
Nice machines, though! I'm thinking very seriously about picking one up
myself!

--

-- //Steve//

Steve Silverwood, KB6OJS
Fountain Valley, CA
Email: kb6ojs@arrl.net
Web: http://home.earthlink.net/~kb6ojs_steve

1725. Re: audio cards or radio cards

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-25 09:04:40 UTC
From: Steve Silverwood <kb6ojs@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.1a286d4ec8eafcfc989695@news.west.earthlink.net>
Source record: 2672

In article <3fb5683a@sys13.hou.wt.net>, pegasis@wt.net says...
> Is there a good audio or radio/audio card?
> I want to record radio to Mp3

CQ Magazine's October issue started a series of articles on digital sound recording. Part III came out in December's issue. Not sure how many future parts there are, but it's worth reading.

As Mike mentioned, use your sound card for the audio input. You can use the Sound Recorder application that comes as a part of Windows to do your recording, or you can find some other programs that give you a little more versatility. I've been playing with GoldWave, which does a fair job. CoolEdit2000 is another good one, although I think they've been bought by Adobe.

The procedure I use is:

1) Feed the audio from the receiver into the Line In port on the computer's sound card;
2) Hook the speakers up to the output of the sound card;
3) Start GoldWave, and make sure it is configured to accept input from the Line-In connection (by default it isn't);
4) Start a new file in GoldWave, specifying the length of the file in minutes and seconds;
5) Click the Record button.

When it's done, I save it to disk as a .WAV file (which is what GoldWave defaults to), then I use the XP Plus! pack utility to convert the file from .WAV to .WMA format. The .WMA format provides a LOT of compression, moreso even than MP3. A 25Mb .WAV file recording of a shortwave broadcast last night compressed down to about 700K in .WMA format! (An MP3 file would be about double that size, but still far smaller than the .WAV file originally was.)

--

-- //Steve//

Steve Silverwood, KB6OJS
Fountain Valley, CA
Email: kb6ojs@arrl.net
Web: http://home.earthlink.net/~kb6ojs_steve

1726. Re: WINAPRS... Can I Use USB With It?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-25 15:51:36 UTC
From: William Robison <william-robison@uiowa.edu.com>
Message-ID: <3FC37A88.80FDD063@uiowa.edu.com>
Source record: 2673

Steve Silverwood wrote:
>
> In article <20031114230857.19197.00000202@mb-m18.aol.com>,
> vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme says...
> > I use a USB external modem. When plugged in, the computer automatically
> > assigned the modem COM3, no special adapters needed. I would think plugging a
> > TNC in would have a similar affect.
>
> This is assuming you can find a TNC that supports direct connection to
> the PC with a USB cable. I have yet to find one. Better to use the
> USB-to-serial cables with the appropriate drivers.

look on the Byacom website... One of their members has built just
such
a device although the ax25 stack runs on the host. Much of the low
level
packet work is, however, handled in the modem. Hardware design is very
interesting, it consists of a 8051 derivative processor that has built
in USB hardware and a programmable gate array that implements the modem.
The logic in the gate array is specific to the job at hand (i.e. the
logic that is downloaded into the FPGA for 1200 baud operation differs
from that used to implement 9600 baud).

(try www.baycom.org)

regards
-Willy
KC0JFQ

1727. Re: WINAPRS... Can I Use USB With It?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-25 22:31:17 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <VKQwb.25246$wn.14391@newssvr29.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 2674

"Steve Silverwood" <kb6ojs@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:MPG.1a286b3146eedf29989693@news.west.earthlink.net...
> In article <20031114230857.19197.00000202@mb-m18.aol.com>,
> vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme says...
> > I use a USB external modem. When plugged in, the computer automatically
> > assigned the modem COM3, no special adapters needed. I would think
plugging a
> > TNC in would have a similar affect.
>
> This is assuming you can find a TNC that supports direct connection to
> the PC with a USB cable. I have yet to find one. Better to use the
> USB-to-serial cables with the appropriate drivers.
>

BayCom makes a very impressive USB packet radio TNC. That's the only one I
know of, though.

http://www.baycom.org/

Charles Brabham, N5PVL
Director: USPacket.Net
http://www.uspacket.net
n5pvl@uspacket.net

1728. Molex Plug for IC751

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-26 06:41:40 UTC
From: Joseph Fenn <jfenn@lava.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.BSI.4.58.0311252037430.27494@malasada.lava.net>
Source record: 2675

Does anyone know if the 12 pin (I think it was 12 pins or more)
thats on the back of the IC751 rigs is that still available at
HRO or AES or any of those places anymore?? Or does anyone here
have one they will sell me? I dont want to setup a box that works
thru the mike Jack on front of the rig nor take audio from the
spkr for pactor / packet / use or even psk. or other sound card
stuff.
Joe/ABM6JF KH6JF
I believe it was called a MOLEX connector

****
* Ham KH6JF AARS/MARS ABM6JF QCWA WW2 VET WD RADIO *
****

1729. Re: Molex Plug for IC751

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-26 19:48:30 UTC
From: tim gorman <tgorman2@cox.net>
Message-ID: <p903qb.kap.ln@tg6124.gorman.com>
Source record: 2676

Mouser carries this part.

24 circuit plug Molex .062 Connect

Mouser Part Nbr 538-03-06-2242

You will also need to order .062 pins to use with the molex plug.

You don't need to carry much current so get the pins for the smallest wire,
they will be a little cheaper.

73,

tim ab0wr

Joseph Fenn wrote:

>
>
> Does anyone know if the 12 pin (I think it was 12 pins or more)
> thats on the back of the IC751 rigs is that still available at
> HRO or AES or any of those places anymore?? Or does anyone here
> have one they will sell me? I dont want to setup a box that works
> thru the mike Jack on front of the rig nor take audio from the
> spkr for pactor / packet / use or even psk. or other sound card
> stuff.
> Joe/ABM6JF KH6JF
> I believe it was called a MOLEX connector
>
>
> **
> * Ham KH6JF AARS/MARS ABM6JF QCWA WW2 VET WD RADIO *
> **

1730. PSK baud rates on HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-28 03:26:01 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <dfzxb.5528$BJ1.348@newssvr27.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 2677

Recently I have heard references from multiple sources about 9.6kb PSK activity on HF bands.

What's the deal on this? Is it a wide, multi-stream mode like Q15x25 mode?

It sounds illegal, but lots of things sound illegal if you are not familiar with the facts. That's me... I am not knowlegable about PSK packet. I do have a "flexible" modem though, that will allow me to operate PSK packet at a variety of baud rates... Isn't 1200 baud the limit on 10 meters, and 300 baud lower down?

Somebody straighten me out on this, please... I'm cornfused.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL
Director: USPacket.Net
http://www.uspacket.net
n5pvl@uspacket.net

1731. Re: PSK baud rates on HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-28 12:29:28 UTC
From: Paul Keinanen <keinanen@sci.fi>
Message-ID: <rsfesv8fh54obid9bkukn2mdkfvi56osis@4ax.com>
Source record: 2678

On Fri, 28 Nov 2003 03:26:01 GMT, "charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>Recently I have heard references from multiple sources about 9.6kb PSK
>activity on HF bands.

I assume this refers to 9600 bit/s.

>
>What's the deal on this? Is it a wide, multi-stream mode like Q15x25 mode?
>
>It sounds illegal, but lots of things sound illegal if you are not familiar
>with the facts. That's me...

A 9600 bit/s QPSK signal fits nicely within the same bandwidth
occupied by an AM phone transmission, with 8PSK (or 8QAM) the signal
would fit into the bandwidth of SSB phone transmissions. If the
bandwidth limit allows AM or SSB, then what is the problem with other
modes with similar bandwidths ?

>I am not knowlegable about PSK packet. I do
>have a "flexible" modem though, that will allow me to operate PSK packet at
>a variety of baud rates... Isn't 1200 baud the limit on 10 meters, and 300
>baud lower down?

That is a purely US specific issue.

Anyway, 1200 baud or 1200 symbols/s is quite capable of transmitting
9600 bit/s provided that the SNR is good. It requires that 8 bits are
transmitted within each symbol, i.e. each symbol can have 256 distinct
states. While 256PSK would hardly be practical, 256QAM might work with
high SNR and low phase distortion line of sight paths.

256QAM requires that the receiver must be able to detect more than 20
distinct states in both I and Q direction, thus quite small errors
will spoil the reception. However 64QAM (6 bits/symbol) are widely
used in Europe on the COFDM subcarriers used in digital television.

Paul OH3LWR

1732. Re: PSK baud rates on HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-28 14:17:59 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net>
Message-ID: <rOIxb.13045$P%1.11716197@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 2679

"Paul Keinanen" <keinanen@sci.fi> wrote in message
news:rsfesv8fh54obid9bkukn2mdkfvi56osis@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 28 Nov 2003 03:26:01 GMT, "charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
> wrote:
>
> >Recently I have heard references from multiple sources about 9.6kb PSK
> >activity on HF bands.
>
> I assume this refers to 9600 bit/s.
>
> >
> >What's the deal on this? Is it a wide, multi-stream mode like Q15x25
mode?
> >
> >It sounds illegal, but lots of things sound illegal if you are not
familiar
> >with the facts. That's me...
>
> A 9600 bit/s QPSK signal fits nicely within the same bandwidth
> occupied by an AM phone transmission, with 8PSK (or 8QAM) the signal
> would fit into the bandwidth of SSB phone transmissions. If the
> bandwidth limit allows AM or SSB, then what is the problem with other
> modes with similar bandwidths ?
>

Well except that for standard PSK enthusiasts tout it's exceptionally narrow
bandwidth thus allowing more conversations in a given space than even CW.
What point is there in creating a wide digital mode?

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

1733. Re: PSK baud rates on HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-28 15:17:07 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <OFJxb.2398$US3.901@okepread03>
Source record: 2680

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote
>
> Isn't 1200 baud the limit on 10 meters, and 300 baud lower down?

This is a common misconception about baud and bits. You are correct about the baud rate limitations, although the baud rate is what is known legaly as the symbol rate.

Suppose I design a modem that operates at 50 baud (20 ms symbol rate), obviously I can use this below 10 meters. Now, let's say I modulate that rate with 36 carriers of DQPSK. That is, there are 36 carriers of four phases (two bits per carrier), or 72 bits in 20 ms (50 baud). There's fifty 20 ms periods in a second, so we have (72 bits x 50), or 3600 bps.

Now obviously we have learned something in the last 20 years, and that is, that HF is a bad medium, and you can either retry your transmissions until the band quits, or you can insert some sort of error correction into the transmission, to prevent retransmission. So let's say we limit the information to 2400 bps and insert 1200 bps of error correction (FEC), for a total of 3600 bps.

Suppose each of the 36 carriers is a multiple of 62.5 Hz, then we can say that 62.5 x 36 is 2250 Hz. But we don't really want to go all the way down to 0 Hz, so let's say we start at 312 Hz (62.5 x 5) and this will push the right side out to (62.5 x (5 + 35)) or 2500 Hz.

In summary, 36 carriers takes a lot of bandwidth, but no more than a standard analog sideband. The fact that you can fit 2400 bps voice, data, and image into the channel might be worth the complexity.

P.S. I just described the G4GUO modem :-)

1734. Re: PSK baud rates on HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-28 15:28:32 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <wQJxb.2399$US3.531@okepread03>
Source record: 2681

"Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net> wrote
>
> Well except that for standard PSK enthusiasts tout it's exceptionally narrow
> bandwidth thus allowing more conversations in a given space than even CW.
> What point is there in creating a wide digital mode?
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Single channel PSK has nothing in common with high bandwidth information transport. What one group touts may be exactly opposite of what another group touts. Sometimes 31 bps at 31 baud is good enough, other times 3600 bps at 50 baud is what is needed.

The point in creating a wide digital mode, is to increase throughput per second.

1735. Re: FT-920 and MixW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-28 22:07:07 UTC
From: do.not@spam.me
Message-ID: <944199DD7bob@netnews.bresnan.net>
Source record: 2682
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

rh3x@erols.com wrote in <2grarvc9hd7j8k5o9q3mrv7v41bnlu5nk0@4ax.com>:

>Path: attbi_s52!attbi_s51!attbi_slave52!attbi_master51!attbi_feed3!
attbi.com!204.127.161.156!wn12feed!wn14feed!worldnet.att.net!
205.188.226.98!ngpeer.news.aol.com!feed2.newsreader.com!newsreader.com!
newshosting.com!news-xfer2.atl.newshosting.com!207.69.154.101.MISMATCH!
elnk-atl-nf1!newsfeed.earthlink.net!rcn!feed3.news.rcn.net!not-for-mail
>From: rh3x@erols.com
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
>Subject: Re: FT-920 and MixW
>Date: Fri, 14 Nov 2003 19:08:26 -0500
>Lines: 23
>Message-ID: <2grarvc9hd7j8k5o9q3mrv7v41bnlu5nk0@4ax.com>
>References: <3fb3da65$0$128$1b62eedf@news.wanadoo.nl>
>Mime-Version: 1.0
>Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>X-Trace: UmFuZG9tSVa/e+6l7vtAWdfM9oIxmBjcFxnpyqz8SxVL2aD5Ea0nLkI5xugrhOPa
>X-Complaints-To: abuse@rcn.com
>NNTP-Posting-Date: 15 Nov 2003 00:08:28 GMT
>X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.93/32.576 English (American)
>Xref: attbi_master51 rec.radio.amateur.digital:267
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:5275
>X-Received-Date: Sat, 15 Nov 2003 00:09:04 GMT (attbi_s52)
>
>Tom: I also use the Ft-920 and MixW but do not use a serial cable.
>Everything works great just using the audio to control the Vox on all
>modes. Try it.
>
>de Rich/N2JR
>
>
>On Thu, 13 Nov 2003 20:24:24 +0100, "Tom" <nieuwsmeelbox@adres.nl>
>wrote:
>
>>Hi,
>>
>>I just started with MixW 2 with my Yaesu FT-920. I have connected the FT-
920
>>with the serial cable to my computer. When trying to transmit, I can only
>>transmit in LSB mode.
>>In MixW I changed the settings in "Configure" -> "TRCVR & CAT/PTT" to
USB,

>>but this doesn't help.
>>
>>Does anybody know how to solve this problem?
>>
>>73's, Tom
>>
>
>

You may want to give HamScope a try.......

http://www.qsl.net/hamscope

1736. Kantronics V7 Rom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-29 00:07:34 UTC
From: Joseph Fenn <jfenn@lava.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.BSI.4.58.0311281402170.19153@malasada.lava.net>
Source record: 2683

does anyone on here remember the Kantronics with V7 or higher rom chip.
Does it support PACTOR (I think it does). I have one in a box but
never used yet. Since I am using the old Commodore 128 machine,
I also bought the "CBM" converter plug that converts the RS232
user port (aka epp) port in PC parlance to +/-5V instead of the
straight thru PC voltages of +/- 12VDC.
I seem to remember something about a trace in side on the kantronics
board that must be sliced open for some reason. Does anyone know
why that was necessary for C128 useage or not?
Joe/AKA (kilroyO
reply email okay

****
* Ham KH6JF AARS/MARS ABM6JF QCWA WW2 VET WD RADIO *
****

1737. Re: PSK baud rates on HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-29 01:14:47 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <bqSxb.61518$MF4.31805@newssvr25.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 2684

"Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net> wrote in message
news:rOIxb.13045$P%1.11716197@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
>
> What point is there in creating a wide digital mode?

More throughput, error correction for 100% copy, ability to transfer binary files. (Q15x25 guys like to send JPEG's back 'n forth, kind of like SSTV, except the pics come through 100% good.)

Obviously any wide mode is overkill for keyboard QSO's, but there's a whole world of other things that hams want or need to do, and some of them require more bandwidth.

There are a lot of pro's and cons related to utilizing the wide modes for any purpose. I don't think we will have to worry about them becoming so popular that they will squeeze out everybody else.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL
Director: USPacket.Net
http://www.uspacket.net
n5pvl@uspacket.net

1738. Free for the shipping -- RTTY TU's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-29 17:02:53 UTC
From: kc0atc@qsl.net
Message-ID: <a444e1b1.0311290902.537650d4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 2685

I have two RTTY terminal unit boxes that I would like someone else to have.

1) Flesher TU-170 with manual. This one I have never used. It looks clean but has been modified with a toggle switch on the top which says "TAPE XMIT ON/OF"

2) Kantronics "The Interface" which I purchased in the mid 1980's and used with an old Teletype model 15? It includes a computer card for the Comodore VIC-20 computer. I haven't tryed it in years and I no longer have the Teletype or the computer.

Either or both of these can be had for the cost of postage.

Contact me via email: chris at yipyap dot com

Chris

1739. free ARQ de-mod software ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-29 17:32:13 UTC
From: Xanax <jo@fo.mo>
Message-ID: <yK4yb.2651$nm6.17108@news.indigo.ie>
Source record: 2686

Hi all,
Has anyone com across free arq software for the SoundCard that one can DL
from the net??
I tried google but no luck?

Xanax.

1740. Re: PSK baud rates on HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-29 18:50:29 UTC
From: Mike Blake <k9jri@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <VT5yb.13168$P%1.11957655@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 2687

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:bqSxb.61518$MF4.31805@newssvr25.news.prodigy.com...
> More throughput, error correction for 100% copy, ability to transfer
binary
> files. (Q15x25 guys like to send JPEG's back 'n forth, kind of like SSTV,
> except the pics come through 100% good.)
>
>
> Charles Brabham, N5PVL
> Director: USPacket.Net
> http://www.uspacket.net
> n5pvl@uspacket.net
>
Where are the Q15x25 QSOs going on. I have MixW, which should work this
mode, but I am not aware of ever hearing it on the HF bands. Is this a
VHF/UHF only mode?

73 - Mike - K9JRI

1741. Re: PSK baud rates on HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-29 19:11:02 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <ab6yb.61795$XE5.53173@newssvr25.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 2688

"Mike Blake" <k9jri@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:VT5yb.13168$P%1.11957655@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> Where are the Q15x25 QSOs going on. I have MixW, which should work this
> mode, but I am not aware of ever hearing it on the HF bands. Is this a
> VHF/UHF only mode?
>

It's intended for HF, but works great on VHF/UHF too. Operating freqs listed in the pages that follow.

http://home.att.net/~ronchap/q15x25modes/q15x25.htm

http://sharon.pi8zaa.ampr.org/mirrors/zl1bpu/Q15X25/Q15X25.html

There is also a Yahoo group named "Q15x25" that is a great source of info on operating this digital mode.

Briefly: Q15x25 uses 15 PSK streams at 88.xxx baud each, theoretically giving you around 2500 baud. That's where the 15 and 25 in the name come from. It butts right up against the legal limits of bandwidth, but doesn't cross those limits and is so it legal, despite doubts that some have expressed in the past.

Q15x25 operates exactly like packet. It's a connected mode, and if a frame gets through at all, it gets through intact. From what I can tell, the most popular activity among Q15x25 enthusiasts is to swap JPEG images on the air, kind of like SSTV but with error-correction so the pics always come through clean.

There are versions for Linux and Winders.

My on the air experience with Q15x25 is that it works great when you have clear spectrum, but under noisy conditions is no better or worse than 300 baud packet! - Except of course it takes up several times as much spectrum to do so. Q15x25 will not pull out weak signals you can't hear or any of that kind of stuff. On the other hand, under decent operating conditions it can be pretty fast.

I also have discovered that by boosting the TXD up to 800-1000 MS or more, you can conduct Q15x25 QSO's over a normal VHF voice repeater. A nice 2500 baud data transfer system for emergency use anywhere there is a voice repeater... Admittedly not ideal, but still a big step up from nothing.

I remember reading a few weeks back that the author/authors of Q15x25 were currently working on a new version, so it appears the mode is alive and well, if not popular due to it's width. It really is hard to find a good

place to operate Q15x25 on busy freqs.

That's the problem with the wide modes, I guess. TANSTAAFL

Charles Brabham, N5PVL
Director: USPacket.Net
http://www.uspacket.net
n5pvl@uspacket.net

1742. APRS Weather standalone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-30 15:25:18 UTC
From: *Watt <POTMYFKTOSUL@spammotel.com>
Message-ID: <p1oyb.7238$LV1.2383@okepread05>
Source record: 2689

Greetings,

I am looking at putting up a standalone APRS weather unit, and would like to hear from anyone who has done this successfully.

This will be at a remote location, where it will be a major pain in the rear to do a reset, so reliability will be a top priority.

We will have access to 110v at the location, but since it is out in the boonies it is susceptible to brief outages.

The equipment that I have looked at is the Peet Bros 2k and 2100, which advertises APRS compatibility out of the box. Is anyone actually using one ?

If we go with Peet Bros, which TNC has worked for you ?

And this is a standalone, dedicated operation for APRS, is one of the low cost MFJ packet radios suitable for this environemnt ? Are they durable enough ?

Any other radio suggestions ?

Thanks for your opinions...

Watt

1743. Re: free ARQ de-mod software ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-30 19:31:35 UTC
From: Markus L <uo9oew@tzk.pu>
Message-ID: <3fca459e$0$3218$5402220f@news.sunrise.ch>
Source record: 2690

Xanax wrote:
> Hi all,
> Has anyone com across free arq software for the SoundCard that one
> can DL from the net??

http://www.muenster.de/~welp/sb.htm
http://www.qsl.net/nparc/Library/sndcrdref.htm

1744. Re: APRS Weather standalone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-11-30 20:25:22 UTC
From: Jim <jcherto@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <lpedneKiH6upz1ei4p2dnA@comcast.com>
Source record: 2691

Read August 2003 QST.

"*Watt" <POTMYFKTOSUL@spammotel.com> wrote in message
news:p1oyb.7238$LV1.2383@okepread05...
> Greetings,
>
> I am looking at putting up a standalone APRS weather unit, and would like
to
> hear from anyone who has done this successfully.
>
> This will be at a remote location, where it will be a major pain in the
rear
> to do a reset, so reliability will be a top priority.
>
> We will have access to 110v at the location, but since it is out in the
> boonies it is susceptible to brief outages.
>
> The equipment that I have looked at is the Peet Bros 2k and 2100, which
> advertises APRS compatibility out of the box. Is anyone actually using
one
> ?
>
> If we go with Peet Bros, which TNC has worked for you ?
>
> And this is a standalone, dedicated operation for APRS, is one of the low
> cost MFJ packet radios suitable for this environemnt ? Are they durable
> enough ?
>
> Any other radio suggestions ?
>
> Thanks for your opinions...
>
> Watt
>
>
>

1745. Re: APRS Weather standalone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-01 01:59:29 UTC
From: *Watt <YPMOJALBAZYR@spammotel.com>
Message-ID: <Xjxyb.7348$LV1.4894@okepread05>
Source record: 2692

Jim,

As fate would have it, the August issue is the only one this year that I *don't* have.

I looked at the ARRL website to see if their articles are online, and this one is not available online.

Any chance you can email me a jpg, bmp or gif copy ? Thanks !

*Watt

"Jim" <jcherto@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:lpedneKiH6upz1ei4p2dnA@comcast.com...
> Read August 2003 QST.
>
>
> "*Watt" <POTMYFKTOSUL@spammotel.com> wrote in message
> news:p1oyb.7238$LV1.2383@okepread05...
> > Greetings,
> >
> > I am looking at putting up a standalone APRS weather unit, and would like
> to
> > hear from anyone who has done this successfully.
> >
> > This will be at a remote location, where it will be a major pain in the
> rear
> > to do a reset, so reliability will be a top priority.
> >
> > We will have access to 110v at the location, but since it is out in the
> > boonies it is susceptible to brief outages.
> >
> > The equipment that I have looked at is the Peet Bros 2k and 2100, which
> > advertises APRS compatibility out of the box. Is anyone actually using
> one
> > ?
> >
> > If we go with Peet Bros, which TNC has worked for you ?
> >
> > And this is a standalone, dedicated operation for APRS, is one of the low
> > cost MFJ packet radios suitable for this environemnt ? Are they durable
> > enough ?
> >
> > Any other radio suggestions ?

> >
> > Thanks for your opinions...
> >
> > Watt
> >
> >
> >
>
>

1746. who solves stupidly, when Al covers the strong dust among the structure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-01 04:37:21 UTC
From: "Chester S. McWharton" <fevnik@lynmisa.org.ph>
Message-ID: <89cae380.4b73d489@news.lynmisa.org.ph>
Source record: 2694

Dear Judges, Lawyers, Policemen, Guards, Counselors, Taxpayers, et. al.,

We are here. Like it or not, for good or bad, we are here. Who are we? We are the downtrodden and dispossesed, the self-torturing, the disenfranchised convicts, drug and alcohol addicts, the unemployed and unemployable. We are the children of poverty, financial and spiritual. We have and will have children of our own, grandchildren too. We are ex-cons, uninsured, homeless, of many colors and speaking many tongues. We are the enemy in what has become a domestic war against ourselves.

And who are you? You who like the tough talk of Tough on Crime? You who watch as budgets are cut in education and health care while you militarize a police force? Bullet-proof vests, automatic weapons, helicopters, tanks, robots ... the testosterone is oozing through the streets, more prisons, longer sentences, tighten the belt, spartan conditions, task forces, gang units, gun courts. And what is there to show for it? Unemployent stays low because half the population oversees those "out of the workforce", the dregs, the rabble, the enemy? Please tell me there is a deeper reason. Do you feel safer? More humane? More like a cohesive society with a shared sense of purpose, who can identify Us and Them? Do you live in a gated community or gentrified neighborhood? By the way, have you read the Declaration of Independence and US Constitution - or do you only know the first phrases?

It's about time we got together. Please know that I have yet to meet a convict who wants their child to be a thief, an addict, a dealer, a prostitute, or a violent individual. Most of us still have hope for ourselves even when stuck in the darkest dilemmas, ruts and catch-22s. Most of us believe in crafting laws and instilling order. Many of us have burrowed beneath the surface to find a spiritual sense of being, an understanding force at least as powerful as those we succumbed to, and many of use wouldn't escape if you opened the front door. Did you know that approximately 10 million Americans are either incarcerated, on probation, on parole or once were in those categories? Each of those 10 million have families, friends, neighbors ... and so closer and closer does the We interface with the You. Don't you think it's time we talked?

Are you ready? Can you accept that the road we are travelling points toward a grim and painful future? Do you have the heart to face monumental failures while bravely struggling beyond where we are now? I know that some of you are, and that some of us are, and this is what gives me hope. You need our insights just as we need your structure. It is never over, especially when a real solution, a real treatment for our sickness, is yet to begin.

In Solidarity,

Bruce Reilly (a.k.a Bruha)
P.O.Box 8274
Cranston, RI 02920 USA

BRUHA@lynmisa.org.ph

P.S. - I am trying to conceptualize an effective guerilla media campaign to promote this cause. Ideas are welcome. Collaboration is prayed.

Newsgroups: news.announce.newusers

Archive-name: usenet/faq/part1
Original-author: jerry@eagle.UUCP (Jerry Schwarz)
Comment: enhanced & edited until 5/93 by spaf@cs.purdue.edu (Gene Spafford)
Last-change: 16 Jan 1998 by netannounce@deshaw.com (Mark Moraes)
Changes-posted-to: news.misc,news.answers

Frequently Asked Questions about Usenet

Subject: Introduction.

This document discusses some questions and topics that occur repeatedly on Usenet. They frequently are submitted by new users, and result in many followups, sometimes swamping groups for weeks. The purpose of this note is to head off these annoying events by answering some questions and warning about the inevitable consequence of asking others. If you don't like these answers, let the poster of thie article know.

Note that some newsgroups have their own special "Frequent Questions & Answers" posting. You should read a group for a while before posting any questions, because the answers may already be present. Comp.unix.questions and comp.unix.internals are examples -- Steve Hayman regularly posts an article that answers common questions, including some of the ones asked here.

This list is often referred to as FAQ -- the Frequently Asked Questions. If you are a new user of the Usenet and don't find an answer to

1747. Re: APRS Weather standalone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-01 06:14:56 UTC
From: Dana Myers <k6jq@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <3fcad9ec$1@wobble>
Source record: 2693

*Watt wrote:
> Jim,
>
> As fate would have it, the August issue is the only one this year that I
> *don't* have.
>
> I looked at the ARRL website to see if their articles are online, and this
> one is not available online.
>
> Any chance you can email me a jpg, bmp or gif copy ? Thanks !

I'll bet your local library has the original magazine and a
photocopying machine.

Dana

1748. Re: who solves stupidly, when Al covers the strong dust among the structure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-01 13:22:21 UTC
From: Android Cat <androidcat99@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <SwHyb.9$_I6.1650@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 2695

Chester S. McWharton wrote:
> Dear Judges, Lawyers, Policemen, Guards, Counselors, Taxpayers, et.
> al.,

This is a clumsy attempt to get people to flood news.admin.net-abuse.email
with responses to his troll. (Note the Followup-To:
news.admin.net-abuse.email in the post.)

--
Ron Sharp.

1749. Re: who solves stupidly, when Al covers the strong dust among the structure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-01 15:52:48 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <ktJyb.28567$7S6.9389@newssvr29.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 2696

I thought it was that poor confused geek who spams me about RadioMirror
multicast software. It sounded a lot like one of that guy's arguements.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

"Android Cat" <androidcat99@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:SwHyb.9$_I6.1650@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Chester S. McWharton wrote:
> > Dear Judges, Lawyers, Policemen, Guards, Counselors, Taxpayers, et.
> > al.,
>
> This is a clumsy attempt to get people to flood news.admin.net-abuse.email
> with responses to his troll. (Note the Followup-To:
> news.admin.net-abuse.email in the post.)
>
> --
> Ron Sharp.
>
>

1750. Re: APRS Weather standalone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-01 22:53:00 UTC
From: Steve Nosko <suteuve.nosukowicuz@moutouroula.com>
Message-ID: <bqggrd$je2$1@newshost.mot.com>
Source record: 2705

It was W9IF:

http://w9if.net

"*Watt" <POTMYFKTOSUL@spammotel.com> wrote in message
news:p1oyb.7238$LV1.2383@okepread05...
> Greetings,
>
> I am looking at putting up a standalone APRS weather unit, and would like
to
> hear from anyone who has done this successfully.

1751. FA: Good cheap Toshiba laptop for Packet, logging, etc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-02 03:27:28 UTC
From: GS <greatsurplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <f69739401b5d1189860197382e898be3@news.meganetnews.com>
Source record: 2697
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3444083709

Old 386, but runs great. I used it in my trailer for logging and packet.
Has windows 3.11 and DOS 6.11. Has glidepoint 'touch mouse' pad,
and a fair amount of software installed. Comes with nice padded case.
Internal floppy drive. All works great, except the battery (of course).

Thanks for looking!

1752. Re: FA: Good cheap Toshiba laptop for Packet, logging, etc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-02 17:22:08 UTC
From: Skipp has an old toshiba web page <spamsomeoneelse@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <bqiho0mtb1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 2698
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

You can find a web page for older toshiba laptops at:

http://pilot.ucdavis.edu/toshiba

cheers
skipp

: In rec.radio.swap GS <greatsurplus@hotmail.com> wrote:
: http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3444083709

: Old 386, but runs great. I used it in my trailer for logging and packet.
: Has windows 3.11 and DOS 6.11. Has glidepoint 'touch mouse' pad,
: and a fair amount of software installed. Comes with nice padded case.
: Internal floppy drive. All works great, except the battery (of course).

: Thanks for looking!

1753. needing input on 802 standard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-02 18:05:41 UTC
From: larry <larya24@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Vv4zb.15460$Y4R1.9950@news04.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 2699

Greetings

My name is Larry and my callsign is ve3fxq.
I have a problem. Though I have been licenced since 1964, I feel like the perferbial newbie. I have been away from amateur radio from around the mid 90's until now. I got my hf and vhf equipment reconnected this summer and found radio activity far lower than when I left it in mid 90's.
Now I am retired and need a shot in the arm with some insight with the new technology.
I am now reading about a stardard called 802....
What is it.
I am also hearing the people are using 2.5 ghz and 5.0 ghz
I am not sure where to begin asking questions so... does anyone know of a website that can educate me on this new 802 standard and how that frequency is gotten to.
I hate to say this but etc, etc.

Larry ve3fxq
larya@rogers.com

1754. Re: needing input on 802 standard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-02 22:35:55 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <7t8zb.4012$US3.3773@okepread03>
Source record: 2700

Well, 802.11 is the standard used for WiFi, or wireless networking cards you can purchase for your home computers. Many people have found that with amplifiers (even to the legal limit) covers quite a distance. Nothing to do with Ham radio though, except that WiFi is co-mingled partially with one of the U.S. ham bands at 2 GHz and 5 GHz.

Hams are dying now by the droves, and the modes and skills of operators is drastically changing. No longer are the skills of building a rig from scratch what drives new hams. They mostly want to communicate, and not be here just for the electronics.

"larry" <larya24@hotmail.com> wrote
> Greetings
>
> My name is Larry and my callsign is ve3fxq.
> I have a problem. Though I have been licenced since 1964, I feel like the
> perferbial newbie. I have been away from amateur radio from around the mid
> 90's until now. I got my hf and vhf equipment reconnected this summer and
> found radio activity far lower than when I left it in mid 90's.
> Now I am retired and need a shot in the arm with some insight with the new
> technology.
> I am now reading about a stardard called 802....
> What is it.
> I am also hearing the people are using 2.5 ghz and 5.0 ghz
> I am not sure where to begin asking questions so... does anyone know of a
> website that can educate me on this new 802 standard and how that frequency
> is gotten to.

1755. Re: needing input on 802 standard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-03 01:05:44 UTC
From: mark@chaos.x-philes.com
Message-ID: <bqjct2$n0m$1@niagara.mtbc.internal>
Source record: 2701

In article <7t8zb.4012$US3.3773@okepread03>,
Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net> wrote:
(snip)
>Hams are dying now by the droves, and the modes and skills of operators is
>drastically changing. No longer are the skills of building a rig from scratch
>what drives new hams. They mostly want to communicate, and not be here
>just for the electronics.
(snip)

What attracts them away from using just the Internet and WiFi for communication, then, which you don't have to pass examinations for, if not the home-built electronics, etc.? Maybe other hams provide better conversation or something? (-: Or, they're traveling a lot and can't easily get connectivity any other way from where they are?

-- Mark

1756. Re: needing input on 802 standard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-03 01:27:29 UTC
From: xpyttl <xpyttl_nospam@earthling.net>
Message-ID: <vsqf0h3u2isnbb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 2702

"Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net> wrote in message
news:7t8zb.4012$US3.3773@okepread03...
>
> Hams are dying now by the droves, and the modes and skills of operators is
> drastically changing. No longer are the skills of building a rig from
scratch
> what drives new hams. They mostly want to communicate, and not be here
> just for the electronics.

I wouldn't be quite that strong. Yes, a lot of hams are older, but we are adding new hams at a slightly higher rate than we are killing off old ones. And the new folks, while different, are engaged in the wide range of facets of this hobby that we all are.

We do have a huge number of techs, who are pretty much relegated to VHF. A surprising number of these folks are getting engaged in Amateur Radio Public Service activities. Perhaps not as many as we might like are upgrading, but emergency communications is probably 90% or better VHF, so for what drives these folks, their tech license is all they need.

We are also seeing a renaissance of sorts of building, both kit and homebrew. There are probably more kit manufacturers out there than ever, and the quality of these kits is astonishing. Most are far better than Heathkit ever dreamed of, and some even have construction manuals that put Heath to shame. Nowadays, even the smallest club can put together very high quality kits. Take a peek at what the North Georgia QRP Club is doing, or the Flying Pigs. For the top of the line commercial kit, take a look at http://www.elecraft.com. There are very few already built rigs that can hold a candle to the K2, and they are all a LOT more expensive.

Homebrewing has been spurred by the Internet, which gets homebrewers together on forums like this one and QRP-L, and has made parts more accessible as well. Subscribe to QRP-L, or just graze the archives (http://qrp.lehigh.edu/lists/qrp-l/) and the number of homebrewers gathering there is astonishing. Wander on over to http://www.qrparci.org/links.html and follow some of the links to the various clubs ... most of which have some sort of kitting projects, or to the dozens commercial outfits offering kits and supplies. Take a look at http://www.amqrp.org for some pretty high tech kits and projects. AmQRP has a magazine, the Homebrewer, which is strictly about homebrewing, and Sprat, QQ, and several others are heavily homebrew.

Amateur radio is changing, and maybe it's not always changing in the ways that make us comfortable, but it certainly isn't all doom and gloom. And for those of us that like to melt solder, we have a lot more company than we did 10 years ago.

. .

1757. Re: needing input on 802 standard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-03 01:56:25 UTC
From: "Dr. Anton Squeegee" <SpammersAreVermin@Dev.Null>
Message-ID: <MPG.1a36e2a29d5cbcf4989b47@192.168.42.131>
Source record: 2703

In article <7t8zb.4012$US3.3773@okepread03>, nospam@cox.net says...

> Well, 802.11 is the standard used for WiFi, or wireless networking cards
> you can purchase for your home computers. Many people have found that
> with amplifiers (even to the legal limit) covers quite a distance. Nothing to
> do with Ham radio though, except that WiFi is co-mingled partially with one
> of the U.S. ham bands at 2 GHz and 5 GHz.

More specifically: The current standards are 802.11b and 802.11g.
In terms of security, wireless networking is iffy at best. WEP can be
cracked in a matter of hours.

> Hams are dying now by the droves, and the modes and skills of operators is
> drastically changing. No longer are the skills of building a rig from scratch
> what drives new hams. They mostly want to communicate, and not be here
> just for the electronics.

Excuse me?! Speak for yourself! I've always been in it for the fun
and learning of messing with the guts of the radios and associated
goodies themselves. In fact, I went and made RF services part of my side
business, dedicated heavily to hams who want to use commercial hardware
(mostly Motorola) on the ham bands, but who may lack the skill or
equipment to get such working on them.

I will say that there's a -lot- more "appliance operators" on the
air, but the techies among us are still around. You just have to look a
little longer and harder to find them.

73 de KC7GR

--
Dr. Anton Squeegee, Director, Dutch Surrealist Plumbing Institute
(Known to some as Bruce Lane, KC7GR)
kyrrin a/t bluefeathertech d-o=t c&o&m
Motorola Radio Programming & Service Available -
http://www.bluefeathertech.com/rf.html
"Quando Omni Flunkus Moritati" (Red Green)

1758. Ham equipment to trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-03 06:32:26 UTC
From: theplanters95@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20031203013226.26022.00000086@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 2704

I have the following that I would like to trade:

1. FT-50 with couple battery packs, speaker mike, 12 car adaptor and charger.
2. MFJ 1278B Multimode TNC
3. Rigblaster with rj45 mike connector
4. MFJ 1116 power strip.

All are in great working condition, with a few scratches...

Will trade as lot or individually. I am looking for 2m mobile radio, auto antenna tuner, screwdriver antenna controller, qrp ssb radio (like the MFJ radio's), GPS for APRS, or make an offer. Please email directly to theplanters95@aol.com

Randy ka4nma

1759. good aidio card

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-04 01:22:16 UTC
From: Publius <pegasis@wt.net>
Message-ID: <3fce8d2d@sys13.hou.wt.net>
Source record: 2706

Hello

What is a good audio PCI card to carpture inline jack signals (radio) and
convert to MP3

--

~~~~~~
Let us never forget ..... Stores don't kill economies, consumers do
Save our jobs, create US jobs and invest in the USA
Close the borders
Reform the Gov't, Balance the budget;
(Congress spends our money, raises our taxes, and regulates our lives)
Reform Tort law
~~~~~~
Breach of trust
http://www.amazon.com/exec/obidos/tg/detail/-/0785262202/qid=1069649917/sr=1-1/ref=sr_1_1/
103-9335917-3492639?v=glance&s=books

1760. Re: good aidio card

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-04 01:56:38 UTC
From: mikea@mikea.ath.cx
Message-ID: <bqm48m$1mu$1@puck.litech.org>
Source record: 2707

Publius <pegasis@wt.net> wrote:
> Hello

> What is a good audio PCI card to carpture inline jack signals (radio) and
> convert to MP3

A Soundblaster card will capture the audio well eough for all but
studio-quality work, which amateur radio certainly isn't.

As for conversion to MP3, that involves a sound editor or similar
program, either to capture it as MP3 initially, or to convert it
from some other format (e.g., .wav) to .mp3.

--
Mike Andrews
mikea@mikea.ath.cx
Tired old sysadmin

1761. Re: good aidio card

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-04 06:58:21 UTC
From: Roger Conroy <rogerconroy@nospam.hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <bqmluk$5kt$1@ctb-nnrp2.saix.net>
Source record: 2708

"Publius" <pegasis@wt.net> wrote in message
news:3fce8d2d@sys13.hou.wt.net...
> Hello
>
> What is a good audio PCI card to carpture inline jack signals (radio) and
> convert to MP3

Just about ANY card is fine - even no card at all, as practically all
motherboards manufactured in the last few years have perfectly adequate
sound built right in. The only reason I can think of for buying an add on
sound card is if you are into SERIOUS hi-fi or use a pc to create music.

73
Roger ZR3RC

1762. Re: A New Concept: Virtual Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-04 13:38:36 UTC
From: Stewart Bryant <stbryant@cisco.com>
Message-ID: <1070545051.516267@sj-nntpcache-5>
Source record: 2709
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.antiques.radio+phono,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

We have been running a community receiver for the
136KHz band for a couple of years in the UK.

A number of amateurs had trouble with noise on the
band (TV, a data ciruit into a hospital and a
mobile phone base-station with faulty SM PSUs just
to name three).

We have a receiver located in a quite part of Crawley
(at our radio club station), and this relays the
whole of the 136Khz band on 2m (135.4KHz = 144.9854MHz)

This is done with an FT-847 running in satellite
mode with the two IFs linked together. We get
quite good coverage over the SE, and good dynamic range.
We are proposing as an experiment to try relaying
a 6KHz segment of the CW segment of 40m band for a
few weeks to get a better feel on the dynamic range
issues.

The system is MB7LF and is licienced as a data
system.

73

Stewart G3YSX

1763. Re: AX.25

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-04 22:15:38 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <3mOzb.4225$US3.1199@okepread03>
Source record: 2710

You could just drop the packets that aren't addressed to the receiver at some point. Typically after the monitor part of the code. You want to be able to see the traffic, and log the users, etc, but not pass to the higher code levels the data not addressed to you.

"Ian" <ian.bari@att.net> wrote
> Greetings,
>
> I am researching AX.25 for an amateur satellite
> project. I have the protocol specs for versions
> 2.2 but am confused as to how AX.25 filters the
> packages that are not addressed to the intended
> recipient callsign. I am familiar with the
> frame headers that contain the address fields
> with the source and destinations but what is
> the underlying mechanism of package filtering?
> Any clear descriptions of this would be
> appreciated. Thank you.
>
> Respecfully,
>
> Ian Bari
> SJSU undergrad
> Spartnik Project

1764. 22 echoirlp nodes installed by ian g0hlj

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-04 22:25:25 UTC
From: g3zhi@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3fd098b6.1021659@news.btinternet.com>
Source record: 2711

22 echoirlp nodes installed by ian g0hlj

hi all

so far ian g0hlj has installed 22 echoirlp nodes
they are all working fine

there has been no new irlp installs required due to a bad echoirlp
install by ian

adding echolink adds over 2,000 nodes/repeaters to your irlp box
which are located in many other parts of the world not covered by irlp

the installation is easy and takes about 15 mins

if requested your irlp node can be backed up first

echoirlp can be uninstalled or disabled if required

it is important though that as always if you are not sure what you
are doing please ask ian to change anything

echoirlp works great and i would hope to see every irlp node have
echolink added

as always if you have any questions please feel free to ask them

we welcome any questions here and all questions will be answered and
treated with respect

ian would be happy to inatall an echolink irlp node for you

please pass this information on

73 Ian G3ZHI

http://www.qsl.net/g3zhi - has many ham radio links

I usually monitor G4NJI node 5200 simplex 145.2875mhz

Ian Abel G3ZHI
52 Hollytree Ave
Maltby
Rotherham
Yorkshire
S66 8DY

Tel: 01709 799911
Mobile 0776 481 3363

1765. over 3,000 repeaters/simplex nodes now connected to the internet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-04 22:36:06 UTC
From: g3zhi@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3fdfb675.780798@news.btinternet.com>
Source record: 2712

over 3,000 repeaters/simplex nodes now connected to the internet

the full list of links on

rlp
echolink
echoirlp

can be downloaded from my web site

73 Ian G3ZHI

http://www.qsl.net/g3zhi - has many ham radio links

G4NJI IRLP 5200 Echolink 135909
Rotherham simplex 145.2875mhz

GB3XN IRLP 5708 Echolink 153126

Ian Abel G3ZHI
52 Hollytree Ave
Maltby
Rotherham
Yorkshire
S66 8DY

Tel: 01709 799911
Mobile 0776 481 3363

1766. Radio Raft Hack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-07 01:39:40 UTC
From: Xanax <jo@fo.mo>
Message-ID: <lxvAb.3544$nm6.18816@news.indigo.ie>
Source record: 2713

Hi all,
How do I hack the install of radio raft from a none radio raft floppy disk.
to win2000.

Cheers,
Xanax.

1767. Re: Radio Raft Hack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-07 01:46:10 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <CDvAb.5517$US3.1651@okepread03>
Source record: 2714

Write the author.

"Xanax" <jo@fo.mo> wrote
> Hi all,
> How do I hack the install of radio raft from a none radio raft floppy disk.
> to win2000.

1768. Re: Radio Raft Hack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-07 04:27:09 UTC
From: "Dr. Anton Squeegee" <SpammersAreVermin@Dev.Null>
Message-ID: <MPG.1a3c4bf31c83633f989b5c@192.168.42.131>
Source record: 2715

In article <CDvAb.5517$US3.1651@okepread03>, nospam@cox.net says...

> Write the author.
>
> "Xanax" <jo@fo.mo> wrote
> > Hi all,
> > How do I hack the install of radio raft from a none radio raft floppy disk.
> > to win2000.

Paying for the software generally works pretty well. The author
will then help you to "hack" it to a registered version.

--
Dr. Anton Squeegee, Director, Dutch Surrealist Plumbing Institute
(Known to some as Bruce Lane, KC7GR)
kyrrin a/t bluefeathertech d-o=t c&o&m
Motorola Radio Programming & Service Available -
http://www.bluefeathertech.com/rf.html
"Quando Omni Flunkus Moritati" (Red Green)

1769. TrueTTY 2.30 is released

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-07 17:57:22 UTC
From: Sergei Podstrigailo <amx99@dxsoft.com>
Message-ID: <0a90871504fc8b4b1758559da17f20c3@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 2716

Hello All,

I have uploaded TrueTTY 2.30 to the main site and mirror:

http://www.dxsoft.com/truetty.zip
http://www.truetty.com/truetty.zip

Best regards,
Sergei
http://www.dxsoft.com

==========

TrueTTY Versions History

V2.30
"State" and "IOTA" fields was added to catch-word popup menu.
"State", "Grid" and "IOTA" options was added to
"Setup / Text / Use "Other" field as" menu.
TrueTTY can send information about used mode to logger now.
See "Setup / Other / Send Mode to logger when mode was changed" ,
"Setup / Other / Send Mode to logger together with callsign" an
"Setup / Other / Send mode ... as ..." options.
V2.26
Fast squelch in AX25 mode was made.
V2.25
AFC has two parameters now.
The first parameter is local deviation in hertzs, i.e. maximal deviation
from current frequency. Second parameter is global deviation in hertzs,
i.e.
maximal deviation from last user-selected frequency. User-selected
frequencies are shown at spectrogram or waterfall by short thin lines.
V2.24
MultiFSK decoder was improved.
V2.23
AX25 decoder was rewritten.
Noise-immunity was great improved in HF-PACKET and
slightly improved in UHF-PACKET.
V2.22
BPSK31 and QPSK31 decoders were rewritten.
QPSK31 decoding quality was vastly improved.
V2.21
AMTOR-FEC (SITOR-B, NAVTEX) decoder was rewritten.
Decoding quality was notably improved.
V2.20
User can select optimal or wide filters via "Filters" menu in RTTY and

ASCII
modes now. Wide filters can be useful to decoding signals corrupted by
polar
flutter. Use optimal filters in all another cases.
[...]

1770. Re: KAM XL TNC locks my handheld PC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-09 00:49:34 UTC
From: Ken <cprstn54zz@att.net>
Message-ID: <5r6atvg3ctst1umiftsmkt13qutsl3rt28@4ax.com>
Source record: 2717

On Mon, 17 Nov 2003 22:03:03 GMT, Ken <cprstn54zz@att.net> wrote:
>I am trying to use a KAM XL with my MobilePro MP780 handheld PC
>("HPC") running Microsoft Terminal under Windows CE 2.11. Both
>devices are DCE and I am using a null modem cable.
>
>The HPC freezes up as soon as I power up on the KAM XL and will not
>unfreeze until I power the KAM XL down or disconnect the devices.

Problem solved: The KAM XL does not energize any RS-232 pins on power
up. This befuddles the HPC. Moreover, the HPC energizes DCD when it
opens its serial port, but DCD is not carried in a null modem cable --
so the KAM XL doesn't see DCD from the HPC. I wired a custom null
modem cable with DCD carried straight through. This works OK.

Ken KC2JDY

Ken
(to reply via email
remove "zz" from address)

1771. Soundcard DTMF decoder?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-09 13:35:37 UTC
From: "Alan P. Biddle" <APBIDDLE@ATT.NET>
Message-ID: <upjbtvg3l2viitteokek6o0vke00o6bf8k@4ax.com>
Source record: 2718

Can anyone recommend a good sound card DTMF program? The ones I have been able to find seem to be either badly overpriced, or abandoned shareware.

Alan
WA4SCA

1772. Re: Soundcard DTMF decoder?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-09 18:47:24 UTC
From: Sergei Podstrigailo <amx3@dxsoft.com>
Message-ID: <br55bq$2907dk$1@ID-52759.news.uni-berlin.de>
Source record: 2719

Hello!> Can anyone recommend a good sound card DTMF program? The ones I
have
> been able to find seem to be either badly overpriced, or abandoned
> shareware.

TrueTTY can decode DTMF also ...

http://www.dxsoft.com/truetty.zip

WBR,
Sergei

Windows HAM radio software at http://www.dxsoft.com

1773. Re: Soundcard DTMF decoder?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-10 08:34:02 UTC
From: Markus L <uo9oew@lnubb.pbz>
Message-ID: <3fd6da7a$0$246$4d4ebb8e@read-nat.news.nl.uu.net>
Source record: 2720

Alan P. Biddle wrote:
> Can anyone recommend a good sound card DTMF program? The ones I have
> been able to find seem to be either badly overpriced, or abandoned
> shareware.

See http://www.muenster.de/~welp/sb.htm#zvei

Markus

1774. Where are we at now?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-10 16:05:20 UTC
From: larry <larya24@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <4vHBb.33983$r%u1.4153@twister01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 2721

Greetings

I feel rather awkward in asking this question because while I was an active amateur ve3fxq I seem to know what was going on and, sometimes, was knee deep in it. Now I feel like I am the odd man out.

I seemed to have dropped out of amateur radio during the mid to late 90's. That is about the time that APRS was starting to make it presence know.

I was actively involved in a display station ve3cne at the Canadian National Exhibition. That station was closed around 1998ish and my contact with amateur radio seem to go with it.

My main question is where is APRS now? When I saw it last, at ve3cne, There was an almost world wide use of APRS with, even truckers having nodes and letting people watch them travers the country. There were pictures of storms and you could watch them treck across the country.

Also I am recently heard of another mode where you can connect to appropriately connected vioce repeaters around the world via the internet. I saw an article about this but it seemed like you needed fairly suffistocated equipment to get there.

Any information?

Larry ve3fxq
larya@rogers.com

1775. irlp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-10 16:35:10 UTC
From: larry <larya24@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <2XHBb.34767$r%u1.9412@twister01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 2722

Greetings again

Maybe I am just not reading the instructions correctly but do you need a special board pluged into your computer to simply listen to a repeater on the network?

Larry ve3fxq

1776. Re: irlp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-11 15:32:46 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <y60Cb.37081$MO.10549@newssvr29.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 2723

"larry" <larya24@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:2XHBb.34767$r%u1.9412@twister01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> Greetings again
>
> Maybe I am just not reading the instructions correctly but do you need a
> special board pluged into your computer to simply listen to a repeater on
> the network?

The main thing Larry, is that you have to have a special lack of
intelligence that allows you to get on the Internet and call it "Ham Radio"
just because some moron has hooked his radio up to the Internet.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

1777. Re: irlp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-11 17:38:07 UTC
From: Keyboard In The Wilderness <KIYW@zilch.net>
Message-ID: <3Y1Cb.159$m83.128@fed1read01>
Source record: 2724

In general NO -- computers with the Sound Blaster cards or equivalent --
work just fine.

--
73 From The Wilderness Keyboard
"larry" <larya24@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:2XHBb.34767$r%u1.9412@twister01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> Greetings again
>
> Maybe I am just not reading the instructions correctly but do you need a
> special board pluged into your computer to simply listen to a repeater on
> the network?
>
> Larry ve3fxq
>
>
>

1778. Re: irlp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-11 19:47:50 UTC
From: Traveler <travman100@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <FQ3Cb.13$x72.114359@news.abs.net>
Source record: 2725

Charles,

I see that you don't much care for progress....or are you just always ornery...bet those who used smoke signals felt the same way when more modern forms of communication came along.

Ray
WA0LQT
"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:y60Cb.37081$MO.10549@newssvr29.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "larry" <larya24@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:2XHBb.34767$r%u1.9412@twister01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> > Greetings again
> >
> > Maybe I am just not reading the instructions correctly but do you need a
> > special board pluged into your computer to simply listen to a repeater
on
> > the network?
>
> The main thing Larry, is that you have to have a special lack of
> intelligence that allows you to get on the Internet and call it "Ham
Radio"
> just because some moron has hooked his radio up to the Internet.
>
> Charles Brabham, N5PVL
>
>

1779. Re: irlp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-11 21:26:55 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <zi5Cb.180658$Ec1.6826661@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 2726

I really do get a kick out of those who think that
NOT using radio is somehow progress in the USE of radio!

The display of ignorance is quite amazing.

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

"Traveler" <travman100@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:FQ3Cb.13$x72.114359@news.abs.net...
> Charles,
>
> I see that you don't much care for progress....or are you just always
> ornery...bet those who used smoke signals felt the same way when more modern
> forms of communication came along.
>
> Ray
> WA0LQT
> "charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> news:y60Cb.37081$MO.10549@newssvr29.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "larry" <larya24@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:2XHBb.34767$r%u1.9412@twister01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> > > Greetings again
> > >
> > > Maybe I am just not reading the instructions correctly but do you need a
> > > special board pluged into your computer to simply listen to a repeater
> on
> > > the network?
> >
> > The main thing Larry, is that you have to have a special lack of
> > intelligence that allows you to get on the Internet and call it "Ham
> Radio"
> > just because some moron has hooked his radio up to the Internet.
> >
> > Charles Brabham, N5PVL
> >
> >
>
>

1780. Re: irlp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-12 01:08:50 UTC
From: "Dr. A.T. Squeegee" <SpammersArePondScum@Dev.Null>
Message-ID: <MPG.1a42b500d1b3b238989b76@192.168.42.131>
Source record: 2727

In article <FQ3Cb.13$x72.114359@news.abs.net>, travman100@yahoo.com
says...

> Charles,
>
> I see that you don't much care for progress....or are you just always
> ornery...bet those who used smoke signals felt the same way when more modern
> forms of communication came along.

<snippety>

As ornery as Charles' reply was (yes, it could have been phrased
much better) he does have one valid point: 'Internet' and 'Ham Radio'
are two entirely different mediums. Always have been, always will be.

IRLP, as I see it, is just a way to get repeater linking done
independent of the restrictions of site-based link transceivers. After
all, the 'L' in IRLP does stand for 'Linking.'

In short: IRLP has its uses, but it will never replace true radio
work.

--
Dr. Anton Squeegee, Director, Dutch Surrealist Plumbing Institute
(Known to some as Bruce Lane, KC7GR)
kyrrin a/t bluefeathertech d-o=t c&o&m
Motorola Radio Programming & Service Available -
http://www.bluefeathertech.com/rf.html
"Quando Omni Flunkus Moritati" (Red Green)

1781. Re: irlp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-12 02:39:21 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <tT9Cb.70181$Fx2.27594@newssvr25.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 2728

"Dr. A.T. Squeegee" <SpammersArePondScum@Dev.Null> wrote in message
news:MPG.1a42b500d1b3b238989b76@192.168.42.131...
>
> IRLP, as I see it, is just a way to get repeater linking done
> independent of the restrictions of site-based link transceivers.

My feeling is that "hams" who feel that using radio is a "restriction"
should consider moving on to another hobby.

Charles, N5PVL

1782. Re: irlp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-12 05:02:30 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <GZbCb.182078$Ec1.6864502@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 2729

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:tT9Cb.70181$Fx2.27594@newssvr25.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Dr. A.T. Squeegee" <SpammersArePondScum@Dev.Null> wrote in message
> news:MPG.1a42b500d1b3b238989b76@192.168.42.131...
> >
> > IRLP, as I see it, is just a way to get repeater linking done
> > independent of the restrictions of site-based link transceivers.
>
> My feeling is that "hams" who feel that using radio is a "restriction"
> should consider moving on to another hobby.
>
> Charles, N5PVL

I've done a bit of research over the past year.
Notes are in a folder in the left-hand desk drawer.
If you want to see them you have to come visit.

Pretty simple to check the callsigns (where the poster
actually admits to having a callsign) against databases
like the DX spotting networks. To date there has been
one hit. I know it sound silly, but of those folks who
seem to think "not using radio" is some kind of advancement
of the art of "using radio" ... only one actually seems to
have ever used radio in the past year. Imagine my surprise.

No, I didn't bother to check license databases or qrz.com
or whatever to check whether the callsigns used by those
posting "anti-ham-radio" posts were actually licensed.

No, I will not divulge the callsign of the European ham who
showed up in the DX spotting network (JA database) :-)

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

1783. Re: irlp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-12 07:04:23 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <YLdCb.507$z74.431@okepread03>
Source record: 2730

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote
>
> My feeling is that "hams" who feel that using radio is a "restriction"
> should consider moving on to another hobby.

...and your feeling are somehow worthy of respect?

1784. Re: irlp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-12 07:32:55 UTC
From: "Dr. A.T. Squeegee" <SpammersArePondScum@Dev.Null>
Message-ID: <MPG.1a430f04124c622d989b79@192.168.42.131>
Source record: 2731

In article <tT9Cb.70181$Fx2.27594@newssvr25.news.prodigy.com>,
n5pvl@sbcglobal.net says...

> "Dr. A.T. Squeegee" <SpammersArePondScum@Dev.Null> wrote in message
> news:MPG.1a42b500d1b3b238989b76@192.168.42.131...
> >
> > IRLP, as I see it, is just a way to get repeater linking done
> > independent of the restrictions of site-based link transceivers.
>
> My feeling is that "hams" who feel that using radio is a "restriction"
> should consider moving on to another hobby.

Tell you what, Charles. When you come up with a method for making
a link transceiver pair operate reliably, over a single hop, from, say,
Seattle, Washington to Pensacola, Florida, you go ahead and let us all
know, OK? Heck, QST will probably be clamoring for the article on it.
;-)

As for moving on... Naaah. I've been part of the ham radio scene
since 1977. I'm having a blast with it, and I'm not about to stop any
time soon.

There's balance to be found in everything. IRLP has its place, as
does Echolink, but they will never replace radio as a communications
medium. They are merely new tools to increase the usability of the radio
medium.

Methinks a couple of passages from 'The Amateur's Code' are
appropriate here.

-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-

The Amateur is Progressive

Keeping their station abreast of science.
It is well-built and efficient, and ALL operating practice is above
reproach.

The Amateur is Friendly

Slow and patient sending when requested,
friendly advice and counsel to the beginner,
kindly assistance, co-operation and consideration for the interests of
others; these are marks of the amateur spirit.

-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-

Maybe you should have asked me what "restrictions" I was talking
about before making snap assumptions, hmmmm? ;-)

73 de KC7GR

--
Dr. Anton Squeegee, Director, Dutch Surrealist Plumbing Institute
(Known to some as Bruce Lane, KC7GR)
kyrrin a/t bluefeathertech d-o=t c&o&m
Motorola Radio Programming & Service Available -
http://www.bluefeathertech.com/rf.html
"Quando Omni Flunkus Moritati" (Red Green)

1785. Re: irlp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-12 07:48:28 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <gpeCb.639$z74.427@okepread03>
Source record: 2732

"Dr. A.T. Squeegee" <SpammersArePondScum@Dev.Null> wrote
>
> In short: IRLP has its uses, but it will never replace true radio
> work.

IRLP is radio hooked to a network. It's the future of public service
and emergency communications. There is no radio manufacturer in
the commercial world who doesn't believe in network radio linking.
Hams should get onboard rather than fight it. This is the 21st Century.

1786. Re: irlp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-12 08:04:57 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <JEeCb.648$z74.287@okepread03>
Source record: 2733

"Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote
>
> I've done a bit of research over the past year.
> Notes are in a folder in the left-hand desk drawer.
> If you want to see them you have to come visit.

Valuable research I'm sure...

> Pretty simple to check the callsigns (where the poster
> actually admits to having a callsign) against databases
> like the DX spotting networks.

What's a DX spotting network? I don't do contests, so
I'm kind of ignorant about being a paper hanger.

1787. Re: irlp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-12 08:15:20 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <tOeCb.658$z74.165@okepread03>
Source record: 2734

"Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote
>
> I really do get a kick out of those who think that
> NOT using radio is somehow progress in the USE of radio!
>
> The display of ignorance is quite amazing.

I can think of 41 synonyms to describe your position.

Entry: dogmatic
Function: adjective
Definition: opinionated

Synonyms: arbitrary, arrogant, assertive, bigoted, bullheaded,
categorical, cocksure, confident, definite, despotic, determined,
dictative, doctrinaire, domineering, downright, egotistical, emphatic,
fanatical, fascistic, formal, iceman, imperious, intolerant, magisterial,
narrow-minded, obdurate, obstinate, one-sided, oracular, overbearing,
peremptory, pigheaded, prejudiced, red neck, stiff-necked, stubborn,
stupid, tenacious, tyrannical, unequivocal, wrong-headed

Antonyms: amenable, flexible, liberal-minded, open-minded,
questioning, tolerant

Concept: personality quality

Source: Roget's Interactive Thesaurus, First Edition (v 1.0.0)
Copyright © 2003 by Lexico Publishing Group, LLC. All rights reserved.

1788. Re: DX Spotting Networks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-12 15:18:25 UTC
From: Keyboard In The Wilderness <KIYW@zilch.net>
Message-ID: <5%kCb.6445$m83.5204@fed1read01>
Source record: 2735

DX spotting networks are via packet radio or over the internet with telnet.
Hams around the world report other DX stations freq, mode, etc. Used to spot
rare DX or during contests

See URL for a sample:
http://oh2aq.kolumbus.com/dxs/oldlook.html?

Some discussions at URL:
http://outadaloop.com/LIVE10.htm

--
73 From The Wilderness Keyboard
==========
"Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net> wrote in message
news:JEeCb.648$z74.287@okepread03...
> "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote
> >
> >>
> What's a DX spotting network? I don't do contests, so
> I'm kind of ignorant about being a paper hanger.
>
>

1789. Re: irlp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-12 17:06:50 UTC
From: "Dr. A.T. Squeegee" <SpammersArePondScum@Dev.Null>
Message-ID: <MPG.1a43958476632fe1989b7c@192.168.42.131>
Source record: 2736

In article <gpeCb.639$z74.427@okepread03>, nospam@cox.net says...

> "Dr. A.T. Squeegee" <SpammersArePondScum@Dev.Null> wrote
> >
> > In short: IRLP has its uses, but it will never replace true radio
> > work.
>
> IRLP is radio hooked to a network. It's the future of public service
> and emergency communications. There is no radio manufacturer in
> the commercial world who doesn't believe in network radio linking.
> Hams should get onboard rather than fight it. This is the 21st Century.

I never said I was fighting it. Heck, I'll probably IRLP-link my
own repeater, once I get it set up. All I'm saying is that IRLP is
simply another tool in the amateur's metaphorical toolbox, and not
something to be feared or hated (as some obviously do).

--
Dr. Anton Squeegee, Director, Dutch Surrealist Plumbing Institute
(Known to some as Bruce Lane, KC7GR)
kyrrin a/t bluefeathertech d-o=t c&o&m
Motorola Radio Programming & Service Available -
http://www.bluefeathertech.com/rf.html
"Quando Omni Flunkus Moritati" (Red Green)

1790. Re: DX Spotting Networks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-12 21:44:13 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <OEqCb.1618$pm5.11@okepread01>
Source record: 2737

Sounds like cheating.

"Keyboard In The Wilderness" <KIYW@zilch.net> wrote
> DX spotting networks are via packet radio or over the internet with telnet.
> Hams around the world report other DX stations freq, mode, etc. Used to spot
> rare DX or during contests
>
> See URL for a sample:
> http://oh2aq.kolumbus.com/dxs/oldlook.html?
>
> Some discussions at URL:
> http://outadaloop.com/LIVE10.htm
>
> --
> 73 From The Wilderness Keyboard
> ==========
> "Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net> wrote in message
> news:JEeCb.648$z74.287@okepread03...
> > "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote
> > >
> > >>
> > What's a DX spotting network? I don't do contests, so
> > I'm kind of ignorant about being a paper hanger.

1791. Re: irlp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-13 00:43:23 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <LgtCb.70686$1K5.47678@newssvr25.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 2738

"Moron Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net> wrote in message
news:gpeCb.639$z74.427@okepread03...
> "Dr. A.T. Squeegee" <SpammersArePondScum@Dev.Null> wrote
> >
> > In short: IRLP has its uses, but it will never replace true radio
> > work.
>
> IRLP is radio hooked to a network. It's the future of public service
> and emergency communications. There is no radio manufacturer in
> the commercial world who doesn't believe in network radio linking.
> Hams should get onboard rather than fight it. This is the 21st Century.

Yeah, riiiight. They really proved EchoLink and IRLP's worth during the northeast blackout a month or so back. First, the non-ham stuff became grossly overcongested because they had idiots trying to "help" from all over the planet at once. Then, they both simply shut down as Internet access went away. - Leaving hams using ham radio to handle the emergency when the non-ham stuff went belly-up, as usual.

The loss of Internet access would have shut them down, but it was too late... They had already shut themselves down through overcongestion before it had a chance to.

"Future of public service and emergency communications"... Haw hawr! Good one, Gene!

Charles Brabham, N5PVL
Director: USPacket.Net
http://www.uspacket.net
n5pvl@uspacket.net

1792. Re: irlp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-13 00:45:57 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <8jtCb.70687$zL5.10534@newssvr25.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 2739

That's right Gene... When the facts do not support your pet position or protocol, you can always go for the mindless personal attacks.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL
Director: USPacket.Net
http://www.uspacket.net
n5pvl@uspacket.net

"Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net> wrote in message
news:tOeCb.658$z74.165@okepread03...
> "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote
> >
> > I really do get a kick out of those who think that
> > NOT using radio is somehow progress in the USE of radio!
> >
> > The display of ignorance is quite amazing.
>
> I can think of 41 synonyms to describe your position.
>
> Entry: dogmatic
> Function: adjective
> Definition: opinionated
>
> Synonyms: arbitrary, arrogant, assertive, bigoted, bullheaded,
> categorical, cocksure, confident, definite, despotic, determined,
> dictative, doctrinaire, domineering, downright, egotistical, emphatic,
> fanatical, fascistic, formal, iceman, imperious, intolerant, magisterial,
> narrow-minded, obdurate, obstinate, one-sided, oracular, overbearing,
> peremptory, pigheaded, prejudiced, red neck, stiff-necked, stubborn,
> stupid, tenacious, tyrannical, unequivocal, wrong-headed
>
> Antonyms: amenable, flexible, liberal-minded, open-minded,
> questioning, tolerant
>
> Concept: personality quality
>
> Source: Roget's Interactive Thesaurus, First Edition (v 1.0.0)
> Copyright © 2003 by Lexico Publishing Group, LLC. All rights reserved.
>
>

1793. Re: irlp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-13 04:32:11 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <gDwCb.1580$z74.949@okepread03>
Source record: 2740

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote
>
> That's right Gene... When the facts do not support your pet position or
> protocol, you can always go for the mindless personal attacks.

Unlike yourself, of course.

I predict that in 2004, a manufacturer will produce a ham radio that has
a built-in network Wi-Fi and that's how you will control the radio, and
pass audio to it. It will either be a proprietary application, or you will
use a Netmeeting type of vocoder software.

1794. Re: irlp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-13 07:40:38 UTC
From: "Dr. A.T. Squeegee" <SpammersArePondScum@Dev.Null>
Message-ID: <MPG.1a446255f7401243989b7f@192.168.42.131>
Source record: 2741

In article <gDwCb.1580$z74.949@okepread03>, nospam@cox.net says...

> "charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote
> >
> > That's right Gene... When the facts do not support your pet position or
> > protocol, you can always go for the mindless personal attacks.
>
> Unlike yourself, of course.
>
> I predict that in 2004, a manufacturer will produce a ham radio that has
> a built-in network Wi-Fi and that's how you will control the radio, and
> pass audio to it. It will either be a proprietary application, or you will
> use a Netmeeting type of vocoder software.

That's going a little too far. I would never buy such a device.

--
Dr. Anton Squeegee, Director, Dutch Surrealist Plumbing Institute
(Known to some as Bruce Lane, KC7GR)
kyrrin a/t bluefeathertech d-o=t c&o&m
Motorola Radio Programming & Service Available -
http://www.bluefeathertech.com/rf.html
"Quando Omni Flunkus Moritati" (Red Green)

1795. Looking for PK-88 commands reference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-13 13:05:50 UTC
From: Nate Bargmann <n0nb@networksplus.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2003.12.13.13.05.44.77346@networksplus.net>
Source record: 2742

Hi everyone.

A friend recently purchased a used PK-88 and I've been trying to find at least a current command reference for him. If anyone might have scanned this portion of the manual and would be willing to share, that'd be great. Or, if there is a URL I could find in Google, I'd appreciate that as well.

Thanks!

73, de Nate >>

--
Wireless | Amateur Radio Station N0NB | Successfully Microsoft
Internet | n0nb@networksplus.net | free since January 1998.
Location | Bremen, Kansas USA EM19ov | "Debian, the choice of
Amateur radio exams; ham radio; Linux info @ | a GNU generation!"
http://www.qsl.net/n0nb/ | http://www.debian.org

1796. Re: irlp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-13 14:12:40 UTC
From: Traveler <travman100@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <r6FCb.2$ui6.52886@news.abs.net>
Source record: 2743

As in most new developments there are some who cling to the old true and tried way...you know the biggest obstacle to progress is resistance to change. It's not just in basic things but it's true in science as well as just trying to get an employee to do a task in a production area different than the way he has been doing it for years.

Now, you argue that using echolink is not using HAM radio...I beg to differ. Just this morning while sitting at my computer I had a little rag chew with one gentlemen in Tucson who was walking around with his HT...was he using HAM radio....was I using HAM radio....was it pure HAM radio....the answer to the first two questions is yes and the answer to the last is NO. It was a marriage of HAM radio with the net. My opinion is that we will see much more progress in this area.
I guess another question to you is when I use one of those silver box sets from Kenwood, which has the circuits of the transceiver built into the silver box but no external controls....I have to use the computer to control the silver box...is that HAM radio?

73
Ray Herron
WA0LQT
"Dr. A.T. Squeegee" <SpammersArePondScum@Dev.Null> wrote in message news:MPG.1a42b500d1b3b238989b76@192.168.42.131...
> In article <FQ3Cb.13$x72.114359@news.abs.net>, travman100@yahoo.com
> says...
>
> > Charles,
> >
> > I see that you don't much care for progress....or are you just always
> > ornery...bet those who used smoke signals felt the same way when more
modern
> > forms of communication came along.
>
> <snippety>
>
> As ornery as Charles' reply was (yes, it could have been phrased
> much better) he does have one valid point: 'Internet' and 'Ham Radio'
> are two entirely different mediums. Always have been, always will be.
>
> IRLP, as I see it, is just a way to get repeater linking done
> independent of the restrictions of site-based link transceivers. After
> all, the 'L' in IRLP does stand for 'Linking.'
>
> In short: IRLP has its uses, but it will never replace true radio
> work.

>
>
> --
> Dr. Anton Squeegee, Director, Dutch Surrealist Plumbing Institute
> (Known to some as Bruce Lane, KC7GR)
> kyrrin a/t bluefeathertech d-o=t c&o&m
> Motorola Radio Programming & Service Available -
> http://www.bluefeathertech.com/rf.html
> "Quando Omni Flunkus Moritati" (Red Green)

1797. Re: DX Spotting Networks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-13 14:55:40 UTC
From: Keyboard In The Wilderness <KIYW@zilch.net>
Message-ID: <MLFCb.11456$m83.6752@fed1read01>
Source record: 2744

"Gene Storey" wrote in message
> Sounds like cheating.

Kinda -- but you still have to break the pileup -- and the pileup is almost instantaneous when the spot hits the reporting networks. with hundreds or thousands calling.

The purist abhors the spotting networks -- tunes and searches on his/her own. May take years to achieve DXCC Honor roll this way -- but many have done it. Sure helps to have a full legal limit amp and a 100 foot tower loaded with stacked long john beams.

But if DX spotting networks are cheating -- what about the DX Nets which spoon feed the hungry crowd ??

Ah DX IS !!!

And what about football -- where some spotter in the stands -- reports a weak spot in the other teams line ????
--
73 From The Wilderness Keyboard
"Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net> wrote in message
news:OEqCb.1618$pm5.11@okepread01...
> Sounds like cheating.
>
>
> "Keyboard In The Wilderness" <KIYW@zilch.net> wrote
> > DX spotting networks are via packet radio or over the internet with telnet.
> > Hams around the world report other DX stations freq, mode, etc. Used to spot
> > rare DX or during contests
> >
> > See URL for a sample:
> > http://oh2aq.kolumbus.com/dxs/oldlook.html?
> >
> > Some discussions at URL:
> > http://outadaloop.com/LIVE10.htm
> >
> > --
> > 73 From The Wilderness Keyboard
> > ==========
> > "Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net> wrote in message
> > news:JEeCb.648$z74.287@okepread03...
> > > "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote
> > > >

> > > >>
> > > What's a DX spotting network? I don't do contests, so
> > > I'm kind of ignorant about being a paper hanger.
>
>

1798. Re: Looking for PK-88 commands reference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-13 22:08:42 UTC
From: David Kelly <n4hhe@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <n4hhe-2F3D30.16084213122003@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 2745

In article <pan.2003.12.13.13.05.44.77346@networksplus.net>,
Nate Bargmann <n0nb@networksplus.net> wrote:

> Hi everyone.
>
> A friend recently purchased a used PK-88 and I've been trying to find at
> least a current command reference for him. If anyone might have scanned
> this portion of the manual and would be willing to share, that'd be great.
> Or, if there is a URL I could find in Google, I'd appreciate that as well.

Depends a lot on the version of firmware in the box otherwise its
exactly like any other licensed TAPR TNC-2 clone.

The main software difference in the clones was some had "personal
mailbox" extensions. Each brand with a personal mailbox did it
differently.

TAPR will sell a version 1.1.9 EPROM and manual to you for $15 + $6
shipping. http://www.tapr.org/

1799. Re: Looking for PK-88 commands reference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-14 01:50:06 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen <nielsen@removethis.oz.net>
Message-ID: <brgfkerbu0@216.39.144.81>
Source record: 2746

On Sat, 13 Dec 2003 16:08:42 -0600, David Kelly wrote:
> In article <pan.2003.12.13.13.05.44.77346@networksplus.net>,
> Nate Bargmann <n0nb@networksplus.net> wrote:
>
>> Hi everyone.
>>
>> A friend recently purchased a used PK-88 and I've been trying to find at
>> least a current command reference for him. If anyone might have scanned
>> this portion of the manual and would be willing to share, that'd be great.
>> Or, if there is a URL I could find in Google, I'd appreciate that as well.
>
> Depends a lot on the version of firmware in the box otherwise its
> exactly like any other licensed TAPR TNC-2 clone.
>
> The main software difference in the clones was some had "personal
> mailbox" extensions. Each brand with a personal mailbox did it
> differently.
>
> TAPR will sell a version 1.1.9 EPROM and manual to you for $15 + $6
> shipping. http://www.tapr.org/

That was the PK-87. The PK-88 is not compatible with TNC-2 firmware.
Timewave may be able to furnish a manual.

Bob, N7XY

1800. Re: irlp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-14 02:07:34 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <GBPCb.192085$Ec1.7090950@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 2747

"Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net> wrote in message
news:gDwCb.1580$z74.949@okepread03...
> "charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote
> >
> > That's right Gene... When the facts do not support your pet position or
> > protocol, you can always go for the mindless personal attacks.
>
> Unlike yourself, of course.
>
> I predict that in 2004, a manufacturer will produce a ham radio that has
> a built-in network Wi-Fi and that's how you will control the radio, and
> pass audio to it. It will either be a proprietary application, or you will
> use a Netmeeting type of vocoder software.

Good idea.
Use 13 cm. for the control link.

Oh wait, people are already doing that on many different
VHF / UHF / SHF bands.

Nothing to see here, move along.

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

1801. Re: irlp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-14 02:09:49 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <NDPCb.192093$Ec1.7091161@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 2748

"Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net> wrote in message
news:JEeCb.648$z74.287@okepread03...
> "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote
> >
> > I've done a bit of research over the past year.
> > Notes are in a folder in the left-hand desk drawer.
> > If you want to see them you have to come visit.
>
> Valuable research I'm sure...

I'll sell you some.

> > Pretty simple to check the callsigns (where the poster
> > actually admits to having a callsign) against databases
> > like the DX spotting networks.
>
> What's a DX spotting network? I don't do contests, so
> I'm kind of ignorant about being a paper hanger.

Where did I say anything about contests?
Seems you are ignorant about other things as well.
English for example ... you know ... "... like ...".

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

1802. Re: irlp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-14 02:11:59 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <PFPCb.192102$Ec1.7090353@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 2749

"Dr. A.T. Squeegee" <SpammersArePondScum@Dev.Null> wrote in message
news:MPG.1a430f04124c622d989b79@192.168.42.131...
> In article <tT9Cb.70181$Fx2.27594@newssvr25.news.prodigy.com>,
> n5pvl@sbcglobal.net says...
>
> > "Dr. A.T. Squeegee" <SpammersArePondScum@Dev.Null> wrote in message
> > news:MPG.1a42b500d1b3b238989b76@192.168.42.131...
> > >
> > > IRLP, as I see it, is just a way to get repeater linking done
> > > independent of the restrictions of site-based link transceivers.
> >
> > My feeling is that "hams" who feel that using radio is a "restriction"
> > should consider moving on to another hobby.
>
> Tell you what, Charles. When you come up with a method for making
> a link transceiver pair operate reliably, over a single hop, from, say,
> Seattle, Washington to Pensacola, Florida, you go ahead and let us all
> know, OK? Heck, QST will probably be clamoring for the article on it.
> ;-)
>
> As for moving on... Naaah. I've been part of the ham radio scene
> since 1977. I'm having a blast with it, and I'm not about to stop any
> time soon.
>
> There's balance to be found in everything. IRLP has its place, as
> does Echolink, but they will never replace radio as a communications
> medium. They are merely new tools to increase the usability of the radio
> medium.
>
> Methinks a couple of passages from 'The Amateur's Code' are
> appropriate here.
>
> -=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
>
> The Amateur is Progressive
>
> Keeping their station abreast of science.

Yup. Radio science ... you did understand that, right?

> It is well-built and efficient, and ALL operating practice is above
> reproach.

Yup. The Amateur uses radio.

> The Amateur is Friendly

Indeed!
Note that this forum is not radio.

> Slow and patient sending when requested,
> friendly advice and counsel to the beginner,
> kindly assistance, co-operation and consideration for the interests of
> others; these are marks of the amateur spirit.

Yes indeed.

> -=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
>
> Maybe you should have asked me what "restrictions" I was talking
> about before making snap assumptions, hmmmm? ;-)

What "restrictions" are you talking about?

> 73 de KC7GR

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

1803. Re: irlp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-14 02:20:26 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <KNPCb.192128$Ec1.7091521@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 2750

"Traveler" <travman100@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:r6FCb.2$ui6.52886@news.abs.net...
> As in most new developments there are some who cling to the old true and

What "new development"?

> tried way...you know the biggest obstacle to progress is resistance to
> change. It's not just in basic things but it's true in science as well as
> just trying to get an employee to do a task in a production area different
> than the way he has been doing it for years.

You, like many if not most of the IRLP appologists miss the point.

As with the digital networks, once you start rely on the crutch of
a commercial service to carry your "Ham Radio" communications,
slowly but certainly the Ham Radio portion will disappear.

> Now, you argue that using echolink is not using HAM radio...I beg to differ.

Beg all you like, you are still wrong.

The point was not "Are you using Ham Radio?" but rather "Did you
require the use of a commercial service to carry your communication?"

> Just this morning while sitting at my computer I had a little rag chew with
> one gentlemen in Tucson who was walking around with his HT...was he using
> HAM radio....was I using HAM radio....was it pure HAM radio....the answer to
> the first two questions is yes and the answer to the last is NO. It was a
> marriage of HAM radio with the net. My opinion is that we will see much more
> progress in this area.
> I guess another question to you is when I use one of those silver box sets
> from Kenwood, which has the circuits of the transceiver built into the
> silver box but no external controls....I have to use the computer to control
> the silver box...is that HAM radio?

Wrong question.
Just another stupid obfuscation.

> 73
> Ray Herron
> WA0LQT

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

1804. Re: irlp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-14 02:29:23 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <8WPCb.465$6I1.378@okepread03>
Source record: 2601

"Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote
> >
> > The Amateur is Progressive
> >
> > Keeping their station abreast of science.
>
> Yup. Radio science ... you did understand that, right?

Nope. Science. If they would have meant radio science,
they would have said radio science.

Stupid "dogmatic" shit.

1805. Re: Palm or pocket pc ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-14 06:00:45 UTC
From: 3YELTRABNHOJ@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3fdbfc27.28078813@News.Individual.NET>
Source record: 2602

On Fri, 14 Nov 2003 15:57:44 -0000, "TonyWard" <tonester1@cbuk.freeserve.co.uk>
wrote:

> wonder if there are any programmes for amature radio to TX/RX
> packet,SSTV,RTTY or CW....?

http://www.ac6v.com/software.htm#PALM
http://www.qsl.net/va3pkh/palm-ham.html
>
> I know there are a few to TX APRS just thought there may be some to do other
> modes.
>
> anyone know of any sites...
>
> thx in advance
> TONY
>
>

1806. Re: Looking for PK-88 commands reference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-14 16:15:18 UTC
From: Nate Bargmann <n0nb@networksplus.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2003.12.14.16.15.05.380744@networksplus.net>
Source record: 2603

On Sun, 14 Dec 2003 01:50:06 +0000, Bob Nielsen wrote:
> On Sat, 13 Dec 2003 16:08:42 -0600, David Kelly wrote:
>> TAPR will sell a version 1.1.9 EPROM and manual to you for $15 + $6
>> shipping. http://www.tapr.org/
>
> That was the PK-87. The PK-88 is not compatible with TNC-2 firmware.
> Timewave may be able to furnish a manual.

That was my impression, Even though the '88 used the Z80, it uses a
different Serial/IO chip which is incompatible with the TNC2 firmware.

Timewave doesn't show a manual available on their webpage, but does say to
contact them for anything not listed, so they may offer a copy.

http://hamradiomanuals.com does list a copy of the PK-88 manual for $18.
I found this after a better Google search.

Thanks for the tips.

73, de Nate >>

--
Wireless | Amateur Radio Station N0NB | Successfully Microsoft
Internet | n0nb@networksplus.net | free since January 1998.
Location | Bremen, Kansas USA EM19ov | "Debian, the choice of
Amateur radio exams; ham radio; Linux info @ | a GNU generation!"
http://www.qsl.net/n0nb/ | http://www.debian.org

1807. Relm WHS450 UHF (450-480)MHZ RADIO NR For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-15 15:44:23 UTC
From: Compsimple <compsimple@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <rFkDb.27355$Dt6.622835@twister.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 2604
Cross-posted to: in.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

For Sale no reserve. see ebay link below. This radio cost almost $500

and has never been used.

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3065770970

1808. you fucking hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-15 18:21:50 UTC
From: hamhtr@aol.com
Message-ID: <20031215132150.21716.00000595@mb-m26.aol.com>
Source record: 2605

Are usless pieces of flesh.

Just thought you should know!

1809. Re: you fucking hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-15 22:45:34 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <iQqDb.67$UK4.9@newssvr27.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 2606

"Hamhtr" <hamhtr@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20031215132150.21716.00000595@mb-m26.aol.com...
> Are usless pieces of flesh.
>
> Just thought you should know!

These people will sympathise with you. - You may even end up on the B.o.D. !

www.tapr.org

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

1810. Re: irlp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-16 01:33:26 UTC
From: Traveler <travman100@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <DgtDb.80$mf2.211373@news.abs.net>
Source record: 2607

You probably also think that all real HAMS should be using CW..... which by the way might just disappear as a license requirement within the next year or two

"Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message news:KNPCb.192128$Ec1.7091521@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "Traveler" <travman100@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:r6FCb.2$ui6.52886@news.abs.net...
> > As in most new developments there are some who cling to the old true and
>
> What "new development"?
>
> > tried way...you know the biggest obstacle to progress is resistance to
> > change. It's not just in basic things but it's true in science as well as
> > just trying to get an employee to do a task in a production area different
> > than the way he has been doing it for years.
>
> You, like many if not most of the IRLP appologists miss the point.
>
> As with the digital networks, once you start rely on the crutch of
> a commercial service to carry your "Ham Radio" communications,
> slowly but certainly the Ham Radio portion will disappear.
>
> > Now, you argue that using echolink is not using HAM radio...I beg to differ.
>
> Beg all you like, you are still wrong.
>
> The point was not "Are you using Ham Radio?" but rather "Did you
> require the use of a commercial service to carry your communication?"
>
> > Just this morning while sitting at my computer I had a little rag chew with
> > one gentlemen in Tucson who was walking around with his HT...was he using
> > HAM radio....was I using HAM radio....was it pure HAM radio....the answer to
> > the first two questions is yes and the answer to the last is NO. It was a
> > marriage of HAM radio with the net. My opinion is that we will see much more

> > progress in this area.
> > I guess another question to you is when I use one of those silver box
sets
> > from Kenwood, which has the circuits of the transceiver built into the
> > silver box but no external controls....I have to use the computer to
control
> > the silver box...is that HAM radio?
>
> Wrong question.
> Just another stupid obfuscation.
>
> > 73
> > Ray Herron
> > WA0LQT
>
> --
>
> ... Hank
>
> Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
>
>

1811. Re: irlp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-16 01:51:28 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <AytDb.88$4o6.18@newssvr27.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 2608

"Traveler" <travman100@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:DgtDb.80$mf2.211373@news.abs.net...
> You probably also think that all real HAMS should be using CW..... which
by
> the way might just disappear as a license requirement within the next year
> or two

Another moron who sees the Internet as the latest advance in radio
technology.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL
Director: USPacket.Net
http://www.uspacket.net
n5pvl@uspacket.net

1812. Re: irlp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-16 06:29:21 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <5DxDb.201695$Ec1.7362952@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 2609

You have no clue what I think. Which simply shows that
you are not paying much attention to Ham Radio.

Perhaps you could attempt to answer my simple question,
or is that too hard for you?

> > What "new development"?

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

"Traveler" <travman100@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:DgtDb.80$mf2.211373@news.abs.net...
> You probably also think that all real HAMS should be using CW..... which by
> the way might just disappear as a license requirement within the next year
> or two
>
> "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
> news:KNPCb.192128$Ec1.7091521@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >
> > "Traveler" <travman100@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:r6FCb.2$ui6.52886@news.abs.net...
> > > As in most new developments there are some who cling to the old true and
> >
> > What "new development"?
> >
> > > tried way...you know the biggest obstacle to progress is resistance to
> > > change. It's not just in basic things but it's true in science as well
> as
> > > just trying to get an employee to do a task in a production area
> different
> > > than the way he has been doing it for years.
> >
> > You, like many if not most of the IRLP appologists miss the point.
> >
> > As with the digital networks, once you start rely on the crutch of
> > a commercial service to carry your "Ham Radio" communications,
> > slowly but certainly the Ham Radio portion will disappear.
> >
> > > Now, you argue that using echolink is not using HAM radio...I beg to
> differ.
> >

> > Beg all you like, you are still wrong.
> >
> > The point was not "Are you using Ham Radio?" but rather "Did you
> > require the use of a commercial service to carry your communication?"
> >
> > > Just this morning while sitting at my computer I had a little rag chew
> with
> > > one gentlemen in Tucson who was walking around with his HT...was he
> using
> > > HAM radio....was I using HAM radio....was it pure HAM radio....the
> answer to
> > > the first two questions is yes and the answer to the last is NO. It was
> a
> > > marriage of HAM radio with the net. My opinion is that we will see much
> more
> > > progress in this area.
> > > I guess another question to you is when I use one of those silver box
> sets
> > > from Kenwood, which has the circuits of the transceiver built into the
> > > silver box but no external controls....I have to use the computer to
> control
> > > the silver box...is that HAM radio?
> >
> > Wrong question.
> > Just another stupid obfuscation.
> >
> > > 73
> > > Ray Herron
> > > WA0LQT
> >
> > --
> >
> > ... Hank
> >
> > Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> > W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
> >
> >
>
>

1813. Re: irlp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-16 12:04:51 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <ExCDb.2070$6l1.233@okepread03>
Source record: 2610

"Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote
>
> Perhaps you could attempt to answer my simple question,
> or is that too hard for you?
>
> > > What "new development"?

What you are trying to say, is that there is nothing new. It's not really a question, it is an indictment. One of your continuing themes in this group has been that you know what is good for everyone, and that your "religion" is the correct one, and everyone else is going to "hell".

IRLP is nothing more than a solution to a need, that gives people an added joy to their hobby. Like packet radio, it will probably be fun for a few years, and then people will drop it and go off to something that is more fun/different.

A good friend of mine used to say "I don't build repeaters anymore, because it attracts the wrong people to the hobby." Packet radio is the same problem. The people it attracts don't even care to adjust their hardware.

IRLP will attract the wrong people to the hobby, and the people who built it will go off and start a new project.

It's nothing to get upset over.

1814. Re: irlp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-16 13:23:37 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <tHDDb.72046$xT.56458@newssvr25.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 2611

"Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net> wrote in message
news:ExCDb.2070$6l1.233@okepread03...
>
> It's nothing to get upset over.

You keep forgetting Gene that some hams actually do care about the hobby.
You can try to project your hostile, anti-ham attitude upon other hams, but
fortunately most hams are better people than you are, and the anti-ham
attitude just doesn't stick.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL
Director: USPacket.Net
http://www.uspacket.net
n5pvl@uspacket.net

1815. What is IRLP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-16 20:40:33 UTC
From: Joseph Fenn <jfenn@lava.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.BSI.4.58.0312161034430.12124@malasada.lava.net>
Source record: 2612

"International Radio Loophole"??
I have been a ham since sophomore year of high school (68) years
now and I dont get into this newsgroup too often. In fact dont
get on the Ham Bands very often either as all my time as a
MARS volunteer keeps me too busy. Being Hi State Coordinator
for ARMY MARS is totally time consumeing. So now I have posted
at least one msg to this group, albeit time is a waseting and I
got a stack of EMAIL to be handled to Schoffield Barracks.
Joe/ABM6JF/KH6JF

****
* Ham KH6JF AARS/MARS ABM6JF QCWA WW2 VET WD RADIO *
****

1816. Re: What is IRLP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-16 21:11:04 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20031216161104.29517.00000304@mb-m02.aol.com>
Source record: 2613

Assuming you want to know what IRLP is (you never actually asked it in your message, only in the subject), it stands for Internet Radio Linking Project. It allows repeaters around the world to be linked via the internet. If you do an internet search for IRLP, you will undoubtedly find loads of stuff on it.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB - WPYI880 (GMRS)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com

1817. Re: What is IRLP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-16 22:59:58 UTC
From: "James R. DeLoach" <jasd-49X@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ch3vtvgujn2m1fgedshgj6slac10m84dib@4ax.com>
Source record: 2614

On Tue, 16 Dec 2003 10:40:33 -1000, Joseph Fenn <jfenn@lava.net>
wrote:

>"International Radio Loophole"??
>I have been a ham since sophomore year of high school (68) years
>now and I dont get into this newsgroup too often. In fact dont
>get on the Ham Bands very often either as all my time as a
>MARS volunteer keeps me too busy. Being Hi State Coordinator
>for ARMY MARS is totally time consumeing. So now I have posted
>at least one msg to this group, albeit time is a waseting and I
>got a stack of EMAIL to be handled to Schoffield Barracks.
> Joe/ABM6JF/KH6JF
>
>
>**
>* Ham KH6JF AARS/MARS ABM6JF QCWA WW2 VET WD RADIO *
>**
Check out their web site at: http://www.irlp.net/

Regards,

James

Note: To reply by email, remove the "X" in the reply to address.

.....
Posted via TITANnews - Uncensored Newsgroups Access
>>>> at http://www.TitanNews.com <<<<
-=Every Newsgroup - Anonymous, UNCENSORED, BROADBAND Downloads=-

1818. Re: irlp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-17 00:30:04 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <gsNDb.2103$6l1.1387@okepread03>
Source record: 2615

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote
>
> You keep forgetting Gene that some hams actually do care about the hobby.

Name five.

> You can try to project your hostile, anti-ham attitude upon other hams, but
> fortunately most hams are better people than you are, and the anti-ham
> attitude just doesn't stick.

You wouldn't know a ham at a convention of hams. You are probably the
biggest asshole on Earth, and I've never ever heard one ham say anything
nice about you. Why is that?

I'm about as anti-ham as Hiram Maxim was (a real ham, who would be rolling
over in his grave at what the ARRL is doing in his name). Real hams aren't
sheep. You're sheep.

1819. ILRP ECHO/LINK SAME?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-17 02:38:21 UTC
From: Joseph Fenn <jfenn@lava.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.BSI.4.58.0312161635540.2079@malasada.lava.net>
Source record: 2616

Andy
Is that ILRP related in any way to ECHO/LINK? I have used that
several times since there is a 2meter rptr about 2 blocks from
my QTH. Talked to ARCTIC CIRCLE/Germany/England etc with
about 5 watts output from my Azden rig.
Joe (aka kilroy)

****
* Ham KH6JF AARS/MARS ABM6JF QCWA WW2 VET WD RADIO *
****

1820. Re: irlp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-17 03:01:09 UTC
From: Traveler <travman100@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <QEPDb.26$Wh4.210407@news.abs.net>
Source record: 2617

Gene,

Do you have any idea why the name calling by this fellow? Most HAMs I know
are considerate of others opinions or at least will discuss with a civil
tone but Charlesb seems to be ignorant when it comes to discussing ideas.
What's his problem?

"Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net> wrote in message
news:gsNDb.2103$6l1.1387@okepread03...
> "charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote
> >
> > You keep forgetting Gene that some hams actually do care about the
hobby.
>
> Name five.
>
> > You can try to project your hostile, anti-ham attitude upon other hams,
but
> > fortunately most hams are better people than you are, and the anti-ham
> > attitude just doesn't stick.
>
> You wouldn't know a ham at a convention of hams. You are probably the
> biggest asshole on Earth, and I've never ever heard one ham say anything
> nice about you. Why is that?
>
> I'm about as anti-ham as Hiram Maxim was (a real ham, who would be rolling
> over in his grave at what the ARRL is doing in his name). Real hams
aren't
> sheep. You're sheep.
>
>

1821. Re: irlp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-17 04:17:48 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <MNQDb.205709$Ec1.7471657@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 2618

"Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net> wrote in message
news:ExCDb.2070$6l1.233@okepread03...
> "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote
> >
> > Perhaps you could attempt to answer my simple question,
> > or is that too hard for you?
> >
> > > > What "new development"?
>
> What you are trying to say, is that there is nothing new. It's not really a
> question, it is an indictment. One of your continuing themes in this group
> has been that you know what is good for everyone, and that your "religion"
> is the correct one, and everyone else is going to "hell".

Care to tell us just what this "new development" is?
Too hard for you, eh?

> IRLP is nothing more than a solution to a need, that gives people an
> added joy to their hobby. Like packet radio, it will probably be fun for
> a few years, and then people will drop it and go off to something that is
> more fun/different.

Just like leased phone line linked receivers / transmitters
way back in the early 1950s. Like I said ... what's new here?

> A good friend of mine used to say "I don't build repeaters anymore, because
> it attracts the wrong people to the hobby." Packet radio is the same
problem.
> The people it attracts don't even care to adjust their hardware.

Eh?

> IRLP will attract the wrong people to the hobby, and the people who built it
> will go off and start a new project.

Sure, so what?

> It's nothing to get upset over.

Who's upset? I'm not.
Just asked some questions.

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

1822. Re: irlp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-17 04:19:08 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <0PQDb.205715$Ec1.7472851@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 2619

"Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net> wrote in message
news:gsNDb.2103$6l1.1387@okepread03...
> "charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote
> >
> > You keep forgetting Gene that some hams actually do care about the hobby.
>
> Name five.

Why?

> > You can try to project your hostile, anti-ham attitude upon other hams, but
> > fortunately most hams are better people than you are, and the anti-ham
> > attitude just doesn't stick.
>
> You wouldn't know a ham at a convention of hams. You are probably the
> biggest asshole on Earth, and I've never ever heard one ham say anything
> nice about you. Why is that?

Ya gonna answer my simple question?

> I'm about as anti-ham as Hiram Maxim was (a real ham, who would be rolling
> over in his grave at what the ARRL is doing in his name). Real hams aren't
> sheep. You're sheep.

Real hams answer simple question asked by other hams.
Real hams use their callsign. You have one?

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

1823. Re: What is IRLP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-17 04:21:08 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <UQQDb.205720$Ec1.7473406@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 2620

IRLP is linking repeaters via commercial carriers.
Been done for at least 50 years, no big deal.

Oh ... yeah ... 50 years ago what was linked were not
called "repeaters" ... but anyone who knows the history
of ham radio is aware of that detail.

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

"Joseph Fenn" <jfenn@lava.net> wrote in message
news:Pine.BSI.4.58.0312161034430.12124@malasada.lava.net...
> "International Radio Loophole"??
> I have been a ham since sophomore year of high school (68) years
> now and I dont get into this newsgroup too often. In fact dont
> get on the Ham Bands very often either as all my time as a
> MARS volunteer keeps me too busy. Being Hi State Coordinator
> for ARMY MARS is totally time consumeing. So now I have posted
> at least one msg to this group, albeit time is a waseting and I
> got a stack of EMAIL to be handled to Schoffield Barracks.
> Joe/ABM6JF/KH6JF
>
>
> **
> * Ham KH6JF AARS/MARS ABM6JF QCWA WW2 VET WD RADIO *
> **
>

1824. Re: ILRP ECHO/LINK SAME?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-17 04:22:23 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <3SQDb.205724$Ec1.7474298@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 2621

Exact same thing.

Using commercial services to connect ham radio
systems together, so hams can pretend to work DX :-)

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

"Joseph Fenn" <jfenn@lava.net> wrote in message
news:Pine.BSI.4.58.0312161635540.2079@malasada.lava.net...
> Andy
> Is that ILRP related in any way to ECHO/LINK? I have used that
> several times since there is a 2meter rptr about 2 blocks from
> my QTH. Talked to ARCTIC CIRCLE/Germany/England etc with
> about 5 watts output from my Azden rig.
> Joe (aka kilroy)
>
>
> **
> * Ham KH6JF AARS/MARS ABM6JF QCWA WW2 VET WD RADIO *
> **
>

1825. Re: ILRP ECHO/LINK SAME?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-17 06:04:38 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20031217010438.26181.00000956@mb-m15.aol.com>
Source record: 2622

>Is that ILRP related in any way to ECHO/LINK?

IRLP links repeaters via the internet. This allows local repeater users to talk
to repeater users from around the world from their HT, mobile and base radios.

Echolink allows hams use their computers to talk on repeaters around the world.
No radio required.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB - WPYI880 (GMRS)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com

1826. Re: ILRP ECHO/LINK SAME?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-17 12:26:11 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20031217072611.12223.00001214@mb-m05.aol.com>
Source record: 2623

>Echolink allows hams use their computers to talk on repeaters around the
>world.
>No radio required.

One other thing - with Echolink you can talk to other hams on their computers
without the need of any radios.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB - WPYI880 (GMRS)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com

1827. Re: What is IRLP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-17 12:43:53 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <dcYDb.2140$6l1.703@okepread03>
Source record: 2624

You are making powerful contributions to this thread!

"Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote
>
> ... but anyone who knows the history
> of ham radio is aware of that detail.

1828. Re: What is IRLP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-17 12:47:24 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <wfYDb.40980$9E4.13904@newssvr29.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 2625

"Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net> wrote in message
news:dcYDb.2140$6l1.703@okepread03...
> You are making powerful contributions to this thread!
>
> "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote
> >
> > ... but anyone who knows the history
> > of ham radio is aware of that detail.
>

Any legitimate ham with a callsign is way ahead of a miserable non-ham,
anti-ham Troll like yourself.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL
Director: USPacket.Net
http://www.uspacket.net
n5pvl@uspacket.net

1829. Re: irlp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-17 12:52:50 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <DkYDb.2141$6l1.581@okepread03>
Source record: 2626

"Traveler" <travman100@yahoo.com> wrote
>
> Charlesb seems to be ignorant when it comes to discussing ideas.
> What's his problem?

I suspect either a chemical imbalance in the brain, or substance abuse. He's chosen a fringe part of the hobby and built a box that he can't get out of. So when people walk by the box he can either scream for help, or make mouse like noises to try and scare them. So far he's not asked for help. He's the "director" after all, and couldn't ask for help now, because he is too important.

1830. Re: irlp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-17 13:01:49 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <2tYDb.2142$6l1.541@okepread03>
Source record: 2627

"Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote
> "Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net> wrote
> > "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote
> > >
> > > Perhaps you could attempt to answer my simple question,
> > > or is that too hard for you?
> > >
> > > > > What "new development"?
> >
> > What you are trying to say, is that there is nothing new. It's not really a
> > question, it is an indictment. One of your continuing themes in this group
> > has been that you know what is good for everyone, and that your "religion"
> > is the correct one, and everyone else is going to "hell".
>
> Care to tell us just what this "new development" is?
> Too hard for you, eh?

There's been a couple new developments since the 50's. The first is virtually free VOIP (Voice over IP) vocoders and the Internet. Combining these two into a peer to peer network of voice repeaters while functionally similar to things done in the past, is technically a new development. It's not just plug and play, someone had to develop the software/hardware solution.

eh?

1831. Re: irlp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-17 13:06:48 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <IxYDb.2143$6l1.1046@okepread03>
Source record: 2628

"Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote
>
> Real hams answer simple question asked by other hams.
> Real hams use their callsign. You have one?

I don't understand your fixation with "real hams." I guess if
I did, I'd be as mentally ill as you are.

P.S. this forum doesn't require FCC ID. If you want to know
my callsign, look it up in qrz.com. Even a moron could work
his way out of that paper bag.

1832. Re: ILRP ECHO/LINK SAME?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-17 13:17:12 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <tHYDb.2144$6l1.424@okepread03>
Source record: 2629

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme> wrote
>
> One other thing - with Echolink you can talk to other hams on their computers
> without the need of any radios.

While possible, that's not the main purpose. Echolink was developed because
IRLP is designed to be a closed system. The Echolink people wanted a
system that allowed *any* ham to register and link their radio.

1833. Re: ILRP ECHO/LINK SAME?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-17 13:50:55 UTC
From: Alex Flinsch <alex@localhost.localdomain>
Message-ID: <slrnbu0nt8.9q1.alex@homer.linux1.lan>
Source record: 2630

In article <Pine.BSI.4.58.0312161635540.2079@malasada.lava.net>,
Joseph Fenn wrote:
> Andy
> Is that ILRP related in any way to ECHO/LINK? I have used that
> several times since there is a 2meter rptr about 2 blocks from

both are related in the fact that they each use VOIP to link repeaters over the internet.

IRLP requires an actual radio link to the "input" repeater, while EchoLink allows for hams to connect to a repeater using a radio or directly over the internet using the microphone on their computer.

I do not consider the use of either system as working "DX", but rather as alternate methods of linking repeaters together, or a different means of remote operation in the case of EchoLink.

Alex / AB2RC

1834. Re: What is IRLP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-17 14:29:34 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <jLZDb.2147$6l1.2078@okepread03>
Source record: 2631

"charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net> wrote
> "Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net> wrote
> >
> > You are making powerful contributions to this thread!
> >
> > "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote
> > >
> > > ... but anyone who knows the history
> > > of ham radio is aware of that detail.
>
> Any legitimate ham with a callsign is way ahead of a miserable non-ham

Illogical.

I can think of 42 synonyms to describe your thesis:

Entry: illogical
Function: adjective
Definition: absurd
Synonyms: casuistic, cockeyed, dopey, fallacious, false, far out, fatuous, faulty, groundless, hollow, implausible, inconclusive, incongruous, inconsequent, inconsistent, incorrect, invalid, irrational, irrelevant, mad, meaningless, not following, nutty, preposterous, screwy, self-contradictory, senseless, sophistic, sophistical, specious, spurious, unconnected, unproved, unreasonable, unscientific, unsound, unsubstantial, untenable, wacky, without basis, without foundation
Concept: improbability
Source: Roget's Interactive Thesaurus, First Edition (v 1.0.0)
Copyright © 2003 by Lexico Publishing Group, LLC. All rights reserved.

1835. Pactor Calling Freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-18 02:09:15 UTC
From: rh3x@erols.com
Message-ID: <uo02uv8os0k94h00839vlj41repnnncemq@4ax.com>
Source record: 2632

Are there any Pactor QSO calling freqs anymore -or- is it now all automated message passing? Has been about 5 years since I was last on Pactor (or Amtor).

Tks
de Rich/N2JR

1836. Why so much hostility towards IRLP?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-18 07:53:03 UTC
From: alt <spamtrap@gwsn.com>
Message-ID: <z1dEb.739202$6C4.681845@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 2633

Why is there so much hostility towards IRLP?

I realize that it's not real DX, but isn't amateur radio and the Internet partly about connecting people? Why is there so much hostility towards exploring radio-related technology like IRLP (which is also a part of ham radio)?

Personally, I find the whole concept facinating. I'm also looking at the IPARN (http://www.iparn.ca/) (Inter-provincial Amateur Radio Network) who's goal is to connect repeaters in every Canadian province via Satellite (due to funding shortfalls, they're utilizing an IRLP-like system utilizing the internet).

I guess I just see it all as exploring technology and the Amateur Radio portion creates unique opportunities to expand that exploration.

--
Donovan Hill

1837. Re: Why so much hostility towards IRLP?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-18 10:35:07 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <xpfEb.2188$6l1.1526@okepread03>
Source record: 2634

It's sort of like the ford and chevy argument.

"alt" <spamtrap@gwsn.com> wrote
> Why is there so much hostility towards IRLP?

1838. Re: Why so much hostility towards IRLP?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-18 12:39:09 UTC
From: charlesb <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <NdhEb.72555$Ad.8298@newssvr25.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 2635

"Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net> wrote in message
news:xpfEb.2188$6l1.1526@okepread03...

> It's sort of like the ford and chevy argument.

I suppose it might seem that way, if you were a clueless moron. - Implying that the Internet and Radio were two interchangeable aspects of the amateur radio hobby and that there really is no difference between ham radio and commercial, non-ham stuff. - So why not just get on the Internet and call it Ham Radio?

> > Why is there so much hostility towards IRLP?

It's because there are so many hams who are too intelligent to go for Gene's alleged "reasoning" in this matter.

They see the damage it does to the hobby and unlike Gene, they care.

Charles Brabham, N5PVL
Director: USPacket.Net
http://www.uspacket.net
n5pvl@uspacket.net

1839. FS: Buxcom TNC to Icom cables

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-18 14:00:23 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamme
Message-ID: <20031218090023.26136.00001082@mb-m15.aol.com>
Source record: 2636

I have for sale 2 cables from Buxcomm, never used, still in the original packaging. I bought these for projects that I never did. I have the following cables: (5DI8MX) TNC with 5 pin DIN plug to Icom 8 pin Mic and ext speaker jacks (KVI8MX) Kantronics 9 pin radio port (plug is a 9 pin male serial plug) to Icom 8 pin Mic and ext speaker jacks. Best offer.

=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-

73! de Andy KC2SSB - WPYI880 (GMRS)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com

1840. RS232 "relay board" sources?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-18 16:23:47 UTC
From: Rick Karlquist N6RK <richard@karlquist.removethis.com>
Message-ID: <nwkEb.587927$Tr4.1560485@attbi_s03>
Source record: 2637

I'm interested in controlling my station via an RS232 bus and am
in the market for "relay boards." IE, send RS232 commands and
open and close relays (or TTL outputs). Also want some inputs
to send alarms (like high SWR) back. I searched on the net and
found that numerous companies make "me-too" relay boards.
They tend to all be 8 relays and 4 inputs for about $100.
If you want 16 relays, you buy two of these for $100 each.
Actually, I'd rather have all TTL outputs and no relays, but I haven't
found any boards like that.

I'm wondering if anyone has had good or bad feedback about
vendors of these things. Also, would appreciate hearing about
any cheaper solutions than $10 per I/O pin. Any sources of
preprogrammed PIC or similar ucontrollers I can use to make
my own? It would seem that one PIC chip could replace one
of these relay boards (assuming I don't need relays). Unfortunately,
I don't have time to become a PIC programmer.

Rick N6RK
email: richard "at" karlquist "dot" com

1841. Echolink Port settings for DLink 704?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-18 16:37:19 UTC
From: Spud <nospam.davidaanderson@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <3JkEb.744218$6C4.465247@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 2638

I'm on cable with a router and Windows XP

I believe I have my XP firewall configured properly, infact I have tried to connect to the test station with it ON and OFF to no avail (I do see my connect attempt displayed on the Echolink screen but my connection fails).

The echolink help files show how to setup router port permissions on the Dlink 604 but the Dlink 704 setup screens are very different and don't seem to indicate weather or not I'm opening up the ports in both directions or not.

Anybody know what I'm doing wrong here?
Thanks de-ve7ifd@rac.ca remove the "de-"

--
Spud: davidaanderson and that would be at shaw and a dot then ca

1842. Re: Why so much hostility towards IRLP?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-18 17:10:37 UTC
From: "James R. DeLoach" <jasd-49X@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <qcn3uvg5s82r5sdom4d5c58a0t37rrsmh1@4ax.com>
Source record: 2639

On Thu, 18 Dec 2003 12:39:09 GMT, "charlesb" <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>
>"Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net> wrote in message
>news:xpfEb.2188$6l1.1526@okepread03...
>
>> It's sort of like the ford and chevy argument.
>
>I suppose it might seem that way, if you were a clueless moron. - Implying
>that the Internet and Radio were two interchangeable aspects of the amateur
>radio hobby and that there really is no difference between ham radio and
>commercial, non-ham stuff. - So why not just get on the Internet and call it
>Ham Radio?
>
>> > Why is there so much hostility towards IRLP?
>
>It's because there are so many hams who are too intelligent to go for Gene's
>alleged "reasoning" in this matter.
>
>They see the damage it does to the hobby and unlike Gene, they care.
>
What damage? If anything it will maybe help save the hobby which
is little, by little dying. If the hobby doesn't modernize and change
then it will die. Young people don't see or care for CW, but with
computers, they do see a way to communicate and are trying to
bring people into the modern era of ham radio. It's the old timers
that keep resisting change that are going to kill the hobby and
if they keep bashing the younger people that are finding new
ways to communicate via computer/radio, they will leave and
the FCC will continue to take the frequencies that ham radio
uses away since they are not being used, mainly VHF & UHF.

Regards,

James

Note: To reply by email, remove the "X" in the reply to address.

.....
Posted via TITANnews - Uncensored Newsgroups Access
>>>> at http://www.TitanNews.com <<<<
-=Every Newsgroup - Anonymous, UNCENSORED, BROADBAND Downloads=-

1843. Re: Why so much hostility towards IRLP?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-18 19:35:44 UTC
From: Steve Stone <spfleck@zzcitlinkzz.net>
Message-ID: <kknEb.24113$Vj2.10086@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 2640

> >> > Why is there so much hostility towards IRLP?
>> >
> What damage? If anything it will maybe help save the hobby which
> is little, by little dying.

The purists are out against anything that intermingles and supplements amateur RADIO communications with commercial RADIO and NON RADIO networks no matter how much it enhances the end product of VHF/UHF Amateur Radio.

If you can talk from here to there on VHF using neat tricks why bother taking tests to get on HF ?

IRLP and Echolink are Amateur Radio blasphemy to the puritans.

To me, its all neat stuff.. even the HF. Part of being interested in overall communications technology rather than a narrow sampling of what is available. To each his own.

Steve
N2UBP

1844. Re: Why so much hostility towards IRLP?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-18 20:51:07 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <%qoEb.212560$Ec1.7661959@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 2641

"Steve Stone" <spfleck@zzcitlinkzz.net> wrote in message
news:kknEb.24113$Vj2.10086@news01.roc.ny...
> > >> > Why is there so much hostility towards IRLP?
> >> >
> > What damage? If anything it will maybe help save the hobby which
> > is little, by little dying.
>
>
> The purists are out against anything that intermingles and supplements
> amateur RADIO communications with commercial RADIO and NON RADIO networks no
> matter how much it enhances the end product of VHF/UHF Amateur Radio.

Really?
Perhaps you have not been reading what folks have written.
In any case, tell us all about that enhancement.
How many new licensees has IRLP brought into the hobby?
What bands and modes do they use?
Same questions for EchoLink.
Where did you get your data?

> If you can talk from here to there on VHF using neat tricks why bother
> taking tests to get on HF ?

Ah. You do understand a little bit.

> IRLP and Echolink are Amateur Radio blasphemy to the puritans.

The proper term is "stupidity and ignorance."

> To me, its all neat stuff.. even the HF. Part of being interested in overall
> communications technology rather than a narrow sampling of what is
> available. To each his own.

Have fun!

> Steve
> N2UBP

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

1845. Re: Why so much hostility towards IRLP?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-18 21:43:32 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <9cpEb.2196$6l1.967@okepread03>
Source record: 2642

"Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote
>
> The proper term is "stupidity and ignorance."

So VOIP is stupid, and the people who do computer networking are
ignorant.

Right...

1846. Re: Why so much hostility towards IRLP?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-19 00:15:31 UTC
From: "Dr. A.T. Squeegee" <SpammersArePondScum@Dev.Null>
Message-ID: <MPG.1a4be2fd6b632e49989b9e@192.168.42.131>
Source record: 2643

In article <z1dEb.739202$6C4.681845@pd7tw1no>, spamtrap@gwsn.com says...

> Why is there so much hostility towards IRLP?

<snippety>

I'm not hostile to it. Quite the contrary, I see it as a valuable
tool for linking repeaters where regular RF-type links are not
practical.

> I realize that it's not real DX, but isn't amateur radio and the Internet
> partly about connecting people? Why is there so much hostility towards
> exploring radio-related technology like IRLP (which is also a part of ham
> radio)?

It's a part of it, but it's not really 'radio-related' because it
depends on the Internet (read: wirelines) for connectivity. The closest
it comes to being radio-related is that some Internet links go over
microwave or satellite relays, and it's still the same TCP/IP protocols.

> I guess I just see it all as exploring technology and the Amateur Radio
> portion creates unique opportunities to expand that exploration.

Indeed it does. Good to see that someone's not deathly afraid that
it'll corrupt the hobby.

--
Dr. Anton Squeegee, Director, Dutch Surrealist Plumbing Institute
(Known to some as Bruce Lane, KC7GR)
kyrrin a/t bluefeathertech d-o=t c&o&m
Motorola Radio Programming & Service Available -
http://www.bluefeathertech.com/rf.html
"Quando Omni Flunkus Moritati" (Red Green)

1847. Re: Why so much hostility towards IRLP?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-19 01:14:15 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <HhsEb.213345$Ec1.7682387@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 2644

"Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net> wrote in message
news:9cpEb.2196$6l1.967@okepread03...
> "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote
> >
> > The proper term is "stupidity and ignorance."
>
> So VOIP is stupid, and the people who do computer networking are
> ignorant.

Those who quote partial comments are certainly both ...

Ashamed of your callsign?

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

1848. Re: Why so much hostility towards IRLP?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-19 01:35:24 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <yBsEb.2223$6I1.61@okepread03>
Source record: 2645

"Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote
> "Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net> wrote
> > "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote
> > >
> > > The proper term is "stupidity and ignorance."
> >
> > So VOIP is stupid, and the people who do computer networking are
> > ignorant.
>
> Those who quote partial comments are certainly both ...

You've been telling us for a week that "real" hams don't use VOIP, and
"real" hams don't use the networking, so I don't see how this can be considered
a partial quote.

1849. Re: Why so much hostility towards IRLP?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-19 05:05:48 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <MGvEb.214042$Ec1.7704854@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 2646

"Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net> wrote in message
news:yBsEb.2223$6l1.61@okepread03...
> "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote
> > "Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net> wrote
> > > "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote
> > > >
> > > > The proper term is "stupidity and ignorance."
> > >
> > > So VOIP is stupid, and the people who do computer networking are
> > > ignorant.
> >
> > Those who quote partial comments are certainly both ...
>
> You've been telling us for a week that "real" hams don't use VOIP, and

A week?
You really have NOT been paying attention!

> "real" hams don't use the networking, so I don't see how this can be
considered
> a partial quote.

Your callsign please, or are you ashamed of it?

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

1850. Re: Why so much hostility towards IRLP?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-19 05:12:34 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <6NvEb.214064$Ec1.7705493@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 2647

"Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net> wrote in message
news:yBsEb.2223$6l1.61@okepread03...
> "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote
> > "Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net> wrote
> > > "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote
> > > >
> > > > The proper term is "stupidity and ignorance."
> > >
> > > So VOIP is stupid, and the people who do computer networking are
> > > ignorant.
> >
> > Those who quote partial comments are certainly both ...
>
> You've been telling us for a week that "real" hams don't use VOIP, and

I have never mentioned VOIP.

> "real" hams don't use the networking, so I don't see how this can be
considered

I have never mentioned networking.

> a partial quote.

Simple, you failed to quote the whole article.

Bet you are a CBer, here to bug the hams, just like 10 and 12 meters.

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

1851. WinWarbler update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-19 05:25:47 UTC
From: "Dave, AA6YQ" <aa6yq@ambersoft.com>
Message-ID: <vZvEb.426274$275.1302934@attbi_s53>
Source record: 2648

WinWarbler is a free Windows application that supports multi-channel PSK31 and PSK63 via PC soundcard, as well as RTTY via soundcard and/or an external modem (e.g. KAM, PK-232). Soundcard PSK is accomplished using Moe AE4JY's PSKCORE engine, and soundcard RTTY is accomplished using Mako JE3HHT's MMTTY engine.

WinWarbler is a member of the DXLab Suite; it can be run independently, but when other DXLab applications are running, WinWarbler will automatically interoperate with them:

- Commander: provides transceiver control for most Elecraft, FlexRadio, Icom, Kenwood, TenTec, and Yaesu transceivers

- DXKeeper: provides full-function logging, progress reporting, duplicate-checking, and QSL management (independently tracks each QSO's confirmation by paper, eQSL.cc, and LotW)

- DXView: displays a live world map bearing station locations, the solar terminator, and beam heading (controls most PC-connected rotators)

- SpotCollector: captures, announces, and analyzes DX and WWV spots from up to 4 telnet clusters, the DX Summit web cluster, and a local packet cluster

- PropView: generates graphical propagation forecasts (using the included IONCAP engine) and monitors IARU/NCDXF HF propagation beacons

- Pathfinder: provides instant access to more than 100 web-accessible sources of QSL route information

- Launcher: initiates or terminates a specified set of DXLab applications with a single click

WinWarbler is under continuous development; during the last year, there were 81 releases bearing 119 enhancements, many suggested by the user community. Given this rate of evolution, I do not post "new release available" messages on reflectors other than DXLab. Its been awhile since the last announcement here, so I thought I'd summarize the major improvements:

1. up to 32 general purpose macros can be defined, plus a macro that is executed on entry to PSK and a macro that is executed on entry to RTTY

2. many new macro operators have been defined, including a "Last QSO" macro that automatically extracts the appropriate information from the DXKeeper log.

3. PSK63 support

4. rapid switching between PSK and RTTY

5. one-click Main window MMTTY profile selection (standard RTTY, fluttered signals, multipath, etc)

6. one-click upload to eQSL.cc

7. broadband decoding

When broadband decoding is enabled, WinWarbler deploys 47 "monitor channels" across the current PSK band. Each monitor channel continuously seeks to lock onto a PSK transmission within its range. Text decoded from locked channels can be continuously displayed in a Monitor Channel window, an example of which may be found in http://www.qsl.net/winwarbler/ChannelMonitor.jpg . As you can see, WinWarbler automatically extracts callsigns from the decoded text. A smaller Station's Heard window shows you who's on the band: http://www.qsl.net/winwarbler/Heard.jpg . Double-clicking on an entry in either the Channel Monitor or Heard windows will preload WinWarbler's current receive pane with all monitored information and will initialize the transmit and receive frequencies for an instant call. The parameters that control broadband decoding are all available for tweaking and experimentation.

Enabling broadband decoding does significantly increase the load on your CPU; a 400mhz Pentium is probably the minimum for this mode of operation.

WinWarbler and all other DXLab applications are available via http://www.qsl.net/dxlab/. You can review the online help for any DXLab application without first installing the software.

Development of these applications is continuous and user-driven; if you'd like to participate, you are welcome to join the Yahoo DXLabs group via http://www.qsl.net/dxlab/reflector.htm .

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

1852. Re: Why so much hostility towards IRLP?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-19 07:36:46 UTC
From: "va7lnx (alt)" <spamtrap@gwsn.com>
Message-ID: <iUxEb.748169$6C4.195210@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 2649

Gene Storey wrote:

> "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote
>>
>> The proper term is "stupidity and ignorance."
>
> So VOIP is stupid, and the people who do computer networking are
> ignorant.
>
> Right...

I resemble that comment ;-) (I do VoIP and Computer Networking for work.)

--
Donovan Hill

1853. Re: Why so much hostility towards IRLP?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-19 13:33:33 UTC
From: Steve Stone <spfleck@zzcitlinkzz.net>
Message-ID: <N6DEb.22965$bQ2.13704@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 2650

>> In any case, tell us all about that enhancement.

In my neighborhood it allows the snowbirds who migrate every winter
southwest to communicate daily with their familes back in the Poconos when
HF conditions suck.

The National Weather Service Forecast Office that serves my area is approx
100 miles from my QTH.
They run Skywarn nets on a Long Island, NY VHF repeater that I can not get
into via radio due to terrain up in my parts. Echolink is the only way I can
get into these nets.

The Mount Holly, NJ NWS Forecast Office also uses echolink to run nets into
areas that they serve that can not be reached using VHF repeaters.

I seriously doubt Echolink would be reliable during a real weather
emergency like a hurricane.

> How many new licensees has IRLP brought into the hobby?

Wild Ass Guess = none.

> What bands and modes do they use?

Who is they ?

> Same questions for EchoLink.

Same answers for Echolink.

> Where did you get your data?

My data in general ? From a legacy mainframe running db2 and QMF version
7.1. I'm an SQL coder in real life.

My ham radio data.. well that is from actual real time observations.

>

If you equate good things for amateur radio = more new licenses you are
never going to be a happy guy.

My nephew in his late teens is into music, hot rodding cars, and computers.
no interest in radio.

I recently gave a ham radio pitch to a troop of Boy Scouts at our Emergency
Management Office.

I'd say about 10 percent had a minor interest in the radios. 1 percent were
real hot about ham radio.

Steve
N2UBP

1854. Re: Echolink Port settings for DLink 704?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-19 16:24:44 UTC
From: Port <wa1dcp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <SOWdnWJ58d3Su36iRVn-ug@comcast.com>
Source record: 2551

Log into your router (most likely you can do this from a browser window by typing in 192.168.0.1)
You should see Dlink the 704 web config page.
Go to the bottom of the page and click on advanced.
You will then see the Virtual Server menu page.
Go to the bottom of the page and click on Special AP
You should be on the Special Applications page , enter 5200 in the window that says Trigger
Enter in the window that says Incoming Ports 5198-5199
Check the Enable box.
MAKE SURE you also open the drop down box that says ID and enter 1 (or what ever number you want) and then SAVE
Log off and this should do it.
Good luck.
73
Port
--

"Spud" <nospam.davidaanderson@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:3JkEb.744218$6C4.465247@pd7tw1no...
I'm on cable with a router and Windows XP

I believe I have my XP firewall configured properly, infact I have tried to connect to the test station with it ON and OFF to no avail (I do see my connect attempt displayed on the Echolink screen but my connection fails).

The echolink help files show how to setup router port permissions on the Dlink 604 but the Dlink 704 setup screens are very different and don't seem to indicate weather or not I'm opening up the ports in both directions or not.

Anybody know what I'm doing wrong here?
Thanks de-ve7ifd@rac.ca remove the "de-"

--
Spud: davidaanderson and that would be at shaw and a dot then ca

1855. Re: Streaming Audio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-19 16:32:30 UTC
From: Port <wa1dcp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <KtudnSOL_Iy8tX6iRVn-jA@comcast.com>
Source record: 2552

What is the application and are you running Windows?
Port
--

Please Visit My Store - Vintage Pro Audio Sales at
http://vintageproaudio.com

"David Stinson" <arc5@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3F638BFA.950D312C@ix.netcom.com...
>
> I need to stream *live* audio and recover it with flat response
> from 200 hz to 20 Khz (soundcard; most will do 20KC).
> I have a broadband connection.
> Can some of you computer gurus recommend a system?
> Thanks.

1856. Re: Why so much hostility towards IRLP?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-19 21:29:51 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <k5KEb.2310$6l1.1277@okepread03>
Source record: 2553

"Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote
>
> Your callsign please, or are you ashamed of it?

Same answer as before: Look it up in qrz. But then, I'm not
a "real" ham like you are, so maybe you don't want to send me
a QSL card, for our Internet QSO here?

1857. Re: Why so much hostility towards IRLP?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-19 21:31:05 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <t6KEb.2311$6l1.9@okepread03>
Source record: 2554

"Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote
>
> I have never mentioned networking.
>
> Simple, you failed to quote the whole article.
>
> Bet you are a CBer, here to bug the hams, just like 10 and 12 meters.

Your message is 100% noise. Why not find a hobby you can enjoy?

1858. Re: Why so much hostility towards IRLP?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-19 23:56:03 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <neMEb.217058$Ec1.7780230@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 2555

"Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net> wrote in message
news:k5KEb.2310$6l1.1277@okepread03...
> "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote
> >
> > Your callsign please, or are you ashamed of it?
>
> Same answer as before: Look it up in qrz. But then, I'm not
> a "real" ham like you are, so maybe you don't want to send me
> a QSL card, for our Internet QSO here?

So you ARE ashamed of your callsign.
Sorry to hear that.

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

1859. Re: Why so much hostility towards IRLP?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-19 23:57:01 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <hfMEb.217062$Ec1.7780746@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 2556

"Gene Storey" <nospam@cox.net> wrote in message
news:t6KEb.2311$6l1.9@okepread03...
> "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote
> >
> > I have never mentioned networking.
> >
> > Simple, you failed to quote the whole article.
> >
> > Bet you are a CBer, here to bug the hams, just like 10 and 12 meters.
>
> Your message is 100% noise. Why not find a hobby you can enjoy?

This is not "my hobby".

You still didn't mention your callsign.
Scared?

--

... Hank

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

1860. Re: you fucking hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-20 03:03:29 UTC
From: DxxxxxBxx <abuse@abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz.com>
Message-ID: <2u150v4mvngtcj0e58elo217vtpt98gjk2@4ax.com>
Source record: 2557

Read your Bible.

We're all useless pieces of flesh.

That's why God invented Christmas!

On 15 Dec 2003 18:21:50 GMT, hamhtr@aol.com (Hamhtr) wrote:

>Are usless pieces of flesh.
>
>Just thought you should know!

Spammers, please send email to:
listme@listme.dsbl.org
and get your SMTP server blacklisted!

1861. TenTec Jupiter and RTTY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-23 03:27:26 UTC
From: J Tabor <denews@taborsoft.com>
Message-ID: <vufdh43sqpat65@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 2558

Greetings,

Anyone running their Jupiter on RTTY? Any tips to share?

Thanks,
Jim - ku5s

--
email sent to: denews@taborsoft.com
is discarded without being seen.
Sorry for any inconvenience.

1862. Voice and Data

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-23 05:03:49 UTC
From: Gene Storey <nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <W0QFb.4222$6l1.1985@okepread03>
Source record: 2559

I'm finishing up an article for a ham publication, concerning a packet radio type device.

Basically it is a vocoder (DVSI AMBE) and data modem using the CML RD-LAP protocol chip. I've built four of these gadgets, and they are quite a bit of fun at 9600 bps into my Yaesu rigs.

Basically every time you key-up to talk, the first thing it does is transmit your GPS information (lat/lon/heading/speed/altitude), and your callsign, which shows up in the other persons LCD panel.

I plan a general data capability further down the road.

There's no 9600 bps digital repeaters in town here, and I was wondering if someone makes a 9600 bps repeater controller board? I know TAPR used to make a 9600 bps digital repeater board, but I don't think the FIFO chip is available anymore to make it functional?? It's also a pretty old design.

Any hints on something that is being marketed today?

1863. winlink2.9 and Digipeating

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-23 20:55:43 UTC
From: Joseph Fenn <jfenn@lava.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.BSI.4.58.0312231048340.23433@malasada.lava.net>
Source record: 2560

AT AB6USA they have our packet BBS on vhf and Pactor on HF for
mars traffic. We also have a digipeater up on the MT behind
ab6usa call sign "MARS" which is a good path to AB6USA, but
something in either the pk232 settings or the winlink settings
refuses "connects" via MARS. Does anyone know of either a
AX25-2 protocol param, or a winlink2.9 param setting that
will refuse any attempts to connect via a digipeater. Direct
connects to ab6usa only will work but some of our mars stations
cant reach ab6usa direct and they try connect via mars but
ab6usa pbbs just will not accept the connect. Its not due
to lack of a good signal path, its something in the settings
or params that is preventing this.
Joe/ABM6JF/MBO

****
* Ham KH6JF AARS/MARS ABM6JF QCWA WW2 VET WD RADIO *
****

1864. Re: Voice and Data

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-24 00:38:10 UTC
From: Nate Bargmann <n0nb@networksplus.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2003.12.24.00.38.06.308280@networksplus.net>
Source record: 2561

On Mon, 22 Dec 2003 23:03:49 -0600, Gene Storey wrote:

> There's no 9600 bps digital repeaters in town here, and I was wondering if
> someone makes a 9600 bps repeater controller board? I know TAPR used to
> make a 9600 bps digital repeater board, but I don't think the FIFO chip is
> available anymore to make it functional?? It's also a pretty old design.

They still have this page up, but I don't know what the kit status is:

http://www.tapr.org/tapr/html/F9600.html

According to their pricing, the modem board is $80, the clock board is $5
and the bit regen is $10 plus $7 ship & handling.

> Any hints on something that is being marketed today?

I couldn't find anything else with a few different Google searches.

73, de Nate >>

--
Wireless | Amateur Radio Station N0NB | Successfully Microsoft
Internet | n0nb@networksplus.net | free since January 1998.
Location | Bremen, Kansas USA EM19ov | "Debian, the choice of
Amateur radio exams; ham radio; Linux info @ | a GNU generation!"
http://www.qsl.net/n0nb/ | http://www.debian.org

1865. Re: RS232 "relay board" sources?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-24 10:01:19 UTC
From: Jamie Collins <jc218remove@dodo.com.au>
Message-ID: <3fe963ee$1@news.comindico.com.au>
Source record: 2562

www.des.com.au have a kit that allows you to do something like what you want
for use with a Parallel Port
check it out at
http://www.dse.com.au/cgi-bin/dse.storefront/3fe9625f07302d4e273fc0a87f9c06a1/Product/View
/K2805

or www.altronics.com.au have alternative one like
http://www.altronics.com.au/cat.asp?cat=11&grp=424&id=K2852
or
http://www.altronics.com.au/cat.asp?cat=11&grp=424&id=K2850

Both of these companies are decent Australian Electronic retailers that I
deal with alot. I have never used either of these kits, but have always
wan't to have a reason to do so.

Hope this is of some help.

Regards
Jamie 43JC218
http://au.geocities.com.au

"Rick Karlquist N6RK" <richard@karlquist.removethis.com> wrote in message
news:nwkEb.587927$Tr4.1560485@attbi_s03...
> I'm interested in controlling my station via an RS232 bus and am
> in the market for "relay boards." IE, send RS232 commands and
> open and close relays (or TTL outputs). Also want some inputs
> to send alarms (like high SWR) back. I searched on the net and
> found that numerous companies make "me-too" relay boards.
> They tend to all be 8 relays and 4 inputs for about $100.
> If you want 16 relays, you buy two of these for $100 each.
> Actually, I'd rather have all TTL outputs and no relays, but I haven't
> found any boards like that.
>
> I'm wondering if anyone has had good or bad feedback about
> vendors of these things. Also, would appreciate hearing about
> any cheaper solutions than $10 per I/O pin. Any sources of
> preprogrammed PIC or similar ucontrollers I can use to make
> my own? It would seem that one PIC chip could replace one
> of these relay boards (assuming I don't need relays). Unfortunately,
> I don't have time to become a PIC programmer.
>
> Rick N6RK
> email: richard "at" karlquist "dot" com
>
>

1866. Re: Shakira getting dirty with dildo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-26 13:12:11 UTC
From: Mark Russo <rmrusso1@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3FEC3477.768DB44@optonline.net>
Source record: 2563
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc

does it cover the 2 meter and 440 band and does it use the SO-238
connector?

John wrote:

> Shakira spreading on bed with dildo

1867. Re: Voice and Data

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-26 15:24:22 UTC
From: Markus L <uo9oew@tzk.pu>
Message-ID: <3fec52b1$0$726$5402220f@news.sunrise.ch>
Source record: 2564

Gene Storey wrote:
> There's no 9600 bps digital repeaters in town here, and I was
> wondering if someone makes a 9600 bps repeater controller
> board? I know TAPR used to make a 9600 bps digital repeater
> board, but I don't think the FIFO chip is available anymore to
> make it functional?? It's also a pretty old design.
> Any hints on something that is being marketed today?

Take a look at http://www.symek.com/g/tnc3s.html
Install (X)NET from http://www.swiss-artg.ch/xnet/index.html
and see tips and tricks in English at http://www.qsl.net/db0syl/

73, Markus
HB9BRJ / AE6MG

mail address is rot13 encoded. See www.rot13.com

1868. ICS AMT-3 terminal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-26 18:35:47 UTC
From: Roger Basford <Basford@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <RjSmuYAD+H7$Ewsp@btinternet.com>
Source record: 2566

Hi,

Does anyone have info on the ICS AMT-13 AMTOR/RTTY terminal unit made in the early 1990's? I have the command set and software for it but need the pinouts for the radio port. A copy of the manual would be excellent, any reasonable copying/postage expenses paid.

73,
--
Roger Basford
(G3VKM - Norfolk, England)

1869. Re: WinWarbler update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-26 19:27:24 UTC
From: 3YELTRABNHOJ@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3fec8b68.8953962@News.Individual.NET>
Source record: 2565

On Fri, 19 Dec 2003 05:25:47 GMT, "Dave, AA6YQ" <aa6yq@ambersoft.com> wrote:

> WinWarbler is a free Windows application that supports multi-channel PSK31
> and PSK63 via PC soundcard, as well as RTTY via soundcard and/or an external
> modem (e.g. KAM, PK-232). Soundcard PSK is accomplished using Moe AE4JY's
> PSKCORE engine, and soundcard RTTY is accomplished using Mako JE3HHT's MMTTY
> engine.
>
> WinWarbler is a member of the DXLab Suite; it can be run independently, but
> when other DXLab applications are running, WinWarbler will automatically
> interoperate with them:
>
> - Commander: provides transceiver control for most Elecraft, FlexRadio,
> Icom, Kenwood, TenTec, and Yaesu transceivers

Excepting _all_ Yaesu non-HF transceivers. Yaesu does not support CAT on any
non-HF rig.

<snip>

1870. Re: Shakira getting dirty with dildo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-26 23:03:10 UTC
From: Fudge <fudge@nrtco.net>
Message-ID: <e63Hb.20$8W5.6076@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 2567
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc

What the Hell does this have to do with Amateur Radio you moron?

John
VA3JQ

"John" <fagljesl@eafkidqk.com> wrote in message
news:FlWGb.76862$031.26844@fe3.columbus.rr.com...
> Shakira spreading on bed with dildo
>
>
>
>

1871. Re: WinWarbler update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-27 01:14:46 UTC
From: "Dave, AA6YQ" <aa6yq@ambersoft.com>
Message-ID: <a25Hb.666362$Tr4.1672785@attbi_s03>
Source record: 2568

Au contraire. The FT-736 provides limited CAT support.

73,

Dave, AA6YQ

"reverse the uppercase to reply" <3YELTRABNHOJ@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3fec8b68.8953962@News.Individual.NET...
> On Fri, 19 Dec 2003 05:25:47 GMT, "Dave, AA6YQ" <aa6yq@ambersoft.com>
wrote:
>
> > WinWarbler is a free Windows application that supports multi-channel
PSK31
> > and PSK63 via PC soundcard, as well as RTTY via soundcard and/or an
external
> > modem (e.g. KAM, PK-232). Soundcard PSK is accomplished using Moe
AE4JY's
> > PSKCORE engine, and soundcard RTTY is accomplished using Mako JE3HHT's
MMTTY
> > engine.
> >
> > WinWarbler is a member of the DXLab Suite; it can be run independently,
but
> > when other DXLab applications are running, WinWarbler will automatically
> > interoperate with them:
> >
> > - Commander: provides transceiver control for most Elecraft, FlexRadio,
> > Icom, Kenwood, TenTec, and Yaesu transceivers
>
> Excepting _all_ Yaesu non-HF transceivers. Yaesu does not support CAT on
any
> non-HF rig.
>
> <snip>

1872. SSTV Website Update ON4JU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-30 14:44:06 UTC
From: Patrick <on4ju@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <3ff18f3c$0$20540$ba620e4c@news.skynet.be>
Source record: 2570

```
OM,
SSTV Website update ON4JU
New pictures december

http://users.skynet.be/on4ju

Thanks for visite
Happy 2004
'73s
Patrick ON4JU
```

1873. Re: Pactor Calling Freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-30 20:34:06 UTC
From: Pär C <flitt@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <bsq6jn$8tvo$1@ID-181316.news.uni-berlin.de>
Source record: 2569

rh3x@erols.com wrote:
> Are there any Pactor QSO calling freqs anymore -or- is it now all
> automated message passing? Has been about 5 years since I was last
> on Pactor (or Amtor).
>
> Tks
> de Rich/N2JR
>

The one "place" I know is 7037 kHz. There has got to be something
up on 20 meters as well but I've only had skeds on pactor there
(that's during 2003). If you want to try a sked then just mail me and
we'll try it out.

73 de Per/sm0rwo
http://abo.mine.nu/linpkterm

1874. PARTY PARTY PARTY PARTY PARTY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Date: 2003-12-31 11:42:52 UTC
From: |-|erc <trymyform@wwwadamskingdom.com>
Message-ID: <%xyIb.309$EM4.5869@nnrp1.ozemail.com.au>
Source record: 2571
Cross-posted to:
rec.video,rec.sport.softball,rec.outdoors.fishing.fly,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,comp.databases.postgresql.ports.cygwin

--

J o i n I n T h e 1 s t O n l i n e N e w Y e a ɾ s B a s h !

<^> <(���)> <^> ---- <^> <(���)> <^> 2004 <^> <(���)> <^> --- <^> <(���)> <^>

Chat at www.chatty.net THE NEW YEARS ONLINE PARTY!!

<^> <(���)> <^> ---- <^> <(���)> <^> 2004 <^> <(���)> <^> --- <^> <(���)> <^>